

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Roucoux, G., Thonon, F., Zucman, D., Rey, D., Abgrall, S., Eriksson, L. E., Préau, M., Michels, D., Chéret, A. & Duracinsky, M. (2024). Questioning the "Ease" in disease: Was living with HIV a burden or boost during the first wave of Covid-19 in France? A qualitative study (COVIDHIV). PLoS ONE, 19(3), e0295223. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0295223

This is the published version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/32611/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0295223

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. City Research Online: <u>http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/</u> <u>publications@city.ac.uk</u>



G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Roucoux G, Thonon F, Zucman D, Rey D, Abgrall S, Eriksson LE, et al. (2024) Questioning the "Ease" in disease: Was living with HIV a burden or boost during the first wave of Covid-19 in France? A qualitative study (COVIDHIV). PLoS ONE 19(3): e0295223. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0295223

Editor: Mabel Aoun, Faculty of Medicine, Saint-Joseph University, LEBANON

Received: July 20, 2022

Accepted: November 18, 2023

Published: March 7, 2024

Copyright: © 2024 Roucoux et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available as verbatim interview transcripts even if de-identified could reveal the identity of the participant but are available from the corresponding author guillaume.roucouxext@aphp.fr and the PROQOL team's study manager studymanager.proqol@gmail.com on reasonable request. RESEARCH ARTICLE

Questioning the "Ease" in disease: Was living with HIV a burden or boost during the first wave of Covid-19 in France? A qualitative study (COVIDHIV)

Guillaume Roucoux^{1,2}*, Frédérique Thonon^{1,2}, David Zucman³, David Rey⁴, Sophie Abgrall^{5,6}, Lars E. Eriksson⁷, Marie Préau^{8,9}, David Michels^{10,11}, Antoine Chéret^{12,13‡}, Martin Duracinsky^{1,2,14‡}

 ECEVE, UMR-S 1123, Inserm, Université Paris Cité, Paris, France, 2 Patient-Reported Outcomes Research (PROQOL), Unité de Recherche Clinique en Economie de la Santé (URC-ECO), Hôpital Hôtel-Dieu, AP-HP, Paris, France, 3 Foch Hospital, Suresnes, France, 4 Trait d'Union–Strasbourg University Hospitals, Strasbourg, France, 5 Hôpital Antoine Béclère, Service de Médecine Interne, Clamart, France, 6 UVSQ, INSERM U1018, CESP, Université Paris-Saclay, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France, 7 Karolinska Institute, Solna, Sueden, 8 Inserm Unit 1296 « Radiations: Defense, Health, Environment », Lyon, France, 9 Lyon 2 Lumière University, Lyon, France, 10 AIDES (French HIV/Alds and Viral Hepatites Organization), Pantin, France, 11 Laboratoire de Recherche Communautaire, Coalition PLUS, Pantin, France, 12 Outpatient Medicine Service, University Hospital, Pointe-à-Pitre, Guadeloupe, France, 13 Internal Medicine Unit, Cochin Hospital, Paris, France, 14 Internal Medicine Unit, Le Kremlin Bicêtre Hospital, Bicêtre, France

‡ AC and MD share co-last authorship on this work. * contact@guillaumeroucoux.com

Abstract

Introduction

Clinical research has focused on risk factors and treatment for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-COV-2), particularly in people with a comorbidity including the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), but little attention has been paid to the care pathway. This article aims to show how living with HIV may have been a biopsychosocial burden or boost in care pathways for Covid-19.

Method

People living with HIV (PLHIV) from 9 clinical centers were invited to participate in this qualitative study. The sampling was purposive with a maximum variation in their sociodemographic profiles. Semi-structured interviews were conducted until data saturation, then coded for thematic analysis, using an inductive general approach.

Results

We interviewed 34 PLHIV of which 20 had SARS-COV-2 once. They were 24 males, 26 born in France; median age: 55. Twenty had a CD4 number above 500, and all were on antiretroviral therapy (ART). HIV appeared as a burden when Covid-19 symptoms reminded HIV seroconversion, fear of contamination, and triggered questions about ART **Funding:** This qualitative study has been funded as part of the COVIDHIV cohort study by the National Agency for Research on AIDS and Viral Hepatitis (ANRS | Emerging Infectious Diseases). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

effectiveness. HIV was not considered relevant when diagnosing Covid-19, caused fear of disclosure when participants sought SARS-COV-2 testing, and its care in hospitals was disrupted by the pandemic. ART-pill fatigue caused avoidance for Covid-19 treatment. As a boost, living with HIV led participants to observe symptoms, to get advice from healthcare professionals, and screening access through them. Some participants could accept the result of screening or a clinical diagnosis out of resilience. Some could consider ART or another drug prescribed by their HIV specialist help them to recover from Covid-19.

Conclusion

Living with HIV could function as a burden and/or a boost in the care pathways for Covid-19, according to patients' relationship to their HIV history, comorbidities and representation of ART. Covid-19 in PLHIV needs further qualitative study to gain a more comprehensive assessment of the pandemic's consequences on their lives and coping strategies.

Introduction

On March 11th, 2020, the World Health Organization declared severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-COV-2), the cause of Covid-19, a pandemic. In several countries, medical research had focused, at the beginning, on populations with a chronic disease, including people who are infected by the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). On July 13th, 2022 France, which has 67 million inhabitants, including 173,000 people living with HIV (PLHIV) [1], had more than 32.5 million SARS-COV-2 cases, 151,000 deaths, and 81% of its population fully vaccinated [2].

Even though systematic reviews and meta-analyses are available [3–9], the epidemiological characteristics, signs, and clinical outcomes of SARS-COV-2 in PLHIV still need to be documented. Most publications identify increased Covid-19 severity in PLHIV compared to the general population or hospitalized patients. Covid-19 symptoms in PLHIV cover a broad spectrum [10], but do not differ from those observed in the general population [11]. Because of their diversity, Covid-19 symptoms could lead general practitioners (GP) to miss diagnosis [12, 13]. Screening access differed according to sex, ethnicity, age, economic status, region, metabolic disorder as well as familiarity with the medical environment [13–20]. Some studies showed a higher risk of hospitalization for PLHIV with SARS-CoV-2 compared to people without HIV [11, 21], mostly correlated with the progression of immunodeficiency and/or the absence of viral suppression [22]. Others have contradicting results [16, 23, 24]. Antiretrovirals (ART) have sparked controversy regarding their potential protection against severe Covid-19 [8–10, 25–28]. Some physicians changed the hospitalized patients' ART [13, 29–31], and others did not initiate it to prevent an immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome [32].

Finally, research provided valuable information of Covid-19 in PLHIV' care pathways. But there is also a need to better understand the patients' lived experience of the disease, and the pandemic. A qualitative approach is well adapted to research this topic [33]. Though to-date, the study of the subjective experience of SARS-COV-2 symptoms, diagnosis, screening, and treatment in PLHIV in France and worldwide is minimal in the literature. Knowing how PLHIV experienced care pathways for Covid-19 could help situate clinical data into a more comprehensive perspective. While doing so in this article, our aim is to observe how living with HIV may have been a biopsychosocial burden or boost in care pathways for Covid-19.

Materials and methods

This qualitative study was part of the French COVIDHIV cohort: a multicenter, historico-prospective clinical study, with physiopathological characterization for Covid-19 in PLHIV; with mixed methods [34]. For the pathophysiological study, only PLHIV with proven Covid-19 were considered. For the qualitative study, all patients were considered.

Population and setting for the qualitative study

Nine clinical centers included participants in the study. Inclusion criteria were: 1) living with HIV; 2) having health insurance scheme, or state medical aid; 3) consent given via signature to collect data for research. Exclusion criteria were: 1) pregnant women; 2) adults under trustee-ship; or 3) less than 18 years old.

The sampling was purposive with maximum variation [35, 36] in socio-demographic characteristics (age, gender, country of birth, sexual orientation, and professional status). According to the French COVIDHIV cohort inclusion process, participants were divided in two groups according to their Covid-19 status: positive and negative. Recruitment was carried out by a clinical study technician and a researcher. The researcher contacted HIV specialists asking them to invite their patients to participate in the study. The researcher communicated the participant's anonymity code to the technician. The latter contacted the participant and scheduled the interview. The technician and the researcher contacted each other regularly to monitor the inclusions and the diversification of the sample. Maintaining an anonymous inclusion table made it possible to follow the socio-demographic characteristics of the sample. Women and non-French-born participants were more difficult to include, as it is usual in France due to its HIV sociodemographic barriers. Also, non-screened cases made us redefine the group of Covid-19 negative participants into "non-positive," which included negative (n = 7) and participants with "no result," who had not been tested for Covid-19 (n = 6) or had not received the results (n = 1) at the time of the interview (Fig 1). Since it had no other consequence, our sample remained purposive.

From June to September 2020, during an in-person follow-up or phone consultation, HIV specialists asked patients if they had been sick since January 2020, tested for Covid-19, and wanted to participate in the study. One lead researcher frequently monitored inclusion with a clinical research assistant who facilitated contact between researchers, physicians, and anonymous participants.

Data collection

One-to-one semi-structured interviewing deemed the most suitable approach to study the lived experience of Covid-19 care pathways. Two interview guides with similar topics were tailored for each group during a brainstorming session, due to the lack of literature and the aim to be more inductive. Since the scarcity of scientific literature at that time, guides were composed of topics by three trained researchers. The guides questioned the perception of vulnerability to Covid-19, information, symptoms, clinical diagnosis, screening, treatment, lockdown, and preventative measures, in open-ended ways. The objective was to elicit participants' social and psychological experiences for each theme. The interview guides also covered topics to facilitate comparisons between the participants' experiences of the Covid-19 and HIV infections, respectively, with regard to diagnosis, symptoms, positive status disclosure, fear of transmission, and the perception of death.

Researchers (GR, MD, FT) conducted interviews in French between June 12th and September 10th, 2020 at hospitals (n = 6) and over the phone (n = 28). Participants' verbal consent was requested once more by each interviewer and recorded at the beginning of the interview.





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0295223.g001

During interviews, they also noted in a time-frame document elements of the patient's care pathway (symptoms, clinical course, diagnosis and screening dates, treatment duration), medical consultations and significant social events that did not happen due to the pandemic. Interviews were voice-recorded with the consent of the participant. Data collection proceeded until saturation was reached–when additional interviews did not elicit new themes or categories, which was determined by consensus between the three researchers. This was the result of weekly team meetings on the inclusions and content of the interviews, and a meeting dedicated to the codebook.

Data analysis

Team members transcribed the interviews verbatim and marked audible emotional expressions such as smiling, laughter, sorrow, and doubt. The three interview-researchers independently coded the first five interviews using Word (2019) or Sonal software (v.2.1.41). They then compared results, merged or separated codes when necessary, creating a coding guide. Researchers could not measure inter-coder agreement insofar as the softwares used for coding were different and did not have that option. The main discrepancy observed was in the coding depth of the three different coders. They did not look for discrepancies in the content of the interviews, only in the composition and organization of the codebook. During the meeting dedicated to the codebook, it was decided 1) to keep the major descriptive themes in order to more easily identify the stage of the care pathway in question (symptoms, diagnosis, screening, treatments); 2) to preserve the coding finesse of the lead researcher since he was in charge of the rest of the study). Once he had coded the subsequent interviews, the lead researcher presented the final results to the research team for validation of the themes. This qualitative study adopted a general inductive approach as a framework [37], which led to thematic analysis [38].

Ethical aspects

The ethical and regulatory review boards of *le Comité de Protection des Personnes Ile de France VIII* required by the recruiting site and the study sponsor have reviewed and approved the research project (IRB ref: 20 04 06; approval 2020-A00984-35). Prior inclusion, participants were given an information and consent note. Their verbal consent was requested by physicians, and audio-recorded by researchers at the beginning of each interview. No identifying data were collected by researchers for any patient.

Results

Sociodemographic

Thirty-four individuals participated in the qualitative study. Twenty had at least one positive Covid-19 screening result before the interview, and none were still positive at the time of the interview (positive group), and 14 never had a positive Covid-19 screening result or screening experience at all before the interview (non-positive group). Twenty-four were male-including 18 men who have sex with men-and 10 were female (no participant self-identified as transgender). Their median age was 55. Twenty-six were born in France. Twenty-one lived in the Paris area and 11 in eastern France, which were the two regions that were most impacted by Covid-19 during the first wave. Their HIV diagnosis (HIV Dx) had occurred between 1985 and 2019. All were monitored for HIV and receiving ART (Table 1). Two participants were hospitalized for Covid-19.

Data collection

Interviews lasted 64 minutes on average. The interviews of positive participants were longer than the others (71 vs. 49 minutes). Data saturation was observed by the three researchers involved in interviewing and coding triangulation on the 20th interview for the positive group and the 14th for the non-positive one. Timeframes were completed for all. Even though the topic of hospitalization was very detailed in the guide and occurred rarely, researchers did not modify the interview guides, since interviews did not elicit major changes in the expected topics.

Thematic analysis

This article focuses on care pathways for Covid-19 (symptoms, clinical diagnoses, screenings, treatments), and the ways living with HIV interacted with them. Seventeen subthemes emerged from the analysis (Fig 2).

1. Symptoms. 1.1. Covid-19 and HIV symptoms were alike. A fever was one of the most common symptoms for Covid-19 reported by participants. Three participants also spontaneously spoke of having a fever while describing their HIV seroconversion. One man (\approx 55 years old [yo]; HIV Dx \approx 2010) correlated having a fever as a symptom of the two infections: "after

Table 1. Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics.

	Occurrences	Covid-19 positive participants	Covid-19 non-positive participants
N =	34	20	14
Gender			
Men	24	15	9
Women	10	5	5
Age			
< 40 years old	2	0	2
40-49 years old	11	5	6
50–59 years old	11	10	1
60–69 years old	6	5	1
70–79 years old	4	1	3
Country of birth			
France	26	15	11
Another country	8	5	3
Sub-Saharan Africa	5	3	2
South America	2	1	1
Middle East	1	1	0
Cultural background			
European	24	15	9
Sub-Saharan African	6	3	3
North African	1	0	1
Middle Eastern	1	1	0
South American	2	1	1
Region of residence			
Paris area	21	12	9
East of France	11	8	3
Mostly out of France	2	1	1
Level of education			
No education	3	1	2
Elementary school	2	2	0
Middle or high school	12	8	4
College	16	9	7
Missing data	1	0	1
Professional situation			
With a job	20	13	7
Looking for a job	4	2	2
Neither in labor force, nor retired	2	0	2
Retired	8	5	3
Marital/family status			
Single without children	21	12	9
Single with children	1	0	1
In a childless couple	9	6	3
In a couple with children	3	2	1
Time elapsed since HIV diagnosis			
< 5 years	4	2	2
5–9 years	4	3	1
10–19 years	14	8	6
20–29 years	6	3	3

(Continued)

Table 1.	(Continued)
----------	-------------

	Occurrences	Covid-19 positive participants	Covid-19 non-positive participants
30-39 years	6	4	2
Mode of HIV transmission			
Homo or bisexual	16	10	6
Heterosexual	14	8	6
Injection-drug use	2	1	1
Other	2	1	1
Latest CD4 numbers before inclusion			
< 200	2	1	1
200–499	11	6	5
≥ 500	20	12	8
Missing data	1	1	0
With antiretroviral therapy	34	20	14
Symptoms (resembling those) of Covid-19			
With	22	19	3
Without	12	1	11
Clinical diagnosis (for Covid-19)			
By the general practitioner	9	9	0
By the paramedics	4	4	0
By the HIV specialist	4	4	0
By a non-healthcare professional	2	2	0
None	15	1	14
Covid-19 screening methods			
Polymerase Chain Reaction	19	15	4
Serology	15	13	2
Chest scan	3	3	0
None	7	Non applicable	7
Hospitalizations for Covid-19	2	2	Non applicable

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0295223.t001

the [HIV] contamination I had a fever for a few days, a bit like Covid. Ultimately, both viruses are alike." A woman (\approx 70 yo; HIV Dx \approx 1990) highlighted the similarity of the two infections in the symptoms' manifestation: "we know when we have symptoms [for Covid-19], like for HIV." A man (age 60; HIV DX \approx 1985) noted that individuals could be asymptomatic with both viruses.

1.2. Covid-19 were less impactful than that of HIV. The same woman (\approx 70 yo; HIV Dx \approx 1990) also expressed that Covid-19 and HIV symptoms could differ, but in intensity: "[HIV] was catastrophic. So that [Covid-19] was really nothing to me. It was a little flu. HIV was something else. [...] Because I was always tired. I did not stop [working], I even got shingles".

1.3. Unusual Covid-19 dermatological symptoms instilled doubt about ART optimal functioning. Two Covid-19 positive participants reported having had skin issues. A woman (\approx 45 yo; HIV Dx \approx 1995) had doubt about having Covid-19 symptoms. A man (\approx 55 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2015) reported: "I had dry skin on my ankles and calves. After a few days of [topical] nontreatment, the skin scaled as if it had reacted to a sunburn. So, I don't know if it was the HIV therapy that did this. Or is it the effects of Covid?"

1.4. Living with HIV and comorbidities taught to observe Covid-19 symptoms. Two men (\approx 60 yo, HIV Dx \approx 1990; \approx 55 yo, HIV Dx \approx 2010) declared having developed the habit to observe symptoms thanks to their medical histories. As the first one put it: "with all my



Fig 2. Results of the thematic analysis.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0295223.g002

pathologies, I developed a form of observation of all my symptoms. And God knows I have some. And not only HIV."

2. Clinical diagnoses. 2.1. HIV specialists were less consulted than general practitioners. Most participants reported receiving their clinical diagnosis for Covid-19 from their general practitioner (GP) rather than their HIV specialist (HIV SP). One woman (\approx 70 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2010) consulted her GP "because [she] believed [she had] to consult them when [she had] other [health] problems [than HIV]." A man (\approx 55 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2015) thought his HIV SP was less available than the GP due to the saturation of hospital departments to care for Covid-19 cases. Four men (\approx 55 yo, HIV Dx \approx 2010; \approx 55 yo, HIV Dx \approx 2015; \approx 50 yo, HIV Dx \approx 2000; \approx 45 yo, HIV Dx \approx 2005) and one woman (\approx 60 yo; HIV Dx \approx 1995) reported that they received misdiagnosis from the GP, which retrospectively was Covid-19 to them.

2.2. Lack of Covid-19 care echoed lack of HIV care. All participants but two who sought a consultation for Covid-19 symptoms could do so without difficulty, even at the GP's practice. Two men (both \approx 55 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2015) reported not being considered as needing proper medical attention from a GP. One expressed the perception of the GP's fear of becoming

infected with SARS-COV-2 without acknowledging the participant's poor health regarding both HIV and the Covid-19 symptoms. As he narrated:

"He even had a certain attitude in relation to the [Covid-19] symptoms and then, the fact of having no documents, no more explanations [to give me], I felt left alone! [...] I had a CD4 count that was [below 200], so really, I had an immune system 'in the basement'. [...] I said to him: 'Have you seen my file? [...] the viral load [sic] is [below 200] so...' 'Well, if you have a problem you call [the emergency line]'."

The other participant encountered difficulties obtaining a medical certificate from the GP to justify sick leave due to Covid-19. Taking the initiative to meet the GP at his practice, described as "empty," the participant finally obtained the certificate. The participant thought that the GP was afraid of being infected with SARS-COV-2, because of his age and another physician died of Covid-19 in the city. Also, regarding HIV, the participant added about his GP: "He is, I would say, very quiet. I sincerely think that he has a hard time accepting this type of patient."

2.3. Long and/or hard living with HIV helped to accept Covid-19 diagnosis with resilience. A few participants reflected their life with HIV when approaching Covid-19 diagnosis. One woman (\approx 70 yo; HIV Dx \approx 1990) and one man (\approx 60 yo, HIV Dx \approx 1995) focused on the "shock" of the HIV diagnosis in comparison of Covid-19:

"When you are told that you have HIV, you immediately say to yourself 'that's it', that's the end. [...] It's obviously a shock [...] over time, HIV [...] I completely integrated it. [...] I no longer have that fear. [...] Illness or death tomorrow [...] or in fifteen years, it will happen when it will, but it's not Covid-19 that made me react by saying 'oh dear', that's not it at all. So maybe it's the fact of being infected with HIV for a very long time and going through several phases that made me integrate things." (man)

One woman (\approx 40 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2000) who was not tested for Covid-19, stated: "[HIV] was so horrible that I could take it [Covid-19]. [...] All these phases made me reasonable, [...] almost Zen." One man (\approx 50 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2010), like another man (\approx 55 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2015) who described himself as "a fighter", expressed his resilience while facing a severe Covid-19 infection:

"My reaction [to Covid-19 diagnosis], was: 'we have to fight', that's all, I was thinking about that. When I was told that it was serious, I said to myself that I still had a lot of things to do and it was not my time: 'Here you go! I survived a deadly disease [HIV], I will not be bothered by a deadly little virus now".

2.4. ART efficacy helped to accept Covid-19 diagnosis. Two women (\approx 60 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2000; \approx 60 yo; HIV Dx \approx 1995) and one man (\approx 55 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2015) relied on the ART efficacy in preserving their immune systems to face Covid-19 diagnosis: "I had heard [...] that they had experimented [SARS-COV-2 cure] with Kaletra, knowing this therapy, for me, I think it helped me [to face Covid-19]" (second woman).

3. Screenings. 3.1. Either for HIV or Covid-19, fears were barriers for screening. One man (\approx 55 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2010) expressed to not "understand why people are reluctant to go for the [Covid-19] test, but it is, for HIV, the same thing." One woman (\approx 70 yo; HIV Dx \approx 1990) evoked the fear of the syringe as a means to transmit viruses. Several participants were tested within their HIV department. The COVIDHIV study was an opportunity for a healthcare

professional who had the possibility of being tested at work to keep her HIV status confidential. Another woman (\approx 40 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2015) was afraid that her HIV status could be revealed by SARS-COV-2 serology.

3.2. Perceptions of HIV specialists' concerns about Covid-19 testing relevance hindered its access. Most GPs systematically referred to screening. But, according to two men (\approx 45 yo, HIV Dx \approx 2005; \approx 70 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2010), HIV SP did not consider screening relevant due to the absence of symptoms or a "concern." The test reliability did not convince the HIV SP of another man (\approx 60 yo; HIV Dx \approx 1990) at the very beginning of the pandemic. One man (\approx 50 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2000) thought the specialist was considering the high costs of mass screening.

3.3. HIV monitoring facilitated access to Covid-19 screening. The COVIDHIV study was also an opportunity for some participants to have their first screening test. Blood samples for monitoring HIV facilitated the Covid-19 serology: "I came: usual blood test, plus a little bit for Covid" (man; \approx 55 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2010). "Blood sampling," a short version of "blood samplings for HIV," was often used to name Covid-19 serology. Participants with this screening scheme received their results for both viruses as they usually get for HIV. Also, "we pay nothing here, and they gave us everything," added one woman (\approx 70 yo; HIV Dx \approx 1990).

3.4. Covid-19 care disrupted HIV services. One man (\approx 60 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2005) regretted the contradictory management of concomitant Covid-19 testing and HIV care. The HIV department contacted him to cancel the routine appointment three times while inviting him to get tested for Covid-19. He had to negotiate with the medical staff to get both simultaneously.

3.5. Positive Covid-19 test results were less impactful than that of HIV. Covid-19 results did "nothing" to the majority, or was a "confirmation," a "surprise," or a "relief." One man (≈ 60 yo; HIV Dx ≈ 1990) self-named a "virus bank," explained his non-negative reaction as being "used to healthcare." Another one (≈ 65 yo; HIV Dx ≈ 2005) said: "It [screening result] didn't do much to me since I'm already HIV positive." All participants reported a hard time processing their HIV screening results though. One man (≈ 60 yo, HIV Dx ≈ 2010) who was waiting for his results at the time of the interview explained that, in comparison, the Covid-19 one "is neutral information: I have it, I got it. Well, it's not like HIV, indeed if there is no treatment, well there is a treatment [for HIV], now here I am on my feet, life goes on."

3.6. Positive Covid-19 test results aroused the perception of vulnerability and fear of contaminating. Two men (in their 40s, HIV Dx \approx 2005) reported "anguish" or "panic" when diagnosed with Covid-19 due to a general lack of knowledge of how the virus functions and is transmitted. The fear of transmission echoed the one of HIV for one of them: "I was afraid of contaminating others [with SARS-COV-2], in fact. I was afraid for my mother, honestly [...] deeply". Screened Covid-19 positive months after recovery, one man in his mid-50s, diagnosed with HIV in the 2010s, expressed: "it [the serology result] reminded me once again of my HIV, that I am vulnerable to all viruses."

4. Treatments. *4.1. ART as helpers to recover from Covid-19.* The majority of participants had heard of trials using antiretroviral against Covid-19. Their reactions varied between enthusiasm, curiosity, and skepticism. One woman, in her late 50s, diagnosed with HIV in the mid-1990s, reported: "I had heard that they had experimented with [an ART], knowing this therapy, I think it helped me."

4.2. Other pharmacopeia prescribed in the context of HIV monitoring against Covid-19. A few participants mentioned being reminded by their HIV SP to get vaccinated against the seasonal flu. Two men (\approx 55 yo, HIV Dx \approx 2015; \approx 60 yo, HIV Dx \approx 2010) wondered if the flu vaccine could have helped them recover from Covid-19 or prevent the development of a severe form of the disease. One man (\approx 60 yo; HIV Dx \approx 1990) mentioned the diphtheria, tetanus and poliomyelitis vaccine in the same way. Two men (both \approx 55 yo; HIV Dx \approx 2015), one

vaccinated against the flu and the other one non-vaccinated, questioned the possibility that vitamin D, prescribed by their HIV SP, could also have had a benefit against SARS-COV-2.

4.3. Medication against Covid-19 refusal due to ART-pill burden. Two SARS-COV-2 positive participants (\approx 60 yo, HIV Dx \approx 1990; \approx 60 yo, HIV Dx \approx 1985) said having had "no treatment" for Covid-19 but consecutively reported having used ones, as if these were at first not worth noticing. The second one complained: "for Covid, I had no treatment. I had nothing, except advice to follow, [while] HIV treatments were heavy at the beginning, even now, sometimes I'm tired of drugs."

Discussion

HIV may have been a burden in the care pathways for Covid-19. Covid-19 symptoms reminded participants of HIV seroconversion (1.1), or confused people with ART malfunctioning (1.3). Some GPs did not consider it relevant when diagnosing Covid-19 (2.2), and HIV follow-up was disrupted by the pandemic (3.4). HIV caused a fear of disclosure when searching to be tested for Covid-19 (3.1), and Covid-19 revived fear of HIV contaminating (3.6). ART-pill fatigue caused Covid-19 non-treatment (4.3). On the contrary, HIV may have been a boost in the care pathways for Covid-19. Some PLHIV have learned to observe symptoms (1.2, 1.4). They could get the advice of two doctors (2.1, 3.2, 4.2), and screening access through them (3.3). Some could accept the result of screening or a clinical diagnosis out of resilience (2.3, 2.4, 3.5), and considered ART or another drug prescribed by the HIV SP help recover from Covid-19 (4.1, 4.2).

To our knowledge, the experience of Covid-19 symptoms as reminders of HIV seroconversion is little documented in the literature. However, Rhodes et al. also interviewed a participant whose Covid-19 test result was consistent with his HIV diagnosis [39]. This finding echoed HIV-related post-traumatic stress disorder from which some PLHIV may suffer, and which the symptoms of another pathology can exacerbate [40]. Symptoms and diagnoses can trigger bad memories with HIV, such as the seroconversion itself, guilt, or fear of HIV transmission. This is something to be careful about when diagnosing a comorbidity in PLHIV. HIV in PLHIV should always be considered as a biological and psychological marker, by healthcare professionals including HIV SP and GPs. During the first wave of the pandemic in France, GPs also felt stressed [41, 42], and the situation obliged them to adapt their activity to include more prevention [43]. The non-considering-HIV attitude of the two GPs reported by our participants is reminiscent of GPs' attitude towards PLHIV in the 1990s, when only one in ten GPs agreed to care for a PLHIV (without symptoms and a high CD4 count) as a unique provider [44]. It also reminds HIV-related stigmatization in healthcare settings may exist in countries with a high level of HIV knowledge and medical care, and has consequences on quality of care [45]. Moreover, like in many countries [46], HIV services have been disrupted in France. Only one participant experienced frustration on being included in the cohort without being given the opportunity to have his routine HIV visit. Most of the participants' HIV consultations have been postponed to a calmer phase or in-between two waves, or occurred online, with no ART discontinued deliveries. If the confusion of a Covid-19 symptom with ART malfunctioning did not appear in the literature, ART-pill burden resulting in a diminution of medication is documented, but for long-term medication [47]. One participant expressed ART-pill burden may also diminish short-term medication as well and medication in general. Finally, our results coincide with those of Nitpolprasert et al. about the fear expressed by PLHIV that Covid-19 screenings would disclose HIV status, and contribute to stigmatization [48]. Even if the national contexts differ, it seems important to remind PLHIV in follow-up consultation that the Covid-19 screening methods cannot show this result, and professionals

trained in screening who have access to PLHIV health information to keep it confidential. Also, PLHIV with Covid-19 feared more HIV stigmatization than Covid-19 stigmatization [49].

Living with HIV has helped some participants to care for themselves, being more attentive to any potential signs of health issues, which could be considered as a self-management strategy for coping with adverse events [50]. Also, according to Brandão et al. to have support from health professionals is one of the coping strategies used by PLHIV [51]. In France, most PLHIV are monitored by a GP for any health issues while HIV is monitored by a specialist. As shown by Linnemayr et al, connections to medical services have enabled PLHIV to be better prepared to avoid complications from Covid-19 [52], and it helped our participants to access to screening.

The development of Covid-19 screenings was both crucial in the first wave of the pandemic and the source of implementation difficulties [53]. Access to Covid-19 screening tests, at an early stage of the pandemic via the cohort study, could motivate participation in PLHIV, for personal curiosity and scientific progress. Participating in HIV research can provide PLHIV with personal and social benefits [54]. Contrary to the results of Hall et al. [55], only one participant expressed fear for her life when she learned of her positive Covid-19 result (due to her age). However, as in Hall et al.'s study, most HIV diagnoses were more impactful than that of Covid-19, due in particular to the presence of the first diagnosis potentially facilitating the possibility of being cured of the second. Moreover, as in our study, Hall et al. also encountered the opposite case, with the similar reason of the uncertainty surrounding Covid-19 as a novel disease versus the significant knowledge of HIV for those being diagnosed with HIV today. Similar to the experiences expressed by some of the participants in the present study, Gwadz et al. and Quinn et al. found that PLHIV who experienced the lethal risk of living with HIV developed resilience to the uncertainty surrounding Covid-19 [20, 56]. Also, consistent with our findings, Hall et al., building on Del Amo et al. [26], reported that knowledge of pharmacological trials of ART against Covid-19 created the feeling of protection in PLHIV. Nonetheless, our results showed that other medication prescribed by the HIV SP played that role as well.

As limitations, only two participants were hospitalized, and only one had a severe form of Covid-19. All participants had well-controlled HIV. Our criterion did not permit inclusion of participants who did not benefit from or were not entitled to health insurance or State medical aid, who are the most precarious; disability or impairment due to age. Focusing primarily on the Parisian and eastern areas, we did not interview persons living in regions less severely affected by Covid-19 during the first wave of the pandemic. Different courses may have taken place where PLHIV are more isolated than our participants, or healthcare facilities are rarer, causing difficulty in diagnosing, screening, and/or treating. We did not interview people whose HIV is solely monitored by a GP or who have no monitoring at all. The absence of common working tools and the decisions taken may constitute methodological limitations of the study. Finally, the study set at an early phase of the pandemic was an opportunity to qualitatively assess its most critical peak, but it did not allow to incorporate its evolutions (i.e. the vaccine), which would contribute to different experiences as well. The pandemic, knowledge, and treatments are still evolving and we learn from other contexts.

This is the first qualitative study in France focusing on PLHIV confronted with the Covid-19 pandemic. Similar to the conclusions made by Hall et al., the results remind us that living with HIV cannot be reduced to the fact of a "virus in a body." Our results enhance the notion that living with HIV is a biopsychosocial experience that is part of the duration and the relationship with the medical environment. As such, the supposed vulnerability of PLHIV to SARS-COV-2 can not only be restricted to biology. Furthermore, the resources of PLHIV to cope with the infection cannot be solely pharmacological or medico-technical. Further research is needed to understand how living with HIV shapes patient's agency. Also, the HIV-Covid-19 co-infection simultaneous diagnosis and care remains a line of future research.

Conclusion

Living with HIV could, from a biopsychosocial perspective, function both or either as a burden and a boost in the care pathways for Covid-19, according to patients' relationship to their HIV history and comorbidities, ART, GP and HIV specialist. Health professionals are significant contributors to this in the way they care for PLHIV. Covid-19 in PLHIV needs further qualitative research to gain a more comprehensive evaluation of the pandemic's consequences on their lives, its impacts, and their coping strategies.

The COVIDHIV scientific and executive committee

Pr Cécile Goujard, Pr Laurence Meyer, Dr Martin Duracinsky, Mrs Fatoumata Waggeh, Pr Lamiae Grimaldi, Dr Véronique Avettand Fenoël, Dr Delphine Dujardin, Dr Anne Marie Roque Afonso, Pr Christine Rouzioux, Mrs Marianne L'Henaff, Dr Evguenia Krastinova, Dr Claudine Duvivier, Dr Gilles Pialoux, Pr Karine Lacombe, Mrs Rémonie Seng, Pr Marie Préau, Pr James W. Griffith, Pr Lars E Erisksson, Mr David Michels, Mrs Albertine Pabingui.

Supporting information

S1 Checklist. COREQ guideline checklist. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, we would like to thank the participants who freely take on their spare time to engage in the somewhat uneasy process of being interviewed about one's health conditions and lifestyle, among whom the two who accepted to review the article before publication. We are also grateful to the hospitals' department managers who recruited the participants, among whom Fatoumata Waggeh (FW, Bicêtre Hospital), and Katia Bourdic (KB, Bicêtre Hospital). We acknowledge help from transcribers who did an excellent work and respected privacy on personal data: Huguette Weiner (Bicêtre Hospital), Mayalen Bossy-Ploton (Bicêtre Hospital), Pierre Alanou (URC-ECO PROQOL), Persil Fert (Les Bons Mots), Isabelle Mouret, Annette Romaru, Pascal Bordier, Oumou Maïga, Salim Anjary, Guillaume Juillat, and Aurélie Tsakou. We are also thankful to Prof. José-María Valderas-Martinez (University of Exeter) to review the first draft.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Antoine Chéret, Martin Duracinsky.

Data curation: Guillaume Roucoux.

Formal analysis: Guillaume Roucoux.

Funding acquisition: Guillaume Roucoux, Frédérique Thonon, Antoine Chéret, Martin Duracinsky.

Investigation: Guillaume Roucoux, Frédérique Thonon, Martin Duracinsky.

Methodology: Guillaume Roucoux, Frédérique Thonon, Martin Duracinsky.

Project administration: Guillaume Roucoux, Antoine Chéret, Martin Duracinsky.

Resources: David Zucman, David Rey, Sophie Abgrall, Antoine Chéret.

Software: Guillaume Roucoux.

Supervision: Antoine Chéret, Martin Duracinsky.

Validation: Frédérique Thonon, Martin Duracinsky.

Visualization: Guillaume Roucoux.

Writing - original draft: Guillaume Roucoux.

Writing – review & editing: Guillaume Roucoux, Frédérique Thonon, David Zucman, David Rey, Sophie Abgrall, Lars E. Eriksson, Marie Préau, David Michels, Antoine Chéret, Martin Duracinsky.

References

- 1. VIH/sida—Santé publique France [Internet]. [cité 13 juin 2022]. Disponible sur: https://www. santepubliquefrance.fr/maladies-et-traumatismes/infections-sexuellement-transmissibles/vih-sida
- 2. France—COVID-19 Overview—Johns Hopkins [Internet]. [cité 13 juin 2022]. Disponible sur: https:// coronavirus.jhu.edu/region/france
- Cooper TJ, Woodward BL, Alom S, Harky A. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outcomes in HIV/ AIDS patients: a systematic review. HIV Med. oct 2020; 21(9):567-77. https://doi.org/10.1111/hiv. 12911 PMID: 32671970
- Costenaro P, Minotti C, Barbieri E, Giaquinto C, Donà D. SARS-CoV-2 infection in people living with HIV: a systematic review. Rev Med Virol. janv 2021; 31(1):1-12. https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.2155 PMID: 32875716
- Lee KW, Yap SF, Ngeow YF, Lye MS. COVID-19 in People Living with HIV: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. janv 2021; 18 (7):3554. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18073554 PMID: 33808066
- Mellor MM, Bast AC, Jones NR, Roberts NW, Ordóñez-Mena JM, Reith AJM, et al. Risk of adverse coronavirus disease 2019 outcomes for people living with HIV. AIDS. 15 mars 2021; 35(4):F1-10. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000002836 PMID: 33587448
- SeyedAlinaghi S, Karimi A, MohsseniPour M, Barzegary A, Mirghaderi SP, Fakhfouri A, et al. The clinical outcomes of COVID-19 in HIV-positive patients: A systematic review of current evidence. Immun Inflamm Dis. déc 2021; 9(4):1160-85. https://doi.org/10.1002/iid3.497 PMID: 34324280
- Ssentongo P, Heilbrunn ES, Ssentongo AE, Advani S, Chinchilli VM, Nunez JJ, et al. Epidemiology and outcomes of COVID-19 in HIV-infected individuals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 18 mars 2021; 11(1):6283. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-85359-3 PMID: 33737527
- Del Amo J, Polo R, Moreno S, Jarrín I, Hernán MA. SARS-CoV-2 infection and coronavirus disease 2019 severity in persons with HIV on antiretroviral treatment. AIDS. 1 févr 2022; 36(2):161-8. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000003132 PMID: 34934017
- Kanwugu ON, Adadi P. HIV/SARS-CoV-2 coinfection: A global perspective. J Med Virol. févr 2021; 93 (2):726-32. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26321 PMID: 32692406
- Härter G, Spinner CD, Roider J, Bickel M, Krznaric I, Grunwald S, et al. COVID-19 in people living with human immunodeficiency virus: a case series of 33 patients. Infection. oct 2020; 48(5):681-6. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1007/s15010-020-01438-z</u> PMID: 32394344
- 12. Guo W, Wang M, Ming F, Tang W, Liang K. The Diagnostic Trap Occurred in Two COVID-19 Cases Combined Pneumocystis Pneumonia in Patient with AIDS. Res Sq. 10 août 2020; https://doi.org/10. 21203/rs.3.rs-53350/v1 PMID: 32818216
- Vizcarra P, Pérez-Elías MJ, Quereda C, Moreno A, Vivancos MJ, Dronda F, et al. Description of COVID-19 in HIV-infected individuals: a single-centre, prospective cohort. Lancet HIV. août 2020; 7(8): e554-64. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3018(20)30164-8 PMID: 32473657
- Algarin AB, Varas-Rodríguez E, Valdivia C, Fennie KP, Larkey L, Hu N, et al. Symptoms, Stress, and HIV-Related Care Among Older People Living with HIV During the COVID-19 Pandemic, Miami, Florida. AIDS Behav. août 2020; 24(8):2236-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-020-02869-3</u> PMID: 32303923
- Braunstein SL, Lazar R, Wahnich A, Daskalakis DC, Blackstock OJ. COVID-19 infection among people with HIV in New York City: A population-level analysis of linked surveillance data. Clin Infect Dis. 30 nov 2020;
- Etienne N, Karmochkine M, Slama L, Pavie J, Batisse D, Usubillaga R, et al. HIV infection and COVID-19: risk factors for severe disease. AIDS. 1 oct 2020; 34(12):1771-4. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD. 00000000002651 PMID: 32773476

- Meyerowitz EA, Kim AY, Ard KL, Basgoz N, Chu JT, Hurtado RM, et al. Disproportionate burden of coronavirus disease 2019 among racial minorities and those in congregate settings among a large cohort of people with HIV. AIDS. 1 oct 2020; 34(12):1781-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.</u> 00000000002607 PMID: 32604138
- Ga M, B H, A J, E L, J S, S B, et al. White Counties Stand Apart: The Primacy of Residential Segregation in COVID-19 and HIV Diagnoses. AIDS patient care and STDs [Internet]. oct 2020 [cité 19 mai 2021];34 (10). Disponible sur: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32833494/ https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2020. 0155 PMID: 32833494
- Tesoriero JM, Swain CAE, Pierce JL, Zamboni L, Wu M, Holtgrave DR, et al. Elevated COVID-19 outcomes among persons living with diagnosed HIV infection in New York State: Results from a population-level match of HIV, COVID-19, and hospitalization databases. medRxiv. 6 nov 2020;2020.11.04. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.04.20226118 PMID: 33173901.
- 20. Gwadz M, Campos S, Freeman R, Cleland CM, Wilton L, Sherpa D, et al. Black and Latino Persons Living with HIV Evidence Risk and Resilience in the Context of COVID-19: A Mixed-Methods Study of the Early Phase of the Pandemic. AIDS Behav. mai 2021; 25(5):1340-60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-021-03177-0</u> PMID: 33566212
- 21. Sigel K, Swartz T, Golden E, Paranjpe I, Somani S, Richter F, et al. Coronavirus 2019 and People Living With Human Immunodeficiency Virus: Outcomes for Hospitalized Patients in New York City. Clin Infect Dis. 31 déc 2020; 71(11):2933-8. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa880 PMID: 32594164
- 22. Tesoriero JM, Swain CAE, Pierce JL, Zamboni L, Wu M, Holtgrave DR, et al. COVID-19 Outcomes Among Persons Living With or Without Diagnosed HIV Infection in New York State. JAMA Netw Open. 1 févr 2021; 4(2):e2037069. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.37069 PMID: 33533933
- Shalev N, Scherer M, LaSota ED, Antoniou P, Yin MT, Zucker J, et al. Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes in People Living With Human Immunodeficiency Virus Hospitalized for Coronavirus Disease 2019. Clin Infect Dis. 19 nov 2020; 71(16):2294-7. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa635 PMID: 32472138
- Karmen-Tuohy S, Carlucci PM, Zervou FN, Zacharioudakis IM, Rebick G, Klein E, et al. Outcomes Among HIV-Positive Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 1 sept 2020; 85(1):6-10. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.00000000002423 PMID: 32568770
- Costanzo M, De Giglio MAR, Roviello GN. SARS-CoV-2: Recent Reports on Antiviral Therapies Based on Lopinavir/Ritonavir, Darunavir/Umifenovir, Hydroxychloroquine, Remdesivir, Favipiravir and other Drugs for the Treatment of the New Coronavirus. Curr Med Chem. 2020; 27(27):4536-41. <u>https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867327666200416131117</u> PMID: 32297571
- Del Amo J, Polo R, Moreno S, Díaz A, Martínez E, Arribas JR, et al. Incidence and Severity of COVID-19 in HIV-Positive Persons Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy: A Cohort Study. Ann Intern Med. 6 oct 2020; 173(7):536-41. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-3689 PMID: 32589451
- Martinez MA. Compounds with Therapeutic Potential against Novel Respiratory 2019 Coronavirus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 21 avr 2020; 64(5):e00399–20.
- 28. Shekhar R, Barton A, Sheikh AB, Upadhyay S, Salas NM. Coronavirus Disease of 2019 in Patients With Well-Controlled Human Immunodeficiency Virus on Antiretroviral Therapy. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 1 sept 2020; 85(1):e1-4. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.00000000002415 PMID: 32568765
- 29. Isernia V, Julia Z, Le Gac S, Bachelard A, Landman R, Lariven S, et al. SARS-COV2 infection in 30 HIV-infected patients followed-up in a French University Hospital. Int J Infect Dis. déc 2020; 101:49-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.09.1436 PMID: 32987182
- Childs K, Post FA, Norcross C, Ottaway Z, Hamlyn E, Quinn K, et al. Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19 and Human Immunodeficiency Virus: A Case Series. Clin Infect Dis. 5 nov 2020; 71 (8):2021-2. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa657 PMID: 32459833
- Blanco JL, Ambrosioni J, Garcia F, Martínez E, Soriano A, Mallolas J, et al. COVID-19 in patients with HIV: clinical case series. Lancet HIV. 2020; 7(5):e314-6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3018(20)</u> 30111-9 PMID: 32304642
- 32. Nakamoto T, Kutsuna S, Yanagawa Y, Kanda K, Okuhama A, Akiyama Y, et al. A case of SARS-CoV-2 infection in an untreated HIV patient in Tokyo, Japan. J Med Virol. janv 2021; 93(1):40-2. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26102</u> PMID: 32492188
- Grossoehme DH. Overview of qualitative research. J Health Care Chaplain. 2014; 20(3):109-22. https://doi.org/10.1080/08854726.2014.925660 PMID: 24926897
- Onwuegbuzie AJ, Leech NL. On Becoming a Pragmatic Researcher: The Importance of Combining Quantitative and Qualitative Research Methodologies. International Journal of Social Research Methodology. 1 déc 2005; 8(5):375-87.
- Marshall MN. Sampling for qualitative research. Family Practice. 1 janv 1996; 13(6):522-6. https://doi. org/10.1093/fampra/13.6.522 PMID: 9023528

- Kuper A, Lingard L, Levinson W. Critically appraising qualitative research. BMJ. 2008; 337:a1035. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.a1035 PMID: 18687726
- Thomas DR. A General Inductive Approach for Analyzing Qualitative Evaluation Data. American Journal of Evaluation. 1 juin 2006; 27(2):237-46.
- Vaismoradi M, Jones J, Turunen H, Snelgrove S. Theme development in qualitative content analysis and thematic analysis. Journal of Nursing Education and Practice. 15 janv 2016; 6(5):100.
- 39. Rhodes SD, Mann-Jackson L, Alonzo J, Garcia M, Tanner AE, Smart BD, et al. A Rapid Qualitative Assessment of the Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on a Racially/Ethnically Diverse Sample of Gay, Bisexual, and Other Men who Have Sex with Men Living with HIV in the US South. AIDS Behav. janv 2021; 25(1):58-67. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-020-03014-w PMID: 32830296
- 40. Neigh GN, Rhodes ST, Valdez A, Jovanovic T. PTSD co-morbid with HIV: Separate but equal, or two parts of a whole? Neurobiol Dis. août 2016; 92(Pt B):116-23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2015.11.012 PMID: 26592355
- Dutour M, Kirchhoff A, Janssen C, Meleze S, Chevalier H, Levy-Amon S, et al. Family medicine practitioners' stress during the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional survey. BMC Fam Pract. 14 févr 2021; 22(1):36. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-021-01382-3 PMID: 33583410
- Impact on mental health of the COVID-19 outbreak among general practitioners during the sanitary lockdown period—PubMed [Internet]. [cité 14 juin 2022]. Disponible sur: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/33660115/
- 43. Saint-Lary O, Gautier S, Le Breton J, Gilberg S, Frappé P, Schuers M, et al. How GPs adapted their practices and organisations at the beginning of COVID-19 outbreak: a French national observational survey. BMJ Open. 2 déc 2020; 10(12):e042119. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-042119 PMID: 33268433
- Obadia Y, Souville M, Moatti JP, Morin M, Gastaut JA. [Attitudes and experience of French general practitioners towards HIV infection. National survey in 1996]. Presse Med. 31 mai 1997; 26(18):860-6.
- **45.** Geter A, Herron AR, Sutton MY. HIV-Related Stigma by Healthcare Providers in the United States: A Systematic Review. AIDS Patient Care STDS. oct 2018; 32(10):418-24.
- 46. Kowalska JD, Skrzat-Klapaczyńska A, Bursa D, Balayan T, Begovac J, Chkhartishvili N, et al. HIV care in times of the COVID-19 crisis—Where are we now in Central and Eastern Europe? Int J Infect Dis. juill 2020; 96:311-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.05.013 PMID: 32413608
- Claborn KR, Meier E, Miller MB, Leffingwell TR. A systematic review of treatment fatigue among HIVinfected patients prescribed antiretroviral therapy. Psychol Health Med. 2015; 20(3):255-65. https://doi. org/10.1080/13548506.2014.945601 PMID: 25110152
- 48. Nitpolprasert C, Anand T, Phanuphak N, Reiss P, Ananworanich J, Peay HL. A qualitative study of the impact of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) on psychological and financial wellbeing and engagement in care among men who have sex with men living with HIV in Thailand. HIV Med. 11 oct 2021; <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/hiv.13190 PMID: 34634181</u>
- 49. Reinius M, Svedhem V, Bruchfeld J, Larm HH, Nygren-Bonnier M, Eriksson LE. COVID-19-related stigma among infected people in Sweden; psychometric properties and levels of stigma in two cohorts as measured by a COVID-19 stigma scale. PLOS ONE. 21 juin 2023; 18(6):e0287341. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0287341 PMID: 37343027
- Iribarren S, Siegel K, Hirshfield S, Olender S, Voss J, Krongold J, et al. Self-Management Strategies for Coping with Adverse Symptoms in Persons Living with HIV with HIV Associated Non-AIDS Conditions. AIDS Behav. janv 2018; 22(1):297-307. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-017-1786-6 PMID: 28488165
- Brandão BMG de M, Angelim RC de M, Marques SC, Oliveira RC de, Abrão FM da SLiving with HIV: coping strategies of seropositive older adults. Rev Esc Enferm USP. 2020; 54:e03576. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1590/s1980-220x2018027603576 PMID: 32667387</u>
- 52. Linnemayr S, Jennings Myo-Wilson L, Saya U, Wagner Z, MacCarthy S, Walukaga S, et al. HIV Care Experiences During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Mixed-Methods Telephone Interviews with Clinic-Enrolled HIV-Infected Adults in Uganda [Internet]. 2021 [cité 13 févr 2022]. Disponible sur: https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7486807/ https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-020-03032-8 PMID: 32918641
- Vandenberg O, Martiny D, Rochas O, van Belkum A, Kozlakidis Z. Considerations for diagnostic COVID-19 tests. Nat Rev Microbiol. mars 2021; 19(3):171-83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-00461-z</u> PMID: 33057203
- 54. Dhalla S, Poole G. Motivators to participation in medical trials: the application of social and personal categorization. Psychol Health Med. 2013; 18(6):664-75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/13548506.2013.764604</u> PMID: 23360313

- 55. Hall A, Joseph O, Devlin S, Kerman J, Schmitt J, Ridgway JP, et al. « That same stigma...that same hatred and negativity: » a qualitative study to understand stigma and medical mistrust experienced by people living with HIV diagnosed with COVID-19. | BMC Infect Dis; 21(1): 1066, 2021 Oct 14. | MED-LINE [Internet]. 2022 [cité 13 févr 2022]. Disponible sur: https://search.bvsalud.org/global-literature-on-novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov/resource/fr/covidwho-1468049 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-021-06693-5 PMID: 34649501
- 56. Quinn KG, Walsh JL, John SA, Nyitray AG. « I Feel Almost as Though I've Lived This Before »: Insights from Sexual and Gender Minority Men on Coping with COVID-19. AIDS Behav. janv 2021; 25(1):1-8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-020-03036-4 PMID: 32918640