

**City Research Online** 

## City, University of London Institutional Repository

**Citation:** Cai, B., Pan, G. & Fu, F. (2020). Prediction of the post-fire flexural capacity of RC beam using GA-BPNN Machine Learning. Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities, 34(6), 04020105. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)CF.1943-5509.0001514

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/24261/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CF.1943-5509.0001514

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. 
 City Research Online:
 http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/
 publications@city.ac.uk

### 1 2

### Prediction of the post-fire flexural capacity of RC beam using GA-BPNN Machine Learning

3

Bin Cai<sup>1</sup>; Guo-liang Pan<sup>2</sup>; Feng Fu<sup>3</sup> CEng, F.ASCE

4

To accurately predict the flexural capacity of post-fire RC beams is Abstract: 5 imperative for fire safety design. In this paper, the residual flexural capacity of 6 post-fire RC beams is predicted based on a back-propagation (BP) neural network 7 (NN) optimized by a genetic algorithm (GA). First, the temperature distribution of the 8 beams was determined using the finite element analysis software ABAQUS, and the 9 strength reduction factor of materials was determined. The flexural capacity of the RC 10 beams after fire is calculated by the flexural strength reduction calculation model. The 11 model is used to generate the training data for the NN. To enable machine learning, 12 480 datasets are produced, of which 360 datasets are used to train the network; the 13 remaining 120 datasets are used to test the network. The predictive models are 14 constructed using BPNN and GA-BPNN respectively. The prediction accuracy is 15 evaluated by comparing the predicted values and the target values. The comparison 16 shows that the GA-BPNN has a faster convergence speed, higher stability, and can 17 reach the goal more times, reducing the possibility of BPNN falling into the local 18 optimum and achieving the global optimum. The proposed GA-BPNN model for 19 predicting the flexural capacity of post-fire RC beams provides a new approach for 20 design practice. 21

Keywords: reinforced concrete, fire, flexural capacity, BP neural network, GA-BPneural network, prediction

24

### 25 1. Introduction

Fire is one of the most common disasters in today's society. Building Fire frequently occurs, accounting for approximately 80% of all fires (Xue et al. 2017). Buildings experience various degrees of damage after fire, and their mechanical properties should be fully evaluated to determine the safety of the structure after fire and provide reliable technical support for further retrofitting requirements. In fire the mechanical properties RC beam decrease significantly as the temperature increases (Felicetti et al. 2009; Annerel and Taerwe 2011).

To determine the residual flexural capacity, a large number of calculation processes are needed. The neural network (NN) can substitute human being to accurately predict the flexural capacity of the RC beams after a fire, thus avoiding complicated calculation processes (Naser et al. 2012; Xiang and Wang 2013).

Artificial NNs (ANNs) (Fu,2020) are mathematical or computational models that mimic the formation of the structure and the function of biological systems (Mao et al. 2011; Di Massimo et al. 1992; Zhang et al. 2003). ANNs have strong nonlinear

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 1. Professor, School of Civil Engineering, Jilin Jianzhu University, Changchun, China; School of Mathematics, Computer Science and Engineering, City, University of London, London, UK. Email :bincai666@163.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 2. Research student, School of Civil Engineering, Jilin Jianzhu University, Changchun, China.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Senior Lecturer (Associate Professor), School of Mathematics, Computer Science & Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, Northampton Square, London, C1V OHB, U.K.(corresponding author). Email: feng.fu.1@city.ac.uk

analysis capabilities and can map a given input to the required output through training 40 (Zhang et al. 2004). ANNs define relations in datasets and are suitable for problems 41 that are difficult to solve using traditional mathematical methods. ANNs have wide 42 application prospects in engineering. Sobhani et al. (2010) used NNs to study the 43 compressive strength of no-slump concrete. Alshihri et al. (2009) established a 44 predictive model of the compressive strength of structural light-weight concrete using 45 ANN. Dwaikat (2008) conducted numerical simulations of fire-induced restraint 46 effects in reinforced concrete beams based on NN. Kodur et al. (2004, 1998, 2003) 47 predicted the fire resistance behavior of high-strength concrete columns using NNs. 48 Abbasi (2005) used ANNs to establish a predictive model for glass fiber-reinforced 49 50 plastic steel concrete beams. Erdem (2010) studied the prediction of the flexural capacity of RC plates after a fire using an ANN. 51

52 Back-propagation (BP) is a neural network algorithm whose process includes forward propagation of information and back propagation of errors. However, when 53 Ling and Zhang (2014) used the BP NN to predict the price trend of gold, the 54 convergence speed of the learning process of the BP NN appeared to be slower. To 55 solve this problem, the global search ability of the genetic algorithm (GA) is often 56 used to optimize the weight and threshold of BP NNs to improve their prediction 57 ability (Ma and Shi 2004; Ding et al. 2011; Xu et al. 2014). Vinay Chandwani et al. 58 (2015) used GAs to assist the ANN to simulate the slump of ready-mix concrete. The 59 study showed that by hybridizing ANN with GA, the convergence speed of ANN and 60 its accuracy of prediction can be improved. The trained hybrid model can be used to 61 quickly predict the slump of concrete. Ahmed and Nehdi (2017) presented an 62 approach to predicting the intrinsic self-healing in concrete using a hybrid GA-63 artificial NN. Yan et al. (2017,2016) combined the strong nonlinear mapping ability of 64 ANN with the global searching ability of GA to study the diameter, surface, position, 65 and embedment length of the steel, as well as the thickness of the concrete cover and 66 concrete compressive strength on the influence of the glass fiber reinforced plastic 67 (GFRP) bond strength of reinforcement and concrete, and they studied the anchorage 68 reliability of GFRP steel given the factors of steel diameter, thickness of concrete 69 cover, anchoring length, concrete compressive strength and ultimate yield strength of 70 GFRP steel. However, few people use GA-BP NN to study the prediction of the 71 flexural capacity of RC beams after fire controlled by multiple factors. 72

73 In this study, a new method for the rapid prediction the flexural capacity of post-fire reinforced concrete (RC) beams using GA-BP NN is developed. First, the 74 temperature distribution of the beams was determined using the finite element 75 analysis software ABAQUS, and the strength reduction factor of materials was 76 determined. The flexural capacity of the RC beams after fire is calculated by the 77 flexural strength reduction calculation model. The model is used to generate the 78 training data for the NN. The flexural capacity of post-fire RC beams is predicted 79 80 using a GA-BPNN. The predicted values obtained by the NN are compared to the target value, with small errors, demonstrating the accuracy of ANNs. The use of the 81 GA-BPNN to predict the flexural capacity of post-fire RC beams can avoid the 82 complex calculation used to reduce the workload for the study of post-fire building 83

structures, providing a reliable basis for the strengthening of such structures, and saveboth time and resources.

86

### 87 2. Calculation model of the post-fire flexural capacity of RC beams

### 88 2.1 Heat transfer

89 Heat transfer comprises three key process, conduction, radiation and convection.

Conduction is the physical process of heat transfer from the presence of a temperature gradient. The high temperature of the fire acting on the surface of the reinforced concrete member is conducted into it by thermal conduction.

According to Fu (2016a,b, 2018), the thermal convection between the concrete surface of the fire field and the fire environment is as follows:

$$q = h \left( T_f - T_r \right) \tag{1}$$

96 where h is the convective heat transfer coefficient,  $T_f$  is the fire field temperature

97 and  $T_r$  is the absolute temperature of receiving the surface.

98 The thermal radiation between the surface of concrete components and the fire 99 environment is as follows:

110

 $q = \upsilon \gamma \left( T_f^4 - T_r^4 \right) \tag{2}$ 

101 where v is the surface emissivity, which, for concrete, is generally 0.3; and  $\gamma$  is the

102 Stefan-Boltzmann constant ( $5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}^4$ ).

### **103 2.2 Thermal parameters**

Heat transfer analysis requires the thermal parameters of the materials, including the heat conductivity, the specific heat capacity, and the density. The thermal parameters proposed in Eqs. (3) - (4) are used for the concrete in this study from BS EN1994-1-2 (BSI, 2013), and the steel adopts the thermal parameters proposed in Ref. (Lie and Irwin 1995).

109 The heat conduction rate of the concrete is as follows:

$$\lambda_{\rm c} = 2 - 0.24 \left(\frac{T}{120}\right) + 0.012 \left(\frac{T}{120}\right)^2 \quad 20^{\circ}C \le T \le 1200^{\circ}C \tag{3}$$

111 where  $\lambda_c$  is the heat conduction rate of the concrete and *T* is the current 112 temperature.

113 The specific heat capacity of the concrete is as follows:

114 
$$c_c = 900 - 4 \left(\frac{T}{120}\right)^2 + 80 \left(\frac{T}{120}\right) \quad 20^{\circ}C \le T \le 1200^{\circ}C$$
(4)

- 115 where  $c_c$  is the specific heat capacity of the concrete.
- 116 The heat conduction rate of the steel is as follows:

117 
$$\lambda_{s} = \begin{cases} 54 - 3.33 \times 10^{-2}T & 20^{\circ}C \le T \le 800^{\circ}C \\ 27.3 & 800^{\circ}C \le T \le 1200^{\circ}C \end{cases}$$
(5)

118 where  $\lambda_s$  is the heat conduction rate of the steel.

119

120

The specific heat capacity of the steel is as follows:

$$c_{T} = \begin{cases} 425 + 7.73 \times 10^{-1}T - 1.69 \times 10^{-3}T^{2} + 2.22 \times 10^{-6}T^{3} & 20^{\circ}C \le T < 600^{\circ}C \\ 666 + \frac{13002}{738 - T} & 600^{\circ}C \le T < 735^{\circ}C \\ 545 + \frac{17820}{T - 731} & 735^{\circ}C \le T < 900^{\circ}C \\ 650 & 900^{\circ}C \le T < 1200^{\circ}C \end{cases}$$
(6)

where  $c_{\tau}$  is the specific heat capacity of the steel. The specific heat capacity of the 121

steel varies greatly with the increase of temperature, and the specific heat capacity 122 increases rapidly; however, as the temperature continues to rise, the specific heat 123 capacity of the steel rapidly decreases. 124

125 The ISO 834 fire curve used in this study is as follows (ISO, 1999):  
126 
$$T = T_0 + 345 \lg(8t+1)$$
 (7)

where  $T_0$  is the room temperature and t is the heating time. 127

#### 2.3 Calculation of the post-fire flexural capacity 128

The mechanical properties of both reinforced steel and concrete were 129 130 deteriorated after fires, which caused lower flexural capacity and thereby safety risks, therefore, the flexural capacity attenuation of components should be quantitatively 131 identified. The temperature of post-fire RC beams was determined from the heat 132 transferring analysis. The strength reduction equations were introduced to determine 133 the post-fire strength of component materials. Then the post-fire residual flexural 134 capacity of RC beams was analyzed. 135

After the thermal parameters of the concrete and the steel in the RC beam are 136 determined according to sections 2.1 and 2.2, a heat transfer analysis is performed 137 using ABAQUS to simulate the temperature field and to extract the temperatures of 138 each point of the section at different times. According to the strength reduction 139 method proposed in Niu et al (1990) and Yang et al. (2009), the compressive strength 140 reduction factor of concrete and the yield strength reduction factor of steel at different 141 temperatures are shown in Fig. 1. The flow chart for the flexural capacity of post-fire 142 RC beams is shown in Fig. 2. 143

144

### (Fig. 1) According to Cai et al. (2019), the formula for calculating the flexural capacity 145 in an RC beam after a fire is as follows: 146

147

$$M_{CT} = \alpha_1 \bar{\varphi}_{CT} f_c bx (h_0 - 0.5x) + \varphi'_{yT} f'_y A'_s (h_0 - a'_s)$$
(8)

148 where  $M_{CT}$  is the flexural capacity of the post-fire concrete beam at the maximum 149 fire temperature of  $T^{\circ}C$ ;  $\overline{\varphi}_{CT}$  is the strength reduction factor of concrete in the 150 compressive zone;  $f_c$  is the compressive strength of the concrete at normal 151 temperature; b is the sectional width of the beam;  $h_0$  is the valid sectional height of the 152 beam;  $\alpha_1 = 1$ ; x is the height of the compressed zone in the post-fire component;  $\varphi'_{yT}$ 153 is the yield strength reduction factor of compressive reinforced steel;  $a'_{s}$  is the 154 distance from the resultant force point of the compressive reinforced steel to the 155 margins of the compressive section;  $f'_{y}$  is the yield strength of compressive 156 reinforced steel at normal temperature;  $A'_{s}$  is the area of reinforced steel in the

<sup>157</sup> compressive zone.

- 159
- 160
- 161

### 162 2.4 Verification of the post-fire flexural capacity of RC beams

The post-fire flexural capacity calculation model for RC beams was validated 163 using the test data of specimen L5 and L9 in Ref. (Xu et al. 2013). They performed 164 flexural tests for 7 RC beams after fire. the effects of fire exposure time, shear span 165 ratio, reinforcement ratio and flange on the residual flexural capacity of the beans 166 were analyzed. The reinforcement details of the specimen are illustrated in Fig.3. The 167 reason that Tests L5 and L9 are selected for the validation is because they are expose 168 to different fire durations. L5 is exposed to fire for 1 hour, and L9 is exposed to fire 169 for 2 hours. The temperature field distribution is simulated using ABAOUS; then, in 170 combination with Fig. 1, the compressive strength reduction factor and the yield 171 strength reduction factor of the section of the beam after a fire are determined. The 172 flexural capacity of specimen L5 was calculated with Eq. (8) as 194.45 kN, with a 173 0.79% error from that of specimen L5 in Ref. (Xu et al. 2013), which is 196 kN. The 174 flexural capacity of specimen L9 was calculated with Eq. (8) as 164 kN, with a 1.70% 175 error from that of specimen L9, which is 167 kN. The flexural capacity of the strength 176 reduction model proposed in this paper agrees well with the Ref. (Xu et al. 2013) and 177 indicates that the method can be applied to the calculation of the flexural capacity of 178 RC beams after a fire. 179

(Fig. 2)

180

### (**Fig.** 3)

### 1813 Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)

### 182 **3.1 Overview of ANNs**

ANNs are mathematical models that mimic the structure and function of 183 biological systems and are characterized by adaptivity, self-learning, nonlinear 184 mapping, robustness, and fault tolerance (Lin et al. 2016). Based on modern 185 neuroscience, ANNs mimic brain processing mechanisms to achieve the simulation 186 effect. ANN models are independent of objects, targets, and datasets and have a strong 187 188 nonlinear processing capability. Without the need for manually inputting specific formulas, the network can search for nonlinear relations between the inputs and outputs 189 according to the existing test data and obtain a mathematical model that can map the 190 intrinsic relations of the test data (Zhou and Ke 2016). 191

### **3.2 Introduction to the BPNN**

The BPNN is currently the most widely used multilayer feedforward network 193 structure (Cheng et al. 2015; Shen et al. 2008). In terms of learning rules, the BPNN is 194 195 a supervised learning network, which can, when there is an unknown specific mapping relation between the inputs and outputs of the network, change its own 196 structure, adjust the weights of neurons through the continuous learning of sample 197 data, and finally create the correct mapping between the inputs and outputs of the 198 network (Shang and Mao 2001; Zhao et al. 2019). Both working signals and error 199 signals are propagated in the BPNN. The working signals are propagated forward 200

157 158 from the input layer to the output layer, while the error signals are propagated backward (Yang et al. 2001). The two phases are repeated continuously to adjust the weights and thresholds of the network until the errors are minimized (Zhao et al. 204 2019).

The BPNN adopts the working principle of a multilayer feedforward network. Neurons in the hidden layer are connected to the inputs and outputs. The gradient learning method is used to adjust the weights in the training stage to minimize the errors between the actual outputs and target outputs. A given set of inputs  $[v_1, v_2, ..., v_j]$ are successively subjected to 2 basic mathematical operations to solve for the final output  $Z_j$ .

First, when the information passes through the input layer to the hidden layer, the bias of each neuron in the hidden layer is added to the product of the inputs and the sum of their respective weights to obtain the receiving vector  $U_j$  as follows:

$$U_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{ij} v_i + b_j \tag{9}$$

215  $Z_{i} = f(U_{i})$ (10)

where  $[w_{1j}, w_{2j}, ..., w_{ij}]$  is the weight vector of the j-th neuron between the input layer and the hidden layer, and  $b_j$  is the bias between the input layer and the hidden layer.

Assume that the architecture of the NN is 7-n-1 and the input layer is  $[v_1, v_2, ..., v_7]$ ; then  $W_1$  is the weight matrix from the input layer to the hidden layer,  $W_2$  is the weight matrix from the hidden layer to the output layer,  $B_1$  is the bias vector of the hidden layer, and  $B_2$  is the bias vector of the output layer. According to the receiving vector  $U_1$ , the corresponding output  $Z_1$  from the input layer to the hidden layer is obtained.  $U_1 = W_1^T V + B_1$  (11)

Finally, the receiving vector  $U_2$  is used to obtain the corresponding output  $Z_2$  from the hidden layer to the output layer as follows:

$$U_{2} = W_{2}^{T}V_{1} + K_{2} = W_{2}^{T}\left(f\left(W_{1}^{T}V + B_{1}\right)\right) + B_{2}$$
(12)

226

214

$$Z_{2} = f_{2}(U_{2}) = f_{2}\left(W_{2}^{T}\left(f_{1}\left(W_{1}^{T}V + B_{1}\right)\right) + B_{2}\right)$$
(13)

228 where  $Z_2$  is the prediction of the flexural capacity of the RC beam.

However, the traditional BP network inevitably has local convergency problems. During the learning process, the rate of decline and the rate of learning are slow, and a long-term error flat area is prone to appear. The choice of network structure is different, the network is too large, and the efficiency is not high in training.

### 233 **3.3 Introduction to the GA-BPNN**

The GA is a random search algorithm based on natural selection and the genetic 234 mechanism of biological organisms. The GA searches for the optimal solution by 235 236 simulating the natural evolution process. The method has the advantages of high robustness, strong global search ability, and simple calculations. The GA continuously 237 evolves through the processes of selection, crossover, and mutation to obtain the 238 optimal solution. Aiming at the shortcomings of the BPNN, a GA can be combined 239 with BPNN to improve the structure, rules and weight threshold of an NN using the 240 characteristics of the GA, thus improving the speed and accuracy of network 241

prediction. The process of optimization of BPNN by the GA is shown in Fig. 4.

243 Step 1: Determine the topology, the weights, the thresholds, and the number of 244 nodes of the BPNN.

Step 2: Collect raw data, such as fire duration and beam height. The original data is normalized and preprocessed, and the preprocessed value is used as input to the network.

Step 3: Select the GA parameters, initialize the population, and encode each individual as a string of real numbers, which are the connection weights between the input layer and the hidden layer, the threshold of the hidden layer, the connection weights between the hidden layer and the output layer, and the threshold of the output layer.

Step 4: Calculate the fitness of each individual of the population using the following function:

$$F = 1 / \sum_{i=1}^{N} abs(y_i - y_i)$$
 (14)

where  $y_i$  is the target value and  $y'_i$  is the predicted output.

Step 5: Perform the GA operations of selection, crossover and mutation,
successively, retaining the individuals with high fitness and eliminating those with low
fitness.

260 261

255

The selection operation is as follows:

$$p_i = F_i \Big/ \sum_{i=1}^{N} F_i \tag{15}$$

where N is the population and  $F_i$  is the fitness of individual *i*.

263 The crossover operation is as follows:

Because real encoding is adopted for each individual, a real-coded crossover

operator is used. The crossover operation at the *j*-th bits of the *k*-th chromosome  $a_k$  and

266 the *l*-th chromosome  $a_1$  is as follows:

267 
$$a_{kj} = a_{kj} (1-b_0) + a_{1j} b_0$$
$$a_{1j} = a_{1j} (1-b_0) + a_{kj} b_0$$
(16)

268 where  $b_0$  is a random number in the range [0,1].

269 The mutation operation is as follows:

270 
$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij} + (a_{ij} - a_{\max}) \times f(g) & r > 0.5 \\ a_{ij} + (a_{\min} - a_{ij}) \times f(g) & r \le 0.5 \end{cases}$$
(17)

271 
$$f(g) = r_2 \times (1 - g/G_{\max})^2$$
(18)

where  $a_{\text{max}}$  and  $a_{\text{min}}$  are the upper and lower bounds of genes, respectively;  $r_2$  is a random number; g is the current iterations;  $G_{\text{max}}$  is the maximum evolution and r is a random number in the range [0,1].

275 Step 6: Calculate the fitness of each individual. If there exists an individual in the

new population that makes the network reach the global optimum or the number of
iterations reaches the set maximum value, proceed to the next step; otherwise, return
to Step 5.

Step 7: Output the individual with the highest fitness and obtain the weights andthresholds that result in the global optimum.

Step 8: Assign the optimized weights and thresholds to the BPNN. Then, the reserved training samples are used to train the BPNN until the errors are within the preset error range, thus completing the prediction for the flexural capacity of the post-fire RC beam.

Step 9: Input the preprocessed data into the trained GA-BPNN, output the data from the network, and inversely normalize the data to obtain the predicted values of the flexural capacity of the post-fire RC beam.

288 289

290

### Fig. 4

# 4 The NN model for predicting the post-fire flexural capacity of an RC beam 4.1 Model development

As we all know, fire experiments are very expensive and require a lot of time. In 293 addition, the number of dedicated research facilities and test furnaces is limited. These 294 problems pose obstacles to the flexural, shear, axial tests of reinforced concrete 295 members under high temperature. Therefore, in this paper, an alternative method is 296 proposed. According to the calculation model of the flexural strength reduction after a 297 298 fire proposed in section 2.3, the theoretical value of the flexural capacity of the RC beam after fire is obtained. The theoretical value is used as the training data of the 299 NN. 300

The developed BPNN and GA-BPNN models have 7 input neurons and 1 output 301 neuron. The input layer is the main influencing factor on the flexural capacity of the 302 RC beams after fire, including 7 parameters: the beam width, the beam height, the fire 303 304 time, the cross-sectional area of the tensile reinforcement, the concrete compressive strength, the tensile strength of the tensile reinforcement, and the thickness of the 305 concrete cover. The number of neurons in the hidden layer is 10 and the output layer 306 is the flexural capacity of the RC beam after a fire. The topology of the BPNN is 307 shown in Fig. 5. The values of the input layer parameters were t (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 308 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, 95, 100, 105, 110, 115, 120min), b 309  $(200 \text{ mm}), h (400, 450, 500, 550, 600 \text{ mm}), As (628, 760, 982, 1232 \text{ mm}^2), fc (24.23, 1232 \text{ mm}^2)$ 310 28.03, 32.05, 36.05, 39.82, 42.92MPa), fy (332.85, 381.65, 443.80, 554.75MPa), c (25, 311 30, 35, 40, 45mm). 312

313

314 315

### Fig. 5

### 316 **4.2 Model algorithm**

In this study, the GA-BPNN prediction model is used. The tangent sigmoid function is adopted as the transfer function for the neurons in the hidden layer. The sigmoid function is expressed as follows:

320 
$$g(v) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-v}}$$
 (19)

The outputs are controlled in the range [0,1]. Transformation is performed to prevent 321 the excessively large absolute value of the net input from saturating the output of the 322 neuron and subsequently adjusting the weights to enter the flat area of the error 323 surface. A pure linear transformation function, the purelin function, is used for the 324 neurons in the output layer to improve the prediction accuracy of the network. The 325 Initff function is selected as the initialization function, and the Trainlm function is 326 selected as the training function. The Levenberg-Marquard algorithm is adopted, 327 328 which has a high gradient descent speed and a small number of training steps (Hecht-Nielsen 1992). 329

The input and output data are preprocessed prior to training to accelerate the convergence of the training network and to obtain more accurate prediction results by arranging the data in the same order of magnitude during operation. Data normalization is a commonly used data preprocessing method to transform the input and output data to values in the interval [0,1], shown in Eq. (20) as follows:

335

$$\bar{v}_i = \frac{v_i - v_{\min}}{v_{\max} - v_{\min}}$$
(20)

where  $v_i$  are the input/output data,  $v_{min}$  is the minimum range of data change, and

337  $v_{\text{max}}$  is the maximum range of data change.

### 338 4.3 Training data

339 The selection of training samples affects the accuracy of the NN. The prediction model of the flexural capacity of RC beams after a fire provided 480 datasets using 340 the calculation method proposed in section 2.3. Among them, the first 360 datasets 341 were used for network training and the last 120 datasets were used for network 342 testing. In training sets, the varied parameters and its range: t (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 343 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, 95, 100, 105, 110, 115, 120min), b 344 345 (200mm), h (400, 450, 500, 600mm), As (628, 982, 1232mm<sup>2</sup>), fc (24.23, 28.03, 36.05, 39.82, 42.92MPa), fy (332.85, 381.65, 554.75MPa), c (25, 30, 40, 45mm); In testing 346 sets, the varied parameters and its range: t (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 347 65, 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, 95, 100, 105, 110, 115, 120min), b (200mm), h (500, 550mm), 348 As (760, 982mm<sup>2</sup>), fc (28.03, 32.05MPa), fy (381.65, 443.80MPa), c (25, 35mm). The 349 training target error is 0.0001, the maximum number of training steps is 1000, and the 350 learning rate is 0.1. In the GA-BPNN prediction model used in this study, the number 351 of neurons in the hidden layer is 10, and the network structure is 7 - 10 - 1; thus, the 352 weight and the threshold are adjusted as shown in Eqs. (21) - (24). The parameters of 353 the GA are shown in Table 1, and the predicted samples are shown in Table 2. 354 (Table 1) 355

356

$$W_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1452 & 0.8605 & -0.2432 & 0.2343 & 0.7056 & -0.6470 & 0.5659 \\ 0.0931 & 0.4168 & 0.5095 & -0.6050 & -0.1141 & -0.2291 & 0.9709 \\ 0.2850 & -0.8223 & -0.2377 & -0.7271 & 0.8383 & -0.0898 & 0.0560 \\ 0.7729 & 0.4409 & -0.2259 & -0.6032 & 0.7508 & -0.1719 & 0.0003 \\ 0.0729 & -0.9962 & 0.6954 & 0.1888 & 0.3656 & -0.5325 & -0.5049 \\ 0.4299 & 0.6596 & 0.2856 & 0.1927 & -0.0686 & 0.2241 & 0.5440 \\ -0.6993 & -0.9837 & 0.3221 & -0.8106 & 0.5365 & -0.5613 & -0.7259 \\ 0.5130 & -0.2736 & 0.8373 & 0.9135 & -0.5422 & 0.9641 & 0.1041 \\ 0.5436 & -0.5641 & 0.8727 & -0.5856 & 0.2048 & 0.7321 & 0.2472 \\ -0.9972 & -0.4054 & -0.3021 & 0.9476 & 0.4698 & 0.0223 & 0.0123 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B_{1}^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.0973 & -0.6616 & 0.6662 & 0.4355 & -0.5157 & 0.0273 & -0.5978 & -0.7636 & -0.7224 & 0.6833 \end{pmatrix} (22)$$

$$W_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7974 & 0.8701 & 0.3143 & -0.2621 & -0.4665 & -0.3173 & 0.5124 & 0.6922 & -0.5729 & 0.6179 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(23)$$

361

362

363

364 365

371

### 366 5 GA-BPNN prediction and analysis

To verify the efficiency of the GA-BPNN, the performance of the model is evaluated using the relative error ( $E_{MR}$ ) and the root-mean-square error (*RMSE*). The correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) is introduced to test the robustness of the NN model.

 $B_2 = (-0.4996)$ 

(Table 2)

$$E_{MR} = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{|y_i - y_i|}{y_i} / m \right] \times 100\%$$
(25)

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - y_i)^2}$$
(26)

(24)

372 
$$R^{2} = 1 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( y_{i}^{'} - y_{i}^{'} \right)^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( y_{i}^{'} \right)^{2} \right)$$
(27)

373 where  $y_i$  is the target value, and  $y'_i$  is the predicted value.

Fig. 7 shows the Comparison of the predicted values of BPNN and GA-BPNN and Fig. 8 shows the comparison of the absolute error values predicted by BPNN and GA-BPNN

The data in Fig. 7 show that, after training, there is little difference in the flexural 377 capacity of the post-fire RC beams as predicted by the BPNN and GA-BPNN 378 prediction models and the target values. The values predicted by the GA-BPNN model 379 are nearer the target values, indicating the higher accuracy of the GA-BPNN model.In 380 Fig.8, the maximum absolute error of the GA-BPNN prediction is 12.64, the 381 minimum is -9.82, the maximum absolute error of the BPNN is 18.45, and the 382 minimum is -13.89, and the amplitude and range of the GA-BPNN absolute error 383 curve are small, indicating that the GA-BPNN prediction is more stable, which 384 reflects the generalization ability of the GA-BPNN is stronger. Figure 9 is the 385 comparison of GA-BPNN prediction relative error and BPNN prediction relative error, 386 whose X-axis is prediction sample and Y-axis is relative error. Figure 9 shows the  $E_{MR}$ 387 values of the GA-BPNN model is less than 8.1% and the BPNN model is less than 12%, 388 while overall, the  $E_{MR}$  of the GA-BPNN model is better than that of the BPNN model. 389

Figures 10, 11, and 12 show the correlation between the target values and the values 390 predicted by the GA-BPNN model using the training samples, all samples, and the 391 testing samples, respectively. The  $R^2$  of the testing samples is 0.99886, the  $R^2$  of the 392 training samples is 0.99526, and the  $R^2$  of all samples is 0.99617. Figure. 13 shows 393 the correlation between the target values and the values predicted by the BPNN with 394 an  $R^2$  of 0.99721. The closer  $R^2$  is to 1, the better the fit. The results show that the  $R^2$ 395 of the testing samples of the GA-BPNN is closer to 1 than that of the BPNN, indicating 396 the improved generalization ability of the GA-BPNN. 397

From Table 3, the average relative error of the GA-BP neural network prediction model is 2.81%, the RMSE is 4.70, and the average relative error of the BP neural network prediction model is 4.41%, with an RMSE of 7.39. The data demonstrate that the prediction performance of the GA-BPNN model is more stable than that of the BPNN model.

In Table 4, the training time of the GA-BPNN and BPNN is almost the same, but the training accuracy of the GA-BPNN is much better than that of the BPNN, so the use of the GA-BPNN can better predict the RC beam flexural capacity after fire. The BPNN learning rate is slow, and the training efficiency is not high. While the GA-BPNN has a faster convergence speed, higher stability, and can reach the goal more times, reducing the possibility of BPNN falling into the local optimum and achieving the global optimum.

410

In summary, the calculation results prove that it is feasible to use GA-BPNNs to predict the flexural capacity of post-fire RC beams.

Fig. 6-13

Table 3

Table 4

413

414 415

440

416 417

## 418 **6.** Conclusion

In this paper. a GA-optimized BPNN is proposed to predict the flexural capacity of post-fire RC beams. The optimal weights and thresholds of the BPNN are obtained through the GA. The prediction model is trained and then tested to eventually obtain the global optimal predicted values. Finally, the values predicted by the GA-BPNN and the BPNN are compared, and the following conclusions are obtained:

(1) The analysis results show that both the BPNN and the GA-BPNN can predictthe flexural capacity of RC beams after fire exposure.

(2) The GA-BPNN prediction model proposed in this paper for calculating the
flexural capacity of post-fire RC beams combines the nonlinear mapping capability of
ANNs and the global search capability of GA. The predicted values of the GA-BPNN

429 model fit well with the target values. The  $E_{MR}$  of the predicted values of the NN and the

430 target values are always less than 8.1% and less than that of the BPNN, the  $R^2$  of the 431 training samples and the test samples are 0.99526 and 0.99886, respectively, 432 indicating that the GA-BP prediction model has higher robustness and fitting ability.

(3) The prediction for the flexural capacity of post-fire RC beams based on the
GA-BPNN has good generalization ability, and can be used as a feasible method for RC
beam flexural capacity research after fire.

436 (4) With the increase of the fire time, the strength reduction factor of the concrete

437 in the compression zone  $\bar{\varphi}_{cr}$  and the yield strength reduction factor of compressive

438 reinforced steel  $\varphi'_{vT}$  decrease, so that the flexural capacity of RC beams after fire

decreases. In addition, during the temperature increase stage, the protectivecapability provided by the concrete cover on the RC beam can decrease from firedamage.

In this study, the ISO834 international temperature rise curve is used to establish 442 the RC beams model according to the input parameters and adopted to simulate the fire 443 condition of the RC beams when the fire occurs, and the flexural capacity of the RC 444 beams after fire conditions is obtained. However, in the real time fire situation, it is 445 446 difficult to predict the flexural capacity of the RC beams because of the complex fire conditions of building components. The prediction model proposed in this study can 447 only provide preliminary theoretical data for the damage assessment and reinforcement 448 of post-fire beams, and further research is needed. 449

### 450 Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by the Foundation of China Scholar-ship Council (No.201805975002) National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant NO. 51678274), Science and Technological Planning Project of Ministry of Housing and Urban–Rural Development of the People's Republic of China (No. 2017-K9-047). The authors wish to acknowledge the sponsors. However, any opinions, findings, conclusions and recommendations presented in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the sponsors.

### 458 Authors' contributions

459 BC and FF designed the research methodology; GLP performed the analysis, GLP and 460 FF draft the manuscript; BC and FF reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and 461 approved the final manuscript.

### 462 Funding

463 Funder: Foundation of China Scholarship Council. Award number 201805975002.

- Funder: National Natural Science Foundation of China. Award number 51678274.
  Funder: Science and Technological Planning Project of Ministry of Housing and
  Urban–Rural Development of the People's Republic of China. Award number
- 467 2017-K9-047.

### 468 Availability of data and materials

- All data, code for the machine learning that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
- 471 They are:
- 472 Training data for machine learning
- 473 Prediction result data for machine learning
- 474 Code for machine learning
- 475

### 476 **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### 478 **References**

- Abbasi, A., & Hogg, P. J. (2005). Prediction of the failure time of glass fiber
  reinforced plastic reinforced concrete beams under fire conditions. *Journal of Composites for Construction*, 9(5), 450-457.
- Alshihri, M. M., Azmy, A. M., & El-Bisy, M. S. (2009). Neural networks for
  predicting compressive strength of structural light weight concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 23(6), 2214-2219.
- Annerel, E., & Taerwe, L. (2011). Evolution of the strains of traditional and
  self-compacting concrete during and after fire. *Materials and structures*, 44(8),
  1369-1380.
- BSI (2013) BS ENV 1994-1-2, Eurocode 4 Design of Composite Steel and Concrete
  Structures Part 1-2: General Rules; Structural Fire Design. BSI, London, UK.
- Cai, B., Zhang, B., & Fu, F. (2019). Reliability analysis of flexural capacity of
  post-fire reinforced concrete beams. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers: Structures and Buildings.*
- Chandwani, V., Agrawal, V., & Nagar, R. (2015). Modeling slump of ready mix
  concrete using genetic algorithms assisted training of Artificial Neural
  Networks. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 42(2), 885-893.
- Cheng, C., Cheng, X., Dai, N., Jiang, X., Sun, Y., & Li, W. (2015). Prediction of facial
  deformation after complete denture prosthesis using BP neural
  network. *Computers in biology and medicine*, 66, 103-112.
- Di Massimo, C., Montague, G. A., Willis, M. J., Tham, M. T., & Morris, A. J. (1992).
  Towards improved penicillin fermentation via artificial neural networks. *Computers & chemical engineering*, 16(4), 283-291.
- Ding, S., Su, C., & Yu, J. (2011). An optimizing BP neural network algorithm based
  on genetic algorithm. *Artificial intelligence review*, *36*(2), 153-162.
- Dwaikat, M. B., & Kodur, V. K. R. (2008). A numerical approach for modeling the
  fire induced restraint effects in reinforced concrete beams. *Fire Safety Journal*, 43(4), 291-307.
- Erdem, H. (2010). Prediction of the moment capacity of reinforced concrete slabs in
  fire using artificial neural networks. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 41(2),
  270-276.
- Felicetti, R., Gambarova, P. G., & Meda, A. (2009). Residual behavior of steel rebars
  and R/C sections after a fire. *Construction and building materials*, 23(12),
  3546-3555.
- Fu F., (2016 a) Structural Analysis and Design to Prevent Disproportionate Collapse,
   CRC Press January 1, 2016
- Fu, F. (2016b). 3D finite element analysis of the whole-building behavior of tall
  building in fire. Advances in Computational Design, 1(4), pp. 329–344.
- Fu, F.(2018). Design and Analysis of Tall and Complex Structures.
  Butterworth-Heinemann, ELSEVIER, ISBN 978-0-08-101121-8.
- Fu, F. (2020). Fire induced progressive collapse potential assessment of steel framed
  buildings using machine learning. Journal of Constructional Steel Research, 166,
  pp. 105918–105918

- 522 GB. (2010). GB 50010-2010: Code for design of concrete structures. GB, China, 523 Beijing.
- Hecht-Nielsen, R. (1992). Theory of the backpropagation neural network. In *Neural networks for perception* (pp. 65-93). Academic Press.
- ISO (International Organisation for Standardisation). (1999). ISO 834-1: Fire
   *resistance tests—elements of building construction. Part 1: General requirements.* Geneva: ISO.
- Kodur, V. K. R. (1998). Performance of high strength concrete-filled steel columns
  exposed to fire. *Canadian Journal of civil engineering*, 25(6), 975-981.
- Kodur, V. K. R., Wang, T. C., & Cheng, F. P. (2004). Predicting the fire resistance
  behaviour of high strength concrete columns. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 26(2), 141-153.
- Kodur, V., & Mcgrath, R. (2003). Fire endurance of high strength concrete
  columns. *Fire technology*, *39*(1), 73-87.
- Lie, T. T., & Irwin, R. J. (1995). Fire resistance of rectangular steel columns filled
  with bar-reinforced concrete. *Journal of structural engineering*, *121*(5), 797-805.
- Lin, J. T., Wang, T., & Lin, X. J. (2005). Prediction method of concrete compressive
  strength based on artificial neural network. *Journal of Building Materials*, 8(6),
  677-681.
- Ling, C., & Zhang, H. (2014). Gold Price Prediction and Analysis based on BP Neural
  Network. *Tianjin Science & Technology*, (1), 20.
- Ma, X. S., & Shi, Z. Y. (2004). Structural damage localization based on GA-BP neural
   network. *Journal of Vibration Engineering*, *17*(4), 453-456.
- Mao, J., Zhao, H. D., & Yao, J. J. (2011). Application and prospect of artificial neural
  network . *Electronic Design Engineering*, (24), 68-71.
- Naser, M., Abu-Lebdeh, G., & Hawileh, R. (2012). Analysis of RC T-beams
  strengthened with CFRP plates under fire loading using ANN. *Construction and Building Materials*, 37, 301-309.
- Niu, H., & Lu, Z. D. (1990). An experimental study of constitutive relationship
  between bar and concrete under elevated temperature. *J Tongji Univ*, 18(3),
  287-297.
- Ramadan Suleiman, A., & Nehdi, M. (2017). Modeling self-healing of concrete using
  hybrid genetic algorithm–artificial neural network. *Materials*, 10(2), 135.
- Shang, S. H., & Mao, X. M. (2001). Prediction Model of Soil Freezing Temperature
  and Unfrozen Water Content Based on Back-Propagation Neural Network. *Journal of Glaciolgy & Geocryology*, 23(4), 414-418.
- Shen, H. Y., Wang, Z. X., Gao, C. Y., Qin, J., Yao, F., & Xu, W. (2008). Determining
  the number of BP neural network hidden layer units. *Journal of tianjin University of Technology*, 24(5), 13-15.
- Sobhani, J., Najimi, M., Pourkhorshidi, A. R., & Parhizkar, T. (2010). Prediction of
  the compressive strength of no-slump concrete: A comparative study of
  regression, neural network and ANFIS models. *Construction and Building Materials*, 24(5), 709-718.
- 565 Xiang, K., & Wang, G. H. (2013). Calculation of flexural strengthening of

- fire-damaged reinforced concrete beams with CFRP Sheets. *Procedia Engineering*, 52, 446-452.
- Xu, J. L., Zhang, Q., Yu, J. B., & Ji, X. (2014). Research on the Prediction of
  Concrete Compressive Strength Based on BP Neural Network Optimized by
  Genetic Algorithm. *Shandong Chemical Industry*, (10), 158-164.
- Xu, Y. Y., Wu, B., Wang, R. H., Jiang, M., & Luo, Y. (2013). Experimental study on
  residual performance of reinforced concrete beams after fre. *Journal of Building Structures*, 34(8), 20–29.
- Xue, S. D., Zhang, Z., & Wang, G. Y. (2017). Post fire bias distance increase
  coefficient of eccentrically loaded steel reinforced concrete columns. *Building Science*, 33(5), 14-18.
- Yan, F., & Lin, Z. (2016). New strategy for anchorage reliability assessment of GFRP
  bars to concrete using hybrid artificial neural network with genetic
  algorithm. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 92, 420-433.
- Yan, F., Lin, Z., Wang, X., Azarmi, F., & Sobolev, K. (2017). Evaluation and
  prediction of bond strength of GFRP-bar reinforced concrete using artificial
  neural network optimized with genetic algorithm. *Composite Structures*, 161,
  441-452.
- Yang, B. A., Ji, H., Xu, J., & Wen, J. X. (2001). An Application of Back Propagation
  Neural Networks to Warning for Corporate Financial Distress [J]. *Rorecasting*, 2,
  49-54+.
- Yang, J. J., Ci, F., & Wei, X. H. (2009). Summarization the behavior of reinforcement
  and concrete after high temperature. *Building Structure*, (S2), 159-164.
- Zhang, D., Yu, C. M., & Fu, Y. J. (2004). Study on nonlinear fitting method of
  transducer based on artificial neural network. *Industrial Measurement*, 14(5),
  31-33.
- Zhang, Y., Wang, J., & Xia, Y. (2003). A dual neural network for redundancy
  resolution of kinematically redundant manipulators subject to joint limits and
  joint velocity limits. *IEEE transactions on neural networks*, 14(3), 658-667.
- Zhao, X. R., Bao, J. Y., & Ouyang, Y. Z. (2019). Detecting Outlier of Multibeam
  Sounding with BP Neural Network. *Geomatics and Information Science of Wuhan University*, 44(4), 518-524.
- Zhou, M., & Ke, G. (2016). Experiment and its prediction artificial neural networks
  model study on the compressive strength of waste glass concrete. *Concrete*, (4),
  17.
- 601
- 602

**Table** 

603 604

4		Table 1 GA	parameters	
_	Population	Number of	Crossover	Mutation
_	size	evolutions	probability	probability
	50	20	0.6	0.2

605 606

007
-----

### **Table 2 Prediction samples**

No	t	b	h	$A_s$	fc	$f_v$	С	Targe	GA-BP-sim	е
	(min	(mm	(mm	$(mm^2)$	(MPa	(MPa	(mm	(kNm	(kNm)	(%)
1	5	200	550	982	28.03	381.6	25	181.6	177.20	2.4
2	10	200	550	982	28.03	381.6	25	179.0	175.72	1.8
3	15	200	550	982	28.03	381.6	25	176.5	173.47	1.7
4	20	200	550	982	28.03	381.6	25	174.1	171.21	1.6
5	25	200	550	982	28.03	381.6	25	171.5	169.83	1.0
6	30	200	550	982	28.03	381.6	25	169.5	168.15	0.8
7	35	200	550	982	28.03	381.6	25	167.6	166.33	0.7
8	40	200	550	982	28.03	381.6	25	167.1	164.43	1.6
9	45	200	550	982	28.03	381.6	25	161.6	162.88	0.7
10	50	200	550	982	28.03	381.6	25	156.7	159.30	1.6
11	55	200	550	982	28.03	381.6	25	152.7	156.45	2.4
12	60	200	550	982	28.03	381.6	25	149.4	154.82	3.6
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•
07	5	200	500	. 082		381.6	35	160 2	165-20	31
97	10	200	500	082	28.03	381.6	35	150.2	163.29	$\frac{5.1}{2.6}$
90	10	200	500	902	28.03	381.6	35	1577	161.59	2.0 2.4
10	20	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	157.7	150 77	2.4
10	20	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	150.1 154 4	158.02	2.3
10	30	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	152.9	156.02	2.5
10	35	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	152.9	154 51	2.1 2.2
10	40	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	149.6	152 74	$\frac{2.2}{2.0}$
10	40	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	149.0	150.93	1.0
10	50	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	147.1	149 09	1.7
10	55	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	145.9	147.19	0.8
10	60	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	144.7	145.25	0.3
10	65	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	143.5	143.24	0.2
11	70	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	143.2	141.16	1.4
11	75	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	141.1	139.01	1.4
11	80	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	137.6	136.78	0.6
11	85	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	135.9	134.46	1.0
11	90	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	133.6	132.04	1.1
11	95	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	131.1	129.52	1.2
11	100	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	129.1	126.87	1.7
11	105	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	126.9	124.09	2.2
11	110	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	124.9	121.16	3.0
11	115	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	122.7	118.06	3.8
12	120	200	500	982	28.03	381.6	35	120.9	115.62	4.3

609

Target and GA-BP-simu are the target value and predicted value of the reinforced 608 concrete strength, respectively; e=| Target -GA-BP-simu|/ Target

<sup>610</sup> 

6	1	1

### Table 3 Analysis of the predicted values of testing samples

	Maximum relative error /%	Minimum relative error /%	Mean relative error /%	RMSE	R <sup>2</sup>
BP	11.73	0.0078	4.41	7.39	0.99721
GA-BP	8.10	0.17	2.81	4.70	0.99886

List of Figu Fig. 1 Redu strength of Fig. 2 Proce Fig. 3 Beam Fig. 4 Fram Fig. 5 Archi	BP CA BB	2	
List of Figu Fig. 1 Redu strength of P Fig. 2 Proce Fig. 3 Beam Fig. 4 Fram Fig. 5 Archi		3	0.015
List of Figu Fig. 1 Redu strength of 1 Fig. 2 Proce Fig. 3 Beam Fig. 4 Fram Fig. 5 Archi	UA-BP	3.2	0.0043
List of Figu Fig. 1 Redu strength of 1 Fig. 2 Proce Fig. 3 Beam Fig. 4 Fram Fig. 5 Archi			
Fig. 1 Redu strength of 1 Fig. 2 Proce Fig. 3 Beam Fig. 4 Fram Fig. 5 Archi	re captions		
Fig. 1 Redu strength of 1 Fig. 2 Proce Fig. 3 Beam Fig. 4 Fram Fig. 5 Archi	ction variation	s in the compressive stren	ath of concrete and
Fig. 2 Proce Fig. 3 Beam Fig. 4 Fram Fig. 5 Archi	reinforcing ste	el	gth of concrete and
Fig. 2 Proce Fig. 3 Beam Fig. 4 Fram Fig. 5 Archi			
Fig. 3 Beam Fig. 4 Fram Fig. 5 Archi	dure for the ca	lculation of the post-fire f	lexural capacity
Fig. 3 Beam Fig. 4 Fram Fig. 5 Archi			
Fig. 4 Fram Fig. 5 Archi	reinforcement	t of specimen L5 and L9	
Fig. 4 Fram Fig. 5 Archi			
Fig. 5 Archi	ework of GA-I	BP neural network algorit	hm
Fig. 5 Archi			
	tecture of the A	ANN	
Fig. 6 Avera	ge fitness curv	e with evolutionary gener	ations
Fig 7 Com	avison of the r	wedicted values of DDNN	and CA DDNN
rig. / Comp	parison of the p	Dreuicieu values of Drivin	allu GA-DEININ
Fig. 8 Com	arisan af tha a	absolute error values pred	iatad by PDNN and
rig. o Comp		insolute error values preu	ICICU DY DI ININ AIIU
GA-BPNN			
Fig. 9 Comp	parison of the	$E_{MR}$ values predicted by E	<b>BPNN and GA-BPN</b>
Fig. 10 Pred	liction perform	nance of GA-BPNN of trai	ning samples
0	<b>I</b>		<b>0 1 .</b>
Fig. 11 Pred	liction perform	ance of GA-BPNN of all s	amples
Fig. 12 Pred	liction perform	nance of GA-BPNN of test	ing samples
Fig 13 Drad			
rig. 15 1 feu	liction norform	ance of RPNN of testing a	amnlas