

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Rokni, H. B., Moore, J. D. & Gavaises, E. (2021). Entropy-scaling based pseudocomponent viscosity and thermal conductivity models for hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels containing iso-alkanes and two-ring saturates. Fuel, 283, 118877. doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2020.118877

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/24686/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2020.118877

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. City Research Online: <u>http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/</u> <u>publications@city.ac.uk</u>

1	Entropy-scaling based pseudo-component viscosity and
2	thermal conductivity models for hydrocarbon mixtures and
3	fuels containing iso-alkanes and two-ring saturates
4	
5	Houman B. Rokni ^{1, 2} , Joshua D. Moore ¹ , and Manolis Gavaises ²
6	
7	¹ Afton Chemical Corp., Richmond, Virginia 23219, USA
8	² Department of Mechanical Engineering and Aeronautics, City, University of London, Northampton
9	Square, EC1V 0HB London, UK
10	
11	
12	Abstract
13	Recently, Rokni et al. [1, 2] developed entropy-scaling based pseudo-component techniques to
14	predict the viscosity and thermal conductivity of hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels up to high
15	temperature and pressure conditions using only two calculated or measured mixture properties
16	(number average molecular weight and hydrogen-to-carbon ratio). The models are accurate for
17	many hydrocarbon mixtures that do not contain branched compounds (7 and 2% mean absolute
18	percent deviation (MAPD) for viscosity and thermal conductivity, respectively, on average).
19	However, predictions for some hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels containing iso-alkanes are often
20	less accurate (16 and 19% MAPD for viscosity and thermal conductivity, respectively, on average).
21	To improve predictions, it was proposed [1, 2] to fit one model parameter using an experimental
22	reference viscosity or thermal conductivity data point, which may not be ideal if experimental
23	reference data are not available. In order to make these models more practical, this study fits
24	empirical correlations for the model parameters, so that accurate predictions can be made without

¹Corresponding author, e-mail: <u>joshua.moore@aftonchemical.com</u>

25 fitting model parameters. The correlations enable viscosity and thermal conductivity predictions for a wide range of hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels, including those containing branched alkanes, 26 and no longer require input of any experimental reference viscosity or thermal conductivity data. 27 The correlations are temperature (fit to data from 288 to 550 K) and pressure (fit to data from 1 to 28 4,400 bar) dependent and are functions of average molecular weight, hydrogen-to-carbon ratio, iso-29 30 alkane and two-ring saturate concentrations. Viscosity and thermal conductivity predictions were found to improve to within 5 and 2% average MAPD, respectively, relative to experimental data 31 32 for the hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels considered in this study.

33

34 Introduction

35 Thermophysical property models are used within computational fluid dynamics (CFD) to assess the performance, emissions, and fuel economy of engines [3-10]. The accuracy of these CFD 36 37 models depends on accurate representation of the thermophysical fuel properties, especially 38 viscosity and thermal conductivity, often up to extreme pressure conditions. Various viscosity [1, 11, 12] and thermal conductivity [2, 13, 14] models have been proposed for fuels in the literature. 39 These models range from empirical [15-22] to mixture [23] and pseudo-component models [1, 2, 40 13, 14, 24-35], such as expanded fluid theory (EFT) [13, 14, 25-28], friction theory (FT) [29-33], 41 and free volume theory (FVT) [34, 35]. 42

Recently, Rokni et al. [1, 2] developed an entropy-scaling based pseudo-component (ESBPC) technique to predict viscosity and thermal conductivity of well-characterized hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels (including rocket propellant, jet, and diesel fuels) up to high temperature and high pressure (HTHP) conditions, using the perturbed-chain statistical associating fluid theory (PC-SAFT) equation of state (EoS) [36]. The models define a single pseudo-component to represent the compounds in a hydrocarbon mixture or fuel and at minimum, require the input of

49 two experimentally measured or calculated inputs (two-input parameter (2IP) model): the mixture number average molecular weight (MWmixture) and hydrogen to carbon ratio (HN/CN). While the 50 2IP model is accurate for many hydrocarbon mixtures containing components from different 51 chemical families (e.g., normal-alkanes, benzenes, naphthalenes, cyclohexanes), viscosity and 52 53 thermal conductivity predictions for some mixtures containing iso-alkanes are less accurate, with up to 24% mean absolute percent deviation (MAPD) calculated for diesel, rocket propellant and jet 54 55 fuels [1, 2]. Predictions are improved for mixtures containing branched alkanes by fitting one model 56 parameter to a data point at a reference condition (e.g., data point at the lowest available temperature 57 and pressure). This three-input parameter (3IP) model predicted viscosity and thermal conductivity with 4 and 2% MAPD on average, respectively, for several hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels [1, 2]. 58

59 However, experimental viscosity and thermal conductivity reference data are often not available for every mixture or fuel. The ESBPC technique would be more practical to use if the 60 accuracy of predictions were improved for hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels containing branched 61 62 alkanes but did not require fitting model parameters or input of experimental viscosity or thermal conductivity reference data. This study achieves this by replacing the ESPBC model's thermal 63 conductivity and viscosity coefficients with empirical correlations, such that accurate viscosity and 64 thermal conductivity predictions up to HTHP conditions no longer require fitting of model 65 parameters or input of experimental viscosity or thermal conductivity reference data, even for 66 hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels containing branched alkanes. These empirical correlations 67 explicitly account for concentrations of iso-alkanes and two-ring saturates, such as decalin 68 derivative compounds. This Entropy Scaling Coefficient Corrected (ESCC) model requires three 69 mixture property inputs (MWmixture, HN/CN, and weight fraction of iso-alkanes in the mixture 70 (wt_{iso-alkanes})) for thermal conductivity predictions and four mixture property inputs 71

 $(MW_{mixture}, HN/CN, wt_{iso-alkanes}, and weight fraction of two-ring saturates in the mixture$ 72 (wt_{two-ring saturates})) for viscosity predictions. The iso-alkane and two-ring saturate chemical 73 families are selected based upon understanding that the ESPBC technique does not distinguish the 74 difference between normal and branched alkanes [1, 2]. Including the two-ring saturates in the 75 correlation is observed to improve the viscosity predictions. When using the ESCC model, 76 viscosity predictions (298 to 533 K and up to 2,600 bar) are within 5% MAPD, on average, which 77 is comparable to the uncertainty of the experimental data for the hydrocarbon mixtures (2%) and 78 79 diesel fuels (up to 4%) in this study. The thermal conductivity predictions (288 to 550 K and up to 80 4,400 bar) are 2% MAPD, on average, for the ESCC model, which is comparable to the uncertainty 81 of the experimental data for the hydrocarbon mixtures (1%) and rocket propellant and jet fuels (3%) 82 in this study.

83

84 Hydrocarbon Mixtures

Table 1 lists the studies which measured the viscosity of hydrocarbon mixtures up to high 85 pressures and temperatures. Dauge et al. [37] reported viscosity measurements for seven 86 compositions of binary mixtures (referred to as M1) containing 2,2,4,4,6,8,8-heptamethylnonane 87 88 (i.e., isocetane, referred to as HMN) and n-tridecane (nC13) from 293 to 353 K and up to 1,000 bar. Zéberg-Mikkelsen et al. [38] measured viscosity of thirteen compositions of ternary mixtures 89 (referred to as M2) containing methyl-cyclohexane (MCH), decalin, and HMN from 293 to 353 K 90 and up to 1,000 bar. Ducoulombier et al. [39] reported viscosity for a quaternary mixture (referred 91 to as M3) containing n-decane (nC10), n-dodecane (nC12), n-tetradecane (nC14), and n-92 hexadecane (nC16) from 313 to 353 K and up to 1,000 bar. Zéberg-Mikkelsen et al. [40] reported 93 94 viscosity measurements for 21 compositions of ternary mixtures (referred to as M4) containing HMN, nC13, and methylnaphthalene (MNP) up to 353 K and 1,000 bar. Boned et al. [41] reported

96 viscosity measurements for a ternary (referred to as M5) and a quinary (referred to as M6) mixture

97 from 293 to 353 K and up to 1,000 bar.

98

Table 1. Weight fractions of compounds in the mixtures considered in this study for viscosity

100 [37-41].

Compound	Chemical Family	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6
n-heptane	n-alkanes	-	-	-	-	-	-
n-decane	n-alkanes	-	-	0.193	-	-	-
n-dodecane	n-alkanes	-	-	0.231	-	-	-
n-tridecane	n-alkanes	0.104-0.851	-	-	0.109-0.750	0.400	0.200
n-tetradecane	n-alkanes	-	-	0.269	-	-	-
n-hexadecane	n-alkanes	-	-	0.307	-	-	-
2,2,4,4,6,8,8-		halanaa	halanaa		0.154-0.806		0.200
heptamethylnonane	iso-alkanes	balance	balance	-	0.154-0.806	-	0.200
methylcyclohexane	cyclohexanes	-	0.062-0.618	-	-	-	-
heptylcyclohexane	cyclohexanes	-	-	-	-	0.349	0.350
decalin	decalins	-	0.087-0.719	-	-	-	-
heptyl benzene	benzenes	-	-	-	-	0.250	0.150
methylnaphthalene	naphthalenes	-	-	-	balance	-	0.100

101

Table 2 lists the studies which report the thermal conductivity of hydrocarbon mixtures up 102 to high temperatures and pressures. Wakeham et al. [42] reported thermal conductivity for binary 103 mixtures containing benzene and trimethylpentane (TMP) (referred to as M7) for two different 104 compositions at temperatures from 313 to 345 K and pressures up to 3,500 bar. Fareleira et al. [43] 105 106 and Wakeham et al. [42] reported thermal conductivity data for binary mixtures containing n-107 heptane (C7) and TMP (referred to as M8) for three different compositions at temperatures from 308 to 360 K and pressures up to 4,500 bar. Wada et al. [44] reported thermal conductivity data for 108 109 binary mixtures containing C7 and n-undecane (C11), C7 and C16, and C11 and C16 (referred to as M9, M10, and M11, respectively) for three different compositions for each mixture at 110 atmospheric pressure and temperatures from 295 to 345 K. Wada et al. [44] also reported thermal 111

112 conductivity for ternary mixtures (referred to as M12) including C7, C11, and C16 for three

113 compositions at a range of temperatures from 295 to 345 K and 1 bar.

114

Table 2. Weight fractions of compounds in the mixtures considered in this study for thermal

116 conductivity [42-44].

Compound	Chemical Family	M7	M8	M9	M10	M11	M12
n-heptane	n-alkanes	-	0.456-0.726	0.658-0.342	-	0.430-0.871	0.052-0.462
n-undecane	n-alkanes	-	-	balance	0.187-0.674	-	0.242-0.361
n-hexadecane	n-alkanes	-	-	-	balance	balance	balance
trimethylpentane	iso-alkanes	0.328-0.814	balance	-	-	-	-
benzene	benzenes	balance	-	-	-	-	-

117

118 Fuels

Aquing et al. [11] reported viscosity of two diesel fuels (referred to as Middle East Straight 119 Run (MESR) and Highly Naphthenic (HNA)) from 323 to 423 K and up to 3,500 bar. Rowane et 120 al. [45] measured viscosity of three diesel fuels (referred to as Highly Paraffinic (HPF), Ultra-Low 121 Sulfur Diesel (ULSD), and Highly Aromatic (HAR)) up to 533 K and 3,000 bar. Akhmedova-122 Azizova et al. [46] reported the thermal conductivity of RP1 fuel at temperatures between 293 and 123 598 K and pressures up to 600 bar. Bruno [47] measured the thermal conductivity of RP2 fuel over 124 a wide range of temperatures from 300 to 550 K and pressures up to 600 bar. Xu et al. [48] reported 125 thermal conductivity measurements of RP3 fuel at temperatures from 285 to 513 K and pressures 126 up to 50 bar. Jia et al. [49] reported the thermal conductivity of RP3 fuel at temperatures from 311 127 128 to 399 K and a single isobar at 30 bar. Bruno [50] also measured the thermal conductivity of three different jet fuels, including JP-8 3773 (referred to as JP-8) and Jet A 4658 (referred to as Jet A) at 129 high temperatures from 270 to 470 K and pressures up to 400 bar. The detailed chemical family 130 131 compositions of the rocket propellant and jet fuels are reported elsewhere (RP1 [51], RP2 [51], RP3 [51], Jet A [52], and JP-8 [52]). 132

ESBPC Technique for Viscosity and Thermal Conductivity Predictions:

The two-input parameter (2IP) techniques for viscosity and thermal conductivity predictions are briefly described here but are explained in greater detail in ref. [1, 2]. Residual entropy, \tilde{s}^{res} , (the difference between the real fluid and ideal gas entropy) (Eq. (1)) (i.e., molar residual entropy, \bar{s}^{res} , divided by the gas constant, *R*), in temperature (*T*) and volume (*V*) state variables, is calculated from the residual Helmholtz free energy, \tilde{a}^{res} (the difference between the real fluid and ideal gas Helmholtz free energy), using the PC-SAFT EoS.

140

$$\tilde{s}^{\text{res}}(V,T) = -\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{a}^{\text{res}}}{\partial T}\right)_{V} \tag{1}$$

141

142 The pseudo-component PC-SAFT parameters, m, σ , and ε/k , needed to calculate \tilde{s}^{res} are 143 determined using the pseudo-component technique and correlations shown in the supporting 144 information (SI). Using entropy-scaling, first proposed by Rosenfeld [53], a third-order polynomial, 145 proposed by Lötgering-Lin and Gross [54] (Eq. 2), is used to correlate the reduced transport 146 property (i.e., α^* , viscosity (η) or thermal conductivity (λ)) to reduced residual entropy (s^*) (Eq. 147 3).

148

$$\ln(\alpha^{*}) = \ln(\alpha/\alpha_{CE}) = A + Bs^{*} + Cs^{*2} + Ds^{*3}$$
(2)

$$s^* = \left(\frac{\tilde{s}^{res}(V,T)}{m}\right) \tag{3}$$

149

In Eq. (2), α_{CE} is the Chapman-Enskog viscosity or thermal conductivity [55-57]. The coefficients (i.e., *A*, *B*, *C*, and *D*) in Eq. (2) are first calculated for an n-alkane and a poly-nuclear aromatic (PNA) with the same number average molecular weight as the mixture (MW_{mixture}) using correlations shown in the SI. Next, the pseudo-component coefficients are calculated by averaging contributions of the n-alkane and PNA (using Eqs. (4 and 5) for viscosity and thermal conductivity models, respectively), which uses an averaging parameter, Z, defined as a function of the mixture degree of unsaturation (DoU_{mixture}). The procedure to calculate Z is provided in the SI.

157

$$(F \times m^2)_{\text{pseudo-component}} = (1 - Z) \times (F \times m^2)_{\text{n-alkane}} + Z \times (F \times m^2)_{\text{PNA}}$$
 (4)

158

$$(F)_{\text{pseudo}-\text{component}} = (1 - Z) \times (F)_{\text{n}-\text{alkane}} + Z \times (F)_{\text{PNA}}$$
(5)

159

where *F* is the coefficient *A*, *B*, *C*, or *D* in Eq. (2). For mixtures containing iso-alkanes, the threeinput parameter (3IP-1) model, proposed in ref. [1, 2], fits one model parameter (*D* for viscosity or *B* for thermal conductivity) to reproduce a single data point at a chosen reference state, instead of calculating it using Eqs. (4 and 5). All model parameters needed to predict viscosity and thermal conductivity for all hydrocarbon mixture compositions and fuels, in this study, are reported in the SI.

166

167 Entropy Scaling Coefficient Corrected (ESCC) Model

In this study, a new model is proposed (referred to as the Entropy Scaling Coefficient 168 Corrected (ESCC) model), which correlates the pseudo-component coefficients, instead of 169 calculating them using Eqs. (4 and 5). The pseudo-component coefficients are correlated to mixture 170 properties (MWmixture and HN/CN) and weight fractions of iso-alkanes (i.e., heptamethylnonane 171 and trimethylpentane in this study) and two-ring saturates (i.e., decalin in this study). Training set 172 data was used to fit the G_i coefficients in Eq. (6) for viscosity and Eq. (7) for thermal conductivity. 173 The training set for the ESCC viscosity coefficient correlations (Eq. 6) contained a subset of 174 mixtures M1, M2, M4 as well as mixtures M3, M5, and M6 (690 total data points). The training set 175 176 for the ESCC thermal conductivity coefficient correlations (Eq. 7) contained a subset of mixtures

M7, M8, M9, M10, and M12 (134 total data points). The correlations were then validated for the
remaining mixtures and fuels as a test set (356 thermal conductivity data points and 1134 viscosity
data points). Tables 3 and 4 list the parameters in Eqs. (6 and 7) for the viscosity and thermal
conductivity ESCC models, respectively.

$$G_{\eta} = G_{\eta_{1}} \times MW_{mixture} + G_{\eta_{2}} \times \frac{HN}{CN} + G_{\eta_{3}} \times \text{wt}_{\text{iso-alkanes}} + G_{\eta_{4}} \times \text{wt}_{\text{two-ring saturates}} + G_{\eta_{5}}$$
(6)
$$G_{\lambda} = G_{\lambda_{1}} \times MW_{mixture} + G_{\lambda_{2}} \times \frac{HN}{CN} + G_{\lambda_{3}} \times \text{wt}_{\text{iso-alkanes}} + G_{\lambda_{4}}$$
(7)

181

In Eqs. (6 and 7) G_{η} and G_{λ} are the pseudo-component coefficients (*A*, *B*, *C*, or *D*) in Eq. (2), which are a function of MW_{mixture}, HN/CN, and weight fraction (wt) of iso-alkanes (wt_{iso-alkanes}) and two-ring saturates (wt_{two-ring saturates}). Inclusion of the two-ring saturate concentration was observed to improve the viscosity predictions using the ESCC correlation. For thermal conductivity predictions, a lack of available hydrocarbon mixture data containing two-ring saturates in the literature precluded including wt_{two-ring saturates} in Eq. (7). The multilinear regression in Eqs. (6 and 7) yielded satisfactory predictions for all mixture compositions based upon MAPD.

Table 3. Parameters needed to calculate the coefficients (G_{η_i}) in Eq. (6) as a function of

191 $MW_{mixture}$, HN/CN, $wt_{iso-alkanes}$, and $wt_{two-ring saturates}$ for the viscosity ESCC model: An

average MAPD of 4.0% is obtained for viscosities using Eq. (6) for the mixture compositions

used in the training set (690 data points).

G_{η_i}	G_{η_1}	G_{η_2}	G_{η_3}	G_{η_4}	G_{η_5}
Α	3.267×10^{-1}	-1.711×10^{0}	4.210×10^{-2}	1.359×10^{-1}	8.249 ×10 ⁻²
В	3.236×10^{-1}	-1.605×10^{0}	1.835×10^{-2}	-5.758 ×10 ⁻²	-3.786×10^{0}
С	3.261×10^{-1}	-2.596×10^{-1}	3.770×10^{-2}	8.726×10^{-2}	-2.273×10^{0}
D	-5.428×10^{-3}	1.432×10^{-2}	-8.926 ×10 ⁻³	-1.973 ×10 ⁻²	-4.498×10^{-1}

194

Table 4. Parameters needed to calculate the coefficients (G_{λ_i}) in Eq. (7) as a function of

197 MW_{mixture}, HN/CN, and wt_{iso-alkanes} for the thermal conductivity ESCC model: An average

MAPD of 2.2% is obtained for thermal conductivities using Eq. (7) for the mixture compositionsused in the training set (134 data points).

G_{λ_i}	G_{λ_1}	G_{λ_1} G_{λ_2}		G_{λ_4}
Α	-4.798 ×10 ⁻¹	3.524×10^{-1}	-1.298 ×10 ⁻¹	7.313 ×10 ⁻¹
В	-2.261 ×10 ⁻²	-2.183 ×10 ⁻²	7.079×10^{-2}	-1.440×10^{-1}
С	5.153×10^{-1}	-2.431×10^{-1}	-2.523×10^{-2}	3.628×10^{-1}
D	1.894×10^{-1}	-7.804×10^{-2}	-1.064×10^{-2}	5.156×10^{-2}

201 Results and Discussion

Viscosities and thermal conductivities were predicted for the hydrocarbon mixtures and 202 203 fuels in this study using the 2IP model, the ESCC model using Eqs. (6 and 7), the 3IP model, and 204 two additional variations of the 2IP model. One variation of the 2IP model (referred to as 2IP-1Pfit) 205 fits a single model parameter (coefficient B in the thermal conductivity model or D in the viscosity model) to minimize the average MAPD of the whole data set for each mixture. The second variation 206 (referred to as 2IP-4Pfit) fits all four model parameters (A, B, C, and D) in Eq. (2) to minimize the 207 average MAPD of the whole data set for each mixture and represents the best possible fit of Eq. (2) 208 209 to the experimental data. The predictions using the 2IP, 2IP-1Pfit, and ESCC models are shown in 210 the subsequent figures for selected mixtures. However, predictions for all available mixture data for the ESCC, 2IP, 3IP, 2IP-1Pfit, and 2IP-4Pfit models are provided in the supplementary 211 information (SI). 212

Figure 1 shows viscosity predictions using the 2IP, 2IP-1Pfit, and ESCC models in this study compared to experimental data for representative hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels, which contain a wide range of iso-alkane and two-ring saturate concentrations. M1-4 contains 55.1 wt% iso-alkanes, and the 2IP model predicts viscosity with 10.0% MAPD, whereas the 3IP, 2IP-1Pfit,

217 and ESCC models predict viscosity with MAPDs of 2.7, 2.6, and 2.3%, respectively. M2-9 contains 32.7 wt% iso-alkanes and 20.0 wt% two-ring saturates, and viscosity is predicted with 25.7% 218 MAPD using the 2IP model and 4.5% MAPD using the ESCC model. Viscosity predictions 219 220 improve over the ESCC model to 3.1 and 2.1% MAPD using the 3IP and 2IP-1Pfit models, respectively but require experimental reference data to fit model parameters. M4-1 contains 80.6 221 222 wt% iso-alkanes, and the 2IP model predicts viscosity with 17.8% MAPD, whereas the 3IP, 2IP-1Pfit, and ESCC models provide MAPDs of 8.1, 5.5, and 3.9%, respectively. The MESR diesel fuel 223 224 contains 27.3 wt% iso-alkanes and 4.8 wt% two-ring saturates, and the 2IP model predicts viscosity 225 with 13.4% MAPD. The 3IP and 2IP-1Pfit models slightly improve predictions to 11.6 and 11.1% MAPD, respectively, while the ESCC model improves predictions further to 9.2% MAPD. Similar 226 observations are found for the other diesel fuels and mixtures. Table 5 compares the MAPDs for 227 viscosity predictions averaged over all mixtures and compositions using the ESCC model (4.8%), 228 2IP (15.0%), 3IP (5.5%), 2IP-1Pfit (4.1%), and 2IP-4Pfit (1.9%) models. The required input 229 230 parameters, as well as the MAPDs for viscosity predictions for all models, are reported in the SI for all hydrocarbon mixture compositions and diesel fuels in this study. 231

232

233







Mixture or Fuel	2IP	2IP-4Pfit	3IP	2IP-1Pfit	ESCC
M1	13.9	1.8	3.6	5.6	3.6
M2	33.8	2.6	3.6	5.4	4.3
M3	5.3	2.3	2.9	3.0	6.1
M 4	5.7	1.3	3.3	4.4	4.6
M5	7.1	1.9	2.3	3.4	7.0
M6	4.3	1.6	1.6	2.1	8.5
MESR	13.4	4.5	11.1	11.6	9.2
HNA	13.3	0.9	10.9	11.5	9.7
HPF	14.1	3.3	13.8	15.1	5.2
ULSD	12.2	3.8	8.3	9.5	7.0
HAR	12.9	2.6	12.2	12.3	5.4
Average	15.0	1.9	4.1	5.5	4.8

Table 5. The MAPD (%) for pseudo-component viscosity predictions using the 2IP, 3IP, 2IP-

244	1Pfit, 2IP-4Pfit, and ESCO	C pseudo-component models.
-----	----------------------------	----------------------------

246

247 Figure 2 shows thermal conductivity predictions using the 2IP, 2IP-1Pfit, and ESCC 248 models compared to the experimental data for representative hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels ranging from 0 to 100 wt% iso-alkanes. M7-1 contains 81.4 wt% iso-alkanes, and the 2IP model 249 250 predicts thermal conductivity with 33.3% MAPD, whereas the 3IP, 2IP-1Pfit, and ESCC models provide MAPDs of 2.5, 1.1, and 3.9%, respectively. M8-2 contains 28.2 wt% iso-alkanes, and 251 thermal conductivity is predicted with 18.4% MAPD using the 2IP model. Thermal conductivity 252 predictions improve to 4.7 and 3.1% MAPD using the 3IP and 2IP-1Pfit models, but the ESCC 253 model improves the MAPD further to 2.3%. M11-1 contains only normal alkanes, and the 2IP 254 model predicts thermal conductivity with 4.4% MAPD. The 3IP and 2IP-1Pfit models provide 255 slightly improved thermal conductivity predictions for M11-1 (1.6 and 1.4% MAPDs for 3IP and 256 2IP-1Pfit models, respectively) compared to 2.3 % MAPD for the ESCC model. However, the 3IP 257 and 2IP-1Pfit models require the input of experimental thermal conductivity reference data. The 258 259 RP1 fuel contains 36.6 wt% iso-alkanes, and the 2IP model predicts thermal conductivity with 20.4% MAPD. The 3IP and 2IP-1Pfit models improve predictions to 1.7 and 1.5% MAPD, while 260

261 the ESCC model predicts thermal conductivity with a 3.9% MAPD. Similar observations are found for other hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels. Table 6 lists the MAPDs for thermal conductivity 262 predictions using the models for each hydrocarbon mixture (averaged over all compositions) and 263 fuel. The MAPDs for thermal conductivity predictions averaged over all mixtures and 264 compositions using the ESCC model (2.2%) are compared with the 2IP (9.3%), 3IP (2.4%), 2IP-265 266 1Pfit (1.6%), and 2IP-4Pfit (0.5%) models. The required input parameters, as well as the MAPDs for thermal conductivity predictions for all models, are reported in the SI for all hydrocarbon 267 mixture compositions and fuels in this study. 268





Figure 2. Pseudo-component thermal conductivity predictions compared to experimental data [42-44, 46] (symbols) for selected hydrocarbon mixtures (M7-1, M8-2, and M11-1) and fuel RP1 using the 2IP (dashed lines), 2IP-1Pfit (dotted lines), and ESCC (solid lines) models. Results for the 3IP and 2IP-4IPfit models are listed in the SI but are not shown here to prevent cluttered graphs. Note that the y-axis and x-axis scales are different in each figure.

Mixture or Fuel	2IP	2IP-4Pfit	3IP	2IP-1Pfit	ESCC
M7	24.5	0.9	0.9	1.7	2.2
M8	20.9	0.5	2.6	3.8	2.0
M9	1.6	0.3	1.2	1.9	0.8
M10	1.9	0.2	1.9	2.9	1.9
M11	3.2	0.4	1.3	2.2	1.2
M12	2.4	0.2	1.3	2.1	0.6
RP1	20.4	1.0	1.5	1.7	3.9
RP2	23.9	0.6	1.4	1.4	6.0
RP3	9.4	0.6	1.1	1.1	4.8
Jet A	14.6	0.6	2.1	3.4	4.4
JP-8 3773	21.3	0.8	1.0	2.4	5.8
Average	9.3	0.5	1.6	2.4	2.2

Table 6. The MAPD (%) for pseudo-component thermal conductivity predictions using the 2IP,
3IP, 2IP-1Pfit, 2IP-4Pfit, and ESCC pseudo-component models.

279

280 Conclusion

The two-input parameter (2IP) entropy-scaling based pseudo-component (ESBPC) model 281 predicts viscosity and thermal conductivity accurately for many hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels 282 283 (7 and 2% mean absolute percent deviation (MAPD) for viscosity and thermal conductivity, respectively, on average), but often less accurately when mixtures contain branched alkanes, with 284 up to 24% mean absolute percent deviation (MAPD) calculated for fuels. A three-input parameter 285 286 (3IP) version of the model improves predictions but requires fitting one model parameter using an 287 experimental reference viscosity or thermal conductivity data point, which is not ideal if 288 experimental reference data are not available. To enable more practical yet still accurate 289 predictions, empirical correlations for the entropy-scaling based pseudo-component (ESBPC) 290 coefficients were applied to the ESBPC technique to predict viscosity and thermal conductivity 291 for hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels. Entropy is calculated in this Entropy Scaling Coefficient Corrected (ESCC) model using the pseudo-component technique. However, the viscosity and 292 293 thermal conductivity coefficients are calculated using empirical correlations fit to a training set of 294 available high temperature and pressure literature data. The correlations for the thermal conductivity pseudo-component coefficients require three mixture property inputs: the number 295 296 average molecular weight, hydrogen to carbon ratio, and the weight fraction of iso-alkanes. The correlations for the viscosity pseudo-component correlations require four mixture property inputs: 297 the number averaged molecular weight, hydrogen to carbon ratio, the weight fraction of iso-298 299 alkanes, and the weight fraction of two-ring saturates. Training sets of 690 and 134 points were used to fit the correlation parameters for the viscosity and thermal conductivity models, 300 respectively, and a test set of 356 thermal conductivity and 1134 viscosity data points was used to 301 validate the correlations. The ESCC model does not require fitting model parameters to viscosity 302 or thermal conductivity reference data to predict viscosity and thermal conductivity up to high 303 pressures and temperatures, yet viscosities and thermal conductivities are predicted with 4.8 and 304 2.2% MAPD on average, respectively, which is comparable to the uncertainty of the experimental 305 data for the hydrocarbon mixtures (2%) and fuels (up to 4%) in this study. 306

307

308 Acknowledgments

This project has received funding from the European Union Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation program, Grant Agreement No 675528. The authors wish to thank Ashutosh Gupta (Afton), William B. Anderson (Afton), and Mark M^cHugh (Virginia Commonwealth University) for their helpful and technical discussions.

313

314 **References**

[1] H.B. Rokni, Moore, J.D., Gupta, A., M^cHugh, M.A., Gavaises, M., Entropy scaling based viscosity
predictions for hydrocarbon mixtures and diesel fuels up to extreme conditions, Fuel, 241 (2019) 12031213.

- 318 [2] H.B. Rokni, Moore, J.D., Gupta, A., M^cHugh, M.A., Mallepally, R.R., Gavaises, M., General method
- for prediction of thermal conductivity for well-characterized hydrocarbon mixtures and fuels up to extreme conditions using entropy scaling, Fuel, 245 (**2019**) 594-604.
- 321 [3] A. Theodorakakos, Strotos, G., Mitroglou, N., Atkin, C., Gavaises, M., Friction-induced heating in 322 nozzle hole micro-channels under extreme fuel pressurisation, Fuel, 123 (**2014**) 143-150.

- 323 [4] C. Rodriguez, Vidal, A., Koukouvinis, P., Gavaises, M., M^eHugh, M.A., Simulation of transcritical fluid
- jets using the PC-SAFT EoS, Journal of Computational Physics, 374(1) (**2018**) 444-468.
- 325 [5] A. Parsa, Srinivasan, S., Saghir, M.Z., Impact of density gradients on the fluid flow inside a vibrating
- cavity subjected to soret effect, The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 91(3) (2013) 550-559.
- 327 [6] C. Rodriguez, Vidal, A., Koukouvinis, P., Gavaises, M., Supercritical and transcritical real-fluid mixing
- 328 using the PC-SAFT EOS, in: Ilass Europe, 28th Conference on Liquid Atomization and Spray Systems,
- 329 Valencia, Spain, 2017, pp. 597-564.
- 330 [7] H. An, Yang, W., Li, J., Maghbouli, A., Chua, K.J., Chou, S.K., A numerical modeling on the emission
- characteristics of a diesel engine fueled by diesel and biodiesel blend fuels, Applied Energy, 130 (2014)
 458-465.
- [8] B. Mohan, Yang, W., Yu, W., Effect of internal nozzle flow and thermo-physical properties on spray
 characteristics of methyl esters, Applied Energy, 129 (2014) 123-134.
- 335 [9] A. Maghbouli, Yang, W., An, H., Li, J., Shafee, S., Effects of injection strategies and fuel injector
- 336 configuration on combustion and emission characteristics of a DI diesel engine fueled by bio-diesel,
- 337 Renewable Energy, 76 (2015) 687-698.
- 338 [10] P. Kontoulis, Kaiktsis, L., von Rotz, B., Boulouchos, K., CFD Modeling and Experimental Spray
- 339 Studies for Different Heavy Fuel Oil Qualities with Respect to Large Two-Stroke Marine Engines, Journal
- of Energy Engineering, 145(5) (**2019**) 04019014.
- 341 [11] M. Aquing, Ciotta, F., Creton, B., Féjean, C., Pina, A., Dartiguelongue, C., Trusler, J.M., Vignais, R.,
- Lugo, R., Ungerer, P., Composition analysis and viscosity prediction of complex fuel mixtures using a
 molecular-based approach, Energy & Fuels, 26(4) (2012) 2220-2230.
- [12] C. Schaschke, Fletcher, I., Glen, N., Density and viscosity measurement of diesel fuels at combined
 high pressure and elevated temperature, Processes, 1(2) (2013) 30-48.
- [13] F. Ramos-Pallares, Schoeggl, F.F., Taylor, S.D., Yarranton, H.W., Expanded fluid-based thermal
 conductivity model for hydrocarbons and crude oils, Fuel, 224 (2018) 68-84.
- 348 [14] F. Ramos-Pallares, Schoeggl, F.F., Taylor, S.D., Yarranton, H.W., Prediction of thermal conductivity
- 349 for characterized oils and their fractions using an expanded fluid based model, Fuel, 234 (**2018**) 66-80.
- 350 [15] O.S. Alade, Ademodi, B., Sasaki, K., Sugai, Y., Kumasaka, J., Ogunlaja, A.S., Development of models
- to predict the viscosity of a compressed Nigerian bitumen and rheological property of its emulsions, Journal
- of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 145 (**2016**) 711-722.
- 353 [16] S. Eghbali, Dehghanpour, H., Dragani, J., Zhang, X., Phase Behaviour and Viscosity of Bitumen-CO
- 354 2/Light Hydrocarbon Mixtures at Elevated Temperatures: A Cold Lake Case Study, in: SPE Canada Heavy
- 355 Oil Technical Conference, Society of Petroleum Engineers, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, **2018**.
- 356 [17] M. Gülüm, Bilgin, A., Measurements and empirical correlations in predicting biodiesel-diesel blends'
- 357 viscosity and density, Fuel, 199(567-77) (**2017**).
- [18] S. Bair, The pressure and temperature dependence of volume and viscosity of four Diesel fuels, Fuel,
 135 (2014) 112-119.
- [19] S.O. Ilyin, Strelets, L.A., Basic Fundamentals of Petroleum Rheology and Their Application for the
 Investigation of Crude Oils of Different Natures, Energy & Fuels, 32(1) (2018) 268-278.
- [20] I.P. Kanaveli, Atzemi, M., Lois, E., Predicting the viscosity of diesel/biodiesel blends, Fuel, 199 (2017)
 248-263.
- 364 [21] M. Lapuerta, Rodríguez-Fernández, J., Fernández-Rodríguez, D., Patiño-Camino, R., Modeling
- viscosity of butanol and ethanol blends with diesel and biodiesel fuels, Fuel, 199 (2017) 332-338.

- 366 [22] N. Kolev, Multiphase Flow Dynamics 3: Turbulence, Gas Absorption and Release, Diesel Fuel
 367 Properties. 2002, in, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- 368 [23] H. Baled, Gamwo, I.K., Enick, R.M., M^cHugh, M.A., Viscosity models for pure hydrocarbons at
 369 extreme conditions: A review and comparative study, Fuel, 218 (2018) 89-111.
- 370 [24] H.B. Rokni, Gupta, A., Moore, J.D., M^cHugh, M.A., Bamgbade, B.A., Gavaises, M., Purely predictive
- 371 method for density, compressibility, and expansivity for hydrocarbon mixtures and diesel and jet fuels up
- to high temperatures and pressures, Fuel, 236 (2019) 1377-1390.
- 373 [25] H.W. Yarranton, Satyro, M.A., Expanded fluid-based viscosity correlation for hydrocarbons, Industrial
- **374** & Engineering Chemistry Research, 48(7) (**2009**) 3640-3648.
- [26] H. Motahhari, Satyro, M.A., Taylor, S.D., Yarranton, H.W., Extension of the expanded fluid viscosity
 model to characterized oils, Energy & Fuels, 27(4) (2013) 1881-1898.
- 377 [27] H. Motahhari, Schoeggl, F., Satyro, M., Yarranton, H., Viscosity prediction for solvent-diluted live
- bitumen and heavy oil at temperatures up to 175-deg-C, Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology, 52(5)
 (2013) 376-390.
- [28] M. Ma, Chen, S., Abedi, J., Modeling the density, solubility and viscosity of bitumen/solvent systems
 using PC-SAFT, Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 139 (2016) 1-12.
- [29] S.E. Quiñones-Cisneros, Zéberg-Mikkelsen, C.K., Stenby, E.H., The friction theory (f-theory) for
 viscosity modeling, Fluid Phase Equilibria, 169(2) (2000) 249-276.
- 384 [30] S.E. Quiñones-Cisneros, Zéberg-Mikkelsen, C.K., Baylaucq, A., Boned, C., Viscosity modeling and
- prediction of reservoir fluids: From natural gas to heavy oils, International Journal of Thermophysics, 25(5)
 (2004) 1353-1366.
- [31] S.E. Quiñones-Cisneros, Dalberg, A., Stenby, E.H., PVT characterization and viscosity modeling and
 prediction of crude oils, Petroleum Science and Technology, 22((9-10)) (2004) 1309-1325.
- [32] K.A. Schmidt, Quiñones-Cisneros, S.E., Kvamme, B., Density and viscosity behavior of a North Sea
 crude oil, natural gas liquid, and their mixtures, Energy & Fuels, 19(4) (2005) 1303-1313.
- [33] M.I. Abutaqiya, Zhang, J., Vargas, F.M., Viscosity modeling of reservoir fluids using the Friction
 Theory with PC-SAFT crude oil characterization, Fuel, 235 (2019) 113-129.
- 393 [34] Y. Khoshnamvand, Assareh, M., Viscosity Prediction for Petroleum Fluids Using Free Volume Theory
 and PC-SAFT, International Journal of Thermophysics, 39(4) (2018) 54.
- 395 [35] Y. Sun, Shen, G., Held, C., Lu, X., Ji, X., Modeling Viscosity of Ionic Liquids with Electrolyte
- 396 Perturbed-Chain Statistical Associating Fluid Theory and Free Volume Theory, Industrial & Engineering
- 397 Chemistry Research, 57(26) (2018) 8784-8801.
- 398 [36] J. Gross, Sadowski, G., Perturbed-chain SAFT: An equation of state based on a perturbation theory for
- chain molecules, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 40(4) (2001) 1244-1260.
- 400 [37] P. Dauge, Canet, X., Baylaucq, A., Boned, C., Measurements of the density and viscosity of the
- 401 tridecane+ 2, 2, 4, 4, 6, 8, 8-heptamethylnonane mixtures in the temperature range 293.15-353.15 K at
- 402 pressures up to 100 MPa, High Temerpatures High Pressures, 33(2) (2001) 213-230.
- 403 [38] C.K. Zéberg-Mikkelsen, Barrouhou, M., Baylaucq, A., Boned, C., High-pressure viscosity and density
- 404 measurements of the ternary system methylcyclohexane+ cis-decalin+ 2, 2, 4, 4, 6, 8, 8-heptamethylnonane,
 405 Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data, 48(6) (2003) 1387-1392.
- 406 [39] D. Ducoulombier, Zhou, H., Boned, C., Peyrelasse, J., Saint-Guirons, H., Xans, P., Pressure (1-1000
- 407 bars) and temperature (20-100. degree. C) dependence of the viscosity of liquid hydrocarbons, The Journal
- 408 of Physical Chemistry, 90(8) (**1986**) 1692-1700.

- 409 [40] C.K. Zeberg-Mikkelsen, Canet, X., Baylaucq, A., Quiñones-Cisneros, S.E., Boned, C., Stenby, E.H.,
- 410 High-pressure viscosity and density behavior of ternary mixtures: 1-methylnaphthalene+ n-tridecane+ 2, 2,
- 411 4, 4, 6, 8, 8-heptamethylnonane, International Journal of Thermophysics, 22(6) (**2001**) 1691-1726.
- 412 [41] C. Boned, Zéberg-Mikkelsen, C.K., Baylaucq, A., Daugé, P., High-pressure dynamic viscosity and
- density of two synthetic hydrocarbon mixtures representative of some heavy petroleum distillation cuts,
- 414 Fluid Phase Equilibria, 212(1) (2003) 143-164.
- 415 [42] W.A. Wakeham, Yu, H.R., Zalaf, M., The thermal conductivity of the mixtures of liquid hydrocarbons
- at pressures up to 400 MPa, International Journal of Thermophysics, 11(6) (**1990**) 987-1000.
- [43] J.M.N.A. Fareleira, Li, S.F.Y., Wakeham, W.A., The thermal conductivity of liquid mixtures at
 elevated pressures, International Journal of Thermophysics, 10(5) (1989) 1041-1051.
- 419 [44] Y. Wada, Nagasaka, Y., Nagashima, A., Measurements and correlation of the thermal conductivity of
- 420 liquid n-paraffin hydrocarbons and their binary and ternary mixtures, International Journal of 421 Thermophysics, 6(3) (**1985**) 251-265.
- 422 [45] A.J. Rowane, Mahesh, B.V., Rokni, H.B., Moore, J.D., Gavaises, M., Wensing, M., Gupta, A.,
- 423 M^cHugh, M.A., Effect of Composition, Temperature, and Pressure on the Viscosities and Densities of Three
- 424 Diesel Fuels, Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data, 64(12) (**2019**) 5529-5547.
- 425 [46] L.A. Akhmedova-Azizova, Abdulagatov, I.M., Bruno, T.J., Effect of RP-1 compositional variability
- 426 on thermal conductivity at high temperatures and high pressures, Energy & Fuels, 23(9) (**2009**) 4522-4528.
- 427 [47] T.J. Bruno, The Properties of RP-1 and RP-2 MIPR F1SBAA8022G001, **2008**.
- 428 [48] G.Q. Xu, Jia, Z.X., Wen, J., Deng, H.W., Fu, Y.C., Thermal-conductivity measurements of aviation 429 kerosene RP-3 from (285 to 513) K at sub-and supercritical pressures, International Journal of
- kerosene RP-3 from (285 to 513) K at sub-and supercritical pressures, International Journal of
 Thermophysics, 36(4) (2015) 620-632.
- [49] Z. Jia, Xu, G., Deng, H., Jie, W., Fu, Y., Experimental measurements of thermal conductivity of
 hydrocarbon fuels by a steady and kinetic method, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 123(1)
- **433** (**2016**) 891-898.
- 434 [50] T.J. Bruno, Thermodynamic, Transport and Chemical Properties of Reference JP-8, NIST, 2006.
- 435 [51] R. Xu, Wang, K., Banerjee, S., Shao, J., Parise, T., Zhu, Y., Wang, S., Movaghar, A., Lee, D.J., Zhao,
- 436 R., Han, X., Gao, Y., Lu, T., Brezinsky, K., Egolfopoulos, F.N., Davidson, D.F., Hanson, R.K., Bowman,
- 437 C.T., Wang, H., A physics-based approach to modeling real-fuel combustion chemistry II. Reaction
- 438 kinetic models of jet and rocket fuels, Combustion and Flame, 193 (2018) 520-537.
- 439 [52] J.T. Edwards, Reference jet fuels for combustion testing, in: 55th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting,
 440 2017, pp. 0146.
- [53] Y. Rosenfeld, Relation between the transport coefficients and the internal entropy of simple systems,
 Physical Review A, 15(6) (1977).
- 443 [54] O. Lötgering-Lin, J. Gross, Group contribution method for viscosities based on entropy scaling using
- the perturbed-chain polar statistical associating fluid theory, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research,
 54(32) (2015) 7942-7952.
- [55] L. Novak, Self-diffusion coefficient and viscosity in fluids, Journal of Chemical Reactor Engineering,
 9(1) (2011).
- [56] L. Novak, Fluid viscosity-residual entropy correlation, International Journal of Chemical Reactor
 Engineering, 9(1) (2011).
- 450 [57] M. Hopp, Gross, J., Thermal Conductivity of Real Substances from Excess Entropy Scaling Using
- 451 PCP-SAFT, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 56(15) (2017) 4527-4538.
- 452