Role of feedback and broadcasting in the naming game

Andrea Baronchelli

Departament de Física i Enginyeria Nuclear, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Campus Nord B4, 08034 Barcelona, Spain

The naming game (NG) describes the agreement dynamics of a population of agents that interact locally in a pairwise fashion, and in recent years statistical physics tools and techniques have greatly contributed to shed light on its rich phenomenology. Here we investigate in details the role played by the way in which the two agents update their states after an interaction. We show that slightly modifying the NG rules in terms of which agent performs the update in given circumstances (i.e. after a success) can either alter dramatically the overall dynamics or leave it qualitatively unchanged. We understand analytically the first case by casting the model in the broader framework of a generalized NG. As for the second case, on the other hand, we note that the modified rule reproducing the main features of the usual NG corresponds in fact to a simplification of it consisting in the elimination of feedback between the agents. This allows us to introduce and study a very natural broadcasting scheme on networks that can be potentially relevant for different applications, such as the design and implementation of autonomous sensor networks, as pointed out in the recent literature.

PACS numbers: 89.75.-k, 05.65.+b, 89.65.-s, 89.75.Hc

I. INTRODUCTION

The naming game (NG) describes a population of agents playing pairwise interactions in order to negotiate conventions. Following Wittengenstein’s intuition on language, the negotiation is seen as an activity in which one of the individuals (i.e. the “speaker”) tries to draw the attention of the other (i.e. the “hearer”) towards an external meaning through the production of a conventional form. For example, the speaker might want to make the hearer identify an object trough the production of a name. Based on the success or failure of the hearer in pinpointing the proper meaning, both agents reshape their internal meaning-form associations. Since in general at each time step a different pair interacts, the interesting point is to study how the local dynamics affects the population scale behavior, and to investigate the mechanisms leading to the final global consensus.

The model, originally defined to describe artificial intelligence experiments, has been recently brought to the attention of the community of statistical physicists for a detailed analysis of this point see [1]. It has been studied in fully connected graphs (i.e. in mean-field or homogeneous mixing populations), regular lattices, small world networks, random geometric graphs and static, dynamic and empirical complex networks. The final state of the system is always consensus, but stable polarized states can be reached introducing a simple confidence/trust parameter. The naming game as defined has also been modified in several ways and it represents the fundamental brick of more complex models in computational cognitive sciences. From the point of view of the applications, finally, it has been pointed out its relevance in system-design in the context of sensor networks, for such problems as autonomous key creation or selection for encrypted communication and, more recently, as a tool to investigate the community structure of social networks.

The rules are simple. The game is played by a population of $N$ agents, each of which characterized by an inventory, i.e. a list of words (or “conventions”, “opinions”, “forms” or “states”), whose size is not fixed. At every time step two agents are randomly selected and interact (see also Figure 1). One of them plays as speaker and the other one as hearer. The speaker picks randomly a word from her inventory and conveys it to the hearer. If the hearer’s inventory contains that word, the game is a success, and both agents delete all the words in their inventories but the one that has just been transmitted. Otherwise it is a failure, and the hearer adds the received word to her inventory. The scheme is completed by specifying that at the beginning of the game all inventories are empty, and that whenever a speaker has an empty inventory she invents a brand new word and transmits it to the hearer.

Here we focus on the success rule. The fact that both agents undergo the very same operation (i.e. shrink their inventories to the same unique word), underlies the existence of a feedback between the two. In the original formulation the feedback occurs through an outside world, with the hearer pointing to the object she would associate with the received word. The speaker would then point on its turn to the right object and both individuals would immediately know whether the game was a success or a failure. In the simplified version defined, however, the feedback simply consists in the hearer informing the speaker that she too has the transmitted word. In case of failure, on the other hand, no feedback is needed.

In what follows, we will investigate what happens when only one of the agents updates her inventory after a successful interaction. We will show that the situation changes dramatically depending on whether the update is performed by the hearer only or the speaker only, cases to which we will refer to as Hearer Only NG (HO-NG) and...
The speaker’s inventory contains three words, namely A, X, and Z, while the hearer’s one contains A and Y. If the speaker randomly selects word A, the interaction is a success (Top). In the usual NG both agents delete competing synonyms, in the HO-NG only the hearer update her inventory, while in the SO-NG only the speaker does that. When the word transmitted by the speaker is not known by the hearer (as for example X), on the other hand, the latter adds it in her inventory and the interaction is classified as a failure (Bottom). In this case, both the HO-NG and the SO-NG behave as the NG.

In particular, we will show that the HO-NG yields a scaling of the convergence time with the population size that is the same as the one observed in the usual NG. The SO-NG, on the other hand is significantly slower. We will understand analytically the reason beyond this difference and point out that the SO-NG spontaneously falls in the critical regime of the generalized naming game model introduced in [2] (Sec II). The fact that the HO-NG remains efficient, on the other hand, will allow us to introduce a very natural broadcasting scheme that significantly simplifies previously introduced protocols [2] when the population is embedded in any kind of topology (Sec III).

II. NON-SYMMETRIC UPDATING AND THE ROLE OF FEEDBACK

Relevant observables in the NG are the total number of words \( N_w(t) \), defined as the sum of the inventory sizes of all the agents, and the number of different words \( N_d(t) \), counting, as the name suggests, how many different words are present in the system at time \( t \).

The dynamics proceeds as follows (see Figure 2): at the beginning both \( N_w(t) \) and \( N_d(t) \) grow linearly as the agents invent new words. As invention ceases, \( N_d(t) \) reaches a plateau whose height is on average \( N/2 \) words (since the agents interact in pairs). \( N_w(t) \) keeps on the other hand growing till it reaches a maximum at time \( t_{\text{max}} \), whose height, \( N_w^{\text{max}} \), corresponds to the highest amount of memory (i.e. size of the inventories) required to the system. The total number of words then decreases and the system reaches the convergence state at time \( t_{\text{conv}} \). At convergence all the agents share the same unique word, so that \( N_w(t_{\text{conv}}) = N \) and \( N_d(t_{\text{conv}}) = 1 \).

We now focus on the scaling of the memory consumption and the convergence time with the system size. In the usual NG it holds \( t_{\text{conv}} \sim N^{\mu} \), \( t_{\text{max}} \sim N^{\nu} \) and \( N_w^{\text{max}} \sim N^{\omega} \), with \( \omega \approx \mu \approx \nu \approx 1.5 \) (where the time is counted in terms of microscopic interactions) [2]. Figure 2 shows that the same scaling is observed also in the SO-NG and the HO-NG as far as the time and height of the peak of \( N_w(t) \) are concerned. Looking at the convergence time, however, it is clear that while the HO-NG...
and the size is slower than the in the NG and the HO-NG. Also the scaling with the population size is balanced by the fact that both agents will never update her inventory after a success \[\text{Eq. (1), [20]}, \text{[33]}\].

In equation \[\text{(1)}, \text{on the other hand, an agent reduces her inventory from } AB \text{ to } A (B) \text{ only in case of an update following, with probability } \beta, \text{ a success on word } A (B). \text{ The interaction may involve another agent with two words (in this case the factor } 1/2 \text{ relative to the speaker extraction is balanced by the fact that both agents will have only } A (B) \text{ in their inventory), or an agent storing only } A (B). \text{ In the latter case, either the } AB \text{ agent is the speaker and plays } A (B) \text{ with probability } 1/2 \text{ or she is the hearer and the success is certain, the sum of these two terms yielding the factor } 3/2 \text{ (equation (4)).} \]

The above transition probabilities translate into the following mean-field equations for the evolution in time of the fractions of agents in each state \[\text{[20]}\]:

\[
dn_A = -n_B n_A + \beta n_A^2 + \frac{3\beta - 1}{2} n_A n_{AB} \tag{3}
\]
\[
dn_B = -n_A n_B + \beta n_B^2 + \frac{3\beta - 1}{2} n_B n_{AB} \tag{4}
\]

and \(n_{AB} = 1 - n_A - n_B\). The fixed points are \(n_A = 1, n_B = n_{AB} = 0\) and \(n_A = n_{AB} = 0, n_B = 1\) and \(n_A = b(\beta), n_B = b(\beta), n_{AB} = 1 - 2b(\beta)\) with \(b(\beta) = \frac{1 + 5\beta - \sqrt{1 + 10\beta + 17\beta^2}}{4\beta^2}\) (and \(b(0) = 0\)). Defining the magnetization as \(m = n_A - n_B\), we have

\[
dm = \frac{3\beta - 1}{2} n_{AB} m. \tag{5}
\]

Thus, for \(\beta_c > 1/3, \text{sign}(\frac{dn}{dt}) = \text{sign}(m); |m| \rightarrow 1\text{ and the system ends up in an absorbing state of consensus in the } A \text{ or } B \text{ option. For } \beta_c < 1/3, \text{sign}(\frac{dm}{dt}) = -\text{sign}(m) \text{ and } |m| \rightarrow 0, \text{ implying the stationary coexistence of the three phases, with } n_A = n_B \text{ and a finite density of } AB \text{ agents.} \]

To describe the SO-NG in the same framework one must modify Eq. \[\text{(2)}, \text{to take into account that the hearer never updates her inventory after a success [Eq. [11]}, \text{concerning the update following a failure, remains unchanged]. The transition probabilities now read} \]

\[
p_{A \rightarrow A} = \beta \left(\frac{n_A}{2} + \frac{n_{AB}}{2}\right), \quad p_{A \rightarrow B} = \beta \left(\frac{n_B}{2} + \frac{n_{AB}}{2}\right) \tag{6}
\]
Thus, the transition occurs at $\beta_c$ that
repeated also for the generalized HO-NG, where one finds
rather ends up there only due to large, system size, fluc-
tuations in the NG \[20\]. This explains why the behavior of the
system is not driven to consensus, but
SO-NG is qualitatively different from the one observed in
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yielding

$$
\frac{dn_A}{dt} = -n_A n_B + \frac{\beta}{2} n_{AB}^2 + \frac{\beta - 1}{2} n_A n_{AB} \tag{7}
$$

$$
\frac{dn_B}{dt} = -n_A n_B + \frac{\beta}{2} n_{AB}^2 + \frac{\beta - 1}{2} n_B n_{AB} \tag{8}
$$

and therefore

$$
\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{\beta - 1}{2} n_{AB} m. \tag{9}
$$

Thus, the transition occurs at $\beta_c = 1$, and the SO-NG is
centrally critical. This explains why the behavior of the
SO-NG is qualitatively different from the one observed in
the usual NG. The system is not driven to consensus, but
rather ends up there only due to large, system size, fluc-
tuations in the magnetization. The same analysis can be
repeated also for the generalized HO-NG, where one finds
that $\beta_c = 1/2$. Hence, even though for $\beta = 1$ the HO-
NG and the NG behave in the same qualitative way, the
latter is more robust to perturbations in the generalized
setting. Figure 4 shows that numerical simulations are
in very good agreement with the theoretical prediction,
confirming that focusing on the two words case is indeed
a valid assumption to determine the critical values of $\beta$
(while it can be an oversimplifying starting point to de-
scribe more subtle properties of the convergence process
in the NG \[20\]).

III. BROADCASTING ON NETWORKS

Complex networks are the natural environment to in-
vestigate the dynamics of models that aim at describing
social, technological or biological systems \[33, 34\]. Moti-
vated by the communication protocols employed by
sensor nodes, Lu, Korniss and Szymanski introduced a
broadcast version of the NG to make it applicable in sensor
networks \[10, 12\]. In this framework, the rules are the
same as in the usual NG but for the fact that the speaker
transmits her word to all her neighbors at the same time,
rather than to a randomly selected one. If a hearer has
that word in her inventory, she deletes all the compet-
ing synonyms but the winning one, otherwise she adds
the new word to her memory. As for the speaker, she
will consider the interaction a success if at least one of
the hearers knows it. At least one successful hearer must
therefore report to the speaker that she knew the trans-
mittted word. In real network this communication could
be performed for example through the “lecture hall”
algorithm \[10\].

The results discussed above about the HO-NG how-
ever show that, at least as far as pairwise interactions
are considered, there is no need for the speaker to receive
any feedback in order to guarantee an efficient route to
convergence. Thus, a very natural broadcasting scheme
might simply consist in letting only the hearer update
their inventories, following the usual rules in case of fail-
ure or success. Figure 5 shows that such a simple broad-
casting scheme guarantees a fast convergence. The scal-
ing exponent $\omega$ of the consensus time, $t_{converge} \sim N^{\omega}$, is
$\omega \simeq 1.4$ in Erdos-Renyi (ER) homogeneous graphs and
$\omega \simeq 1.1$ in uncorrelated heterogeneous networks \[34\], the
latter being compatible also with a logarithmic behav-
ior $t_{converge} \sim N \ln N$. Therefore the broadcasting scheme
yields a much faster convergence when the broadcast-

![FIG. 4: (Color online) The generalized NG - Consensus time as a function of $\beta$. In the NG the convergence time $t_{converge}$ diverges at $\beta_c = 1/3$. The HO-NG is less robust, and $\beta_c = 1/2$. For the SO-NG, on the other hand, it holds $\beta_c = 1$, so that the final consensus is never a stable solution. Dotted vertical lines represent the theoretical predictions. Data refer to a population of $3 \times 10^3$ agents and each point represents the average value obtained from 30 simulation runs. Error bars represent the statistical error of the average value.](image1)

![FIG. 5: (Color online) Broadcasting on networks. Scaling of the consensus time (top) and the maximum number of words (bottom) for the broadcasting rule on ER homogeneous (left) and UCM heterogeneous networks (right). Each point is averaged over 30 simulation runs (5 runs on each of 6 network realizations), and error bars are not visible on the scale of the graph. Heterogeneous networks (right panels) are generated with the Uncorrelated Configuration Model (UCM) \[33\], with minimum degree $k = 4$ and degree exponent, $P(k) \sim k^{-\gamma}$, is $\gamma = 2.5$. ER networks are generated with $k = 8$.](image2)
ing protocol is adopted, but only in heterogeneous networks. This is not the case in the usual NG, where it holds $\omega \sim 1.4$ in both ER and heterogeneous networks \cite{14}.

Though faster, however, the broadcasting scheme is not scalable in the thermodynamic limit, since the maximum memory required to the system scales with an exponent $\mu \simeq 1.1$ (again compatible with a behavior $N_W^{max} \sim N \ln N$, thus implying that single agents should have an infinite memory as $N \to \infty$. This is not the case for the usual NG, where it holds $\mu \simeq 1$ \cite{14}. Strictly speaking, therefore, it is not possible to conclude whether the broadcast rule has to be preferred to the usual pairwise interaction scheme, but rather it would be necessary to decide in a case by case setting, depending also on the topology in which the agents are embedded. In case of heterogeneous networks, broadcasting is certainly the fastest solution when the memory of the system is not a vital parameter, while pairwise interaction offers the best solution if inventory size is a (major) concern. However, the memory consumption is probably not a big issue for all the practical purposes in which a finite population is considered, due to the small value of $\mu$.

In \cite{2} it is pointed out also that the NG could provide a valuable mechanism for leader election among a group of sensors. The leader is a single node with important responsibilities ranging from routing coordination to key distribution, and the NG would make the identification of the leader hardly predictable from the outside, resulting in enhanced security of the system to possible attacks. To study the effect of broadcasting on the election of the leader, we have run simulations in which at the beginning of the process every node is endowed with a word. All words are different and therefore represent a tag assigned to the agents. Having checked that these initial conditions do not alter the scaling properties of the system (data not shown), we look at the statistics of the word upon which consensus is reached, and more in particular on the degree of the node to which it was assigned at the beginning. It has already been observed, even though never quantified, that in the case of pairwise interactions the hubs, playing mostly as hearers, are not good promoters for conventions, but rather act as intermediaries between lower degree nodes \cite{12}. In fact, Figure 6 shows that in the NG the probability that a given node of degree $k$ spreads her identity (i.e., is elected) is linearly suppressed by a factor $k$, and the same behavior is observed also for the HO-NG (not shown). Remarkably, the situation is inverted in the broadcasting scheme, where this probability is magnified by the same linear $k$ factor. Due to the dramatic nonlinearities of the process it is difficult to go beyond the numerical experiment, but this observation can be relevant for the applications, and at the same time sheds light on the existence of profound differences between the pairwise and the broadcasting schemes at a microscopic level, whose detailed investigation is left for future work.

![FIG. 6: (Color online) Leader election. The probability that a given agent gets elected increases (almost) linearly with the degree in the broadcasting scheme (full symbols) and it is inversely proportional to the same quantity in the usual NG with pairwise interactions (empty symbols). All curves refer to heterogeneous networks generated with the UCM with minimum degree $k = 4$ and degree exponent $\gamma = 2.5$ (circles) and $\gamma = 3$ (squares). Data come from $10^4$ simulation runs and have been logarithmically binned. Curves are vertically shifted for clarity.](image)

**IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper we have focused on the role of feedback in the NG. We have modified the rule that prescribes the symmetric update of the agents’ inventories after a successful interaction, addressing the two circumstances in which only one of them acts in case of success. We have shown that if the updating agent is the speaker the NG protocol becomes inefficient and the final consensus state is reached in much longer times as compared to the scaling of the usual symmetric rule. Casting this finding in the broader context of a generalized NG scheme, we have shown analytically that preventing the update of the hearer sets the model to a critical point below which consensus is not the stable solution, and the system would persist forever (in the thermodynamic limit) in a polarized state in which two words survive indefinitely. At the critical point the magnetization is therefore conserved and consensus is reached through large fluctuations in a finite system, thus being slower than in the NG. When the update is performed only by the hearer, on the other hand, the scaling of the main quantities with the system size remains the same as in the usual NG. Thus, feedback is not crucial in the NG as defined in \cite{2}, i.e. the scaling of the convergence time and of the memory required to the system does not change if the hearer never informs the speaker of the outcome of the game.

The results concerning the HO-NG have also allowed us to introduce a very natural broadcasting scheme in which the speaker transmits simultaneously the word to all of her neighbors, which update their inventories following the usual rules. We have shown that this scheme is efficient in terms of convergence time, outperforming the pairwise interaction rule in heterogeneous networks as far as the scaling of the convergence time is concerned,
with some minor drawbacks from the point of view of the memory requirements. Finally, we have discussed how these findings could be relevant also from the point of view of possible applications in the field of sensor networks [4, 12].

Our work sheds light on the dynamics of the NG, pointing out that the update of the hearer is a fundamental ingredient of the model contrarily to the feedback provided to the speaker, which turns out to be less crucial. It also opens the way to several lines of investigation. From the theoretical point of view, a systematic study of the broadcasting dynamics on different kinds of networks as well as its generalization, and thorough characterization, in the probabilistic $\beta$ framework are potentially very interesting. Furthermore, while in this paper we have concentrated on the study of the system scale behaviors, performing in future a detailed analysis of the microscopic aspects of the dynamics, so far addressed only in [15], could provide important insights into the broadcasting rule as well as into the difference between the NG, the SO-NG and the HO-NG. It is also worth noting that the result concerning the possibility of neglecting the hearer’s feedback might help in the more ambitious exploration of the connection between the dynamics of the NG and the one of the voter model [16, 17] or of other simple ordering dynamics schemes (see also [14] on the challenges concerning this point).

From the point of view of the applications, finally, the broadcasting scheme is relevant for sensor nodes, as we have already mentioned. Moreover, it could be the best solution also in those cases in which the communicating agents are not embedded in a static network, but on the contrary move in an unknown environment and need to communicate about their exploration. [15]. In general, broadcasting is the fundamental communication mechanism in different frameworks ranging from scientists communicating through articles visible to their community to social tagging systems like Delicious [11], from bacterial quorum sensing [12] to social networks like Facebook [43] or Twitter [44], and the NG could now constitute an helpful conceptual tool also in these cases (see also [45]).
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[41] URL http://www.delicious.com/
[49] The fact that the invented word is actually new to the whole population corresponds to discarding homonymy [2].
[50] The curve for the convergence time of the NG for systems of different sizes exhibits small oscillations when plotted in log-log scale [7] and it does not therefore appear as a perfectly straight line.
[51] The fact that the HO-NG and the NG behave in the same way as far as the scaling with the system size of the relevant quantities is concerned holds in the framework of the simplified scheme introduced in [2], being a consequence of the the fact that homonymy is not taken into account. Feedback remains in fact a fundamental ingredient of any language games, as devised by Wittengstein [3].
[52] This is in fact the first of a series of transitions occurring as $\beta \to 0$ [20].