

# **City Research Online**

## City, University of London Institutional Repository

**Citation:** Portugal, R., Wilczek, B., Eder, M., Thurman, N. & Haim, M. (2023). Design Thinking for Journalism in the AI age: Towards an Innovation Process for Responsible AI Applications. Paper presented at the The Joint Computation + Journalism European Data & Computational Journalism Conference 2023, 22-24 Jun 2023, Zurich, Switzerland.

This is the published version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/30698/

Link to published version:

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. City Research Online: <u>http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/</u> <u>publications@city.ac.uk</u>

### Design Thinking for Journalism in the Age of AI\*

Towards an Innovation Process for Responsible AI Applications

Roxana Portugal<sup>†</sup>, Bartosz Wilczek, Maximilian Eder, Neil Thurman, Mario Haim Department of Media and Communication, LMU Munich

Munich, Bavaria, Germany

{roxana.portugal, bartosz.wilczek, maximilian.eder, neil.thurman, mario.haim}@ifkw.lmu.de

#### ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies offer opportunities for news organizations to become more efficient. At the same time, the adoption of AI in journalism raises concerns, including whether such efficiency-driven AI applications will endanger the democratic function of news organizations. In this paper, we present a Design Thinking (DT) process that draws on co-creation with journalists, aims to balance efficiency and quality standards, and prototypes a responsible AI application for journalism. We conceptualized the DT process based on interdisciplinary literature and tested it in a project with journalism students from a leading German journalism school.

#### **CCS CONCEPTS**

 $\bullet$  General and references  $\rightarrow$  Cross-computing tools and techniques  $\rightarrow$  Design

#### **KEYWORDS**

Artificial Intelligence, Efficiency, Quality, Journalism, Design Thinking

#### **1** Introduction

The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) for scalable products has increased along with the growth in computing power and data availability. This also applies in journalism, where AI has been described as "an umbrella term for a range of technologies" [9:1914] that draw on rule-based systems and machine learning. In part due to the economic challenges they face, 68 percent of the news organizations investigated by Beckett [6:32] have started to adopt AI to make journalists' work more efficient, and 20 percent name AI as a tool to improve their business models. News organizations have explored the potential of AI throughout all stages of the news value chain [10,35], e.g., to monitor events, check facts, create content, recommend news, and optimize paywalls.

\*Design Thinking for Journalism in the Age of AI

<sup>+</sup>Corresponding author

C+J • DATAJ Conference, June, 2023, Zurich, Switzerland

© 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

At the same time, however, the adoption of AI in journalism raises concerns, including whether such efficiencydriven AI applications will endanger the democratic function of news organizations [5,13], which involves securing "the quality of public discourse" [16:193]. For instance, Dörr, Köberer and Haim [12] argue for more accountability and transparency regarding the AI-based production of journalistic content. Regarding the personalized distribution of journalistic content, Helberger, Karppinen, and Makhortykh [16] state that AI applications should present users with content diversity to prevent the formation of possible filter bubbles. Accordingly, AI applications in journalism should not only be responsible for increasing the efficiency of news organizations but also adhere to journalistic quality standards. However, while the implementation of efficiency and quality standards may lead to trade-offs [34], research and best practice guidelines on how news organizations can balance efficiency and quality standards and, thereby, develop responsible AI applications, remain scarce (e.g., [4,15,21,27,31].

Moreover, technology providers without dedicated journalistic roots are increasingly shaping how AI is used in journalism [28]. Due to this external dependency, we argue that there is an urgent need for more user-centric approaches that involve journalists in the co-creation of AI applications [2,11,19,30]. Methodologically, we argue that Design Thinking (DT) offers such an approach. After all, DT allows for a humancentric perspective on complex problems [3] and helps organizations with their innovation processes [26], not least in the realm of AI-driven innovation [33].

Against this background, we present a DT process (Fig. 1) that draws on co-creation with journalists and aims to balance efficiency and quality standards during the innovation of AI applications in news organizations. We conceptualized the DT process based on literature from computer science, journalism studies, and DT. We tested it in a project with journalism students (overall: N = 15) from a leading German journalism school between December 2022 and February 2023. More specifically, this project aimed to develop a low-fidelity prototype of a responsible AI application for local journalism. After all, a significant challenge facing journalism today lies in the collapse of local news provision [32] with potentially severe consequences for local communities and, more broadly for democratic societies [22].

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

#### Empathize **Problem definition Quality standards definition** Ideation Prototype Test Showing existing tools for the Through a survey, we get your Through examples of common Responsibility in AI relates Here, you can Finally, we need your to quality standards. We relevant problem and rest while the preferences so we can focus problems in the area, we find a insights into the prototype connect these qualities to brainstorming potential prototype is and how you interact with on one problem area. relevant problem. Then we the factors leading to the solutions, we co-create a new being created. brainstorm factors that lead to the solution Al product. relevant problem. the relevant problem.

#### Figure 1. DT process to design a responsible AI application in journalism (images produced with DALL-E).

The rest of this paper is structured as follows: section 2 summarizes the DT process we developed and assessed; section 3 presents related work and compares it with our DT process; section 4 presents conclusions.

#### 2 DT process for responsible AI

Our innovation process for responsible AI (Fig. 1) draws on the widely applied DT approach developed by the Stanford Design School [20:313]. To adapt this approach to our journalistic use case, we incorporated software engineering techniques, particularly from requirements engineering (RE), that aim to identify user needs not only from a functional but also from a qualitative point of view, i.e., quality standards should be amalgamated into the software production [25].

Furthermore, RE techniques also bring necessary tradeoffs—which often show up when implementing quality standards [8]—to light early in the design process. For instance, the implementation of more transparency may result in less privacy. Early detection of this trade-off would allow mitigation, such as implementing stricter privacy regulations in other parts of the proposed software.

In the next sections, we present the DT steps in more detail.

#### 2.1 Empathize

For the first DT step, "empathize", an online survey was conducted to identify the most relevant problem area along the news value chain in local journalism. Participants were asked to identify activities where economic pressure, time constraints, and/or the need to meet specific quality standards affect local journalists the most. Based on this survey (provided upon request), *time constraints regarding video creation* were identified as the most relevant problem area. All but one of the subsequent DT steps—i.e., "problem definition", "quality standards definition", "ideation", and "test"—were conducted via in-person workshops to facilitate collaboration and co-creation among the participants. The DT prototyping step was, however, undertaken by the authors without the involvement of the participants, as the participants had only limited expertise regarding existing AI applications.

### 2.2 Problem definition

The goal of this workshop (n = 14 participants) was to obtain meaningful and actionable problem statements regarding time constraints during video creation. For that purpose, problem example cards were created to speed up the acquisition or recall of knowledge (Fig. 2). Participants were asked to define the core problem in two steps. First, they were asked to select the three most relevant problems presented from the example cards and to suggest further problems themselves (left part in Fig. 3). Second, based on this selection, they were asked to define the core problem. As Fig. 3 shows, *time constraints regarding editing and assembling video footage into a finished product* were identified as the core problem.



#### Figure 2. Cards with examples related to video creation.

Next, participants were asked to specify factors that lead to this core problem. For that purpose, the triangle technique [18] was applied. It visualizes the problem definition through a triangular center, the core problems on the left, and the factors leading to the core problems on the right (Fig. 3).



Figure 3. Triangle technique for defining the problem.

#### 2.3 Quality standards definition

The goal of the next workshop (n = 10 participants) was to identify relevant journalistic quality standards for editing and assembling video footage into a finished product. To facilitate a discussion regarding possible trade-offs, quality standards were assigned to possible functionalities of the AI application.

Quality: Care	Factors:
Research is an indispensable instrument of journalistic due diligence. The publication of specific information must be carefully checked for accuracy in light of existing circumstances. Its sense must not be distorted or facilitat with	Storytelling Subtitles and captions
or picture captions.	

### Figure 4. Quality standards cards related to problem factors.

For that purpose, cards were created where quality standards were linked to problem factors (Fig. 4). The quality standards were determined based on the Code of the German Press Council as well as literature on journalistic quality.

With the back of the cards indicating only the quality standards and using the Non-Functional Requirements framework [8], participants were instructed to model corresponding relationships. Fig. 5 shows an example of such a model. A "+" indicates a positive contribution relationship between quality standards, while a "-" indicates a negative contribution or conflict.



Figure 5. Modeling trade-offs between quality standards

#### 2.4 Ideation

The goal of this workshop (n = 13 participants) was to cocreate the core idea of the prototype. For that purpose, participants were presented with existing AI applications for video creation, which facilitated the ideation of an innovative AI application. Subsequently, using resources from earlier workshops (e.g., problem factors, here conceptualized as functionalities, and quality standards), participants were asked to prepare a logical sequence of how the AI application would address the problem identified. More specifically, a timeline was created indicating which functionalities and which corresponding quality standards were necessary at which point in the ideated AI application (Fig. 6).



Figure 6. Technique for ideating the prototype.

#### 2.5 Prototype

The DT process facilitated the prototyping of the AI application in different ways [37]. First, the problem factors were transformed into functionalities of the AI application. Second, the logical order of features was given by the participants to help define the inputs and outputs that are required by the envisioned AI application. Third,

functionalities were mapped to quality standards to support the selection of corresponding technologies.

From these contributions, the authors compiled a low-fidelity prototype using mock-up and sketching techniques for each of the proposed functions. Fig. 7 shows the resulting prototype for the footage selection function. Prototypes for all functions of the AI application are available in GitHub and Zenodo [37].



Figure 7. Prototype of the feature "footage selection".

#### 2.6 Test

The goal of the last workshop was to determine whether the developed prototype met the participants' requirements. The workshop consisted of two steps. First, participants were asked to assess the prototype regarding its functionalities and quality standards. Second, participants suggested changes to the prototype to tailor it even further to the originally defined core problem (Fig. 8). Based on this feedback, the prototype was refined. The testing was iterated twice, once in-person during the workshop (n = 9 participants), and once digitally via a shared online document (n = 7 participants).

Design Thinking for journalism in the AI age



Figure 8. Testing the prototype using the whiteboard

#### 3 Embedding into related work

Halskov and Lundqvist [14] have used domain and technology inspiration cards to accelerate knowledge gathering and simplify DT workshops. This practice has been also applied by AI developers such as Nexocode [23]. In our DT process, we used cards not only to speed up the process but also to facilitate collaboration and co-creation among participants during the workshops. Sinders and Ahmad [29] applied a DT approach that moves from general to more specific questions, thereby adding more complexity in each subsequent workshop. Our DT process applied a similar approach, as we first identified a broad problem and then worked through the complexity by gradually breaking the problem down. In addition, we implemented the bottom-up approach of DT during the definition of quality standards, i.e., by using the Non-Functional Requirements framework [8].

Furthermore, Tang [30] has used DT to collect as many ideas as possible without considering feasibility or rationality. We agree with the author that free brainstorming may facilitate creativity. However, we argue that particularly during the prototyping step of the DT process the consideration of feasibility is necessary to facilitate the implementation (i.e., coding) of the prototype. Feasibility can be increased by organizing (i.e., rationalizing) the corresponding functionalities and quality standards.

Finally, especially in computer science, DT approaches have focused on solutions to agilize DT with the goal of increasing its effectiveness and efficiency. For instance, regarding the preparation of a DT process, Parizi et al. [24] propose using a recommendation system that optimizes the selection of techniques that are used during each step of the DT process. Moreover, Ahmed et al. [1] propose a Lean Design Thinking Methodology (LDTM), which is a data-driven approach to support problem discovery. Both approaches can improve the DT process. For instance, our selection of techniques was restricted by the duration of the workshops (the workshops consisted of two sprints of 20 to 30 minutes each). A recommendation system could facilitate the selection of techniques that are simple and yet optimize results. A datadriven approach, in turn, could be used in addition to an empathizing workshop (or survey) to extend the problem discovery.

#### 4 Conclusions

While AI technologies offer opportunities for news organizations to become more efficient, the adoption of AI in journalism raises concerns, namely whether such efficiencydriven AI applications will endanger the democratic function of journalism. Accordingly, we argue that AI applications in journalism should be responsible so that they not only increase the efficiency of news organizations but also adhere to journalistic quality standards.

Therefore, in this paper, we present a DT process that draws on co-creation with journalists and balances efficiency and quality standards with the goal of innovating responsible AI applications in journalism. We developed the DT process based on interdisciplinary literature and assessed it based on a project with journalism students (overall: N = 15) from a leading German journalism school. In sum, the project developed a low-fidelity prototype of a responsible AI application that aims to solve a core problem in local journalism, namely **time constraints regarding editing and assembling video footage into a finished product**. With its specific functionalities, the developed prototype aims to increase efficiency and thereby mitigate time constraints. At the same time, it incorporates journalistic quality standards.

Journalists are increasingly involved in the co-creation of AI applications [2,11,14,19,29,30], however, contrary to our DT process, these co-creation approaches have not applied specific techniques to accommodate quality standards. i.e., the so-called Non-Functional Requirements that software engineering has been investigating for more than 20 years. As presented in this paper, these requirements are, however, relevant for developing responsible AI applications, i.e., AI applications that increase journalistic efficiency and adhere to journalistic quality standards.

Finally, DT has been criticized because it may restrict creativity due to its formally structured process [17,36]. Accordingly, in the future, further techniques could be incorporated into DT. For instance, Dimitrakopoulou and Lewis [11] suggest merging DT with techniques that facilitate more reflective listening processes to improve the empathizing step of the DT process. After all, this step is particularly important to engage the participants with DT. This is corroborated by Chaplin [7]. Halskov and Lundqvist [14] emphasize the importance of using diverse prototyping: For example, sketches on a whiteboard, digital 3D models, or scenarios, which can be used to further define the design space., i.e. to find a problem space. Kolko [17], in turn, proposes the use of lateral thinking, which involves examining a situation from different, also unexpected, perspectives.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This Design Thinking project is part of our research project "Towards Responsible AI in Local Journalism", funded by the *Volkswagen Foundation*. We thank the journalism students for participating in this Design Thinking project.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bakhtiyar Ahmed, Thomas Dannhauser, and Nada Philip. 2018. A lean Design Thinking methodology (ldtm) for machine learning and modern data projects. In 2018 10th Computer Science and Electronic Engineering (CEEC), IEEE, Colchester, United Kingdom, 11–14. D01:https://doi.org/10.1109/CEEC.2018.8674234
- [2] Tanja Aitamurto, Mike Ananny, Chris W. Anderson, Larry Birnbaum, Nicholas Diakopoulos, Matilda Hanson, Jessica Hullman, and Nick Ritchie. 2019. HCI for accurate, impartial and transparent journalism: Challenges and solutions. In Extended Abstracts of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, ACM, Glasgow Scotland Uk. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/3290607.3299007
- John Alford and Brian W Head. 2017. Wicked and less wicked problems: A typology and a contingency framework. *Policy and Society* 36, 3 (July 2017), 397-413. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14494035.2017.1361634
- [4] Jack Bandy and Nicholas Diakopoulos. 2021. Curating quality? How Twitter's timeline algorithm treats different types of news. Social Media + Society 7, 3 (July 2021). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/20563051211041648
- [5] Mariella Bastian, Natali Helberger, and Mykola Makhortykh. 2021. Safeguarding the journalistic DNA: Attitudes towards the role of professional values in algorithmic news recommender designs. *Digital Journalism* 9, 6 (July 2021), 835–863. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2021.1912622
- [6] Charlie Beckett. 2019. New powers, new responsibilities: A global survey of journalism and artificial intelligence. London School of Ecconomics and Political Science (LSE), London.
- [7] Heather Chaplin. 2016. Guide to journalism and design. Tow Center for Digital Journalism. Retrieved from https://www.cjr.org/tow\_center\_reports/guide\_to\_journalism\_and\_des ign.php
- [8] Lawrence Chung, Brian A. Nixon, Eric Yu, and John Mylopoulos. 2000. Non-functional requirements in software engineering. Springer Science + Busines Media, New York.
- Mark Deuze and Charlie Beckett. 2022. Imagination, algorithms and news: Developing AI literacy for journalism. Digital Journalism 10, 10 (November 2022), 1913-1918. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2022.2119152
- [10] Nicholas Diakopoulos. 2019. Automating the news: How algorithms are rewriting the media. Harvard University Press, Cambridge.
- [11] Dimitra Dimitrakopoulou and Seth C. Lewis. 2022. The generative dialogue framework and the pursuit of better listening by journalists: A design-centered approach for more constructive conversations with audiences. *Digital Journalism* ahead-of-print (May 2022). D0I:https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2022.2075415
- [12] Konstantin Dörr, Mario Haim, and Nina Köberer. 2017. Normative Qualitätsansprüche an algorithmischen Journalismus. In Gesellschaft ohne Diskurs? Digitaler Wandel und Journalismus aus medienethischer Perspektive., Alexander Filipović, Marlis Prinzing and Ingrid Stapf (eds.). Nomos, Baden-Baden, 121–134. DOI:https://doi.org/10.5771/9783845279824-121
- [13] Mario Haim and Andreas Graefe. 2018. Automatisierter Journalismus: Anwendungsbereiche, Formen und Qualität. In *Journalismus im Internet*, Christian Nuernbergk and Christoph Neuberger (eds.). Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden, Wiesbaden, 139–160. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-531-93284-2\_5
- Kim Halskov and Caroline Lundqvist. 2021. Filtering and informing the design space: Towards design-space thinking. ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact. 28, 1 (February 2021), 1–28. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/3434462
- [15] Natali Helberger. 2019. On the democratic role of news recommenders. *Digital Journalism* 7, 8 (September 2019), 993–1012. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2019.1623700
- [16] Natali Helberger, Kari Karppinen, and Lucia D'Acunto. 2018. Exposure diversity as a design principle for recommender systems. *Information, Communication & Society* 21, 2 (February 2018), 191–207. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2016.1271900
- [17] Jon Kolko. 2018. The divisiveness of design thinking. *interactions* 25, 3 (April 2018), 28–34. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/3194313
- [18] Gerd Macke, Ulrike Hanke, and Pauline Viehmann. 2008. Hochschuldidaktik: lehren, vortragen, pr
  üfen. Beltz, Weinheim, Basel.
- [19] Brian McKernan, Jennifer Stromer-Galley, Ania Korsunska, Sarah E. Bolden, Patrícia Rossini, and Jeff Hemsley. 2022. A human-centered design approach to creating tools to help journalists monitor digital

political ads: insights and challenges. *Digital Journalism* ahead-of-print (April 2022). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2022.2064321

- [20] Pietro Micheli, Sarah J. S. Wilner, Sabeen Hussain Bhatti, Matteo Mura, and Michael B. Beverland. 2019. Doing design thinking: Conceptual review, synthesis, and research agenda. J Prod Innov Manag 36, 2 (March 2019), 124–148. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12466
- [21] Cristina Monzer, Judith Moeller, Natali Helberger, and Sarah Eskens. 2020. User perspectives on the news personalisation process: Agency, trust and utility as building blocks. *Digital Journalism* 8, 9 (October 2020), D0I:https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2020.1773291
- [22] Ragnhild Kristine Olsen, Victor Pickard, and Oscar Westlund. 2020. Communal news work: COVID-19 calls for collective funding of journalism. *Digital Journalism* 8, 5 (May 2020), 673–680. D0I:https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2020.1763186
- [23] Dorota Owczarek. 2021. Applying design thinking to artificial intelligence: Why should you use it in your Al-based projects? *Nexocode*. Retrieved from https://nexocode.com/blog/posts/applying-designthinking-to-ai
- [24] Rafael Parizi, Marina Moreira, Igor Couto, Sabrina Marczak, and Tayana Conte. 2022. Tool proposal for recommending design thinking techniques in software development. *JSERD* 10, 3 (March 2022). DOI:https://doi.org/10.5753/jserd.2021.1931
- [25] Roxana Lisette Quintanilla Portugal, Luiz Marcio Cysneiros, and Julio Cesar Sampaio Do Prado Leite. 2022. Explainability in a time of socially responsible software. In 2022 IEEE 30th International Requirements Engineering Conference (RE), IEEE, Melbourne, Australia, 295–301. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1109/RE54965.2022.00044
- [26] Daniel Schallmo and Klaus Lang. 2020. Design Thinking erfolgreich anwenden: So entwickeln Sie in 7 Phasen kundenorientierte Produkte und Dienstleistungen (2., aktualisierte Auflage ed.). Springer Gabler, Wiesbaden [Heidelberg]. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-28325-4
- [27] Anna Schjøtt Hansen and Jannie Møller Hartley. 2021. Designing what's news: An ethnography of a personalization algorithm and the datadriven (re)assembling of the news. *Digital Journalism* ahead-of-print (October 2021).
   DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2021.1988861
- Felix M. Simon. 2022. Uneasy bedfellows: AI in the news, platform companies and the issue of journalistic autonomy. *Digital Journalism* 10, 10 (November 2022), 1832–1854. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2022.2063150
- [29] Caroline Sinders and Sana Ahmad. 2021. The labor behind the tools: Using design thinking methods to examine content moderation software. *interactions* 28, 4 (July 2021), 6–8. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/3470492
- [30] Yingying Tang. 2020. Promoting user advocacy through design thinking in the age of automated writing. In *Proceedings of the 38th ACM International Conference on Design of Communication*, ACM, Denton TX USA, 1–6. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/3380851.3416784
- [31] Neil Thurman, Seth C. Lewis, and Jessica Kunert. 2019. Algorithms, automation, and news. *Digital Journalism* 7, 8 (September 2019), 980– 992. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2019.1685395
- Karin Wahl-Jorgensen. 2019. The challenge of local news provision. Journalism 20, 1 (January 2019), 163–166. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1464884918809281
- [33] Amanda J. Weller. 2019. Design Thinking for a user-centered approach to artificial intelligence. She Ji: The Journal of Design, Economics, and Innovation 5, 4 (2019), 394–396. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sheji.2019.11.015
- [34] Jess Whittlestone, Rune Nyrup, Anna Alexandrova, and Stephen Cave. 2019. The role and limits of principles in AI ethics: Towards a focus on tensions. In Proceedings of the 2019 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society, ACM, Honolulu HI USA, 195–200. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/3306618.3314289
- [35] Bartosz Wilczek and Mario Haim. 2022. Wie kann Künstliche Intelligenz die Effizienz von Medienorganisationen steigern? *Medienwirtschaft* 19, 4 (2022), 44–50.
- [36] Sofie Willemsen, Tamara Witschge, and Sabrina Sauer. 2021. Improvisation and entrepreneurial journalism: Reimagining innovation. *Journalism Studies* 22, 11 (August 2021), 1487–1503. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2021.1951618
- [37] Roxana Lisette Quintanilla Portugal. Pilot prototypes using Design Thinking for the journalistic problem "time constraints regarding editing and assembling video footage into a finished product". In Zenodo (a repository for preserving research materials) <u>DOI:</u> 10.5281/zenodo.7961998.