

## City Research Online

### City, University of London Institutional Repository

**Citation:** Breaks, A., Smith, C., Bloch, S. & Morgan, S. (2018). Blended diets for gastrostomy fed children: A scoping review. Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics, 31(5), pp. 634-646. doi: 10.1111/jhn.12563

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/19340/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1111/jhn.12563

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online: <a href="http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/">http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/</a> <a href="mailto:publications@city.ac.uk/">publications@city.ac.uk/</a>

# Blended diets for gastrostomy fed children and young people: A scoping review

3 4 5

1

2

#### Abstract

6

- 7 *Objective*: The objective of the review was to identify what is known about the use of blended diets
- 8 with gastrostomy fed children and young people<sup>1</sup> and to identify gaps in the literature on this topic
- 9 in order to inform future research and policy.
- 10 Method: A scoping review methodology was used; searching online databases PUBMED,
- 11 PsychINFO, CINAHL, SCOPUS and AMED, EMBASE for articles that addressed issues pertaining
- 12 to blended diets. The review identified a broad range of literature regardless of study design and
- 13 described and evaluated the quality, range and nature of research activity related to the use of
- 14 blenderised diets.
- 15 Results: Forty-three studies were included in the review. The studies focused on nutrition,
- 16 equipment, views of carers and patients and views of professionals. Several studies described the
- 17 lack of evidence regarding pros and cons of blended diets and highlighted the need for further
- 18 research into the field.
- 19 *Conclusions:* There were gaps in the evidence base regarding the impact of blended diets on health
- and well-being of the children who are given them and upon the carers who feed them. The nutritional
- 21 impact of blended diets is not fully understood, and the knowledge and views of professionals involved
- in the care of those receiving blended diets varies.

23

- 24 Key Words: Blended diet, blenderized diet, blenderised diet, pureed diet, homemade diet,
- 25 gastrostomy, scoping review.

26

27

#### 1. Introduction

- 28 Children, who are unable to swallow safely, have gastric problems or neurological difficulties may
- be unable to gain sufficient calories and nutrients by oral intake alone and may require tube feeding
- via a gastrostomy. Between 2005 and 2010 there was an estimated 41.5% increase (11,800 to 17,000)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Children and young people refer to those up to 25 years of age with special educational needs or a disability in accordance with Part 3 of the Children and Family Act 2014. Within the review the word children will be used for simplicity but encompasses young people too.

31 in children who required tube feeding within the UK. The majority of these children required naso-

32 gastric tubes, and approximately 33% required gastrostomy tubes<sup>(1)</sup>.

Tube feeding is not a new concept. Accounts exist dating back 3500 years of people who could not

eat orally being fed through tubes via the mouth, nose or rectum into the stomach (2). However, in the

mid 19<sup>th</sup> century a surgical technique known as a gastrostomy was developed that enabled feeding

tubes to be sited directly into the stomach. Consequently tube feeding became a more medically

managed means of feeding, which in turn led to the development of nutritional and calorific controlled

formula feeds in the late 1970s. Commercial manufacturers continue to develop formulas to the extent

that in 2001 Sullivan et al wrote" commercial feeds have virtually eliminated blenderized feedings in

40 the developed nations of North America and Europe" (3)(p271).

However, some patient groups and clinicians, have begun to question the practice of using formula

feeds in terms of impact on the patient's digestive system, their health and well-being, and from a

psychosocial perspective. [4,5,6,7)

There is a growing interest in blended diets with 27 of the 43 studies, reviewed having been published

between 2013-2016. There is no definitive definition of a blended diet; for some it may be deemed

as only blending family foods and giving no commercial formula, whilst others may combine the use

of blended family foods and commercial formula. This scoping review takes a broader remit than

other reviews, (8,9,7,10) examining blended diets in relation to the mechanics of blended diet; equipment,

contamination and nutrition, and describing patient, carer and clinician perspectives.

51 1.1 Scoping Reviews

34

35

36

37

38

39

42

43

45

46

47

48

49

50

53

54

55

56

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

52 Scoping reviews may consist of a brief listing of articles on the topic in question or a more

comprehensive breakdown of articles in which information/data from the articles are charted and

collated into a report (11). Although the methodology of scoping reviews is imprecisely defined, they

are particularly suited to summarising and disseminating researching findings and identifying gaps in

literature in areas which are complex or poorly defined. (11)

Whereas systematic reviews clearly seek to address a well-defined question, taking into account the

type of study designs that may be appropriate to the question, and assessing the quality of the studies,

scoping reviews tend to have a broadly defined topic area and include studies with a wide range of

designs. The quality of the studies is not generally considered. However, a review of scoping reviews

proposed recommendations to enhance consistency of methodology and provide some form of quality

assessment of articles included in the review. (12) The study cited an example of researchers who

reported that the results of their scoping review could not be used to inform policy, as the quality of

the studies included had not been assessed. An objective of this review is to inform future research

- and policy around blended diet. Therefore this review will be comprehensive in that it will identify
- a broad range of literature, exploring the extent, range and nature of research activity related to the
- 67 use of blended diets regardless of study design,
- and will provide an overview of the research, summarising the findings, assessing the quality of the
- 69 studies and identifying gaps in the evidence base. Thus providing direction for researchers,
- 70 policymakers and practitioners in the field of blended diets.
- 71 The research question for the scoping review is "What is known from the existing literature about the
- use of blended diets by parents to feed their gastrostomy-fed children and young people"?

#### 2. Method

- 75 2.1 Search Strategy and data synthesis
- 76 The author performed the search using Pubmed, PsycINFO, Scopus, Embase, AMED and CINAHL.
- All articles published in peer-reviewed journals up until October 2016 were considered for inclusion
- 78 in the study. As one of the objectives of the review was to inform policy, grey literature (such as
- 79 unvalidated posts on the internet) was not included.
- The keywords and Medical Subject Headings MeSH) used were: "blended diet OR blenderized diet
- OR liquidised diet OR homemade diet OR pureed diet" AND "gastrostomy". Initially a search on
- 82 child\* OR infant OR paediatric OR pediatric was added to the first search but it narrowed the field to
- the extent that no matches were found so it was removed. However, the studies included are relevant
- 84 to the paediatric population. Details of the search strategy can be found in Appendix 1.
- 85 In addition to the database search, to gain a comprehensive picture of the literature, a search of
- specific journals in the field of gastrostomy feeding, nutrition and paediatrics was undertaken.
- 87 2.2 Definition and inclusion/exclusion criteria
- 88 The review included studies that evaluated any aspect of blended diets with gastrostomy feeding in
- 89 children. It should be acknowledged that only 17 of the studies focussed specifically on children
- However, the finding of all the studies included (such as those investigating nutritional content or
- 91 contamination issues) were applicable to children. The search identified studies that reported on
- 92 pureed and blended diets that were fed orally as opposed to via a gastrostomy; these were not included
- 93 in the study. Discussion/reviews, educational studies, poster presentations and new research articles
- 94 from peer-reviewed journals were included.

95

96 97

#### 2.3 Quality Assessment

- Although scoping reviews do not necessarily consider the quality of the articles included, due to the
- oncerns expressed by researchers (11) a quality rating was used in this scoping review.
- A range of tools was considered including the Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research
- 101 (COREQ), the PRISMA, the CASP Qualitative research checklist. However, the methodological
- 102 checklist published in the UK by the National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines
- manual (13) was selected as a basis for assessing the quality of the studies. Although intended for use
- with qualitative studies, 8 of the 14 criteria were applicable to qualitative and quantitative research
- and review studies.
- 106 The following eight quality criteria were selected. One point was awarded for each criterion,
- providing a total quality score in the final column of Table 1.
- 108
- 1. Is the approach appropriate for the stated purpose of the paper?
- 2. Is the study clear in what it seeks to do?
- 3. Is the method of data collection appropriate and clearly described?
- 4. Are the methods reliable; could the study be replicated?
- 5. Is the data analysis sufficiently rigorous for the purpose of the paper?
- 6. Are the findings convincing, clearly presented, referenced and discussed?
- 7. Are the findings relevant to the aims of the study?
- 8. Are the conclusions adequate?
- 117
- In order to enhance the level of rigour of the ratings, a second researcher also rated the quality of 11
- of the 43 studies. Both ratings were then compared. There was a high level of agreement in 91% of
- studies, defined as being rated the same level or one point different. The second researcher also
- categorised each paper by type of study and methodology, and there was 100% agreement. Nineteen
- of the studies were categorised as discussion/review studies, four as education (i.e. providing
- systematic instruction) and 20 as new research.
- 124 *2.4 Analysis methodology*
- 125 Content analysis described as a means of making inferences by objectively and systematically
- identifying specified characteristics (14), was used to provide an overview of the articles. In this study
- specified characteristics are themes, both deductive and inductive in nature. Deductive in that the
- authors are aware of key issues regarding blended diet and can specify themes that are likely to be
- present, and inductive in that other more latent themes may be discovered within a document. Listed
- below are deductive themes that formed the basis of the framework onto which the articles were
- 131 charted.

- Nutrition
- Contamination
- Equipment
- Medical/well-being
- Patient experience
- Carer experience
- Clinician experience

- 140 **3. Results**
- 3.1 Search results and analysis of themes
- Table 2 provides a summary of the aims and findings of each of the studies, and the sections below
- illustrate the results of the content analysis.
- 144 For each of the studies included in the scoping review, data relating to year, country and areas of
- focus have been summarised in Table 3. Four of the studies were published before 2000, but the
- majority (27) were published after 2013, 27 of the studies were from the USA, 37 had an area of focus
- on nutrition and contamination, 16 on equipment, 13 on clinicians' experience, 24 on patient/carer
- experience and 17 on medical/well-being.

149

- 150 3.2 Nutritional value
- Several studies (15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24) investigated the nutritional content both of commercial feeds
- and blended diet. A cross-sectional study of 64 children who were enterally fed with commercial
- formulas reported that 94% were deficient in at least one micronutrient (25). Conversely other
- researchers reported on a case of a child receiving a blended diet who went on to be diagnosed with
- scurvy due to a lack of vitamin C (26). Both studies concluded that close monitoring of a diet's
- nutritional content was important whether it was a formula or blended diet. An investigation of the
- 157 fibre content of commercial enteral feeds highlighted concerns about mineral retention in fibre used
- in formula and other effects of formula fibre including bloating, gas and cramps (27). More recently
- researchers suggested that a blended diet may improve stooling patterns by incorporating complex
- whole food nutrients and varying types and quantities of fibres and fats (28). A study investigating
- properties of commercial formulas found that they did not have the necessary bacteria found in a
- normal diet that help maintain normal gut function, and that antioxidants and bioflavonoids required
- for long term prevention of disease were also absent <sup>(29)</sup>.

#### 165 *3.3 Contamination concerns*

- Several studies in the review focused upon issues concerning contamination of blended diet. (3,30,31).
- The studies were carried out in hospital settings. One such study in the Philippines analysed 96
- samples of blended diets from four hospitals and found 100% had unacceptably high levels of
- bacterial contamination, compared to 33% of commercial formula (reconstituted powder form). They
- concluded that commercial feeds from prefilled or closed systems are safest in terms of microbial
- 171 contamination (3). Another also concluded that closed system (i.e. ready prepared formula) were
- safest in terms of levels of contamination, whilst acknowledging that there was "ample opportunity"
- for commercial products to become contaminated in a hospital environment (31).

174

- *3.4 Equipment*
- 176 The effect of blended diet on feeding equipment (tubes, connectors, pumps) was examined.
- 177 (32,34,9,29,35,36,37). One reported that the viscosity of blended diet might render it unsuitable for infusion
- through feeding tubes (32). As a result of 33 patient incidents involving oral medicines being
- incorrectly delivered intravenously the EnFit® system was introduced. This system improved patient
- safety by ensuring that an enteral plastics device will only connect to another enteral device and
- cannot be connected to an intravenous device (33). However, the EnFit® design may negatively impact
- patients, as the force required to dispense a blended diet is higher than the previously used syringes
- 183 (30). Studies report that there is an increased risk of feeding tubes becoming blocked by blended diet,
- and that the bore of the feeding tube should be no less than French -14 (a measure of the internal
- diameter of the tube). However, one study found that none of the five different handmade formulas
- tested in their study blocked tubes of 10-French (19). None of the studies in this review presented
- evidence that blended diet caused more blockages than formula feeds. Indeed an increased
- occurrence of tube occlusion was reported when patients changed from blended diet to commercial
- 189 feeds which they surmised was 'probably due' to the lack of experience of families in using
- 190 reconstituted powder commercial feeds (38). A discussion report suggested that care for the
- gastrostomy site is the same whether using blended diet or formula but suggest that the extension
- tubing may need to be changed more frequently although no studies have been carried out to prove
- or disprove this <sup>(39)</sup>.

- 195 3.5 Medical/well-being
- There have been no clinical trials to determine the impact of blended diet on specific parameters such
- as height or weight, but studies have considered broader aspects of well-being such as a reduction in
- retching <sup>6)</sup>. In a discussion report it was suggested that complications and risks might occur as a result

of discovering previously unknown food allergies, gastrointestinal challenges or of parent error in

food preparation, such as insufficient calories or fluids (39).

Numerous studies describe benefits of blended diet including increased tolerance of feeds, reduction of constipation, and retching and decreased oral feeding aversion. <sup>(5,40,41,6,28,42,43)</sup>. One such study suggested that the viscosity of blended diet may reduce the rate of gastric emptying and that gastrointestinal motility may be positively influenced by blended diet <sup>(6)</sup>. A single case study described the case of a 5-year old boy who had a gastrostomy and fundoplication at 8-months due to failure to thrive. The boy did not tolerate formula feeds and instances of vomiting, retching and constipation gradually increased accompanied by poor growth. On the advice of a friend the boy's

mother tried putting small amounts of puree and fruit juices down his tube, and he then exhibited no

signs of gastrointestinal discomfort and his growth improved <sup>(5)</sup>.

210

211

208

209

- 3.6 Views of patients, carers and clinicians
- 212 *3.61 Patient experience*
- Studies in the scoping review discuss the clinical impact and well-being of patients using blended
- 214 diet, (41,6) such as the intimacy of the feeding act between a child and parent, and the importance of
- 215 providing a tube-fed child with the same meal as others in the family (39). They also explain how
- using blended food can enable children who are able to have some oral intake to receive the same
- food by mouth as by the gastrostomy. The Graz clinic in Austria also recommends that parents use
- blended tube feeds when under-going tube weaning (45). A negative effect of the new EnFit® tubing
- on patients' well-being was that they make it more difficult for patients to vent their gastrostomy (i.e.
- 220 to stop uncomfortable build up of gas)<sup>(34)</sup>. A study of 33 children who had a gastrostomy with
- fundoplication described improvements following the introduction of blended diet. More than half
- of the children experienced a significant reduction (76-100%) in gagging and retching <sup>(6)</sup>. A study
- of 10 children with intestinal failure was carried out to investigate the effect of using blended diet.
- They found that 90% of the nine children who successfully transitioned to blended diet showed an
- improvement in diarrhoea and inconsistent stooling, and prescribed supplementary fibres were able
- 226 to be discontinued in 100% of the children who transitioned to blended diet (28).

- 228 3.62 Carer experience
- 229 Carers' views focused on the need for information/knowledge, the psychosocial impact and more
- 230 overt practical implications.
- One study describes how the use of a blended diet can enable parents to take a more involved role in
- providing food for their child<sup>(41)</sup>. The need to ensure parental education, and a parental desire for

more information regarding preparation and nutritional content on blended diet, and the cost implications in terms of time and equipment is also highlighted (39,41).

The psychosocial importance of blended diet was illustrated by a study that described how a UK hospice enabled children to have a blended diet based upon its policy of respecting parental wishes and replicating home conditions as far as possible<sup>(42)</sup>. As blended diet can be prepared by using family foods, it can lead to the restoration of the psychosocial aspects of feeding, enabling the tube fed patient to be included in family meals <sup>(19)</sup>. Conversely, another study reported that parental satisfaction with blended diet was 'exceptionally high', primarily due to the decrease in retching and gagging. Although not mentioned by parents, the authors acknowledged that the use of blended diet may add time pressures in terms of preparation. <sup>(6)</sup> A study in which self-reported parental satisfaction with blended diet was 'excellent', illustrated their findings with examples of parents spending less time on changing and washing as their child's stooling improved which in turn enabled them to work on toilet training that improved their self-esteem <sup>(28)</sup>.

3.63 Clinicians' experience

The review revealed a diversity of experience and opinion regarding blended diet, with a lack of overall consensus. Perceptions and reality did not always match. One study reported that in practice dietitians found there were fewer problems than they had predicted when families used blended diet. It was also found that despite concerns regarding tube blockage and infection more than 50% of dietitians who responded would recommend blended diet to supplement commercial formula (46). A survey carried out to assess attitudes and experiences of registered dietitians regarding blended diet(47) revealed that 70.2% indicated that parental request was the main reason for using blended diet, and 22.9% cited tube-feeding intolerance as the main reason. Positive outcomes were reported by 76.9% of respondents including less feeding intolerance, improved growth and oral intake. The study also examined differences in relation to the experience of the dietitians. More experienced dietitians were less likely to be familiar with blended diet and wanted no more information about it, whereas less experienced dietitians tended to be more familiar with it and wanted more information. Anecdotally one dietitian with many years experience reported that tube-feeding intolerance was unheard of in her practice prior to the introduction of commercial formulas.

Another dietitian in the same study reported that in her experience families who undertook to use

blended diet <sup>(26)</sup>. A discussion report summarised the issues facing clinicians, acknowledging that there are many websites and social media devoted to the promotion of blended diet, and clinicians

blended diet on their own had poor outcomes. This opinion is further supported in a study that

reported on a case of a child developing scurvy as a result of being fed a nutritionally inadequate

- 267 working with tube fed children are likely to be asked about the use of blended diet. The study
- recommends that clinicians increase their knowledge of and familiarity with blended diet so that they
- feel more comfortable when discussing its use with patients<sup>(48)</sup>.

- 271 3.7 Other Themes
- The themes in the previous section related to the safety of blended diet, in terms of contamination,
- 273 equipment and nutrition, and to the opinions of practitioners, carers and patients. This section
- highlights more latent overarching themes.
- 275 *3.7.1 Uncertainty*
- 276 This was found to permeate several of the studies, and perhaps reflects the lack of evidence. For
- example uncertainty about the potential impact of allergies, (39) the effect of using pumps for blended
- 278 diets, and using blended diets for jejunostomy fed patients (48). Further uncertainty comes from the
- fact that commercial formulas are exempt from labelling and health claim regulations in the US, and
- can be used in patient care without undergoing efficacy trials<sup>(20)</sup>
- 281 *3.7.2 Choice and Compromise*
- In order to enable viable patient choice there is inevitably a need to compromise;
- 283 "The best candidate would be a family who has considered the pros and cons of a blenderized diet"
- (p22). The same study mentions that parents may be forced to compromise, and use a combination
- of blended diet and formula if schools will not allow staff to feed a child using a blended diet in
- 286 school.
- 287 *3.7.3 Edification*
- This theme relates to both carers and clinicians. Clinicians need to consider the carer's preferences
- and level of health literacy<sup>(49)</sup> and to increase their knowledge and understanding of blended diet.<sup>(34)</sup>
- A clinical decision-making tree was created to aid practitioners in their clinical practice<sup>(40)</sup>. Carers
- must also be aware of the potential risks relating to inadequate nutrient intake (26). This lack of
- knowledge or awareness highlights the need for further research into blended diet.

293

- 4. Discussion
- Researchers and practitioners alike acknowledge the paucity of research related to blended diets. (36)
- As far back as 1985 it was stated "there is no documented advantage of blenderised 'normal food'
- over formulas compounded from individual nutrients" (p64) (17). Despite dietitians and manufacturers
- knowing the exact constituents of formula feeds, a question that was not addressed in any of the
- studies was that of knowing exactly what is absorbed by a patient. There is also debate about whether
- there are some micronutrients that cannot be provided by commercial feeds (25).

There still remains a lack of evidence regarding the incidence of tube blockages with blended diet and whether there are groups of patients who have less negative symptoms such as gagging and retching when using blended diet. Other research questions concern whether blended diet can lead to a reduction in medications required for constipation<sup>(40)</sup>, and whether there is an improvement in health status when a child is fed a blended diet <sup>(41)</sup>.

The need for increased knowledge about blended diets was a recurring theme, with studies highlighting the importance of clinicians considering the carer's/family's food preferences and health literacy when contemplating the introduction of a blended diet <sup>(49,50,52)</sup>, and recommending that clinicians increase their knowledge of issues relating to blended diets in order to be able to provide appropriate care.<sup>(51)</sup>.

The quality ratings (Table 1) showed that on average new research studies had the highest quality score. This may assist policy makers when considering the type of evidence that may best inform their decisions.

Further empirical research regarding the overall impact of blended diets will increase the evidence base. This increased knowledge may provide clinicians and families alike with the resources upon which to discuss the potential use of blended diets with individuals and thus to make informed choices. We have reported that 37 of the 43 studies in the review examined issues related to contamination and nutrition, whereas only 17 considered those related to the medical/well-being of patients. This, and the acknowledgement that many families are turning to social media for support and information, <sup>(4)</sup> may imply that there is a mismatch between the priorities of patients /carers and those of clinicians/researchers. The importance of involving patients in their care is reiterated by both research evidence and Government policy <sup>(53)</sup>; researchers should consider greater patient participation and focus when developing research questions.

4.1 Limitations of the review

Scoping reviews are a relatively new way of synthesizing research evidence. There is still considerable debate about the methodology, particularly with regards to quality assessment of the evidence. The authors noted in excess of ten articles in non peer-reviewed publications regarding the use of blended diets but these were not included. There are also active online groups that generate regular debate regarding the use of blended diets both in the USA and in the UK, with membership of over 2200 and 1600 respectively.

It is also acknowledged that reviews can only consider the evidence at a single point in time, and that new studies may have been missed by setting end date parameters. Similarly, studies may have been missed through selecting certain databases for the search.

#### 5. Conclusion

- 337 This scoping review provides an overview of the literature regarding the use of blended diet. Data
- from studies were charted and emerging themes were described. By providing a degree of quality
- evaluation of the studies and synthesis of the findings it is anticipated that the review will be of use
- 340 to policymakers, and to those carrying out or commissioning research.
- Regardless of the views of clinicians, it is evident that some families are using blended diets. Overall,
- 342 the paper revealed a picture of divergent opinions, a patient/carer led move towards the use of blended
- diets and a lack of evidence to refute or substantiate opinions and anecdotal evidence as to the impact
- of blended diet on the nutritional, clinical and psychosocial well being of patients and their families.
- 345 Transparency Declaration.
- 346 Anne Breaks, the lead author affirms that this manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent
- 347 account of the study being reported. The reporting of this work is compliant with PRISMA
- 348 guidelines. The lead author affirms that no important aspects of the study have been omitted and
- that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained.

351 References

350

352

353

354

355

356

357

358359

360

361

362

363364

365

366367

368

369370

371

372

373374

375

376

- 1. Smith, T. et al., 2011. Annual BANS Report, 2011 Artificial Nutrition Support in the UK 2000 -2010 A Report by the British Artificial Nutrition Survey (BANS), a committee of BAPEN (The British Association for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition)
- 2. Chernoff, R., 2006. History of Tube Feeding An Overview of Tube Feeding: From Ancient Times to the Future. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, 21(4), pp.408–410.
- 3. Sullivan, M. M., Sorreda-Esguerra P., Santos S., Planton G., Castro C.G., Idrisalman E.R., Chen N.R., Shott S., C.G.M., 2001. Bacterial contamination of blenderized whole food and commercial enteral tube feedings in the Philippines. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 49(4), pp.268–273
- 4. Hurt, R.T. et al., 2015. Blenderized Tube Feeding Use in Adult Home Enteral Nutrition Patients: A Cross-Sectional Review. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice.*, pp824-829
- 5. Johnson, T.W., Spurlock, A. & Galloway, P., 2013. Blenderized Formula by Gastrostomy Tube. *Topics in Clinical Nutrition*, 28(1), pp.84–92
- 6. Pentiuk, S. et al., 2011. Pureed by gastrostomy tube diet improves gagging and retching in children with fundoplication. *JPEN. Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition*, 35, pp.375–379.
- 7. Bobo, E., 2016. Reemergence of Blenderized Tube Feedings: Exploring the Evidence. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*. 20 (10), pp. 1-6
- 8. Edwards, S. et al., 2016. Caring for tube-fed children: A review of management, tube weaning, and emotional considerations. *Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition*, 40(5), pp.616–622.
  - 9. Vermilyea, S. & Goh, V.L., 2016. Enteral Feedings in Children: Sorting Out Tubes, Buttons, and Formulas. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, 31(1), pp.59–67.
  - 10. Coad, J. et al., 2016. Blended foods for tube-fed children: a safe and realistic option? A rapid review of the evidence. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, pp 1-5
- 11. Arksey, H. & O'Malley, L., 2005. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 8(1), pp.19–32.

12. Pham, M.T., Rajić, A., Greig, J.D., Sargeant, J.M., Papadopoulos, A., Mcewen, S.A., 2014.
 A scoping review of scoping reviews: Advancing the approach and enhancing the consistency. Research Synthesis Methods 5, 371–385

- 13. National Institute for Health Clinical Excellence. 2009 The guidelines manual Appendix I: Methodology Checklist London: *National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence*, pp208-266
  - 14. Holsti, O.R.M.N., 1969. Content analysis for the social sciences and humanities, Reading (Mass.); London: Addison-Wesley
  - 15. Waitzberg, D.L et al, 2013. ILSI Task Force on enteral nutrition; estimated composition and costs of blenderized diets. *Nutrición hospitalaria*, 28(6).
  - 16. Campbell, S.M., 2006. An anthology of advances in enteral tube feeding formulations. *Nutrition in clinical practice* 21(4), pp.411–415.
  - 17. Heimberger D. C, 1985. Guidelines for Evaluating and Categorizing Enteral Feeding Formulas According to Therapeutic Equivalence. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, 9(1), pp.61–67
  - 18. Amaral Felicio, B. et al., 2012. Food and nutritional safety of hospitalized patients under treatment with enteral nutrition therapy in the Jequitinhonha Valley, Brazil. *Nutrición hospitalaria*, 27(6), pp.2122–9
  - 19. Machado de Sousa, L.R., Rodrigues Ferreira, S.M. & Madalozzo Schieferdecker, M.E., 2014. Physicochemical and nutritional characteristics of handmade enteral diets. *Nutrición hospitalaria*, 29(3), pp.568–74.
  - 20. Arlene A. Escuro, MS, RD, LD, C. & and A. Christine Hummell, MS, RD, LD, C., 2016. Enteral Formulas in Nutrition Support Practice: Is There a Better Choice for Your Patient? *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, 31(6), pp.709–722.
  - 21. Boullata, J.I., Long Carrera, A. & Harvey, L., 2017. ASPEN Safe Practices for Enteral Nutrition Therapy. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, 41(1), pp.15–103.
  - 22. Jonkers-Schuitema, C.F., 2009. Basics in clinical nutrition: Diets for enteral nutrition. Home made diets. *e-SPEN*, 4(4), pp.e168–e169.
  - 23. Santos, V.F.N. & Morais, T.B., 2009. Nutritional quality and osmolality of home-made enteral diets, and follow-up of growth of severely disabled children receiving home enteral nutrition therapy. *Journal of Tropical Pediatrics*, 56(2), pp.127–128.
  - 24. Waitzberg, D.L et al, 2013. ILSI Task Force on enteral nutrition; estimated composition and costs of blenderized diets. *Nutrición hospitalaria*, 28(6).
  - 25. Gottrand, M. et al., 2013. Micronutrient status of children receiving prolonged enteral nutrition. *Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism*, 63(1–2), pp.152–158.
  - 26. O'Hara, C., 2015. Scurvy Related to the use of Homemade Tube Feeding Formula. *ICAN: Infant, Child, & Adolescent Nutrition*, 7(6), pp.381–384.
  - 27. Fredstrom, S.B. et al., 1991. Determination of the Fiber Content of Enteral Feedings. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, 15(4), pp.450–453.
  - 28. Samela, K. et al., 2016. Transition to a Tube Feeding Formula With Real Food Ingredients in Pediatric Patients With Intestinal Failure. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice.*, pp1-5
  - 29. Brown, B., Roehl, K. & Betz, M., 2014. Enteral Nutrition Formula Selection: Current Evidence and Implications for Practice. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, 30(1), pp.72–85.
  - 30. Jalali, M. et al., 2009. Bacterial contamination of hospital prepared enteral tube feeding formulas in Isfahan, Iran. *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 14(3), pp.149–156.
  - 31. Anderson, K.R. et al., 1984. Bacterial Contamination of Tube-Feeding Formulas. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, 8(6), pp.673–678.
  - 32. Sullivan, M.M. et al., 2004. Nutritional analysis of blenderized enteral diets in the Philippines. *Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, pp.385-390

- 33. Holland M. 2014. Enhancing Patient Safety in Enteral Feeding *Complete Nutrition* Vol.14
   No.6 pp. 79- 81
- 34. Hurt, R.T. et al., 2016. Universal Small Bore Connectors (ENFit) for Enteral Access:
   Implications for Clinical Practice. *Current Nutrition Reports*, 5(3), pp.240–244

- 35. Guenter P and Lyman B, 2016. ENFit Enteral Nutrition Connectors: Benefits and Challenges. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, 31(6), pp.769–772.
  - 36. Thomas S., 2016. G636(p) Blended Diets- a challenge at the coal face! *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 101(Suppl 1), p.A374.
- 37. Mundi, M.S., Epp, L. & Hurt, R.T., 2016. Increased Force Required With Proposed Standardized Enteral Feed Connector in Blenderized Tube Feeding. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, pp.1–4.
- 38. Klek, S. et al., 2011. Commercial enteral formulas and nutrition support teams improve the outcome of home enteral tube feeding. *JPEN. Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition*, 35, pp.380–385.
- 39. Novak, P. et al., 2009. The Use of Blenderized Tube Feedings. *ICAN: Infant, Child, & Adolescent Nutrition*, 1(1), pp.21–23.
- 40. Nowak-Cooperman, K. & Quinn-Shea, K., 2013. Finding the Balance: Oral Eating and Tube Feeding: One Pediatric Hospital's Experience With a Hunger-Based Intensive Feeding Program. *ICAN: Infant, Child, & Adolescent Nutrition*, 5(5), pp.283–297
- 41. Seche, G., B.S., 2014. Rett syndrome Questions and Answers. *ICAN :Infant, Child & Adolescent Nutrition*, 6(6), pp.327–328.
- 42. Brown S., 2014 Blended Food for Enteral Feeding via a Gastrostomy *Nursing Children and Young People* 26 (9) pp16-20
- 43. Mascarenhas, M.R., Meyers, R. & Konek, S., 2008. Outpatient Nutrition Management of the Neurologically Impaired Child. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, 23(6), pp.597–607.
- 44. Thiyagesh V and Hill H., 2016. G44 (p) Use of liquidised food through gastrostomy. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, 101(27–28).
- 45. Edwards, S. et al., 2016. Caring for tube-fed children: A review of management, tube weaning, and emotional considerations. *Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition*, 40(5), pp.616–622
- 46. Armstrong, J. et al., 2016. Dietitians' perceptions and experience of blenderised feeds for paediatric tube-feeding. *Archives of disease in childhood*, pp.152–156.
- 47. Johnson, T.W., Spurlock, A. & Pierce, L., 2015. Survey Study Assessing Attitudes and Experiences of Pediatric Registered Dietitians Regarding Blended Food by Gastrostomy Tube Feeding. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, 30(3), pp.402–405.
- 48. Zettle, S., 2016. Deconstructing Pediatric Blenderized Tube Feeding Getting Started and Problem Solving Common Concerns. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, pp.1–7.
- 49. Walia, C. et al., 2016. The Registered Dietitian Nutritionist's Guide to Homemade Tube Feeding. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, pp.1-6
- 50. British Dietetic Association, 2013. Policy Statement Use of Liquidised Food with Enteral Feeding Tubes. *Birmingham UK*, pp.1–5
- 51. Epp, L. et al., 2016. Use of Blenderized Tube Feeding in Adult and Pediatric Home Enteral Nutrition Patients. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice.*,20 (10) pp.1-5
- 52. Martin, K. & Gardner, G., 2017. Home Enteral Nutrition: Updates, Trends, and Challenges. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, 20(10), pp.1–10.
- 53. Involving People in their own care <a href="https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/patient-participation/">https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/patient-participation/</a> (Accessed September 2017)