Optimization of a log wood boiler through CFD simulation methods

Nesiadis Athanasios*
Nikolopoulos Nikolaos*a,b,⁎
n.nikolopoulos@certh.gr
Margaritis Nikolaos*a
Grammelis Panagiotis*
Emmanuel Kakaras*a

*aCentre for Research & Technology Hellas/Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute (CERTH/CPERI), 4th km. N.R. Ptolemais-Mpodosakeio, GR-50200, Ptolemais, Greece
bClean EnergyLtd, 4th km N.R. Ptolemais-Bodosakeio, Kozani, Greece, GR-50200
⁎Corresponding author at: 52, Egialias str., GR-15235, Maroussi, Greece.

Abstract
This paper describes the steady-state modeling and simulation of a wood log fired boiler. It is designed and manufactured by THERMODYNAMIKI S.A (KOMBI), a company based on Northern Greece. Its nominal fuel power is around 32 kW and its efficiency is close to 75%. A specific operating case, selected and experimentally investigated by the manufacturer, is examined. Due to the highly transient and complex nature of wood log combustion, an ad-hoc model has been developed. Its main characteristic is the fuel division into three components: water vapor, volatiles and fixed char. The latter is considered to form a layer, which is treated as a porous medium thus forming a volumetric source zone adjacent to the firebed area. Volatiles and water vapor are modeled as volumetric mass sources, whereas a two-step reaction mechanism of the former is considered. The numerical results are compared against corresponding experimental data for the nominal load as far as flue gas characteristics (temperature and species concentration) at the boiler exit, are concerned. Based on the validated model, a proposed optimization pattern of the boiler is undertaken and examined by means of CFD.
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Nomenclature and units
CFD
computational fluid dynamics
LHV
lower heating value
LPG
liquefied petroleum gas
MDF
medium density fiber
RANS
Reynolds Averaged Navier Stokes

RTE
radiative transport equation

TGA
thermo-gravimetric analysis

**Abbreviations**

A
pre-exponential factor (1/s)

$C_{\text{in}}$
inertia loss coefficient (1/m)

$C_{\rho}$
heat capacity (J/(kg K))

$C_{\mu}$
turbulence model constant, (0.09)

$D$
diameter (m)

$E$
total energy (J)

$E_{\text{a}}$
activation energy (J/k mol)

F
external body forces (volumetric), (N/m$^3$)
gravitational acceleration (m/s²)
\( g \)

turbulence kinetic energy generation due to buoyancy (kg/(m²s²))
\( G_b \)

turbulence kinetic energy generation due to velocity gradients (kg/(m²s))
\( G_k \)
sensible enthalpy (J/kg)
\( H \)

formation enthalpy (J/kg)
\( H^f \)
total enthalpy (J/kg)
\( H \)
radiation intensity (W/m²)
\( I \)
species diffusion flux (kg/(m² s))
\( J_i \)
turbulent kinetic energy (m²/s²)
\( K \)
devolatilization kinetic rate (kg s⁻¹ m⁻¹ (Nm²s⁻²)⁻¹)
\( k_{kr} \)
bed depth (m)
\( L \)

mass flow rate (kg/s)
\( \dot{m} \)

mass per mass fuel (kg/kg)
\( m^p \)
molecular weight (kg/k mol)
\( M_w \)
refractive index of the medium (N)
$P$
presence (N/m$^2$)

$\vec{r}$
position vector

R
universal gas constant, (8314 J/(k mol K))

$\bar{w}$
net rate of species production (volumetric) (kg/(m$^3$ s))

$\vec{x}$
direction vector

$\vec{x}^{\|}$
scattering direction vector

S
Path length (m)

$S_h$
heat sources (volumetric)(W/m$^3$)

$S_i$
species sources (volumetric) (kg/(m$^3$ s))

$S_k$
turbulence kinetic energy sources (kg/(m s$^3$))

$S_m$
mass sources (volumetric) (kg/(m$^3$ s))

$S_s$
turbulent dissipation rate sources (kg/(m s$^4$))

$t$
time (s)

T
 temperature (K)

$u$
velocity (m/s)

x
distance (m)

Y

species mass fraction

$Y_M$

correction of the fluctuating dilatation to the turbulent dissipation rate (kg/(ms$^3$))

Subscripts

ar

as received
db

dry basis
daf

dry ash free
cell

cell
d

devolatilization
eff

effective
f

fluid (continuous phase)

fuel

fuel

L

air

LoT

dry stoichiometric air

LT
Greek letters

\( \alpha \)  
absorption coefficient (1/m)

\( \alpha_p \)  
medium permeability (m²)

\( \gamma \)  
porosity

\( \varepsilon \)  
turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate (m²/s³)

\( \varepsilon_v \)  
void fraction

\( \kappa \)  
thermal conductivity (W/(m K))

\( \lambda \)  
air to fuel ratio

\( \mu \)  
dynamic viscosity (kg/(m s))

\( \rho \)  
density (kg/m³)

\( \sigma \)  
Stefan–Boltzmann constant, \((5.67 \times 10^8 \text{ W/(m}^2\text{K}^4))\)

\( \sigma_a \)  
turbulent kinetic energy Prandtl number, (1.0)
1 Introduction

Nowadays, wood is commonly used for space heating and power generation in the developed countries, whereas it remains a primary energy source in domestic and industrial environments in many developing countries. Its use as a fuel is considered to be carbon neutral, since it can be combined with a sustainable model of cultivation and consumption. For this reason wood is included in the renewable energy sources and particularly in the “biomass and wastes” category. The use of the latter faced a rapid expansion in the EU27, up to 139%, between 1990 and 2008[1], as a part of the strategy to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. In 2010 wood and waste were the leading source of renewable energy accounting for 49% of the renewable consumption, while it contributed 4.8% to the total gross inland energy consumption of European Union[2]. In Greece these values were 38% and 2.9% respectively, whereas noteworthy is the fact that in 2011 fuelwood accounted for 72% of the country’s roundwood production[2]. Apart from the near zero CO₂ emissions, another advantage of wood compared to fossil fuels is its lower sulfur and nitrogen concentration resulting in reduced SOₓ and NOₓ emissions[3]. However, wood combustion should be carefully treated since insufficient burning results in harmful particle and CO emissions.

Wood combustion characteristics have been the subject of several studies. Anca Couce et al. [4] determined a kinetic model of pine wood by thermo-gravimetric analysis (TGA), which includes five components, i.e. three wood pseudo-components, char and ash. The former devolatilize through pyrolysis or oxidation, depending on oxygen concentration, while char oxidizes and produces ash. The model validity was confirmed against other well-established models. Yorulamz and Atimtay [5] investigated the combustion mechanisms of treated waste wood samples (MDF, polywood and particleboard) using TGA and compared them to the behavior of untreated pine. Based on their TGA results they determined three thermal degradation mechanisms. A first one is owed to moisture and highly volatile matters, a second one during which the removal and oxidation of volatiles take place and a third region characterized by the oxidation of the char remaining. In all cases, the dominant oxidation mechanisms were determined. Amutio et al. [6] studied the pyrolysis behavior and kinetics of forest shrub wastes. Three independent and parallel reaction models corresponding to the decomposition of hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin were utilized for describing the samples degradation. Their study concluded that the forest wastes valorization by pyrolysis is possible. Skodras et al. [7] examined the pyrolysis and combustion characteristics of 10 biomass and waste materials. A model involving three or four parallel reactions was employed for simulating the devolatilization process and evaluating the kinetic parameters. The effect of heating rate was also investigated. Finally, Di Blasi [8] in an extensive review summarized the latest advances in modeling chemical and biomass processes of wood and biomass pyrolysis. This survey is not restricted only in chemical kinetics, but also includes transport models of biomass particle pyrolysis and models of pyrolysis reactors. The fixed-bed models are based on the assumption that the bed forms a continuum porous solid, but generally they are empirical and valid only in specific experimental conditions.

As far as the numerical modeling of biomass combustion is concerned, several CFD studies have been conducted during the recent years. However, they are primary focused on co-firing of biomass and conventional fossil fuels in large-scale boilers. Only a few of them involve wood burnout in small scale boilers. Bruch et al. [9] investigated numerically the wood combustion under fixed bed conditions. They developed a hybrid model in which the bed is considered to consist of a number of particles. A single-particle one dimensional transient model describes the particle thermal conversion, while a classic Eulerian method is utilized for the gaseous flow calculation. Their formula was experimentally validated for the case of beech wood combustion. On the other hand, Collazo et al. [10] presented a three dimensional model for the simulation of wood combustion in fixed-bed systems. The developed CFD code solves the governing equations for both solid and gaseous phases as well as their interaction. Comparison with experimental data showed a close agreement. In a similar approach, Gomez et al. [11] modeled the 3D thermal conversion of solid fuels and their
interaction with the gas phase in biomass packed beds. Drying, devolatilization and char oxidation and gasification constitute the introduced combustion scheme. Their model was tested in the case of an experimental burner with satisfactory results. Zhang et al. [12] presented an experimental and numerical investigation of the performance of a wood chip fired residential boiler. The applied numerical model consisted of two sub-models: one for the burning bed and one for the freeboard flow, which interacted with each other. They focused mainly on the flue gas emissions and explored the effect of various boiler aspects on them.

The numerical simulation of an 18 kW domotic boiler was performed by Collazo et al. [13]. In contrast to the previously mentioned studies, a simplified method was developed assuming that the particles in the bed are in thermal equilibrium with the gaseous phase. Another simplification is the constant temperature consideration for calculating the pyrolysis and char oxidation products. The comparison of numerical results with experimental data showed an acceptable level of accuracy, but the model applicability is limited in cases where the gases residence time is no longer than the time required for their reaction, i.e. when the bed is type of a stirred reactor. Another simplified method for simulating fixed-bed pellet boilers was introduced by Chaney et al. [14]. Its main feature is the fact that fixed bed is not modelled as a porous zone, but as discrete devolatilization zones within the bed region. The devolatilization rate in its cell is configured so as to ensure correct mass and energy balance. In the same zones the char combustion takes place—its rate is controlled by the desired power output and the fuel mass flow. The computational results proved to be quite promising. Finally, Shiehejadehsar et al. [15] developed an empirical bed combustion model. It consists of 1D profiles of the fuel components along the grate, parameters describing the fuel components conversion to flue gas and governing the local composition of the latter and finally calculation of the local energy and mass profiles. It is combined with an Eulerian treatment of the gaseous phase and of the corresponding volumetric reactions. This method was utilized for the design optimization of an 180 kW biomass furnace concerning the minimization of CO emissions with satisfactory results. The same fixed bed model was adapted in order to derive time-dependent profiles of wood log combustion and was applied in a wood log fired stove optimization study [16]. In this case, the fuel was divided into water vapor, fixed char and volatiles and the latter were released from the outer layer of the logs. The numerical results were tested successfully against experimental data. The optimized design combined considerably reduced CO emissions and more than 10% thermal efficiency increase. The same formulation was later used for the development and optimization of several small-scale biomass furnaces and stoves [17]. Reduction of the NOx emissions and fine particle formation was of particular interest in all cases.

To relate this work with similar references, the published papers dealing with the numerical investigation of small-scale domestic boilers are very few. Compared to the work of other researchers, the current study does not focus only on the CFD investigation of a boiler, but also includes a design optimization concept which appears to improve the boiler operational characteristics. In that sense, the character of this work is not purely academic, but may also have an industrial impact.

Moreover, a unique characteristic of the specific boiler under investigation is the utilization of the water-tubes like a scab for the fixed bed formation. This configuration presents several modeling difficulties, since the fixed bed formed is very close to a wall surface of a non-flat geometry.

Another characteristic of this study is the use of a very dense numerical grid (1.1 million elements), specifically being much denser at the vicinity of the heat exchanging surfaces (inflation layers), for such a very small volume, which can be regarded to increase significantly the accuracy of the CFD results. Especially those concerning the induced wall heat flux. Specific results concerning the grid dependency on the predictions are presented in a following section. In similar works, coarser grids of around 217,000 [12] or 700,000 [15] cells have been implemented for the modeling of small-scale boilers (load > 150 kW). Last but not least, the use of porous media approaches for the modelling of the fixed bed is a feature which can be found in several other works, [10,11,13,16,17]. However, a detailed literature review on available model approaches for fixed beds [14] confirms that this approach is not commonly used in such systems.

2 Methodology and scope

The successful numerical simulation of the boiler steady-state operation is defined as the first task. The tool used for CFD simulations is the commercial software ANSYS Fluent. The parametric geometry software ANSYS Design Modeler and ANSYS Meshing software are used for the boiler geometry reconstruction and numerical grid generation respectively.

In order to validate the numerical results, experimental measurements of the flue gas composition and temperature, as well as of the inlet air properties are performed by the boiler manufacturer. A portable combustion analyzer is used for the measurement, which has also the ability to estimate the boiler efficiency and the draught of the air flow. Inlet and outlet temperatures of the water are also reported. Fuel (wood) chemical analysis is carried out by CERTH. All these available data are used in order to simulate as accurately as possible the fuel combustion and the subsequent heat transfer phenomena taking place in the boiler interior.

Generally such small scale boilers undergo a three step sequence of fuel combustion (heat-up, constant load or stationary operation and cool-down period). Nevertheless, the principal scope of this work is to build a steady-state numerical model capable of predicting the boiler behavior during its constant load operation, assuming that the fuel and oxidizing medium flow rates along with their corresponding compositions are kept constant. In that light and during that period of time, the manufacturer was only capable of monitoring the key-values at the boiler exit only at specific time instants with a portable gas analyzer. Unfortunately, the measurement equipment used is not linked with a data logging system in order to provide a series of real time measurements. Given this restriction, it is decided to use experimental data for the flue gas exiting the boiler collected at four equal spaced 5 minutes time instants.

Finally as well referenced in similar papers conducting that kind of research, during the constant load boiler operation, the flue gas exit values change in time with a deviation less than 1 ± 1.5% [12]. This deviation is valid for the CO2 and O2 concentrations, while CO concentration can have a deviation in the range of 300 ppm with an average value of 310 ppm. The second phase includes the numerical simulation of a modified boiler design. An improvement of the
boiler thermal efficiency and/or the emission reduction are the expected features of the new design. Since any alterations entail financial cost and/or constructional difficulties, the final decision upon the applied changes depends on the manufacturer. Finally, it should be stated, that the model is capable, with this steady-state approach, of predicting any time instant boiler operation, with the prerequisite that all available input data are available.

2.1 Simulation of the boiler

The boiler under consideration is the KOMBI kn 30/50 model. It is a wood fired boiler, which has the ability to burn various forms of solid fuels such as firewood and charcoal. It can also be converted into oil or LPG burning boiler, while maintaining high efficiency. A general view of the boiler is displayed in Fig. 1.

The boiler is manufactured from high quality steel St-37.2. It is insulated with a 3 cm layer of high density fiberglass and externally lined with pre-painted steel sheets. The fuel is supplied through a large opening door (marked with (a) in Fig. 1), placed at the upper front side of the boiler. There is only one air inlet, a small door of rectangular cross-section placed at the lower front side (marked with (b) in Fig. 1). The air flow is based on the mechanism of natural convection, as there is no ventilator. Both front doors are made of vermiculite and their thickness is 6 cm.

The management and conservation of the solid fuel is carried out by a mechanical thermostat. The combustion chamber design permits a constant 24 hours operation with low fuel feeding requirements (3–4 times a day). Its grid consists of 6 water tubes made of seamless steel. The boiler main technical characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Boiler technical characteristics.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>KOMBI kn 30/50 boiler</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firewood fuel output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil fuel output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating pressure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Water capacity | lt | 110
---|---|---
Main dimensions
Height | mm | 1270
Width | mm | 540
Length | mm | 820
Weight | kg | 242

As it is presented in Fig. 2, the water chamber almost encircles the whole combustion zone. The right, left, top and back sides of the latter are in full contact with water. A small part of the front side also contributes to the heat transfer, as a tube of rectangular cross-section is placed between the two doors. Water circulation between the left and the right side of the boiler is achieved by the front-placed tube and the 6 water tubes. After the combustion chamber, the flue gases enter a small transition zone and finally reach the 6 elliptical shaped flue-tubes. Their surface is also in contact with water, boosting the boiler efficiency. A conjoiner part connects these 6 tubes with a cylindrical chimney.

![Fig. 2 Cross section of the boiler: a) chimney, b) elliptical flue-gas tubes, c) combustion chamber, d) transition zone, e) air inlet, wb) back side of the water chamber, wt) rectangular tube, ws) water tubes, and wt) top of the water chamber.](image)

### 2.1.1 Basic equations

The flow is calculated in an Eulerian frame and cell elements are used to discretize the computational domain. In order to simulate the dynamic part of the flow the Reynolds Averaged Navier Stokes (RANS) equations are solved. The continuity expression is given by Eq. (1):
In this equation, mass sources are encountered to take into account the additional sources/sinks owed to the heterogeneous reactions taking place. The conservation of momentum is described by Eq. (2).

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot (\tau) + \rho \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{f}
\]

(2)

The deviatoric stress tensor included in the above equation is given by the following form:

\[
\tau = \mu \left[ \left( \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T \right) - \frac{2}{3} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \right]
\]

(3)

The effective viscosity \( \mu_{\text{eff}} \) used in Eq. (3), is defined as the sum of the laminar and the turbulent viscosity:

\[
\mu_{\text{eff}} = \mu + \mu_t
\]

(4)

For simulating the effect of turbulence on the fluid flow, the standard \( k-\varepsilon \) turbulence model is applied. It is the most popular model, since it combines robustness, computational economy and reasonable accuracy. It is based on the isotropic turbulence assumption and its main feature is the computation of two transport equations, i.e., one for the turbulent kinetic energy \( k \) (Eq. (5)) and another for the corresponding dissipation rate \( \varepsilon \) (Eq. (6)).

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho k) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} k) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ \mu + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial (\mu k)}{\partial x_i} \right] + C_1 \left( C_3 + C_4 \right) k \varepsilon - \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{g} + S_h
\]

(5)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \varepsilon) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \varepsilon) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ \mu + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial (\mu \varepsilon)}{\partial x_i} \right] + C_1 \frac{1}{C_3 + C_4} (C_3 + C_4 \varepsilon) - C_2 \varepsilon^2 + S_h
\]

(6)

Close to wall boundaries the standard wall functions, based on the law-of-the-wall, are used. The turbulent viscosity which appears in Eqs. (4), (5) and (6) is computed as stated by Eq. (7).

\[
\mu_t = \nu_k \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}
\]

(7)

For calculating the local concentrations of the species included in the boiler modeling (i.e., O\(_2\), N\(_2\), H\(_2\)O, CO, CO\(_2\) and volatiles) a transport equation of the following form is considered for each one of them:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho Y_j) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} Y_j) = -\nabla \cdot (\nabla Y_j) + R_j + S_j
\]

(8)

In order to solve the temperature field of the computational domain the following form of the energy equation is solved,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho h) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} h) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ \kappa \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} \right] + S_h
\]

(9)

The pressure work and kinetic energy terms are considered to be negligible and are not taken into account in the energy balance. The energy source term \( S_h \) represents mainly the energy source due to chemical reactions and depends on the associated volumetric rate of creation \( \mathcal{R}_j \) of species \( j \):

\[
S_h = -\sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{R}_j
\]

(10)

Radiation is an important aspect of the heat transfer that takes place in a boiler. Due to the small dimensions of the latter, the Discrete Ordinate (DO) radiation model is utilized in order to simulate such effects. Its main feature is the solution of a radiative transfer equation (RTE) for a finite number of discrete solid angles. The expression of RTE is the following,

\[
\mathbf{n} \cdot \left[ \frac{\alpha + \sigma_t}{\rho} \mathbf{I} + \frac{4 \alpha}{9} \int \mathbf{I} \mathbf{\Phi} \cdot \mathbf{S} \, d\Omega \right]
\]

(11)

The above equation is a transport equation of radiational intensity in the sector of solid angle \( d\Omega \). The value of radiation intensity depends on the position vector \( \mathbf{r} \) and the direction vector \( \mathbf{S} \), which is associated with the particular solid angle. The number of transport equations solved is equal to the number of the solid angles (or equally of the directions \( \mathbf{S} \)), which in this case are set equal to 4 per octant.

For the pressure discretization the Presto scheme [18] is used, while for velocity, species, and energy the second order upwind scheme [19]. The pressure-velocity coupling is calculated on the basis of the standard SIMPLE algorithm [20]. Presto scheme works better for problems with strong body forces (swirl) and high Rayleigh number flows (natural ventilation).
2.1.2 Fuel properties and combustion

Beech wood is chosen to be the fuel under investigation, which properties were analyzed at the CERTH laboratories. Its main chemical and physical properties are presented in Table 2.

Table 2 Proximate analysis, ultimate analysis and calorific values of beech wood log.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>ar</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>8.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximate analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>ar</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatiles</td>
<td>ar</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>74.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed carbon (calculated)</td>
<td>ar</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>15.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>db</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatiles</td>
<td>db</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>81.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed carbon (calculated)</td>
<td>db</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>17.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>db</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>50.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>db</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>6.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>db</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>db</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>db</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (calculated)</td>
<td>db</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>41.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calorific value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHV</td>
<td>ar</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>16.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHV</td>
<td>ar</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>17.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHV</td>
<td>db</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>17.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHV</td>
<td>db</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>19.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As it is stated previously [16], during the steady state operation of the boiler the fuel (i.e. wood log) is assumed to consist of two separate components:

- Fixed char which forms a fixed bed
- Volatiles and moisture, which are released from an outer layer of the logs

The fixed bed (firebed) is assumed to form a uniform layer, which starts at a height that corresponds to the center of the water tubes. It is assumed to be 7 cm thick and covers almost the whole cross-section of the boiler, except from a 1 cm wide gap, operating as a buffer zone between the ash layer and the boiler walls. In this layer the fixed char combustion is considered to take place. The heat release corresponds to the complete carbon burnout towards CO₂, which is introduced into the computational domain as a volumetric heat source (Eq. (9)). The combustion associated O₂ sink and CO₂ production are similarly represented by volumetric mass sources (Eq. (1)). The distribution of these sources within the ash layer is not uniform but follows a height-
depended pattern, resembling the real conditions, during which the upper surface of the firebed is experiencing higher temperatures than its bottom.

Furthermore, the firebed is modeled as porous zone. The main flow characteristics of a porous zone are modeled using i) the static pressure drop coefficients and ii) the porosity. Both variables are used to define the resistance of the gas flow experienced by the existing solid ash particles, which in fluid terms is expressed as a drop of the gaseous phase static pressure. A common way to estimate this pressure drop is to use the Ergun equation.

\[
\frac{1}{C_p} = \frac{150}{d_e^2} \left( \frac{1}{e} - \frac{1}{e_l} \right)^2 + \frac{3.5}{d_e^4} \left( \frac{1}{e} - \frac{1}{e_l} \right) \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial y}
\]

(12)

The above expression represents a momentum source term which is added to the standard fluid flow equations. This source term is composed of two parts: i) a viscous term, which is the first term of the right-hand side of Eq. (12) and ii) an inertia loss term, which is the second term of the right-hand side of the same equation. In the framework of the present simulations the values of these two pressure coefficients are directly defined. The viscous loss coefficient, which is equal to the reverse of the medium permeability \( \alpha_p \), is defined as:

\[
\frac{1}{\alpha_p} = \frac{150 \left( \frac{1}{e} - \frac{1}{e_l} \right)^2}{d_e^2}
\]

(13)

The inertia loss coefficient is respectively defined as:

\[
C_{in} = \frac{3.5 \left( \frac{1}{e} - \frac{1}{e_l} \right)}{d_e^4}
\]

(14)

Both coefficient's values are considered to be uniform in all directions.

Porosity \( \gamma \) (assumed equal to \( \epsilon_v \)) is defined as the volume fraction of fluid within the porous region. This variable affects the basic equations, which describe the problem, in various ways. Firstly, it has an effect on the time-derivative terms in all transport equations and the continuity equation. The form of these terms becomes \( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \gamma \phi \right] \), where \( \phi \) is the scalar quantity. Furthermore, when the porous media modeling is based on the physical velocity of the domain, a formulation followed in the present case, the porosity must be taken into account in all the terms of scalar equations:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \gamma \phi \right] + \nabla \cdot \left[ \gamma \bar{u} \phi \right] = \nabla \cdot \left[ \gamma \nabla \phi \right] + \gamma \phi_s
\]

(15)

The form of the volume-averaged mass and momentum conservation equations changes respectively:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \gamma \bar{w} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \gamma \bar{w} \bar{u} \right) = \gamma \phi_s
\]

(16)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \gamma \bar{u} \bar{p} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \gamma \bar{u} \bar{p} \bar{u} \right) = -\gamma \nabla p + \nabla \cdot \left( \gamma \nabla \bar{u} \right) + \gamma \bar{B} + \left( \frac{\gamma \mu}{\kappa} \bar{u} + \frac{\gamma}{2} C_{in} \bar{p} \bar{u} \right)
\]

(17)

As far as the energy equation is concerned, the porous medium and the gaseous phase are assumed to be in thermal equilibrium. Thus, a modified form of Eq. (9) is solved, in which the flux conduction within the porous medium and the thermal inertia of the solid region are included.

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \gamma \bar{E} + (1 - \gamma) \epsilon_v \bar{E}_v \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \bar{u} \left( \bar{E} + \epsilon_v \bar{E}_v \right) + \bar{p} \right) = \bar{S} + \nabla \cdot \left[ \kappa_{ef} \nabla T - \left( \sum h_{ij} \right) + \left( \bar{F} \cdot \bar{u} \right) \right]
\]

(18)

In the present case a porosity value of 0.9 is chosen. The corresponding static pressure drop is about 20 Pa (Pa order of magnitude).

The wood logs are modeled as volumes that are placed on the ash layer. In the present case two logs of trapezoidal shape are considered, as this shape was proved to be the most suitable to maintain a constant burnout at the log base. Their main dimensions are presented in Fig. 3.
The inner and larger part of these volumes is assumed to be solid, i.e. air cannot enter in those regions. However, the solid region is surrounded by an outer layer, 2 cm thick, which acts as a buffer zone between this region and the ash layer. Its main function is to act as a volumetric source region, from which the volatiles and moisture are released. The required energy for fuel moisture vaporization has been extracted from the total energy input and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}(g) \) species sources have been implemented in the adjacent computational cells at the proximity of the wood geometry.

Wood char combustion takes place on the surface of wood logs in such small-scale boilers. To simulate that either one can assume a thin layer of char applied on the bottom surface of wood logs and then calculate the heterogeneous reactions or just impose the associated mass (\( \text{CO}, \text{CO}_2 \), and \( \text{O}_2 \)) and energy sink/sources in the adjacent cell volumes. We preferred to use the second approach, since it is simpler and no significant deviation from the real physics problem occurs.

All associated sinks and sources are not considered to be uniform along the wood outer layer but are calculated at each cell as a function of the local calculated rates and corrected proportionally on the basis of each specific cell value, so that the overall integrals can have the exact assumed values. The place of all sinks and sources are shown in Fig. 3.

As it is deduced from Table 2, the volatiles contain carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur and chlorine. However, due to the very low concentrations of the last three species in the fuel and in an attempt to simplify the reactions, it was decided to ignore them from the fuel composition. Thus the chemical formula and the final ultimate analysis of the wood volatiles (Table 3) are approximated to be the following:

![Fig. 3 Main dimensions of the wood logs. The ash layer is also visible.](image)

### Table 3 Ultimate analysis of the wood volatiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{C}_2\text{H}<em>2\text{O}</em>{2,19} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>daf</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>41.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>daf</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>7.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>daf</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>51.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The volatiles and vapor release are not uniform along the wood outer layer, but are controlled by an Arrhenius type single kinetic rate model defined in Eq. (19). The rate value depends on the temperature of each cell of the outer layer, the activation energy value which is equal to \( E_a = 2.38 \times 10^8 \text{ (J/k mol)} \) and a pre-exponential factor. The value of the rate is a function of the cell volume and its value is updated at every solution iteration in order to conserve a constant value of the relevant mass source volume integral, equal to 0.001323 kg/s.

\[
k_d = A \cdot e^{-\left(\frac{E_a}{RT_{in}}\right)}
\]

(19)
The fuel gaseous phase is homogeneously combusted following a two-step mechanism. At first the volatiles are burnt to form a mixture of CO and H₂O. The second step consists of the reaction of CO into CO₂. These two reactions and the subsequent Arrhenius rate coefficients are based on a Westbrook and Dryer 2-step global combustion mechanism for hydrocarbon fuels, summarized in Table 4.

### Table 4 Gas phase reaction schemes and kinetics rates and kinetic rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>$A_0$ (s$^{-1}$) [18]</th>
<th>$E_a$ (J/k mol) [18]</th>
<th>Rate orders [18]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Coal volatiles $\rightarrow$ CO + H₂O</td>
<td>$2.119 \times 10^{11}$</td>
<td>$2.027 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$[\text{CO}]^{2.2} \cdot [\text{O}_2]^{1.3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CO + 0.5 O₂ $\rightarrow$ CO₂</td>
<td>$2.239 \times 10^{12}$</td>
<td>$1.702 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$[\text{CO}] \cdot [\text{O}_2]^{1.26}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As it was reported from the boiler manufacturer, the fuel nominal power related to LHV is around 32 kW, while the corresponding thermal output is measured to be equal to around 22-24 kW. Following an indirect efficiency calculation, based on the measured flue gas composition and temperature resulted in an efficiency equal to around 74.4%. The split of the fuel input between the fixed char (firebed-zone) and the volatile component (main combustion-zone) is configured based on the following constraints:

- The wood ultimate analysis, which in other words sets the lowest limit for the fixed char component.
- The need to maintain a constant burnout at the log base. This requirement is prescribed not only by the nature of the wood combustion, but is also associated with the flue gas temperature. A contribution of the char component larger than the proximate value has a positive effect on the logs burnout characteristics.
- The necessity to keep the temperature field within the combustion chamber in reasonable values. A large contribution of the char component results in quite high temperatures.

After several numerical tests on what should be the level of energy provided within the boiler from char combustion, we concluded that a reasonable value should be in the range of 40%. Lower values lead to an unstable flame combustion, thus resulting in the non-reacting gas flow species, since the calculated temperatures were not high enough, while higher values resulted in increased temperature values within the boiler at the proximity of wood logs (> 1650 °C), which are not expected in reality. [16,17] measure a value of around 1200 °C for a 30 kW wood log domestic boiler.

The type of approach, although not so common, is performed by defining user defined sub-routines (UDF) within the CFD code. This code contains the essential details to characterize the solid and gas phase interactions [14,18]. One simple method of doing this is to define the solid biomass as a porous zone, and then write a user-defined function in the CFD describing sources of moisture, volatiles and energy to describe the drying, devolatization, and char combustion processes respectively. The reliability of the CFD modeling results is, to a large degree a function of the modeling approach adopted and the accuracy of the boundary conditions specified.

The actual char combustion reaction mechanisms within such small scale boilers, are not yet clearly defined to the complicated short time physical mechanisms governing its operation. CO prediction is of course an issue and in no case the calculated values should be considered as representative of a real boiler operation. The model applied just some relevant suggestions referenced in related papers, while for example the reaction of CO with H₂O is not taken into consideration.

### 2.1.3 Mesh details

The grids used for the numerical simulation of the present case were developed on the basis of ANSYS Meshing software. Since a respectable number of CFD tests were identified to be necessary for the definition of the appropriate problem modeling approach, initially a coarse grid was created. It consists of approximately 240,000 tetrahedral elements. Nevertheless their quality is high, since their maximum skewness is 0.924 and their minimum orthogonal quality $0.177$ both within the acceptable limits (0.94 max and 0.1 min respectively) for a smooth numerical convergence.

In order to perform the final simulations, a fine grid was used. It is a hybrid mesh, as it consists of a combination of element types, i.e. tetrahedrons, wedges, pyramids and hexahedrons. It contains close to 1.1 million cells, where their distribution in the computational domain as well as their composition are displayed in Table 5. It is obvious that over 50% of the mesh elements are located at the combustion chamber section, where the volumetric reactions are taking place. The mesh quality remains high with a maximum skewness of 0.936 and a minimum orthogonal quality of 0.14. Both coarse and fine meshes are presented in Fig. 4.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Fine Mesh (left)</th>
<th>Coarse Mesh (right)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computational domain</td>
<td>1,127,682</td>
<td>852,197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet</td>
<td>32,848</td>
<td>18,196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower section</td>
<td>56,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash layer</td>
<td>183,597</td>
<td>142,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion chamber</td>
<td>581,209</td>
<td>564,724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper section</td>
<td>223,253</td>
<td>127,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet</td>
<td>50,075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A main feature of the fine mesh (Fig. 5), which is absent from the coarse one, is the imposition of two inflation layers on all the walls that are in contact with water. In this way, a more accurate calculation of the heat flux deploying on the boiler walls is possible. The total boundary layer thickness is 6 mm.
2.1.4 Boundary conditions

As was stated above, the examined boiler has only one air inlet. There is no secondary air stream. The determination of the air mass flow rate is based on the fuel chemical analysis as well as on four experimental measurements provided by the manufacturer. The latter were performed with a Bacharach PCA-3 and are presented in Table 6. The measurement point locates at the end of a 20 cm long tube connected to the boiler’s chimney exit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6 Measurements provided by the boiler manufacturer.</th>
<th>Measur. 1</th>
<th>Measur. 2</th>
<th>Measur. 3</th>
<th>Measur. 4</th>
<th>Average value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flue gas</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>293.7</td>
<td>293.7</td>
<td>246.8</td>
<td>259.5</td>
<td>273.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂ (db vol.%)</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ (db vol.%, calculated)</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>9.18</td>
<td>8.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (ppm)</td>
<td>2233</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>2285</td>
<td>2307</td>
<td>2195.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack losses (%)</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draught (mbar)</td>
<td>40.52</td>
<td>39.56</td>
<td>40.19</td>
<td>40.77</td>
<td>40.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air ratio (calculated)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiler efficiency (% based on HHV)</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>78.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5 View of the fine mesh at the combustion chamber. The 2 trapezoidal wood logs and the ash layer are also visible.
Based on the average value of the $O_2$ concentration, the air to fuel ratio $\lambda$ is computed using the following equation [21]:

$$\lambda = \frac{20.9}{20.9 - \Omega_2}$$

(20)

which results in an air ratio equal to 2.444. The combustion stoichiometric dry air $m_{air}^{\text{st}}$, is approximated using the raw fuel ultimate analysis by the following equation:

$$m_{air}^{\text{st}} = 11.48 \cdot C + 34 \cdot 19.4 \cdot H + 4.3 \cdot S - 4 \cdot 38 \cdot O$$

(21)

Thus the required stoichiometric dry air is $5.65 \, \text{kg/kg fuel}$. The dry air during the boiler tests can be computed by Eq. (22).

$$m_{air}^{\text{st}} = \lambda \cdot m_{air}^{\text{st}}$$

(22)

This gives $13.81 \, \text{kg dry air/kg fuel}$. The maximum water concentration in air which corresponds to the ambient air temperature is $0.027125 \, \text{kg/kg wet air}$. According to meteorological data, the relative humidity in July (the month in which the measurements were performed) at the region of Ptolemaida was 40%, resulting in a water concentration $x_{\text{wet}} = 0.01085 \, \text{kg/kg wet air}$. The total wet combustion air is $13.96 \, \text{kg/kg fuel}$, calculated by Eq. (23).

$$m_{air}^{\text{wet}} = m_{air}^{\text{st}} \cdot \{1 + \lambda x_{\text{wet}} \}$$

(23)

The raw fuel mass flow is $0.001853 \, \text{kg/s}$, as computed by the reported nominal boiler power of around $32 \, \text{kW}$ and the fuel LHV, using the following equation.

Thus the total air flow rate can be specified as $0.026 \, \text{kg/s}$, with an inlet temperature of $30.6 \, ^\circ\text{C}$.

As far as the wall boundaries of the boiler are concerned, they can be summarized in 3 groups:

- The walls in contact with water. It was reported by the manufacturer, that during the experimental measurements the inlet water temperature was $60 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ and the outlet one equal to $70 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, resulting in a mean water temperature of $65 \, ^\circ\text{C}$. This temperature condition is applied to all the walls of this group.
- The insulated walls that are not in contact with the operating medium. In this case a zero heat flux boundary condition is set as a boundary condition.
- The base of the boiler. In this case a constant temperature equal to the ambient one, i.e. $30.6 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ is applied, since this side of the boiler is not reported to be insulated and in direct contact with the earth surface.

In all the above cases, the wall emissivity is set to 0.9 and the radiation boundary condition to be opaque.

Since the inner part of the wood logs is considered to be solid body, these surfaces represent another group, where a "wall" type boundary conditions are applied. In order to steer the volatiles released from the wood base, the following temperature boundary conditions are set:

- A constant temperature of $900 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ is applied to the sides and the top of the logs, which is equal to the typically measured ones.
- A higher temperature of $1000 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ is applied to the base of the logs.

The selection of these temperatures is based on the fact that the decomposition of lignin takes place in a wide range of temperatures as high as $900 \, ^\circ\text{C}$. Their internal emissivity is set to 0, otherwise the log walls irradiate unwanted heat inside the boiler.

As far as the fuel input power is concerned, it was revealed that in order to achieve the reported $22 \, \text{kW}$ thermal output the required fuel power should be around $32 \, \text{kW}$. The value of $22 \, \text{kW}$ was used as a reference point, since this is the useful heat output that the manufacturer measures experimentally by monitoring water inlet and outlet temperature. From the quantity of $32 \, \text{kW}$, $12.01 \, \text{kW}$ is produced by the fixed char burnout and is simulated as a heat source placed within the firebed-zone. The rest of heat release, i.e. $19.99 \, \text{kW}$ is imported in the computational domain owed to the combustion of the produced volatiles. However, the volatiles combust is not complete and a very small proportion reaches the boiler outlet unburnt. Furthermore, the CO combustion is not complete too. Thus, the heat of the reactions which take place inside the boiler is smaller than the sum of the imported volatile heating value. Specifically, the heat due to reactions is $19.04 \, \text{kW}$ resulting in an actual total thermal input of $31.05 \, \text{kW}$.

### 2.1.5 Applicability of the model

Log wood boilers typically are not designed for load modulation. Therefore, the reference condition – and the ones which is of major importance for the EN303-5 type tests – is the full load. In principle, the model developed in this study can be used for partial loads or during transitory phases of operation, e.g. the start-up phase. For this case, it would need to take into account additional reactions, such as the Boudouard and water-gas shift. Additionally, for the simulation of transitory phases, the boiler...
undergoes, during its start-up or cooling down, the model would require a non-steady formulation and solution, which is computationally very expensive keeping in mind that the solution time-step would be within a range of ms so that the Courant number is less than 0.5. For these reasons, it was decided to focus the present work on the steady state modeling of the full load conditions.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Simulation results of current boiler design

Table 7 shows the simulation results concerning the heat transfer distribution inside the boiler, as well as the heat sources. The correspondence of each wall section to the boiler geometry is displayed in Fig. 6. The resulted heat transfer of 21.32 kW (or 21 kW if the base walls are excluded) is in quite good agreement with the values reported by the manufacturer. It should also be noted that the net heat transfer of the log walls is negligible (they represent a source of 19 W), thus the applied temperature boundary condition does not affect the heat transfer simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat sources</th>
<th>Coarse mesh</th>
<th>Fine mesh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Char combustion (kW)</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>12.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatiles volumetric reactions (kW)</td>
<td>19.58</td>
<td>19.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (kW)</td>
<td>31.63</td>
<td>31.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall heat transfer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Including the boiler base (kW)</td>
<td>19.81</td>
<td>21.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluding the boiler base (kW)</td>
<td>19.44</td>
<td>21.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flue gas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry O2 mole fraction (%)</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>12.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry CO2 mole fraction (%)</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>8.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The applied numerical grid contains close to 1.1 million cells. This mesh resolution can safely be considered as adequate for the discretization of a domestic 32 kW boiler. In similar CFD studies of large scale boilers coarser numerical grids have been implemented with success. [12] used a mesh of around 217,000 cells for investigating a 320 kW wood chip fired residential boiler, whereas [15] performed a design optimization study of a 180 kW biomass furnace using computational grids consisting of 700,000 cells. Nevertheless, a coarse grid of 240,000 elements was also used to check to what extent a relevant coarse grid can be regarded as enough for valid numerical results. The main results of this analysis are summarized in the table below and compared against the final numerical results.

The differences in flue gas O\(_2\) and CO\(_2\) mole fraction are small, i.e. 1.4% and 2.5% respectively. The difference of the induced wall heat flux is 7%, whereas flue gas exit temperature presents a considerable deviation between the numerical results in the order of 19%. This high difference can be attributed to the lack of dense grid at the proximity of the surfaces adjacent to the cooling medium (water), and therefore the underestimation of wall heat flux. For that reason inflation layers were implemented. In conclusion, the fine grid employed in this work can reasonably be considered as adequate for providing accurate numerical results.

Table 8 summarizes the CFD results concerning the flue gas properties and the corresponding average experimental data. The reported values represent a mass-weighted calculation at the exit of the 6 flue gas tubes. As can be observed, the predicted flue gas composition is in very good agreement with the measurements. The differences in O\(_2\) and CO\(_2\) mole fraction are 0.2% and 3% respectively. The stronger numerical and experimental disagreement concerning CO\(_2\) can be attributed to the fact that its concentration is not measured directly by the measurement equipment, but is calculated on the basis of measured O\(_2\) concentration. This computation does not take into consideration the exact fuel chemical analysis, because it is based on a fixed biomass composition available in the instrument database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flue gas parameter</th>
<th>CFD results</th>
<th>Average experimental data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>273.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry O(_2) mole fraction (%)</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>12.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additionally, the CO deviation of the numerical prediction from the average measured one is quite high for reasons linked with the set of homogeneous and heterogeneous reactions considered in this study (for example we neglect the reaction of char and/or CO with H$_2$O, while char is reacting with O$_2$ to form only CO$_2$ and not CO).

The CFD predicted flue gas temperature at the boiler exit is rather high, compared to the measured one. Even the highest measured temperature is 53 °C lower than the computed one. A valid explanation of this disagreement is the fact that the measurement point is not located at the elliptical tubes' exit, but at about 35 cm downstream.

In order to verify this assumption an additional numerical calculation is conducted just at the outflow conjoiner part and the additional 20 cm long cylindrical tube (Fig. 7). As inlet quantities the corresponding ones at the exit of the 6 elliptical tubes are used. Three types of thermal boundary conditions are applied:

- To the base of the conjoiner part which is contact with water, a fixed temperature condition of 65 °C (equal to the water temperature).
- A zero heat flux condition to the section of the conjoiner wall which is covered by the fiberglass insulation layer.
- A convection thermal condition to all the other walls. The free stream temperature is set equal to that of the inlet air (30.6 °C), while a heat transfer coefficient of 10 W/m$^2$ K is considered.

The resulted temperature at the end of the 20 cm long tube is 312.6 °C, reduced by 34.4 °C. Therefore, its difference from the highest computed temperature is 18.9 °C, a quite acceptable value. Another noteworthy conclusion is the fact that the heat transfer to the conjoiner base is 0.613 kW. Thus, the total heat transfer to the boiler walls is 21.93 kW or 21.62 kW excluding the heat flux to the boiler base, quite close to the reported values by the manufacturer. An equal heat of 0.61 kW is conveyed to the free stream.

As far as the spatial distribution of the simulation variables is concerned, two representative planes corresponding to each wood log position are selected. These are displayed in Fig. 8.
Fig. 9 depicts the temperature spatial distribution in the two reference planes. The maximum temperature in the boiler is 1507 °C. According to literature, temperatures over 1000 °C are to be expected in boilers of similar technical characteristics [22], whereas temperatures as high as 1500 °C have been reported in equivalent CFD studies [17]. Noteworthy is the fact that in both planes high temperatures are located close to the log bases. This pattern corresponds to the char combustion volumetric heat source (Fig. 10) and can be attributed to it.
As can be observed in Fig. 11 the wood volatiles are released from the desirable area, i.e. from the log bases. The O\textsubscript{2} molar concentration reduction (Fig. 12) corresponds to the areas where reactions take place and as expected its distribution pattern is opposite of that of CO\textsubscript{2} (Fig. 13). In the same figures an O\textsubscript{2} sink and a corresponding CO\textsubscript{2} increase can be spotted at the ash layer and are also related to the char combustion volumetric mass sources. Another conclusion extracted from these figures is the fact that in the two reference planes, the distribution of the above referred variables is different. Several CFD tests revealed that the log geometry affects the volatiles combustion pattern in a great extent. Thus these differences can be attributed to the different cross-section areas of the two logs (Fig. 8).
The Reynolds number calculated using as a reference length the average cell edge for all computational cells is depicted in Fig. 14. The range of values is between 0.43 and 110, with the maximum values located at the proximity of the porous media area, where the flue gas velocity accelerates (~ 110) and within the flue gas tubes (~ 72). For the boiler volume above the porous, flue gas experience Reynolds of the order 60, while below the Re number equals to around 15. As a general conclusion, the flue gas flow is mainly dominated by inertia when compared to viscous forces, with the exception of a) the boiler volume located just below the porous media, b) the volume located at the level of the transition zone side walls (D in Fig. 6) and c) the boiler upper wall (E in Fig. 6), before the flue gas enters the tubes.

**Fig. 12** Spatial distribution of the dry O₂ mole fraction at the two reference planes.

**Fig. 13** Spatial distribution of the dry CO₂ mole fraction at the two reference planes.
The flue gas flow in the form of dimensionless numbers can be characterized by the above-mentioned Re contour, while the heat transfer mechanisms towards the boiler water filled walls by the corresponding Nu contour. Nu is calculated only at the proximity of wall surfaces and using as a reference length the value of 1 m. The maximum values are calculated in the range of ~ 21,500 located at the entrance of the flue gas tubes, Fig. 15. An average Nu number of around 7510 is calculated at the proximity of water surfaces, while the minimum heat flux takes place just below the porous media zone.

As far as the chemical phenomena are concerned, it is not a standard practice to use dimensionless variables for their characterization. The chemical reaction rates are calculated using Arrhenious-type equations. Mostly, what can be said is that homogeneous reactions are turbulence instead of kinetic driven; the overall rate rates of reactions (1) and (2) are 0.037and 0.06 k mol/m²s respectively.

3.2 Simulation results of an optimized boiler design
3.2.1 Modification description

The second phase of the project includes the numerical simulation of an optimized boiler design. The main objectives of this research are:

- the increase of the boiler efficiency and
- the emission reduction. Since the developed numerical model does not include NO\textsubscript{x} calculations, the main pollutant specie under consideration is CO.

A common way to achieve both of these goals is to increase the flue gas residence time. The longer the flue gases remain inside the boiler, the more its combustion is expected to be complete. In that way, an almost zero pollutant emission and a maximum heat of reaction release can be achieved.

However, the proposed design modifications could not be extensive and have to be in accordance with the existing boiler layout and operational restrictions (wood loading). The corresponding financial cost and constructional difficulties imposed by the boiler geometry, constrain further the available options. In this framework, the proposed arrangement involves the placing of a deflector upstream of the elliptical flue gas tubes (Fig. 16).

This is a low-cost and easily actualized alteration, since a common steel sheet can be used as deflector. The latter is expected to impose a barrier on the flue gas flow towards the boiler chimney, increasing its residence time at the combustion chamber. However, the corresponding static pressure drop should be kept at reasonable limits, otherwise the deflector in combination with the lack of a ventilator could disturb the boiler operation. For this reason, a high part of the available boiler free cross-section cannot be covered by the metal sheet (Fig. 17).
The proposed optimized solution was selected as the optimum one among many concepts examined (e.g. use of secondary air, imposition of more water tubes). The reasons are that a) it has a very low cost of manufacturing (around 400 €/boiler) and b) the available free space within the boiler for further design improvements is very limited (imposed by the water and flue-tubes), for loading purposes. The solution has not yet been tested in field since in the current period the boiler production line cannot be interrupted; however, the manufacturer aims to construct and test the new boiler design within the next 6 months.

### 3.2.2 Comparison of original and optimized design

In order to simulate the new proposed boiler setup, a new mesh is developed. Similar to the basic scenario, the hybrid mesh consists of 1.3 million cells, with almost half of them to discretize the main combustion chamber of the boiler.

As far as the numerical set-up is concerned, the same numerical models with the basic geometry simulation are used. In order to compare the two designs under the same operational conditions, the boundary conditions are not altered too. The total air flow rate is specified equal to 0.026 kg/s, with an inlet temperature of 30.6 °C. The fuel input power is 32 kW, 12.01 kW of which are attributed to the fixed char burnout and simulated as volumetric heat source placed within the firebed-zone. The rest 19.99 kW represent the heat released from the volatile combustion. Due to their incomplete burnout, the heat due to reactions is 19.14 kW resulting in a total thermal input of 31.15 kW, very close to the 31.05 kW of the basic case.

In Table 9 the comparison of the two design simulation results concerning the heat transfer distribution inside the boiler is displayed. The correspondence of each wall section to the boiler geometry is displayed in Fig. 6. It is obvious that the resulting heat transfer for the optimized design is considerably improved. A total heat of 23.50 kW (or 23.16 kW if the base walls are excluded) is absorbed by the boiler walls, resulting in an efficiency increase of 6.7%. As far as the heat distribution is concerned, the main differences are spotted at the upper part of the boiler, i.e. at the combustion chamber, the front water tube, the transition zone, and the upper walls. A heat transfer increase between 22.3% and 55.2% is reported in these zones, resulting in a gain of 3.72 kW. On the other hand, the heat absorbed by the elliptical tube walls is reduced by 1.66 kW, Table 9. This can be attributed to the effect of the deflector on the induced flue gas flow characteristics. The non-uniform reduction of the available free cross-section, results in an increase of the flue gas velocity towards the boiler outlet and thus a subsequent decrease of the flue gas residence time for this area. Nevertheless, the overall effect of the deflector is proven to be positive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 9 Comparison of the CFD heat transfer results between the two boiler designs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to char combustion (kW)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 17 Upper view of the deflector shape.](image)
Table 10 shows the flue gas properties in both cases. In accordance with the heat transfer results, the flue gas temperature is decreased by 18.4% in the case of the optimized scenario. The differences in O\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2} mole fraction are very small, i.e. 0.6% and 0.37% respectively. As far as the CO concentration is concerned, again as in the reference scenario the resulted value is significantly smaller than the corresponding measurement value (Table 6) and that of the reference one. As a matter of fact, a reduction of almost 45% is achieved in the optimized design. Although this decrease concerns only the volatile combustion, it is an important improvement.

### Table 10 Comparison of the flue gas properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flue gas parameter</th>
<th>Original design</th>
<th>Optimized design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry O\textsubscript{2} mole fraction (%)</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>12.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry CO\textsubscript{2} mole fraction (%)</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>8.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry CO concentration (ppm)</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All aforementioned improvements are linked with the higher residence time of the flue gas, thus giving it more time to interact with the boiler water surfaces and transfer more energy, which in turn increases the boiler efficiency. Additionally the fact that the exit CO concentration decreases is linked to the fact that more time is available for it to react with O\textsubscript{2} and form CO\textsubscript{2}. In our view, though the calculated CO values may differentiate from real data, the trend should be that of its decrease in the optimized boiler geometry.

In the case of the modified geometry, the numerical calculation at the outflow conjoiner part and the additional 20 cm long cylindrical tube (Fig. 7) is also conducted. The temperature at the end of the latter is 256.8 °C, reduced by 26.2 °C and quite lower than that of the basic scenario (312.6 °C). The heat transfer to the conjoiner base is 0.430 kW, while 0.473 kW is conveyed to the free stream.

As far as the spatial distribution of the most important flow variables for the optimized scenario is concerned, Fig. 18 depicts the temperature distribution at the two reference planes (y = -0.2 & y = -0.3). The maximum temperature experienced within the boiler is 1536 °C, 29 °C higher than that of the basic scenario, always within the expected range. The temperature distribution pattern in both planes is in agreement with the corresponding basic scenario results upstream the deflector. However, the presence of the latter results in an almost similar temperature distribution at the boiler exit.
The positive effect of the deflector on the temperature distribution upstream the flue gas tubes can be observed in Fig. 19. The presented plane is located 0.041 m before their entrance. Furthermore, in the optimized design there is a wider area of high temperature inside the boiler. The increased heat flux at the combustion chamber and the transition zone is in agreement with this scheme.

Fig. 18 Contours of spatial temperature (K) distribution at the two reference planes: $y = 0.2$ (left) & $y = 0.33$ (right) — optimized design.

The positive effect of the deflector on the temperature distribution upstream the flue gas tubes can be observed in Fig. 19. The presented plane is located 0.041 m before their entrance. Furthermore, in the optimized design there is a wider area of high temperature inside the boiler. The increased heat flux at the combustion chamber and the transition zone is in agreement with this scheme.

Fig. 19 Contours of spatial temperature (K) distribution at the plane $x = 0.18$: Optimized design (left) & original design (right).

Fig. 20 depicts the volumetric mass source in the optimized scenario. Their emission scheme is identical to that of the basic case, as they are likewise released from the wood bases. The $O_2$ and $CO_2$ molar concentrations are displayed in Figs. 21 and 22, respectively. As was anticipated, their distribution patterns are inverse. Moreover, in this simulation too there are differences between the two reference planes. However, in agreement with the temperature dispensation, downstream of the deflector the variations are negligible.
Fig. 20 Spatial distribution of the volatiles volumetric mass source (kg/m³s) at the two reference planes: \( y = -0.2 \) (left) & \( y = -0.33 \) (right) — optimized design.

Fig. 21 Spatial distribution of the dry O₂ mole fraction at the two reference planes: \( y = -0.2 \) (left) & \( y = -0.33 \) (right) — optimized design.
4 Conclusions

A simplified CFD model focused on the flue gas side has been developed and used for the steady-state simulation of a 32 kW boiler manufactured by the Greek company THERMODYNAMIKI S.A (KOMBI). Its main characteristic is the fuel division into water vapor, volatiles and fixed char, and the assignment of each component to separate zones. Volatiles and vapor are released from the log's outer layer, while the fixed char burnout takes place in the firebed. The numerical results are in quite good agreement with experimental data concerning the flue gas characteristics at the boiler exit (temperature and species concentration) and the boiler thermal efficiency.

In order to improve flue gas burnout, to increase the boiler efficiency as well as to reduce CO emissions a simple and low-cost modification of the boiler design has been proposed and examined by means of CFD. It involves the placing of a deflector upstream of the flue gas tubes and aims in increasing the flue gas residence time at the combustion chamber. The numerical simulation of the modified design reveals a considerable improvement of the boiler characteristics.

Concluding, CFD models, such as the one presented in this report, can be employed for the realistic simulation of wood log fired boilers. Because of their flexibility, they can be used as a tool not only for efficiency analysis, but also for design optimization purposes. Nevertheless, their extensive validation against experimental data is necessary to optimize the model setup and thus increase further the accuracy of the numerical results. In the current case, the experimental measurements performed by the manufacturer were rather confined, resulting in limitations of the model validation. The implementation of new series of measurements either in the original boiler or in the modified design and their comparison with the CFD results, would definitely help to improve the setup of the proposed model and verify its usage further as an optimization tool.

This is quite important since simple modifications can improve the boiler characteristics in a considerable extent. The current model of boiler (original design) belongs to Class 3 of EN303-5 standard (Heating boilers for solid fuels, manually and automatically stoked, nominal heat output of up to 500 kW – Terminology, requirements, testing and marking) which is the threshold for the introduction of a domestic solid biofuel boiler to the Greek market. According to the manufacturer, for a nominal output of 30 kW, the boiler’s efficiency is above 75.86% and CO concentration is below 5000 mg/m$^3$ (class 3 limits satisfied). However, according to the CFD model, the optimized boiler is expected to have an increased efficiency by around 7% and reduced CO emissions by almost 45%. This means that the modified boiler will be very close to the satisfaction of Class 4 limits (efficiency above 82.95% and CO concentration below 1200 mg/m$^3$) giving the chance to the manufacturer to upgrade its current model with a few non expensive modifications on the current design (lower than 400 €/boiler).
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