In this paper the effect of working-fluid replacement within an organic Rankine cycle turbine is investigated by evaluating the performance of two supersonic stators operating with different working fluids. After designing the two stators, intended for operation with R245fa and Toluene with stator exit absolute Mach numbers of 1.4 and 1.7 respectively, the performance of each stator is evaluated using ANSYS CFX. Based on the principle that the design of a given stator is dependent on the amount of flow turning, it is hypothesised that a stator’s design point can be scaled to alternative working fluids by conserving the Prandtl-Meyer function and the polytropic index within the nozzle. A scaling method is developed and further CFD simulations for the scaled operating points verify that the Mach number distributions within the stator, and the non-dimensional velocity triangles at the stator exit, remain unchanged. This confirms that the method developed can predict stator performance following a change in the working fluid. Finally, a study investigating the effect of working-fluid replacement on the thermodynamic cycle is completed. The results show that the same turbine could be used in different systems with power outputs varying between 17 and 112 kW, suggesting the potential of matching the same turbine to multiple heat sources by tailoring the working fluid selected. This further implies that the same turbine design could be deployed in different applications, thus leading to economy-of-scale improvements.
1 Introduction

Organic Rankine cycle (ORC) systems with power outputs exceeding a few hundred kilowatts are a mature technology for the conversion of low- and medium-temperature heat sources into shaft power [1, 2]. By comparison, there is a significant interest in smaller ORC systems for domestic and commercial-scale combined heat and power [3] and solar [4, 5] applications, alongside engine waste-heat recovery [6]. However, the widespread implementation of these technologies is currently limited by a lack of suitable expanders, and high system costs. The development of an efficient expander that can be manufactured at a low cost could go some way to overcoming these challenges. In addition to improvements in component performance and reliability, economy-of-scale improvements are necessary to achieve this reduction in cost [7]. This can be achieved by developing system components with a wide operating envelope, thus allowing the high-volume production of a single component that can be used in multiple applications. The focus of this paper is to investigate working-fluid replacement as a means of widening the operating envelope of small-scale ORC radial turbines. The focus on radial turbines is driven by the premise that they are a technically mature, compact, lightweight, robust and cheap technology. Furthermore, as heat-source temperatures rise the optimal pressure ratio across the expander increases, which limits the use of volumetric expanders such as scroll and screw technologies based on their low built-in volumetric ratios.

The use of organic fluids in turbines introduces additional complexities compared to designing conventional turbines for gas and steam applications. Firstly, organic fluids deviate from ideal gas law behaviour within the operating range typically experienced by an ORC turbine. Therefore, design and simulation models must be coupled with a suitable equation of state. Furthermore, organic fluids may also exhibit non-classical fluid dynamic behaviour where classical fluid dynamic effects are reversed [8–10]. The extent to which these effects are observed within ORC turbines is still not well understood, but is an active area of research, for example in Refs. [11–13]. Another complexity that arises from using an organic fluid in turbines is a low speed of sound, which means that supersonic conditions are experienced at lower isentropic enthalpy drops compared to conventional turbine designs. Most notably, supersonic conditions will be experienced within the stator, but could also be present in the rotor. For rotor inlet absolute Mach numbers only slightly exceeding unity, a choked converging stator can be designed, and the expansion to the design Mach number can be achieved in the rotor-stator interspace. For higher absolute Mach numbers, a converging-diverging stator is required. The design of the diverging section of the stator is critical, and in its simplest form is a supersonic nozzle which is geometrically manipulated to deliver the flow to the rotor inlet at the correct flow angle.

Aldo and Argrow [14] first developed a 2D axisymmetric minimum-length nozzle design model suitable for dense gases. This model used the method of characteristics and accounted for fluid properties using the van der Waals equation of state. Alternatively, Hoffren et al. [15] coupled an existing 2D ideal gas viscous flow solver to a real gas equation of state and studied the design of a supersonic stator operating with Toluene. The results were found to agree reasonable well with 1D design calculations. Other researchers have also used computational fluid dynamics (CFD) to investigate the performance of ORC supersonic stators [16–18]. Pasquale et al. [19] developed a more advanced stator design model, in which the stator profile is optimised by coupling a CFD solver with an evolutionary algorithm. The objective was to optimise the stator geometry to minimise the total pressure loss whilst obtaining a uniform flow distribution at the stator outlet. Persico [20] also applied an evolutionary algorithm to optimise the design of a supersonic stator for organic vapours. Alternatively, the adjoint method has been studied for the same purpose [21], whilst Buti and Cinnella [22] developed an optimisation strategy that considered a multi-objective optimisation of the average and variance of the stator isentropic efficiency. Wheeler and Ong [23] developed a stator design method based on the method of characteristics, and assumed that the working fluid obeys the polytropic relationship \( P/P^k = \text{constant} \), where \( k \) is the polytropic index. This allowed the Prandtl-Meyer function \( \nu \) to be formulated as a function of \( k \). The nozzle designs that resulted from this model were validated using CFD, before being implemented within an ORC turbine stator. Later this design model was used to study unsteady effects in ORC turbines [24].

A major challenge facing numerical studies on ORC turbines is that of obtaining experimental data for validation of design models and CFD solvers. Currently, there are a few commissioned test facilities that aim to address this challenge [25–28]. Already there have been interesting results concerning trailing-edge losses in ORC turbines [27], and
experimental observations of the expansion of MDM within a converging-diverging nozzle [29]. These results, along with further developments in the other test facilities, will remain critical for the future validation of numerical models.

So far, the studies discussed concern the operation of a particular ORC stator, designed for a particular working fluid. Moreover, only a single design point is typically considered, although multi-point design methods have also been proposed [30]. However, to address concerns over high costs for small ORC systems, the authors believe it is necessary to investigate using the same turbine within various applications; this implies designing turbines for multiple design points, but also requires an understanding of how a turbine design responds to changes in the operating conditions or the working fluid. Previous work conducted by the authors has explored using a modified similitude model to scale a turbine’s design point to alternative working fluids [31]. The application of the modified similitude theory to an ORC turbine leads to:

\[
\left[ \frac{\Delta h_s}{N^2 D^2}, \eta \right] = f \left( \frac{m}{\rho^* N D^3}, \frac{ND}{a^*} \right),
\]

where \(\Delta h_s\) is the turbine isentropic enthalpy drop, \(\eta\) is the turbine isentropic efficiency, \(m\) is the mass-flow rate, \(N\) is the rotational speed, \(D\) is the rotor diameter and \(a^*\) and \(\rho^*\) are the speed of sound and density at the choked stator throat. The first term on the left-hand side of the equation is the head coefficient, whilst the terms on the right-hand side are the flow coefficient and blade Mach number respectively. In the previous work [31], the predictions made using Equation 1 for \(m\) and \(\eta\) following a change in the working fluid were compared to CFD simulations and the results agreed to within 1%. Furthermore, it was shown that by changing the working fluid the same turbine could be used in different ORC systems, each designed for a different thermal input. However, this study was limited to rotor inlet absolute Mach numbers that only just exceeded unity since the stator had a simple converging geometry.

Other authors have studied the application of similitude theory to predict turbine performance following a change in working fluid [32–34]. However, missing from these studies is a detailed investigation into working-fluid replacement, accounting for supersonic flows and the presence of non-ideal gas effects. The aim of this paper is to extend the concept of working-fluid replacement to supersonic ORC turbines, with both non-ideal gas effects and supersonic flow considered, although multi-point design methods have also been proposed [30]. However, to address concerns over high costs for small ORC systems, the authors believe it is necessary to investigate using the same turbine within various applications; this implies designing turbines for multiple design points, but also requires an understanding of how a turbine design responds to changes in the operating conditions or the working fluid. Previous work conducted by the authors has explored using a modified similitude model to scale a turbine’s design point to alternative working fluids [31]. The application of the modified similitude theory to an ORC turbine leads to:

\[
\left[ \frac{\Delta h_s}{N^2 D^2}, \eta \right] = f \left( \frac{m}{\rho^* N D^3}, \frac{ND}{a^*} \right),
\]

where \(\Delta h_s\) is the turbine isentropic enthalpy drop, \(\eta\) is the turbine isentropic efficiency, \(m\) is the mass-flow rate, \(N\) is the rotational speed, \(D\) is the rotor diameter and \(a^*\) and \(\rho^*\) are the speed of sound and density at the choked stator throat. The first term on the left-hand side of the equation is the head coefficient, whilst the terms on the right-hand side are the flow coefficient and blade Mach number respectively. In the previous work [31], the predictions made using Equation 1 for \(m\) and \(\eta\) following a change in the working fluid were compared to CFD simulations and the results agreed to within 1%. Furthermore, it was shown that by changing the working fluid the same turbine could be used in different ORC systems, each designed for a different thermal input. However, this study was limited to rotor inlet absolute Mach numbers that only just exceeded unity since the stator had a simple converging geometry.

Two ORC systems and two working fluids are considered in this study. R245fa is a common working fluid for low-temperature ORC applications [5, 35], whilst Toluene is a suitable candidate for high-temperature applications [7, 36]. A simple subcritical ORC is considered, albeit with operation close to the critical point; for both cycles the turbine inlet pressure is set to 95% of the critical pressure \(P_{cr}\). Not only is operation close to the critical point typical for supersonic ORC turbines, but also non-ideal gas effects are most prevalent near the critical point; this ensures these effects are accounted for within this study. The turbine inlet temperature is set to 101% of the critical temperature \(T_{cr}\) to ensure a suitable superheat to avoid two-phase conditions during expansion. The ORC thermodynamic design is completed by defining the condensation temperature \(T_{cr}\). For the R245fa cycle, \(T_c = 323\) K is assumed to ensure a suitable condenser pinch point. For Toluene, the condensation pressure is set to 100 kPa to avoid sub-atmospheric operation, which corresponds to \(T_c = 383\) K. The pump and turbine efficiencies are set to 70% and 80% respectively. The resulting thermodynamic cycles are described in Tab. 1. The Toluene cycle is suitable for heat-source temperatures in excess of 600 K, whilst the R245fa cycle is suitable for heat-source temperatures around 450 K.

| Table 1. Thermodynamic cycles and turbine designs |
|----------------|------------------|-----|
| R245fa | Toluene |
| \(T_c\) | 323.0 | 383.3 | K |
| \(P_{01}/P_3\) | 10.12 | 39.20 | - |
| \(\eta_{orc}\) | 12.94 | 17.21 | % |
| \(T_{01}\) | 431.4 | 597.7 | K |
| \(P_{01}\) | 3468 | 3920 | kPa |
| \(m\) | 1.76 | 0.45 | kg/s |
| \(N\) | 47.4 | 88.1 | kRPM |
| \(M_{a4}\) | 1.40 | 1.70 | - |
| \(a_{4}\) | 74.5 | 74.5 | % |
| \(b_{4}\) | 2.38 | 1.23 | mm |
| \(P_{4}\) | 1138 | 677 | kPa |
| \(\eta_{is}\) | 83.2 | 83.2 | % |
| \(k\) | 0.80 | 0.85 | - |

To design the turbine, non-dimensional turbomachinery design parameters are used (Tab. 2), which are set to val-
ues defined in the literature [37, 38]. Since the focus of this study is to investigate working-fluid replacement effects, rather than designing a turbine for a specific ORC application, the rotor inlet radius is set arbitrarily to 40 mm, and the mass-flow rate and power output are outputs from the turbine design process. The resulting turbine designs are also given in Tab. 1. The polytropic index $k$ is calculated according to in Ref. [23].

The extent to which non-ideal gas dynamics are expected within the turbine is investigated in Fig. 1 by determining how the compressibility factor $z$, the fundamental derivative $\Gamma$, and the parameter $J$ vary during an expansion from $T_0$ and $P_0$ to increasing values of Mach number, $Ma$. The compressibility factor is defined as:

$$z = \frac{P}{\rho RT} ,$$

where $P$ is the pressure, $\rho$ is the density, $R$ is the specific-gas constant and $T$ is the temperature. The compressibility factor is a measure of how non-ideal a gas behaves at a specific operating point, with $z = 1$ corresponding to an ideal state. The fundamental derivative is defined as:

$$\Gamma = 1 + \frac{P}{a} \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial \rho}\right)_s ,$$

where $a$ is the local speed of sound and $s$ is the entropy. Finally, $J$ is defined as:

$$J = 1 - \Gamma - \frac{1}{Ma^2} .$$

For a dense gas, $\Gamma < 1$, which corresponds to a reduction in the speed of sound through an expansion [8], whilst non-classical fluid dynamic effects are predicted when $J > 0$ [39]. From Fig. 1 it is observed that $\Gamma < 1$ but $J < 0$ during expansion up to $Ma = 2.5$. Therefore, for the expansion of Toluene or R245fa within a typical ORC turbine, conventional fluid dynamic effects are expected (i.e., compression shocks and expansion fans). However, the fluid behaviour is still non-ideal with $0.5 < z < 1$ for both working fluids.

## 3 Supersonic stator design

A 2D minimum-length nozzle design model is used to design the supersonic part of an ORC turbine stator. This model is based on the method of characteristics (MoC) and is coupled to a real gas equation of state [40] to account for non-ideal fluid behaviour. The MoC model has been previously validated using CFD for the design of two converging-diverging nozzles operating with R245fa and Toluene with the same inlet conditions and Mach numbers as those given in Tab. 1 [41]. It was observed that the MoC model produces supersonic nozzles that expand the working fluids to the desired Mach number. However, weak oblique shocks were observed within the nozzle, which are attributed to the flow interaction with the nozzle wall boundary layers which reduce the effective nozzle flow area. The nozzle design could be improved by widening the nozzle passage to account for this boundary layer displacement, but since the outlet Mach numbers agree to within 1%, this further complication was deemed unnecessary.

Using the validated supersonic nozzle designs, the supersonic stators can be constructed. The purpose of this paper is not to complete a full optimisation of the stator design, but to investigate the effects of working-fluid replacement in ORC supersonic stators. Therefore, instead of implementing a sophisticated design optimisation model, similar to those reported in the Refs. [19,21], a stator design method has been developed that facilitates the quick generation of a stator geometry that is suitable for this investigation. A summary of the design method and the final stator designs is given in 7.

## 4 CFD simulation formulation and design validation

To validate the R245fa and Toluene stator designs, a steady-state CFD simulation was setup in ANSYS CFX, which solves the full 3D Navier-Stokes equations using the finite-volume method. Since this analysis is considering only the stator vane a steady-state simulation is suitable, although the importance of using unsteady simulations to simulate the interaction between stator trailing-edge shocks and the rotor leading edge has been discussed [24,42]. Therefore this analysis takes no account of shock reflections from the rotor, although this should be one focus of future studies. Two quasi-2D meshes were constructed using Turbogrid, with only one element in the $z$-direction and a symmetry plane was applied.
The inlet conditions were set to the turbine total inlet conditions. The radius of the CFD outlet domain was set to 38 mm rather than setting it to the rotor inlet radius ($r_4 = 40$ mm) to ensure the outlet domain is sufficiently downstream of the trailing-edge wake such that the wake is fully mixed out before it reaches the boundary. The outlet boundary conditions were set to the average static pressure, with the pressure profile blend set to 5%. The outlet pressures were estimated by applying a 1D mass, energy and momentum balance between the rotor inlet radius and the radius of the CFD outlet domain. This corresponded to outlet pressures of 1000 kPa and 550 kPa for the R245fa and Toluene nozzles respectively. The $k\text{-}\omega$ SST turbulence model with automatic wall function was selected, and the high-resolution scheme was used for both the advection and turbulence calculations. To account for non-ideal fluid properties, REFPROP was used to generate fluid property look-up tables which are accessed by CFX during the simulations. During previous studies it has been found that a table size of $100 \times 100$ is sufficient, with the change in the nozzle loss coefficient predicted by this table size compared to a table size of $250 \times 250$, being less than 0.1% [41]. The grid-independent meshes used for the R245fa and Toluene stators were both constructed from $1.4 \times 10^5$ elements; an example of the mesh is shown in Fig. 2.

The Mach number contours predicted by the CFD simulations for the two stators are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, whilst the Mach number distributions along the nozzle centreline are shown in the top plot in Fig. 5. It is apparent that the flow is expanded within the diverging part of the supersonic nozzle to the desired Mach number for both stator designs. Downstream of the diverging section a more complex flow pattern is observed for both stators. This is the consequence of the generation of shockwaves at the trailing edge, and the interaction of these shocks with the boundary layer that develops on the stator blade walls. This shockwave-boundary layer interaction leads to an uneven distribution in the centreline Mach number downstream of the stator trailing edge, as observed in Fig. 5 for normalised streamwise locations greater than 0.7. This figure also displays the local loss coefficient at the rotor inlet radius, which is defined as:

$$\xi = \frac{h - h_s}{h_{01} - h_{4s}},$$

where $h$ and $h_s$ are the local enthalpy and local isentropic enthalpy respectively, $h_{01}$ is the total inlet enthalpy and $h_{4s}$ is the mass-averaged isentropic enthalpy across the whole rotor inlet. The greatest source of loss for both nozzles is in the wake generated downstream of the stator trailing edge, whilst a second source of loss is associated with the trailing-edge shocks observed at a normalised pitch of 0.95.

The overall performance of both stators is evaluated by calculating the overall stator loss coefficient $\zeta_n$, which is based on the mass-averaged static outlet conditions at the rotor inlet radius:

$$\zeta_n = \frac{h_4 - h_{4s}}{\frac{1}{2}c_4^2}.$$

The loss coefficients are 0.0672 and 0.0449 for the R245fa and Toluene stators respectively, which are both lower than the value specified for the preliminary design (Tab. 2). Since the R245fa stator expands to a lower Mach number than the Toluene stators, this implies the larger loss is the result of a wider and deeper wake, rather than being associated with increased shock losses. This can be observed in Figs. 3 and 4, and is further confirmed by comparing the loss profiles in Fig. 5. It is worth noting that $\zeta_n$ has been evaluated at the rotor inlet radius, where the flow is not fully mixed-out. Therefore, the calculation was repeated further...
downstream, at a radius of 39 mm. At this location, the flow is more mixed-out, but it was found that the change in the loss coefficients was negligible.

Based on the area-averaged velocity components obtained from the CFD simulations, and the rotational speeds defined in Tab. 1, the velocity triangles at the rotor inlet can be constructed (Fig. 6). The absolute flow angles $\alpha_4$ predicted by CFD for the R245fa and Toluene stators are 75.4° and 76.0° respectively, which are higher than the design value of 74.5°. The causes a shift in the velocity triangle, and a reduction in the relative flow angle. The mass-flow rates predicted from the CFD simulations are 1.74 kg/s and 0.44 kg/s for the R245fa and Toluene stators respectively. These are slightly less than the design values and correspond to percentage reductions of 1.2% and 3.3%. This reduction may be due to boundary-layer blockage effects, which reduce the effective throat width, thereby reducing the mass-flow rate that can pass through the stator. Referring to Tab. 4, the design point throat Reynolds numbers for the R245fa and Toluene stators are $2.52 \times 10^6$ and $1.09 \times 10^6$ respectively. Therefore, these results are consistent with boundary layer theory, which would predict a reducing boundary layer displacement thickness with increasing Reynolds number.

Overall, the stator designs achieve conditions that are close to the desired flow conditions at the rotor inlet. Further refinement and optimisation could improve the stator performance further, which may include adapting the MoC model to account for boundary layer blockage, increasing the number of stator vanes to reduce the loss coefficient [23], or using advanced optimisation methods to improve the stator blade profile [19, 21]. However, this was not one of the objectives of this study. It should also be noted that since these were quasi-2D simulations, no consideration has been given to end-wall effects, and particularly the end-wall boundary layers which would further reduce the mass-flow rate and stator loss coefficient. Further studies should take these effects into account.

5 Scaling of the stator design point
Having verified the performance of both stators at the design point, it is now possible to study the effects of working-fluid replacement. In the previous study [41] that investigated working-fluid replacement in a simple converging-diverging
mach number would be the same.

\( \nu \) inlet conditions could be appropriately selected to conserve for expansion to the same mach number. Therefore, it is suggested that by assuming that \( \nu \) remains constant, the outlet Mach number following a change in working fluid or inlet conditions can be predicted through interpolation of the data in Fig. 7. However, in the previous study [41] this method was only accurate when there was no significant change in the polytropic index \( k \). More specifically, predictions made by conserving \( \nu \) agreed with the CFD results to within 2%, provided that \( 0.9 < k/k_d < 1.1 \), where \( k_d \) is polytropic index associated with the original design point. This suggests that using the same stator with different working fluids will only be possible if the two fluids undergo a similar change in density \( (\rho/\rho_0) \) during an isentropic expansion across a defined pressure ratio \( (P/P_t) \). Furthermore, considering that \( \nu \) can be approximated by an expression that is a function of \( Ma \) and \( k \) [23], it follows that if a working fluid and the total inlet conditions could be appropriately selected to conserve \( \nu \) and \( k \), the performance of the nozzle and the nozzle outlet Mach number would be the same.

\[
\frac{k}{k_d} \approx 1 \quad \text{for the R245fa stator,} \quad 0.71 < \frac{k}{k_d} < 1.03 \quad \text{for the Toluene stator. These deviations are within the acceptable range previously determined (0.5 < Re/Re_d < 2) [41], and therefore Reynolds number effects are not expected to be significant. When considering the R245fa stator}
\]
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The results from Fig. 8 can be used as a basis for scaling the two stator design points to alternative working fluids. For the six working fluids considered, the total inlet conditions and static outlet pressure at the CFD outlet radius (38 mm) are selected to ensure that \( \nu \) is conserved, and that the ratio \( k/k_d \approx 1 \). The resulting scaled design points for the two stators are given in Tab. 3. During this scaling, the expansion process is assumed to be isentropic and therefore there is no consideration of any shock or viscous losses within the stator; hence, the scaling process is an oversimplification as these effects will undoubtedly be present within ORC turbines. However, providing that the stator nozzle is performing at the design point any shockwaves present will at the stator trailing edge. Moreover, viscous losses are confined to the stator blade wall, causing an effective reduction in the passage area, but not an increase the freestream entropy. Therefore, the isentropic assumption should be valid for the flow conditions within the stator passage. However, if this is found to be an oversimplification, this assumption will be refined in future studies.

The deviation in the Reynolds number ranges between 0.47Re_d and 1.03Re_d for the R245fa stator, and 0.71Re_d and 1.35Re_d for the Toluene stator. These deviations are within the acceptable range previously determined \((0.5 < Re/Re_d < 2)\) [41], and therefore Reynolds number effects are not expected to be significant. When considering the R245fa stator
Table 3. Stator inlet conditions and static outlet pressures for the alternative working fluid studies on the R245fa and Toluene stators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Working Fluid</th>
<th>T01</th>
<th>P01</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>Re/Re₉</th>
<th>k/k₉</th>
<th>T01</th>
<th>P01</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>Re/Re₉</th>
<th>k/k₉</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R245fa</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDM</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1234yf</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopentane</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R123</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

it is possible to select a suitable total inlet condition that conserves $k$ for all working fluids except R1234yf, as is evident from Fig. 8. However, for the Toluene nozzle this is only possible for MDM. Therefore, for R245fa, R1234yf, Isopentane and R123, the total conditions are set to 0.95$P_{cr}$ and 1.01$T_{cr}$, and this corresponds to maximum deviations in $k$ that are between 3% and 6%.

For each working fluid, two CFD simulations are performed to assess the performance of the R245fa and Toluene stators with that working fluid. Then, for each combination of stator and working fluid, the midline Mach number distribution, the loss profile at the rotor inlet radius, and the overall stator loss coefficient were obtained. The Mach number distributions and loss profiles are shown in Figs. 9 and 10 respectively. From these figures, it is clear that for the cases given in Tab. 3 the performance of both stators remains the same. This indicates that the method employed has successfully scaled the stator design point to the alternative fluids.

The values for $\zeta_n$ calculated from the CFD simulations range between 0.0672 and 0.0582 for the R245fa stator, operating with R245fa and MDM respectively, and range between 0.0471 and 0.0449 for the Toluene stator, when operating with R245fa and Toluene respectively. Similarly, for the R245fa stator, $\alpha_4$ ranges between 75.3° and 75.4° for MDM and Toluene, whilst for the Toluene stator $\alpha_4$ ranges between 75.7° and 76.0° for R123 and Toluene. The mass-flow rates range between 0.58 kg/s and 1.82 kg/s for the R245fa stator, and 0.22 kg/s and 0.58 kg/s for the Toluene stator.

Overall, the CFD results obtained for the two stators operating with alternative fluids have shown that the performance of the stator remains the same, provided that the change in $k$ is not significant. Under this condition the stator performance can be predicted by conserving $v$.

6 Implementation within practical ORC systems

To conclude this paper it is necessary to consider the practical ORC systems in which working-fluid replacement could be used for beneficial effect. Similitude theory hypothesises that the non-dimensional performance of a turbine is the same when the Mach number velocity triangles at the rotor inlet and outlet are the same. Therefore, considering the similarities in the Mach numbers and absolute flow angles at the rotor inlet predicted by the CFD simulations, the rotor blade velocity $u_4$ can be selected to ensure the same relative flow angle, and relative Mach number at the rotor inlet; note that the subscripts ‘4’ and ‘5’ refer to the rotor inlet and rotor outlet respectively, following on from the convention where ‘1’, ‘2’ and ‘3’ refer to the turbine inlet, stator leading edge and stator trailing edge respectively.

Once $u_4$ is known, a suitable method for predicting the rotor outlet conditions is required. Unlike the stator, for which a scaling method based on the Prandtl-Meyer function has been developed within this paper, it is assumed that conventional turbomachinery design methods can be used to scale the rotor. The reasoning behind this is that generally the flow conditions within the rotor will remain subsonic, and
therefore the complexity of requiring similar scaling methods based on the Prandtl-Meyer number is removed. Moreover, the flow conditions within the rotor are further away from the critical point, and therefore non-ideal gas effects will be less prevalent. Based on this assumption, \( u_4 \) can be related to the isentropic static enthalpy at the rotor outlet \( h_{5s} \) by assuming the rotor operates at the design point isentropic velocity ratio \( \nu_{ts} \), hence:

\[
h_{5s} = h_{01} - \frac{1}{2} c_s^2 = h_{01} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{u_4}{\nu_{ts}} \right)^2.
\]  

(7)

This allows the turbine static outlet pressure \( P_5 \) to be determined, from which the total-to-static pressure ratio \( (P_{01}/P_5) \) is also known. Finally, assuming that the rotor operates at the design isentropic velocity ratio implies the same total-to-static isentropic efficiency \( \eta_{ts} \), which allows the turbine power to be estimated:

\[
\dot{W}_t = \dot{m} \eta_{ts} (h_{01} - h_{5s}).
\]

(8)

The results from this analysis are shown in the top plot of Fig. 11, and these suggest that operating the R245fa turbine with alternative working fluids could allow the same turbine to be used within different ORC systems with \( T_{01} \) ranging between 372 K and 586 K, and \( \dot{W}_t \) ranging between 18.9 kW and 86.3 kW. Similarly, operating the Toluene turbine with alternative fluids could facilitate the use of the same turbine within ORC systems with \( T_{01} \) ranging between 372 K and 598 K, and \( \dot{W}_t \) ranging between 11.4 kW and 53.5 kW.

At first glance these results suggest the feasibility of using the turbine within multiple ORC systems, thus facilitating economy-of-scale improvements. However, it is also necessary to consider the resulting ORC condensation temperatures \( T_c \), which can be obtained from \( P_5 \) and are given in the bottom plot in Fig. 11. When evaluating these results it becomes apparent that not all of the ORC systems will be practical. For example, the Toluene turbine couldn’t be used with R1234yf, R245fa, R123 and Isopentane because these working fluids result in condensation temperatures below 300 K, which could not be achieved without a very low-temperature heat sink. In comparison, the R245fa turbine could be used with all the fluids with the exception of R1234yf, since \( T_c \) for these cases are all above 300 K. From the plot in the bottom half of Fig. 11 it is also apparent that a relationship between increasing \( T_{01} \) and increasing \( T_c \) exists. This echoes the relationship between the critical temperature and the normal boiling point that has been shown within the literature [43]. Therefore, given that the critical temperature of Toluene is greater than R1234yf, R245fa, R123 and Isopentane, whilst R245fa has the second lowest critical temperature after R1234yf, this suggests that a supersonic turbine design cannot be scaled to a working fluid with a lower critical temperature, as this will result in a low condensation temperature and an infeasible ORC system.

Whilst the Toluene design cannot be used with working fluids that have lower critical temperatures than that of Toluene, opportunities remain to improve the economy-of-scale of small ORC systems by replacing the working fluid in the R245fa turbine. To explore this, the analysis has been extended to a wider group of working fluids, all with critical temperatures greater than R245fa. For each working
fluid, $T_{01}$, $P_{01}$ and $P_4$ were determined to conserve both $k$ and $v$ within the stator. The flow angles $\alpha_4$ and $\beta_4$ were assumed constant and $P_5$ was determined from Equation 7. The mass-flow rate is determined from the reduced flow coefficient $\dot{m}/(\rho^\ast a^\ast)$, which is assumed constant since the stator geometry does not change. This, in turn, determines $\dot{W}_i$ if it is assumed that $\eta_{ts}$ is constant; such an assumption can be made since similitude theory hypothesises that the turbine performance will be the same if the rotor velocity triangles and flow coefficient are maintained. The results from this analysis are shown in Fig. 12.

The mass-flow rate is determined from the reduced flow co-efficient $\dot{m}/(\rho^\ast a^\ast)$, which is assumed constant since the stator geometry does not change. This, in turn, determines $\dot{W}_i$ if it is assumed that $\eta_{ts}$ is constant; such an assumption can be made since similitude theory hypothesises that the turbine performance will be the same if the rotor velocity triangles and flow coefficient are maintained. The results from this analysis are shown in Fig. 12.

In Fig. 12, $\dot{W}_i$ has been normalised by the maximum power $\dot{W}_{1,\text{max}}$. This is done because within the scaling process the designer has no control over $P_5$, and therefore a high condensation temperature could result which would have a detrimental effect on the ORC performance. The maximum power is calculated by assuming that the working fluid is expanded from the same total inlet conditions to the minimum permissible condensation temperature. The minimum condensation temperature depends on the availability and temperature of the heat sink, and the size of the ORC condenser, which affect the minimum temperature difference within the condenser. For this analysis, a value of 323 K is assumed to be a reasonable value. For working fluids that have a sub-atmospheric condensation pressure at 323 K, the minimum condensation temperature is increased to the saturation temperature at 100 kPa to avoid sub-atmospheric condensation. The mass-flow rate for the maximum power case is determined by assuming that the same amount of thermal energy is available to the ORC system in both cases.

From Fig. 12, it is observed that $\dot{W}_i$ ranges between 17.8 kW for MDM and 112 kW for Benzene. Furthermore, the results have been plotted in order of increasing critical temperature, and therefore correspond to ORC systems that are suitable for different heat-source temperatures. This highlights the possibility of using the same turbine within different ORC systems by matching the working fluid to the available heat source. MDM and Benzene also correspond to the maximum and minimum values for the normalised power, with values of 0.96 and 0.72 respectively, suggesting there could be up to a 30% reduction in the normalised power output following working-fluid replacement, compared to a system with an optimised condensation pressure.

A relationship between $P_{01}$ and the normalised power is also observed (Fig. 13), with lower inlet pressures corresponding to higher normalised powers. This trend is even clearer when the working fluids are divided by how the optimised condensation temperature is determined (i.e., $T_c = 323$ K, or defined by the saturation temperature at 100 kPa). A correlation is also observed between a higher normalised power and a condensation temperature that is closer to the minimum permissible condensation temperature. For example, for the MDM system, which has the highest normalised power, the condensation temperature is 431.5 K, whilst the condensation temperature at 1 bar is 425.2 K. For the Benzene system, which has the lowest normalised power, the condensation temperature is 411.1 K, compared to a condensation temperature at 1 bar of 352.8 K. The corresponding condensation pressures for these two working fluids are 117 and 425 kPa respectively. In other words, using the R245fa turbine with Benzene would lead to an under expansion of the working fluid, compared to an optimal cycle in which the fluid is expanded down to 100 kPa. The cycle efficiencies for the scaled cycles range between 8.1% (MDM) and 15.7% (cyclopentane), compared to 8.5% and 21.3% for the same working fluids, but with expansion down to the minimum permissible condensation temperature.

![Fig. 12. Power produced from the R245fa turbine when the design point is scaled to alternative working fluids](image)

In Fig. 12, $\dot{W}_i$ has been normalised by the maximum power $\dot{W}_{1,\text{max}}$. This is done because within the scaling process the designer has no control over $P_5$, and therefore a high condensation temperature could result which would have a detrimental effect on the ORC performance. The maximum power is calculated by assuming that the working fluid is expanded from the same total inlet conditions to the minimum permissible condensation temperature. The minimum condensation temperature depends on the availability and temperature of the heat sink, and the size of the ORC condenser, which affect the minimum temperature difference within the condenser. For this analysis, a value of 323 K is assumed to be a reasonable value. For working fluids that have a sub-atmospheric condensation pressure at 323 K, the minimum condensation temperature is increased to the saturation temperature at 100 kPa to avoid sub-atmospheric condensation. The mass-flow rate for the maximum power case is determined by assuming that the same amount of thermal energy is available to the ORC system in both cases.

From Fig. 12, it is observed that $\dot{W}_i$ ranges between 17.8 kW for MDM and 112 kW for Benzene. Furthermore, the results have been plotted in order of increasing critical temperature, and therefore correspond to ORC systems that are suitable for different heat-source temperatures. This highlights the possibility of using the same turbine within different ORC systems by matching the working fluid to the available heat source. MDM and Benzene also correspond to the maximum and minimum values for the normalised power, with values of 0.96 and 0.72 respectively, suggesting there could be up to a 30% reduction in the normalised power output following working-fluid replacement, compared to a system with an optimised condensation pressure.

A relationship between $P_{01}$ and the normalised power is also observed (Fig. 13), with lower inlet pressures corresponding to higher normalised powers. This trend is even clearer when the working fluids are divided by how the optimised condensation temperature is determined (i.e., $T_c = 323$ K, or defined by the saturation temperature at 100 kPa). A correlation is also observed between a higher normalised power and a condensation temperature that is closer to the minimum permissible condensation temperature. For example, for the MDM system, which has the highest normalised power, the condensation temperature is 431.5 K, whilst the condensation temperature at 1 bar is 425.2 K. For the Benzene system, which has the lowest normalised power, the condensation temperature is 411.1 K, compared to a condensation temperature at 1 bar of 352.8 K. The corresponding condensation pressures for these two working fluids are 117 and 425 kPa respectively. In other words, using the R245fa turbine with Benzene would lead to an under expansion of the working fluid, compared to an optimal cycle in which the fluid is expanded down to 100 kPa. The cycle efficiencies for the scaled cycles range between 8.1% (MDM) and 15.7% (cyclopentane), compared to 8.5% and 21.3% for the same working fluids, but with expansion down to the minimum permissible condensation temperature.

![Fig. 13. Relationship between the normalised power produced from the R245fa turbine when operating with different working fluids and the turbine inlet pressure $P_{01}$. The results are split into two groups: (i) $T_c = 323$ K, and (ii) saturation temperature at 100 kPa (i.e., $T_c > 323$ K).](image)

At this stage a link to the authors’ previous work, which applied similitude theory to subsonic ORC radial turbines [44], can be made. In this work, it was shown that the performance of a subsonic radial turbine can be predicted based
on the reduced head coefficient $\Delta h_0/a^2$, reduced flow coefficient $m/p'a'$ and reduced rotational speed $N/a'$. From the CFD results presented in Section 5, it was found that the reduced flow coefficients equalled $1.12 \times 10^{-4}$ and $3.59 \times 10^{-5}$ for the R245fa and Toluene stators. This was to be expected since the stator geometry does not change. However, by conserving both $v$ and $k$ when changing the working fluid we have conserved the Mach number triangles which means that the reduced head coefficient and the reduced rotational speeds also remain the same. For the results for the R245fa turbine shown in Fig. 12, the reduced head coefficients range between 3.10 and 3.13, which corresponds to a deviation of less than 1%. This small deviation arises because it was not always possible to select an operating point that conserved $k$ (i.e., Fig. 8). Nonetheless, these results suggest that the modified similitude theory is suitable for supersonic turbines, so long as $k$ and $v$ do not deviate significantly.

The scaled rotor rotational speed ranges between 44 and 71 kRPM, which correspond to operating with R123 and cyclopentane respectively. This speed range is well within the practical limits for a rotor diameter of 80 mm. Therefore, the main practical constraint on using the same turbine with multiple working fluids is the variation in shaft power, which could require a different generator. However, even if a different generator is required, it is still envisioned that the same turbine assembly can be used, thereby removing the need to develop a new bespoke turbine design.

In summary, this section has demonstrated how a supersonic turbine design can be scaled to an alternative working fluid. However, the scaling process is subject to constraints which are imposed by the properties of the original and replacement working fluids, namely $0.9 < k/k_d < 1.1$ and $T_{cr} > T_{cr,d}$, which narrows the potential operational envelope of a particular turbine design. Nonetheless, the results suggest that through the careful selection of a replacement working fluid, the same ORC turbine could be suitable for different applications. However, this is not a one size fits all approach, as the effect of working-fluid replacement on the condensation pressure, and the possible reduction in the power output compared to an optimised thermodynamic cycle needs to be considered. In this instance, there is a trade-off between minimising the development and manufacturing costs of the turbine by using an existing design, but accepting a slight reduction in performance, and developing a bespoke design with optimal performance, but with higher associated costs. To answer this question a thorough economic assessment of the two options should be conducted.

Before concluding, a number of issues which require further investigation should be discussed. Firstly, it is reiterated that the stator designs have been obtained using a simple geometrical construction, and as such have not been optimised using advanced design techniques which are becoming more routinely used. Thus, further verification is required for an optimised stator design. Furthermore, the results have been obtained using simple assumptions pertaining to the rotor design. Therefore, further research efforts must also focus on a more detailed 3D rotor design phase, complemented with 3D CFD simulations of the complete stator and rotor assembly. With this in place, the effects of working-fluid replacement in supersonic ORC turbines can be studied in further detail. Finally, following from Refs. [24, 42], unsteady CFD simulations will also be necessary to investigate the role of unsteady effects on working-fluid replacement.

7 Conclusions
The effect of working-fluid replacement as a means of widening the operating envelope of a particular ORC supersonic turbine has been studied in this paper. Supersonic stators have been designed for R245fa and Toluene, and their design point performance verified using quasi-2D CFD simulations completed using ANSYS CFX. The design point for each stator was then scaled to alternative working fluids by conserving the Prandtl-Meyer function and the polytropic index. The CFD results show that provided the Prandtl-Meyer function and the polytropic index can be conserved, the centreline Mach number distribution and rotor inlet loss profile remain the same. Furthermore, the stator loss coefficients for the R245fa stator ranged between 0.0672 and 0.0582, whilst for the Toluene stator they ranged between 0.0471 and 0.449. The rotor inlet flow angles changed by less than 0.3° for both stators, further confirming that the design point has been accurately scaled to alternative working fluids.

Studies concerning the ORC system show that working-fluid replacement could facilitate using the same turbine in different applications. For example, the R245fa design could be used within ORC systems with maximum temperatures ranging between 431 K (R245fa) and 585 K (Toluene), whilst the power output could range between 17 kW (MDM) and 112 kW (Benzene). This suggests the potential to match the working fluid to the heat-source temperature and thermal content, whilst using the same turbine design. However, it is observed that working-fluid replacement may only be possible if the replacement working fluid has a higher critical temperature than the design working fluid. Furthermore, depending on the working fluid there could be up to a 30% reduction in the power output compared to an ORC system that is designed specifically for the new heat source. Nonetheless, from the point of view of turbine economy-of-scale improvements, working-fluid replacement could be used to beneficial effect. Future work should study the effects of working-fluid replacement on the full 3D flow within ORC supersonic turbines, and validate these ideas experimentally.
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Appendix A: Supersonic stator design
The geometry of the supersonic stator is defined in Fig. 14. The throat width \( o_{th} \) is obtained from:

\[
o_{th} = \frac{m}{\rho^* a^* b_4 Z_n},
\]

where \( b_4 \) is rotor inlet blade height, \( Z_n \) is the number of stator blades, and \( \rho^* \) and \( a^* \) are the static choked throat conditions, calculated by assuming an isentropic expansion from \( T_{01} \) and \( P_{01} \). The throat Reynolds number is given by:

\[
Re_d = \frac{\rho^* a^* D_h}{\mu},
\]

where \( \mu \) is the viscosity and \( D_h \) is the hydraulic diameter.

The geometrical parameters for the two stators are summarised in Tab. 4. The leading-edge radii are set to \( r_{le} = o_{th} \) and \( r_{le} = 1.5 o_{th} \) for the R245fa and Toluene stators respectively. The stator is constructed by creating a construction circle at the stator inlet radius. The end point of the bottom half of the diverging nozzle obtained using the MoC model is positioned on this construction circle at the point \( (0, r_3) \), and rotated such that the nozzle centreline intersects the construction circle at \( \theta = 180/Z_n \). The leading and trailing edges are constructed, and are connected by a straight line to create the subsonic section. The diverging supersonic section is then connected to the trailing edge using a spline.

<p>| Table 4. Stator design parameters |
|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z_n</th>
<th>( o_{th} )</th>
<th>( Re_d )</th>
<th>( r_3 )</th>
<th>( r_{le} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R245fa</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>2.52 ( \times 10^6 )</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.09 ( \times 10^6 )</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 14. Geometrical description of the supersonic turbine