



City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Hayama, M., Green, N., Seneviratne, S., O'Donoghue, M., Drey, N. & Kon, O. M. (2017). Latent tuberculosis infection screening of adult close contacts in London: a cost-utility analysis. *Thorax*, 72(S3), A174. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2017-210983.311

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/19909/>

Link to published version: <https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2017-210983.311>

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online:

<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/>

publications@city.ac.uk

Title

Latent tuberculosis infection screening of adult close contacts in London: a cost-utility analysis.

Authors

Manabu Hayama^{1*}, Nathan Green¹, Suranjith Seneviratne², Marie O'Donoghue³, Nicholas Drey⁴, Onn Min Kon³

Affiliations

1 NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Modelling Methodology and MRC Centre for Outbreak Analysis and Modelling, Imperial College London School of Public Health, London, UK

2 Clinical Immunology, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK

3 Chest and Allergy Department, St. Mary's Hospital, Imperial College NHS Trust, London, UK

4 School of Health Sciences, City, University of London, London, UK

Background

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines in 2016 recommend tuberculin skin test (TST) at a 5-mm induration size cut-off for latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) screening of adult close contacts of active tuberculosis (TB) cases. An alternative would be to use an interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA) which has a higher specificity, such as the QuantiFERON-TB Gold in Tube (QFT-GIT) or T-SPOT.TB (T-SPOT). We aimed to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of the screening and treatment of LTBI in adult close contacts with various combinations of these tests in a representative London cohort.

Methods

Clinical data of adult close contacts of pulmonary TB cases who were recommended to receive TST and IGRA in a TB clinic in London between 2008 and 2010 were retrospectively reviewed. A Markov decision analytic model, using an NHS perspective and lifetime horizon, was used to compare costs and quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs) associated with 7 screening strategies followed by chemoprophylaxis: TST alone, IGRA (QFT-GIT or T-SPOT) alone, TST positive followed by IGRA, and TST negative followed by IGRA. Future costs and QALYs were discounted at 3.5% per year.

Results

381 asymptomatic close contacts aged 18 to 65 years were included in this study. The mean age was 35.2 years and the majority (75.3%) were BCG vaccinated. In the base-case analysis, QFT-GIT was the most cost-effective strategy with £6,876 per QALY gained, compared to TST positive followed by QFT-GIT strategy. QFT-GIT alone averted 1.8 TB cases per 1,000 contacts compared to TST positive followed by QFT-GIT.

Conclusion

Of the considered testing strategies, the QFT-GIT alone is preferable for LTBI screening in adult close contacts of pulmonary TB cases in London.