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ABSTRACT

The formation of space charge packets in XLPE (Cross-linked polyethylene) tapes from un-aged cable insulation has been studied utilising the pulsed electro-acoustic (PEA) technique. The 150 μm thick sheets were studied under constant applied dc field of 120 kV/mm at a temperature of 20 °C for a period of 48 hours. After an inception period of about 3.5 hours, during which heterocharge accumulates at the anode and increases the local field there, a sequence of positive charge packets were observed to transit the sample starting from near the anode. This is shown as a moving image at the following web site: <include web site address here>. Calculation of the internal field showed that the packets required a field of ≥ 140 kV/mm for their initiation. Reduction of the applied field step-wise from 120 kV/mm to 80 kV/mm indicated that the charge packet would keep moving as long as the local field at its front exceeded 100 kV/mm, but with a reducing magnitude. A return to an applied field of 120 kV/mm confirmed that the local field required to initiate a new packet was in excess of 135 kV/mm. The results are discussed in terms of current theories of charge packet formation. The first packet appears to be a moving front of field ionisation. The generation of subsequent packets is governed by the field at the anode and the balance of charge
injection and extraction process, which occur there. The nature of the negative charges produced at the ionisation front is not clear, but they are unlikely to be electrons.

1. INTRODUCTION

The advent of experimental techniques capable of directly measuring the density of space charge in insulators under voltage in a non-destructive manner [1-4] has revealed the existence of charge packet formation at high DC fields. In these circumstances space charge is observed to cross the insulation in the form of a packet rather than through the steady advance of a charge front. Such packets have been observed both in cable insulation [5,6], and in thin films (see for example [7,8,9]), and are now a widely accepted phenomenon in polymers. Since they occur at high fields before an electric failure occurs they are of interest as a possible pre-breakdown phenomenon. Although no direct correlation has yet been made with time-to-breakdown, the packet phenomenon leads to oscillating currents and non-stationary behaviour under DC conditions and might therefore lead to the transfer of energy to the polymer which may eventually result in breakdown. They will certainly lead to high-field current noise that may be an indicator of the imminence of breakdown. For this reason there is an interest in understanding the phenomena. Currently there are three models that are capable of giving charge packet formation. In one case [6] the packet is formed by injected charges and moves across the sample as a packet of excess charge carriers. Usually these packets are small in magnitude (1-10 Cm$^{-3}$) and spread out as the packet moves so that the packet loses its identity as it crosses the sample. This type of packet can only be re-created a few times. A different model for packet generation proposes field-induced dissociation as a source of carriers [5]. The packet is still, however, a region of slow moving charge carriers, and will also spread out during its passage. In an alternative model [8], field-assisted generation of carriers produces a depletion front in the form of a solitary wave. The packet does not spread out and can be recreated indefinitely as each packet approaches the counter-electrode. The charge density in these packets is much higher (100Cm$^{-3}$). Evidence exists for the formation of each type of packet, however, the details of the packet formation is still unclear. Hozumi et al [5] has shown that heat treatment of XLPE samples containing
acetophenone and anti-oxidant play a role in packet formation, but what form this takes has not been determined. In the experiments reported here we explore the electrical conditions required for the initiation of very slow moving charge packets in XLPE. We show that they can only be formed at fields above a ‘critical’ value, which can take a long time to develop. Once initiated long sequences of packet formation are possible. As it moves through the sample, each packet in the sequence maintains a field at its front that is sufficient to maintain movement. Reduction of the applied field from 120kV/mm to 90kV/mm allowed the field necessary to maintain packet movement to be determined. Even though the field at the packet front was less than that achieved at the higher applied fields the packet continued moving at the same speed but with reduced amplitude. A return to 120kV/mm verified the existence of a critical onset field. These results are discussed in terms of the theoretical models.

2. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS

2.1 Sample preparation

The measurements were performed on tapes cut from unaged cross-linked polyethylene (XLPE) cables produced specifically for the project [10], by turning them on a lathe equipped with a specially designed cutting tool. The tapes had a width of 80mm and mean thickness 150 μm. Before testing, specimens were cut from tapes from insulation located 3 ± 1mm from the inner screen. Each side of the specimen had a different roughness. Measurements using an atomic force microscope [10] showed that the roughness on the shiny (outer) face was typically 150nm, whilst that on the other face was 350nm. Specimens were pretreated in an oven for 48 ± 1 hours at 50 ± 2 °C, atmospheric condition in order to expel most of the cross-linking by-products. Measurements by FTIR and HPLC revealed that they were below the detection limit by these techniques after this treatment [10], although photoluminescence showed strong evidence for the persistence of spectral features associated with these by-products. Further out gassing did not induce a marked change in the photoluminescence spectrum indicating that these luminescent centres are probably chemically linked to the chain.
Space charge observations were made by the pulsed electro-acoustic technique (PEA) at various constant dc-voltage levels systematically varied corresponding to applied fields between 80 kV/mm and 120 kV/mm. The samples were not coated with electrodes but were clamped between two electrodes. The cathode was a solid aluminium electrode, which was ground to an optically flat finish. This was in contact with the rougher face of the specimen. The anode was constructed from 0.75 ± 0.03mm thick piece of semicon from a commercial XLPE cable that was coated with a 1 ± 0.2 μm thick layer of 99.9% pure aluminium. The anode was in contact with the smooth face of the specimen. A thin (~0.2μm) film of silicon oil was used in all experiments on both the top and bottom electrodes to aid acoustic transmission. All measurements were made in air at atmospheric pressure.

2.2 Pulsed Electro-acoustic apparatus

The principle of space charge measurement using the PEA technique is well known and reported elsewhere [11, 12, 13]. The apparatus used in these experiments is described in [12]. In general, to measure space charge profiles using the PEA technique a short high voltage pulse, typically less than 1kV, is applied to the specimen; this may be in addition to any other voltage that is applied to the material. Isolated electric charges that are mechanically bound to the dielectric react to the electric field pulse by producing a mechanical force on the polymer that surrounds them. The mechanical force pulses exerted by the isolated bound charges cause acoustic wave pulses to travel through the dielectric at the speed of sound (~2000 m/s). A compression pulse will travel in one direction originating from the charge movement; a rarefaction will travel in the opposite direction. The directions depend on the relative direction of the pulse field and the charge polarity. The acoustic pulse is coupled to a piezoelectric sensor comprising a poled PVDF electret, which converts the received acoustic pulse into an electrical signal. The acoustic pulse originating from charges furthest from the microphone take longest to reach it and hence the voltage - time waveform from the microphone corresponds to a charge - distance profile within the specimen (after deconvolution and calibration).
2.3 Calibration of technique with and without applied DC voltage

In order to investigate the effects of the short high voltage electric field pulses on the XLPE specimens, we present the calibration of the PEA technique at low DC applied voltage on the XLPE specimen. An experiment was conducted using the PEA method with the following parameters:

- Electric field pulse amplitude: 400 V
- Pulse repetition rate: 650 cycles/s
- Applied DC voltage: 1.8 kV
- Temperature of measurement: 20 °C
- Duration of experiment: 48 hours

Initially, the low DC electric field of 12 kV/mm (applied voltage of 1.8 kV on 150µm) was applied to the XLPE sheet specimen for less than 3 minutes. The applied DC voltage was immediately removed after that. Continuous PEA measurement was carried out for 48 hours at 10 minute intervals. Throughout the 48 hour experimental duration, the pulse generator operated at 650 Hz with amplitude of 400 V. We would therefore expect the specimen to experience a great number, $\sim 10^8$, of high voltage pulses. The space charge profiles are shown in figure 1. It can be seen that at time $T_2 \sim 600s$ (with the DC applied voltage already removed), there is little space charge within the bulk of the material. Towards the end of the experiment ($\sim 48$ hours) at time $T_4$, there is no significant build up of space charge within the bulk of the material although the specimen under test was subjected to enormous numbers of
electric field pulses throughout the 48 hours. This shows that the PEA technique does not, itself, affect the space charge distribution with a sample.

2.4 Measurement protocols

In order to investigate the charge dynamics in the XLPE sheet specimens under high dc electric field, two different measurement protocols were used. Both experiments were conducted at a temperature of 20 ± 0.1 °C. Prior to measurement, the XLPE sheet specimens were cleaned with isopropyl alcohol and allowed to dry. The first measurement procedure was to apply a dc electric field at 120 kV/mm for a period of 48 hours. PEA measurements were taken at 6 second intervals for the first 10 minutes and subsequently every 15 minutes. The second measurement procedure was aimed at determining the onset/threshold for charge packet generation. The sheet specimen was subjected to an applied field of 120 kV/mm for the duration of 1 hour until a charge packet was initiated. The applied electric field was then reduced to 90 kV/mm for 30 minutes and then further reduced to 80 kV/mm for an hour. At this point the applied field was increased to 90 kV/mm for another 1 hour and finally to 120 kV/mm for another 1 hour. Throughout the second experiment the PEA measurements were taken at intervals of 6s for about 10 minutes and then changed to 3 minutes intervals at each of the voltage levels applied. In all the measurement performed, the applied electric field pulse’s amplitude was set at 400 V with repetition frequency of ~ 800Hz.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Measurement under constant dc electric field (120kV/mm) and temperature (20°C) for a duration of 48 hours

Figure 2 shows the time-dependent space charge and electric field profiles as a function of distance through the specimen when the average applied electric field was 120 kV/mm (i.e.
the applied dc voltage was 18 kV). This is shown in colour and as a moving image at the following web site: <include web site address here>. The negative and positive charge peaks at the cathode and anode correspond to the induced charges on the electrodes. These appear broad because of the limit of spatial resolution of the measurement system; in particular the thickness of the PVDF film used for the microphone.

During this period negative charge is injected from the cathode, after about 500 s, and advances across the sample to build up the field at the anode. When the anode field reaches a value of about 140 kV/mm, see figure 3, a positive charge packet can be seen to just separate from the anode peak. This packet must be initiated at or close to the anode. This packet crosses the sample in about 9000s (2.5 hrs) and as it exits at the cathode another positive packet is created near the anode. The process is repeated regularly over the subsequent period of 48 hours with diminishing packet amplitude, but unchanged transit time (see the arrows in figure 3).

As the positive charge packet crosses the sample the electric field at its front increases to about 170 kV/mm, point ‘a’ in figure 4. The field at the anode initially drops but then starts to rise again as the packet approaches the cathode. When the packet exits at the cathode, the anode peak is enhanced to 210 kV/mm, see figure 3 (arrow) and 4 and a new packet is initiated. The maximum charge density in the second packet was lower than that in the first packet despite the fact that the anode field at initiation was substantially greater, namely 210 kV/mm as compared to 140 kV/mm, see figures 3, and 4. The packet generation process was repeated with the maximum charge density in the packet decreasing continually until the anode field on the exit of the packet dropped to around 140kV/mm at about 128000 s, see figure 3. At this time some very weak positive charge peaks (<5 Cm^{-3}) could just be discerned moving against a background of negative space charge. When detected they were in the middle of the sample, however, the negative space charge is largest close to the anode.
(\(\sim 20-25 \text{ Cm}^{-3}\)) and they may have been too small to be detected in that region, see figure 5. These weak packets (\(<10 \text{ Cm}^{-3}\)) continue until the applied voltage is switched off and lead to the irregular fluctuations of the anode field, see figure 4, which intermittently rises to 140 kV/mm and then drops again. When the voltage is switched off the sample can be seen to contain both positive and negative heterocharge at the electrodes see figure 5.

3.2 Measurement under dc electric field stepped between 80 kV/mm and 120kV/mm.

As previously found in the constant applied field case, negative charge is injected at the cathode and moves across the sample to the anode, where it causes the anode field to be enhanced sufficiently to initiate a positive charge packet, see figure 6. In this case the anode field reached a value of 165 kV/mm before a positive packet separated from the anode peak. This field is higher than that observed for the first peak in the previous experiment. However, as the packet moves away from the anode the field at the front of the packet is reduced to 135 kV/mm and the anode field to 100kV/mm.

At this point, i.e. after 3600s (1 hour), the applied field is reduced to 90 kV/mm (voltage reduced from 18kV to 13.5kV), which was maintained for 1800s. The space charge profiles observed are presented in figure 7. It can be seen that the packet continues to move across the sample, but with diminishing amplitude, such that after 30 minutes it is hardly detectable. As the packet moves the field at its front decreases to 90 kV/mm, and the anode field builds up from 55 kV/mm to 95 kV/mm. At this point the applied field is further reduced to 80 kV/mm. The small amount of positive charge left by the packet disappears leaving a region of negative space charge peaked at the anode, see figure 8. During this time the anode field remains essentially unchanged at 85 kV/mm. An increase of the applied field to 90 kV/mm returns the anode field to 95 kV/mm but does not lead to a change in space charge profile or the initiation of a charge packet over a period of 1 hour, see figure 9. A further increase to an applied electric field of 120 kV/mm led to a slight build-up of negative space charge at the
anode over a period of 1 hour, see figure 9. This was sufficient to raise the anode field to 135 kV/mm, but did not initiate a charge packet.

4. DISCUSSION

The results presented clearly demonstrate the need for an onset or threshold field if the charge packets are to be initiated. In the first experiment it was shown that packets were continually generated as long as the anode field reached 140 kV/mm. The second experiment showed that a packet could not be initiated when the anode field only reached 135 kV/mm on re-application of an applied field of 120 kV/mm. If the packet is caused by ionic dissociation and transport of one of the ionic species to the cathode where it is neutralised it could be argued that the failure to initiate a packet might be due to exhaustion of the dissociable species in the region of the anode. In the first experiment, however, we were able to initiate several packets with a magnitude decreasing from 50 to 25 Cm$^{-3}$, but only one packet of magnitude 35 Cm$^{-3}$ in the second experiment. Exhaustion of the positive charge reservoir is therefore unlikely to be the explanation for the failure to generate further packets. Hence there must be a packet initiation field lying between 135 kV/mm and 140 kV/mm for the type of packets observed here. This result is consistent with all three current theories. In [6] a threshold field was required for a switch to a high injection current, in [5] it is required to initiate carrier generation and in [8] it is required to create trapped carriers some fraction of which become mobile. The value of threshold field observed in the present experiments is lower than those reported previously [5,6,8], but not severely so. In our case the threshold field is the minimum packet onset field obtained over experiments lasting up to 48 hours during which the onset field steadily declined from a high of 210 kV/mm down to 140 kV/mm. It is noticeable that the experimental onset fields reported in [7] diminish over a period of 4800s (80 minutes) from 250 kV/mm to around 170 kV/mm, and it may be that a longer experiment would see them approach our lower value.

In our work the charge packets are triggered by the formation of a hetero-charge (negative space charge) field at the anode. A mechanism such as this is a feature in all the three
models. In [6] field enhancement is required at the electrode. In [8] a bipolar charge injection allows the maximum field enhancement to occur in the body of the sample, where the positive and negative charge fronts meet, and generate the packet there. In our case charge injection consists mainly of negative charge from the cathode, which moves slowly to accumulate at the anode that must be substantially blocking to negative charge. The absence of a substantial positive charge injection means that the highest field during this period is at the anode, and this does not reach a packet generating level until sufficient negative charge has crossed the sample. In our case this takes a considerably longer time than in the previously reported work, because the negative charge moves slowly. There is evidence (to be reported elsewhere) that this charge is deep trapped (~1eV) and moves by field-assisted hopping (see chapter 9 of [14]) which would be consistent with a long transit time for the negative space charge and probably also with a very slow rate for extraction at the anode. Only in one of the models [8] is the extraction rate considered. In this model the anode field and the barrier height are the important factors. It is noticeable that the anode field drops substantially as the positive packet starts to move (see figure 4) and hence negative charge extraction at this time will be reduced allowing the negative space charge to build up.

As the first positive packet exits at the cathode the anode field builds up to a value far in excess of the field that initiated the packet. The reason is that the negative charge concentration at the anode has increased by almost the same amount as the maximum charge density in the packet. Of course, this increase could be the result of the increased cathode injection as the packet approaches the cathode and it can be observed for some of the later packets in the sequence just before the packet reaches the cathode. Much of such injected charge will be used to neutralise the packet, and will not be available for transport to the anode. In addition we would expect the amount of injected charge to depend on the cathode field. As can be seen in figure 3, this shows no systematic change over the sequence. Therefore negative charge injection is unlikely to be responsible for the changes in anode field brought about by the negative hetero-charge region.

The total charge in the sample during the packet formation sequence is plotted as charge per unit area of sample (Cm$^{-2}$) versus time (s) in Figure 10. In one of the models, Hozumi et al
[6] suggested that the positive charge packet is caused by charge injection from the anode. In another model [5], it is suggested that packet generation is caused by field-induced dissociation as a source of carriers. Analysis of our experimental data was compared with these models.

If the positive charge packet generation is caused by charge injection and it is assumed that the packet is composed of mobile excess positive charges (+$Q_{\text{packet}}$) superimposed upon a background of stationary negative charge (-$Q_{\text{negative}}$), the residual negative charge after passage of the first packet should still be -$Q_{\text{negative}}$. The arrows in Figure 10 indicate the steady decrease in magnitude of the net negative charge after the exit of positive charge packets; this model [6] is therefore not appropriate to our findings.

If the charge packet generation is caused by field-induced dissociation [5], such a process would result in the formation of charges that would be equal in magnitude and opposite in polarity (e.g. +$Q_{\text{packet}}$ and -$Q_{\text{species}}$). In this second scenario, it is again assumed that the positive charge packet that transits the sample is superimposed upon a background of stationary negative charge (-$Q_{\text{negative}}$). When the positive charge packet leaves the sample, the residual net charge in the sample should be -$Q_{\text{species}}$ - $Q_{\text{negative}}$ although some of the negative charges may exit through the anode during the increase in the local field there. From Figure 10, the net negative charge to start with before the first positive charge packet is about $\sim 0.001$ Cm$^{-2}$. After the exit of the first positive charge packet, the magnitude of residual net negative charge is about $\sim 0.0042$ Cm$^{-2}$ ($-$Q_{\text{species}}$ - $Q_{\text{negative}}$). In this case, the negative dissociated species left behind in the sample having the same magnitude as the positive charge packet should be 0.0032 Cm$^{-2}$. With the assumption that the positive charge packet is superimposed on a background of stationary negative charge, the net positive charge should be $\sim 0.0022$ Cm$^{-2}$. The measured value is about $\sim 0.0017$ Cm$^{-2}$ (indicated in Figure 10 as ‘B’). The near equivalence of the estimated and measured values indicates that the first packet is formed by field-dissociation, with most of the negative charge produced remaining close to the anode where it can only be slowly extracted or neutralized.
Because of the initiating anode field at the time of the second packet is greater than that for the first packet we would expect a charge packet with greater density. Instead we find that the second charge packet has a smaller charge density. The explanation could be that this and succeeding charge packets are created by charge injection and we only see the charge packet against the background of a negative space charge region around the anode. Part of the positive charge density in the packet is balanced by the existing negative charge and we only see the net positive charge. The real packet density would be much higher as expected from the higher generation field. This explanation is consistent with the increase in the packet charge density as it transits the sample towards the cathode and enters a region of lower negative charge density. The same results could, however, be explained if the packet is created by field ionisation. In this case the high field at the anode that causes field ionisation also allows the extraction of the negative charge created to be ejected or injects positive charge to neutralise it. Again as the packet transits the sample we see its magnitude against the background of the negative charge density in the region it occupies. During transit the anode field drops and the extraction/neutralisation rate is correspondingly much reduced and the negative heterocharge at the anode now remains at substantially the same level as created after the first packet. This would argue for an extraction/neutralisation rate dependent upon the hetero-charge concentration as well as the local field. There is in fact evidence for positive charge injection through the electro-luminescence observed when the applied field exceeds 70kV/mm [9], which has been assigned to charge recombination. In our experiments it appears that positive injection just exceeds the negative charge density produced by dissociation, and consequently the anode field on packet exit decreases slightly with each succeeding packet. Eventually the packets become very weak with a front edge field that almost oscillates. These packets cannot be seen against the negative charge background until the middle of the sample where they are apparently generated. At this point the recorded negative charge density at the anode becomes almost constant at ~14 Cm⁻³, and thus the packets must be only about 1-5 Cm⁻³, or less. The presence of the positive charge can be more clearly seen when the voltage is switched off. Then it is found that the negative charge at the anode initially increases to about 20 Cm⁻³, and there is a similar positive charge accumulation at the cathode.
The sequence of events described above seems to be more complex than that envisaged in current models. The advent of the first packet and the subsequent increase in anode field argue for field dissociation as the mechanism. A packet composed of an excess of mobile positive carriers would be expected to spread out due to the difference between the high field at its front and the low field at its rear, see figure 4. The fact that the packet does not appear to spread out indicates that is unlikely to be composed of an excess of mobile positive carriers. Instead it may be an ionisation front in which the mobile negative charge moves to the anode, and consequently the positive ionisation front moves towards the cathode, as suggested in [8]. However, unlike the model of [8] the negative charge can only be neutralised very slowly at the anode for the first packet. Another factor that argues for the ionisation front mechanism is the periodic generation of packets. This feature could not be reproduced by either of the other two models [5,6]. However these two models did not allow for negative charge to build up at the anode, requiring immediate extraction instead. If space charge build up were allowed by slow extraction repetitive generation may become possible in these models. On subsequent packet-generation the slowly reducing negative charge density at the anode, suggests that anode injection is now effectively neutralising slightly more than the negative charge produced by the dissociation generating the packet. Consequently successive packets decay in amplitude. In fact this sequence is similar to the experimental results reported in [7]. A further point to note is that the step-change experiment shows that the packet once formed can retain its integrity as a packet, but with a reduced magnitude, even when the field at its front drops to 100kV/mm. This could argue for an explanation in terms of a field ionisation front with a two-step mechanism for carrier generation as in [8]. In our case the mobile carriers generated would be negative carriers rather than holes as in [8]. A mechanism involving a distributed threshold for carrier generation is unlikely because of the sharp boundary between anode fields that generate packets (>140 kV/mm) and anode fields that do not generate packets (135 kV/mm). An alternative explanation is that field-ionisation can occur for fields in excess of 100 kV/mm, but that the negative carriers do not move fast enough at these fields to prevent recombination. Only when the field reaches 140 kV/mm can the negative carriers displace before recombination occurs giving rise to the positive ionisation front which then advances in the form of a packet. In this case the slow displacement of the negative charge will have a strong influence upon the speed at which the positive packet transits the sample. The fact that
the packets do not spread out, and are repetitively produced, together with the compensating negative charge observed for the first packet indicate that the basic mechanism may well be that described by the model of [8]. Some modifications would however be required to fit the present results, most notably a large barrier or an onset field for negative charge extraction/positive charge injection at the anode, and a very high barrier for the field-dependent mobility of the negative carriers.

There is also the question as to the nature of the charge carriers. The samples used had undergone a 48-hour heat treatment at 50 °C prior to use. This thermal conditioning treatment removes much of the volatile material and certainly reduces the acetophenone concentration [10]. It does not, however, remove it completely, and as pointed out in [5] the treatment may well have caused a reaction with anti-oxidant in the material leading to a dissociable chemical species. It may be possible that this species is responsible for the charge generation observed here as the transit times are similar to those found in [5]. It is interesting to note that anti-oxidant clusters can cause oxidation [15,16]. Such clusters may be produced if acetophenone solvated the anti-oxidant at high temperature and allowed it to associate. A clearer idea of their nature will depend on a spectral analysis of the electro-luminescence produced during charge packet transit.

The above considerations lead us to suggest that the charge packets formed during the first phase of the process (i.e. up to about 68000s ≈ 19 hours) are produced by field ionisation of an unknown chemical species. The onset field is required to both ionise the species and to displace the mobile negative charge and hence prevent recombination without packet formation. The packet advances as a progressive field ionisation revealed by displacement of the negative charge to the rear of the packet where it recombines. At times longer than 68000s, a nearly steady state of packet generation occurs. The period of the field oscillations remains the same, so it seems that this also involves packet formation and transit of the sample, although their magnitude is much reduced. Beyond 128000s (≈ 36 hours) there are intermittent fluctuations in the local field that appear to be associated with charge displacement, but we have insufficient resolution to say whether or not this involves packets of charge.
5. CONCLUSIONS

A critical onset field is required before the generation of charge packets that in the present case has a value of 140 kV/mm.

The positive charge packets are formed at or near the anode because they rely on the build-up of a negative hetero-charge field to reach the required onset field. Because the transport of the negative space charge is very slow the time before the first packet is initiated is of the order of hours. Injection of positive space charge is attested by the presence of electroluminescence but the positive space charge moves even slower than the negative charge and its injection is very weak. For this reason charge packets cannot develop in the middle of the sample such as occurs in the model of [8], and the experiments of [7].

The first charge packet at least is caused by a moving front of field ionisation as shown by the equivalent increase in negative hetero-charge at the anode. The inability of the negative charge to exit quickly at the anode, unlike the situation considered in [8] prevents the exact repetition of the process for succeeding packets. The subsequent generation of packets is governed by the anode field as determined by the balance of negative charge extraction/positive charge injection processes and field ionisation. Consequently the amplitudes of successive packets reduce, to the point at which a nearly exact repetition can be achieved.

The slow movement of the negative charge created by field ionisation is responsible for the slow movement of the packet. This must mean that recombination of the field ionised charges is a slow process. The ‘mobile’ negative charges cannot therefore be electrons in a conduction band. Other work indicates that the negative space charge is built up by field-assisted electron hopping between centres about 1 nm apart. The field difference between the front of the packet and its rear would allow a relatively quick displacement of negative charge towards the anode, that would separate mobile charge from the ionisation front and
hinder recombination. Behind the packet, however, the movement of negative charge would be much slower. As yet it is unclear what the ionised species are.

The packet can continue to travel at fields down to 100 kV/mm albeit at a lower magnitude until eventually it disappears. A possible explanation for this is that the field at the packet front is high enough for field ionisation but that the displacement of the mobile charge which is exponentially dependent upon the local field becomes small allowing substantial recombination leading to packet extinction at a field between 90 and 80 kV/mm.
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**List of Figure Captions**

Figure 1. Time-dependent space charge profiles in a 150 µm thick XLPE sheet specimen when the applied electric field was 12 kV/mm (applied voltage at 1.8kV) for ~3 minutes. The voltage was removed and PEA measurement was carried out for up to 48 hours. No significant space charge was observed even with the electric field pulse applied continuously (Pulse amplitude of 400V and repetition rate at 650Hz).

Figure 2. Time-dependent space charge profiles in a 150 µm thick XLPE sheet specimen when the applied electric field was 120 kV/mm. The arrows indicate the direction of packet-charge movement. Time T1 at 588s, T2 at 12342s, T3 at 14142s and T4 at 19542s.

Figure 3. Comparison of the temporal change of local internal electric fields at the anode and cathode sides. Average applied electric field was 120 kV/mm.

Figure 4. Temporal change of local internal electric fields at the front, back of the charge packets together with the anode field. Average applied electric field was 120 kV/mm.

Figure 5. Weak positive packets near the cathode and negative space charge accumulated near the anode at time T6 ~ 165342s. Voltage switched off and the sample can be seen to contain both positive and negative heterocharge at the electrodes.
Figure 6. Space charge profiles measured during 1 hour of poling at 120 kV/mm. (a) and (b) show the space charge and the internal electric field profiles respectively. Negative charge can be first observed to move from the cathode to the anode. Time T1 at 41s, T2 at 1435s and T3 at 3321s. The positive charge packet was initiated at about 3500s.

Figure 7. Space charge profiles at applied field of 90 kV/mm (13.5kV on 150µm) for 1800s. (a) and (b) show the space charge and the internal electric field profiles respectively. The direction of the positive charge packet movement is from the anode to the cathode. Time T1 at 81s, time T2 at 810s and time T3 at 1620s.

Figure 8. Space charge profile at applied field of 80 kV/mm (12kV on 150µm). (a) and (b) show the space charge and the internal electric field profiles respectively. Time T1 at 180s and T2 at 3600s.

Figure 9 (a) and (b) show the space charge and internal electric field profiles respectively, measured after 1 hour at 90 kV/mm and after 1 hour at 120 kV/mm. Time T1 and T2 are at ~3420s.

Figure 10. Showing the total net charge within the sample versus time. The arrows indicate the steady decrease in magnitude of the net negative charge after the exit of subsequent positive charge packets. The point marked ‘B’ indicates the initiation of the first positive charge packet sequence.
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