



## City Research Online

### City, University of London Institutional Repository

---

**Citation:** Bawden, D. (2010). Bush, Goldberg, Memex and the revision of history. *Journal of Documentation*, 66(4), pp. 473-474. doi: 10.1108/jd.2010.27866daa.001

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

---

**Permanent repository link:** <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/11942/>

**Link to published version:** <https://doi.org/10.1108/jd.2010.27866daa.001>

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

## **Bush, Goldberg, Memex and the revision of history**

Vannevar Bush gets a mixed press these days. Once he was hailed as a 'father of information science' (see, for example Lilley and Rice 1989) - some called him our 'Godfather' – on the basis of his 1945 *Atlantic Monthly* vision of Memex (Bush 1945). This was, and in some quarters still is, hailed as a forerunner of the personal computer, the web, hypertext, and modern information retrieval in general.

Revisionist authors have looked closely at this legend in more recent years (Smith 1991, Buckland 1992, Veith 2006, Houston and Harmon 2007). They tell us that his article was actually written in 1939, but not published until several years later. They remind us that it wasn't about libraries and public collections at all – Bush said they were another story – but about personal collections, organised by their owner and creator according to their personal whims. The most Bush would allow was the idea of a Memex encyclopaedia or professional collection, again organised by an individual. His distaste for traditional classification and indexing is then seen into a different context; indeed, there is no need to bother with these, if you are avoiding the main purpose for which they are created. And they remind us that Bush thought in terms of microforms, even when others were considering digital computing.

We are told that Bush wasn't even that farsighted. Michael Buckland suggests that authors such as Paul Otlet, a documentalist, and Walter Schürmeyer, a librarian, had ideas about information retrieval that were more forward looking, and presented much earlier. That the, undoubtedly great, influence of his Memex article was due more to his perceived political, professional and social position than to any intrinsic merits. And that many of his supposedly novel ideas were, in fact, due to others.

Michael Buckland (2006), in a book written a few years ago, but which was rather slow reaching us for review, has developed in detail one of these themes; that many of Bush's ideas were previously developed by Emanuel Goldberg (1881-1970), a German/Israeli engineer and photographic scientist. In particular, the Rapid Selector device, development of which leant Bush much of his scientific authority, was by preceded by Goldberg's Statistical Machine.

Buckland traces in detail, and with meticulous referencing, the life of Goldberg, whose influence of the development of mechanised documentation in the had largely been overlooked. Goldberg's personal and professional life - from his birth in Tsarist Russia, his training as a chemist and later a photographic scientist in Germany, his leading role in the Zeiss Ikon company, and his later career in Israeli after his expulsion from Germany by the Nazis - reflects much of the history of twentieth century Europe.

Goldberg first developed a practical photographic 'microdot' process, for storing large amounts of information in miniature, and cameras to create such images. An enthusiastic commentator in 1926 suggested that this would allow someone to carry a library of a thousand books in their pocket. Another application is, of course, espionage. J Edgar Hoover, director of the FBI wrote an article on such usage in 1946, but replacing Goldberg's name as creator by that of "the famous Professor Zapp .. of Dresden"; Buckland tells us that to this day Professor Zapp is still cited as the originator of microforms. Later commentators suggested the use of Goldberg's storage devices in desktop libraries, very similar to Bush's ideas of the Memex. Goldberg then went on to develop a way of easily retrieving material from a collection of microforms, the Statistical Machine. This, Buckland shows, was certainly known to Bush and his colleagues. They might have been excused for overlooking it initially, when they developed their Rapid Selector; part from its name, the associated patent specification spoke of its use for 'adding, sorting and other statistical operations', rather than anything to do with the retrieval of information. Nonetheless, know of it they did. Indeed, explicit credit was given to Goldberg by Bush's associate, Ralph Shaw, director of the US National Library of Agriculture, in a 1949 *Journal of Documentation* article describing their own device. Nonetheless, Bush and Memex were names that stuck in the popular consciousness. Buckland reminds us that Robert Fairthorne was alone, until recently, in giving credit to Goldberg for first envisaging a 'Memex-like' machine.

So what does this tell us? Perhaps that we need a period of reflection to see what it is that seers and futurologists are really saying: Veith tells us that Bush was, in a way, prophesying the iPod and personal information management, rather than digital libraries and the web. Perhaps that we need inspirational writers – and Bush was certainly that - even if they don't get all their sources correct. Certainly that the detailed history of our subject, especially when set out in admirable books like Buckland's, is both fascinating and essential

*David Bawden*

## **References**

Buckland, M.K. (1992), Emanuel Goldberg, electronic document management and Vannevar Bush's Memex, *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 43(4), 284-294

Buckland, M.K. (2006) *Emanuel Goldberg and his knowledge machine: information, invention and political forces*, Westport CT: Libraries Unlimited

Bush, V. (1945), As we may think, *Atlantic Monthly*, 176, 101-108

Houston, R.D. and Harmon, G. (2007), Vannevar Bush and Memex, *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, 41, 55-92

Lilley, D.B. and Rice, R.W. (1989), *A history of information science 1945-1985*, San Diego CA: Academic Press

Shaw, R. (1949), The Rapid Selector, *Journal of Documentation*, 5(3), 164-171

Smith, L.C. (1991), Memex as an image of potentiality revisited, in *From Memex to hypertext: Vannevar Bush and the mind's mechanism*, Nyce, J.M. and Kahn, P. (eds.), Boston: Academic Press

Veith, R.H. (2006), Memex at 60: Internet or iPod?, *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 57(9), 1233-1242