Robust Detection of Non Regular Interferometric Fringes from a Self-Mixing Displacement Sensor using Bi-Wavelet Transform
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Abstract—An innovative signal processing method based on custom-made wavelet transform (WT) is presented for robust detection of fringes contained in the interferometric signal of Self-Mixing (SM) laser diode sensors. It enables the measurement of arbitrarily-shaped vibrations even in the corruptive presence of speckle. Our algorithm is based on the pattern recognition capability of bespoke WTs for detecting SM fringes. Once the fringes have been correctly detected, phase unwrapping methods can be applied to retrieve the complete instantaneous phase of the SM signals. Here, the novelty consists in using two distinct mother wavelets specifically designed to distinguish SM patterns as well as the displacement direction. The peaks, i.e. maxima modulus of WT, then allow the detection of the fringes.

Index Terms—Wavelet Transform, Self-Mixing interferometry, Speckle, Fringe Detection, Displacement Sensor

I. INTRODUCTION

SELF-MIXING or optical feedback interferometry has been regularly used for metrological applications during the last two decades as it enables a simple, compact, self-aligned, and low-cost sensor to be achieved [1], [2]. SM effect occurs in a laser when a fraction of the beam is backscattered by a target into the laser cavity to cause interference with the emitted beam, thus modifying the spectral properties of the laser [3], [4]. For displacement sensing, the modified optical output power (OOP) of the laser diode \( P(t) \) can be processed, in a manner similar to classical interferometry, by applying the following two steps: fringe detection and phase unwrapping [5].

There has been continuous interest to propose various phase unwrapping methods, principally under stable optical feedback coupling, providing varying degrees of measurement precision ranging from \( \lambda/8 \) to \( \lambda/40 \) [5]–[10]. However, such results can never be attained unless individual fringe detection is correctly carried out. Thus, the apparently simple task of fringe detection plays a fundamental role in the success of phase unwrapping methods. In fact, its correct execution cannot be guaranteed if stable optical feedback coupling is not ensured, a condition which becomes unavoidable for practical sensing applications.

This difficulty resides in the complex nature of SM interferometric signals whose shape and amplitude [11], [12] are a function of the optical feedback coupling factor \( C \) as well as the laser diode (LD) linewidth enhancement factor \( \alpha \) [13]–[16]. Robust fringe detection for such a rich variety of SM signals is thus necessary for a practical SM sensor as significant variations in the target reflectivity \( R_t \) and the distance to the target \( D(t) \) cannot be avoided for real-world applications.

The strength of the \( C \) factor [14] has a determining role in SM interferometry and generally manifests itself as distinct operating regimes, of which three of the most significant are briefly summarized below [16]:

- \( 0.1 < C < 1 \): weak optical feedback regime with asymmetric SM fringes devoid of discontinuities [17].
- \( 1 < C < 4.6 \): moderate optical feedback regime with sawtooth-like SM fringes exhibiting hysteresis [11].

Fig. 1. Simulated SM signals obtained for a sinusoidal displacement (a) with \( \alpha = 4 \) and \( \lambda = 785 \text{ nm} \) for different \( C \) factors: (b) 0.2, (c) 0.6, (d) 1, (e) 1.5, (f) 2.5; showing the effect of \( C \) on the shape and amplitude of the fringes.
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The effect of $C$ on the shape and amplitude of the SM signal fringes is highlighted in Fig. 1 through the SM behavioral model [12]. Subsequently, each SM regime would require specific signal processing for accurate displacement retrieval [19]. Nonetheless, if the optical feedback regime could be controlled then the moderate feedback regime is generally preferred for displacement sensing as its supposedly straightforward signal shape [5], [11] leads to simplified signal processing [8]. However, such a regime cannot always be obtained and/or maintained, especially outside laboratory conditions.

Further, in the case of non-cooperative target surface and long displacement range, the occurrence of the speckle phenomenon can additionally affect the SM signals since speckle depends on the target surface roughness, the laser spot size as well as the laser wavelength $\lambda$ [20], [21]. Consequently, speckle can not only induce SM signal amplitude fading but can also engender a change in the $C$ factor (e.g. from weak to moderate or vice versa [21]).

Hence, robust SM fringe detection is essential for practical SM displacement sensing systems. In this regard, different methods have previously been proposed. Techniques based on envelope tracking and adaptive threshold scheme [21] were shown to improve the robustness of displacement reconstruction but in some cases, fringes might not be detected. A Hilbert transform-based algorithm [22] was then proposed to extract the instantaneous SM phase. Nevertheless, it cannot inherently determine the target direction and sub-wavelength displacements could be wrongly interpreted. Wavelet Transform (WT) [23] was also shown to be an efficient way to analyze SM signals as non-stationary signals. However, such approaches were hitherto based on available mother wavelets. Consequently, differential and evolutionary algorithms [24] were necessary to detect the fringes, but at a cost of significant computational requirements. More recently, a method based on the Morlet complex wavelet has been proposed [25] to detect the fringes and changes in displacement direction as well as to remove parasitic noise more efficiently. However, this method has been developed for $C$ values lower or close to 1. Further, even if such a method is more robust against speckle, the processing decision on the displacement direction could be misled by speckle and by the kind of displacement to be measured as it is indirectly inferred by the presence of dips in the generated envelope detection.

Thus, in this context, it will be shown in this paper that the robustness of fringe detection can be enhanced by the use of dedicated mother wavelets specifically defined for SM signals. It not only 1) improves fringe detection and 2) intrinsically determines displacement direction but also 3) greatly alleviates the computational requirements. The following sections are devoted to detailing the signal processing scheme, simulation and experimental results to demonstrate our method for an SM displacement sensor.

II. SIGNAL PROCESSING

For a better understanding of the algorithms typically used to retrieve the target displacement from SM signals, the theory of SM interferometry is briefly detailed below [3], [26]. For the sake of clarity, the classical SM experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2.

A. SM Interferometry and Displacement Retrieval

Let $D(t)$ represent the instantaneous distance between the LD driven by a constant injection current and a remote surface that back-scatters a small amount of optical power into the LD cavity.

When this optical feedback phenomenon occurs, the LD wavelength is no longer a constant $\lambda_0$ but is slightly modified to become a function of time $\lambda_F(t)$ varying with $D(t)$. The wavelength fluctuations can be found by solving the phase equation [4]:

$$\Phi_0(t) = \Phi_F(t) + C \sin [\Phi_F(t) + \arctan(\alpha)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\Phi_F$ and $\Phi_0$ represent two phase signals (subject to feedback and under free running conditions, respectively) and are directly related to $D(t)$. Then, as a function of the wavelengths $\lambda_F(t)$ and $\lambda_0$,

$$\Phi_F(t) = 2\pi \frac{D(t)}{\lambda_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\Phi_0(t) = 2\pi \frac{D(t)}{\lambda_F} = 2\pi \nu_F(t) \tau(t)$$

where $\tau(t) = 2D(t)/c$ is the round-trip time and $c$ the speed of light, $\nu_F(t)$ and $\nu_0$ represent the optical frequencies with and without optical feedback, respectively.

The value of $\Phi_F(t)$ can then be extracted from the OOP of the LD $P(t)$ using:

$$P(t) = P_0 \{1 + m \cos[\Phi_F(t)]\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $P_0$ is the emitted LD power under the free running state and $m$ a modulation index [16]. Via $\Phi_F(t)$, $\Phi_0(t)$ can first be retrieved using the nonlinear equation (1) followed by the vibration displacement as shown in [5].

Based on (1-3), the signal processing methods used to retrieve $D(t)$ are generally divided into two principal stages [5], [9]: (1) a rough estimation $\Phi_F$ of $\Phi_F$ based on fringe detection and (2) the phase unwrapping step within each fringe. In this present work, the study is primarily focused on developing a robust SM fringe detection scheme even under continuous variation of the signal amplitude and optical feedback regime.
which ensures a displacement precision of $\lambda/2$. Subsequently, further processing (i.e., unwrapping of phase) can be employed to achieve more accurate measurements.

### B. Wavelet transform and non-stationary signals

Contrary to Fourier Transform, WT can provide information on spectrum changes with respect to time [27]. Hence, WT are better suited to analyze non-stationary signals. The wavelet transform decomposes the signal into different scales with different levels of resolution by dilating a single function named the mother wavelet $\Psi$. The definition of the wavelet transform of a signal $f(t)$ is as follows:

$$W f (s, t) = f (t) \ast \Psi_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f (u) \Psi \left( \frac{t - u}{s} \right) \, du \quad (4)$$

where $W f (s, t)$ is the wavelet transform coefficient, $\Psi$ the mother wavelet and $s$ the scale parameter which provides information regarding the signal frequency.

For the detection of singularities with WT, the technique based on the modulus maxima can be used [27]. Here, the singularities to be detected are the SM fringes. Various mother wavelets can be used to analyze SM signals such as the symlet and Daubechies wavelets. However, different results will be obtained depending on the mother wavelet used [23].

### C. Proposed Bi-wavelet transform approach

Instead of detecting singularities, it is also possible to assess the similarity between a signal and a given pattern and hence to perform pattern recognition. Scalar products are often used to estimate the similarity between two signals [28]. Therefore, WT can also be used for pattern recognition as WT performs scalar products of $f(t)$ with $\sqrt{s} \Psi \left( \frac{t - u}{s} \right)$ (see eq. (4)). In addition, WT has efficient computational implementations and allows to estimate the correlation not only with the pattern itself but also with its scaled versions, as well as the time locations of possible maximum similarity (see eq. (4)) [29].

Consequently, in order to obtain more accurate information on the SM signal, we have designed dedicated mother wavelets which are bespoke for SM signals [29].

Since WT inherently computes the cross correlation along the scales between the mother wavelet and the input signal, so if the mother wavelet is defined to look like an SM fringe, then it can lead to better results as it will address more specific singularities. As a result, a typical SM signal’s rising fringe obtained for $C=1.5$ and $\alpha=4$ (solid blue line in Fig. 3a) can be first used as the specific pattern. The $\alpha$ value has been chosen accordingly to the laser used in the experiments. Then, by using the Matlab® wavelet toolbox, this pattern can be transformed into an adapted wavelet $\Psi_f$ (dashed green line in Fig. 3a). Once such a wavelet has been defined, SM fringes can be detected by applying the $\Psi_f$ WT (Fig. 4). Similar to [22], [25], an add-on routine should be then used to determine the displacement direction in order to reconstruct the displacement with a precision of $\lambda/2$ (Fig. 4 (a)).

However, as SM fringes for weak and moderate regimes are not symmetric for to-and-fro motion of the target [11] (Fig. 1 and 4 (b)), it is thus of interest to define not just one but in fact two mother wavelets. The use of two distinct mother wavelets not only provides a better sensitivity in fringe detection but also greatly improves discrimination of the target movement direction in an intrinsic manner as will be shown later.

Using the Matlab® wavelet toolbox, another mother wavelet $\Psi_d(t)$ is then defined where $\Psi_d(t)$ is based on a
decreasing SM fringe (see Fig. 3b). Note that the chosen fringe shapes are associated with \( C = 1.5 \). This value has been chosen as our SM sensor autofocus system is designed to achieve SM signals with \( C \) close to 1.5 [8]. The functions \( \Psi_r \) and \( \Psi_d \) can be considered to be wavelets as such:

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi_{r,d}(t)| dt = 0 \quad (5) \\
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi_{r,d}(t)|^2 dt < \infty \quad (6)
\]

As in [30], the maxima are selected by fixing a threshold based on the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the signal module. Finally, a separate tracking envelope of those maxima calculated for each mother wavelet is used to distinguish the maxima into corresponding rising or decreasing fringe patterns (Fig. 5). The proposed approach can be summarized by the schematic block diagram shown in Fig 6.

III. SIMULATION

Simulations are performed to evaluate the intrinsic performances of the proposed method in terms of:

- its ability to determine the displacement direction
- its application range as a function of \( C \)
- its robustness regarding additive noise

The following simulations are then performed with 32 scales for the wavelets for a 785 nm LD wavelength and \( \alpha = 4 \).

A. Displacement Direction

The ability of the proposed bi-WT method to determine the displacement direction is based on the inherent asymmetry of the SM fringes: shape of the mother wavelet and sign of the discontinuity. In addition, the wavelet transform allows to analyze changes on different scales by dilations and contractions through the scaling of the mother wavelet (eq. 4). As the instantaneous frequency of the SM signal fringes is directly related to both the amplitude and velocity of the displacement to be measured, a linear accelerated displacement has been used to assess the ability of the bi-WT approach to correctly determine the displacement direction. If the positive envelope obtained by \( \Psi_r \) (\( \Psi_d \)) is greater than \( \Psi_d \) (\( \Psi_r \)), then the target is moving away from (toward) the laser. Figs. 7 and 8 show that the wavelets \( \Psi_r \) and \( \Psi_d \) can distinguish between a target displacement away and toward (with an increasing speed) from the interrogating LD respectively. Note also that as the velocity of the target increases, both envelopes seem to converge. This can be explained by a reduced number of samples per fringe (SPF) for high-velocity displacement. The minimum required has been determined to be 27 by simulations.

B. Determination of the \( C \) Range

As previously mentioned, determining the displacement direction is based on the pattern recognition capability of the proposed wavelets. In addition, the asymmetry of the SM fringes mainly depends on the \( C \) factor. Therefore, it is necessary to assess the \( C \) range over which the displacement direction is correctly determined. Subsequently, an SM signal generated by a linear displacement and a varying \( C \in [0.01:4] \) has been simulated to determine the bi-WT method’s limits in terms of the \( C \) range (Fig. 9). The algorithm is thus not able to correctly reconstruct the displacement for \( C < 0.06 \).
as the maximum coefficients obtained for $\Psi_r$ and $\Psi_d$ are similar in values (Fig. 9 (d)). This results in reconstruction errors (Fig. 9 (e)). For the very weak optical feedback regime, the fringe asymmetry is almost non-existent (resulting in sinusoidal shaped fringes, similar to classical interferometry), which can explain the fact that the bi-WT method cannot determine the displacement direction for very small $C$ values. However, similar coefficient maxima of the bi-WT for $\Psi_r$ and $\Psi_d$ can not only be obtained for $C < 0.1$ but also for target displacement much smaller than $\lambda/2$. These zones cannot thus be processed by the proposed method. Nevertheless, the bi-WT can identify and flag such zones so that the enclosed information may be subsequently further processed.

It is, however, to be noted that the envelope of the maxima coefficients is reached for $C$ values close to 1.5, as expected. This additionally illustrates that our technique is not just confined to the moderate feedback regime but can also be applied to an extended operating range, which is a requirement to process SM signals affected by speckle [21].

Further, the robustness of the approach under the influence of speckle has also been tested. Fig. 10 shows a simulated SM signal with an amplitude which is caused to vary over a factor of 8. $C$ is also varied simultaneously within the range of 1 to 3 (Fig. 10 (b)). The correct detection of all SM fringes contained in this signal then demonstrates that our method can reliably reconstruct the target displacement even in the presence of significant amplitude and $C$ value variations, a combination regularly encountered in signals corrupted by speckle.

C. Noise performance

To further evaluate the performances of the bi-WT method, a gaussian white noise is intentionally added to the SM signal. Table I summarizes the results obtained in terms of SNR without prior filtering of the SM signal and shows that a higher
To illustrate the capability of our bi-WT method, our algorithm was employed to accurately retrieve displacement information from a simulated noisy target movement with an SM sensor operating at a low $C$ value. Fig. 11 clearly shows that $\Psi_r(t)$ and $\Psi_d(t)$ are particularly adapted to rising and decreasing fringes respectively.

Further, Fig. 12 shows the WT of the same SM signal (as in Fig. 11 c)) using only one standard reverse biorthogonal mother wavelet $\Psi_{rbio}$. Compared with the proposed approach, it is much more complicated to discriminate the relevant singularities using the modulus maxima approach. For instance, if the red dashed line in Fig. 12 is defined as the threshold, then 6 false rising-fringes are detected. On the contrary, if the green dashed line is used as the threshold, then one decreasing-fringe is not detected. Therefore, using two custom-made wavelets not only allows an inherent detection of the displacement direction but also provides a robust method to discriminate the relevant peaks.

### IV. EXPERIMENTS AND DISCUSSION

To experimentally validate our bi-WT method, an optical setup similar to [8] has been employed based on an optical casing containing both the ARTIC 39N0 liquid lens from Varioptic® and a collimation lens. The LD used in the SM sensor, driven by a constant injection current of 30 mA and a maximum output power of 50 mW, is a Hitachi HL7851G emitting at 785.86 nm. The variations in the OOP of the LD $P(t)$ are monitored through the built-in photodiode contained in the LD package. A Physik Instrumente P-753.2.CD piezoelectric transducer (PZT) is used as the target placed at 25 cm

---

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$C$</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.6</th>
<th>0.8</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1.2</th>
<th>1.5</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNR (dB)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SNR is required to process a signal having lower $C$ values. This relationship can again be understood for the same reason already explained in the first paragraph of section III-B.
To induce speckle, the SM sensor is also shaken as per the configuration of [31] as shown in Fig. 13.

Fig. 14 (a) presents the experimentally acquired SM signal affected by speckle along with the reconstructed displacement (b) based on fringe counting and direction discrimination. The encircled area denotes a local drop speed area without a global change of displacement direction.

However, as mentioned in the previous section, fringes could be missed for low $C$ values. These missing fringes can be caused either by:

- a low $C$ factor: the algorithm detects the presence of fringes but cannot determine the displacement direction.
- a low $C$ factor and small SM signal amplitude: the peak value corresponding to the fringe is lower than the applied threshold.

Fig. 16 shows the case where both the $C$ factor and the SM signal amplitude are low, which induce the missing fringes.

V. Conclusion

We have presented an innovative approach exploiting a bespoke wavelet transform which has enabled robust fringe detection for displacement measurements using self-mixing optical feedback interferometry under weak and moderate feedback regimes. This method can also inherently discriminate the displacement direction and has been successfully tested on both simulated and experimental SM signals under different optical feedback regimes as well as in the corruptive presence of speckle and noise.

The proposed approach can potentially be employed to efficiently detect real SM signal fringes. However, before implementation in a real-time system, further studies have to be performed to optimize the number of scales required as a
function of the system sampling frequency and of the amplitude and frequency range of the vibrating target. Note that in future, additional statistical signal processing can be added to the present algorithm so that even these low amplitude and low C value fringes can still be correctly processed. Such statistical signal processing would make use of periodicity in fringe occurrence coupled with Bi-wavelet based peaks to successfully process such deeply affected SM fringes.
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