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Abstract—A finite-element code has been developed to study the vector acoustic modes in high index contrast silica optical waveguides. Detailed spatial variations of the transverse and longitudinal displacement vectors are shown for the bending, radial, and torsional modes. The variation of the frequency shift due to the stimulated Brillouin scattering and the overlaps seen between the acoustic and optical waveguides are also presented, together with the modal hybridness and modal dispersion.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Acoustic waves propagate in a medium due to the periodic displacement of the molecules of which it is composed, being characterized by parameters such as the material density, elasticity, Young modulus, and Poisson’s ratio [1]–[3]. The particle displacement of such a mode in a waveguide occurs in the longitudinal direction or alternatively in the transverse plane. When the core material in the waveguide is surrounded by a cladding, the propagation of the waves can be classified as being of torsional, bending, radial, flexural or longitudinal type [4], [5]. These modes can be supported, provided at least one of the velocities (the shear or longitudinal velocity) in the cladding exceeds that in the core.

It is well known that the acoustic properties of optical waveguides can be coupled to the propagation of light, creating the phenomenon of Brillouin scattering, which in the presence of gain can become Stimulated Brillouin scattering (SBS) and allow Guided Acoustic Wave Brillouin scattering (GAWBS) [6]–[8]. The interaction of light with such optical waveguides is also complex. In these cases, a rigorous full-vectorial analysis [9]–[12] is required for the accurate characterization of the acoustic wave propagation and such a computer code has been developed. In this paper, the development of this code based on the versatile finite element method is reported and numerically simulated results of acoustic wave guiding in a high-index contrast planar silica (SiO₂) waveguide are presented and analyzed. The full-vectorial nature of the acoustic mode in a high-index contrast optical waveguides has been illustrated.

II. THEORY

The propagation of an acoustic wave along the propagation direction, \( z \), is associated with a periodic molecular displacement. For a time harmonic wave, the displacement vectors, \( U_i \), can be written in the following form [3]:

\[
U_i = u_x(\xi, \eta, j\omega t - k_\xi z) \exp[j(\omega t - k_\xi z)]
\]

where \( u_x \), \( u_y \) and \( u_z \) are the particle displacement vectors along the \( x \), \( y \) and \( z \) directions, \( \omega \) is the angular frequency representing the time dependence and \( k_\xi \) is the propagation constant identifying the axial dependence. For a loss-less system, \( u_z \) (the longitudinal displacement vector) is 90 degrees out of phase with both \( u_x \) and \( u_y \) (transverse displacement vectors). In such a case, by defining \( u_z \) as an imaginary component, as shown in Eq. (1), the system equation can be simplified to a real eigenvalue equation. A similar approach has also been exploited for the modal analysis of loss-less optical waveguides [13]. The deformation in an acoustically vibrating body can be described by the strain field, \( S \), where:

\[
S = \nabla u
\]

The elastic restoring forces can be defined in terms of the stress field, \( T \). The inertial and elastic restoring forces in a freely vibrating medium are related through the translational equation of motion where:

\[
\nabla \cdot T = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}
\]

Hooke’s Law states that the strain and stress are linearly proportional to each other and are given by:

\[
T_{ij} = c_{ijkl}S_{kl}; \quad i, j, k, l = x, y, z
\]

The microscopic spring constants, \( c_{ijkl} \), can be termed the elastic stiffness constants. The compliance and stiffness tensors can be denoted in the matrix form by:

\[
[T] = [c][S]
\]
in which \(c_{ijkl}\) is a fourth order tensor which obeys the symmetry condition and hence can be represented by using two suffix notations. Furthermore, the elastic stiffness constants are related to the shear and longitudinal velocities.

Classically, in the finite element method [14] applied for a solid structure, the displacement field, \(u\), can be written with the help of the interpolation shape function, \([N]\), and of the vector of the nodal values of the displacement field \(U\) where:

\[
u = [N]U
\]

\[
u = \begin{bmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \\ u_z \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix} N_1 & 0 & 0 & N_2 & 0 & 0 & N_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N_1 & 0 & 0 & N_2 & 0 & 0 & N_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & N_1 & 0 & 0 & N_2 & 0 & 0 & N_3 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix} u_x^1 \\ u_x^2 \\ u_x^3 \\ u_y^1 \\ u_y^2 \\ u_y^3 \\ u_z^1 \\ u_z^2 \\ u_z^3 \end{bmatrix}
\]

The general system of equations associated with this problem can be written as:

\[
([A] - \omega_a^2[B])U = F
\]

where \([A]\) is the stiffness matrix which is related to strain energy and \([B]\) is the mass matrix related to the kinetic energy.

These matrices are generated for a given propagation constant, \(k_a\). The column vectors, \(F\), contain the nodal values of the applied forces, which in this case are taken to be equal to zero. Solving this generalized eigenvalue equation for this system yields an eigenvalue which is \(\omega_a^2\), where \(\omega_a\) is the angular frequency and the eigenvector \(U\) is the displacement vector. From the given input \(k_a\) and output \(\omega_a\), the phase velocity of the acoustic wave, \(v_a\), can be calculated from the following relationship:

\[
v_a = \frac{\omega_a}{k_a}.
\]

The associated boundary condition of the fully-vectorial displacement vector is similar to that of an electric wall on the electromagnetic field.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Initially, the computer code developed has been benchmarked to study torsional and bending modes of a high-index contrast steel waveguide [15] and also a low-index contrast silica fiber [16]. The agreements seen were very good, but these are not shown here.

A. Silica (SiO\(_2\)) Waveguide Analysis

Silica (SiO\(_2\)) is the most widely used material for optical waveguides because of its extremely low loss value and in this study a simple rectangular SiO\(_2\) waveguide is considered, where its longitudinal and shear velocities are taken as 5736 m/s and 3625 m/s, respectively [5]. A low acoustic index material (with larger acoustic velocities) is needed as the surrounding cladding material to allow the guidance of both the longitudinal and shear modes. In this case, the well-studied example of xenon gas is considered, as this is known to support both longitudinal and transverse modes. The longitudinal and shear wave velocities in xenon are taken as 22503 m/s and 15472 m/s, respectively [17]. Any optical material with similar higher longitudinal and shear velocities is expected to give similar acoustic modes. The horizontal (width – W) and vertical (height – H) dimensions of the SiO\(_2\) waveguide are initially taken as 1.5 \(\mu m\) and 1.2 \(\mu m\), respectively.

The most important modal parameter, the modal dispersion properties of an acoustic waveguide shows the relationship between the frequency or the angular frequency, propagation constant and phase velocities. Variations of the modal phase velocities with the frequency for the first 5 modes are shown in Fig. 1. In this case, 12000 first order triangles were used to represent the waveguide structure. These are identified as Bending 1 (B1), Bending 2 (B2), Torsional (T1), Radial (R1) and Torsional-Radial (TR1) modes. Their detailed mode profiles are shown later in the paper. It can be observed that for the two bending modes, as the modal frequency is increased, their phase velocities increase and asymptotically approach the Rayleigh wave velocity. Later it will be shown that as the wavenumber or the frequency is increased, the modal displacements move closer to the interfaces. These surface waves propagating along the interface of a solid are also known as Rayleigh waves. By contrast, for the torsional, radial and torsional-radial modes, as the modal frequency is increased, their phase velocities decrease and asymptotically reach the Rayleigh wave velocity. The waveguide cross-section is shown in Fig. 1 as an inset.

It can be observed that the phase velocity of the B1 mode is lower than that of the B2 mode for a given propagation constant, \(k_a\). In this case, the width (\(W\)) of the waveguide was taken as being larger than its height (\(H\)) and the B1 mode with the \(U_y\) displacement vector is seen as the dominant component: this can also be termed the \(U^y\) mode, where as for the B2 mode with its \(U_x\) displacement vector as the dominant component, this can be termed as the \(U^x\) mode.
In this case, as their width and height were not equal, the $U_x$ and $U_y$ modes were not degenerate and it was possible to isolate them when the full structure was considered in the simulation. However, in the case when the height and the width of a waveguide are identical, these two B1 and B2 modes will be degenerate and in that case, the symmetry condition can be imposed along the vertical and horizontal axes (through the center of the waveguide) to isolate these degenerate modes.

For this waveguide, with $W = 1.5 \, \mu m$ and $H = 1.2 \, \mu m$, and the propagation constant, $k_a = 2.0 \, \mu m^{-1}$, the frequency of the B1 or $U_y$ mode was $0.8716 \, GHz$ and that of the B2 or $U_x$ mode was $0.9362 \, GHz$. However, if the waveguide height and width are identical, the $U_x$ and $U_y$ modes will be degenerate, as for $W = H = 1.2 \, \mu m$ and $k_a = 2.0 \, \mu m^{-1}$, both the frequencies have been calculated as $0.880 \, GHz$ and for the same value of $k_a$, this parameter will be $0.929 \, GHz$ when $W = H = 1.5 \, \mu m$. This indicates that the frequency of the fundamental $U_y$ mode is more related to the waveguide height and that of the fundamental $U_x$ mode is clearly related to its width.

B. Modal Field Profile

1) Bending Mode: The full-vectorial mode profile of the B1 mode is shown in Fig. 2, for the case when $k_a = 1.5 \, \mu m^{-1}$. For this mode the dominant displacement vector was $U_y$ and its spatial variation in the $x$–$y$ plane is shown in Fig. 2(a).

It can be observed that the $U_y$ profile is strongly confined inside the waveguide with almost a constant value and this reduces monotonically in the cladding. Its detailed variation along the transverse coordinates will be shown later. The variation of the non-dominant $U_x$ profile across the waveguide cross-section is shown in Fig. 2(b). It can be observed that its magnitude is relatively small, at only 6% of the dominant $U_y$ displacement vector, and clearly shows a higher order spatial variation at the four corners of the waveguide. The spatial variation of the $U_x$ vector for this mode, B1, is shown in Fig. 2(c), which clearly shows both positive and negative peaks along the upper and lower horizontal side walls. The waveguide outline is also illustrated in these figures. The magnitude of the $U_y$ displacement vector is considerable, at about 60% of the dominant $U_y$ vector. It is well known that in optical waveguides with a strong refractive index contrast, all the 6 components of the electric and magnetic fields exist [18] and a similar feature for an acoustic waveguide with a strong material contrast is also demonstrated here. It should be noted that for the quasi-TE or $H_{11}$ mode of an optical waveguide [19], its $H_y$ field is the dominant component and is primarily confined inside the waveguide core. For the smaller non-dominant $H_x$ field, this is mainly located around the four corners of the waveguide with higher order spatial variations. The parameter $H_z$ has a relatively higher magnitude but with its peaks along the upper and lower dielectric interfaces, this strongly correlates with the eigenvector shown in Fig. 2 for the $U_y$ mode.

The B2 mode has the $U_x$ component as its dominant displacement vector (this is not shown here) with its profile similar to that of the $U_y$ profile for the $U_y$ mode (or B1 mode), (which is shown in Fig. 2(a)). Similarly, the non-dominant $U_y$ component of this B2 mode (with $U_x$ dominant) has higher order spatial variations at the waveguide corners with only 6% of the magnitude. Its $U_x$ profile is also similar to the $U_z$ profile of the B1 mode (with $U_y$ dominant), which is shown in Fig. 2(c), but for this mode rotated 90 degrees, with its positive and negative peaks along the left and right vertical interfaces (but these are not shown here). Its maximum magnitude is about 60% of that of the dominant $U_x$ component.

For this $U_x$ mode (or B2 mode) with the dominant $U_x$ vector, this strongly correlates with the eigenvector profile of the fundamental $H_{11}$ (quasi-TM) mode in an optical waveguide with a strong index contrast, such as a silicon nanowire.

Variations of the $U_y$ displacement vector for the $U_y$ mode (B1) and the $U_x$ displacement vector for the $U_x$ mode (B2)
When the waveguide width and height are equal, the $U_x$ and its sign, in a way similar to the $U_y$ profile along the $x$-axis for the $U_y$ mode. Thus the dominant constant, $k_a$, to the two perpendicular axes. As the axial propagation constant is increased, the non-dominant components also increase.

Along the $x$-axis are shown in Fig. 3, when $k_a = 1.5 \mu m^{-1}$. The $U_y$ profile inside the core, shown by a dashed line, is nearly flat inside the core but with small ripples and a smaller peak at the center (shown as an inset), where its value reduces rapidly outside the core. On the other hand, the $U_x$ profile, shown by a solid line, is also nearly flat inside the core but with a small dip at the center (shown as an inset) and outside the core its value reduces more rapidly and is associated with the small negative values. For this waveguide, the material contrast was very high, the modal eigenvectors shows strong confinement inside the core. For a smaller index contrast, such as with a Ge doped SiO$_2$ waveguide with SiO$_2$ cladding, it has been observed (but is not shown here) that the variations of $U_x$ and $U_y$ along the transverse directions are slower and can be close to a Gaussian profile in shape.

The variation of the $U_y$ displacement vector for the $U^y$ mode (B1) along the $y$-axis is associated with a small negative dip outside the core (similar to the $U_x$ profile of the $U^x$ mode (B2) along the $x$-axis as shown in Fig. 3), but this is not shown here. Similarly, the variation of the $U_x$ displacement vector for the $U^x$ mode along the $y$-axis is monotonic without changing its sign, in a way similar to the $U_y$ profile along the $x$-axis (as shown in Fig. 3) for the $U^y$ mode. Thus the dominant $U_y$ and $U_x$ profiles of the $U^y$ and $U^x$ modes reverse the nature of their variations along the $x$ and $y$ directions. When the waveguide width and height are equal, the $U^x$ and $U^y$ modes are degenerate. As a result, the modes can easily exchange power between them. For two degenerate modes with the magnitudes of $U_x$ and $U_y$ (and these being equal), their resultant displacement vectors are oriented at 45 degrees to the two perpendicular axes. As the axial propagation constant, $k_a$, increases, these mode shapes transform slowly and become more confined and the magnitudes of the non-dominant components also increase.

The $U_y$ profiles along the $y$-axis for the $U^y$ mode (B1) are shown in Fig. 4, for two different $k_a$ values. It can be observed that when the propagation constant is increased, the field outside the core reduces more rapidly – here the negative peak gets slightly larger, but it moves closer to the boundary. A larger dip at the center of the waveguide exists for the larger $k_a$ value, given by $k_a = 2 \mu m^{-1}$. Similarly, its ($U_y$) variation along the $x$-axis also becomes faster outside the core when the propagation constant, $k_a$, is increased, (but this is not shown here). As the value of $k_a$ is increased, the non-dominant displacement vector, $U_x$ for the B1 mode also becomes more confined near the 4 corners and its magnitude is increased, as is seen for example from 6% at $k_a = 1.5 \mu m^{-1}$ to 10% when $k_a = 4.0 \mu m^{-1}$.

Variations of the $U_y$ profile along the $y$-axis, for two even higher values of the propagation constant, $k_a = 4.0$ and $10.0 \mu m^{-1}$, are also shown in Fig. 5 for the B1 mode. It can be observed that as the $k_a$ value is increased, the dip at the center increases further and now the eigenvector is more confined along the upper and lower horizontal interfaces of the waveguide. The negative dip outside the core also becomes slightly larger, sharper and closer to the waveguide interfaces.

The transverse variation of the displacement vector, $U_y$, of the $U^y$ mode (B1) across the waveguide cross-section is shown in Fig. 6, when $k_a = 10.0 \mu m^{-1}$. It can be observed that the profile of $U_y$ for the same B1 mode at $k_a = 10.0 \mu m^{-1}$, as shown here, is quite difference from the $U_y$ profile at $k_a = 1.5 \mu m^{-1}$, as shown in Fig. 2(a). It can be observed that the displacement profile is more confined along the upper and lower interfaces and its variation along the $x$-direction is relatively flat. For this higher
propagation constant, the magnitude of the non-dominant $U_x$ displacement vector of this B1 mode increases significantly to about 40%, but their displacements are mainly confined at the four corners of the waveguide, (which is not shown here).

The variations of the axial displacement vector, $U_z$, for the B1 ($U^y$) mode along the $y$-axis for $k_a = 1.5$ and 4.0 $\mu$m$^{-1}$ are shown in Fig. 7. It can be observed that as the propagation constant is increased, the displacement vector $U_z$ becomes more narrowly confined along the upper and lower horizontal interfaces and its magnitude also slightly increases.

The detailed spatial variations of all the three displacement vector components for this B1 ($U^y$) mode are shown in Figs. 2 to 7. For the B1 (or $U^y$ mode), the displacement vector has the dominant $U_y$ component and the resultant structural deformation will be in the vertical direction, particularly for lower propagation constants (or lower frequencies). However, for higher frequencies, as the magnitude of the $U_x$ displacement also increases to nearly 40% of the $U_y$ displacement, the profile of their combined transverse displacement vector ($U_t = xU_x + yU_y$) will modify considerably, particularly near the four corners. The signs of the displacement vectors will change after every half-wavelength and repeat after every wavelength and this will produce a periodic structural bending along the vertical axis. Similarly, for the B2 mode, the periodic displacement along the $x$-axis will cause this mode to periodically bend the waveguide along the $x$-axis.

It should be noted that for the B1 mode, although the dominant displacement is in the $y$-direction, it also has a significant $U_z$ displacement. The variation of $U_z$ along the transverse plane is more complicated with its positive and negative values at the upper and lower horizontal interfaces. The total displacement will vary periodically along the axial direction. As $k_a$ increases, both the dominant $U_y$ and the next significant component, $U_z$, are confined mainly along the upper and lower horizontal interfaces and like a surface mode confined along the material interfaces, its velocity approaches that of the Rayleigh wave.

2) Torsional Mode: The modal properties of other modes are of interest and are presented briefly. The torsional mode, labeled T1 in Fig. 1, has nearly equal $U_x$ and $U_y$ displacement vectors. The $U_x$, $U_y$ and $U_z$ profiles for this mode at $k_a = 1.5$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$ are shown in Fig. 8. It can be observed that the more dominant displacement vector, $U_y$ shows its maximum values along the left and right vertical interfaces, as shown in Fig. 8(b). On the other hand the horizontal displacement vector, $U_x$ shows its maximum values along the upper and lower horizontal interfaces, as shown in Fig. 8(a).

As the height and width of the waveguide were not equal, the torsional modes were not degenerate and the magnitudes of the $U_x$ and $U_y$ values were also slightly different. The $U_z$ profile of this torsional mode (T1) is shown in Fig. 8(c) – this exists mainly at the four corners with higher order spatial variations. For this $k_a$ value, its magnitude was 20% of the dominant $U_y$ components, and this shows that the relative magnitude of the longitudinal displacement vector, $U_z$, is lower than that of the B1 and B2 modes. This mode shows antisymmetric $U_x$ and $U_y$ displacement vectors and the $U_z$ displacement vector with very small magnitude will have a smaller interaction with the fundamental optical mode.

The combined displacement vector, $U_t$ in the transverse plane for this torsional mode, T1, is shown in Fig. 9, when $k_a = 1.5$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$. This clearly shows a twist in the transverse plane due to the combination of the $x$ and $y$ displacement vectors, which has been shown in Fig. 8(a) and (b), respectively. Every half-wavelength, the sign of the displacement vectors (and direction of twist) will change. This will give the periodic clockwise and anticlockwise twists in the transverse plane along the waveguide.

3) Radial Mode: By contrast, the radial mode $R_{01}$ labeled R1, shows a considerable magnitude for all the three displacement vectors. The $U_x$, $U_y$ and $U_z$ profiles for this mode at $k_a = 10.0$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$ are shown in Fig. 10. It can be observed that the dominant displacement vector, the $U_y$ profile shown
in Fig. 10(b), is mainly confined to the upper and lower horizontal interfaces. On the other hand, the \( U_x \) displacement vector, shown in Fig. 1 (a), is mainly confined along the left and right vertical interfaces with its maximum magnitude being about 80% of the maximum \( U_y \) displacement. However its \( U_z \) profile, given in Fig. 10(c), clearly shows its confinement along all the four interfaces with the sharp peaks at the four corners. It changes its sign at the interfaces and sustains a smaller but relatively constant magnitude inside the core. Its maximum magnitude is 80% (of that of the dominant \( U_y \) displacement vector) at the four corners, about 50% along the four interfaces and about 25% inside the core. Due to the symmetric nature of the \( U_z \) profile, this mode would be expected to have a considerable overlap with the fundamental optical mode.

4) Torsional-Radial Mode: Figure 11 (a) shows the resultant \( U_t \) vector profile in the transverse plane for the R1 mode when \( k_d = 10 \, \mu \text{m}^{-1} \). In this case, the dominant \( U_y \) had positive and negative peaks at the upper and lower interfaces, as shown in Fig. 10 (b) and \( U_x \) had negative and positive peaks along the left and right interfaces as shown in Fig. 10 (a). The resultant \( U_t \) vector shows a displacement which moves radially outward but is periodically reversing its direction so expanding and contracting its dimension along the propagation direction. However, its changing cross-section remains rectangular in shape. R1 will perturb the refractive index profile radially without changing the polarization of the guided wave. The combination of the transverse and longitudinal displacements can give rise to nonlinear optical effects such as SBS and polarized GAWBS [7], [20].

The \( U_x \) and \( U_y \) profiles of the TR21 mode, labeled as the TR1 mode, were similar to that of the R1 mode, but \( \pi \) radian out of phase in the time axis (and these are not shown here). However, their out of phase combination yields the \( U_t \) profile, which is shown in Fig. 11(b). This shows the maximum displacement in the four quadrants of the core. The vector displacement shown in this figure will cause the guide to expand along the vertical axis and ‘squeeze’ along the horizontal axis. This produces a deformation of the waveguide which also reverse its shape periodically and hence induces additional optical birefringence.

C. Acoustic-Optical Interactions

1) SBS Shift Frequency: In this section the interaction between the acoustic and the optical mode has been studied by using \( k_d = 2\beta \) to calculate the SBS frequency shift in the acoustic waveguide and afterwards the overlap integrals of the acousto-optic interaction are shown [21]–[23].

Firstly, the variation of the SBS frequency shift with the waveguide height is shown in Fig. 12(a). It can be observed that as the height is reduced initially, then the SBS frequency decreases and then approaches the cut-off. Further decreases of height of the waveguide results the SBS frequency being increased, as shown in the figure for both quasi-TE and quasi-TM modes. The variation of the SBS frequency shift with the waveguide width, \( W \), is shown in Fig. 12(b) for both quasi-TE and quasi-TM modes. It can be observed that as the width is reduced, the SBS frequency shifts for both the polarized modes are reduced.
It can also be noticed that as the width is increased, the SBS frequency is increased of both quasi-TE and TM modes, as it approaches the maximum width the quasi-TE mode has higher SBS frequencies than that quasi-TM mode, of 5.98 GHz and 5.85 GHz, respectively.

2) Modal Overlap: As discussed previously, the SBS frequency indicates the resulting frequency shift due to the optical-acoustic interaction: however, its strength depends on the overlap between these modes. The overlap interaction of the transverse Radial (R1 or $U_{12}$) mode with its $U_z$ displacement vector is shown here. The variation of overlap integral values of the acousto-optic interaction is shown in Fig. 13(a). This represents the overlap interaction of the $U_z$ displacement vector with the dominant optical field. For the quasi-TE mode, the overlap integral determination was carried out with the dominant $H_y$ field, but for the quasi-TM mode, it was done with the $H_x$ field. In here, the overlap calculation of the $U_z$ displacement vector profile of the radial mode will introduce both GAWBS and SBS into the core of the waveguide and create the interaction between acoustic and optical modes.

The graph shows that the maximum overlap is achieved when the heights are 1.0 $\mu$m and 1.15 $\mu$m for the quasi-TE and quasi-TM modes, respectively, and further increases of height will reduce the overlap integral. Therefore, the mismatch between the acoustic and the optical mode is higher when the height is increased. In this section the effect of the width of the SiO$_2$ waveguide is studied on the SBS frequency shift and the overlap between the acoustic and optical waves. To achieve that, first the optical modes are studied. To obtain the SBS frequency shift, it is essential to calculate the propagation constant, which is related to the effective index of a mode. Figure 13 (b) shows the overlap integral between the acoustic radial mode (R1) of its $U_z$ displacement vector with the fundamental quasi-TE and quasi-TM optical modes with the waveguide width. It can be observed that as the width of the guide is increased the overlap increases rapidly and reaches its maximum value, then reduces as width is increased further. When the width is at its maximum, the overlap ratio between acoustic and optical modes is at its minimum.

In contrast, a higher overlap ratio is shown to be 0.438 and 0.40 at 1.1 $\mu$m and 1.15 $\mu$m, respectively, for the quasi-TE and quasi-TM modes. It can be observed that the mismatch between acoustic and optical waves is quite high when width is increased.

IV. CONCLUSION

Detailed displacement vectors for several fully hybrid acoustic modes with both transverse and longitudinal displacement vectors have been determined and are discussed for a high index contrast acoustic SiO$_2$ waveguide. Unlike in a weakly guiding SiO$_2$ waveguides, the transverse and longitudinal displacements are strongly coupled in the high index contrast SiO$_2$ waveguide. It is also shown here that their displacement vector profiles continuously evolve as the frequency or propagation constant increases and becomes increasingly confined along the waveguide interfaces.

The development of the finite element code allows a more rigorous study of the vector acoustic modes in complex acoustic waveguides to be undertaken, such as are used for guiding through exotic optical waveguides, for example via photonic crystal fibers, silicon nanowires, silicon slot
waveguides, Bragg fibers and plasmonic hybrid waveguides, which may have higher optical and acoustic index contrasts. It should be noted that the optical modes are also fully hybrid with all the 6 components of the E and H fields present. To evaluate and optimize the complex interactions between the hybrid optical modes and the hybrid acoustic mode, a fully-vectorial approach is necessary. A computationally-efficient finite element based approach, as presented here, has been shown to be more appropriate to study and thus use to optimize such interactions.
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