



## City Research Online

### City, University of London Institutional Repository

---

**Citation:** Herman, R. & Morgan, G. (2010). Deafness, language & communication. In: Botting, N. & Hilari, K. (Eds.), *The Impact of Communication Disability Across the Lifespan*. (pp. 101-121). Guildford: J & R Press. ISBN 9781907826030

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

---

**Permanent repository link:** <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/13313/>

**Link to published version:**

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

---

---

---

City Research Online:

<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/>

[publications@city.ac.uk](mailto:publications@city.ac.uk)

---

## CHAPTER 7: DEAFNESS, LANGUAGE AND COMMUNICATION

Ros Herman and Gary Morgan

City University London

### Introduction

Deafness may be present from birth or acquired\* at any stage in the lifespan.

This chapter will focus solely on the developmental communication issues surrounding individuals who are deaf from birth, or shortly thereafter.

Figures for the UK suggest that 2:1000 live births experience hearing impairment or 12,000 children per annum who will have problems by the age of 7 or 8. Fifty per cent of hearing losses are bilateral and identified by 4-6 weeks of age, and families generally enter into intervention programmes between 8-20 weeks of age. Later screening relies on parental and professional concern. In London, ethnicity increases the incidence 2-3 fold to around 4-12 per 1000. The prevalence is eight times higher in graduates of NICU/PICU, 40% of whom have some other systemic disorder.

---

*\*Note: We do not propose to cover issues surrounding deafness acquired in adulthood since communication is established by this stage in life and the role of communication intervention is less defined. This is not to deny the significant impact of acquired deafness on an individual's lifestyle: although much can be done in terms of amplification, assistive technology and use of hearing tactics, for many, the psychosocial consequences of acquired deafness remain challenging (Kerr and Cowie, 1997).*

Although the vast majority of deaf children have no intrinsic impairment in their language learning abilities (Parker and Rose, 1990; although see Mason et al., 2010 for the co-existence of specific language impairment in a minority of deaf children) the presence of a severe or profound degree of sensori-neural hearing loss, experienced by approximately one third of prelingually deaf children (Davis et al., 1997), presents significant challenges to the perception and production of spoken language. This, in turn, has potentially far-reaching consequences for interaction with hearing people, educational attainment and social-cognitive development (Schick et al., 2007).

The use of the term 'deaf' to denote a group defined by their audiological profile is in the main widely accepted. For many within the Deaf community who use sign language (e.g. British Sign Language, BSL), the use of 'hearing impairment' carries negative connotations as it defines a group of people primarily through the medical condition of deafness. An alternative viewpoint proposes use of the word 'Deaf' (written with an initial capitalisation) to indicate affiliation to Deaf culture and the use of sign language (see Padden and Humphries, 1988, 2005; Lane, Hoffmeister and Bahan, 1996; Ladd, 2003, for further accounts of Deaf culture). Unlike the medical perspective, this perspective on 'culturally' Deaf people views deafness more positively, as a minority cultural and linguistic group, rather than as a disabled group (Robinson and Adam, 2003). While this may seem to be a philosophical question, there are in fact significant consequences for communication development based on how deafness is defined by parents of deaf children and for professionals. While not denying the need for intervention for children

who are born deaf, taking a more positive perspective on deafness can lead to better outcomes in terms of self-esteem and aspiration in deaf children and their families. An awareness of such terminology and of political divisions within the field of deafness relating to the use of signing is key for professionals working in this area. Having clarified our terms, for convenience, we will henceforth use the term 'deaf' to encapsulate both audiotically deaf and culturally Deaf individuals.

Important considerations in any discussion of people who are born deaf include the following: parental hearing status, mode of communication, co-morbidity of additional disabilities, age at which deafness was first identified and benefit obtained from amplification. These are now discussed in turn.

Deaf children from deaf families represent a small minority of the deaf population (less than 10%, Mitchell and Karchmer, 2004). Parental hearing status is likely to impact on a number of areas, including principally a deaf child's preferred mode of communication, literacy skills and their social and emotional development. These children are likely to be raised in a home environment where sign language is used from birth. Sign languages have the same capabilities as any human language and are acquired naturally by children in deaf families, following the same broad trajectory as children acquiring any other language. Indeed, research on sign language acquisition among native signers has drawn parallels with hearing children exposed to a spoken language in terms of ages and stages of development (Mayberry and Squires, 2006; Morgan and Woll, 2002; Newport and Meier, 1985; Schick,

2003). The early establishment of a first language in sign among children in deaf families conveys advantages for the acquisition of a second language in the written form (Stuckless and Birch, 1966; Chamberlain and Mayberry, 2000), with obvious consequences for educational attainment. However, to date there is a lack of systematic evidence that for the majority of deaf children (born to hearing parents) sign bilingualism leads to better educational attainment (Marschark, Schick & Spencer, 2006).

Another area of difference for children born into deaf families is that deafness is accepted since it is perceived to be the norm and this, along with easy communication within the home, sets the scene for a positive 'socialisation climate' (Meadow, 2005). Natural interaction between mother and child has positive benefits for a range of cognitive and socio-emotional developments (e.g. Moeller and Schick, 2006; Schick et al., 2007). Although there may still be issues relating to communicating with hearing speaking individuals, the availability of deaf role models can offer support in this area.

By contrast, deaf children of hearing parents will generally be exposed to spoken language, since this is the language used within the home. Although sign language may also be used, deaf children within hearing families rarely have early or optimal exposure to sign language since many hearing parents and professionals have poorly developed sign language skills (Calderon & Greenberg, 2000). A move towards bilingual education for deaf children in recent years has led to improvements in some areas, with deaf adults going into the homes shortly after identification of deafness to teach families sign

language and act as language role models and mentors, (Joint Committee on Infant Hearing Position Paper , 2007; Swanwick and Gregory, 2007).

An important consideration when working with people who are deaf is the likely co-morbidity of other conditions. It is estimated that up to 40% of deaf children have additional disabilities (McCracken, 2010), ranging from visual impairments to learning disabilities. Clearly, such conditions will have compound the impact on language development.

Finally, within the population of deaf people is a further divide relating to changes in technology which have had a significant impact on the lives of deaf people. For adults and children currently in the latter stages of their education or beyond, identification of deafness was typically late and amplification technology often inadequate, with major consequences for the development of spoken communication. Recent years have seen the introduction of newborn hearing screening (NHS Newborn Hearing Screening Programme, 2011; Bamford et al., 2009) and major developments in amplification technology, in particular the use of cochlear implants with younger children. Together, these offer better outcomes for deaf children because of improved access to spoken language and the opportunity for earlier intervention (Ackley and Decker, 2006), although research on early identified children is still in its infancy. It is crucial that future research evaluating the success of cochlear implants in young deaf children is not blinkered in its focus on speech to the exclusion of general social and pragmatic aspects of communicative development.

The remainder of this chapter seeks to review research and clinical evidence concerning the impact of deafness among deaf children and adults (in the main) from hearing families and existing interventions under the following headings: early communication skills; intelligible speech; communication with hearing people and mental health issues. In addition, we include a brief account of the impact on literacy development, since this represents a key achievement of the education process.

### **1) Impact of deafness on early communication skills and social-cognitive development**

Marschark (2000) explains that those deaf children who are most competent socially tend to be those who actively participate in linguistic interactions with their parents from an early age. Good parent-child interaction allows deaf children to gain social knowledge, cognitive and problem solving strategies, information about self and others, and a sense of being part of the environment (Marschark, 1993). Spencer et al., (2000) conclude that there is a need to explicitly focus on teaching socio-emotional skills to deaf children and to emphasize, beginning in early intervention, the powerful role parents and professionals can play in promoting social competence.

The study of hearing and deaf children's language and communicative development is inextricably linked with the over-arching growth in their social

cognitive skills. Children's expressive language (their first words) emerges from previous non-verbal interaction with adults, which in part fosters children's visual attention, turn taking, labelling and language comprehension skills. All of this involves some ability on the part of the child to 'mind read' (Nurmsoo and Bloom, 2008; Liebal et al., 2009).

The issue of how deaf children of hearing parents enter into the mindreading game is complex. Several studies have demonstrated that deaf children of hearing parents as old as 10 years of age have persistent delays on Theory of Mind tasks (e.g. Schick et al., 2007; Morgan and Kegl, 2006) while deaf children of deaf parents score age appropriately on the same tasks (Woolfe, Want and Siegal, 2002). The origin of these delays can be traced back to the very early interaction deaf infants experience with hearing parents. Part of this early atypical development is linked with difficulties in establishing good joint attention (Harris and Chasin, 2005). These missed interactions arise because hearing parents are not aware of how to adapt their communication for a child that needs to share his visual attention between the speaker (in order to know that communication is taking place and to receive speech-reading and signing/gestural cues) and the object being labelled. This fundamental difficulty in establishing connected communication leads to vocabulary learning delays but perhaps more significantly, potential problems with interpreting intentional communication and the mind-reading element of interpersonal communication. Even children whose hearing parents start using sign language when their child is as young as 2 years of age have been shown to have difficulty with standard Theory of Mind tasks compared to

hearing children. In a longitudinal study of deaf children of hearing parents, Falkman, Roos and Hjelmquist (2007) found that over a two-year period, there were no changes in children's low performance on standard Theory of Mind tasks while hearing peers already performed at ceiling on the first testing occasion.

Currently there are very few studies of parent-child interaction in young deaf children with cochlear implants. What has been published paints a mixed picture. When the implant occurs early, one study found that children do not seem to show delays in Theory of Mind performance (Rommel and Peters, 2009), contrary to previous results from children implanted at a later age (Peterson, 2009). From only two studies it is not possible to draw any conclusions but one thing that came out of both studies was that it was not age at implantation that was the most important factor in Theory of Mind performance but the time since implantation. This suggests that, the more time a deaf child has to engage in successful and connected communication with parents around rich and abstract conversation topics, the better (Meristo, Hjelmquist and Morgan, in press).

There is now a growing body of knowledge about how early communication fosters later Theory of Mind development (e.g. Taumoepeau and Ruffman, 2008). This, coupled with what we observe as the significant differences in how hearing mothers talk and interact with their hearing or deaf toddlers (Moeller and Schick, 2006), can be used to design interventions aimed and effective social cognitive outcomes. We are now at a stage where an

intervention aimed at early connected conversations and mental state talk in hearing mother-deaf child dyads can be attempted. It may be possible to adapt successful Theory of Mind training studies with typically developing individuals (e.g. Hale and Tager-Flusberg, 2003) for families with deaf children.

## **2) Impact of deafness on intelligible speech**

Of relevance to today's deaf adults are the findings of numerous research studies completed a number of years ago which showed that, despite amplification and speech training, the speech of individuals with severe to profound deafness was on average only 20% intelligible (Ertmer 2010). This is because the typical audiological configuration of sensori-neural deafness impacts significantly on the perception and hence the production of spoken language\*. In general, because perception precedes production (Fletcher et al 1991), it follows that speech sounds that are more difficult to access auditorily are also more difficult to produce. Many studies have identified common features of the speech of deaf people (e.g. Hudgins and Numbers, 1942; Monsen, 1974) and find consonants to be affected more than vowels (Geffner et al., 1980). Errors include omissions of word final consonants (Subtelny, 1977); fronting/backing errors (Martin et al., 2007); fricatives realised as plosives (Bernhardt et al., 2003) and voicing errors (Gold, 1980; Fletcher et

---

\*Note: An understanding of speech acoustics and speech perception in general (see Borden, Harris & Raphael, 2007) and speech perception by deaf children and adults (see Hazan, 2001) is essential to speech and language therapists who work in this area.

al., 1991). Reduction of consonant clusters and deletion of unstressed syllables have also been reported (Bernhardt, 2003). Whereas some of these errors are similar to those found in typically developing young hearing children, others are not, e.g. where consonants that are less visible on the lips are replaced by other sounds, such as glottal stops (Panteleimidou et al., 2003).

Supra-segmental aspects of speech may also be affected among deaf speakers: voice quality may be compromised by excess laryngeal tension (Wirz, 2001); resonance may be hypernasal, hyponasal, mixed or cul-de-sac (Boone, 1966, Boone and McFarlane, 2000); deaf speakers may adopt a higher fundamental frequency compared to hearing speakers (Gilbert and Campbell, 1980) and exhibit difficulties with the use of intonation (Monsen, 1979; Gold, 1980; O'Halpin, 2001; Bernhardt et al., 2003); rate and rhythm of speech may be affected by the use of lengthened syllables, longer pauses between words and shortened voiced segments (Bernhardt et al., 2003).

More recently, studies have examined changes in speech intelligibility and speech perception as a result of cochlear implantation (Svirsky et al., 2000). Indeed, the advent of cochlear implantation in increasingly younger children has had a major impact on the potential for intelligible speech (Moeller et al., 2007). Children who have implants early may exhibit intelligible speech by the third year post-cochlear implantation and age-appropriate speech and language skills after 5 (Allen et al., 1998, Nicholas et al., 2006; Peng et al.,

2004), although some variability in performance is also acknowledged (Nicholas and Geers, 2006).

However, not all deaf children are equally successful following cochlear implantation and others are simply not eligible for cochlear implants, hence speech intelligibility continues to be a target for intervention. Interventions traditionally emphasise the use of residual hearing with amplification to develop auditory skills and consequently speech production skills (e.g. Erber, 1982, Hogan et al., 2008). In addition, there is some evidence that working on speech production can lead to changes in speech perception (Novelli-Olmsted and Ling, 1984; Massaro and Light, 2004; Paatsch, 2005 ).

In some cases, visual feedback has been used to develop speech production skills with deaf clients. Systems that use hand signals to provide information about the phonological features of speech include Cued Articulation, (Passy, 1990) and Cued Speech (Cornett, 1967). There has been very little research into the use of Cued Articulation with deaf children (but see Fordham, 2003). Although the same is true for Cued Speech in the UK, there is a more extensive literature on the benefits of this method in other countries, suggesting its use conveys significant advantages for spoken language (Vieu, et al., 1998), speechreading (Gregory, 1987), reading (Alegria et al., 1990) and spelling (Leybaert and Charlier, 1996).

Computer-based visual displays such as electropalatography (EPG) have also been used to provide information about specific phonemes that are difficult to perceive auditorily and visually (Parlsoe, 1988; Panteleimidou et al., 2003; Martin et al., 2007). Interestingly, Parsloe (1998) found that following an intervention programme using EPG to teach a profoundly deaf child to produce certain phonemes, the child also showed improvements in his speech perception skills. While speech is undeniably important for deaf children we repeat that intervention should not focus exclusively on this aspect to the expense of general social and pragmatic aspects of communicative development.

### **3) Impact of deafness on communication with hearing people**

People who are born deaf experience much discrimination and lack of understanding in society at large, whether they communicate using spoken or sign language (Higgins, 1980). This may be attributed to negative attitudes towards people who have communication difficulties (Woll and Morgan, 2010) as well as the fact that profoundly deaf signers have considerable difficulty in communicating with hearing people (Bench, 1992; Gagne, Stelmacovich and Yovetich, 1991).

Speech that is of limited intelligibility has consequences for deaf children's interactions with others. Roberts and Rickards (1994) showed that deaf children in mainstream schooling were more likely to have hearing friends

than those in specialist schooling and that children with less severe hearing loss had more hearing friends than children with more severe hearing loss. However, placing a child who is deaf in a mainstream setting does not ensure that the child will be integrated (Anita and Kreimeyer, 1992). Among the reasons given for social segregation in integrated preschool settings were the low communicative competences of children who were deaf or hard of hearing (Lederburg, 1991; Nunes, Pretzlik and Olsson, 2001) and their limited understanding of how others think and feel (Bat-Chava, 2005). Hearing children who were friends with deaf peers reported friendships to involve prosocial functions (Nunes et al., 2001). Where communication is perceived as presenting an obstacle to friendship, deaf children are likely to be neglected (although not necessarily disliked) by their peers, and to feel correspondingly isolated (Nunes et al., 2001).

Easy communication between deaf and hearing children is important for friendships and also for successful learning in class. Stinson and Antia (1999) highlight barriers to classroom participation for deaf children. They include: fast rate of discussion, rapid turn taking, frequent changes of topic, inclusion of many speakers in discussions and instances where several students speak concurrently leading to unmanageable levels of noise (ibid). Overcoming these barriers requires skilled and sensitive management. Despite in-service training for teachers in mainstream schools who have deaf children in their class, there is no evaluation of whether this is adequate (Powers, 2002). Indeed, deaf students have reported that mainstream teachers lack deaf awareness (NDCS 2001).

Many of the skills deaf children need to interact successfully with hearing peers are language based. In addition to speech intelligibility issues referred to above, prelingually deaf children and adults typically display poor mastery of English vocabulary and syntax and find learning the rules of social communication challenging (Crocker and Edwards, 2004). As most hearing people cannot sign, this frequently leads to the emergence of a 'shared handicap of communication' between deaf and hearing partners (Bouvet, 1990) causing both to be unsure and ineffective at communicating with each other.

Common pragmatic difficulties identified by researchers include failure by deaf children and adults to clarify misunderstandings, solve disagreements or lead conversations (Stinson, Liu, Saur and Long, 1996); inability to ask questions (Lederberg and Everhart, 2000; Nicholas and Geers, 2003) and difficulties explaining that they do not understand or in seeking clarification (Bench, 1992; Silvestre, Ramspott and Pareto, 2007; Wood, Wood, Griffiths and Howarth, 1986; Jeanes et al., 2000).

A compounding factor is that deaf children are not always made aware of the lack of clarity of their own communication. Often, a hearing parent or teacher will fail to signal the ineffectiveness of a message or may themselves repair it for the child (Beazley, 1992; Brackett, 1983; Wood et al., 1986), thereby

denying deaf children the chance to develop the effectiveness of their interactions or take responsibility for their own communication.

Reduced exposure to naturalistic, meaningful conversations (Akamatsu and Musselman, 1998; Carney and Moeller, 1998) and difficulty accessing incidental learning account for why these patterns of difficulties develop. Reduced quality and quantity of interactions means fewer opportunities for these behaviours to be modelled and fewer opportunities for the deaf child to apply the behaviours in natural settings (Brackett, 1983; Carney and Moeller, 1998; Jeanes et al., 2000).

Traditionally, speech and language therapy with deaf clients has focussed on improving auditory perception, speech reading, speech production, vocal characteristics and understanding and use of language (spoken and, more recently, sign languages) (Carney and Moeller, 1998; Bench, 1992). Less consideration has been given to the everyday communication experiences of deaf children. Bench (1992) points out that, despite the large literature on the limited pragmatic abilities of many deaf people, little of it relates to intervention directed at functional communication skills. In their review of treatment efficacy in children with hearing loss, Carney and Moeller (1998) mention no studies that focus on either functional communication skills or social interaction. Given the potential implications of a deaf person's inability to interact with the hearing world, the need to develop therapy techniques to address this area becomes apparent.

A recent intervention developed by Threadgill and Schamroth (Schamroth and Threadgill, 2007) currently in use in the UK is the **smiLE** approach (Strategies and Measurable Interaction in Live English). This intervention focuses on developing deaf people's communication skills in real communication situations. The therapy involves filming deaf clients in interactions with hearing people and using the film to help them evaluate and consequently improve their own skills in group sessions, using role play and group feedback. Clients are taught a hierarchy of strategies to use to support their communication, ranging from improved speech intelligibility to gesture and written language. Two small-scale studies have shown this approach to be successful in developing the functional communication skills of deaf children (Alton, 2008) and young adults (Lawlor, 2009) in live interactions with hearing people. Further research is needed to investigate the long-term benefits and generalisation of new skills.

#### **4) Impact of deafness on reading**

Studies have shown that deaf children's reading develops at a slower rate and that they make approximately a third of the reading progress each school year when compared with their hearing peers (e.g. Allen, 1986; Kyle and Harris, 2010; Trybus and Karchmer, 1977). As a result, the severity of their reading delay increases as they progress through schooling, culminating in the average deaf school leaver having a reading age equivalent to that of a nine year-old hearing child (e.g. Conrad, 1979; DiFrancesca, 1972; Wauters, van

Bon and Tellings, 2006). Higher levels of reading achievement have been reported in some studies of deaf children with cochlear implants (see Marschark, Rhoten and Fabich, 2007) and also in studies with selective populations of orally educated deaf children (e.g. Daneman et al., 1995; Gravenstede, 2009; Lewis, 1996).

The main reason that deaf children experience such severe problems with learning to read is that written English is essentially derived from spoken English, to which deaf children typically have limited access. This can adversely affect the development of both “bottom up” skills involved in reading, such as phonological and syntactical knowledge, and also “top down” skills such as vocabulary, language and world knowledge (King and Quigley, 1985).

Deaf children are frequently reported to have weaker phonological skills in comparison with hearing peers, both in terms of phonological awareness and phonological coding (e.g. Harris and Beech, 1998; Leybaert and Alegria, 1993; Waters and Doehring, 1990). Despite lower levels of ability, there is some evidence that phonological skills are predictive of reading achievement in deaf children (Campbell and Wright, 1988; Dyer et al., 2003; Harris and Beech, 1998).

Phonic based interventions have had some success in improving reading levels of poor deaf readers (Trezek and Malmegren, 2005; Trezek and Wang, 2006). These findings fit in with other recent evidence indicating that, for deaf

and hearing children alike, phonological knowledge of the written language is essential if they are to become competent readers (e.g. Mayer, 2008). This phonological knowledge relies on the development of phonological representations that Leybaert (2005) argued are mainly based on visual (lip-reading, Cued Speech, finger-spelling and alphabetic script) rather than acoustic phonology.

Unfortunately, the consequences of poor literacy are that many deaf children leave school with fewer qualifications than their hearing peers (Gregory, Powers and Thoutenhoofd, 1998); deaf adults are 4 times more likely to be unemployed (RNID, 2002) and of those working, are 3 times more likely than hearing adults to be earning a lower wage (ibid).

## **5) Impact of deafness on mental health**

Poor communication has consequences for emotional learning and mental well-being (Crocker and Edwards, 2004; Hindley, 2000). Deaf people who communicate poorly in the hearing world are more likely to be socially isolated (Bain, Scott and Steinberg, 2004; Steinberg, Sullivan and Loew, 1998), are at greater risk for psychological distress (Marschark, 1993) and have a greater overall prevalence of mental illness than the general population (Crocker and Edwards, 2004; Hindley, 2000).

Marschark (1993) notes that many social-emotional problems of deaf children and adults are rooted in early socialisation and intertwined with impairments in hearing and language competence. While there is nothing inherent in a hearing deficit that causes social immaturity or inadequacy, there is evidence indicating that deaf children are relatively passive and less socially mature than their hearing peers (Carney and Moeller, 1998; Lemanek et al., 1986; Meadow, 1980; White, 1982). This can often be related to distortions of parent-child interaction, limited early communication, reduced access to incidental learning and deprivation of social experiences (Hindley, 2004).

As described previously deaf children of hearing parents have been shown to demonstrate significant delays in recognizing and labelling emotional states in themselves and others (abilities subsumed under the term Theory of Mind). Hindley (2006) stresses the importance of encouraging early conversation about thoughts and feelings to develop children's awareness of people's thoughts (meta-cognition). Because many deaf children miss out on these kinds of conversation and are vulnerable to delays in meta-cognition, Theory of Mind development and emotional functioning, this will put them at risk for emotional/social and behavioural problems in later life.

There have been some approaches developed to address emotional well-being, including the PATHS curriculum (Promoting Alternative Thinking Strategies) and the innovative 'Life and Deaf' project. PATHS seeks to develop deaf children's emotional literacy by teaching emotional vocabulary, social skills and improving self confidence in problem solving social situation issues. PATHS was evaluated by Greenberg and Kusche (1998) using

primary aged deaf school children and found to have lasting benefits. Another programme, 'Life and Deaf' (Thomas & Martin, 2003), was developed by UK speech and language therapists to encourage children to explore their identity through poetry in written English and BSL and aims in addition to develop their communication skills and self-esteem, although no formal evaluation has to date been carried out.

## **Conclusions**

It is increasingly evident that early identification of deafness before the age of six months brings significantly better speech and language outcomes than when identification occurs beyond this age (Yoshinaga-Itano, 2009). There have also been encouraging reports in relation to early use of cochlear implants, although Woll (2008) highlights the need for long term studies of the educational, communication and mental health outcomes for implanted and unimplanted deaf children. For older children and adults who have missed out on recent developments, the situation remains unchanged

However, while general improvements can be anticipated in future generations of deaf children, it seems likely that a significant number of deaf children will continue to exhibit speech and language difficulties, with consequences for communication and social relationships with hearing children, for mental health, for educational attainment and literacy and for employment.

There is surprisingly little research that seeks to evaluate specific communication interventions with deaf people. In part, this is because of the heterogeneity that exists among deaf people, making group studies extremely challenging. Nevertheless, much can be learned from series of carefully conducted single case studies, as in many other areas of speech and language therapy. Much of current clinical practice with deaf people draws on interventions developed with other client groups in mind. Research that seeks to evaluate specific interventions with deaf people represents a key area for future developments in this field.

## **References**

- Ackley, R.S. and Decker, T.N. (2006) Audiological advancement in the acquisition of spoken language in deaf children. In (eds) Spencer P.E., Marschark M. *Advances in the spoken language development of deaf and hard-of-hearing children*. Oxford University Press, New York, 64–84.
- Akamatsu, C. and Musselman, C. (1998) Development and use of conversational proficiency interview with deaf adolescents. In M. Marschark and M. D. Clark (Eds.), *Psychological Perspectives on Deafness (Vol 2)* 265-295. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Alegria, J., Dejean, K., Capouillez, J., and Leybaert, J. (1990). Role played by Cued Speech in the identification of written words encountered for the first time by deaf children. *Cued Speech Journal*, 4, 4-9.

Allen, M.C., Nikolopoulos, T.P., Tait, M., O'Donoghue, G.M., (1998). Speech Intelligibility in Children after Cochlear Implantation. *The American Journal of Otology*. 19, 742 – 746.

Allen, T. E. (1986). Patterns of academic achievement among hearing impaired students: 1974 and 1983. In A. N. Schildroth and M. A. Karchmer (Eds.) *Deaf children in America* (161- 206). San Diego, CA: College-Hill Press.

Alton, S. (2008). *Use and Generalisation of Communication Skills Learnt in Live English (smiLE) Speech and Language Therapy by Deaf Primary School Pupils*. Unpublished MSc dissertation, City University London.

Anita, S.D., and Kreimeyer, K.H. (1992). Social competence for young children with hearing impairments. In S.L. Odom, S.R. McConnel and M.A. McEvoy (Eds.), *Social competence of young children with disabilities*, 135 – 164, Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes.

Bain, L., Scott, S. and Steinberg, A. (2004). Socialisation experiences and coping strategies of adults raised using spoken language. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 9 (1), 120-128.

Bamford, J., Carr, G., Davis, A., Gascon-Ramos, M., Lea, R., McCracken, W., Pattison, E., Woll, B., Woolfe, T., Young, A. (2009). *Positive Support in the Lives of Deaf Children and their Families*. Final Report to the Big Lottery Fund.

Bat Chava, Y., Martin, D. and Kosciw, J.G. (2005). Longitudinal improvements in communication and socialization of deaf children with cochlear implants and hearing aids: Evidence from parental reports. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 46:12 1287 - 1296

Beazley, S. (1992). Social skills group work with deaf people. In M. Fawcus (Ed.), *Group Encounters in Speech and Language Therapy*. Kibworth: Far Communications Ltd., 63-75.

Bench, R.J. (1992). *Communication Skills in Hearing Impaired Children*. London: Whurr Publishers Ltd.

Bernhardt, B., Gick, B., Bacsfalvi, P., and Ashdown, J. (2003). Speech habilitation of hard of hearing adolescents using electropalatography and ultrasound as evaluated by trained listeners. *Clinical Linguistics and Phonetics*, 17, 199-216.

Boone, D. R. (1966). Modification of the voices of deaf children. *Volta Review*, 68, 686-692.

Boone, D.A. and McFarlane, S.C. (2000). *The voice and voice therapy* (6th ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Bouvet, D. (1990). *The path to language: Bilingual education for Deaf children*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.

Brackett, D. (1983). Group communication strategies for the hearing-impaired. *Volta Review*, 85 (5), 16-128.

Calderon, R. and Greenberg, T. (2000). Challenges to parents and professionals in promoting socioemotional development in deaf children. In Spencer, Erting & Marschark (Eds) *The deaf child in the family and at school: Essays in honour of Kathryn P. Meadow-Orlans*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Campbell, R., and Wright, H. (1988). Deafness, spelling and rhyme: How spelling supports written word and picture rhyming skills in deaf subjects. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 40A, 771-788.

Carney, A.E. and Moeller, M.P. (1998). Treatment efficacy: Hearing loss in children. *Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing Research*, 41, S61-84.

Chamberlain, C. and Mayberry, R. I. (2000). Theorizing about the relationship between ASL and reading. In C. Chamberlain, J. Morford and R. I. Mayberry (Eds.), *Language Acquisition by Eye* (pp. 221-260). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum and Associates.

Conrad R (1979) *The Deaf School Child*. Harper Row.

Cornett, R.O. (1967). Cued speech. *American Annals of the Deaf* , 112:3.13.

Crocker, S. and Edwards, L. (2004). Deafness and additional difficulties. In S. Austen and S. Crocker (eds), *Deafness in Mind*. London: Whurr Publishers Ltd., 252-269.

Daneman, M., Nemeth, S., Stainton, M., and Huelsmann, K. (1995). Working memory as a predictor of reading achievement in orally educated hearing-impaired children. *Volta Review*, 97, 225–241.

Dammeyer, J. (2010) Psychosocial development in a Danish population of children with cochlear implants and deaf and hard-of-hearing children. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 15(1): 50-58

Davis, A., Bamford, J., Wilson, I., Ramkalawan, T., Forshaw, M., and Wright, S. (1997). A critical review of the role of neonatal hearing screening in the detection of congenital hearing impairment. *Health Technology Assessment*, 1(10), 1-176.

DiFrancesca, S. (1972). Academic achievement test results of a national testing program for hearing impaired students—U.S. Spring (Series D, No 9). Washington, DC: Gallaudet College, Office of Demographic Studies.

Dyer, A., MacSweeney, M., Szczerbinski, M., and Green, L. (2003). Predictors of reading delay in deaf adolescents: The relative contributions of rapid automatized naming speed and phonological awareness and decoding. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 8, 215–229.

Erber, N. (1982) Auditory Training. Washington DC: AG Bell Association.

Ertmer, D. (2010) Relationships between speech intelligibility and word articulation scores in children with hearing loss. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 53: 1075-1086. doi:10.1044/1092-4388

Falkman, K., Roos, C., and Hjelmquist, E. (2007), Menatlizing skills of non-native, early signers: A longitudinal perspective. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 4, 178-197.

Fletcher, S. G., Dagenais, P. A., and Critz-Crosby, P. (1991). Teaching consonants to profoundly hearing-impaired speakers using palatometry. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 34, 929-943.

Fordham, M. (2003) Cued Articulation with hearing-impaired children: Successful working in education. In Passy, J. (ed) *A handful of sounds: Cued Articulation in practice*. Acer Press.

Gagne, J.P., Stelmachovich, P. and Yovetich, W. (1991). Reactions to requests for clarification used by hearing-impaired individuals. *Volta Review*, 93 (3), pp. 129-143.

Geffner, D., and Rothman Freeman, L. (1980). Speech Assessment at the Primary Level: Interpretation relative to speech training. In J.D. Subtelny (Ed.) *Speech Assessment and Speech Improvement for the Hearing Impaired*, 1-17. Washington, DC: AG Bell Association for the Deaf.

Gilbert, H. and Campbell, M. (1980) Speaking fundamental frequency in three groups of hearing-impaired individuals. *Journal of Communication Disorders*, 13:195-205.

Gold, T. (1980) Speech production in hearing impaired children. *Journal of Communication Disorders* 13, 397-418.

Gravenstede, L. (2009) Phonological awareness and decoding skills in deaf adolescents. *Deafness and Education International*, 11: 171–190.

Greenberg, P. and Kusche, C. (1998) Preventive intervention for school-age deaf children: the PATHS curriculum. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education* 3(1):49-63

Gregory, J. (1987). An investigation of speechreading with and without Cued Speech. *American Annals of the Deaf*, 132 (6), 393-398.

Gregory, S., Powers, S. and Thoutenhoofd, T. (1998) Educational Achievements of Deaf Children. DfEE publications

Hale, C.M. and Tager-Flusberg, H. (2003) The influence of language on theory of mind: A training study. *Developmental Science*, 6(3): 346–359.

Harris, M., and Beech, J. R. (1998). Implicit phonological awareness and early reading development in prelingually deaf children. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 3, 205–216.

Harris, M. and Chasin, J. (2005) Attentional patterns in deaf and hearing infants: the role of auditory cues. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 46, 1116-1123.

Hazan, V. (2001). Introduction to acoustics and speech perception. In Ballantyne (ed) *Deafness*. London: Whurr Publishers, 55-71.

Higgins, P.C. (1980). *Outsiders in a hearing world: A sociology of deafness*. Beverley Hills, CA: Sage Publications.

Hindley, P. (2000). Child and adolescent psychiatry (chapter 3). In P. Hindley and N. Kitson (Eds.), *Mental Health and Deafness*. London: Whurr Publishers Ltd., 42-74.

Hindley, P. (2004) Promoting social and emotional skills in deaf children: Avoiding deficits that lead to problems. *The British Association of Teachers of the Deaf Association Magazine*. (accessed 19 January 2011) Available at: <http://www.batod.org.uk/index.php?id=/articles/mentalhealth/hindley.htm>

Hindley, P. (2006). Psychiatric Aspects of Hearing Impairments. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 38: 101-117.

Hogan, S., Stokes, J., White, C., Tyszkiewicz, E. and Woolgar, A. (2008) An Evaluation of Auditory Verbal Therapy Using the Rate of Early Language Development as an Outcome Measure. *Deafness and Education International* 10(3): 143–167. doi: 10.1002/dei.242

Hudgins, C. and Numbers, F. (1942) An investigation of the intelligibility of the speech of the deaf. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 25, 289-392.

Jeanes, R.C., Nienhuys, T. and Rickards, F. (2000) The pragmatic skills of profoundly deaf children. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 5 (3), 237-247.

Joint Committee on Infant Hearing (2007) Position Statement: Principles and Guidelines for Early Hearing Detection and Intervention Programs. *Pediatrics*, 120 (4), 898-921 (doi:10.1542/peds.2007-2333)

Kerr, P.C. and Cowie, R.I. (1997) Acquired deafness: a multi-dimensional experience. *British Journal of Audiology*, 31 (3):177-88.

King, C. M., and Quigley, S. P. (1985). *Reading and Deafness*. London: Taylor and Francis.

Kyle, F., and Harris, M. (2010). Predictors of Reading and Spelling Development in Deaf Children: A Three Year Longitudinal Study. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 107:229-243. doi:10.1016/j.jecp.2010.04.011.

Ladd, P. (2003) *Understanding Deaf culture: In search of Deafhood*, Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.

Lane, H., Hoffmeister, R. and Bahan, B. (1996). *A journey into the Deaf-World*. San Diego, CA: Dawn Sign Press.

Lawlor, E. (2009) An investigation into the effectiveness of the functional therapy approach "Live English" in a sign-bilingual secondary school. Unpublished MSc dissertation.

Lederberg, A.R. and Everhart V.S. (2000). Conversation between deaf children and their hearing mothers: Pragmatic and dialogic characteristics. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 5 (4), 303-322.

Lederberg, A.R. (1991). Social interaction among Deaf pre-schoolers. The effects of language ability and age. *American Annals of Deaf*. March; 136 (1): 53 -9.

Lemanek, K., Williamson, D., Gresham, F. and Jensen, B. (1986). Social skills training with hearing-impaired children and adolescents. *Behaviour Modification*, 10 (1), 55-71.

Lewis, S. (1996). The reading achievements of a group of severely and profoundly hearing-impaired school leavers educated within a natural aural approach. *Journal of British Association of Teachers of the Deaf*, 20(1), 1–7.

Leybaert, J. (2005). Learning to read with a hearing impairment In M. J. Snowling and C. Hulme (Eds.), *The Science of Reading: A Handbook* (pp. 379-396). Oxford: Blackwell.

Leybaert, J., and Alegria, J. (1993). Is word processing involuntary in deaf children? *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 11, 1–29.

Leybaert, J. and Charlier, B. (1996). Visual speech in the head: The effect of Cued Speech on rhyming, remembering, and spelling. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 1, 234-248.

Liebal, K., Behne, T., Carpenter, M. and Tomasello, M. (2009) Infants use shared experience to interpret pointing gestures. *Developmental Science*, 12 264-71.

Marshark, M. (1993). *Psychological Development of Deaf Children*. Oxford University Press

Marschark, M. (2000) Education and development of deaf children – or is it development and education? In P.E. Spencer, C.J. Erting and M. Marschark

(Eds) *The deaf child in the family and at school*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates: Mahwah, NJ. 275-292.

Marschark, M., Rhoten, C. and Fabich, M. (2007) Effects of Cochlear Implants on Children's Reading and Academic Achievement. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 12, 269-282.

Marschark, M., Schick, B. and Spencer, P.E. (2006) Understanding Sign Language development. In Schick, Marschark & Spencer (Eds) *Advances in the Sign Language development of deaf children*. Oxford University Press

Martin, K., Herman, R., Hirson, A. and Pring, T. (2007) The efficacy of speech intervention using electropalatography with an 18 year old Deaf client: A single case study. *Advances in Speech-Language Pathology*, 9 (1). 46-56.

Mason, K., Rowley, K. Marshall, C.R., Atkinson, J. Herman, R. Woll, B. and Morgan, G. (2010). Identifying specific language impairment in Deaf children acquiring British Sign Language: Implications for theory and practice. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 28, 33-49

Massaro, D.W. and Light, J. (2004) Using visible speech to train perception and production of speech for individuals with hearing loss. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research* 47, 304-320. doi:10.1044/1092-4388(2004/025).

Mayberry RI & Squires B (2006) Sign Language Acquisition. In E Lieven (ed) *Language Acquisition*. *Encyclopaedia of Language & Linguistics*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Elsevier

Mayer, C. (2008). What Really Matters in the Early Literacy Development of Deaf Children? *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 12, 411-416.

McCracken, W. (2010) Deaf children with additional needs. National Deaf Children's Society publication (accessed 19 January 2011). Available at: [http://www.ndcs.org.uk/family\\_support/our\\_publications\\_m/additional\\_needs.rma](http://www.ndcs.org.uk/family_support/our_publications_m/additional_needs.rma)

Meadow, K.P. (1980). Deafness and child development. London: Edward Arnold.

Meadow, K.P. (2005) Early Manual Communication in Relation to the Deaf Child's Intellectual, Social, and Communicative Functioning. *J. Deaf Stud. Deaf Educ.* 10 (4): 321-329. doi: 10.1093/deafed/eni035

Meristo, M, Hjelmquist, S. and Morgan, G. (in press). How access to language affects cognitive development in deaf children. In L.Surian and M. Siegal. (eds). *The impact of language on children's cognitive development*. Oxford University Press.

Mitchell, R. and Karchmer, M. (2004). Chasing the mythical ten percent: Parental hearing status of deaf and hard of hearing students in the United States, *Sign Language Studies*, 4, 138-163.

Moeller, M. P., Hoover, B., Putman, C., Arbataitis, K., Bohnenkamp, G., Peterson, B., Stelmachowicz, P. (2007). Vocalizations of infants with hearing loss compared with infants with normal hearing: Part I. Phonetic development. *Ear Hear*, 28, 605-627.

Moeller, M.P. and Schick, B. (2006) Relations between maternal input and theory of mind understanding in deaf children. *Child Development*, 77, 751-766.

Monsen, R. (1974). Durational aspects of vowel production in the speech of deaf children. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 17, 386-398.

Monsen, R. (1979) Acoustic qualities of phonation in young hearing-impaired children. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 22, 270-288.

Morgan, G. and Kegl, J. (2006). Nicaraguan Sign Language and Theory of Mind: The issue of critical periods and abilities. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 47, 811-819.

Morgan, G. and Woll, B. (2002) *New directions in Sign Language acquisition*. John Benjamins Publishing Co.

National Deaf Children's Society (2001) *My school in Scotland: A review of deaf pupils' experiences of mainstream schools*. London: NDCS.

NHS Newborn Hearing Screening Programme (accessed 19 January 2011). Available at: <http://hearing.screening.nhs.uk/nationalprog>

Newport, E. L., and Meier, R. P. (1985). The acquisition of American Sign Language. In D. I. Slobin (Ed.) *The crosslinguistic study of language acquisition* (Vol. 1). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Nicholas, J. G and Geers, A. E. (2003). Personal, social and family adjustment in school – aged children with a cochlear implant. *Ear and Hearing*, supplement 24:1, 692-780.

Nicholas, J.G and Geers, A.E. (2006). The process and early outcomes of cochlear implantation by three years of age. In Spencer, P.E. and Marschark, M. (2006) *Advances in the Spoken Language Development of Deaf and Hard-of Hearing Children*. (pp 271-297). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Novelli-Olmstead, T., and Ling, D. (1984). Speech production and discrimination by hearing-impaired children. *The Volta Review*, 86, 72–80.

Nunes, T., Pretzlik, U and Olsson, J. (2001) Deaf children's social relationships in mainstream schools. *Deafness and Education International*, 3 (3), 123–136.

Nurmsoo, E. and Bloom, P. (2008) Preschoolers' perspective taking in word learning: Do they blindly follow eye gaze? *Psychological Science*, 19, 211-215.

O'Halpin, R. (2001). Intonation issues in the speech of hearing impaired children: Analysis, transcription and remediation. *Clinical Linguistics and Phonetics*, 15, 529–550.

Padden, C. and Humphries, T. (1988) *Deaf in America: Voices from a culture*. Harvard University Press.

Padden, C. and Humphries, T. (2005) *Inside Deaf Culture*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Passy, J. (1990) Cued Articulation. Acer Press.

Panteleimidou, V., Herman, R. and Thomas, J. (2003) Efficacy of speech intervention using electropalatography with a cochlear implant user. *Journal of Clinical Phonetics and Linguistics*, 17, 1-11.

Parker, A., and Rose, H. (1990). Deaf children's phonological development. In P. Grunwell (Ed.), *Developmental speech disorders*. London, UK: Whurr Publishers.

Parsloe, R. (1998). Use of the speech pattern audiometer and the electropalatograph to explore the speech production/perception relationship in a profoundly deaf child. *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, 33, 109-21.

Peng, S., Spencer, L.J and Tomblin, J.B. (2004), Speech intelligibility of pediatric cochlear implant recipients with 7 years of device experience. *Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing Research*, 47: 1227–1236.

Peterson, C.C. (2009). Development of social-cognitive and communication skills in children born deaf. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 50, 475-483.

Powers, S. (2002) From concepts to practice in deaf education: A United Kingdom perspective on inclusion. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 7 (3), 230-243.

Rommel, E., and Peters, K. (2009). Theory of mind and language in children with cochlear implants. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 14, 218-

236.

Rhoades, E. (2006) Research outcomes of auditory-verbal intervention: Is the approach justified? *Deafness and Education International*, 8(3): 125–143

Roberts, S.B. and Rickards, F.W. (1994) A survey of graduates of an Australian integrated auditory/oral preschool. Part II: Academic achievement, utilisation of support services and friendship patterns. *Volta Review*, 96, 207-236.

Robinson, S. and Adam, R. (2003). *Cultures of disability and deafness: rethinking links between the disability movement and the deaf community*. Paper given at the Australian Social Policy Conference, University of NSW.

Royal national Institute of the Deaf (2002) *Facts and figures on deafness and tinnitus*, RNID Information, March 2006. Accessed 19 January 2011.  
[http://www.rnid.org.uk/VirtualContent/101697/Facts\\_and\\_figures\\_on\\_deafness\\_and\\_tinnitus\\_March\\_2006.pdf](http://www.rnid.org.uk/VirtualContent/101697/Facts_and_figures_on_deafness_and_tinnitus_March_2006.pdf)

Schamroth, K. and Threadgill, L. (2007). Using a 'Live English' curriculum. *Bulletin of the Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists* (Feb) 12-13.

Schick, B. (2003). The development of ASL and Manually-Coded English systems. In M. Marschark & P. E. Spencer (Eds.), *Oxford Handbook of deaf studies, language, and education*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Schick, B., de Villiers, P., de Villiers, J., and Hoffmeister, R. (2007). Language and theory of mind: A study of deaf children. *Child Development*, 78, 376-396.

Silvestre, N., Ramspott, A. and Pareto, I. (2007). Conversational skills in a semistructured interview and self-concept in Deaf students. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 12 (1), 38-54.

Spencer, P.E., Erting, C.J. and Marschark, M. (2000) *The deaf child in the family and at school: Essays in honour of Katherine P Meadow–Orlans*. London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Steinberg, A.G., Sullivan, V.J. and Loew, R.C. (1998) Cultural and linguistic barriers to mental health service access: The Deaf consumer's perspective. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 155:982-984

Stinson, M.S. and Antia, S.D. (1999) Considerations in educating deaf and hard-of hearing students in inclusive settings. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 4 (3), 163-75.

Stinson, M., Liu, Y., Saur, R., and Long, G. (1996). Deaf college students' perceptions of communication in mainstream classes. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 1 (1), pp. 40-51.

Stuckless, E.R., and Birch, J.W. (1966). The influence of early manual communication on the linguistic development of deaf children. *American Annals of the Deaf*, 111, 452– 460, 499–504.

Subtelny, J.D. (1977) Assessment of speech with implication for training. In F. Bess (ed) *Childhood Deafness*. New York: Grune and Stratton.

Svirsky, M., Robbins, A., Kirk, K., Pisoni, D. B., and Miyamoto, R. T. (2000). Language development in profoundly deaf children with cochlear implants. *Psychological Science*, 11, 153–158.

Swanwick, R. and Gregory, S. (2007). *Sign Bilingual Education: Policy and Practice*. Coleford: Douglas McLean.

Taumoepeau, M., and Ruffman, T. (2008). Stepping stones to others' minds: Maternal talk relates to child mental state language and emotion understanding at 15, 24 and 33 months. *Child Development*, 79, 284-302.

Trezek, B.J. and Malmgren, K.W. (2005). The efficacy of utilizing a phonics treatment package with middle school deaf and hard-of-hearing students. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 10, 256-271.

Trezek, B.J. and Wang, Y. (2006). Implications of utilizing a phonic-based reading curriculum with children who are deaf or hard-of-hearing. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 11, 202-213.

Trybus, R. J., and Karchmer, M. A. (1977). School achievement scores of hearing impaired children: national data on achievement status and growth patterns. *American Annals of the Deaf*, 122(2), 62-69.

Vieu, A., Mondain, M., Blanchard, K., Sillon, M., Reuillard-Artieres, F., Tobey, E., Uziel, A., and Piron, J. (1998). Influence of communication mode on speech intelligibility and syntactic structure of sentences in profoundly hearing

impaired French children implanted between 5 and 9 years of age.

International Journal of Otorhinolaryngology, 44, 15-22.

Waters, G. S., and Doehring, D. G. (1990). Reading acquisition in congenitally deaf children who communicate orally: Insights from an analysis of component reading, language, and memory skills. In T. H. Carr and B. A. Levy (Eds.), Reading and its development: Component skills approaches (pp. 323–373). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

Wauters, L.N., van Bon, W.H.J., and Tellings, A.E.J.M. (2006). Reading comprehension of Dutch deaf children. Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal 19:49–76.

White, K.R. (1982). Defining and prioritising the personal and social competencies needed by hearing-impaired students. Volta Review, 84 (6), 266-274.

Wirz, S. (2001) Managing voice with deaf and hearing impaired speakers. In M. Freeman and M. Fawcus (eds) 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Voice disorders and their management. Whurr.

Woll, B. (2008). Deafness and hearing impairment. Paper prepared as part of the Foresight Review on Mental Capital and Wellbeing. (Accessed 19 January 2011) Available at: [http://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/bispartners/foresight/docs/mental-capital/sr-d5\\_mcw.pdf](http://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/bispartners/foresight/docs/mental-capital/sr-d5_mcw.pdf)

Woll, B., and Morgan, G. (2010). Sign linguistics, sign language learning and sign bilingualism. *Contemporary Applied Linguistics*. Vol 2. Linguistics for the Real World. Continuum Press.

Woolfe, T., Want, S. C., and Siegal, M. (2002). Signposts to development: Theory of mind in deaf children. *Child Development*, 73, 768-778.

Wood, D., Wood, H., Griffiths, A. and Howarth, I. (1986). *Teaching and talking with deaf children*. Chichester: Wiley.

Yoshinaga-Itano, C. (2009) Beyond Newborn Hearing Screening: Language outcomes to 84 months. National Hearing Screening Program Conference, London, March.