The Effects of Macular Ischemia on Visual Acuity in Diabetic Retinopathy
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PURPOSE. To investigate the impact of diabetic macular ischemia (DMI) on visual acuity (VA), through the analysis of novel fluorescein angiography (FA) parameters.

METHODS. Data were retrospectively collected over a 6-month period. DMI severity was graded using Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) protocols. Custom software was used to quantify areas of the foveal avascular zone (FAZ), and of capillary nonperfusion over the papillomacular nerve fiber layer bundle, and temporal macula, and associations tested with VA.

RESULTS. A total of 488 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and FAs of sufficient quality to allow detailed quantitative analyses were included. ETDRS-DMI severity was graded as: none, 39.7%; questionable, 18.4%; mild, 25.2%; moderate, 11.0%; and severe, 5.6%. Median FAZ areas were 0.19 mm2 (interquartile range [IQR], 0.13–0.25); 0.25 mm2 (IQR, 0.18–0.32); 0.27 mm2 (IQR, 0.19–0.38); 0.32 mm2 (IQR, 0.25–0.54); and 0.78 mm2 (IQR, 0.60–1.32), respectively, and were significantly different between all grades (P < 0.002), apart from “questionable” versus “mild” grades. Significant association of VA to FAZ area was observed only in the moderate (β = 0.406, SE = 0.101, P = 0.001) and severe (β = 0.299, SE = 0.108, P = 0.006) subgroups, but not in milder ETDRS-DMI grades. A strong association with VA was observed in cases with papillomacular ischemia (β = 1.123, SE = 0.355, P = 0.005), independent of FAZ size or the presence of macular edema.

CONCLUSIONS. Diabetic macular ischemia is associated with reduced VA in eyes with moderate to severe ETDRS-DMI grades of ischemia but preserved in milder grades. In addition, we describe the independent association of papillomacular nerve fiber bundle ischemia with reduced VA. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2013;54:2353–2360) DOI:10.1167/iovs.12-11103

Diabetic macular ischemia (DMI) is an important cause of visual impairment in patients with diabetic retinopathy—in large part due to the devastating and irreversible visual loss that it causes in a minority of cases. DMI is best visualized using fluorescein angiography (FA), and is characterized by enlargement and disruption of the foveal avascular zone (FAZ), and by retinal capillary loss in other, noncontiguous areas of the macula (“capillary drop-out”). Standards for assessment of DMI severity were first established in the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) in the 1980s. Since then, numerous studies have demonstrated a link between the presence of DMI and the loss of visual function. Furthermore, other studies suggest that, in patients receiving treatment for diabetic macular edema (DME), the coexistence of DMI may have an adverse effect on outcomes, or limit the benefits of treatments, regardless of whether the treatment consists of laser photocoagulation or intravitreal pharmacotherapies.

Although the deleterious effects of DMI—both from clinical studies, and from the weight of experience in clinical practice—are well established, much remains uncertain. In particular, the effects of DMI on visual function are ill defined, with some patients demonstrating near normal levels of visual acuity in the presence of profound ischemia. Such patients can experience sudden and severe decreases in visual acuity with small increases in disease severity; in most cases, the tipping point for such changes is not obvious. In addition, the effects of DMI on treatment outcomes remain equivocal. Although some small studies have suggested a negative effect, larger prospective studies, such as ETDRS and the RESTORE study, have not demonstrated clear associations between decreased treatment benefit and increased macular ischemia. These contrasting results may be related, in part, to the adoption in many studies of simplified DMI grading schemes, commonly eschewing detailed quantitative analyses of capillary loss for qualitative analysis of the FAZ. Finally, due to the requirement of angiography for its evaluation, DMI has not been studied in the pivotal epidemiological studies of diabetic retinopathy. Thus, the prevalence and natural history of this condition remains unknown.

In this report, we perform in-depth qualitative and quantitative analyses of macular ischemia, both in the central macula and paramacular areas, in a large cohort of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. We also correlate these changes with visual acuity in an effort to determine their visual significance and to identify novel parameters of interest for future clinical trials.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Inclusion Criteria and Data Collection

Clinical and imaging data were collected retrospectively over a 6-month time period, from patients attending a single consultant-led (CE) medical retinal clinic, with a focus on diabetic eye disease. Approval for data collection and analysis was obtained from the Moorfields Eye Hospital research and ethics committee and adhered to the tenets set forth in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consecutive patients referred from the United Kingdom National Screening Committee (UK NSC) – Diabetic Eye Screening Programme, with a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus, who underwent an FA within 6 months of the study period were included. (Patients with Type 1 diabetes mellitus are being analyzed in a separate study.) Patient demographic data, visual acuities, and retinopathy/maculopathy grades (at the time of FA), were obtained from the UK NSC standardized electronic reports, a grading system which has been described in more detail elsewhere.20 Patient age at time of attendance, and presence of ocular comorbidity, were obtained from diagnostic labels, electronic patient records and FAs. Patients with ocular comorbidities—including retinal arterial or venous occlusion, epiretinal membrane, neovascular AMD, inherited macular disease, intermediate and posterior uveitis, or macular scarring of any etiology—were excluded.

In most cases, FA images were obtained on the date of attendance in the clinic; however, patients were also included in the study if angiography had been performed within 6 months of the study attendance date. In patients with bilateral disease of symmetrical severity, a single eye was selected using permuted-block randomization for inclusion in the study. In patients with bilateral asymmetrical disease, the eye with the greatest degree of ischemic maculopathy was selected.

Acquisition and Analysis of Fluorescein Angiograms

Grading Methods for Macular Ischemia. All angiographic images were acquired with a digital retinal camera system (Topcon TRC 50IX; Topcon Medical Systems, Inc., Paramus, NJ). FA images centered on the macula were chosen for optimal focus and intensity levels, which allowed visualization of macular capillaries. No image manipulation was performed prior to grading. Macular ischemia was dual-graded by two masked assessors (DAS and EP) using protocols and standard photographs from ETDRS Report No. 11.5 According to these criteria, DMI was classified as none, questionable, mild, moderate, or severe. In the case of disagreement between graders, open adjudication was used to resolve the final grading decision.

Grading Methods for Temporal and Papillomacular Ischemia. The presence of additional areas of capillary nonperfusion, noncontiguous with the FAZ, was also noted at the time of grading. “Papillomacular ischemia” was defined by its location within the nasal quadrant of the ETDRS grid and/or the area of retina bordered by the temporal edge of the optic disc and the nasal edge of the FAZ, along the
papillomacular nerve fiber raphe (Figs. 1a, 1b, 2a, 2b). "Temporal ischemia" was defined as the area located one disc diameter temporal to the central fovea and within the superior and inferior temporal vascular arcades (Figs. 1c, 1d, 2a). The boundaries of these areas were visualized in postmortem human eye tissue which was fixed in paraformaldehyde 4% and the retinal nerve fiber layer immunostained with tubulin beta III. The locations of both "PM" and "temporal" areas were defined according to distinctive patterns of the retinal nerve fiber layer, (illustrated in Fig. 2) and overlaid onto equivalent areas on FA images.

Quantification of the FAZ and Other Areas of Capillary Nonperfusion. Quantitative analysis of all images was performed using a validated image viewer and grading software package ("GRADOR"; Doheny Image Reading Center, Los Angeles, CA) that facilitates planimetric measurements. Using this software, the areas of the FAZ, and other areas of capillary nonperfusion, were assessed in square millimeters (mm²). Temporal and papillomacular ischemia were calculated in a similar fashion.

Statistical Analysis
Clinical and imaging data were analyzed with frequency and descriptive statistics. Snellen visual acuities were converted to logMAR (logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution) visual acuity for the purposes of statistical analysis. The Mann-Whitney U test and Spearman’s correlation (r) were used to assess the relationships areas of ischemia and visual acuity. Normality of the variables was examined using histograms. As anticipated, distribution of visual acuity and FAZ measurements were negatively skewed and traditional regression analyses could not be applied to the data set. No simple transformation of data redressed the skewness.

Multivariable quantile regression analysis was used to test for associations between logMAR visual acuity and each of the following: age, sex, retinopathy grade, diabetic macular edema, FAZ measurements, and noncontiguous areas of ischemia. Quantile regression is a statistical approach to model different sample percentiles or “quantiles” of an outcome variable with respect to predictor variables, and is robust to nonparametric distributions of data. This approach has been described in more detail elsewhere. Briefly, the interpretation of quantile regression is similar to linear regression, but where linear regression models the mean of the outcome variable, quantile regression models selected percentiles (e.g., the 0.50 quantile (the median, or 50th percentile)). In this study, we analyzed the 0.10, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, and 0.90 quantiles. This allowed the analysis of more complex relationships between variables (such as VA), which may have unequal variation for different ranges of another variable (e.g., FAZ). We developed models for each DMI ischemia grade, and further adjusted for potential confounders such as age (continuous variable); sex; retinopathy grade; and the presence of diabetic macular edema (categorical variables). “q” indicates the quantile regression coefficient and P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using statistical software (R 2.15.0; http://cran.r-project.org, in the public domain), with the addition of the “quantreg” package.

RESULTS
Baseline Characteristics
Electronic records of 2051 appointments were screened, and 488 patients with type 2 diabetes met the inclusion criteria. The male to female ratio was 5:4, and mean age was 64.2 years (SD = 12.6). An attempt was made to grade both eyes for severity of DMI. In 401 patients, FA images were of sufficient quality to allow DMI grading in both eyes; in an additional 52 patients, DMI grading was possible in a single eye only. In 55 patients, FA images were of insufficient quality to permit grading of DMI in either eye. Forty-six patients were then excluded due to the presence of ocular comorbidities. In total, 408 eyes from 408 patients were included in the analysis. For this assessment of DMI severity, substantial intergrader agreement was demonstrated, with a weighted kappa of 0.704. (SE = 0.087, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.535–0.874).

Symmetry of Disease
Within the 401 patients where both eyes were gradable, 249 patients (62.1%) had bilaterally symmetrical macular ischemia.
The difference in grade between the asymmetrical eyes was as follows: no more than one grade in 107 patients (26.7%); two grades in 32 (8%); three grades in 12 (3%); and four grades in 1 (0.2%). Where there was symmetrical disease, one eye was randomized for analysis. In asymmetrical disease (154 patients [37.9%]), the eye with the most severe DMI grade was selected.

**Prevalence of Diabetic Macular Ischemia by ETDRS-DMI Grade.** In the 407 eyes analyzed, 162 eyes (39.7%) had none; 75 (18.4%) questionable; 103 (25.2%) mild; 45 (11.0%) moderate; and 23 (5.6%) had severe ETDRS-DMI grades.

**Prevalence of DMI (by ETDRS Grade) within Different Severity Grades of Retinopathy and Maculopathy.** DMI was most prevalent in eyes with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR; 122/158 eyes [77.2%]); clinically significant macular edema (CSME; 121/175 eyes [69.4%]); and in eyes with DME, but without CSME (121/175 eyes [69.4%]). An increase in the proportion of eyes with DMI in relation to eyes with no DMI, expressed as the "DMI: No DMI" ratio, was seen in patients with severe nonproliferative PDR (NPDR) and PDR, and across all maculopathy grades (Table 1).

**Increasing FAZ Area with ETDRS-DMI Severity Grades.** As expected, the median FAZ area increased with grade of ETDRS-DMI severity. Median FAZ areas were 0.19 mm² (interquartile range [IQR], 0.13–0.25) in "none"; 0.25 mm² (IQR, 0.18–0.32) in "questionable"; 0.27 mm² (IQR, 0.19–0.38) in "mild"; 0.32 mm² (IQR, 0.25–0.54) in "moderate"; and 0.78 mm² (IQR, 0.60–1.32) in "severe" ETDRS-DMI grades. Highly significant differences in median FAZ area were seen across all subgroups of DMI, with the exception of "questionable" versus "mild" ETDRS-DMI grades (Table 2, Fig. 3).

**Prevalence of Temporal and/or Papillomacular Ischemia.** Temporal and papillomacular areas of ischemia were calculated using templates derived from nerve fiber layer analysis in the postmortem human eye, and superimposed on FA images. The median area of temporal ischemia was 3.62 mm² (IQR, 2.10–6.66), and was present in 112/408 eyes (27.5%), while the median area of papillomacular ischemia was 0.38 mm² (IQR, 0.13–0.60) and was present in 34/408 eyes (8.3%). Both temporal and papillomacular ischemia were most prevalent in higher ETDRS-DMI grades. This was most notable for temporal ischemia, which was present in 20/23 eyes (87.0%) in the severe subgroup; 19/45 eyes (42.2%) in moderate; 41/103 eyes (39.8%) in mild; 22/75 eyes (29.3%) in questionable; and 10/162 eyes (6.2%) in none. Papillomacular ischemia was also more frequently seen with increasing severity of ETDRS-DMI subgroups, with 8/23 eyes (34.8%) in severe; 7/45 eyes (15.6%) in moderate; 11/103 eyes (10.7%) in...
mild; 375 eyes (4.0%) in questionable; and 0/162 eyes in none.

Visual Significance of Macular Ischemia

Relationship between Visual Acuity and ETDRS-DMI Severity Grade. Median logMAR visual acuity (VA) was 0.2 (IQR, 0.0–0.3; Snellen 20/32) in eyes with “none”; 0.2 (IQR: 0.0–0.5; Snellen 20/32) questionable; 0.2 (IQR: 0.0–0.5; Snellen 20/32) mild; 0.5 (IQR: 0.2–0.6; Snellen 20/63) moderate; and 0.6 (IQR: 0.3–0.8; Snellen 20/80) with severe ETDRS-DMI grades. Significant differences in VA were observed between moderate and severe ETDRS-DMI grades compared with all other grades (Table 3).

Relationship between FAZ Size and Visual Acuity. Overall, we found no evidence any correlation between VA and FAZ area (mm²) (P = 0.061, P = 0.219). However, when the data were stratified by severity of ischemia, quantitative regression models revealed a statistically significant association between VA and FAZ area (mm²) in all quantiles for eyes with moderate and severe ETDRS-DMI grades (Table 4, Fig. 4A). Severe DMI showed the strongest association with quantile regression coefficient, \( \beta = 0.406 \) (SE = 0.101, P < 0.001), at the 50th percentile. In moderate DMI, the greatest association was also observed at the 50th percentile, \( \beta = 0.299 \) (SE = 0.108, P < 0.006). The effects of FAZ area on VA on moderate and severe ETDRS-DMI grades for different quantiles are summarized in Table 4 and Figure 4A. No relationships were observed between VA and FAZ area for milder grades of ischemia.

Relationship of Temporal and Papillomacular Ischemia with Visual Acuity. We investigated whether papillomacular and temporal ischemia had a significant impact on VA after adjustment for FAZ area. A higher than expected association was observed in papillomacular ischemia at the 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th quantile regression lines (Table 5, Fig. 4B), with a quantile regression coefficient of \( \beta = 1.12 \) (SE = 0.355, P = 0.005) at the 50th percentile. Thus, papillomacular ischemia has an impact on VA independent of FAZ size. This effect was maintained after adjusting for potential confounders such as DME (Table 6). No relationship was observed with temporal ischemia.

DISCUSSION

In this retrospective, cross-sectional study, we perform detailed quantitative analyses of FA images obtained from a large cohort of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Using this approach, we estimate the prevalence of DMI and, when present, evaluate its visual significance. We also highlight novel angiographic parameters that, if confirmed in future longitudinal studies, may be of value for monitoring of disease, both in clinical practice and in clinical trials.

In this study, we determined the prevalence of DMI in a cohort of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus attending a tertiary referral medical retina clinic (i.e., patients with some level of diabetic retinopathy and/or maculopathy). In this cohort, 16.6% had macular ischemic changes in the moderate to severe range, considerably higher than previously reported.19 However, the prevalence determined in our study may be more typical of those seen by retina specialists in routine clinical practice, and cannot be compared with prospective clinical trials such as ETDRS, which excluded patients with active proliferative and severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy. We also report the prevalence of DMI in patients with different clinical grades of diabetic maculopathy and/or retinopathy. Coexisting pathology—i.e., DME and DMI—is common in diabetic retinopathy and perhaps unsurprisingly, some evidence of DMI was seen in 69.4% of cases with CSME; of these, 19.4% were found to have DMI in the moderate to severe range. Eyes with PDR (both treated and untreated) and severe NPDR were found to have evidence of DMI in the majority of cases (PDR: 77.2% [n = 122]; severe NPDR: 59.7% [n = 40]). DMI, though less commonly seen across all other grades of NPDR, was still present in 46% of eyes (n = 64) with mild to moderate NPDR, and 45.5% of eyes (n = 20) with no diabetic retinopathy (DR) of interest. All 20 eyes (4.9% of the study population) with no DR and concurrent DMI had some degree of macular edema (CSME: 15 eyes; DME: 5 eyes). Although the association between DME/CSME is well established, it can also occur at any level of diabetic retinopathy. However, there may be alternative explanations for this finding: First, as the grades of DR were based on clinical examination, there remains a possibility that mild or nontreatable DR (such as the presence of microaneurysms

Table 3. Comparing Median Visual Acuities (LogMar) between all DMI Subgroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DMI Grade</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Questionable</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P Value for VA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10th Quantile</th>
<th>25th Quantile</th>
<th>50th Quantile</th>
<th>75th Quantile</th>
<th>90th Quantile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None, n = 75</td>
<td>0.041 0.064</td>
<td>0.530 0.001</td>
<td>0.123 0.099</td>
<td>0.001 0.030</td>
<td>0.992 0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild, n = 103</td>
<td>0.019 0.063</td>
<td>0.757 0.199</td>
<td>0.123 0.107</td>
<td>0.015 0.044</td>
<td>0.765 0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate, n = 45</td>
<td>0.224 0.085</td>
<td>0.007**</td>
<td>0.199 0.093</td>
<td>0.034*</td>
<td>0.299 0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe, n = 24</td>
<td>0.326 0.083</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td>0.305 0.142</td>
<td>0.034*</td>
<td>0.406 0.101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coefficient (\( \beta \)) and SE are reported for 10%, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 90%. Coefficients that are significant at 5% level are bold, and those at the 1% level are bold and underlined. P values significant at 5% level are indicated with * and ** at 1% level. Standard errors are obtained using 1000 bootstrap replications. The DMI grade values presented adjust for age (continuous variable); sex; retinopathy grade; and the presence of macular edema (categorical variable).
associations were found for patients with moderate or severe DMI. This relationship was particularly strong for patients with severe DMI (Fig. 4A). Our results confirm, therefore, a definite link between macular ischemia and visual function; but only in the approximately 15% of patients with moderate to severe DMI.

In this report, we also investigated a number of novel angiographic parameters, including two regions of noncontiguous retinal capillary loss we hypothesized to be of functional significance. Moreover, in this process, we developed methods for standardized quantification of these areas. First, we examined temporal ischemia: an area which has been observed clinically to act as a driver of macular edema, and has been previously associated with angle neovascularization in patients with PDR. Secondly, we evaluated papillomacular ischemia: an area where the capillary network overlies and supplies the nerve fibers of the papillomacular bundle, originating from the central macula or fovea (Figs. 1, 2). We hypothesized that ischemia in these locations, which contain a high density of axons originating from the macula, may have an association with reduction in VA. We observed a strong significant association between papillomacular ischemia and VA, independent of the FAZ size, and clinical covariates such as DME, suggesting that the location of macular ischemic changes plays a critical role in its effects on visual function.

Our study has a number of strengths, combining standardized qualitative and quantitative assessment of FA images, with

---

**Table 5.** Association between Visual Acuity and Area of Papillomacular or Temporal Ischemia (mm²) for Five Different Quantiles after Adjusting for FAZ Area (mm²) as a Covariate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Ischemia</th>
<th>10th Quantile</th>
<th>25th Quantile</th>
<th>50th Quantile</th>
<th>75th Quantile</th>
<th>90th Quantile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P Value</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillomacular,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 34</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 112</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coefficient (β) and SE are reported for 10%, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 90%. Coefficients that are significant at 5% level are bold, and those at the 1% level are bold and underlined. P values significant at 5% level are indicated with * and ** at 1% level. Standard errors are obtained using 1000 bootstrap replications. Area of ischemia values adjust for sex; retinopathy grade; the presence of macular edema (categorical variables); age; and FAZ area (mm²; continuous variables).
TABLE 6. Multivariable Median Regression between Visual Acuity and Area of Papillomacular Ischemia (mm²) Adjusting for FAZ Area (mm²) and Other Clinical Covariates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median Regression Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Papillomacular ischemia</td>
<td>1.123</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAZ area</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DME</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No DME</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSME</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated CSME</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.679</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The median regression coefficient is reported with standard errors obtained using 1000 bootstrap replications. Coefficients that are significant at 5% level are bold, and those at the 1% level are bold and underlined. *P values significant at 5% level are indicated with * and ** at 1% level.

In summary, patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus attending a tertiary referral medical retina clinic, 41.8% of eyes had evidence of DMI, while 16.6% of eyes had evidence of moderate to severe DMI. DMI can sometimes be seen in eyes with early retinal disease and, when present, appears symmetrical in the majority of cases. The presence of moderate to severe DMI was also associated with impairment of visual function. The presence of papillomacular ischemia was particularly associated with VA reduction—this parameter may be of particular interest for future evaluation in prospective studies. No effective treatment currently exists for patients with visual loss attributable to DMI—such a patient group may be an appropriate first target for the development of cellular therapies in diabetic retinopathy.
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