Exploring Road Incident Data with Heat Maps

Iain Dillingham,1 Bryn Mills2 and Jason Dykes3

1,3the giCentre, School of Informatics, City University London, EC1V 0HB
2Trafficlink, 91 Charterhouse Street, London, EC1M 6HR
1Tel. +44 (0)20 7040 295, Fax +44 (0)20 7040 8584
Email: 1abhm372@soi.city.ac.uk, 2bryn.mills@trafficlink.co.uk, 3jad7@soi.city.ac.uk

ABSTRACT: This research seeks to determine whether heat mapping is an effective technique for
the visual exploration of road incident data. Four software prototypes, which adopted map, treemap
and spatial treemap layouts, were developed using open source software. Whilst the visualization
process described by Fry (2007) informed the development effort, the evaluation methodology was
based on the Nested Process Model (Munzner, 2009). The results of two evaluation methods –
a design study and the presentation and discussion of results with domain experts – confirm heat
mapping’s validity and provide requirements for further software development.

KEYWORDS: heat map, visualization, evaluation, open source software

1. Introduction

Every day, thousands of journeys are made on Britain’s road network. To avoid congestion, many
drivers seek traffic updates on the web, radio and television. Ultimately, these updates are produced
by companies such as Trafficlink,1 who analyse road incident data. Unwin et al. (2008) assert that the
first stage of analysis involves getting to know the data, a process where graphical representations play
an important role in assessing data quality, cleaning data and highlighting structure and outliers, for
example. This research seeks to determine whether one such graphical representation, the heat map, is
an effective technique for the visual exploration of road incident data.

Previous work undertaken within Trafficlink suggested that heat mapping could be used to represent and
predict congestion. However, the term heat map is loosely defined and may refer to the representation of
geographic or non-geographic data. In cartography, for example, a heat map is an unclassed isarithmic
map used to represent smooth, continuous phenomena (Slocum et al., 2009); in statistics, a cluster heat
map is a permutable grid of cells, where each cell is coloured by value (Wilkinson and Friendly, 2009).
Ultimately, both approaches informed the software development effort.

Four software prototypes were developed to explore the road incident data, reflecting the requirements of
the target domain. The prototypes explored the number and severity of road incidents at multiple spatial
and temporal resolutions; compared observed to expected number of road incidents; and represented
the number of road incidents and length of road network across three road categories (‘A’, ‘B’ and
motorways). Three prototypes adopted a map layout, whilst one adopted treemap (Shneiderman, 1992)
and spatial treemap (Slingsby et al., 2008) layouts.

The importance of evaluation when developing techniques to visualize geographic information is noted
elsewhere (Slocum et al., 2001). Consequently, to determine whether heat mapping is an effective tech-
nique, an evaluation methodology based on the Nested Process Model (Munzner, 2009) was used. This

1http://www.trafficlink.co.uk/
model consists of four nested levels, where threats to a visualization’s validity, alongside appropriate evaluation methods, are identified at each level (Munzner, 2009).

The structure of this paper is as follows: section two describes the software development effort, situating it within the visualization process described by Fry (2007). Section three describes the evaluation methodology, maps the visualization process described by Fry (2007) to the Nested Process Model (Munzner, 2009) and reports the results of immediate and downstream validation. Section four concludes and offers directions for future research.

2. The visualization process

Visualization can be viewed as a seven stage process, where the initial four stages – acquiring the data, parsing (structuring and ordering the data), filtering (obtaining only the data of interest) and mining (discerning patterns in the data or setting the mathematical context) (Fry, 2007) – involve data processing, or the mapping of concrete data to abstract data-types (Munzner, 2009). This visualization process has been successfully used to explore library loans data, for example (Radburn et al., 2010) and structures the following discussion.

The road incident data archive was supplied as a single 4.7G tab-separated-values file. The archive contained 14.5 million records, describing 2.5 million road incidents which occurred in Britain between September 2002 and September 2009. Each road incident was described over multiple contiguous lines, leading to considerable data redundancy.

The road incident data archive was parsed and loaded into MySQL\(^2\) using standard Unix and MySQL command-line tools. Although large in size, performing these operations took under 15 minutes. Using MySQL permitted more effective filtering of the road incident data, especially when the command-line interface was used within MySQL Workbench,\(^3\) a graphical tool for interacting with MySQL databases. With appropriate indices, a simple query returning rows relating to a road incident executed in under a second, whilst a more complex query involving a temporal filter executed in under 13 seconds. Although relatively slow, querying the database was quicker than extracting rows directly from the archive.

Querying the database also permitted more effective mining and led to the discovery of several anomalies concerning road incident start and end times. These anomalies illustrated how the problem of characterising a road incident was non-trivial: not only could a road incident change over time but because of errors in the archival process, temporal change was not recorded accurately.

To conclude the data mining stage, a subset of road incidents occurring in February and August 2008 were exported from the database. The OGR Simple Feature Library\(^4\) was then used to perform a coordinate transform operation on the geographic location of each road incident to ensure consistency with the boundary data used in the data representation stage. This library, released by the Open Source Geospatial Foundation, uses an abstract data model to access geographic data stored in standard and

\(^2\)http://www.mysql.com/
\(^3\)http://wb.mysql.com/
\(^4\)http://www.gdal.org/ogr/
non-standard formats. The transformed subset was loaded into MySQL, alongside geometry data generated by a PHP script for polygons covering Britain at six spatial resolutions. MySQL’s spatial extensions were then used to produce summary data for the number and the severity of road incidents for each polygon at each spatial resolution over multiple time periods.

The final three stages in the visualization process involve representing the data, refining the representation and adding interaction to the representation (Fry, 2007). As previously noted, map and treemap layouts were selected, with a spatial treemap layout bridging the two. The software prototypes used ColorBrewer.org colour schemes (Harrower and Brewer, 2003) and were developed using Processing with additional functionality provided by the giCentre Utilities. The prototypes which implemented a map layout used boundary data from the Meridian 2 dataset, available under the Ordnance Survey’s OpenData initiative. Although screenshots are provided, the reader is encouraged to watch the video presentations on the accompanying web page to learn more:

http://dillingham.me.uk/modules/dissertation/

The software prototypes support differing levels of interaction. Collectively, interaction with the data consists of brushing cells in the treemap; interaction with the temporal dimension consists of stepping forwards and backwards through time periods; and interaction with the data representation consists of panning and zooming (Crampton, 2002).

3. Visualization evaluation

To determine whether heat mapping is an effective technique, it is essential to demonstrate that a heat map is appropriate to the task and is suitably well constructed; in short, to demonstrate the technique’s validity (Munzner, 2009). The Nested Process Model (NPM) (Munzner, 2009) provides a structure within which the factors threatening heat mapping’s validity can be examined. The NPM has four nested levels, where each level has both immediate and downstream evaluation methods. The latter are necessary because errors at outer levels will propagate to inner levels and because the validation of outer levels is often impossible until inner levels are completed (Munzner, 2009).

The elements in the visualization process described by Fry (2007) can be split into data processing (acquiring, parsing, filtering, mining) and data representation (representing, refining, interacting). Data processing maps to level two in the NPM, where the (concrete) vocabulary of the target domain is mapped to the (abstract) vocabulary of visualization (Munzner, 2009). In addition, it should be noted that level two also encompasses requirements gathering. Similarly, data representation maps to level three, where visual encodings and interactions are designed (Munzner, 2009).

To counter threats to validity at level two in the NPM, Munzner (2009) suggests only downstream evaluation methods such as field studies are appropriate. Field studies take place in a natural environment.
and encompass interviews, observations, questionnaires and the collection and analysis of design artifacts (Sharp et al., 2007). However, because they are often of extended duration an agile approach to gathering requirements and processing and representing data was adopted. This approach, combined with previous work undertaken within Trafficlink, also countered the principal threat at level one: mis-characterising the problem (Munzner, 2009).

In seeking to assess the effectiveness of heat mapping, level three of the NPM is the ‘focus’ level. Here, the methods suggested by Munzner (2009) for immediate validation are all analytical, in the sense of not involving end users (Sharp et al., 2007). However, although a heuristic evaluation or an expert review would have been appropriate, a design study was selected as this method accords with the ‘formalised informal’ approach to evaluation which although “seldom explicitly discussed” is commonplace in cartography (Krygier, 1999, 249).

The design study highlighted how the software prototypes followed cartographic practice. The absence of a formal definition of heat mapping allowed some flexibility with the colour scheme, although greater control over the number of data classes and the data classification method would have been a considerable advantage. Whilst an assessment of the interactivity provided by the prototypes based on system provision (Crampton, 2002) suggested that they provided reasonably powerful forms of interaction, an alternative assessment based on user intention highlighted several omissions, such as the ability to (re)encode and select elements in the data representation (Yi et al., 2007).

Munzner (2009) suggests downstream validation at level three of the NPM should encompass presentation and discussion of results, analysis of result images or user studies. The analysis of result images is often used where empirically-derived aesthetic guidelines exist (Munzner, 2009). Given the similarity of this evaluation method to a design study, it was not adopted. Similarly, whilst user studies have many advantages, it has been argued that they conflict with the nature of insight (North, 2006). This conflict is especially problematic as the generation of insight is fundamental to visualization (Card et al., 1999). Consequently, results were presented to and discussed with three traffic analysts and one external expert.

The presentation and discussion of results with domain experts was positive. The requirements to explore deviation from a ‘normal state’ (i.e. the expected number or severity of road incidents); variation between classes of road incidents; and ‘temporal clearance’ (i.e. the time between the start of a road incident and a return to the normal state) were noted as well as the requirement to model, as well as to explore, road incident data. One traffic analyst identified the treemap prototype, which implemented spatial and non-spatial layouts, as the most useful. The feedback suggested this prototype, alongside that which compared observed to expected number of road incidents, warranted further development and could make a positive contribution to the domain experts’ work.

4. Conclusion

Four software prototypes, which adopted map, treemap and spatial treemap layouts, were developed to determine whether heat mapping is an effective technique for the visual exploration of road incident data. Validation at the visual encoding and interaction design level of the Nested Process Model (Munzner, 2009) was undertaken using a design study and by presenting and discussing results with domain experts.
experts. Threats at outer levels were addressed. Based on this validation process, it is possible to assert that heat mapping is indeed an effective technique for the visual exploration of road incident data.

Several recommendations for future research spring from this conclusion. The first is to conduct a comparative study encompassing alternative approaches to data representation, whilst the second is to formalise a definition of heat mapping necessary for such a study. Both address the question of the degree to which heat mapping is an effective technique. Finally, the feedback from domain experts suggested the treemap and spatial treemap layouts had the potential to assist them in their work. Evaluation of these specific techniques would contribute to a greater understanding of how best to represent geographic data.
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