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TDLAS detection of propane/butane gas mixture by using reference gas absorption cells and partial least square (PLS) approach

Yin Wang, Yubin Wei, Tongyu Liu, Tong Sun and K.T.V. Grattan

Abstract—Propane/butane gas mixtures are frequently used in the petroleum industry and sensors that provide early warning of the concentrations of such mixtures are essential for avoiding explosion hazards. Tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy (TDLAS) underpins this work, exploiting infrared absorption spectroscopy and providing the potential to provide a highly accurate, in-situ and intrinsically safe method for the detection of propane/butane gas mixtures. In consideration of the significant spectral overlap of the absorption spectral features of propane and butane, an experimental approach using reference gas cells has been proposed to remove the severe absorption spectral overlapping that occurs, by using known samples of both propane and butane gas in the reference gas cells. The partial least squares method (PLS) was used to calculate the concentrations of propane and butane individually in the mixture. The spectral overlapping can be effectively removed, resulting in all the fitting correlation coefficients for the spectral extraction being higher than 0.96. A field test carried out using simulated gas samples shows that near both the first and second alarm values set, high accuracy can be obtained in the measurement, with all the relative errors in the calculated concentrations of propane and butane (in the gas mixture samples) below 3% lower explosion limit (LEL), which meets the requirement of the detection standards in the petroleum industry. This work provides an experimental verification which then supports this being an effective TDLAS-based field sensor system for the petroleum industry to be used in practice.

Index Terms—propane detection, butane detection, TDLAS, reference gas cells, PLS

I. INTRODUCTION

PROPANE and butane are important constituents of fossil fuels and they are used widely in the petrochemical industries internationally[1]-[5]. Both propane and butane are colorless and combustible and have similar chemical structures[6]. Explosions can readily be caused when propane/butane gas mixtures are ignited by flames, or even by sparks[7][8]. Furthermore, propane and butane are volatile at pressures around 1 atmosphere and across the daytime temperature range (which compares with hydrocarbons which are non-volatile and having more than 5 carbon atoms frequently used in petroleum and petrochemical materials). Moreover, compared with other hydrocarbon constituents with 1-2 carbon atoms and which have a high vapor pressure (such as ethane and methane), together with butane and propane they are more dense than atmospheric gases and thus tend to accumulate in low-lying areas (and thus are more difficult to eliminate)[9][10]. These characteristics mean that propane/butane gas mixtures frequently present an industrial hazard and thus early warning of potential explosions is needed. It is thus imperative that monitoring systems for these gas mixtures which work well outside the laboratory are available. Currently, there are different types of sensors that could be used, such as electrochemical sensors and semiconductor sensors[11]-[14]. However, such sensors cannot be considered to be ‘safe’ under all circumstances (due to the potential spark hazards that occur due to being mains electricity-powered), and thus they do not offer the secure, in-the-field monitoring of propane/butane gas mixtures that are needed.

Infrared absorption spectroscopy is a good method for such monitoring of propane/butane gas mixtures in practical applications and outside the laboratory, due to its high sensitivity and potential for intrinsically safe detection[15]-[17]. The underpinning technique behind the work is the use of Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Spectroscopy (TDLAS) which employs laser diode sources and thus allows the determination of the gas concentration from the infrared absorption of the laser light in the gas mixture. The stability of these laser sources makes them well suited to this application to detect the group of hydrocarbons, in gaseous form, discussed earlier. A key feature is the stability of the source, the excellent resolution seen and the opportunity to make sensors which work well outside the laboratory [18][19]. Furthermore, TDLAS systems are readily compatible with fiber optic components from the communications industry, allowing compact systems – allowing a feature which is highly efficacious in propane/butane gas mixture sensing to be developed and which is safe to use, with no electrical currents flowing at the sensor head, making the detection approach
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intrinsically safe. Thus such TDLAS-based sensor systems are well suited to monitoring purposes near the oil-gas storage and transportation applications mentioned.

In recent years, the literature shows a number of papers which discuss propane and butane monitoring, by the use of TDLAS-based techniques. Klucznyski et al. first reported using TDLAS detection of propane used for propylene manufacture, employing a GaInAsSb/AIGaInAsSb DFB laser which operates at 3.37 µm[20]. Jana Jágerská et al. described the TDLAS approach to the detection of propane and butane (using a wavelength of ~3.3 µm, exploiting the properties of a (VECSEL)[21]). Jiang Meng et al. reported the design of a TDLAS-based propane leak detection system using a (DFB-ICL) type laser system, which uses distributed feedback in an inter-band cascade approach[22]. However, a weakness with all these systems is that current commercial tunable lasers, emitting at wavelengths of near 3.3 µm are not readily compatible with the cheap communications grade optical fiber and other optical components that are readily available. Optical fibers transmitting well in this 3.3 µm wavelength range are often less readily available and expensive. This limits the potential to use this mid-infrared sourced method, especially for the monitoring applications of the type discussed. Using the near-infrared wavelength band allows the much greater variety of lasers, optical fiber and components operating in that spectral region to be used. However, comparing with the absorption feature at ~3.3 µm, the near-infrared absorption spectral features of propane and butane (seen in the region from 1680 nm to 1690 nm) mainly consist of multiple spectral bands which overlap with each other, in the case of propane and butane, combined with an absorbance level in the near infrared that is not as strong as that at ~3.3 µm[23]-[24]). Thus while it is difficult to find obvious ‘standalone’ absorption peaks to allow calculation of the individual component concentrations (which would follow the usual approach to TDLAS spectral analysis) from across the total absorption spectrum, what is worse is that for a propane/butane gas mixture, the absorption spectra of propane and butane severely overlap with each other in that near infrared region. Thus calculating the individual component concentrations is problematic because the spectra include the absorption features of both propane and butane at every wavelength considered between 1680 and 1690 nm. An appropriate algorithm, such as PLS, has been used as it has the potential to allow calculation of the individual components in the gas mixture. The PLS algorithm is a basic tool of chemometrics[25] and has been widely used in infrared spectroscopy analysis[26]-[28]. For the detection of the components of the propane/butane mixture, prior published research also used the PLS approach to undertake a calculation of the component concentrations of propane and butane directly, by using the absorption spectral data of the gas mixture[29]. However, the weakness of the light signal and the noise detected limits the use of the calibration model and the results obtained for the individual gas concentrations would be inaccurate by a significant factor. This problem is exacerbated when the sensor systems are physically distributed in the space where there are petroleum storage tanks, because greater light signal losses may be expected. These losses may be different across the sensor network due to the different lengths of transmitting optical fibers employed. Currently, there are few reports on in-the-field use of such systems for quantitative detection of propane/butane gas mixtures using the TDLAS technique, whether at wavelengths near 3.3 µm or in the near infrared band.

Aiming to overcome the problems discussed, this work makes use of the spectral features of propane, butane and their mixtures in the spectral region from ~1682 nm to ~1686 nm to allow for more accurate detection of the component concentrations of the propane and butane present in typical mixtures seen in industry. In this paper, the real-time absorption spectra of propane and butane in the gas mixture were firstly separately extracted by using the real-time spectra of known samples of both propane and butane gas placed in the reference gas absorption cells, in an approach which can also simultaneously eliminate the noise arising from the different signal losses along the transmission optical path. Thus each absorption spectrum ‘weight’ of the propane component and that of the butane component in each gas mixture sample could be obtained. Following that, the ‘weights’ and the concentrations of the propane and butane components in the sample mixtures were utilized to build the PLS model. Due to the isolation of the spectral overlap, only a few samples are needed to build the PLS model and high measurement precision can be obtained, based on the model. Unlike the traditional PLS modeling approach, instead of the absorption spectra data themselves, the spectral weights of the corresponding components in the gas mixture were utilized to build the PLS model used in this work. The PLS approach helped in avoiding the multi-collinearity of the model matrix used[30]. The combination of the spectral overlap isolating and the PLS method made it possible to obtain the model precisely, with a small number of training samples. Finally the accurate measurement of the concentrations of the individual propane and butane components in the gas mixture samples was obtained, based on the PLS model of the absorption spectra weights and concentrations created. The quality of the test results obtained are such as to satisfy the requirements for the type of system that could be used practically for early warning of the levels of gas concentrations that could result in an explosion hazard. This work shows that the proposed approach has real potential for the important applications in the oil and gas industry considered.

II. SPECTROSCOPIC PRINCIPLES AND EXPERIMENTAL AL SET-UP

The absorption spectra of separate, individual samples of ~9% propane and of ~3% butane, obtained in the region from ~1650 nm to ~1700 nm (with the gas at 25°C and a pressure of 1 atm) are shown as Figure 1a. These spectra show that the most important features lie in the narrow region from ~1678 nm to ~1688 nm, a region marked on Figure 1a by a rectangle (and shown in greater detail in Figure 1b).

Over the wavelength range from ~1678 nm to ~1688 nm, there are obvious absorption peaks from propane and butane, with the absorption spectral bands seen separately in the figures, near 1684 nm (for propane) and 1686.5 nm (for butane). The two peaks do not overlap with each other when the spectral resolution seen in Figure 1b is used and this is beneficial when
it comes to separating out the individual spectral components. However, the two peaks are close in spectral terms, which means that only one tunable laser is needed to cover the wavelength range encompassing the two peaks. With a single laser system, the sensor system price is reduced, as is the use of electrical power (important for battery powering of such sensor systems in-the-field). Thus satisfying these important requirements of low cost and reduced power consumption, this makes such a system well suited to being used in the oil and gas (and indeed other) industries. Based on this, a VCSEL laser source operating over the range from 1678.7 nm to 1686.1nm was selected – this laser can only cover part of the absorption peak feature of butane at ~1686.5nm, but the difference in the spectral features of propane and butane in this wavelength range are sufficient for the removal of the overlap in the spectra, allowing subsequent quantitative analysis of the propane and butane features, which then is confirmed by the experimental analysis carried out below.

>1km separation of the laser source from the site to be observed of >1km: showing that this approach is suitable for in-the-field measurements of propane and butane leakage, and doing so and across large sites.

In light of the important spectral band overlap between propane and butane that can cause problems with the analysis of the spectra received and focusing on resolving their spectral band features shown in Figure 1, four similar gas cells were used in the process of analyzing the spectral bands and allowing the removal of the overlapping features in the spectra. The three Gas Cells, labeled 1,2 and 3, were all sealed and filled with gas at 1 atm, and used as reference cells for the species in gaseous form, as discussed below.

Gas Cell 1 contained only \(N_2\), while Gas Cell 2 contained a carefully prepared propane/nitrogen mixture with a propane component fraction of 2.2%, which is the lower explosive limit (LEL) of propane. Gas Cell 3 was also filled with standard butane/nitrogen mixture, with a butane component fraction of 1.8%, which is the LEL of butane. Gas Cell 4 then was the actual sensor cell for the unknown sample, forming the gas-containing actual sensor probe to allow the detection of the propane/butane mixture at the monitoring site. To interrogate the cells, four beams, each of the same intensity given by \(I_0\) were used, created using several beam splitters. As a result, beam 1 travelled along a single mode fiber to the first of the gas cells and the residual intensity was measured using a photodetector, labeled PD1. A similar interrogation arrangement was used for the second, third and fourth beams and their residual intensities \(I_2, I_3\) and \(I_4\) were measured by using photodetectors PD2, PD3 and PD4 (after the corresponding beams passed through Gas Cell 2, then to Gas Cell 3 and finally Gas Cell 4), as illustrated in Figure 2. In Gas

Fig. 1. Major absorption spectral features of propane and butane at 25°C and 1 atm: (a) wavelengths –1650nm to ~1700nm; (b) narrower wavelength span –1678nm to ~1688nm

Fig. 2. Experimental setup used. VCSEL – laser used; DAQ – data acquisition and processing; PD1–4 – photodetectors
Cell 1, no absorption by the nitrogen occurred over the wavelength range while by contrast, the propane in Gas Cell 2, the butane in Gas Cell 3 and the propane/butane mixture (this is the unknown mixture whose composition is to be determined) in the probe Gas Cell 4 caused some absorption of the laser beam. Multiple reflections do occur in this gas cell itself and so a diminution intensity thus cannot be avoided, even in the absence of any gas absorption at all. Thus intensity I1 can be given by:

\[ I_1 = I_0 e^{-\beta_1} \]  

(1)

where \(\beta_1\) equates to an intensity change due only to absorption in the gas containing vessel. The Beer-Lambert Law yields the following, where the intensities I2, I3, and I4 can be given individually as:

\[ I_2 = I_0 e^{-PC_2SP_p\beta_2} \]  

(2)

\[ I_3 = I_0 e^{-PC_3SP_b\beta_3} \]  

(3)

\[ I_4 = I_0 e^{-PC_4SP_b\beta_4} \]  

(4)

where the pressure, \(P\), is a single atmosphere, the total pressure of gas in the gas-containing vessel, since the monitoring was undertaken at this (normal) pressure; \(C_2\) and \(C_3\) are the individual partial concentrations of propane in Gas Cell 2 and butane in Gas Cell 3 respectively; \(C_{41}\) and \(C_{42}\) are separately the concentrations of propane and butane in Gas Cell 4; \(S_p\) and \(S_b\) are the spectral feature line strength of the propane and butane separately; \(\beta_2\) and \(\beta_3\) are the line shape functions of the propane and butane separately; \(L\) is the overall absorption length of the absorption path (here all of the four gas cells were of the same length); \(\beta_2\), \(\beta_3\) and \(\beta_4\) are separately the intensity losses caused by light passing through the corresponding gas cells themselves. The resultant four photocurrent signals \(I_{c1}, I_{c2}, I_{c3}\) and \(I_{c4}\) were generated by detectors PD1, PD2 PD3 and PD4 when the light beam with intensities of \(I_1\), \(I_3\) and \(I_4\) were monitored by the four PDs and are given by:

\[ I_{c1} = \alpha_1 I_1 \]  

(5)

\[ I_{c2} = \alpha_2 I_2 \]  

(6)

\[ I_{c3} = \alpha_3 I_3 \]  

(7)

\[ I_{c4} = \alpha_4 I_4 \]  

(8)

where \(\alpha_1\), \(\alpha_2\), \(\alpha_3\) and \(\alpha_4\) are the photoelectric conversion coefficients of PD1, PD2, PD3 and PD4 respectively. Four similar Herriot optical gas cells were employed[31] – they had a 9m optical path. Losses of intensity, of values \(\beta_1\), \(\beta_2\), \(\beta_3\) and \(\beta_4\) (arising from the light passing through the gas-containing vessels) were the same and are given by:

\[ \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 \]  

(9)

Equations (1)-(9), govern the absorbance of the propane sample in Gas Cell 2, that of the butane in Gas Cell 3 and of a propane/butane gas mixture in Gas Cell 4. These can be represented respectively, by:

\[ I_p = \log \left( \frac{I_{c1}}{I_{c2}} \right) = PC_2SP_p\beta_2 L + \log \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \right) \]  

(10)

\[ I_b = \log \left( \frac{I_{c3}}{I_{c4}} \right) = PC_3SP_b\beta_3 L + \log \left( \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_4} \right) \]  

(11)

\[ I_m = \log \left( \frac{I_{c3}}{I_{c4}} \right) = PC_4SP_b\beta_4 L + \log \left( \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_4} \right) \]  

(12)

where \(\log(\alpha_1/\alpha_2)\), \(\log(\alpha_1/\alpha_3)\) and \(\log(\alpha_3/\alpha_4)\) are constants; \(PC_2SP_p\beta_2 L\), \(PC_3SP_b\beta_3 L\) and \(PC_4SP_b\beta_4 L\) increase linearly with the corresponding concentrations of propane and butane. By using four gas cells with identical structures, any signal noise caused by the intensity jitter of the laser and the laser beam energy loss along the long transmitting path can be eliminated and the background spectra caused by the losses in gas cells can be simultaneously compensated through a knowledge of the ratios, \(I_{c1}/I_{c2}\), \(I_{c3}/I_{c4}\) and \(I_{c1}/I_{c4}\), which enhances the signal to noise ratio for in-the-field monitoring. Based on equations (10) - (12), the spectrum showing the gas absorption of the propane gas sample in the second gas cell (number 2) and the known butane sample in Gas Cell 3 were obtained, as shown in Figure 3. The absorption spectrum of the sample of propane/butane gas mixture to be investigated was also obtained, as shown in Figure 4. By comparing Figure 4 with Figure 3, several low-level absorption features due to both propane and butane can be observed in the spectrum of this mixture, these being marked by the circles in Figure 4. However analysis of these features is not sufficient for the quantitative analysis of propane and butane components in the mixture, needed to allow the extraction of the absorption spectra of the separate propane and butane components. Based on the absorption spectra of the known pure ‘standard’ propane and butane obtained, the spectral overlap feature seen from the absorption spectrum of the gas mixture can be removed. Doing so is a precondition for the quantitative analysis of the individual propane and butane gas concentrations.

The stray light generated by the gas cells could not be totally eliminated and any stray light will be reflected many times by the two mirrors of the Herriot optical structure, creating multi-beam interference, seen at the photodetector. Thus, as a result, a high frequency wave can be sometimes be seen superposed on the absorption spectrum, as illustrated in Figure 4. However the frequency of the interference wave is significantly higher than the frequency of the absorption features and thus the wave can be easily removed, based on the use of frequency spectral analysis with the FFT (Fast Fourier Transform) method and IFFT (Inverse Fast Fourier Transform) method. Thus, taking this approach, the multi-beam interference would have little effect on the final measurement accuracy.
The focus of this work is on detection of propane/butane gas mixture leaks, especially during transportation or in the region of petrochemical storage tanks. In this work, to evaluate the procedure that is needed in sensor operation, for the effective removal of the spectral overlap problem and to undertake a corresponding quantitative analysis of the concentrations of a sample, propane/butane gas mixture samples with known propane concentrations of between 3% - 9% LEL and known butane concentrations of between 20% - 35% LEL were created in the laboratory. The samples were created to mimic the relative concentrations of gas samples acquired from the low-lying regions close to storage tanks of actual petrochemical products which have separately been analyzed, in that way to make the samples used representative of ‘real’ situations. (Here, the ‘LEL’ corresponding to propane is the threshold concentration for an explosion to occur – 2.2%, (while the similar figure for butane is 1.8%). Specifically, in the experiment carried out, there were in total 20 sample mixtures used, consisting of different (and known) fractions of propane, butane and nitrogen, among which the concentrations of propane used which were 3% LEL, 5% LEL, 7% LEL and 9% LEL. Further, corresponding with each of these propane concentrations, there were 5 different concentrations of butane used, set to be 20% LEL, 24% LEL, 27% LEL, 31% LEL and 35% LEL. These samples were used to simulate the sort of gas mixtures that would be experienced as typical leaks in the area around oil-gas storage tanks of petrochemical products. As a result, the analysis carried out will allow not only a spectral investigation but the subsequent quantitative analysis needed to determine the individual propane and butane components present in these sample mixtures.

### A. Isolating Spectral Overlap

Equation (12) indicates that the absorption spectrum of any of the propane/butane mixtures studied arises from a linear combination of the absorption of the propane component and that of the butane component. No matter what concentrations of propane and butane are present in the mixture, the concentration of propane, \( C_{41} \) in the mixture can be given by the following relationship:

\[
C_{41} = K_1 C_2
\]

where \( K_1 \) is the proportionality coefficient between \( C_{41} \) and \( C_2 \). Similarly, for the concentration of butane, given by \( C_2 \) in the mixture, the relationship shown holds:

\[
C_{42} = K_2 C_3
\]

where \( K_2 \) is the proportionality coefficient between \( C_42 \) and \( C_3 \). Arising from this, according to equations (10) - (14), the absorption of the propane/butane mixture can further be expressed as:

\[
I_m = PK_1 C_2 \phi_0 L + PK_2 C_3 \phi_0 L + \log \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_4}
= K_1 I_p + K_2 I_b - K_1 \log \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} - K_2 \log \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_4} + \log \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_4}
= K_1 I_p + K_2 I_b + I_0
\]

where \( I_0 \) is given by \(-K_1 \log \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} - K_2 \log \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_4} + \log \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_4}\). Equation (15) shows that the absorption spectrum of the mixture, \( I_m \) is an exact linear superposition of \( I_p \), the absorption spectrum of the pure propane gas in Gas Cell 2 and \( I_b \), that of butane in Gas Cell 3. \( K_1 \), \( K_2 \) and \( I_0 \) are the coefficients of this linear superposition and \( K1P \) represents the absorption of propane components in the mixture, \( K2b \) represents the absorption of butane component in the mixture and \( I_0 \) represents the compensation for the spectral background caused by the difference of the PD photoelectric conversion coefficients. From the theoretical analysis, the coefficients \( K_1 \), \( K_2 \) and \( I_0 \) can be determined by fitting \( K1P + K2b + I_0 \) with \( I_m \) using the least squares method, and thus, \( K_1 \), \( K_2 \) and \( I_0 \) can be given by:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
K_1 \\
K_2 \\
I_0
\end{pmatrix} = A^{-1} B
\]

where the matrix \( A \) is given by:

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
I_p I_p' & I_p I_b' & \text{sum}(I_p) \\
I_p I_b' & I_b I_b' & \text{sum}(I_b) \\
\text{sum}(I_p) & \text{sum}(I_b) & 180
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The matrix \( B \) is given by:

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix}
I_p I_n' \\
I_b I_n' \\
\text{sum}(I_m)
\end{pmatrix}
\]
where \( \text{sum}(I_p) \) is the sum of the intensity values of \( I_p \) (at all the sampling wavelengths) and similarly, \( \text{sum}(I_s) \) and \( \text{sum}(I_m) \) can be defined for the sums of \( I_s \) and \( I_m \) respectively. Based on the spectral overlap removal method discussed, the absorption spectrum arising from the propane component and that due to the butane component in the gas mixture can be extracted separately from the total absorption spectrum of gas mixture.

As an example, Figure 5 shows the results of the spectral overlap removal method being applied to two gas mixture samples, the first of which corresponds to a sample with 5% LEL propane (1100ppm) and 35% LEL butane (6300ppm), where the second corresponds a sample consisting of 7% LEL propane (1540ppm) and 20% LEL butane (3600ppm). The fitting correlation coefficients using \( K_1 I_p + K_2 I_s + I_0 \) and \( I_m \) of a total of 20 sample mixtures are shown in Figure 6, from which it can be seen that all the coefficients obtained are greater than 0.96, with more than half of these higher than 0.99.

With the introduction of the three reference gas cells (which individually were filled with pure nitrogen gas, pure propane gas and pure butane gas), the absorption spectra of the propane gas and the butane gas samples could be obtained separately, and in real time, and thus the spectral overlap of the propane/butane gas mixture can also be removed in real time by extracting the absorption spectrum of the propane component and that of the butane component and obtaining the coefficients corresponding to the linear superposition of \( K_1, K_2 \) and \( I_0 \). The 3 coefficients can then be used to determine the absorption spectrum ‘weights’ of the propane component and that of the butane component in the overall absorption spectrum of the gas mixture. The spectral overlap removal has thus provided the prerequisite for the next stage quantitative analysis of the propane and butane components in the mixture.

**B. Quantitative Analysis With PLS**

Theoretically, the concentrations of the propane and the butane components can be obtained directly after determining the coefficients of the linear superpositions, \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \), according to equations (13) and (14), since \( C_2 \) and \( C_3 \) are the known concentrations of the standard gases used. However, it is almost impossible to ensure that the optical structures of the four gas cells are entirely similar and thus the small differences, for example in the loss of laser energy caused only by the gas cells themselves cannot be eliminated completely. This may cause an additional spectral background element in the spectra of the propane/butane gas mixtures recorded. When the spectral overlap removal approach discussed is applied, there is inevitably an influence of one gas upon the other when the analysis is carried out to separate the absorption spectra of propane and butane. Thus large errors could be introduced in determining the final calculated concentrations of the propane and the butane fractions separately, lowering the detection accuracy achieved if the concentrations of the propane and the butane in the mixture are determined only by using equations (13) and (14). In order to improve the accuracy in determining these important unknown individual gas concentrations, the coefficients \( K_1, K_2 \) and \( I_0 \) for the samples considered were further calibrated with the corresponding concentrations of propane and butane in the 20 samples considered. Usually the
multiple linear regression (MLR) method can be utilized for the calibration since there is a linear relationship between the concentrations of propane and butane and the coefficients $K_1$, $K_2$ and $I_0$. However, collinearity may be present in the coefficients $K_1$, $K_2$ and $I_0$, which may bring additional errors during the calibration[30]. In order to avoid this problem, the multiple nonlinear regression-partial least squares method was used for the calibration to offer better detection accuracy.

The coefficient matrix for the 20 samples used is given by:

$$ X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{201} & x_{202} & x_{203} \end{pmatrix} $$

(19)

where $x_{i1}$, $x_{i2}$ and $x_{i3}$ are separately the coefficients, $K_1$, $K_2$ and $I_0$ of the $i$th sample. The matrix of the concentration groups is given by:

$$ Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} \\ y_{21} & y_{22} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ y_{201} & y_{202} \end{pmatrix} $$

(20)

where $y_{i1}$, $y_{i2}$ are separately the concentrations of the propane and butane in the $i$th sample. Matrices, $X$ and $Y$ can be determined through a calculation of both the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of the covariance matrix of $X$ and $Y$. In the work carried out, these two eigenvalues (and thus the eigenvectors that relate to that) were seen as forming the loading matrix $P_x$ for $X$ (and similarly the loading matrix $P_y$ was obtained for $Y$). Therefore, the corresponding score matrices, $T_x$ and $T_y$, can be obtained from:

$$ T_x = X P_x $$

(21)

$$ T_y = Y P_y $$

(22)

where $T_x$ and $T_y$ are separately the projections of the coefficients and the concentration groups. By transforming the coefficient matrix and the matrix of the concentration groups to their corresponding score matrices, there is little multi-collinearity in $T_x$ and $T_y$, and the useful information included in the coefficients matrix and the matrix of the concentration groups is preserved. Thus, the appropriate relationship between $T_x$ and $T_y$ can be then built, based on the MRL method:

$$ T_y = T_x B_T $$

(23)

where $B_T$ is the regression matrix. According to MRL, $B_T$ can be given as:

$$ B_T = (T_x^T T_x)^{-1} T_x^T T_y $$

(24)

Thus, according to equations (19) - (24), the concentration vector, $C_{\text{detect}}$, which involves the concentrations of the propane and the butane components in the sample mixture to be detected can be given by:

$$ C_{\text{detect}} = T_{\text{detect}} B_T P_y^{-1} = T_{\text{detect}} (T_x^T T_x)^{-1} T_x^T T_y P_y^{-1} $$

(25)

where $T_{\text{detect}}$ is then given as the ‘score vector’ of the coefficient vector of the sample of gases that is under investigation.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION OF THE APPROACH

In order to determine the accuracy achievable when measuring the concentrations of the propane and butane components in the gas mixture, a simulation using typical measurement conditions was undertaken. In this test, four gas cells were used, comprising three reference gas cells and the gas cell which acted as the probe. The four gas cells were placed in a low-lying pit outside the laboratory where the simulated gas mixtures were released. The gas cells were connected to the laser source and the photoelectric detection system, by means of optical fibers. In the tests carried out, different simulated leakage gas mixture samples (consisting of different, known concentrations of propane, butane and nitrogen) were successively transmitted to the pit (through the gas piping from the gas supply system) and each gas mixture used was sampled and the spectral signature detected on four different occasions. The LEL of butane itself, 1.8%, is considered as the LEL of the gas mixture since the LEL of butane is lower than that of propane and butane is the major component of the mixture used. The first concentration value that corresponds to an ‘explosion alarm’ situation was set at ~25% LEL and the second at 40% to 50% LEL[32][33]. In the tests carried out, the combinations of the concentrations (of propane and butane respectively) in the samples used were as follows: (660ppm, 3600ppm), (660ppm, 4320ppm), (1100ppm, 3600ppm), (1100ppm, 6300ppm), (1540ppm, 6300ppm) and (1980ppm, 6300ppm). For the first three samples, the sums of the concentrations of propane and butane are 4260ppm, 4980ppm and 4700ppm, (which are between 23% and 28% of the LEL, which is near the first alarm value). For the other three samples, the sums of the concentrations of propane and butane are 7400ppm, 7840ppm and 8280ppm, which are between 41% and 46% of the LEL, near the second alarm value set. Near the first alarm value, the first three samples were successively transmitted to the pit and each sample was evaluated 3 times. Near the second alarm value, the other three samples were used, and the process was similar to that used for the experiment near the first alarm value. Based on the PLS model constructed and mentioned above, the concentrations of propane and butane in the simulated leakage gas mixture samples could be calculated, according to the spectral data acquired from the four gas cells. Then the measurement errors were obtained by comparing the calculated concentration values with the real values which were known.

The results obtained in the determination of the individual concentrations of propane and butane in the samples and the corresponding measurement errors, near the first alarm value and the second alarm value, can be seen from Figure 7 (with the relative errors shown in Figure 8). In the simulated field measurement, the random air currents in this realistic simulation of a field trial situation may have caused some uneven distribution of the gas mixture sample in the pit and the moisture which is inevitably present may also bring a low level of noise in the absorption spectra – all conditions that would be expected in actual oil-gas monitoring situations. However, by using the approach combining the reference gas cells and the PLS method, the inaccuracy and instability otherwise present in the determination of the gas concentrations are effectively eliminated and the relative errors of the measurement values are
all restricted to below 3% LEL, which can be seen from Figure 8. In field measurements, this level of accuracy is difficult to achieve by using other methods based on TDLAS, such as the traditional PLS modeling approach, which is problematic to use in the field. The data further show that most of the results obtained in calculating the concentrations of propane and butane fractions in the gas mixture samples used in the pit are sufficiently accurate, with the relative errors below 1% LEL. Further, only a few larger random errors can be seen (with the relative errors close to 3% LEL), but all are below this limit. This is an encouraging result, as it meets the requirements from industry discussed previously for the better and accurate monitoring of combustible gases, (such as the propane/butane gas mixtures [32][33] in the oil industry targeted here).
V. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

The inherent features of the absorption spectra of propane and butane and their overlap over several wavelength bands bring major difficulties for the accurate, in-the-field monitoring of these important combustible gases familiar from the oil-gas industries, particularly monitoring propane and butane gas mixtures. The TDLAS method has been identified as an excellent approach for a better monitoring solution for industry where volatile petroleum samples are to be monitored, including samples in transit. In this work, a VCSEL laser source was utilized to scan over the spectral region from 1678.7 nm to 1686.1 nm, within which spectral region there are obvious absorption features for both propane and butane gases. In this work, three reference gas cells were utilized to obtain the absorption spectra of standard propane gas, standard butane gas and several propane/butane gas mixtures allowing, in the analysis, the noise caused by the laser energy loss along the laser transmitting path and the slight differences in the performance of the gas cells used to be eliminated or compensated. By fitting a linear superposition of the known absorption spectra of propane and butane with the absorption spectrum of gas mixture detected, the measurement problem that comes from the spectral overlap can be effectively removed – with fitting coefficients higher than 0.96 (and more than half of them higher than 0.99) actually observed. The PLS method was utilized to calibrate the relationship between the absorption spectra ‘weights’ and the concentrations of propane and butane in the mixture, to allow the calculation of the actual individual concentrations of propane and butane, when used in a realistic simulation of in-the-field monitoring conditions. The field tests carried out using known gas mixtures shows that near the first alarm value and the second alarm value set, a high measurement accuracy can be obtained with the relative errors in the results of the determination of the concentrations of propane and butane in the gas mixture sample. For tests carried out with mixtures below 3% LEL (here the ‘LEL’ is the LEL of butane, 1.8%, which is considered as the LEL of the mixture since the LEL of butane is lower than that for propane – and butane is the major part of the mixture as mentioned above), the positive results obtained are important as they meet the requirements from the industries the authors work with for the better, intrinsically-safe monitoring of combustible propane/butane gas mixtures[32][33].

While the results have shown the potential of the method, before the TDLAS-based technology can be exploited further for the monitoring of dangerous gases used in the industries mentioned above, further work needs to be done to ensure that different types of interference (such as noise caused by pressure and temperature changes and vibrations that inevitably occur) can be fully eliminated and such work is on-going. However, this research has shown a possible solution for the detection of gas mixtures with similar absorption spectral features and severe spectral overlap. This work has provided an excellent ‘proof of principle’ evaluation of the approach to underpin the design of a TDLAS-based field sensor system to monitor combustible gas mixtures, with complex components, and the hazards caused by the propane/butane gas mixtures which are so widely used by industry today.
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