



## City Research Online

### City, University of London Institutional Repository

---

**Citation:** da Silva, J., da Cruz, G., Rauber, F., Louzada, M. L., Kluczkowski, A., Frankowska, A., Schmidt, X., Reynolds, C., Bridle, S. L. & Levy, R. B. (2020). The impact of ultra-processed food on carbon, water and ecological footprints of food in Brazil. *European Journal of Public Health*, 30(Supplement\_5), ckaa165.43. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckaa165.433

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

---

**Permanent repository link:** <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/25076/>

**Link to published version:** <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckaa165.433>

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.



## Abstract submission for the 16th World Congress on Public Health

<https://wcph2020.com/abstract-submission-for-16th-wcph-6>

<https://wcph2020.com/instructions-single-abstracts-36>

Abstract guidelines:

[https://ephconference.eu/repository/conference/2020/Abstract\\_Submission\\_Guidelines\\_Rome\\_2020.pdf](https://ephconference.eu/repository/conference/2020/Abstract_Submission_Guidelines_Rome_2020.pdf)

Deadline extended to 8 March 2020, 18:00 p.m. CET (14:00 in São Paulo)

Author and co-author: you can include up to 10 co-authors.

All abstracts have a limit of 2,000 characters, including spaces but excluding title and authors' information.

## ABSTRACT

### The impact of ultra-processed food on carbon, water and ecological footprints of foods in Brazil

Jacqueline Tereza da Silva<sup>1</sup>, Gabriela Lopes da Cruz<sup>1</sup>, Fernanda Rauber<sup>2</sup>, Maria Laura Louzada<sup>2</sup>, Alana Rodrigues Galdino Kluczkowski<sup>3</sup>, Angelina Frankowska<sup>3</sup>, Ximena Schmidt<sup>4</sup>, Christian Reynolds<sup>5,6</sup>, Sarah Bridle<sup>3</sup>, Renata Bertazzi Levy<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Medicine, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Department of Nutrition, School of Public Health, University of Sao Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>3</sup> School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Energy Futures, Brunel University London, London, United Kingdom

<sup>5</sup> Department of Geography, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom

<sup>6</sup> Centre for Food Policy, City University, London, United Kingdom

**Background:** Ultra-processed foods (UPF) have been associated with major diet-related public health issues that share underlying drivers with climate change. Both challenges require major changes to the food system and so the potential benefits to health and the environment present a double motivation for transformation. Our aim is to assess the impacts of UPF on total greenhouse gas emissions (GHGE), water and ecological footprints in Brazil food purchases. **Methods:** We have used data from 4 Brazilian Household Budget Surveys (1987, 1996, 2003, 2009). Each food item was classified into NOVA food groups (unprocessed/minimally processed, culinary ingredients, processed and ultra-processed). The

information was linked to nutrition and footprint data. Purchases were converted into grams per capita per day to estimate total energy (kcal), percentage of energy from UPF, as well as total GHGE, water and ecological footprints. We performed linear regression to calculate year-adjusted means of footprints per 1000 Kcal by year-specific quintiles of UPF participation in the total energy. The data were analysed in R v.3.6.1 and STATA SE 14.1. **Results:** The mean UPF participation in total energy varied from 13% (SD 2.4) in the 1st UPF quintile to 29% (SD 5.1) in the 5th quintile. The footprints increased linearly across quintiles: the mean g CO<sub>2</sub>eq varied from 1312 in the 1st to 1721 in the 5th UPF quintile (p-trend<0.001); the mean litres of water varied from 1420 in the 1st to 1830 in the 5th quintile (p-trend<0.001); the mean m<sup>2</sup> varied from 9.4 in the 1st to 12.3 in the 5th quintile (p<0.001). **Conclusion:** The environmental impacts were higher for Brazilian diets with a larger fraction of energy from UPF. Specifically, low UPF diets seem to have lower GHGE, water and ecological footprints. Our findings offer new motivators for dietary change to simultaneously healthier and more sustainable eating patterns and will be of relevance to consumers and policymakers.

Message 1: Diets high in UPF cause more climate impact than diets with lower levels of UPF.

Message 2: Healthy and sustainable dietary patterns should be low in ultra-processed foods.

**Keywords:** nutrition, health, environment

## References

Swinburn BA, Kraak VI, Allender S et al. The global syndemic of obesity, undernutrition, and climate change: The Lancet Commission report. *Lancet*. 2019 Feb 23;393(10173):791-846. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32822-8. Epub 2019 Jan 27.

Garzillo JMF et al. Food and its environmental impacts: approaches of national dietary guidelines and a study on the diet of Brazilians. 2018. 450p. Thesis (PhD in Global Health and Sustainability) - School of Public Health, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, 2019.

TABELA Brasileira de Composição de Alimentos (TBCA). Universidade de São Paulo (USP). Food Research Center (FoRC). Version 7.0. São Paulo, 2019. [Consulted in: feb 2020 ]. Access: <http://www.fcf.usp.br/tbca>

Monteiro CA, Cannon G, Levy RB et al. NOVA. The star shines bright. [Food classification. Public health] *World Nutrition*. January-March 2016, 7,1-3, 28-38.

BRASIL. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde. Departamento de Atenção Básica. Guia alimentar para a população brasileira, 2ª edição, Brasília, 2014. 156p.