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Abstract

Aims To synthesise evidence and knowledge from published research about nurses' experiences of nurse-patient relationships with adult patients in general, acute in-patient hospital settings

Background
While primary research on nurses’ experiences has been reported, it has not been previously synthesised.

Design
Meta-ethnography

Data sources
Published literature from Australia, Europe and North America, written in English between January 1999 and October 2009 was identified from databases: CINAHL, Medline, British Nursing Index and PsycINFO.

Review methods
Qualitative studies describing nurses’ experiences of the nurse-patient relationship in acute hospital settings were reviewed and synthesised using the meta-ethnographic method.

Results
Sixteen primary studies (18 papers) were appraised as high quality and met the inclusion criteria. The findings show that while nurses aspire to develop therapeutic relationships with patients, the organisational setting at a unit level is strongly associated with nurses’ capacity to build and sustain these relationships. The organisational conditions of critical care settings appear best suited to forming therapeutic relationships, while nurses working on general wards are more likely to report moral distress resulting from delivering unsatisfactory care. General ward nurses can then withdraw from attempting to emotionally engage with patients.
Conclusion

The findings of this meta-ethnography draw together the evidence from several qualitative studies and articulate how the organisational setting at a unit level can strongly influence nurses’ capacity to build and sustain therapeutic relationships with patients. Service improvements need to focus on how to optimise the organisational conditions that support nurses in their relational work with patients.
Summary statements

What is already known about the topic:

Nurses aspire to delivering therapeutic care through the medium of the nurse-patient relationship

The extent to which nurses are able to meet these aspirations has a strong emotional impact on nurses

Contemporary health care organisations may devalue health care activities that are not technical, physical or codifiable

What this paper adds:

The organisational setting at a unit level can strongly influence nurses’ capacity to build and sustain therapeutic relationships with patients

The organisational conditions in critical care units enhance nurses’ capacity to form therapeutic relationships better than the conditions on general wards

Some nurses deliberately limit their emotional engagement with patients if they do not feel supported in delivering high quality care

Implications for practice and/or policy:

Nurses need to better articulate the benefits to patients of the relational aspects of care

Acute care organisations and wider health care systems need to establish cultures that more visibly value and support therapeutic professional-patient relationships across organisations and at individual unit level and that reflect the emotional dimensions for all parties involved in health care delivery.
Managers need to improve nurses’ control over the conditions in which they work, optimise contact time between registered nurses and patients and ensure that clinical supervision and peer support is routinely available and accessible to all nursing staff.
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Introduction

This paper reports findings of a meta-ethnography of published qualitative research on nurses’ experiences of nurse-patient relationships in acute settings. In a climate of increased demand on health services, of shifting professional roles and service reconfiguration, concerns are growing that the delivery of modern health care is lacking in compassion for patients and is failing to provide the individualised care required by, for instance, older people with complex needs (Youngson 2008, Firth-Cozens & Cornwell 2009, Cornwell et al. 2012). Promoting meaningful connections with patients where practitioners see each patient ‘as a person to be engaged with rather than a body to do things to’ (Nicholson et al. 2010) (p.12) requires nurses and others to be able to articulate and appreciate the nature of these interactions and their impact on patient outcomes, along with an understanding of the factors that can promote or inhibit therapeutic relationships (Weinberg 2006). Nurses and nursing are now often portrayed as lacking in compassion and being distracted from these aspects of care (Corbin 2008, Flatley & Bridges 2008, Maben & Griffiths 2008). A range of high profile reports in the UK into the quality of in-patient care for older people suggest that many of the reported problems centre on a lack of humanity in hospital staff (Department of Health 2010, Care Quality Commission 2011, Commission on Dignity in Care for Older People 2012). Other evidence suggests that these problems are relevant internationally (Bridges et al. 2012). It is also clear, however, that good practice does exist but we understand little about the conditions in which high quality, compassionate in-patient care is delivered. Insight into nurses’ experiences as they engage with patients is therefore critical to understanding how best to support existing good practice and to focus service improvement initiatives. This focus is of particular importance in acute settings where patient throughput, service configuration and staffing patterns reduce contact time between staff and patients. In addition, while there is now a wealth of research findings on promoting nursing job
satisfaction and motivation and reducing stress and burnout, we lack shared understanding about how nurse-patient relationships, the act of caring and engagement in therapeutic relationships impact on nurses themselves.

There are an increasing number of primary qualitative studies relevant to this topic and these necessarily tend to rely on case study designs and smaller samples. A systematic overview of this work has not been previously conducted and it is difficult to draw generalizable conclusions for practice. This paper uses the review and synthesis method of meta-ethnography to integrate findings from qualitative research studies focused on nurses’ experiences of the nurse-patient relationship with adult patients in acute in-patient hospital settings.

**The Review**

**Aims**

This meta-ethnography aims to provide the deeper insight needed into nurse-patient relationships by synthesising research that explores the experiences of nurses in these relationships. The objectives were:

- To understand how nurses characterise their relationships with adult patients in acute in-patient hospital settings
- To understand the strategies that nurses use to build and sustain relationships with patients
- To understand the impact for nurses of being in the nurse-patient relationship
- To identify the factors that influence the relationships between nurses and patients

The focus on adult patients reflected a wish to better understand the factors associated with reported care failures in adult settings.
Design

Synthesis was conducted using the meta-ethnographic method described by Noblit and Hare (1988). Meta-ethnography is concerned with the translation of individual qualitative studies into one another, through the re-interpretation and transformation of their analytic and theoretical concepts (Noblit & Hare 1988, Britten et al. 2002, Pope et al. 2007).

Search methods

Papers were identified by combining searches of electronic databases and hand searches of references lists of papers retrieved. Databases searched included CINAHL, Medline, British Nursing Index and PsycINFO. Medical subject headings and freetext searches related to nurses, acute health services, experience and qualitative research were used (see supporting information file/Figure 1). To reflect relatively current experiences in nursing, searches were restricted to papers published between January 1999 - October 2009. Funding constraints restricted the search to items published in English.

Search outcome

Database searches resulted in 2133 hits (see supporting information file/Figure 2). Three papers already known to the authors were added that were not identified through database searches. Scanning the reference lists of all retrieved papers led to 11 further relevant papers being identified. After review of titles and abstracts and removal of duplicates (n=75) 303 papers were retrieved for more detailed evaluation.

While the aim was not to produce an exhaustive search or comprehensive sample (Noblit & Hare 1988), systematic search procedures were used to ensure a final sample of items that were conceptually rich and potentially able to make an important contribution to the synthesis (Malpass et al. 2009). The inclusion and exclusion criteria were developed iteratively (and applied retrospectively where necessary) with these requirements in mind. For instance, as
potential items were identified, it became clear from their heterogeneity that a clearer conceptualisation was needed of what constituted an acute hospital in-patient setting. For the purposes of this synthesis, items were thus included that related to in-patient units/wards that provide medical, surgical and/or critical care therapies to adult patients with a goal of recovery and discharge. This excluded, for instance, studies based in rehabilitation or continuing care settings for older people, but included studies based on gerontological wards for acutely ill older people. The full text of all 303 retrieved papers was read and the inclusion and exclusion criteria applied (Table 1). Two hundred and forty five papers that did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded at this stage. A sample of 58 papers (54 studies) was thus obtained for quality appraisal.

Quality appraisal

Each primary study was appraised using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) criteria for evaluating qualitative research (Critical Appraisal Skills Programme 2006) and was evaluated to appraise the degree to which they provided a rich account of participants’ experiences of the nurse-patient relationship (Thomas & Harden 2008). Following the CASP appraisal, reviewers were asked ‘Taking into account your quality judgements above, what weight of evidence would you give this study in terms of whether its findings give a rich insight into nurses’ personal lived experiences of being in the nurse-patient relationship – high, medium or low?’ This approach reflected a desire to include items that provided the conceptual richness needed for the meta-ethnography. Only studies judged as high ‘weight of evidence’ (WOE) were included in the final synthesis (see supporting information file/table 1 for medium and low WOE studies). While there is no consensus in the meta-ethnography field to guide practice in using quality appraisal to inform selection, this decision is supported by analyses of two other syntheses of qualitative studies that concluded that synthesis
findings were robust in the absence of lower quality studies, suggesting that they contribute little to the findings (Malpass et al. 2009, Bridges et al. 2010).

Data abstraction and synthesis

Synthesis began with repeated readings of the studies to identify key categories and to determine relationships between individual studies. A list of key categories was thus generated and used as the basis for comparing and sorting interpretations, examining similarities and differences and then integrating these within a new (‘third order’) interpretation that applies across the studies, referred to as a ‘line-of-argument’ (Noblit & Hare 1988, Britten et al. 2002, Pope et al. 2007). Britten et al. (2002) distinguish between different levels of interpretation, citing research participants’ interpretations as ‘first order’, researchers’ interpretations in the primary studies as ‘second order’ and the interpretation provided through a synthesis as ‘third order’. For this synthesis, second-order interpretations were extracted against the list of key categories identified and these were used as a foundation for exploring translations between the studies. Much of the detail of the second-order interpretation was retained at this stage, to help preserve context and meaning. Comparisons were then made across the studies to determine the extent to which concepts proposed in one study related to those expressed in another study, a process known as reciprocal translation (Noblit & Hare 1988). Differences were pursued as rigorously as similarities and comparisons across concepts and contexts were continuously made by, for instance, exploring the extent to which an emerging interpretation was relevant across clinical settings. The translated concepts were then used to identify third-order interpretations that transcended the individual accounts (Pope et al., 2007). As third-order interpretations emerged, they were systematically tested by looking across all the studies and the second-order interpretations; these third-order interpretations were discarded or developed further as
required. Reciprocal translation continued until no further third-order interpretations emerged.

Two researchers [JB and MT] undertook database searching and preliminary study selection. Subsequent stages of quality appraisal, final selection, data extraction and analysis were undertaken by a single researcher [JB]. To ensure alternative perspectives were advanced and discussed with a view to enriching the analyses, this was undertaken in consultation with a team of nurse action researchers conducting a project on dignity in care in two UK acute hospital trusts (http://www.city.ac.uk/dignityincare) and a researcher with expert knowledge of the nursing wellbeing literature. Organisation of the review was managed through EPPI-Reviewer, an on-line software tool (Thomas & Brunton 2006) and synthesis with the aid of Microsoft Excel 2003 SP3. A protocol used to guide the review is available from the authors on request.

Results

Of the 58 reports that met the inclusion criteria, 18 papers which reported 16 unique studies were graded as high quality and included in the synthesis. Summary information on the included studies is shown in Table 2. The studies were carried out in a range of countries and all the studies that specified the level of the included nurses focused on registered nurses with exception of one study on enrolled nurses. Eight studies were set in critical care. Six were set on general ward settings (medical, surgical, cancer, care for older people) and two studies included nurses from critical care and general ward settings. Twelve had a sample that included nurses with 10 or more years of nursing experience, one focused on newly qualified nurses and three did not specify experience. The length of nursing experience of participants across the studies ranged from 2 months to 30 years. Ages ranged from 24-59 years. All the studies used qualitative interviews as the sole form of data collection.
The synthesis produced a line of argument which stated that nurses’ capacity to build and sustain therapeutic relationships with patients is strongly influenced by the organisational conditions at a unit level; the organisational conditions in critical care units enhance nurses’ capacity, while the conditions on general wards appear to inhibit nurses’ capacity to build therapeutic nurse-patient relationships. This line of argument is illustrated through the third-order construct: influence of setting on capacity for caring and builds on three second-order constructs identified through the synthesis (nurses’ characterisations of relationships, relationship-building strategies, emotional impact on nurses). The second-order constructs are presented here first.

Nurse-patient relationships (characterisations and strategies)

The synthesis findings enabled an overview of how nurses characterise their relationships with patients and the strategies they employ to build relationships with patients. Nurses in the individual studies consistently reflected characterisations of nurse-patient relationships as therapeutic or potentially therapeutic through the potential to support informed decision-making and treatment response assessment; to provide the medium through which tailored care, comfort and support is provided; to guide and support patient decision-making; to reconcile differing perspectives between patient, family and professionals; and to act as patient advocate (Table 3).

In addition to nurses perceiving the relationship as therapeutic and as the medium for the delivery of high quality care, the findings also reflected a range of strategies used by nurses to build relationships with patients. The studies consistently reflect that nurses aspire to make meaningful connections with patients, to gain a thorough knowledge of individual patients and their personal characteristics and to involve patients and families in a meaningful way in decisions made (Table 4). These aspirations for a therapeutic relationship held true
across studies that include different clinical settings and nurses with varied professional experience:

‘You can make a difference for the patient when you take into account what they are experiencing and perhaps what it means to be them. So I try to get as close as I can’ (Hawley & Jensen 2007, p.666).

Connecting with patients

Four of the studies reflect a perception by nurses that prolonged contact with individual patients and families through their 24 hour responsibility can place them in a unique position in the health care team, bearing witness to and alleviating the impact of illness and treatment and its meaning to individuals (Quinn 2003, Halcomb et al. 2004, Gutierrez 2005, Hov et al. 2007). Their perceived position ‘at the hub’ provides the potential to understand and play a key role in reconciling perspectives between patients, families and other clinicians (Calvin et al. 2007, p.146, Hov et al. 2007). The connection between patients and nurses is perceived to be dependent on the nurse’s ability to be ‘present’ in the relationship, that is to bring aspects of themselves to the relationship (rather than adopting a work persona), to expose themselves fully to the patient’s and their own experiences, to be open and truthful in their dealings and to be generous in committing to the patient’s best interests (Gutierrez 2005, Hawley & Jensen 2007, Kociszewski 2004, Söderberg et al. 1999, Wilkin & Slevin 2004, Nolan 2006, Nolan 2007, Nordam et al. 2005, Quinn 2004). Nurses perceive that connections of this kind enable them to promote dignity and to provide comfort, emotional support and holistic care that is tailored to what individual patients need (Quinn 2003, Wilkin & Slevin 2004).

Knowing the individual

The therapeutic potential of the relationship is based on an intimate knowledge of the patient and family, their illness and their coping strategies; and an appreciation of the importance to

Involving patients in their care

The studies reflected that the perceived therapeutic potential of the relationship also lies in the nurse supporting the patient in making decisions congruent with patients’ wishes and best interests (Kociszewski 2003, Quinn 2003, De Bal et al. 2006, Hawley & Jensen 2007). Nurses see their role as informing the patients about care principles and care alternatives, providing guidance in decision-making and supporting them in their search for meaning. They also perceive an important role for themselves in acting as intermediary in decision-making when there are conflicting views between the patient, the family and the physician - a role that results from their unique understanding of the patient’s particular situation (Calvin et al. 2007, Hov et al. 2007). The importance of honouring and advocating for the patient’s choice emerged as key and reflects an aspiration for a decision-making process in which the wishes and interests of the patient and family are central:
‘Sometimes I feel really powerless and I do not have clear-cut answers, but I will not run away. I stay with the patient and we will see what will come’ (De Bal et al. 2006, p.593).

Emotional impact on nurses

In addition to nurses’ characterisations of and strategies for building nurse-patient relationships, several of the primary studies reported on the emotional impact for the nurse of being in the nurse-patient relationship. As Table 5 illustrates, the included studies reflect the strong feelings that are provoked by the nurse-patient relationship. If nurses are able to deliver care of a quality that matches their personal aspiration and that is seen as the best for that patient, they experience feelings of gratification, personal enrichment and privilege:

When the patient dies, you do feel a sense of loss. I enjoyed being a part of the process…You need and you want to be part of that experience (Calvin et al. 2007, p.145)

However, if nurses are not able to meet their aspirations, they experience guilt, regret and frustration.

‘I heard he (the patient) had died earlier on the Sunday morning and I personally found that very difficult…hard that I hadn’t told him he was dying, which he asked me to, I hadn’t been there when he was dying, which I felt, I might have liked to have been, or to have some part of it and that my last interaction was, I was too busy to stop’ (Quinn 2003, p.169)

Findings suggest that there are particular patient groups that prompt greater distress. Patients who are dying prompt emotional distress in nurses as they bear witness to the suffering of patients and families (Calvin et al. 2007, Hopkinson & Hallett 2002, Hov et al. 2007, Kociszewski 2004, Mackintosh 2007, Quinn 2003, Wilkin & Slevin 2004) but moral distress
can be triggered when nurses perceive that they are contributing to unnecessary additional suffering, either by implementing a treatment plan with a curative focus with which they do not agree, or because they are unable to relieve suffering because of factors outside of their control (Table 5). Caring for patients with dementia can also prompt moral distress where patient autonomy is constrained either by the physical environment or by the actions of nurses who lack the personal and organisational resources to deliver the care they would like (Table 5). Finally, caring for older people can prompt moral distress because of a lack of organisational capacity to provide adequate care reflected in cooperation and communication difficulties with other professionals and higher patient throughput together with inadequate staffing levels (Table 5). Studies reflected that moral distress is closely linked with stress, burnout and an emotional and physical withdrawal from working with particular patients and, in some cases, manifesting in a reluctance to be at work (Gutierrez 2005, Hopkinson et al. 2003, Hov et al. 2007, Nordam et al. 2005).

The findings from this synthesis affirm findings from individual primary studies that nurses perceive a therapeutic potential to the nurse-patient relationship and that the degree to which the relationship can be achieved can have a strong emotional impact on nurses. The synthesis has also enabled an analysis of influence of clinical setting on capacity for caring, leading to the development of a novel line of argument, reported on below.

Influence of clinical setting on capacity to care

This final section of the findings introduces a novel line of argument, that nurses’ capacity to build and sustain therapeutic relationships with patients is strongly influenced by the organisational conditions at unit level. This line of argument is illustrated through an analysis of the influence of setting on capacity for caring and builds on three second-order constructs identified in the previous sections (nurses’ characterisations of relationships, relationship-building strategies, emotional impact on nurses).
Studies reviewed reflected a range of factors perceived by nurses as influencing their ability to form a therapeutic relationship with patients, including the nurses’ personal characteristics (experience, beliefs, personality, ability to talk openly) (Calvin et al., 2007, Halcomb et al., 2004, Kociszewski, 2003, 2004, Hopkinson & Hallett, 2002, Nordam et al., 2005, De Bal et al., 2006, Mackintosh, 2007, Quinn, 2003) and patients’ personal characteristics (ability to communicate, dementia, agitation, aggression) (Wilkin & Slevin, 2004, Nolan, 2006, 2007, Mackintosh, 2007), but organisational factors beyond the control of the individual nurse were the primary influence identified through the synthesis. As Table 6 illustrates, a clear contrast was identified between the perceptions of capacity of nurses in critical care settings and general settings, indicating that the nature of the clinical setting is a key determinant of nurses’ capacity to build and sustain therapeutic relationships with patients.

For nurses working in critical care settings, the most common issue reported related to the doctor’s superior role in the team hierarchy (Gutierrez, 2005, Halcomb et al., 2004, Hov et al., 2007). Nurses reflected that they do not always share the same goals for patient care that the doctors hold, with doctors often focusing solely on the curative aspects of treatment. Nurses saw their role as helping doctors understand what suffering and symptoms mean to individual patients and relatives but reported that doctors did not always accept nurses’ judgements and overruled their views (Table 6). This issue reflects that critical care nurses often can and do form sufficiently close relationships with patients to feel able to act as their advocates in treatment decisions, but that the relationship with medical colleagues determined whether or not this advocacy role could be realised. Following a situation where a physician sited an intravenous cannula into a patient’s arm against her clearly expressed wish, a nurse in Gutierrez’s (2005) study reflects that not acting as the patient’s advocate had a deleterious impact on her relationship with the patient:
‘It all happened very quickly. It wasn’t until after the look crossed her face that I realized how violated she felt…It was a time when I should have been the patient’s advocate and I wasn’t on my toes, I didn’t realize what was going on. And the loss of trust with that patient…in me. She looked at me when he left and wrote on her (communication) board ‘How could you let that happen?’ She never fully trusted me again after that…It’s something you knew down here, in your gut. It was an awful loss’ (Gutierrez 2005, p.234)

In contrast, general ward nurses commonly reflected a lack of capacity to form therapeutic relationships with patients (Table 6). Key issues reported here were lack of time and a lack of organisational value attributed to nurse-patient relationships. These issues related to the level and acuity of nursing work coupled with inadequate staffing and appeared particularly associated with patients with complex needs such as older patients and patients with dementia.

‘What we lack is the possibility to sit down and to figure out, in a reasonable way, how to best help and treat the demented patient. But it can’t be done here in an acute ward, we have our routines and everything is already fixed. We just have to carry on to make the work run as smoothly as possible. There isn’t any time for solving conflicts. Instead you find yourself running away from them. Nor do we have the time to find out how to behave towards the demented person’ (Eriksson & Saveman 2002, p.82)

On the general wards, organisational value was attributed to maintaining ‘fixed’ ‘routines’ (Eriksson & Saveman 2002, p.82) at the expense of attending to complex patient needs.

‘Talking to patients is important. But there has to be opportunities to communicate and that is the problem. As a nurse, you feel ill at ease with that lack of time. You
would like to spend some time with that patient, but you are hindered. It is a ‘lack of being’ instead of a lack of time. You aren’t able to be there for your patient’ (De Bal et al. 2006, p.594)

This lack of support for caring activities appears linked with individual nurses choosing to not to employ the strategies identified as being required to build a therapeutic relationship (Table 4), but to employ instead strategies to actively disengage from the nurse-patient relationship to protect themselves (Table 6). The need to use these strategies is linked with a reduced capacity for caring and was more commonly reported in general ward settings. For instance, Mackintosh (2007) found that nurses working in surgical areas developed coping mechanisms as their professional experience grew, the most common of which was ‘ability to switch off’ (p.986). Nurses reported developing a work persona that included switching off/withdrawal, loss of caring beyond a certain acceptable level and depersonalisation of individuals and situations (Mackintosh, 2007).

‘I think it is like a plastic shield that you put up and I think if you stick at it long enough and you’re in the job long enough, it becomes a natural way’ (Mackintosh 2007, p.986)

Other studies reflected this disengagement:

‘At the same time as we face the suffering we try to roll down our blinds. It is very brutal. If I am to cope with this and not distress myself, I have to forget it’ (Hov et al. 2006, p.207)

‘It’s a good thing if you can make the patient take a sedative after lunch, then they’ll hopefully sleep until the evening meal and I’ll have time to do my job and report to the evening staff in peace and quiet’ (Eriksson & Saveman 2002, p.81)

Across the studies and regardless of setting, nurses described the main source of their emotional support as informal support from nursing colleagues (Gutierrez, 2005, Halcomb et al., 2004, Hopkinson et al., 2003, Kociszewski, 2004, Nordam et al., 2005, Quinn, 2003). Few
studies mentioned the existence of more formal support services and, where they did exist, nurses tended not to see them as helpful (Quinn 2003, Halcomb et al. 2004, Nordam et al. 2005).

In summary, the synthesis findings (summarised in Table 7) reflect that, while nurses share an aspiration for a therapeutic relationship with patients, the organisational setting at a unit level can strongly influence nurses’ capacity to build and sustain such relationships. The findings also show that nurses working in organisational conditions that inhibit their capacity to care may then employ self-protection strategies which may further reduce their caring capacity.

**Discussion**

The aim of this meta-ethnography was to contribute to the debate about what nurses do and how best to support them in their work. Meta-ethnography is a systematic and rigorous method for synthesising qualitative research which seeks to produce a conceptually rich account that is useful to policy makers, managers and practitioners. Because it can produce novel third-order interpretations, it has greater value and generalisability than the individual studies on which it is based. Nevertheless, some limitations apply. Because of the intensive work involved in projects of this kind, there is a time-lag between the original database searches in October 2009 and publication. The studies included were limited to the experiences of registered or licensed nurses and so this synthesis provides no insight into relationships between patients and nursing support workers. In addition, all of the included studies reported findings based on interview data alone. The findings are thus limited to nurses’ perceptions of their experiences and do not necessarily reflect what nurses actually do.
The synthesis identified three second-order constructs (nurses’ characterisations of relationships, relationship-building strategies and emotional impact on nurses) and one third-order construct – the influence of setting on capacity for caring. The findings reflect that nurses aspire to an emotionally intimate therapeutic relationship with patients, that they attempt particular strategies to ensure that these relationships are therapeutic and that the degree to which their aspirations can be realised can have a strong emotional impact on nurses. These findings closely match the nursing mandate or contribution repeatedly advanced by and for the nursing profession over the past 25 years or so (Ersser 1991, Barber 1997, Dingwall & Allen 2001). They offer a reassuring message that counters concerns in the profession and among the general public that nurses are not as compassionate as they were in the past. These findings help us better understand that nurses also benefit from developing and sustaining therapeutic relationships with patients and this is an important finding in a context where negative emotions often attract greater attention (Dewar 2010). However, where nurses’ aspirations are not achieved, they can experience distress and a desire to withdraw, either from caring for a particular patient, or from caring work altogether.

Other empirical work has confirmed that there is often a difference between what nurses think they ought to be doing and what actually happens in practice and have linked this theory-practice gap with morale, job satisfaction and retention difficulties in nursing (Kramer 1974, Bendall 2006, Maben et al. 2006, Maben et al. 2007).

Our unique contribution has been to identify through the meta-ethnographic method how the nature of the organisational setting at unit level can be a primary influencing factor on nurses’ capacity to build and sustain therapeutic relationships with patients. The results show two clear organisational types, with nurses from general ward settings more frequently reflecting an impaired capacity to form therapeutic relationships with patients. The deliberate disengagement behaviours described for some general ward nurses contrast with the ideal of
the nurse being ‘present’ in a relationship i.e. bringing self to the relationship and exposing oneself fully to the experiences in the relationship. They are associated with the distress inherent in nursing work and this links with findings from other studies that nurses can use a range of defensive strategies against the anxiety raised by the painful feelings invoked by nursing work (Menzies 1960, Allan 2001). But the meta-ethnography findings also illustrate that the disengagement behaviours result from the moral distress arising from an inability to provide adequate care. Lack of time and an adherence to routine constrain general ward nurses’ capacity to care. Williams et al. identified a key tension in acute care systems between ‘pace’ (the desire to discharge people as quickly as possible) and ‘complexity’ (taking account of the complex interaction between medical and social issues) (Williams 2001, Williams et al. 2009). While nurses have not relinquished direct control over nursing care, they are increasingly working in a managerialist environment with less autonomy over the conditions where care is delivered and where ‘pace’ dominates (Ackroyd & Bolton 1999, Adams et al. 2000, Williams et al. 2009). Nursing is then conceptualised as solely technical and physical work, while the more complex but less codifiable relational aspects of care are ignored or viewed as a ‘luxury’ by health care planners and managers (Dingwall & Allen 2001, p.65, Parker 2002, Maben 2008, Iles & Vaughan Smith 2009, Maben et al. 2010). The meta-ethnography findings indicate that the impact of these organisational conditions at a unit or ward level can result in moral distress for nurses because they cannot deliver the care they aspire to. Nurses then withdraw from attempting to emotionally engage with patients, having not received the support they need in the form of the right organisational conditions. We also found that, while nurses in critical care settings also have difficulty attaining their aspirations, especially as patient advocates, they do apparently have more capacity than nurses working on general wards to form therapeutic relationships with patients. Certain organisational conditions in critical care settings may help to explain the difference, for instance richer skill-
mix and one-to-one (or one-to-two) nursing, both of which could enhance contact time between patients and nurses and thus capacity to care.

**Conclusion**

The findings of this meta-ethnography reflect the importance of nurses and nursing openly acknowledging the complexity, struggle and moral dilemmas inherent in nursing work. Nurses need to refocus current debate on the relational aspects of care, exploring and articulating their benefits and the conditions where they can be successfully delivered (Williams *et al.* 2009, Bridges *et al.* 2010). The findings that contrast nurses’ experiences in critical care and general ward settings highlight the importance of unit-level conditions in shaping nursing work and indicate the conditions where relational work by nurses can flourish, although more research is needed to inform the development of suitable interventions. The nursing profession also needs to articulate how registered nurses can promote and best supervise relational care, when others, such as nursing assistants, may have more direct contact with patients. Other health care professions need to consider this review’s findings and establish the relevance of them for their own practice. Acute care organisations and wider health care systems need to establish cultures that more visibly value and support therapeutic professional-patient relationships across organisations and at individual unit level and that reflect the emotional dimensions for all parties involved in health care delivery. Managers need to improve nurses’ control over the conditions in which they work, optimise contact time between registered nurses and patients and ensure that clinical supervision and peer support is routinely available and accessible to all nursing staff, including nursing support workers.

We see the findings from this meta-ethnography as a contribution to an ongoing debate by nurses and nursing about what nurses do and as a resource for acute care organisations about how to support nurses in this work. The findings from this meta-ethnography make a
contribution, through nurses’ voices, to articulating the less visible aspects of nursing care in acute settings, but also the organisational conditions in which patients and nurses fare best.
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Table 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Include:</th>
<th>Exclude:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used qualitative methods to explore experiences</td>
<td>Main focus not experiences of the nurse-patient relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explored nurses’ self-reported experiences of the nurse-patient relation</td>
<td>Study related primarily to psychiatric care, primary or community care, or public health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explored relationships with adult patients in an in-patient acute hospital setting</td>
<td>Findings included on experiences of other health care professionals (including midwives)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflected the perspectives of registered / licensed nurses (including licensed practical nurses and enrolled nurses)</td>
<td>Findings included on experiences with patients who were children or adolescents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Findings included on experiences with relatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Findings included on experiences in settings that were not acute in-patient settings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All data not gathered in Europe, North America or Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not qualitative research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not published journal paper</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Summary information on selected studies (n=16)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study number</th>
<th>Summary reference (and country)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CRITICAL CARE UNITS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Calvin et al. 2007) The neuroscience ICU nurse's perceptions about end-of-life care (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(Gutierrez 2005) Critical care nurses' perceptions of and responses to moral distress (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(Halcomb et al. 2004) An insight into Australian nurses' experience of withdrawal/withholding of treatment in the ICU (Australia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(Hawley &amp; Jensen 2007) Making a difference in critical care nursing practice (Canada)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(Hov et al. 2007) Being an intensive care nurse related to questions of withholding or withdrawing curative treatment (Norway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(Kociszewski 2004) Spiritual care: A phenomenologic study of critical care nurses (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(Söderberg et al. 1999) Transforming desolation into consolation: the meaning of being in situations of ethical difficulty in intensive care (Sweden)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(Wilkin &amp; Slevin 2004) The meaning of caring to nurses: an investigation into the nature of caring work in an intensive care unit (Ireland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL WARDS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(Eriksson &amp; Saveman 2002) Nurses’ experiences of abusive/non-abusive caring for demented patients in acute care settings (Sweden)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(Mackintosh 2007) Protecting the self: A descriptive qualitative exploration of how registered nurses cope with working in surgical areas (UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(Nolan 2006, Nolan 2007) Caring connections with older persons with dementia in an acute hospital setting—a hermeneutic interpretation of the staff nurse’s experience Caring for people with dementia in the acute setting: a study of nurses’ views (Ireland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>(Nordam et al. 2005) Ethical challenges in the care of older people and risk of being burned out among male nurses (Norway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>(Quinn 2003) Exploring nurses’ experiences of supporting a cancer patient in their search for meaning (UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CRITICAL CARE AND GENERAL WARDS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>(De Bal et al. 2006) Involvement of nurses in caring for patients requesting euthanasia in Flanders (Belgium): A qualitative study (Belgium)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>(Kociszewski 2003) A phenomenological pilot study of the nurses' experience providing spiritual care (USA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Nurses’ characterisations of relationships with patients

**Therapeutic or potentially therapeutic**


Table 4: Nurses’ strategies to build relationships with patients

**Connecting with patients:**


**Knowing the individual:**


**Involving patients in their care:** Providing information, guidance and support to patient decision-making (De Bal *et al.* 2006, Hawley & Jensen 2007, Kociszewski 2003, Quinn 2003).

Table 5: Emotional impact of relationship on nurses

**Satisfaction**

**Distress**

Patient autonomy is constrained by factors outside of nurses’ control (Eriksson & Saveman 2002, Nolan 2006, 2007)

Inadequate care (Eriksson & Saveman 2002, Nordam *et al.* 2005)
Table 6: What does the synthesis add?

How does the clinical setting influence nurses’ capacity for caring?


Disengagement from the nurse-patient relationship

Avoiding over-involvement with patients (De Bal et al. 2006, Hopkinson et al. 2003, Nolan 2006, 2007)

Reluctance to return to work (Gutierrez 2005)

Being a different person at work (Mackintosh 2007)

Avoiding certain patients and families (Gutierrez 2005)

Reluctance to care for patients at all (Gutierrez 2005)

Block out feelings/try to forget (Hov et al. 2007)

Frustrated aspirations lead to stress, burnout, patient abuse (Nordam et al. 2005)

Ignoring patients (Eriksson & Saveman 2002)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Second-order interpretations</th>
<th>Third-order interpretations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nurses’ characterisations of</td>
<td>(a) Relationships are</td>
<td>(c) Some nurses use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relationships with patients</td>
<td>therapeutic or potentially</td>
<td>strategies to limit their</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>therapeutic to the patient;</td>
<td>emotional engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Nurses identify</td>
<td>with patients if their</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>particular strategies that</td>
<td>capacity to care is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>promote relationship:</td>
<td>constrained by organisational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>unique position, intimate</td>
<td>conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>knowledge, being ‘present’,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nature of engagement;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional impact of relationship</td>
<td>(d) Degree to which</td>
<td>(e) Organisational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on nurses</td>
<td>aspirations can be met</td>
<td>conditions at unit level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dictates emotional impact:</td>
<td>strongly influence nurses’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>moral distress/satisfaction</td>
<td>capacity to build and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influencing factors</td>
<td></td>
<td>sustain therapeutic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>relationships</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>