



City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Bowers, L., James, K., Quirk, A., Simpson, A., Sugar, X., Stewart, D. & Hodsoll, J. (2016). Corrigendum to "Reducing conflict and containment rates on acute psychiatric wards: The Safewards cluster randomised controlled trial" [Int. J. Nurs. Stud. 52 (September (9)) (2015) 1412-1422]. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 58, 102. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2015.12.006

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/13908/>

Link to published version: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2015.12.006>

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online:

<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/>

publications@city.ac.uk

Corrigendum to “Reducing conflict and containment rates on acute psychiatric wards: The Safewards cluster randomised controlled trial” [Int. J. Nurs. Stud. 52 (September (9)) (2015) 1412–1422]

Len Bowers, Karen James, Alan Quirk, Alan Simpson, Sugar, Duncan Stewart, John Hodsoll

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2015.12.006>

The reduction in the rate of conflict should read 15% (95% CI 5.7–23.7%) and containment 23.2% (95% CI 9.9–35.5%) in the abstract and Section 2.1. Table 1 row 8 for PCC containment, risk of events – n/N should read 0.58 (938/1607) experimental, and 0.50 (802/1609) control. In Table 2, the confidence intervals for physical health should read –3.702 to –0.003, and the corresponding intervals stated in Section 2.3 should read “The control group staff showed a 1.85 point (95% CI: –0.003, –3.704) greater improvement in physical health than the Safewards intervention”

The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.