EDITORIAL

We are particularly excited about this Special Issue of OPO in that this is the first Feature Edition specifically dedicated to glaucoma. Clinical translation in research involves turning discoveries into health and each of us, as Associate Editors, work in countries where a significant change of direction has been observed in recent years, with much more of a focus on developing measurable improvements in patient’s health. Examples of the large numbers of projects and investment related to glaucoma include that the National Institute for Health Research, the research arm of the National Health Service, where there are currently (January 2015) 161 active Ophthalmology studies, 23 (14%) of which are related to glaucoma, while in the United States of America, the National Eye Institute lists 447 projects relating to glaucoma with a total funding of $172 million.

The original articles in this issue cover the full spectrum from laboratory to clinical research that includes information on community and hospital-based glaucoma care. Basic research articles include a number of ‘firsts’. Of the laboratory research, two studies relate to ocular blood flow and function. O’Connell et al elevated the IOP of young human healthy volunteers to about 30 mmHg to test the autoregulatory response in terms of blood flow but also retinal function. Raising the IOP to this level did not decrease retinal blood flow, suggesting that this IOP was within the limits of autoregulation. However, the rise in IOP did reduce venous oxygen saturation and altered retinal function (reduced pattern electroretinogram), suggesting that the neurons are indeed stressed by mild IOP elevation.

Using a diabetic rat model, Wong et al report that chronic IOP elevation further reduces the capacity of diabetic eyes to autoregulate ocular blood flow against IOP elevation. Relating to blood flow but within the clinical setting, Kuerten et al reported a significant and sustained increase in retrobubar blood flow velocity after trabeculectomy in 30 primary open angle glaucoma patients, where IOP had dropped by approx. 60% compared to pre-surgery values. For readers interested in blood flow, this study’s discussion offers a very comprehensive review of the literature and interactions between measures of blood flow, autoregulation and perfusion pressure.

Among glaucoma patients, Pescosolido et al performed a small study on patients on maximally tolerated medical therapy for POAG by treating with a melatonin agonist, agomelatine orally, as part of their routine psychiatric treatment for mood disorders. This is the first time this has been used in humans with glaucoma despite good evidence for its use
in animal models. A 30% decrease in IOP from the enrolment pressure up to a month after treatment was observed and these findings may prompt further development of agomelatine for topical application.

Chong et al present an interesting study involving targeted spatial sampling (GOANNA) to detect visual field progression. This method tests more spatial locations (3 degree intervals rather than 6) than the standard 24-2 grid but with the same test time, and exhibited more accurate classification of progressing visual fields compared with conventional ZEST (Zippy Estimation by Sequential Testing), especially in early stages of progression. The spatial interdependency of false responses is an interesting factor to consider when developing next generation procedures like GOANNA, where locations are chosen autonomously during the test.

Stepping into the clinic, Campbell et al report on the agreement between various methods of anterior chamber angle assessment by comparing intra-observer agreement van Herick, Ocular Coherence Tomograph and gonioscopy. Despite being the 'gold standard', gonioscopy fared poorly in terms of repeatability. The van Herick method was shown to have good sensitivity and specificity for detection of occludable angles and the particular OCT device they evaluated had poor sensitivity yet high specificity. Jindal et al focus specifically on the van Herick technique and report inter-observer agreement between optometrists and ophthalmologists concluding that the augmented 7-point % grading scale is intuitive and potentially offers greater accuracy for grading narrow angles than the traditional 4-point scale for grading limbal anterior chamber depth.

Nowomiejska et al challenge us to think ‘outside the box’ whether that box be a 24 or 30 degree visual field! They present a fascinating study involving semi-automated kinetic perimetry that provides additional information to static automated perimetry in the assessment of the remaining visual field in end-stage glaucoma. Two further studies shine a light on the burden of glaucoma in different age groups affected by glaucoma. Gupta et al report a 10% lifetime risk of perimetric blindness in juvenile open-angle glaucoma patients aged 10-40 years. This subgroup of our glaucoma population presents important challenges to us, such as the tendency to present with higher intraocular pressures and the impact of the disease on the social and economic productivity of this age group. Boodhna et al note that in predominantly adult-onset glaucoma, severity of vision loss at the point of glaucoma detection is improving over time in England. Taking a gigantic dataset of visual fields (almost half a million VF from almost 100,000 patients!), average visual field loss became less severe over 13 year follow-up period. The study emphasises the fact that many patients with
glaucoma continue to present to eyecare specialists when disease is at an advanced stage (a fifth had advanced visual field loss in at least one eye). The authors recommend that incentivising the use of available visual field technology and using it more appropriately in primary care is more important than chasing ‘preperimetric’ glaucoma with newer technologies.

To conclude, we hope the readership will be inspired by the breadth of articles in this special Feature Edition which demonstrate the drive to better understand the causes and effects of glaucoma. These reports demonstrate that the detection and treatment of glaucoma, the World’s leading cause of irreversible blindness, is improving and we hope that this issue will continue and accelerate this improvement.
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