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ABSTRACT

Electrical flashover on the surfaces of 10µm polypropylene films has been measured in specimens designed to emulate DC power capacitors. The following have been characterised: variation of flashover voltage with gap, statistical variation of flashover voltage, and physical characteristics of film surface before and after flashover. An optimum gap size appears to be less than 300 µm as above this there is a significant stress enhancement from the electrode edges. There may be two mechanisms of flashover and remnant surface charge may be important in determining the subsequent flashover characteristics.

INTRODUCTION

The aim of this research is to gain an understanding of the mechanisms leading to electrical failure in DC power capacitors based on a polypropylene (PP) dielectric and a “self-healing” electrode structure. Connolly et al [1]. In this structure the electrode is sectioned into small parts, which are interconnected by fusible bridges. If a localised flashover occurs, the bridges connecting that part of the electrode fuse and isolate it from the neighbouring regions. Such a method for ‘graceful’ ageing will be reduced in effectiveness if surface flashover occurs between the disconnected sections.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Specimen Preparation

All the results in this paper were derived from specimens comprising a thin film of capacitor grade PP 9 µm thick with an evaporated coating of aluminium on one side. This was 200±1 nm thick according to the evaporator’s quartz crystal thickness monitor (Edwards FTM 7). However SEM and AFM measurements of cross sections of the specimens set in epoxy showed the coating was very uneven and varied in thickness from 0.5 to 2.0 µm. Flashover took place across an annulus formed in the evaporated aluminium using a circular metal mask. This left a central circular electrode of diameter 47 mm surrounded by a gap, which could be varied from 100 to 1500 µm. This is shown in Figure 1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Variation of flashover voltage with annulus gap  
Fig. 2 shows the flashover voltage for different annuli using a single coated PP layer with the annulus exposed to air as indicated by the square plots. Also shown is the flashover voltage calculated from the Paschen curve, Dissado and Fothergill [2], calculated for a uniform field in the gap as indicated by the top curve.
For small gaps the flashover voltage appears to be limited by the breakdown strength of the air. At larger gaps (>300 µm) the flashover voltage is limited by other factor(s) for example, the field intensification at the electrode edge. This intensification was calculated using finite elements and the “Paschen values” were scaled down accordingly; these are shown as triangular points with an associated trendline. This suggests that for larger gaps the flashover is likely to be controlled by the stress enhancement.

Preliminary experiments have been carried out in which the annulus is covered by a film of uncoated PP under a pressure of 100 kPa to simulate the electrostatic force between capacitor plates. A thin layer of silicone oil exists in the annulus between the two PP films largely excluding the air. In this case, for a 300 µm gap, the flashover voltage is greater than 5 kV. This is reasonable as it was found that the breakdown strength of the oil is approximately 2.8 times greater than air suggesting that a 300 µm gap would require approximately 5.7 kV.

Statistical distribution of flashover voltages The flashover test was repeated 100 times for each sample. This is possible as the aluminum electrode evaporates at the flashover site thereby widening the gap and extinguishing the discharge. Subsequent discharges take place at either other parts of the annulus or adjacent to the previous flashover where the remaining aluminum leaves a distorted shape that gives a local field enhancement. Figure 3 shows results for 6 annuli gaps (with 680 µm repeated). The data is shown for the smallest and largest gaps but only best fitting straight lines are shown for the other cases (for the sake of clarity).

The voltage can deviate from these trendlines by as much as 500V. The flashover voltage tends to increase for the larger gaps since topographic imperfections and asperities cause reduced flashover voltages. These flashover first. These increasing trends tend to be more prominent at larger gaps. From figure 2 this is likely because the narrower gaps’ flashover voltages are determined by the air (or material in the annulus) whereas the specimen geometry is more influential at larger gaps. At present there is some inconsistency in the results, possibly due to variation in humidity or PP surface preparation, which is reflected in the two different sets of results for the 680 µm gap.

A statistical analysis of deviations of the flashover voltages from the trend lines (using Weibull statistics) suggests that there may be two mechanisms responsible for flashover.

Physical Characterisation Following a flashover the electrode is found to be eroded in a characteristic “butterfly” shape, figure 4.
This effect has been observed on a repeatable basis and is not well understood at present. However one would expect that the highest field points are the corners indicated by an arrow in Fig. 4. This would cause preferential erosion at these points and leave a characteristic central protrusion. The surface of the PP is eroded in tracks but these appear to be very clean and not prone to subsequent tracking, Fig. 5.

Fig. 5: Surface tracks that appear on polypropylene following a flashover event.

Observation of Arc Fig. 6 is a photograph of a typical arc observed during data acquisition. It is evident from the photograph that the arc does not traverse the PP surface but actually ‘leapfrogs’ across from electrode to electrode. This may be due to convection from the heat of the arcing plasma but may be due to repulsion of the negative head of the discharge from negative charges trapped on the PP surface.

Fig. 6: Photograph of typical flashover in air

Measurement of Current The current was monitored using a Keithley 617 electrometer and plotted as a function of time. Fig. 7 shows this for a sample with an annulus of 280 µm. A conduction (Ohmic) process would give a current that ramped up with voltage whereas a displacement (capacitive) process would result in a constant current since \( i = C \frac{dV}{dt} \). Any other dominant characteristics one may find would be due to charge injection and accumulation. In spite of noise generated by the measurement system, one can observe the Ohmic and capacitive characteristics although it was rather difficult to see evidence of charge injection and accumulation on the PP surface.

For subsequent flashovers on the same sample, the current plot does not start at zero amps. This is shown in Fig. 8 for the fifth flashover subsequent to that in Fig. 7.

In fact the current starts at approximately -6nA due to residual charges left in the system from the previous flashover. Using a dielectric spectroscopy it was found that a typical sample of 300µm annulus had a capacitance between the inner and outer electrodes of \( C = 6 \, \text{pF} \). Since the first 20 seconds of each test had zero volts applied, the area under this part of the curve represents the remnant charge from the previous test. Using integration it was found that the total charge was approximately 74nC. This cannot be “capacitive” charge for two reasons. Firstly the previous discharge voltage was \( V = 1.55 \, \text{kV} \) at the time of flashover. The maximum charge stored was therefore \( VC = 9.3 \, \text{nC} \). Secondly the charge is only slowly removing – taking about 20s. It seems likely that this charge is trapped on the surface of the PP in the annulus gap.

Another observation seen in some current measurements is the increase in current just prior to flashover. This is also shown in Fig. 8. This effect could be due to corona current of insufficient energy to cause flashover,
or charge injection into the PP surface. Further study of this effect is required.

CONCLUSIONS

Surface flashover across narrow gaps (<300 µm) of PP film is controlled by the air or oil in which the gap is immersed. For larger gaps the flashover appears to be controlled by the geometrical stress enhancements. The shape of the erosion of the electrode following a flashover suggests that electrode asperities give rise to a field enhancement that affects the flashover significantly. There may be two different flashover mechanisms. This is requires further work but they may be related to whether or not the flashover is triggered by an asperity or other field enhancement point. There appears to be a significant residual charge on the dielectric surface. This is seen in the slowness and magnitude of the charge decay. It may also play some part in forming the shape of the arc.
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