

**City Research Online** 

# City, University of London Institutional Repository

**Citation:** Pacholak, S., Hochstein, S., Rudert, A. & Brücker, C. (2014). Unsteady flow phenomena in human undulatory swimming: a numerical approach. Sports Biomechanics, 13(2), pp. 176-194. doi: 10.1080/14763141.2014.893609

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/16747/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1080/14763141.2014.893609

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

 City Research Online:
 http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/
 publications@city.ac.uk

1	A numerical study of the unsteady flow phenomena in human swimming
2	Steffen Pacholak <sup>1</sup> , Stefan Hochstein <sup>2</sup> , Alexander Rudert <sup>1</sup> , Christoph Brücker <sup>1</sup>
3	<sup>1</sup> Institute of Mechanics and Fluid Dynamics, TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Freiberg, Germany
4	<sup>2</sup> Institute of Sport Science, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Jena, Germany
5	Keywords: Human undulatory swimming, Computational fluid dynamics, Dolphin kick,
6	wake vortices
7	Steffen Pacholak, Institute of Mechanics and Fluid Dynamics, TU Bergakademie Freiberg,
8	Lampadiusstraße 4, 09596 Freiberg, Germany, Steffen.Pacholak@imfd.tu-freiberg.de, Tel:
9	+49- 3731 392472
10	Acknowledgements: The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the Deutsche
11	Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) as part of Priority Program SPP-1207 "Nature-Inspired Fluid
12	Dynamics" as well as IAT Leipzig for providing their 3D body scanner.
13	

# 14 Abstract

15 Vortex dynamics around the body of a female swimmer was investigated for several successive underwater Dolphin kicks. This is a typical motion sequence 16 17 of swimmers after they have pushed off the wall. The method of Computational 18 Fluid Dynamics (CFD) was used in combination with a digital reproduction of 19 the kinematics of the body surface to investigate the unsteady flow phenomena 20 involved therein. The results showed the formation of larger vortices near the 21 swimmer's body, part of it being used to enhance thrust generation at the legs 22 (vortex re-capturing). At each downstroke, a vortex ring was shed into the wake 23 forming a street of vortices behind the swimmer. Further downstream these rings re-combined into streamwise oriented parallel vortex tubes. Within the motion 24 cycle a distinct variation of drag and thrust force were observed as a 25 26 characteristic footprint of the kinematics. Mean drag force over the complete 27 cycle was about twelve times higher during dolphin kick when compared to passive, gliding swimming. Maximum mean thrust was reached in 3 motion 28 29 cycles after the swimmer has pushed off the wall and remained constant from thereon. 30

31 [199 words]

32

## 33 Introduction

34 A more in-depth understanding of the unsteady flow phenomena and vortex dynamics in human undulatory underwater swimming can bring about innovations in both sports and 35 36 engineering. A prominent example in swimming is the so-called dolphin kick, an undulatory 37 movement similar to that of a fish, typically used after a swimmer's start or turn. Therein, a 38 wave-like body motion is generated, running from the fingertips to the toes. While much 39 research has been carried out for vortex dynamics and interaction in wave-like undulatory fish 40 locomotion (e.g. Hanke, Brücker, & Bleckmann, 2000; Przybilla, Kunze, Rudert, Bleckmann, & Brücker, 2010), only little is known about its importance to undulatory human swimming. 41 42 Earlier studies mainly focussed on kinematics and overall performance. As a result, adopting 43 a more lateral body position during the dolphin kick led to an increase in stroke amplitude. 44 This caused higher amount of ankle plantar flexion and higher thrust (Alves, Lopes, Veloso, 45 and Martins-Silva (2006). Futhermore, humans performed higher kick frequencies than the 46 cetaceans, resulting in higher Strouhal numbers (von Loebbecks, Mittal, Fish and Mark, 47 2009a), which indicated that human's Dolphin kick in general is less efficient than undulatory 48 fish locomotion. This is because drag forces are seemingly excessively increased for the 49 active propulsive motion (active drag). Unfortunately there is no satisfactory experimental method for a direct measure of the active drag without altering the swimmer's natural gait. 50 51 Only for front crawl swimming did the MAD-system (Measure Active Drag; Hollander et al., 1986; Toussaint, 2000) judge the forces. However this method cannot be used for the dolphin 52 53 kick.

Alternatively, computational fluid dynamics (CFD) offers the potential to calculate the swimmer's forces out of the simulated time-dependent 3D flow field around a swimmer. Such numerical flow simulations were carried out to quantify the forces on an isolated forearm in steady underwater motion (Bixler and Riewald 2002). The flow behind a rigid swimmer model in gliding phase was investigated by Bixler, Pease, and Fairhurst (2007). They 59 compared their numerical results with experimental data of a towed body to quantify the accuracy of their simulated drag forces. Individual states within the dolphin kick cycle were 60 61 studied by Lyttle and Keys (2006) in a quasi-steady simulation. They argued that propulsion 62 is mainly generated by the forces acting on the legs. Later, these results were confirmed by 63 simulations for the undulatory dolphin kick cycle (von Loebbecke, Mittal, Fish, and Mark (2009b). Recently, Cohen, Cleary, and Mason (2012) extended the numerical work on the 64 Dolphin kick using the simulation method called 'smoothed particle hydrodynamics'. They 65 66 claimed the strong need for parametric studies of different kick frequencies and the influence of hand oscillations on drag forces. 67

The purpose of this study was the detailed understanding of vortex dynamics and the 68 69 generated time-traces of forces acting on the swimmer during successive Dolphin kicks after 70 push-off from the wall. The major interest was the formation and interaction of vortices near 71 the swimmer's surface and in the swimmer's wake. Therefore, a multiple hinged 5-ankle 72 model was generated, where each segment represents the segmented shape of a digitized 73 swimmer body (hand, arm, body, upper legs, lower legs and feed). The segments of the model 74 were moved in a prescribed motion function to replicate the motion cycle of a Dolphin kick. 75 Numerical simulations of the three-dimensional unsteady flow were carried for the phase of 6 76 successive Dolphin kick cycles. As a reference, the motion and body shape were taken from 77 the same swimmer as used in the study by Hochstein and Blickhan (2011). Therefore, it 78 allowed us to validate our numerical results with their flow visualization studies. Special 79 focus was laid on possible relevance of any energy-saving mechanisms which might help to 80 increase efficiency of propulsion such as vortex preformation or vortex re-capturing within 81 the different motion cycles. The flow phenomena were correlated with the time-traces of the 82 propulsion, as well as drag and lift forces in comparison to a passive gliding motion cycle.

83

#### 84 Methods

85 A female swimmer (personal best 200m butterfly: 2:12.9) was the template to generate the digital swimmer model with a realistic shape. Therefore, her body was scanned with a 3D 86 87 laser body scanner (VITUS Smart XXL 3D, Human Solutions GmbH, Kaiserslautern, 88 Germany) and the surface contour data were saved into a digital file format. The surface of 89 the digital body is then subdivided into separate segments, which belong to the regions: hands, arms, head, body, legs and feeds. Those segments are connected at the corresponding 90 91 ankles. The undulatory motion function of the swimmer for successive Dolphin kicks after 92 push-off has been recorded in a test pool earlier (published in Hochstein & Blickhan, 2011). 93 If no relative angular motion of the segments is applied and the body is in stretched state, this 94 surface then represents that of the swimmer in gliding phase. This is named in the following 95 the static swimmer model. Based on this model, the dynamic model was generated by 96 transferring the recorded undulatory motion function of the swimmer to the individual 97 segments of the digital swimmer model. After discretising the ambient fluid domain, the governing equations of fluid dynamics were solved in OpenFOAM, and the main forces 98 99 influencing the swimmer segments were determined. The coordinate system was chosen in a 100 co-moving reference frame such that the axial position of the swimmer remained constant in 101 the flow domain.

102

103 The following table provides the necessary data of the swimmer and the parameter of the 104 motion cycle from which we estimated the charateristic flow parameters in form of the 105 Reynolds-number and the Strouhal-number.

106

107 (1)

108

109

 $St = f \cdot a / |\mathbf{v}| \tag{2}$ 

110

111 The former represents the ratio of characteristic inertia forces to viscous forces in the 112 flow and the latter the ratio of time-scales between the undulatory motion and the time a fluid 113 particles needs to travel the distance equal to the body length of the swimmer. These flow 114 parameters are useful for comparison of the forces with other body shapes and swimming 115 (towing) speeds. The highest deflection was located at the feet with an amplitude of a = 0.53116 m at a steady frequency of f = 2.20 Hz. This resulted in  $St \approx 1$  at a velocity of |v| = 1.18 m/s 117 corresponding to the swimmer's speed as recorded in the test pool. This is well in the range 118 documented for human undulatory swimming at  $0.8 \le St \le 1$  depending on the style of 119 swimming and the athlete's physical attributes (e.g. Hochstein & Blickhan, 2011). 120

121

122 Table 1:

#### 123 Static swimmer model

124 To remove holes and sharp corners as a consequence of inaccuracies of the scanning process, original surface contour data of the swimmer needed to be processed in a first step 125 126 with a triangulation and smoothing procedure. Therein, the number of triangles representing 127 the surface was reduced from 200,000 down to 12,000. This allowed a more convenient data 128 transfer and time-efficient grid generation of the fluid domain for dynamic mesh conditions. 129 Non-essential details such as swimsuit and face composition were smoothed during this 130 process, too. The details of the mathematics of the smoothing procedure are given in 131 Appendix A.

132

133 INSERT Figure 1 HERE!

134

135 As a result of this procedure, a smooth surface geometry of the female swimmer was reproduced as a digital surface. This surface represents the geometry of the swimmer in 136 137 stretched form during gliding motion. It is used for reference and as a start for the 138 segmentation process. In a second step, the main pivots (shoulder, hip, knee, and ankle) were 139 assigned to the swimmer model. All pivots were used also as reference points for 140 implementation of the swimmer kinematics (Pacholak, Rudert & Brücker, 2011b). Finally, 141 the data points along the surface of the swimmer next to the pivots were assigned to 142 individual segments of the body (arms, body, upper and lower legs, feeds).

143

### 144 Kinematic swimmer model

145 All parameters of the swimmer's kinematics during the dolphin kick cycle were 146 previously captured by video analysis in a test pool (Hochstein & Blickhan, 2011). Each pivot of the female swimmer was marked with a self-luminous marker (Fig. 1a) and tracked 147 148 with a video camera (Basler A602fc, Basler AG, Ahrensburg, Germany) at 30 Hz. The marker 149 positions over time were curve-fitted with MATLAB 2010b (The MathWorks, Natick, MA, 150 USA) and transferred into kinematic functions for each joint. Undulatory motion was then 151 considered as a segmented coupled motion where each segment behaves like a rigid body 152 whose length remains constant and whose time-trace of the angle is known. The origin of the 153 coordinate system in the co-moving reference frame was chosen as the average vertical 154 position of the shoulder pivot. The axial position of the shoulder pivot (y-coordinate) 155 remained therefore fixed at the origin, while the vertical position (z-coordinate) was 156 oscillating with the amplitude  $A_z$  around the zero-position  $z_0$  in a harmonic manner as follows:

157 
$$z = z_0 + A_z \cdot \sin(\omega t + \varphi_z)$$
(4)

158 Then, the positions of the other pivots  $P_i$  could be calculated iteratively from one joint to the 159 next joint, starting with the pivot point nearest to the shoulder (hip) and terminating with the 160 ankle. The relative angles  $\theta_i$  and absolute pivot angles  $\alpha_i$  around the x-axis were interrelated 161 as follows:

162 
$$\theta_i = \theta_{0i} + A_i \cdot \sin(\omega t + \alpha_i), \qquad \alpha_i = \sum_{k=2}^i \theta_k$$
(5)

163 All absolute angles and the lengths of the segments were known from previous video 164 measurements. The new pivot positions  $P_t$  of pivot points  $P_{\theta}$  (Fig. 2) were then determined 165 with the rotation matrix  $R_x$  (around the *x*-axis) which contained the relative pivot angles  $\theta$ 166 from Equation (5).

$$P_t = R_x P_0. \tag{6}$$

168

170

171 Actually, this rigid transformation rule holds only for the pivot positions and surface patches 172 in the middle of the rigid segments. However, at larger angles  $\theta$  the surface points near to the 173 joints start to overlap leading to an unnatural deformation of the surface. This problem could 174 be overcome by adding an additional translational and rotational shift of the patches relative 175 to each other plus a smoothing kernel which scales with the distance  $r_{\text{Patch}}$  of the patch to the 176 pivot. The surface coordinates were then determined as follows:

177 
$$\boldsymbol{p}_t = \boldsymbol{R}_x(\boldsymbol{p}_0 - \boldsymbol{P}_0) + \boldsymbol{P}_t + \boldsymbol{r}_{\text{smooth}} + \boldsymbol{r}_{\text{patch}}.$$
 (7)

178 As a consequence, surface points near to joints were shifted to a larger amount relative to 179 their neighbours than points close to the middle of the rigid segments. This finally led to a 180 realistic skin-like surface motion in good qualitative agreement with the video recordings of 181 the swimmer.

182

#### 183 Computational fluid dynamics

184 As fluid, water was assumed herein to have constant density  $\rho$  and constant viscosity  $\eta$ . The governing equations of mass (9) and momentum conservation (10) for an unsteady 185 186 three-dimensional flow (named in fluid mechanics the Navier-Stokes equations) then read 187 (see e.g., Schade & Kunz, 1989):

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ 188 (9)

189 
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p + \frac{\eta}{\rho}\Delta \mathbf{v}$$
(10)

190 with pressure p and fluid velocity v. The open source CFD program OpenFOAM was used to solve the equations using the finite volume method with 2<sup>nd</sup> order discretization in space and 191 192 implicit discretisation in time (see e.g. Ferziger & Peric 2002; Versteeg & Malalasekera 193 2007). The solver is able to treat deforming mesh geometries in 2D and 3D and thus is well 194 suited for the simulations of the unsteady flow around the swimmer model. No turbulence 195 model was applied.

196

#### **INSERT Figure 3 HERE !** 197

198

199 The basis for highly resolved results was a combination of an outer block mesh consisting of 200 hexahedral cells with a finer central structure which was adapted to the shape of the swimmer 201 model with the OpenFOAM tool SnappyHexMesh. Cells inside the swimmer model were deleted and cells containing a part of the surface structure were sub-divided into smaller units 202

until a specified cell size or cell number was reached. Additionally, surface layers were added along the contour of the swimmer model to get better wall resolution (Fig. 3). The final mesh size was  $2.5 \times 7 \times 2.5$  m<sup>3</sup> (x-y-z) containing about one million cells.

206

207 INSERT Figure 4 HERE !

208

209 Figure 4 shows the boundary conditions of the system of Equations (9) and (10). The motion 210 functions that deform the computational mesh in a prescribed way over time were 211 implemented as moving mesh boundary conditions. Note that the origin of the swimmer' 212 coordinate system remains at the same position within the grid as if the swimmer is observed 213 in a co-moving reference frame. Therefore, flow is imposed at the inflow boundary conditions 214 with a prescribed constant velocity that is equal to the mean swimming velocity of the 215 swimmer. This situation resembles a swimmer in a counter-flow pool who is forced to keep 216 his streamwise position constant over time. Each supporting geometry and surface motion 217 was created by applying the boundary condition on the static swimmer model. A phase of 218 steady gliding was chosen as initial conditions for the equation system. It simulated the 219 swimmer's push-off from the wall after a short period of gliding to reach the terminal swim speed of |v|=1.18 m/s where the cycles of Dolphin kick start. One single kick cycle of period 220 221 T consisted of more than 4,550 time steps in the simulation. After every tenth time step the 222 old mesh was updated with a new deformed one and the data of v and p were interpolated 223 onto the new one using bilinear interpolation. The Courant number Co defined as

 $Co = |\mathbf{v}| dt/dx. \tag{11}$ 

with the minimal cell size dx and time step dt was always in the range Co < 0.5 throughout the simulations.

227

#### 228 Force calculation

229 The total force acting on the body of the swimmer is in general the sum of a steady 230 component  $F_{\text{Static}}$  which does not change with time and a time-varying component  $F_{Motion}$ 231 related to the swimmer's motion cycle:

$$F_{\text{Result}} = F_{\text{Static}} + F_{\text{Motion}}$$
(12)

The static force consisted of the gravitation component  $F_{\rm G} = mg$  and the opposing Archimedes force  $F_A = -V\rho_{\rm W}g$ , where g was gravitation, m and V were the mass and volume of the swimmer, respectively, and  $\rho_{\rm W}$  was the density of water. Those are not further considered herein and were left out in the following discussion. In addition, any lateral force  $F_x = F_{\rm Drift}$  representing a side-drift of the swimmer was neglected, too. Finally, the dynamic force  $F_{\rm Motion}$  varied during a kick cycle and was determined by integration of the pressure pover the swimmer's total surface area  $A_s$ :

$$F_{\text{Motion}} = \int_{A_{\text{S}}} p n \, dA_{\text{S}} \tag{15}$$

Note that the contribution of the viscous wall friction was herein neglected, since the wake effect and the unsteady propulsion dominated the generated forces. The vertical component of  $F_{Motion}$  represented the lift force  $F_z = F_{Lift}$  which is the hydrodynamic counterpart to aerodynamic lift generated with an airfoil. In swimming direction (y-direction), the resulting force  $F_y = F_{Propulsion} + F_{Drag}$  was the sum of thrust and drag. The former was calculated via the axial momentum added into the wake by the balance across two planes  $A_p$  (Fig. 4) normal to the swimming direction **v** (Schade & Kunz, 1989):

248 
$$\boldsymbol{F}_{\text{Propulsion}} \cong \int_{A_1}^{A_2} \rho_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}) \, dA_p + \int_{A_1}^{A_2} p \boldsymbol{n} \, dA_p \tag{16}$$

The first plane was 1.1 m in front of the swimmer and the second plane cut the swimming domain 0.1 m behind the swimmer. A non-dimensional representation of the drag and lift forces was given in form of coefficients which relate the forces to the dynamic pressure of the flow multiplied with the projection area of the swimmer in swimming direction.

253

# 254 Visualisation of flow structures

Illustrations of the numerical results were given in form of a series of vector field plots 255 256 or isosurfaces representing the shape of vortical structures. Reconstruction of vortical 257 structures out of the flow field is often based on post-processing to obtain either the so called Q-value or the  $\lambda_2$  value (Hussein XXX). Herein, the Q-value was used for visualization of 258 259 the flow structures. However, an isosurface with a single Q-value was not suitable to highlight 260 both the vortex structures near the swimmer's body as well as the structures in the near- and 261 far wake. The body vortices would be overshadowed if the isosurface was chosen with a low 262 Q-value while the wake vortices would disappear if the Q-value was high. This problem was overcome by normalizing the Q-values by the magnitude of slip-velocity of the vortex relative 263 264 to the swimming speed  $|v - v_{\infty}|$ :

265 
$$Q_{\rm mod} = \frac{Q}{|v - v_{\infty}|}, \qquad (18)$$

where  $\mathbf{v}$  was the local velocity and  $\mathbf{v}_{\infty}$  was the swimmer's mean velocity. In the wake, the vortices decayed slowly but slip velocity decayed, too. Thus, the quotient remained nearly constant. In comparison, near the body the vortices were strong but slip velocity was high, too, therefore the quotient did not change. Hence, isosurfaces of constant normalized Q-value gave good impression of the shape of the vortex structures and their interaction in the wake. Additional colouring of the surfaces with the Q-value in logarithmic scaling resulted insuitable information about vortex strength (Fig. 6b).

273

# 274 INSERT Figure 6 HERE !

The results of the numerical simulations were qualitatively compared with results from previous 2D flow-field measurements around the same swimmer in our group (Hochstein, Pacholak, Brücker and Blickhan 2012). Main focus was laid on comparison of location and evolution of characteristic flow structures in the saggital plane of the swimmer.

279

# 280 **Results**

## 281 Forces on the swimmer

The amount of (passive) drag for gliding is  $F_{\rm D} = 15.9$  N at a velocity of  $|\mathbf{v}| = 1.18$  m/s (Table I). For an active dolphin kick the (active) drag is 200.8 N for period 2 and 216.8 N for period 6. As a result, the drag force of a moving swimmer is about 12 times higher than for the swimmer in gliding phase. In addition, the results indicate a slight increase in propulsion of 8% during the four periods which means that maximum performance is only reached after a fey kick cycles. Average lift force and average net thrust/drag remain close to zero. Fig. 12 shows the time-varying traces of the propulsion and drag forces.

- 289
- 290

# 291 INSERT Table I HERE !

# 292 *Vortex structures*

293 Various flow structures were identified during the successive dolphin-kick cycles as294 illustrated by the isosurfaces in Figs. 7 and 8 which show a comparison of the results for kick

cycle #2 and kick cycle #6. At cycle #2 there exist vortex structures (Fig. 7a) in the swimmer's wake which are the remainder of the transition from the gliding phase (after push off from the wall) to the first kick cycle. These vortices resemble horse-shoe type vortices. In addition, one can recognize two ring-type vortices which were generated in the first cycle by the upstroke (upper ring) and downstroke (lower ring) of the feet (Fig. 7a). Thus each stroke generates a separate ring-type vortex which is shed into the wake of the swimmer.

301

- 302 INSERT Figure 7 HERE !
- 303

304 The dynamics of the vortex formation and shedding process is shown in Fig 8 and fig 9 by 305 means of a series of flow states in the kick cycle. Vortex structures and their three-306 dimensional shape are indicated by isosurfaces of Q<sub>mod</sub> (Fig. 8). Additional information is 307 given by a cut through the isosurfaces in the sagittal plane of the swimmer shown in Fig. 9 308 which illustrates the vortex positions along the body more in detail. First we focus on the 309 vortices generated along the main body. Close to the shoulder, a larger vortex structures is 310 seen dorsally (A). When the shoulder blades move up while the arms push down (B), this 311 vortex structure is transported along the upper body surface to the hip (C). Due to the flexion 312 of the knee joint another vortex structure is generated dorsal of the knee (B). While the hip 313 moves up (C-D), both vortex structure merge together and extended into a horse-shoe vortex, 314 gaining strength through the lowering of the legs (D). On the ventral side, a larger vortex 315 structure is formed near the shoulder and breast at state B,C. It moves further downstream 316 (D), grows in size and strength and finally forms a horse-shoe type vortex below the legs (A). 317 Both body vortices the dorsal and the ventral one interact with the pedal region in such a way, 318 that they induce a strong fluid motion relative to the feeds at the state where the down- and 319 upstroke start. At the end of upstroke and start of the downstroke in C, the shear layer is 320 being shed into the wake and rolls up in form of a vortex ring (start vortex) that is left behind

the feed on the upper side. On the other hand, at the end of downstroke and start of upstroke in A, another vortex ring is shed on the lower side. Due to the induced relative motion by the presence of the body vortices near the feed the relative velocity between body and fluid is increased at the up- and downstroke. This generates higher thrust since the generated momentum depends only on the relative velocity.

- 326
- 327
- 328 INSERT Figure 8 HERE !
- 329 INSERT Figure 9 HERE !
- 330

331 In the wake of the swimmer, vortex interaction leads to re-configuration of the flow structure 332 in form of longitudinal vortex tubes (Fig. 7b). This process is illustrated in more detail in Fig. 333 10. The successively shed upstroke vortex rings split and form two upper longitudinal vortex 334 tubes (Fig. 10) while successively shed downstroke vortex rings form two lower longitudinal 335 vortex tubes. Split-up and merging happens at the region, where the counter-rotating parts of 336 the two successive vortex rings are next to each other. As a consequence, the vorticity cancels 337 out to zero, the vortex lines break-up and recombine into column-like vortex tubes (Fig. 10 338 B2-B3).

339

- 340
- 341 INSERT Figure 10 HERE !

342

# 343 Comparison to experiments

The comparison of the numerical results (Figs. 8, 9 and 10) with the experimental observations (Fig. 11) for similar time steps shows similar dynamics of vortex generation and vortex transport. The capital letters represent the movement time steps assigned in Figure 5.
Due to the flexion of the knee joint a vortex is generated dorsal of the knee (compare Fig. 11B
and Fig. 8B). After the downstroke (Figs. 9D and 9A vs. Figs. 11A and 11A') both results
show the generation and the pedal transport of a vortex dorsal of the knee (Fig. 9B vs. Figs.
11B and 11D). These vortex structures are comparable in size and location.

351

352 INSERT Figure 11 HERE !

353

**Discussion and implications** 

High level swimmers usually perform about 5–8 periods after the start and turn. In our simulation the start is a simple push-off that might be a simplification of the actual competitive swimming start or turn. Thus the following motion cycles have to be examined separately to investigate the progress of unsteady structures and their constructive or destructive influence on the flow.

#### 360 Forces on the swimmer

361

362 If the fluid velocity and swimmer's silhouette match the used motion function, all 363 components of the resultant force acting on the swimmer must be balanced. In this study the 364 resultant force in the swim direction (net thrust/drag;  $F_{y}$ ) and perpendicular to the swim 365 direction (lift;  $F_z$ ) differ from zero (Table I). There is about a 3% difference between the drag 366 and propulsion, which depends on the varying reference amount caused by the time shift and 367 calculation impreciseness. Both the average lift force and the average net thrust/drag are 368 (slightly) different from zero, indicating that the motion cycle of the swimmer is not exactly 369 balanced. Reasons for this difference could be the inaccuracy of the motion function used 370 (sinusoidal fit of swimmer's tracked motion). Furthermore, during undulatory motion there 371 are intra-cyclic variations in the swimming speed. In contrast, this study used a constant flow 372 velocity of  $v \approx 1.18$  m/s (average swimming speed). Additionally, the shape of the body 373 (mainly the legs) varies during the kick cycle (more open or more closed). The frontal area 374 during the kick cycle (Fig. 12a) is similar to that of the underwater undulatory backstroke 375 motion of Cohen et al. (2012).

376 The drag and lift forces over a complete period have a similar progression for period 2 377 and period 6 (Fig. 12b). In detail the dolphin kick in period 6 generates more propulsion in the 378 first part of the motion cycle  $(0 \le t \le 0.4T)$ , between  $0.4T \le t \le 0.8T$  the propulsion of period 379 2 becomes more effective but decreases after this short phase below the level of period 6. The 380 mean drag and mean propulsion of period 6 is about 8% higher than in period 2 (Table I). 381 This means that vortex re-capturing is only achieved after several kick cycles. As a 382 consequence, fine-tuning of push-off velocity, body kinematics and vortex preformation is 383 necessary to obtain a constructive body-vortex interaction. In contrast a mismatch between 384 the swimmer's motion and swimming speed may lead to destructive interferences.

385

386 INSERT Figure 12 HERE !

387

# 388 Vortex structures

Our investigations discussed herein differ from former studies that we have run the simulations for 6 successive kick cycles with an additional initial gliding phase. Other studies were focussing only on a single cycle without any starting phase (Loebbecke et al. 2009b, Cohen et al. 2012). Therefore, we are able to investigate the transient from the gliding to the kicking phase and possible cycle-to-cycle variations. As the results have shown there is an increase of 8% of propulsion when comparing cycle #2 and cycle #6. The reason therefore is 395 assumed to be related to the mechanism of vortex re-capturing as discussed in the following. 396 The basic idea of vortex re-capturing in locomotion is to use the kinetic energy of vortices 397 generated near the body to enhance propulsion (Hochstein & Blickhan, 2011). As documented 398 herein, vortex re-capturing occurs at the swimming cycle when the body vortex is transported 399 caudally along the body's surface (circle in Fig. 9) to a position where the legs in the next 400 kick within the cycle would cross the vortex (B). Due to the presence of this body vortex in 401 the region near the feet, there is an induced fluid motion which – in case of constructive 402 interaction - is counter to the stroke motion of the feet. In consequence, there is a higher 403 relative velocity between feet and fluid during the stroke. This causes an increase of 404 momentum added to the fluid.

405 It is important to note that the formation of the body vortices needs a certain number 406 of cycles to be established in full strength. As shown in Fig. xxx. after push-off from the 407 wall, flow at the cycle #2 is still influenced largely by the presence of vortices generated in 408 the starting phase and the body vortices are not yet fully developed. Thus there is only limited 409 use of any vortex-recapturing in the initial phase. In contrast, the illustrated vortex dynamics 410 in the later cycles clearly revealed the presence of vortex re-capturing. We therefore conclude 411 that the increase of propulsion about 8% from cycle #2 to cycle #6 is due to vortex re-412 capturing in the later kick cycles.

- 413
- 414

#### 415 *Comparison to experiments*

we validate the results of the numerical simulation of the 3D flow field with the
experimental results of the same swimmer with the same motion (Hochstein & Blickhan,
2011; Hochstein et al., 2012). In fact this comparison is limited by the constraint that the
experimental method of Particle Image Velocimetry only provides a 2D flow field in a single

plane, the sagittal midplane. The good qualitative agreement between the results from
experiment and numerical simulations in the saggital plane supports the herein deduced
discussions about the three-dimensional nature of the vortex structure and the resulting forces.

423 Conclusion

424 This paper shows a complete approach from the scanning of a real swimmer with a 425 body scanner and reconstructing the surface data in order to implement the motion functions and conduct open source CFD simulation with the dynamic model. This offers great 426 427 advantages when using steady moving models with implemented motion functions instead of 428 fitting a model position to some given image frames. Parametric studies are easier to 429 implement through varying the parameters, such as joint angle, phase or frequency. This 430 methodology allows greater influence on running calculations and the implementation of new 431 numeric solvers. The discovery of vortex merging in the swimmer's wake visualised by a 432 modified Q-criterion and the reusing (re-capturing) of vortices shows the great potential for 433 further studies on this topic, which will lead to a better understanding of the entire process of 434 human undulatory swimming. The study shows that the propulsion through underwater undulatory swimming increases with a longer diving phase. Another important aspect is the 435 436 calculation of the active and passive drag. It is well known that the main propulsion is realised 437 by the legs and feet, but the questions of what percentage of overall forces are generated by 438 undulatory body motion and what detailed effect results from active drag have still not been 439 determined.

## 440 **References**

Alves, F., Lopes, P., Veloso, A., & Martins-Silva, A. (2006). Influence of body position on
dolphin kick kinematics. In [editors here] *Proceedings of the XXIV International Society of Sports Biomechanics Symposium (page number). Salzburg, Austria.*

- Bixler, B., & Riewald, S. (2002). Analysis of a swimmer's hand and arm in steady flow
  conditions using computational fluid dynamics. *Journal of Biomechanics*, *35*(5), 713717.
- Bixler, B., Pease, D., & Fairhurst, F. (2007). The accuracy of computational fluid dynamics
  analysis of the passive drag of a male swimmer. *Sports Biomechanics*, *6*, 81-98.
- Cohen, R. C., Cleary, P. W., & Mason, B. R. (2012). Simulations of dolphin kick swimming
  using smoothed particle hydrodynamics. *Human Movement Science*, *31*, 604-609.
- 451 Ferziger, J. H., & Peric, M. (2002). *Computational methods for fluid dynamics*. Berlin
  452 Heidelberg / New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Hanke, W., Brücker, C., & Bleckmann, H. (2000). The ageing of the low frequency water
  disturbances caused by swimming goldfish and its possible relevance to prey
  detection. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 20, 1193-1200.
- 456 Hochstein, S., & Blickhan, R. (2011). Vortex re-capturing and kinematics in human
- 457 underwater undulatory swimming. *Human Movement Science*, *30*(5), 998-1007.
- 458 Hochstein, S., Pacholak, S., Brücker, C., & Blickhan, R. (2012). Experimental and numerical

459 investigation of the unsteady flow around a human underwater undulating swimmer.

- 460 In C. Tropea & H. Bleckmann (Eds.), *Nature-inspired fluid mechanics* (pp.263-278).
- 461 Berlin Heidelberg / New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Hollander, A.P., de Groot, G, van Ingen Schenau, G.J., Toussaint, H.M., de Best, H., Peeters,
  W., Meulemans, A. and Schreurs, A.W. (1986) Measurement of active drag during
  crawl arm stroke swimming. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 4(1), 21-30.
- Loebbecke, von A., Mittal, R., Fish, F., & Mark, R. (2009a). A comparison of the kinematics
  of the dolphin kick in humans and cetaceans. *Human Movement Science*, *28*, 99-112.
- Loebbecke, von A., Mittal, R., Fish, F., & Mark, R. (2009b). Propulsive efficiency of the
- 468 underwater dolphin kick in humans. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering*, 131(5),

469 054504-1-054504-3.

- 470 Lyttle, A., & Keys, M. (2006). The application of computational fluid dynamics for technique
  471 prescription in underwater kicking. *Portuguese Journal of Sport Sciences*, *6*, 233-236.
- 472 Pacholak, S., Rudert, A., & Brücker, C. (2011a). Numerical study of human dolphin
- 473 swimming. In G. Brenn, G. Holzapfel, M. Schanz & O. Steinbach (Eds.) *Proceedings*
- 474 of the Annual Meeting of the 82nd International Association of Applied Mathematics
- 475 *and Mechanics, Graz, Austria* (pp.105-106).
- 476 Pacholak, S., Rudert, A., & Brücker, C. (2011b). Numerische Untersuchung instationärer
- 477 Effekte beim menschlichen Schwimmen [Numerical investigation of unsteady
- 478 phenomena in human swimming]. In I. Fichtner (Ed.) *IAT Frühjahrschule*
- 479 Informations- und Kommunikationstechnologien in der angewandten
- 480 *Trainingswissenschaft* (pp.102-111). Leipzig, Germany.
- 481 Przybilla, A., Kunze, S., Rudert, A., Bleckmann, H., & Brücker, C. (2010). Entraining in
  482 trout: A behavioural and hydrodynamic analysis. *Journal of Experimental Biology*,
  483 213, 2976-2986.
- 484 Schade, H., & Kunz, E. (1989). *Strömungslehre* [Fluid dynamics]. Berlin / New York: Walter
  485 de Gryter.
- Toussaint, H.M. (2000). An alternative fluid dynamic explanation for propulsion in front
  crawl swimming. In R. Sanders and Y. Hong (Eds.) *Applied program: Application of biomechanical study in swimming* (pp.96-103). Hong Kong: The Chinese University
  of Hong Kong.
- 490 Versteeg, H. K., & Malalasekera, W. (2007). *An introduction to computational fluid*491 *dynamics*. Pearson Education Limited.
- Wang, J., & Hihara, E. (2004). Human body surface area: A theoretical approach. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, *91*(4), 425-428.

# 494 List of figures

- Fig. 1: Steps in model construction: the human model (a), the entire data scan of the 3D body scanner (b), and the completed surface model of the human swimmer at three different instants within the dolphin kick cycle movement steps (c).
- 498 Fig. 2: Mathematical model of human swimmer at an optional point in time *t*, the pivot point 499  $P_0$  and the surface data  $p_0$  in rest position are rotated around the x-axis by angle  $\alpha$ 500 to gain the time-dependent positions of  $P_t$  and  $p_t$ .
- Fig. 3: Slice through calculation mesh with finer core around the swimmer's surface from side
  view (a), from the front (b), and an enlarged subsection showing layers near the
  surface (c).
- Fig. 4: Boundary conditions of calculation mesh: fluid intake (inlet), fluid cleanout (outlet) and remaining boundaries (boundary), and two additional planes  $A_p$  for propulsion calculation.
- Fig. 5: Single motion cycle of human dolphin swimming showing the significant time steps (A: gliding position at the beginning and the end (t=0=T), B: maximum upper transversal excursion of feet (t=0.25T), C: maximum downstroke velocity of feet (t=0.5T), D: maximum lower transversal excursion of feet (t=0.75T). In the following these indicating time steps are symbolised by their capital letter (A-D).
- Fig. 6: Comparison of standard and modified *Q*-Criterion: Vortex evolution and interaction cannot be visualised with a finite threshold value of iso-surfaces of Q (a). Modifying *Q* with the magnitude of slip-velocity leads to a chronological characteristics  $Q_{mod}$  of
- 515 vortex evolution (b).
- Fig. 7: Generation of upper and lower ring-vortex structures (visualised by the modified *Q*Criterion) behind the swimmer (a) at the beginning of period 2 (initial structures after
  push off from the wall and one kick cycle) and (b) at the beginning of period 6 (vortex

rings merge into four longitudinal vortices). (c) Slices through swimmer's wake at
three different positions. The black arrows stand for die flow velocity.

- Fig. 8: Comparison of unsteady structures in period 2 (left column) and period 6 (right
  column) visualised with modified *Q*-Criterion. Main differences in intensity are
  located in the region of the hip and in the vortex transport in this area. Capital letters
  A-D represent the points in time defined in Figure 5.
- Fig. 9: Comparison of unsteady structures in period 2 (left column) and period 6 (right column) visualised with modified *Q*-Criterion in sagittal plane at the midline of the swimmer. Light contours show the extrusion of these vortex structures in the xdirection, the circle labels the same unsteady structure and its transport during a complete period. Capital letters A-D represent the points in time defined in Figure 5.
- Fig. 10: Top view on the swimmer's wake. Process of ring-vortex merging into two longitudinal vortices (the legs form an elongated loop vortex) illustrated for the upper ring vortex release area from t=T (A1) until t=4.25T (B3). The same procedure occurs in the lower ring vortex release area with a phase shift of 0.5*T*. Capital letters A-D represent the points in time defined in Figure 5.
- 535 Fig. 11: Validation of the numerical results with the experimental measured 2D flow field 536 (black arrows stand for the direction and the magnitude of the flow) and vorticity 537 (contour) of the swimmer's sagittal plain (adapted from Hochstein et al., 2012) of the 538 same swimmer and same motion observed in the present study. Each image is a 539 combination (divided by the black vertical line) of the same phase during the motion 540 cycle. The right part of the inset is always two motion periods before the left part. The 541 large blue (clockwise) and red circles (anticlockwise) indicate vortex structures, and 542 the black 3D structures stand for halved 3D vortex rings.
- Fig. 12: Time dependency during a dolphin kick cycle of (a) the frontal area including
  characteristic time steps (dotted lines and its corresponding front view), and (b) the

545 propulsion and drag force of period 2 and period 6 over a complete motion cycle546 showing a vertical flip with a shift of 0.19*T* in time.

547

548 Appendix A

549

550 The smoothing procedure is described in detail in the following: first, to modify the 551 surface resolution the scanned data were embedded into a minimum cube. For the three 552 spatial directions this cube was divided recursively by two into eight sub-cubes. The more 553 often this division was performed, the higher the final resolution of the model. It was not 554 possible to gain a higher model resolution than the scan data without destroying surface 555 closure (holes, bricks). Depending on the geometry of the scanned object, several created sub-556 cubes contained data points  $p_i$ . These data  $p_i$  were reduced into one balance point  $c_j$  for each 557 cube:

558 
$$c_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$$
 (1)

559 With Equation (1) it was possible to reduce the surface data to  $8^{b}$  points, where *b* was 560 the number of recursions used for cube division. This amount was just an upper boundary 561 because not all cubes contained data.

562 The cube with balance point  $c_i$  obtaining the most neighbours of neighbours

563 
$$N_j^2 = \left(\bigcup_{k \in N_j^1} N_k^1\right) / \{c_j\}$$
(2)

was the starting point for the initial triangulation. Equation (2) determined a set of cubes, that were neighbours of at least one neighbour of  $c_i$  but without  $c_i$  itself. Two cubes were

neighbours  $(N_i^1)$  if they had one side in common. There were at most six neighbours in 3D 566 space. The amount  $N_j^2$  contained all neighbours of the neighbours of cube  $c_j$  and had 18 567 items or less. The balance point of  $c_j$  and its  $N_j^2$  were projected onto their regression plane 568 569 and triangulated with the planar Delaunay triangulation algorithm (Pacholak, Rudert, & 570 Brücker, 2011a). This method created regular triangles with interior angles close to 60°. After re-projecting the planar triangulation onto the original  $c_j$  and its  $N_j^2$ , the main triangulation 571 started to add the remaining neighbouring balance points, step by step, to the existing 572 573 triangulated surface.

574 
$$c_l \subseteq N_i^2 \cap N_i^2 \tag{3}$$

The points  $c_i$  had to fulfil the following conditions: (i)  $c_i$  was part of the outer border  $e_{ij}$  of the triangulation or was not already used, (ii)  $c_i$  was in the direction t of triangulation, (iii) the angle between the normals of the existing triangle and the triangle created was below 105°, (iv) the distance between  $c_i$  and the outer border  $e_{ij}$  was below  $\sqrt{2q}$ , where q was the length of a sub-cube, and (v) there was no faces crossing between the triangle created and already-existing triangles.

If more than one point fulfilled these conditions, the most regular triangle was created (the regularity condition meant that all interior angles are close to  $60^{\circ}$ ). The edges of the created triangle were added to the outer border  $e_{ij}$  of the triangulation or deleted if they were already part of it. The procedure for adding new neighbouring points started again and lasted as long as any outer edges remained.

586

: