



## City Research Online

### City, University of London Institutional Repository

---

**Citation:** Ctori, I. & Huntjens, B. (2015). The effect of ethnicity on the association between macular pigment distribution and foveal anatomy in healthy individuals. *European Journal of Ophthalmology*, 25(4), e65. doi: 10.5301/ejo.5000635

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

---

**Permanent repository link:** <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/17234/>

**Link to published version:** <https://doi.org/10.5301/ejo.5000635>

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

---

---

---

City Research Online:

<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/>

[publications@city.ac.uk](mailto:publications@city.ac.uk)

---

## Carotenoid Conference 2015

**Title: The effect of ethnicity on the association between macular pigment distribution and foveal anatomy in healthy individuals**

**Abstract (1483 characters. Max 1500 characters)**

**Purpose:** Macular pigment distribution may be associated with foveal anatomy. We explored the effect of ethnicity on this relationship.

**Methods:** Macular pigment optical density (MPOD) was measured using heterochromatic flicker photometry in 76 white, 80 South Asian and 70 black volunteers aged 18 to 39. MPOD spatial profiles were classified as exponential, ring-like or central dip. Foveal pit morphology measurements including inner retinal thickness (IRT) and foveal width (FW) were taken from Spectralis OCT scans. Mean spherical error and age was controlled for in analyses.

**Results:** MPOD profiles were associated with ethnicity ( $P=0.009$ ): 58% with ring profiles were South Asian and 43% with dip profiles were black. Integrated MPOD up to  $1.8^\circ$  ( $MPOD_{INT}$ ) was higher in ring ( $0.96 \pm 0.26$ ) and dip ( $1.00 \pm 0.32$ ) compared to exponential profiles ( $0.66 \pm 0.21$ ;  $F(2) = 45.9$ ,  $P < 0.0005$ ). Although white subjects had thicker IRT at  $0^\circ$  ( $130 \pm 21\mu\text{m}$ ) than South Asian ( $123 \pm 16\mu\text{m}$ ) and blacks ( $116 \pm 141\mu\text{m}$ ;  $F(2)=12.4$ ,  $P < 0.0005$ ), and FW was narrower in whites ( $2282 \pm 225\mu\text{m}$ ) than South Asian ( $2474 \pm 260\mu\text{m}$ ) and blacks ( $2449 \pm 284\mu\text{m}$ ;  $F(2)=12.6$ ,  $P < 0.0005$ ), foveal anatomy showed no difference between profiles ( $P > 0.05$ ).  $MPOD_{INT}$  was positively correlated to IRT at  $0^\circ$  only in blacks ( $r = 0.35$ ,  $P = 0.003$ ), but not related to FW in any ethnic group.

**Conclusions:** Ethnicity plays an important role in variations observed between MPOD profiles. While foveal morphology presented different characteristics across ethnic groups, this did not explain variations in MPOD distribution. Ethnicity is a covariate that should not be overlooked when investigating relationships between MPOD and foveal anatomy.

**Disclosures:** None