



City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Dickinson, C., Subramanian, A. & Harper, R. A. (2015). Evaluating the effectiveness of the Macular Society Eccentric Viewing Rehabilitation Training - the evaluation study. Paper presented at the ESLRR 2015, 25-27 Sep 2015, Oxford, UK.

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/15063/>

Link to published version:

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online:

<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/>

publications@city.ac.uk

Evaluating the effectiveness of the Macular Society Eccentric Viewing Rehabilitation

Training - the evaluation study

Chris Dickinson

(Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Manchester), Ahalya Subramanian (City University), Robert Harper (Manchester Royal Eye Hospital, Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust)

Background: The Macular Society have provided Eccentric Viewing (EV) training across the UK since 2006, by training volunteers to deliver a community based model. Trainers deliver free one-to-one training during 1-3, 1 hour over a 2–3 month period, usually in learners' homes. During sessions, trainers also share handy hints and tips about (e.g.) lighting, magnification, social support and technology.

Methods: An independent research team evaluated the effectiveness of the programme using a wide range of outcome measures, including reading ability; well-being; and health- and vision-related quality of life. Learners were also interviewed for their opinions.

Results: 121 learners completed all stages of the study. They perceived the trainers to be knowledgeable, well- trained and friendly. 75% of learners felt they had received helpful advice in addition to EV training; and 65% of learners felt they had achieved a positive outcome. Objective results were less encouraging. There was a borderline significant increase in "life satisfaction" for the learners, but a highly significant decrease in their "positive affect" (PANAS). There was no significant change in measured reading speed or acuity (MNRead-style test); or in health- or vision-related quality of life (EQ-5D-5L; VisQoL; NEI-VFQ-7). There was, however, an increase in the proportion of learners reporting that they could read a newspaper with "little or no difficulty" (from 13% to 23%); and a significant decrease in the number reporting "extreme difficulty" whilst watching television (from 27% to 15%).

Conclusion: The findings may be explained by some of the unique features of this programme: many learners who would seem to have limited scope for improvement still wish to undertake the training; the interval between training sessions is lengthy; and there is no link to a low vision assessment.

Acknowledgements: Commissioned and funded by the Macular Society.