

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Eriksson, L. E., Fritzell, K., Rixon, L., Björk, J. & Wettergren, L. (2015). The role of illness perceptions in adherence to surveillance in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP). Psycho-Oncology, 25(6), pp. 699-706. doi: 10.1002/pon.3997

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/12612/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.3997

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.
 City Research Online:
 http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/
 publications@city.ac.uk



The role of illness perceptions in adherence to surveillance and quality of life in patients with Familial Adenomatous Polyposis

Journal:	Psycho-Oncology
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Eriksson, Lars E; Karolinska Institutet, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Division of Nursing Fritzell, Kaisa; Karolinska Institutet, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Division of Nursing Rixon, Lorna; City University London, Health Services Research Björk, Jan; Karolinska Institutet, Department of Medicine Wettergren, Lena; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society
Keywords:	Illness perceptions, FAP (Familial Adenomatous Polyposis), genetic-risk, surveillance, adherence, cancer-registry



Psycho-Oncology

Title: The role of illness perceptions in adherence to surveillance and quality of life in patients with Familial Adenomatous Polyposis (FAP) Short title: Illness perceptions, adherence to surveillance and quality of life in FAP Authors: Lars E. Eriksson^{1,2,3}, Kaisa Fritzell^{1,4}, Lorna Rixon³, Jan Björk^{4,5}, Lena Wettergren¹ 1 Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden

2 Department of Infectious Diseases, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

3 School of Health Sciences, City University London, United Kingdom

4 The Swedish Polyposis Registry, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

5 Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

Correspondence: Dr Lars E. Eriksson, RN, MSc, PhD, Docent, Division of Nursing, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet, 23300, SE-141 83 Huddinge, Sweden; e-mail: lars.eriksson@ki.se; phone: +46 8 524 800 00

Abstract

Objective

To examine patients' beliefs about their Familial Adenomatous Polyposis (FAP), and their sociodemographic, genetic and clinical characteristic in relation to adherence to endoscopic surveillance and health-related quality of life (HRQoL).

Methods

Adult patients on the national Swedish polyposis registry diagnosed with FAP who had undergone prophylactic colorectal surgery (N=209, 76%) and agreed to participate completed the Illness Perceptions Questionnaire and SF-36 HRQoL.

Results

Non-adherence (14%) to surveillance was associated with being older, having less recently undergone surgery and no previous history of malignancy. Patients' beliefs about their FAP were able to explain unique variance in non-adherence, in particular those who believed FAP was less distressing and serious (X^2 =48.48, P=0.01, R²=0.381). Men were more likely to view FAP as less distressing (r= -.215' p<0.01) and serious (r= -.231' p<0.01). Those with family members with FAP, and more positive perceptions of FAP, viewed FAP as less serious (r = -.218, p<0.01) compared to those without experience of family members with FAP. HRQoL was no worse in those who had attended surveillance, but poorer physical HRQoL was associated with older age, testing positive for genetic mutations, and more negative illness perceptions, in particular, a greater illness identity, serious FAP consequences and poorer treatment control (R²=0.319, F=6.723, p<0.001). Mental HRQoL was worse in younger patients, without experience of a

family member with FAP, and more negative, or unrealistic perceptions of FAP (R^2 =.319,

F=6.723, p<.001).

Conclusions

Despite the greater risk of future malignancies, increased complacency was associated with more positive views about FAP.

Key words: Illness perceptions, FAP (Familial Adenomatous Polyposis), genetic-risk,

surveillance, adherence, cancer-registry

Background

Patients with the hereditary colorectal cancer syndrome Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) [1] are confronted with several symptoms associated with constraints in physical and mental health [2, 3]. FAP requires prophylactic removal of the colon, followed by life-long endoscopic surveillance. The removal of the colon (ileorectal anastomosis [IRA]) or the colon and rectum (ileal pouch-anal anastomosis [IPAA] or ileostomy) is recommended for patients between the ages of 18 and 20 years [4, 5]. Without prophylactic surgery and life-long endoscopic surveillance, FAP is associated with a 100% risk of developing colorectal cancer [1]. Consequently, prophylactic colorectal surgery and continued adherence to endoscopic surveillance are vital for survival [2, 6]. Non-adherence to endoscopic surveillance in those with FAP has been reported to range anywhere from 14% [7] to 46% [6]. Traditionally, care for patients with FAP has focused on cancer prevention i.e. endoscopic examination with removal any polyps [4]. Recent research taking patient's experiences into account has shown that living with FAP includes a sense of a constant threat to health [3] and that the disease influences people's quality of life in several ways, independent of age and time since diagnosis [2, 3, 8]. Specific concerns that have been pointed out by patients are changed food and toilet habits and burdensome abdominal symptoms that occur irregularly [3, 8] as well as the burden of commitment to lifelong endoscopic surveillance [3]. However, there are still individual differences in patient's quality of life and unexplained variance in adherence that surgical procedures and symptoms alone cannot fully explain.

Meyer et al.'s [9] model of self-regulation of illness representation provides an explanation for the variation in how an illness may be perceived and responded to by the patient [10]. The model organises illness representation around the following dimensions: identity (symptoms associated with the illness), timeline (beliefs about the duration of the illness), cyclical timeline (beliefs about

Psycho-Oncology

the cyclical nature of the symptoms), consequences (beliefs about the negative impact of the illness on life), control (beliefs about the controllability of the illness), treatment control (beliefs about the effectiveness of treatment), illness coherence (a coherent understanding of the illness), the emotional representations (the extent to which the illness is emotional) and cause (perceived causes of the illness). The model posits how a patient's emotions and cognitions are related to perceived health threats, such as symptoms [9, 11, 12], and guides actions (e.g., adherence or non-adherence to treatment) to minimise these perceived threats [13, 14]. It is well documented that a negative illness perception, such as less understanding of an illness, viewing the illness as serious, and attributing negative consequences to the illness, is related to distress and poorer HRQoL in patients with a wide range of illnesses [10, 15-18].

There is increasing evidence of the utility of the self-regulation model in the context of precancerous and increased genetic familial risk of malignancy [16-19]. Women with increased genetic risk of ovarian cancer who have reported more negative illness perceptions of ovarian cancer risk were also found to be at greater risk of suffering with ovarian cancer-specific disease [17] and women with greater familial risk of breast cancer perceived cancer as more serious, worried more and exhibited more maladaptive copying strategies [16]. Illness perceptions and in particular beliefs that treatment is effective, have also proved useful in discriminating women with abnormal cervical screening tests who do not complete recommended treatment (10% of their sample) compared to those that did complete treatment [19]. Moreover, the self-regulation model has proved a useful framework to develop interventions to improve patient outcomes following a brief cognitive intervention [20, 21].

This study set out to examine patients' beliefs about their FAP and to investigate the extent to which these beliefs are associated with their adherence to surveillance and quality of life, over and above their socio-demographic background, genetic and clinical characteristics.

Method

Sample and Design

The study employed a cross-sectional design. All patients in the national Swedish polyposis registry diagnosed with FAP who had undergone prophylactic colorectal surgery and were aged 18–75 years (N=276) were considered eligible and invited to participate; one patient did not speak Swedish and was therefore excluded. Of the remaining 275 patients, 209 (76%) consented to participate.

Instruments

Illness perception was assessed with the Revised Illness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ-R)[22]. The questionnaire includes nine components: *Timeline-chronic* (6 items; e.g., "My condition is likely to be permanent rather than temporary"); *Timeline-cyclical* (4 items; e.g., "My symptoms come and go in cycles"); *Consequences* (6 items; e.g., "My condition has major consequences on my life"); *Personal control* (6 items; e.g., "There is a lot which I can do to control my symptoms"); *Treatment control* (5 items; e.g., "My treatment is effective in curing my illness"); *Emotional representation* (6 items; e.g., "Having this illness makes me feel anxious"); *Illness coherence* (5 items; e.g., "I have a clear picture or understanding of my condition"); *Causes* (18 items; e.g., hereditary, chance or bad luck, overwork), and identity (17 symptoms; e.g., stomach pain, weight loss, fatigue). The respondents are asked to indicate their level of agreement with the posed statements on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 ("strongly disagree") to 5 ("strongly agree"). Higher scores on the timeline-chronic and timeline-cyclical components represent endorsing beliefs that the condition is

Page 7 of 22

Psycho-Oncology

lasting or cyclical rather than acute or stable in nature. Higher scores on the consequences and emotional representation components represent endorsing beliefs that the condition will have a negative impact on life and more negative emotional responses to the illness, whereas higher scores on the personal control, treatment control, and illness coherence components represent a positive belief about personal control, the effectiveness of treatment and a greater perceived understanding of the illness. Finally, the identity component is measured by 17 stated symptoms that the patients endorse as being induced by the disease. On the recommendation of the developers of the IPO-R, the illness identity component should include illness-specific symptoms, in addition to more general symptoms (e.g., fatigue and sleeping difficulties). Higher scores on the identity component reflect a higher number of symptoms attributed to the illness. The Swedish version of the IPQ-R has shown good internal consistency and test-retest reliability [23]. In the present study, Cronbach's α coefficients for the IPQ-R components were all above 0.70, except treatment control $\alpha = 0.622$, although all item-total correlations were above 0.3. In addition, the item 'My disease is very unpredictable' was dropped from cyclical timeline in order to achieve an $\alpha > 0.7$, as it did not seem to correlate with other items on the cyclical timeline sub-scale.

The SF-36 was used to measure HRQoL [24, 25]. The physical component summary score and mental component summary scores summaries: physical functioning, role physical (role limitations due to physical health), bodily pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, role emotional (role limitations due to mental/emotional health), and mental health [24]. Greater weights are applied to physical functioning, role functioning, bodily pain and general health for the physical component summary score and the remaining domains to the mental component summary score. Higher scores represent better HRQoL.

Procedure

A letter was sent to those patients in the Swedish Polyposis Registry who fulfilled the inclusion criteria. The letter included information about the study and contained a package of questionnaires. The letter emphasised that participation was voluntary and that nonparticipation or withdrawal of consent would not affect a patient's care or treatment. The patients who subsequently agreed to participate were asked to complete the set of questionnaires and to return them in an enclosed prepaid envelope. Patients who did not return the questionnaires were sent a reminder after three weeks. All the returned questionnaires were checked for missing data, and the participants were contacted by phone for completion when necessary. Ethical approval was granted by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Stockholm.

Demographic (e.g. age and gender) and additional clinical characteristics (diagnosis, age at diagnosis, time since surgery, age at surgery, type of surgery, FAP in the family, and adherence to endoscopic surveillance) were obtained from the Swedish Polyposis Registry. Patients were considered non-adherent if they had not undergone endoscopic examinations (lower or upper gastrointestinal tract) within one year after recommended intervals. Participants with a family history of FAP (having FAP within the family) were registered as "FAP in the family".

Data analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows version 22.0 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics were computed for scales and standardised questions. Pearson's Correlations were used for continuous level measures and point-biseral correlations were used for nominal level data. Hierarchical logistic and linear regression analyses were computed to regress socio-demographic and clinical factors, and the

components of the IPQ-R onto adherence and quality of life: (i) socio-demographic factors such as age, sex and educational attainment (ii) FAP in the family and genetic status (iii) the time since diagnosis and the time since last colorectal surgery and (iv) illness perceptions were force entered into the model in sequential steps. Assumption testing was through examining: (i) standardised residuals (required to be <3.00), (ii) leverage (<1.00), (iii) Cook's distance (<1.00), and (iv) variable inflation factors (co-linearity <10). The models were evaluated by a significance level of p<0.05), R^2 and in the logistic regression, the percentage of cases correctly classified.

Results

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample are presented in Table 1. In total, 209 (76%) participants (116 women) who had undergone prophylactic colorectal surgery, representing 111 of 135 Swedish families with FAP, were enrolled in the study (Table 1). No statistically significant differences in demographic and clinical characteristics were found between the participants and nonparticipants or between participating men and women (data not shown). The removal of the colon or colo-rectum had been performed in all but three participants who had undergone segmental resection of the colon. Sixty-three percent of the participants had undergone one colorectal procedure, 32% two colorectal procedures, and 5% three or more colorectal procedures. At the time of the study, 34% had undergone an IRA, 39% an IPAA, 19% an ileostomy, and 7% a continent ileostomy. Furthermore, FAP-related cancer had been diagnosed in 24% of the participants.

Table 1 about here

Illness perceptions

The means and SDs of the IPQ-R components, and their inter-relationships are presented in Table 2. Overall, patients perceived FAP to be chronic and that they had a coherent understanding of their condition. A stronger illness identity related to a perception of a more chronic timeline (r =0.301, p < 0.001) and a greater understanding of FAP was associated with having family members with FAP (r = 0.264, p < 0.001), more stable disease (r = -0.203, p = 0.003) and less serious consequences (r = -0.343, p < 0.001). Lower mean value was found for the component timeline cyclical (lower scores indicate that the condition is not believed to be cyclical in nature). Cyclical timeline was lowest in men (r = -0.168, p = 0.015) and those with higher educational attainment (r = 0.159, p = 0.021), and strongly associated with greater illness identity (r = 0.560, p < 0.001). There was also a fairly low perception of personal control and this was associated with being more highly educated (r = 0.179, p = 0.009) and perceiving FAP to have a shorter duration (r=-0.232, p < 0.001). Overall, patients did not agree or disagree that FAP was a serious condition, but they were less likely to see it as serious if they were male (r = -0.231, p < 0.001), or had a family member with FAP (r = -0.281, p < 0.001) and more likely to perceive FAP as with serious consequences if they identified more symptoms as FAP related (r =0.553, p < 0.001), thought it was a chronic (r = 0.283, p < 0.001) and cyclical condition (r = 0.326, p < 0.001) 0.001). On average patients did not agree that FAP was controlled by their treatment. Older age (r = -0.235, p < 0.001), the longer they had been diagnosed with FAP (r = -0.258, p < 0.001) and lower sense of personal control was associated with having poorer treatment control. Emotional responses to FAP were higher in women (r = -0.215, p < 0.02), those that perceived it as chronic (r =0.190, p < 0.06), less stable (r =0.325, p < 0.001), associated with more symptoms (r =0.430, p < 0.001) and especially if FAP had more serious consequences (r = 0.621, p < 0.001). For the component causes, 92% of the patients believed that "heredity" was the reason for their disease. This explains the relatively low levels of psychological, immunity, risk factor and chance causal

attributions. Patients agreeing with these aforementioned causal attributions had poorer understanding of their FAP and were less likely to have the experience of having a family member with FAP. They also exhibited more serious consequences, emotional responses and unstable disease, moreover they were more likely to view FAP as a more acute condition (all other relationships were not significant at p<0.05, see table 2).

Table 2 about here

Factors associated with non-adherence to surveillance

In Table 3 are the results of the logistic regression. Although older age approached significance in the first step, socio-demographic factors were not able to explain adherence to surveillance ($X^2 = 8.33$, p = 0.80). Genetic factors were also non-significant ($X^2 = 9.77$, p = 0.135). Although by step 3, a positive diagnosis for malignancy and a greater duration of time since diagnosis and last surgery were showing collectively a greater tendency to attend recommended surveillance ($X^2 = 31.58$, p = 0.05, Nagelkerke R² = 0.258, 86.5% were classified correctly). However, after controlling for all these factors perceptions that FAP is a serious condition (Exp(β) =2.226, p=0.039, 95% CI 1.040-4.762) and those with more emotional distress (Exp(β) -0.424, p = 0.024, 95% CI 0.202- 0.893) about FAP were significantly more adherent to surveillance. Quality of life was not associated with adherence ($X^2 = 0.398$, p = 0.310, Nagelkerke R² = 0.381, 86.5% correctly classified), although quality of life was marginally lower in those who were attending endoscopic surveillance.

Table 3 here

Factors associated with poorer physical quality of life were older age ($\beta = -0.273$, p< 0.001), and testing positive for the APC or MYH on a genetic test ($\beta = -0.154$, p< 0.001). Receiving specialist

care, duration of FAP, recovery time from last surgery and malignancy were not associated with physical health status, when controlling for socio-demographic and genetic factors. Illness perceptions however, significantly improved the model ($R^2=0.398$, R^2 change = 0.310, F=8.719, p<0.001), specifically having a greater number of FAP symptoms identified as being part of their FAP (β = -0.335, p< 0.001), more serious consequences (β = -0.375, p< 0.001) and poorer treatment control (β =- 0.145, p< 0.028) were most associated with reduced physical health status ($R^2=0.398$, R^2 change = 0.310, F=8.719, p<0.001).

Poorer mental wellbeing was associated with younger age ($\beta = 0.253$, p< 0.001), not having a family member with FAP ($\beta = 0.204$, p< 0.03), Clinical factors were not associated. However, illness perceptions were also associated with patients' wellbeing (R²=0.319, R² change = 0.271, F=6.723, p<0.001). Poorer mental wellbeing was associated with more symptoms associated with FAP (β = -0.315, p< 0.001), more serious consequences (β = -0.180, p< 0.049), emotion associated with FAP (β = -0.169, p< 0.035), thinking of FAP as more of an acute problem (β = 163, p< 0.026) and attributing the cause of FAP to psychological factors rather than genetic (β = -0.218, p< 0.033).

Table 4 here

Discussion

The prevalence of non –adherence to endoscopic surveillance was 14% in 76% of the Swedish population who have previously undergone prophylactic surgery. It is a very important issue as non-adherent patients have a substantially increased risk of developing future malignancies. The results

Psycho-Oncology

suggest that cancer prevention from an adherence to surveillance perspective is related to patients' beliefs about their FAP. It is possible that those who perceive FAP to be less serious and less emotional were at greater risk of not attending surveillance. Those who held beliefs that FAP was less serious and emotional were male and who had a family member with FAP, this could be due to lower symptom perception in men, or increased complacency due to increased exposure to FAP. Alternatively, it is possible that that those who had recently undergone endoscopic surveillance (i.e. those adherent) suffered with greater distress associated with FAP and been more recently reminded about the seriousness of FAP. Those who avoided the surveillance may have more positive perceptions and avoided an unpleasant endoscopic procedure in the short term, but with the cost of being at greater risk of future morbidity and mortality (Vasen 2008). A tentative explanation of the result could be that non-adherent patients lack knowledge about the risk of the disease's progress and that the treatment guidelines recommend surveillance throughout life in patients with FAP.^{12.}

HRQoL was not associated with attendance at surveillance, instead emotion specific to FAP was associated with adherence. However, there were individual differences in living with FAP and those participants at greater risk have different socio-demographic backgrounds, genetic risk factors, and clinical histories, but out of all these factors, illness perceptions were able to explain unique variance in patient physical and mental quality of life.

Previous research has also indicated that non-adherence to surveillance is a significant problem in patient with FAP [6]. Kinney and colleagues found that 46% of their participants were nonadherence to recommended surveillance protocols. Their higher rates of non-adherence compared to the present study may partly be due to lack of health insurance for CRC surveillance in the US. However, consistently with the Swedish sample, patients' beliefs about the condition were important

in their decisions about whether to attend surveillance or not. Whereas previous studies have found that non- adherence to surveillance is associated with patients who believe there is no increase in relative risk associated with developing cancer [6] and beliefs that the treatment will not be effective at curing or controlling the condition [19], the present study found that FAP distress and consequences of FAP were worse in those who attend surveillance. One explanation is that during the course of lifelong surveillance patients get more complacent. The longer they have lived with the condition without malignancy and the longer they have lived with FAP without further surgical interventions, their perception of the seriousness of FAP and living with FAP becomes less distressing over time. This more positive perception may have been created out of a false sense of security over a number of years without malignancy or surgical intervention and then an absence of perceived risk results in patients not attending surveillance. Alternatively, it is possible that attending surveillance causes patients to become more distressed and to view FAP as a more serious condition. However, given the frequency of surveillance and the relationships that negative illness perceptions have with HRQoL it is possible that surveillance induced distress may lead to reduced HRQoL indirectly via negative illness perceptions.

Consistently with the Self-Regulation Model, the findings indicated that negative illness perceptions were related to reduced HRQoL_[10], in particular stronger illness identity, more serious consequences, and poorer treatment control were directly associated with poorer HRQoL. In addition, greater FAP distress, thinking about FAP as an acute problem instead of a chronic lifelong condition, and attributing the cause of FAP to psychological factors rather than genetic was associated with poorer mental HRQoL. This suggest that participants endorsing unrealistic perceptions of the duration and cause of FAP might be at greater risk of poorer psychological wellbeing. The present study adds to a body of research indicating that illness perceptions are an

Psycho-Oncology

important consideration in precancerous and increased risk populations [18], and in particular with FAP, because of the continued lifelong surveillance, these findings point to the importance of realistic and healthy beliefs for improved adherence and HRQoL with repeated surveillance.

The advantage to identifying modifiable beliefs associated with adherence, is that they may have clinical implication. Beliefs about FAP are amendable to change in a cognitive behavioral intervention, in order to increase uptake of endoscopic surveillance, or improve quality of life. A previous study showed that including illness perception assessments in communication with patients during outpatient visits has a positive effect on their involvement in discussions about treatment and care.²⁴ In addition, providing disease-specific information has been reported to be associated with greater personal and treatment control and a better understanding of the illness in cancer survivors.²⁵ Therefore, interventions aiming to improve illness perceptions may also be beneficial for this group of patients, since FAP is a life-long illness, and a commitment to a lifelong endoscopic surveillance program after prophylactic surgery is necessary to prevent cancer.

The study has several strengths. First, the patients were recruited from a national cohort of patients with FAP, including all known FAP cases in Sweden who had undergone surgery. Second, the high response rate resulted in a large, representative sample allowing analysis at a multivariate level. Finally, we used standardised and robust self-report measures. A limitation of the study is the cross-sectional design, which precludes the assessment of causal relationships. However, given the significant findings future research should look at the causal pathways between genetic, clinical and psychological factors in relation to adherence to surveillance.

Conclusion

Despite the importance of endoscopic surveillance in reducing the risk of future malignancy in FAP, some patients are non-adherent. Patients may be at greater risk of non-adherence to endoscopic surveillance as they get older, if they have not yet developed a malignancy, and a longer time since they had prophylactic surgery. Despite the greater increased risk to these patients, they viewed FAP to be less emotionally distressing and have fewer grave consequences. Men were also more inclined to view FAP as less serious and emotional compared to women. Having other family members with FAP seemed to increase the compliancy of the severity of FAP. Attending surveillance was not associated with significantly poorer quality of life, rather it was patients' socio-demographic background, genetic risk and illness perceptions that were important in explaining differences in attendance. Unhelpful representations for mental wellbeing, were viewing FAP as a more acute and psychologically caused problem, instead of a chronic, genetic condition. These findings have implications for supporting patients living with FAP, so that they do not put themselves at unnecessary risk of malignancy while trying to support patients living with the condition.

Conflict of interest

None of the authors declare any competing interest

References

- Bjork, J., et al., Epidemiology of familial adenomatous polyposis in Sweden: changes over time and differences in phenotype between males and females. Scand J Gastroenterol, 1999. 34(12): p. 1230-5.
- 2. Douma, K.F., et al., Psychological distress and use of psychosocial support in familial adenomatous polyposis. Psychooncology, 2010. **19**(3): p. 289-98.
- Fritzell, K., et al., Patients' views of surgery and surveillance for familial adenomatous polyposis. Cancer Nurs, 2010. 33(2): p. E17-23.

Page 17 of 22

Psycho-Oncology

4	Vasen H.F. et al. Guidelines for the clinical management of familial adenomatous
	nolynosis (FAP) Gut 2008 57 (5): n 704-13
5	Biork L et al. Outcome of primary and secondary ileal pouch-anal anastomosis and
0.	ileorectal anastomosis in patients with familial adenomatous polynosis. Dis Colon
	Rectum 2001 44(7): p 984-92
6	Kinney A V et al. Colorectal cancer surveillance behaviors among members of typical
0.	and attenuated FAP families Am J Gastroenterol 2007 102 (1): p. 153-62
7	Fritzell K et al Patients with genetic cancer undergoing surveillance at a specialized
,.	clinic rate the quality of their care better than patients at non-specialized clinics
	Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology 2012 47 (10): p 1226-1233
8	Fritzell K et al. Self-Reported Abdominal Symptoms in Relation to Health Status in
0.	Adult Patients With Familial Adenomatous Polyposis. Diseases of the Colon & Rectum
	2011 54 (7): p 863-869 10 1007/DCR 0b013e3182147fbe
9	Mever D H Leventhal and M Gutmann Common-sense models of illness: the
	example of hypertension Health Psychol 1985 4(2): p 115-35
10.	Hagger, M.S. and S. Orbell. A meta-analytic review of the common-sense model of
	illness representations. Psychology & Health, 2003, 18(2); p. 141-184.
11.	Lau, R.R., T.M. Bernard, and K.A. Hartman, Further explorations of common-sense
	representations of common illnesses. Health Psychol. 1989. 8(2): p. 195-219.
12.	Weinman, J. and K.J. Petrie, Illness perceptions: a new paradigm for psychosomatics? J
	Psychosom Res, 1997. 42 (2): p. 113-6.
13.	Ross, S., A. Walker, and M.J. MacLeod, Patient compliance in hypertension: role of
	illness perceptions and treatment beliefs. J Hum Hypertens, 2004. 18 (9): p. 607-13.
14.	French, D.P., A. Cooper, and J. Weinman, Illness perceptions predict attendance at
	cardiac rehabilitation following acute myocardial infarction: A systematic review with
	meta-analysis. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2006. 61(6): p. 757-767.
15.	van Oostrom, I., et al., The common sense model of self-regulation and psychological
	adjustment to predictive genetic testing: a prospective study. Psycho-Oncology, 2007.
	16 (12): p. 1121-1129.
16.	van Oostrom, I., et al., Comparison of individuals opting for BRCA1/2 or HNPCC genetic
	susceptibility testing with regard to coping, illness perceptions, illness experiences, family

system characteristics and hereditary cancer distress. Patient Education and Counseling, 2007. **65**(1): p. 58-68.

- Lancastle, D., K. Brain, and C. Phelps, Illness representations and distress in women undergoing screening for familial ovarian cancer. Psychology and Health, 2011. 26(12): p. 1659-77.
- 18. Rees, G., et al., Illness perceptions and distress in women at increased risk of breast cancer. Psychology & Health, 2004. **19**(6): p. 749-765.
- Orbell, S., et al., Comparing two theories of health behavior: A prospective study of noncompletion of treatment following cervical cancer screening. Health Psychology, 2006. 25(5): p. 604-15.
- Fischer, M.J., et al., From despair to hope: A longitudinal study of illness perceptions and coping in a psycho-educational group intervention for women with breast cancer. British Journal of Health Psychology, 2013. 18(3): p. 526-545.
- Jonsbu, E., et al., Change and Impact of Illness Perceptions among Patients with Noncardiac Chest Pain or Benign Palpitations Following Three Sessions of CBT. Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy, 2013. 41(04): p. 398-407.
- Moss-Morris, R., et al., The revised Illness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ-R).Psychology & Health, 2002. 17(1): p. 1-16.
- Alsen, P., et al., Illness perceptions after myocardial infarction: relations to fatigue, emotional distress, and health-related quality of life. J Cardiovasc Nurs, 2010. 25(2): p. E1-E10.
- 24. Ware, J.E. and M. Kosinski, Interpreting SF-36 summary health measures: A response. Quality of Life Research, 2001. **10**(5): p. 405-413.
- Ware, J.E. and C.D. Sherbourne, The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36) .1.
 Conceptual-framework and item selection. Medical Care, 1992. 30(6): p. 473-483.

Page 19 of 22

		mean (SD; range)	n	%
Sex				
	Men		93	44
	Women		116	56
Age (years)				
	All	49 (14; 18-75)		
	Men	48 (15; 18-75)		
	Women	49 (13; 23-74)		
Living situation				
	Partnered		139	6
	Single		61	2
	Living with parents or other		9	4
Education level				
	Elementary school		56	2
	High school		79	3
	University		70	3
Family members	with FAP		169	8
Tested Positive for	r APC/MYH		166	7
Age at diagnosis	(years)	26 (12; 3-57)		
Time since first o	colorectal surgery to study (years)	21 (12; 1-50)		
Times since last	colorectal surgery to study (years)	14 (10; 1-50)		
Received a cancer	diagnosis		50	2
Specialist Care			57	2

Table 1: Clinical characteristics of the Participating Patients with FAP (N = 209)

Table 2: Perceptions of FAP and their association with socio-demographic, genetic and clinical factors.

	Identity	Timeline	Cyclical Timeline	Consequences	Personal Control	Treatment Control	Coherenc e	Emotion al Reps	Psychological attribution	Risk factor attribution	Immune attribution	Chance attributio n
Mean (SD)	6.49 (4.16)	4.51 (0.60)	2.55 (1.00)	2.79 (0.94)	2.75 (0.73)	3.00 (0.77)	4.01 (0.85)	2.62 (0.96)	1.47 (0.64)	1.95 (0.52)	1.71 (0.72)	1.88 (0.80)
Age	115	083	073	022	014	235**	018	110	.097	.133	.069	037
Male	268 ^{**}	053	168*	231**	006	019	052	215 ^{**}	019	025	.027	.056
Higher Education	.163 [*]	.129	.159*	.096	.179 ^{**}	.083	.105	.091	075	039	019	.036
FAP in Family	.064	.103	025	218**	015	008	.264**	128	258 ^{**}	068	286 ^{**}	126
Tested Positive for APC/MYH	057	.103	025	218**	015	008	.264**	128	258 ^{**}	068	286**	126
Years since diagnosis	.108	.035	090	094	.028	258 ^{**}	.076	067	.016	.072	034	064
Years since the last surgery	070	.026	031	083	053	126	.002	026	.011	.038	044	094
Cancer diagnosis	085	.112	.035	116	.014	.067	.032	008	071	006	075	.049
Specialist Care	.025	.040	.044	.014	.009	.056	.051	.042	038	002	.028	.004
Identity		.301**	.560**	.553**	.057	067	109	.430 ^{**}	.094	.112	.162 [*]	.039
Timeline			.074	.283**	232**	260**	.046	.190 ^{**}	233**	167*	226**	168 [*]
Cyclical Timeline				.326 ^{**}	.150 [*]	.039	203**	.325**	.256**	.161 [*]	.206**	.135
Consequences					.000	115	343**	.621**	.249**	.174 [*]	.283**	.171 [*]
Personal Control						.311**	.028	053	.190 ^{**}	.149 [*]	.167*	.056
Treatment Control							.116	064	022	039	082	069
Coherence								320***	357**	206**	233**	228 ^{**}
Emotional Reps									.184**	.119	.142*	.030
Psychological										721**	671**	365**
attribution										./21	.071	.505
Risk factor attribution											.628	.346 386 ^{**}
Accident/chance attribution												.500

Stop		Wald	Sig	Evp(D)			Adherent (N = 180) Moon /N*	Not Adherent (N = 29)
step	Age		SIR		95% CI	1 000	47.64	54.34
1		4.034	.045	1.031	1.001	1.063	== (20)	4 = (4 0)
	Male Higher Education	.156	.693	.845	.368	1.944	78 (80) 65 (60)	15 (13) 5(10)
	Living with Partner	1.803	.179	2.068	./10	5.972	121(120)	18(10)
		$v^2 - 0.22$./62	1.141 Nagolkor	.485 ko P ² - (2.005		10(13)
Mode	l summary	л – 0.33,	F – 0.00,	Nayeikei	Ker – .	J72, 80.5		
2	FAP in the Family	.517	.472	1.427	.541	3.761	147 (146)	22(23)
2	Tested Positive for APC/MYH	.926	.336	1.568	.627	3.921	146(143)	20(23)
Mode	I summary	X ² = 9.77,	P = 0.135	i, Nagelke	erke R ² =	.084, 86.	5% classifie	d
	Years Since Diagnosis						22 54	23 14
3		1.907	.167	.961	.909	1.017	<u></u>	20.17
	Years Since Last Surgery	2.377	.123	1.045	.988	1.105	14.00	17.24
	Diagnosed with Cancer	.835	.361	1.619	.576	4.550	142(137)	17(22)
Mode	l summary	X ² = 31.58	, P = 0.05	i, Nagelke	erke R ² =	.258, 86.	5% classifie	d
	Identity		007	0.1.1	700	4 005	6 70	4.62
		.962	.327	.914	.762	1.095	0.79	4.02
	Timeline	.894	.344	.672	.295	1.531	4.55	4.25
	Cyclical Timeline	.628	.428	.760	.386	1.498	2.61	2.15
	Consequences	4.253	.039	2.226	1.040	4.762	2.81	2.69
	Personal Control	.075	.784	1.124	.487	2.594	2.75	2.78
	Treatment Control	000	925	1 033	530	2 011	2.99	3.06
	Cohoronco	516	.472	1.306	.630	2.708	3.99	4.10
	Conerence	.010						0.45
	Emotional Representations	5.099	.024	.424	.202	.893	2.69	2.15
	Emotional Representations Psychological attribution	5.099	.024 .709	.424 .792	.202 .232	.893 2.699	2.69 1.47	2.15
	Emotional Representations Psychological attribution Risk factor attribution	5.099 .139 1.385	.024 .709 239	.424 .792 2.341	.202 .232 568	.893 2.699 9.651	2.69 1.47 1.94	2.15 1.50 2.00
	Emotional Representations Psychological attribution Risk factor attribution Immunity attribution	5.099 .139 1.385 .149	.024 .709 .239 .700	.424 .792 2.341 .823	.202 .232 .568 .306	.893 2.699 9.651 2.212	2.69 1.47 1.94 1.70	2.15 1.50 2.00 1.75
	Emotional Representations Psychological attribution Risk factor attribution Immunity attribution Chance attribution	5.099 .139 1.385 .149 .628	.024 .709 .239 .700 .428	.424 .792 2.341 .823 .741	.202 .232 .568 .306 .353	.893 2.699 9.651 2.212 1.556	2.69 1.47 1.94 1.70 1.88	2.15 1.50 2.00 1.75 1.90
Mode	Emotional Representations Psychological attribution Risk factor attribution Immunity attribution Chance attribution	5.099 .139 1.385 .149 .628 X ² = 48.48	.024 .709 .239 .700 .428 , P = 0.01	.424 .792 2.341 .823 .741 , Nagelke	.202 .232 .568 .306 .353 erke R ² =	.893 2.699 9.651 2.212 1.556 .381, 86.	2.69 1.47 1.94 1.70 1.88 5% classifie	2.15 1.50 2.00 1.75 1.90 d
Mode	Emotional Representations Psychological attribution Risk factor attribution Immunity attribution Chance attribution I summary Physical health status	5.099 .139 1.385 .149 .628 X ² = 48.48	.024 .709 .239 .700 .428 , P = 0.01	.424 .792 2.341 .823 .741 , Nagelke	.202 .232 .568 .306 .353 erke R ² = .923	.893 2.699 9.651 2.212 1.556 .381, 86.3	2.69 1.47 1.94 1.70 1.88 5% classifie 47.201	2.15 1.50 2.00 1.75 1.90 d 45.567
Mode	Emotional Representations Psychological attribution Risk factor attribution Immunity attribution Chance attribution d summary Physical health status Mental health status	5.099 .139 1.385 .149 .628 X ² = 48.48 1.885 .028	.024 .709 .239 .700 .428 , P = 0.01 .170 .866	.424 .792 2.341 .823 .741 , Nagelke .967 1.004	.202 .232 .568 .306 .353 erke R ² = .923 .958	.893 2.699 9.651 2.212 1.556 .381, 86. 1.014 1.053	2.69 1.47 1.94 1.70 1.88 5% classifie 47.201 48.556	2.15 1.50 2.00 1.75 1.90 d 45.567 49.753

Table 4: Factors associated with quality of life in survivors of FAP

		PCS		MCS	
Ste	0	βS	ig.	β Si	g
1	Age	273	.000	.253	.000
	Male Higher education Living with Partner Model summary	.172 .053 .039 R ² =	.014 .456 .556 .094, R chg=.112, F=6.283, p<.001	.097 011 .024 R ² =.056,	.173 .881 .721 R chg = .074, F=3.989, p=.004
2	FAP in Family	.109	.102	.204	.003
	Tested Positive for APC/MYH	154	.023	.035	.609
	Model summary	R ² =.12	1, R chg = .035, F=4.055, p=.019	R ² =.089,	R chg = .042, F=4.695, p=.010
3	Years since diagnosis	083	.411	.039	.703
	Number of years since the last lower surgery	.047	.551	001	.985
	Diagnosis with Cancer	003	.973	.004	.958
	Specialist Care	.058	.405	071	.314
4	Model summary	R ² =.10	9, R chg = .006, F=.332, p=.856	R ² =.076,	R chg = .005, F=.296, p=.880
	Identity	335	.000	315	.000
	Timeline	.127	.064	.163	.026
	Cyclical Timeline	.017	.812	.020	.797
	Consequences	375	.000	180	.049
	Personal Control	019	.766	.064	.333
	Treatment Control	.145	.028	.073	.295
	Coherence	.084	.199	004	.954
	Emotional Representations	.117	.118	169	.035
	Psychological attribution	070	.466	218	.033
	Risk factor attribution	.122	.163	020	.828
	Immune attribution	044	.594	.135	.129
	Accident/chance attribution	020	.755	.048	.480
	Model summary	R ² =.39	8, R chg = .310, F=8.719, p<.001	R ² =.319,	R chg = .271, F=6.723, p<.001

-chg= change, β standardised regression coefficient