



City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Chowdhury, R. and Willmott, H. ORCID: 0000-0003-1321-7041 (2018).
Microcredit, the corporatization of nongovernmental organizations, and academic activism:
The example of Professor Anu Muhammad. Organization, doi: 10.1177/1350508418768002

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/20207/>

Link to published version: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1350508418768002>

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Organization

Microcredit, the Corporatization of NGOs and Academic Activism: The Example of Professor Anu Muhammad

Journal:	<i>Organization</i>
Manuscript ID	ORG-17-0085.R1
Manuscript Type:	Acting Up
Keywords:	
Abstract:	N/A

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Review

Introduction

Our concern is to highlight the corporatization of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) in perpetuating the negative effects of neoliberal microcredit practices in developing countries, and to illuminate the challenge posed to these by academic activism.

Microcredit is an element within microfinance¹ that provides credit services to poor clients (Mannan, 2009; Fernando, 2005). It is widely accepted in developing countries, such as Bangladesh, where NGOs, operating as quasi corporations under a veil of benevolence (Chowdhury, 2017), have deployed microcredit as a development tool that it intended to eradicate poverty and empower poor women. Top Bangladeshi NGOs, including the Grameen Bank, BRAC, and the Association for Social Advancement (ASA), receive substantial foreign funds with which to mobilize microcredit services, resulting in their operation as quasi corporations (Fernando, 2005; Karim, 2011).

The corporatization of NGOs as providers of microcredits is, arguably, the product of neoliberal, market-centric development policy. In the creation or extension of such NGOs as quasi corporations, the poor become increasingly vulnerable. Some scholars (e.g., Mair et al, 2011; Yunus and Jolis, 1998) claim that the process of NGO corporatization enables the poor to free themselves from poverty. In contrast, the “academic-activist” approach (Hill Collins, 2013) that is articulated in the insights of Professor Anu Muhammad, illuminates how microcredit practices bring the poor into the exploitative process of marketization as deregulation facilitates the institutionalization of profit-oriented activities – a scenario that is supported by other scholarly

¹ Microfinance comprises various financial services for small businesses and entrepreneurs that are unable to access traditional banking services and finance.

1
2
3 evidence (e.g., Banerjee and Jackson, 2017; Fernando, 2005; Karim, 2001, 2008, 2011; Mannan,
4
5
6 2009; Muhammad, 2009; 2015).
7
8
9

10 The interview with Professor Muhammad relates how market expansion has occurred through
11 the process of NGO corporatization, unregulated distribution of microcredits and a vicious circle
12 of debt traps. The primary effect has been to disrupt and degrade the lives of the poor. Ahmed
13 (2007) found that 1,189 of 2,501 respondents could not make their repayments for their
14 microloans on time. 72.3% of them had to borrow money from moneylenders and others at high
15 rates of interest, while 10% had to sell assets to repay loans. The influential ethnographic studies
16 of Karim (2001, 2008, 2011) report how, in Bangladeshi villages, NGOs use “shame” to collect
17 loan repayments – which can be particularly devastating for the reputation of poor women. These
18 studies challenge the conventional wisdom that microcredit gives a voice to the poor and
19 empowers women. Arguments for microcredit as a vehicle for poverty alleviation (e.g., Mair et
20 al, 2011; Yunus and Jolis, 1998) are cast in a new and unflattering light. As the veil of
21 benevolence is lifted, the arguments are shown to be deeply problematical, if not empty. They
22 are seen to form part of a wider, hegemonic discourse (cf., Gramsci, 1971) that is advanced
23 through processes of cultural and political manipulation and perpetuated by neoliberal academic
24 knowledge re-production.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 In order to challenge the dominant discourse, it is necessary to develop and disseminate other
49 ideas that commend and enable counter-hegemonic practices (Said, 1978, 1994; Scott, 1985;
50 Spivak, 1999) and so expose propaganda and correct injustices, including the notion that
51 corporatized NGOs empower poor women through microcredits practices. Social science that
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 contributes to the debate of public issues, the origin of which can be found in W. E. B. Du Bois's
4
5 scholarly work and evidenced-based activism (see Morris, 2015), can dispute hegemonic rhetoric
6
7 (e.g. Burawoy, 2005a,b). What has been termed an “academic-activist” perspective (Hill Collins,
8
9 2013; Contu, 2017a,b) is examined and commended as a way of undertaking management and
10
11 organization studies. Such studies go beyond observation, or even participant observation, to
12
13 engage directly and self-consciously in a form of “critical performativity” where the academic
14
15 “struggles against oppression and builds and participates in emancipatory politics” (Contu,
16
17 2017a: 2). Specifically, we consider the example of Professor Anu Muhammad's involvement in
18
19 challenging the justice of NGO microcredit practices and developing alternatives to them, such
20
21 as cooperative-centric approaches, that are intended to empower the poor instead of regarding
22
23 them primarily as a profitable business proposition.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **A brief overview of microcredit practices in developing countries**

33
34 Microcredit gained a new prominence and acclaim when the Grameen Bank and Professor
35
36 Muhammad Yunus jointly won the Nobel Peace Prize in 2006. Microcredit is widely accepted
37
38 and applauded by donor countries and international institutions (including the World Bank, the
39
40 International Monetary Fund (IMF), USAID, and the Department of International Development
41
42 (DFID), UK). Nonetheless, it is a politically contested and scientifically controversial
43
44 phenomenon (Fernando, 2005; Karim, 2001, 2008a, 2011; Mannan, 2009; Muhammad, 2009;
45
46 2015). As early as 2001, Aminur Rahman, a Bangladeshi anthropologist, published a highly
47
48 controversial book on microcredit that challenged its effectiveness and pointed to its dark side.
49
50 When commenting upon this innovative study, Karim (2008) recalls that Rahman's criticisms of
51
52 microcredit made it impossible for him to publish his book through any Bangladeshi publishing
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 house. Ten years later, Karim's (2011) own study of three villages in Bangladesh exposed and
4
5 questioned the roles of powerful NGOs and heavily criticized the microcredit practices carried
6
7 out by leading NGOs. Karim (2011) reports that NGOs, such as the Grameen Bank, claim to
8
9 have high loan-repayment recovery rates (around 97-98%). This is possible, she discovered, only
10
11 because the poor are pressured to repay by deploying cultural manipulation, such as shaming
12
13 practices. The BBC (2013) reported that the pressure was so intense that recipients of
14
15 microcredits in Bangladesh sold their organs to repay their loans; and there have been numerous
16
17 reports of Bangladeshi microcredit agencies being violent towards poor borrowers (Karim,
18
19 2008a, 2011; Rozario, 2007). Their activities have undermined social cohesion and created or
20
21 widened social divisions. The use of microcredit is seen to bring further misery to the lives of the
22
23 poor; and it has not brought the development, poverty eradication, and women's empowerment
24
25 that its proponents hail (see also Banerjee and Jackson, 2017; Stiles, 2002).²
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 Defenders of microcredit provided by increasingly corporatized NGOs, who include Professor
35
36 Yunus, claim that his idea of microfinancing differs from the practices of SKS and others who
37
38 serve mainly their shareholders rather than the poor (Yunus, 2011). However, a study conducted
39
40 in twelve Bangladeshi districts affected by *Cyclone Sidr* in 2007 has shown that powerful NGOs
41
42 – such as BRAC, the Grameen Bank and the ASA – forced *Sidr*-affected microcredit borrowers
43
44 to sell off their cyclone relief materials to repay existing loans (Pasha et al., 2008, cited in
45
46
47
48

49
50 ² Microcredit came under close and critical media scrutiny when the people of Andhra Pradesh, in India, began
51
52 committing suicide due to problems with debt repayment. More than 70 people took their own lives between March
53
54 1 and November 19, 2010 as a way of escaping payments and ending the agony of shame and impoverishment
55
56 resulting from their debts (Lee and David, 2010). As a consequence of this impact, SKS, an NGO founded in 1998
57
58 that was floated on the Bombay Stock Exchange in 2010, along with other microcredit institutions such as L&T
59
60 Finance, Spandana Sphoorty Financial, and Share Microfin, suffered heavily. At one stage, the regional government
barred micro-lender agents from visiting borrowers and ordered firms to secure the local authorities' approval before
issuing new loans.

1
2
3 Muhammad, 2009). The borrowers were also intimidated into using their government-provided
4 housing compensation package to repay their microcredit loans. Instead of receiving a break
5 from repayments, they fell victim to harassment and were thrown into further destitution by
6 powerful NGOs. In order to gain some further illumination of the operation and ethics of
7 microcredit practices developed by NGOs, and to remedy the deficit of critical analysis of their
8 effects, it is relevant to pay attention to activists in the field.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **Professor Anu Muhammad (A.M.) as an academic-activist**

21 We chose to interview A.M. as he is a prolific writer and academic, as well as a renowned
22 political activist. Since 1982, he has been Professor of the Economics Department at
23 Jahangirnagar University, Bangladesh. He also taught Anthropology at Jahangirnagar from 1991
24 to 2005. He is Member Secretary of the National Committee to Protect Oil, Gas, Mineral
25 Resources, Power, and Ports, a leading activist organization in Bangladesh. In Bangladesh, no
26 other academic has played a more consistent and forthright role as a grassroots political activist³.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 Following Gramsci (1971), we conceive of A.M. as an intellectual and activist, and we
40 characterize his perspective as that of an “*academic-activist*” (Hill Collins, 2013). We associate
41 this identity with fearlessness, uncompromising outspokenness, and being free from the
42 influence of powerful actors who offer monetary assistance. It is a perspective that has been
43 forged *organically* in the process of participating in activist struggles, rather than simply
44 observing them. It is *academic* because it incorporates the evidence and insights of scientific
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53
54
55 ³ As a consequence of his outspoken and fearless activism, A.M. has been targeted by the state on numerous
56 occasions. For example, in 2009, when he was leading a march in protest against the privatizing of gas exploration
57 to multinational corporations (MNCs), he was beaten by the state police (Ain o Salish Kendra (ASK), 2009; The
58 Daily Star, 2009).
59
60

1
2
3 research and evidence when forming arguments against injustices, including those perpetuated
4
5 by profit-oriented – as distinct from people-centric, environmental friendly and sustainable –
6
7 agencies⁴. The “academic activist” appreciates how s/he is an active co-participant in the co-
8
9 constitution of the processes, relations and objects of which they speak” (Contu, 2017a: 6).
10
11 While this participation is, in any event, inescapable, the academic activist self-consciously
12
13 acknowledges the political formation and impact of knowledge and makes an ethico-political
14
15 commitment to justice in the form of *praxis* oriented to the realization of the democratic values
16
17 of freedom, equality and solidarity.
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 With regard to the development of microcredit programs in Bangladesh, A.M. has been
25
26 intimately involved with their development. In his early career, his participation in the
27
28 Bangladesh Institute of Development Studies (BIDS) gave him access to conversations with
29
30 many NGO personnel who later became influential. For over three decades, he has also travelled
31
32 to numerous villages (Bangladesh has around 60,000 of them) to discuss and observe the effect
33
34 of microcredit on the poor. In his capacity as an academic, he has written numerous articles and
35
36 books on poverty, microfinance, and other development issues in an effort to illuminate but also
37
38 to transform the world that he studies. A combination of first-hand experience and in-depth
39
40 research inform his knowledge of how microcredit agencies and other powerful actors – such as
41
42
43
44
45

46
47 ⁴ Amongst A.M.’s most successful activist struggles is the prominent role he has played in the Phulbari movement in
48 Bangladesh (e.g., Falguni, 2009). The Phulbari movement was formed in early 2000 to resist one of the largest open-
49 pit mines in Bangladesh, a project estimated to be worth \$1.1 billion (Doward and Haider, 2006). A British firm,
50 Asia Energy (enlisted in the AIM London Stock Exchange), wanted to develop this open-pit mining site. In 2006,
51 three protestors were killed by police when the local people of Phulbari were objecting to it (Falguni, 2009; Huggler,
52 2006). This incident led Asia Energy to change its name to GCM Plc. As a consequence of the Phulbari movement,
53 the coal mining project has been halted until now. This means that important actors like the government and the
54 main opposition party in Bangladesh, the British government, Asian Development Bank, Barclays Bank, Fidelity
55 Trust, UBS AG, Cambrian Mining, RAB Capital, Goldman Sachs, Credit Suisse, and others, have, as yet, been
56 unable to exert their power to operationalize this project. Such effective resistance is attributable in no small part to
57 the wisdom, dedication, and sacrifices of A.M. and other activists committed to the Phulbari movement.
58
59
60

1
2
3 foreign donors, government agencies and MNCs have promoted and enacted a neoliberal agenda
4
5 in developing countries (Muhammad, 2015). In this regard, A.M's work diverges from
6
7 scholarship that reports but "makes little difference in challenging the actual differences
8
9 associated with [social and epistemic] injustice" (Hill Collins, 2013: 760 cited in Contu, 2017b:
10
11 38). By taking the performative effects as an inescapable ethico-political point of departure, A.M
12
13 places his scholarship in the service of activism dedicated to social justice (Willmott, 2008). A
14
15 particular merit of the interview format is its accessibility, especially for teaching purposes. It
16
17 serve to "bring to life" a particular form of scholarship and the insights that it generates. While
18
19 this vehicle for dissemination is evidently reliant upon the capacity of the interviewee to respond
20
21 to questions in an open and revealing way, it can provide at least a glimpse into a world that is
22
23 conventionally reported in an impersonal and distant manner.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **Corporatization of NGOs and its influence on corruption and the weakened role of the** 33 **state** 34

35
36 From the conversation with A.M., we have picked out three themes that enrich and may extend
37
38 our current understanding of the roles of powerful NGOs and their microcredit activities, and so
39
40 invite further exploration of these agencies by students of organization and management. We do
41
42 not claim that these themes have been "scientifically" identified or they are exhaustive or even
43
44 the most significant. The availability of the transcript enables readers to make an assessment of
45
46 their centrality and to consider other themes that we have not surfaced.
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 *The corporatization of NGOs*
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 A.M. highlights how, as a consequence of their contemporary embrace of a neoliberal agenda,
4
5 NGOs have changed. Bangladeshi NGOs initially focused on development activities such as
6
7 education and healthcare. Since the 1990s, the World Bank has legitimized the importance of
8
9 microcredit in developing countries. More recently, leading Western banks have found that
10
11 providing loans to the poor can be a potentially profitable market which fueled the rapid growth
12
13 of the NGO sector in Bangladesh (see also Fernando, 2005; Karim, 2011). NGOs started to
14
15 receive significant foreign funding for microcredit projects, and foreign institutions lent NGOs
16
17 money at markedly reduced interest rates (as low as 4% or less, depending on the subsidies the
18
19 NGOs receive).
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 An abundance of foreign funding overlapped with the Bangladeshi government's aim to privatize
28
29 education, healthcare, and other essential public services. The combination of heavily-funded
30
31 NGOs, and the Bangladeshi government's encouragement of privatization, legitimized NGOs'
32
33 use of funds from overseas sponsors and profits from their microcredit programs to expand their
34
35 commercial activities. NGOs started providing education and healthcare as they took over many
36
37 state functions. Often incentivized by tax concessions, NGOs also started other types of business,
38
39 such as universities, farms, and telecommunication enterprises in addition to manufacturing
40
41 firms and hotel businesses that previously they had not dared develop (see also Chowdhury,
42
43 2017; Laasonen et al., 2012). These initiatives have enabled NGOs to frame and shape the
44
45 privatization process across a number of sectors. A.M. terms such NGOs "corporate NGOs"
46
47 because they act as if they are corporations or quasi corporations.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 One effect of the corporatization of NGOs has been to make the Bangladeshi government less
4 accountable as NGOs are increasingly involved in all kinds of governmental decision-making
5 (e.g., as sub-contractors or consultants). The process exerts a domino effect: it not only affects
6 the lives of poor people; it also weakens the democratic role of the state when NGOs operate as a
7 kind of parallel government (see Haque 2002; Karim, 2001 for more details) that can exert
8 political pressures on the elected government. This became evident when, for instance, Professor
9 Yunus attempted to build his political party, creating friction between the current government
10 and Professor Yunus (see also Karim, 2011b).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 *Microcredit and corruption*

26
27 By assessing the issue of corporatization of NGOs, A.M., sheds light on corruption. Due to
28 ineffective state governance, corruption has increased significantly in Bangladesh.⁵ In 2010, the
29 Bangladeshi government found it to be politically expedient to be critical of Professor Yunus,
30 but they turned a blind eye to the questionable operation and governance of microcredit
31 programs and other NGO activities⁶. They scapegoated Professor Yunus by isolating him from
32 the Grameen Bank because it was feared that he could, as the architect and leader of a new
33 political party, he posed a threat to the *status quo*. Focusing upon Professor Yunus in response to
34 his political ambitions suggests that, when founders or executives of leading NGOs do not
35 challenge the government or threaten the interests of established politicians, the corruption and
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 ⁵ Transparency International's Corruption Perception Index ranked Bangladesh the 15th most corrupt country in the
53 world in 2016 (New Age, 2017).

54 ⁶ To deflect attention from the dark side of microfinance, NGOs present their activity by invoking terms like
55 "women's empowerment" and "poverty eradication" (see also Osmany, 1994). In this process, profiting from the
56 poor is normalized. While some poor people may have somewhat more income than before, most are worse off in
57 real terms. They are either trapped in debt cycles or forced to leave their villages in search of jobs in urban areas.
58 Alternatively, they sell their properties or body organs, or even commit suicide (BBC, 2013).
59
60

1
2
3 injustices harbored and perpetuated by microcredit or other NGO activities is overlooked,
4
5 thereby further weakening the governance mechanisms within the NGO sector.
6
7
8
9

10 *Strengthening government institutions*

11
12 A.M. argues that effectively addressing poverty – and, more specifically, countering microcredit
13 as a stratagem of poverty alleviation – requires strong government institutions capable of address
14 the concerns of poor without exploiting them. With the introduction and enforcement of
15 accountable governance mechanisms (see also Khan, 2003; Mir and Bala, 2015), it is possible to
16 build an alternative, cooperative-based way of raising citizens out of poverty. However, as A.M.
17 acknowledges, the Bangladesh government is no less corrupt than the system that accommodates
18 the NGOs. The pervasiveness and force of the neoliberal agenda has become so powerful that the
19 government has little incentive to change its policies or enforce laws which could fight
20 corruption. A.M concludes that substantial improvement of the current situation requires radical,
21 and perhaps revolutionary, change.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **Implications for future research in organization and management studies**

40
41 An appropriate way to examine NGOs is to consider NGO-government or NGO-corporate
42 nexuses from the perspective of poor and marginalized people. When researchers study an NGO
43 or NGOs without incorporating the interrogation of this nexus (e.g., Mair et al., 2011; Yunus and
44 Jolis, 1998), ill-informed representations of the poor are uncritically adopted, reinforced and
45 disseminated. When studying NGOs, it is necessary to analyze carefully how high level
46 networks, cemented by a comparatively homogenous elite belief system, form between NGOs
47 and other powerful actors, (cf., Mir and Mir, 2002). It serves to establish and sustain an
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 integrative network or powerful ecosystem, where NGOs, corporations and governments
4
5 collaborate in their mutual survival, rather than challenging each other to better enable the
6
7 development of materials and facilities to the direct and lasting benefit of the poor.
8
9

10
11
12 Research based upon a close and deep familiarity with the issues of development can generate
13
14 penetrating and nuanced knowledge of the effects of neoliberal policies as they become
15
16 embedded in economically deprived societies and institutionalized in the day-to-day lives of the
17
18 poor. Such fieldwork can, relatedly, examine the operation and viability of alternatives to
19
20 poverty alleviation whose inspiration and scope is currently confined largely within the
21
22 neoliberal solution of microcredit provided by corporatized NGOs. In addition to challenging the
23
24 conventional wisdom that NGOs can address the institutional deficit (Mair et al., 2011), and that
25
26 they become more effective when they collaborate with corporations to deliver improved public
27
28 benefits (Doh, 2008; Teegen et al. 2004), research can highlight and examine alternatives to
29
30 existing approaches to poverty reduction, such as bottom-up cooperatives that operate to attain a
31
32 common social purpose, without aiming to maximize profits from their activities (see critically,
33
34 Marcuse, 2015; Ratner, 2015). To support these initiatives, researchers could study how state
35
36 funding and foreign donations may more directly and effectively be transferred to the poor as
37
38 ostensibly they are the intended beneficiaries of poverty reduction funds.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Conclusion: poor-people-led cooperatives as alternatives**

49

50 We hope that our examination of the role of microfinance in increasing inequalities and
51
52 injustices from the standpoint of an academic activist will encourage management and
53
54 organization scholars to examine the issues, including the role of corruptions, raised by A.M in
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 greater detail and depth. We also hope that future research will study and scrutinize alternatives
4
5
6 to top-down microcredits, such as bottom-up cooperative based forms of self-determination
7
8 supported by government funding as these initiatives may better enable the poor to fight poverty
9
10 themselves.

11
12
13
14
15 In terms of the “critical performativity” of such research, it fosters the prospect of possibility of
16
17 small cooperatives being formed in every village, facilitated by resources and expertise from
18
19 government, so that the poor could manage the funds according to their wishes and decide what
20
21 to do with them. Such small-scale cooperatives could be grouped together and a coordination
22
23 committee, comprising representative from several villages, could send feedback to the state
24
25 representatives or donor agencies about their deployment of resources and the progress they are
26
27 making.
28
29
30

31
32
33
34 A.M’s work also shows why the arrogant and patronizing idea that elite actors (e.g., The
35
36 Economist, 2012; Mair et al., 2011; Yunus and Jolis, 1998) have magic solutions to poverty, and
37
38 that they know how to help the poor, even when their help is not sought, must be discarded.
39
40 NGO expertise may nonetheless be helpful in initiating and managing entrepreneurial activities,
41
42 so long as it is tightly controlled by, and accountable to, the community. But consultancy must
43
44 not inhibit the poor from choosing the options that they can adopt and manage best. Cooperatives
45
46 have been shown to offer an antidote to the marketization of microcredits and the corporatization
47
48 of NGOs. They are also more congruent with actively maintaining cultural traditions, protecting
49
50 social cohesion, and mobilizing collective, community-level energy to alleviate miseries and
51
52 reduce poverty. In contrast to the provision of microcredits by corporatized NGOs, the
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 championing of cooperatives exemplifies critical performativity as, “academic activism” as it
4
5 regards the poor as highly knowledgeable, resourceful actors who have, or are able to develop,
6
7 the capacity to improve their conditions but are systemically deprived of access to the resources
8
9 required to do so.
10
11

12 13 14 15 **References**

16
17 Ahmed QK (ed.) (2007) *Socio-Economic and Indebtedness-Related Impact of Microcredit in*
18
19 *Bangladesh*. Dhaka: University Press.

20
21 Atkinson AB (2015). *Inequality: What can be Done*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

22
23 ASK (2009) ASK Condemns the atrocious police attack on Dr. Anu Muhammad. Press statement
24
25 available at [http://www.askbd.org/ask/2009/09/03/ask-condemns-atrocious-police-attack-](http://www.askbd.org/ask/2009/09/03/ask-condemns-atrocious-police-attack-dr-anu-muhammad/)
26
27 *dr-anu-muhammad/*.
28
29

30
31 Banerjee SB and Jackson L (2017). Microfinance and the business of poverty reduction: Critical
32
33 perspectives from rural Bangladesh. *Human Relations* 70(1): 63-91.
34
35

36
37 BBC (2013) The Bangladesh poor selling organs to pay. *BBC Asia*, 28 December.

38
39 Burawoy M (2005a) For public sociology. *American Sociological Review* 70: 4-28.

40
41 Burawoy, M (2005b) The critical turn to public sociology. *Critical Sociology* 31(3): 313-326.

42
43 Chowdhury R (2017) The Rana Plaza disaster and the complicit behavior of elite NGOs.
44
45 *Organization* forthcoming.
46
47

48
49 Contu, A. (2017) On doing academic work: Critical performativity as intellectual activism.
50
51 *Working Paper*.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Contu, A. (2017a) ‘...The point is to change it’ – Yes. But in what direction and how? Intellectual activism as a way of ‘walking the talk’ of critical work in business schools. *Working Paper*.
- Doh JP (2008) Between confrontation and cooperation: Corporate citizenship and NGOs. In Scherer AG and Palazzo G (eds) *Handbook of Research on Global Corporate Citizenship*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar, 273-292.
- Doward J and Haider M (2006) The mystery death, a town in uproar and a \$1bn UK mines deal. *The Observer*, September 3.
- Falguni A (2009) “Oil, gas and mineral resources of our country is the blood flown in our vein”. Interview with Professor Anu Muhammad. Available at <http://anu-m.blogspot.com/>, September 14.
- Fernando JL (ed) (2005) *Perils and Prospects of Micro-Credit: Neoliberalism and Cultural Politics of Empowerment*. London: Routledge.
- Gramsci A (1971) *Selections from the Prison Notebooks*. London: Lawrence and Wishart.
- Haque MS (2002) The changing balance of power between the government and NGOs in Bangladesh. *International Political Science Review* 23(4): 411-435.
- Hill Collins, P. (2013) *On Intellectual Activism*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Huggler J (2006) Bangladesh hit by violent protests over British firm’s coal mine plan. *The Independent*, August 31.
- Karim L (2011a) *Microfinance and Its Discontents*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Karim L (2011b) The fall of Muhammad Yunus and its consequences for the women of Grameen Bank. Available at <http://www.umnpressblog.com/2011/03/lamia-karim-fall-of-muhammad-yunus-and.html>

- 1
2
3 Karim L (2008) Demystifying micro-credit: The Grameen Bank, NGOs, and neoliberalism in
4
5 Bangladesh. *Cultural Dynamics* 20: 5-29.
6
7
8 Karim L (2001) Politics of the poor: NGOs and grass-roots political mobilization in Bangladesh.
9
10 *Political and Legal Anthropology Review* 24(1): 97-107.
11
12
13 Khan MM (2010) Accountability of NGOs in Bangladesh: A critical overview. *Public*
14
15 *Management Review*, 5(2): 267-278.
16
17
18 Laasonen S, Fougère M and Kourula A (2012) Dominant articulations in academic business and
19
20 society discourse on NGO-business relations: A critical assessment. *Journal of Business*
21
22 *Ethics* 109(4): 521-545.
23
24
25 Lee Y and David R (2010) Suicides in India Revealing How Men Made a Mess of Microcredit.
26
27 *Bloomberg* 28 December.
28
29
30 Mair J, Marti I and Ventresca M (2011) Building inclusive markets in rural Bangladesh: How
31
32 intermediaries work institutional voids. *Academy of Management Journal* 55(4): 819-
33
34 850.
35
36
37 Mannan M (2009) BRAC: Anatomy of a “poverty enterprise”. *Nonprofit Manage and*
38
39 *Leadership* 20(2): 219-233.
40
41
42 Marcuse P (2015) Cooperatives on the path to socialism? *Monthly Review* 66(9): 31-38.
43
44
45 Mir M and Bala SK (2015) NGO accountability in Bangladesh: Two contrasting cases. *Voluntas*
46
47 26: 1831.
48
49
50 Mir R and Mir A (2002) The organizational imagination: From paradigm wars to praxis.
51
52 *Organizational Research Methods* 5(1): 105-125.
53
54
55 Morris A (2015) *The Scholar Denied: W. E. B. Du Bois and the Birth of American Sociology*.
56
57 University of California Press.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Muhammad A (2015) Bangladesh—A Model of Neoliberalism. *Monthly Review* 10 March.
4
5
6 Muhammad A (2009) Grameen and microcredit: A Tale of corporate success, *Economic &*
7
8 *Political Weekly* 44(35): 35-42.
9
10 New Age (2017) Bangladesh ranks 15th in corruption perception index. Available at
11
12 <http://www.newagebd.net/article/7774/bangladesh-ranks-15th-in-corruption-perception->
13
14 [index.](http://www.newagebd.net/article/7774/bangladesh-ranks-15th-in-corruption-perception-)
15
16
17 Osmany SR (1994) Limits to the alleviation of poverty through on-farm credit. *Bangladesh*
18
19 *Development Studies* 17(4): 1-19.
20
21
22 Piketty, T. (2014). *Capital in the Twenty-first Century*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University
23
24 Press.
25
26
27 Rahman A (2001) *Women and Microcredit in Rural Bangladesh: An Anthropological Study of*
28
29 *the Rhetoric and Realities of Grameen Bank Lending*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
30
31
32 Rozario S (2007) The dark side of micro-credit. Available at
33
34 https://www.opendemocracy.net/article/5050/16_days/dowry_microcredit.
35
36
37 Said E (1978) *Orientalism*. London: Penguin.
38
39 Said E (1994) *Culture and Imperialism*. New York: Vintage Books.
40
41
42 Scott JC (1985) *Weapons of the Weak: Everyday Forms of Peasant Resistance*. New Haven, CT:
43
44 Yale University Press.
45
46 Sen A (2010) *The Idea of Justice*. London: Penguin.
47
48 Sen A (1999) *Development as Freedom*. New York: Oxford University Press.
49
50
51 Spivak G (1999) *A Critique of Postcolonial Reason: Toward a Critique of the Vanishing*
52
53 *Present*. (Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA).
54
55
56 Spivak GC (1994) Responsibility. *Boundary 2* 21(3): 19-64.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Stiles K (2002) International support for NGOs in Bangladesh: Some unintended consequences.
4
5 *World Development* 30(5): 835-846.
6
7
8 Teegen H, Doh JP and Vachani S (2004) The importance of nongovernmental organizations
9
10 (NGOs) in global governance and value creation: An international business research
11
12 agenda. *Journal of International Business Studies* 35: 463-483.
13
14
15 The Daily Star (2009) Gas Exploration: Protesters clash with cops: 30 hurt. Available at
16
17 <http://www.thedailystar.net/news-detail-104194>.
18
19
20 The Economist (2012) Bangladesh and development: The path the fields. Available at
21
22 [https://www.economist.com/news/briefing/21565617-bangladesh-has-dysfunctional-](https://www.economist.com/news/briefing/21565617-bangladesh-has-dysfunctional-politics-and-stunted-private-sector-yet-it-has-been-surprisingly)
23
24 [politics-and-stunted-private-sector-yet-it-has-been-surprisingly](https://www.economist.com/news/briefing/21565617-bangladesh-has-dysfunctional-politics-and-stunted-private-sector-yet-it-has-been-surprisingly).
25
26
27 Ratner C (2015) Neoliberal co-optation of leading co-op organizations, and a socialist counter
28
29 politics of cooperation. *Monthly Review* 66(9): 18-30.
30
31
32 Willmott HC (2008) Critical management and global justice. *Organization* 15(6): 927-931.
33
34
35 Yunus M and Jolis A (1998) *Banker to the Poor*. London: Aurum Press.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Interview with Professor Anu Muhammad

The interview was conducted in Bengali by Y.Z. (anonymized) during June, 2016. Although A.M. speaks English, the interview was conducted in Bengali to capture all necessary nuances and interpretations. The interview was then transcribed in Bengali and translated into English, with the final version of the translated document being shown to A.M. for approval so as to avoid misrepresentation.

Y.Z.: How did the idea of microfinance come into existence in Bangladesh?

A.M.: Different forms of micro-finance concepts and practices can be traced to the independence of Bangladesh from Pakistan in 1971. The integrated Rural Development Program (IRDP) offered small sums of credit along with cooperative activities. Poor farmers in the rural areas were encouraged to form committees to organize their own savings and distribute loans. Bangladesh Academy of Rural Development (BARD), also established after independence, has decades of similar experiences, especially in the Comilla area of Bangladesh. Akhtar Hamid Khan, a bureaucrat from Lahore (Pakistan) was the founder of this institution with the declared objective of integrating poor farmers into development activities and provide access to resources for them. Many committees of landless and poor farmers were formed in the larger Comilla area that gave access to small accounts of small credit.

After independence, BRAC was established and developed to become the largest NGO [in terms of number of employees] in the world. It began as a form of micro credit system in different parts of rural Bangladesh. In 1976, Dr. Muhammad Yunus started microcredit with a local

1
2
3 project as an experiment. Eventually its success gave birth to the Grameen Bank, an exclusive
4 organization for microcredit, the first in the world. It is important to note that the birth of this
5 Bank had links to the privatization policy in the country, including banks. When Grameen Bank
6 was established, the government started allowing banks to be privately owned. Establishing
7 Grameen Bank as semi-private was consistent with the prevailing policy framework. Grameen
8 Bank was identified as a specialized Bank for the poor, and so it could access facilities approved
9 only for NGOs.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20
21
22 Other NGOs were also offering microcredits, but it was not their main area of work. BRAC also
23 had other priority activities including education, healthcare, an awareness building program, and
24 a poverty eradication program. In comparison to Grameen Bank, microcredit was not a priority
25 project for BRAC. In this context, Grameen Bank concentrated wholly on microcredit. It
26 gradually became the leading organization in this increasingly expanding financial sphere.
27 Microcredit soon proved to be popular which encouraged other NGOs to provide credit. By the
28 late 1980s, large NGOs like BRAC and ASA along with Grameen Bank held the major share of
29 microcredit and, through its profitable operation, accumulated capital.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Before the mid-1990s, international financial organizations showed little enthusiasm for
44 microcredit. Some of their publications indicated that microcredit was non-expandable and
45 recommended the withdrawal of such subsidies. Their position changed dramatically after the
46 mid-1990s. In 1997, the World Bank organized an international conference on microfinance in
47 which multinational banks participated. That helped the Grameen model to spread around the
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 world. It was publicized as a remedy for the ills created by global disorder. Soon microcredit and
4
5 other, related financial activities became known as microfinance.
6
7

8
9
10 Y.Z.: Who played a key role in pioneering the concept of microfinance?
11

12
13
14
15 A.M.: BRAC had a role. But globally the organizer of this idea was Grameen Bank.
16

17
18
19
20 Y.Z.: Why do microfinance initiatives attract foreign donors?
21

22
23
24 A.M.: I consider the World Bank as the managerial body of the monopoly corporate world.
25
26 Many things including dominant development thinking are connected with the position of the
27
28 World Bank. The World Bank has played a key role in changing the wind in favor of microcredit
29
30 / microfinance. In fact, from the early 1970s, the World Bank began acknowledging poverty as
31
32 serious issue. Before that, its main emphasis was growth supporting the trickle down economic
33
34 model but in many parts of the world this model proved to be disturbing, increased poverty
35
36 vulnerability as well as public discontent. A speech by the World Bank President, Robert
37
38 McNamara, was a reflection of a policy shift that also sent a signal to other funding agencies,
39
40 including the United Nations (UN). The World Bank and related agencies were not at all
41
42 interested in structural reforms and establishing public rights for poverty alleviation. Instead,
43
44 they favored a privatized solution for poverty. Organizations compatible with that solution were
45
46 encouraged; new policy researches were granted; and funding for poverty alleviation programs
47
48 started flowing. That was the beginning of NGO activities across the world.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The development journey of Bangladesh coincided with a global interest in NGOized poverty
4 alleviation. Aid and funding were growing with the increasing numbers and visibility of NGOs
5 in Bangladesh. In the beginning, the NGOs appeared as organizations committed to building up
6 social awareness among the poor people against exploitation, discrimination, oppression.
7
8 Programs known as the target group approach, group formation, and participatory programs –
9
10 where NGOs target particular poor women and group them together for various activities –
11
12 became widespread. As foreign funding was flowing for these activities, and the microcredit
13 showed great potential for making money, more NGOs became interested in getting involved in
14 microcredit activities. When the generation of income through foreign funding became a major
15 activity of NGOs, the possibility of conflict with power structures reduced as microcredit was
16 not a challenge to them. It was a win-win situation. It was good for survival of the NGOs, good
17 for the local power structure, and good for the funding agencies who were better assured of a
18 visible result from the project.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Microcredit opened new avenues for the finance industry. Increasing financialization, along with
37 militarization and monopolization, had been producing new tensions within global capitalism.
38 Credit markets had been saturated with creditors. But a majority of people in other parts of the
39 world were not credit-worthy because they were poor. Microcredit, especially the Grameen Bank
40 model, showed that billions of poor people can be part of the credit market. It was a huge
41 breakthrough for the finance industry. I think Dr. Muhammad Yunus and Grameen Bank should
42 have received Nobel Prize much earlier for this contribution.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 For these reasons, it was no surprise to see the World Bank organizing a big international
4 conference to endorse microcredit, and to see many big banks participating as enthusiast
5 delegates. The main theme of the conference was: ‘Here is the proof of a successful model to
6 show that poor people are a good market and viable investment that is profitable and
7 sustainable.’ City Bank, HSBC Bank, etc. became believers in the idea. Since the conference, the
8 World Bank has become an active partner in supporting and spreading microcredit. Soon many
9 big banks added a microcredit arm to their banking operation, as an integral part of their
10 mainstream activities. This is how international funding agencies became positively interested in
11 microcredit.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 Y.Z.: Why do NGOs play a pioneering role in the field of microfinancing, mainly in
28 Bangladesh? Why not in other countries?
29
30
31
32
33

34 A.M.: The context varies from country to country. The concept of NGO also differs with time
35 and place. There are also differences within NGOs in their priorities and objectives and also in
36 funding sources. During the liberation war, some voluntary organizations were established to
37 help freedom fighters. Later those organizations turned into mainstream NGOs. One of them was
38 Gonoshashtyo Kendro. It has kept its major activities in the health sector. Up until now, they
39 have not become involved in microcredit. Another one is BRAC which developed with multi
40 projects including microcredit. It is now the largest corporate NGO in Bangladesh, with
41 involvement in various corporate activities.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The unprecedented rise of NGOs in Bangladesh can be understood in the context of market
4 failure and/or government failure. These failures played a key role in the expansion of NGOs.
5
6
7
8 NGOs grew as an ostensible alternative to both the government and the market. Later it became
9
10 clear that the mainstream NGOs were an integral part of both the government and the market.
11
12
13

14
15 During the 1980s, the Bangladesh government began withdrawing from its declared
16 responsibility for public needs and rights. Economic reforms under the structural adjustment
17 program opened different areas of the public sector to profit-making ventures including
18 education and healthcare. Privatization of public enterprises and common property became the
19 main focus of policy reforms. Under the structural adjustment program, many rural branches of
20 public banks were closed down. As a consequence, the institutional mechanism for reaching out
21 to rural people for credit distribution collapsed, except Bangladesh Krishi Bank (Agricultural
22 Bank). In this vacuum, Grameen Bank and other NGOs provided microcredit that expanded very
23 fast.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 As the government withdrew, the market and NGOs took over the space. Funding agencies –
40 including the World Bank, USAID, ADB, UNDP, etc. – began asserting loan conditionality in
41 their ‘aid’ financing in order to keep NGOs in the policy formulation processes and as a delivery
42 agency. It was not only ‘foreign aid’ but the state that helped NGOs to expand their network. In
43 this process, different social programs using public money appeared as NGO programs. Even
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Because of high population density, any social program has always been comparatively cost
4 effective in Bangladesh. NGOs could reach more people with less cost. That was not possible
5 even in many states of India. Accordingly, the results of every project seemed to be highly
6 impressive, nationally and globally. This also helps to explain the support that NGOs gained. All
7 these factors have contributed to BRAC becoming the largest NGO.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 Y.Z.: How do the proliferation of NGOs and microcredit lending practices in Bangladesh map
18 onto neoliberal ideas?
19
20
21
22
23

24 A.M.: The main message of the new liberalism is that the government is a liability and a source
25 of wastage and bureaucracy; also the state is a great obstruction to the functioning of the market,
26 and the related expansion of capitalist relations. The main idea of new liberalism is that the
27 government needs to be squeezed as much as possible, and space needs to be created for the
28 private sector. Moreover, the government needs to play the major role in making itself
29 redundant. Although the pioneers of new liberalism have rarely championed the reduction of
30 military budgets or war expenditures, they talk tough on reducing the costs of education,
31 healthcare, water, power and energy.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 Ideas of new liberalism perfectly suit the NGO model, specifically corporate NGOs. This model
47 appears as the most active credible and effective institution for the wellbeing of the
48 underprivileged, and so delivers the privatized solution package to the poor. NGOs do not raise
49 questions about structural problems, the government's responsibilities, public ownership or
50 common property. The NGO model does not even speak about public action, as elaborated by
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Professor Amartya Sen. Rather NGOs prefer private or group activities and local-level initiatives
4
5 operating under market rules.
6
7
8
9

10 Since the early 1990s, BRAC and others gradually decreased their activities in the education and
11 health sectors and increased profit-earning activities including microcredit and other businesses.
12
13 BRAC also began charging different fees for students in their schools, and they founded BRAC
14
15 University to privatize education. In the health sector, NGOs were given the right to operate
16
17 government-funded community clinics like a private contractor. Everywhere, the community
18
19 clinics were turned into rented-out private clinics, driven by the profit motive. A few days ago, I
20
21 asked a leading NGO executive about the funding situation and working conditions. She
22
23 responded: “To get any funding, we have to go through a tender process; to get that tender we
24
25 have to bribe, and lobbying is also necessary. We have to behave like any other enterprise in the
26
27 private sector.”
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 Y.Z.: How do you see the impact of microcredit in Bangladesh?
38
39
40

41 A.M.: At first the target of the NGOs and microcredit agencies was members of the landless
42 community. Landless was defined as a household with less than 0.5 acres of land. All
43
44 microcredit literature used to claim that their operation was aimed to reach the extreme poor and
45
46 landless. But soon they realized that repayment was uncertain with this target group, so they
47
48 started including others as well. The pressure from finance capital forced the agencies to open
49
50 the gate and gradually increase the limit. Not only the large organizations but also many small
51
52 organizations have become involved in the microcredit business. As a result, the credit market
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 has expanded exponentially. The market orientation of the rural economy has also deepened. The
4
5 use of microcredit has created many small businesses, opened many small shops, increased
6
7
8 number of rickshaw-van, Nosimon-Korimon etc.
9

10
11
12 We know from official statistics that repayment in microcredit is very high, around 98%. But
13
14 independent studies and a Bangladesh Bank document reveal different outcomes. They show that
15
16 without rescheduling by the lender, the actual repayment comes down to 67-68%. I found from
17
18 my study, and other independent studies have shown similar findings, that weekly repayment
19
20 installments, [the usual repayment method in Grameen Bank and other agencies] are available
21
22 only to those people who have other sources of income [e.g., permanent income from small
23
24 businesses or self-employment]. In the case of the people who are really poor, they do not have
25
26 any other sources of income, and they do not have the means to repay these loans. They try to get
27
28 more loans to repay earlier loan(s). Some take a loan from an NGO to return the loan of another
29
30 NGO; and to return the loan of the first NGO they take loan from another NGO or borrow money
31
32 from the local money-lender at a much higher rate of interest. For a significant number of default
33
34 borrowers, arrears increase to such an extent that they have no option than to leave their own
35
36 villages. In fact, many people have left their villages just to get rid of the loan burden. It is
37
38 evident in the increasing rate of rural urban migration. Our studies have revealed many cases of
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46 this kind.
47
48
49

50
51 The precise impact of microcredit on the economic status of rural people – the ‘before and after’
52
53 approach – is, however, difficult to determine. That is because there are other factors – some are
54
55 more powerful than microcredit – that determine the rise and fall of the financial position of
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 marginalized people. Some are internal to the family – for instance, the increase in the number of
4
5 income earners, increased income from other sources, receipt of remittances, asset growth
6
7 through marriage etc. Others are external to the family, such as the development of
8
9 infrastructure, including transport and communication, new employment opportunities resulting
10
11 from new investments, improvement of utilities, etc.
12
13
14
15
16

17 I studied microcredit during the years 2005 and 2009, in phases, in several villages. Then I
18
19 studied the same areas again in 2012 and compared the changing conditions of both borrowers
20
21 and non-borrowers. I did not find any big differences in income or asset change over the years
22
23 between the borrowers and non-borrowers. For both, the income (in current prices) increased.
24
25 Microcredit official researchers do not include other factors, such as access to electricity which is
26
27 a very important factor. Another big factor is remittances [a huge number of Bangladeshis work
28
29 in the Middle Eastern countries and send payments which over the year help the country to
30
31 accumulate a huge central bank reserve]. The effect of the remittances on the rural economy is
32
33 huge.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Both my study and a few other studies found a similar picture on the impact of microcredit.
42
43 Among the poor people, only about 5% showed improvement as a result of microcredit usage;
44
45 about 45% showed no change; and for the remaining people, their situation deteriorated. A large
46
47 percentage of the poor people ended up in vicious cycle of indebtedness. Why? Because
48
49 microcredit must be spent as an investment to get a profitable return; and this return has to be
50
51 more than the payable interest that is more than 20%. This must continue without any break in
52
53 weekly payments. So, it assumes that the borrower cannot fall sick, and cannot have an accident,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 cannot face social or political crisis. Her family must be immune to such problems. There simply
4 cannot be any substantial hazard. If everything else is constant, and if the borrower can continue
5 working 365 days without any break and gain a constant profit of over 20%, only then is she
6 able to improve her financial condition. Since that is practically impossible, only the people
7 having other income sources can make some improvements to their conditions.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 If a borrower has other sources of income, it is then possible to repay installments even if she
19 does not achieve a profit over 20% from microcredit. Whatever the conditions are, most often
20 microcredit is being used for immediate crisis management – for instance to marry off a daughter
21 in order to repay other loans, to offer bribes to some powerful official or, sometimes to send
22 family members abroad [e.g., in the Middle East]. In recent years, many have invested their loans
23 in speculative businesses like *Destiny* and *Jubok* [this organizations used a rhetoric of doubling
24 or even tripling the investment in a year or two] that ended up with a total mess. When
25 microcredit agencies realized the increasing uncertainty associated with repayment, they began
26 to make the terms and conditions more flexible with regard to the selection of borrowers and the
27 use of credit.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 We have found many mini credit lenders (local lenders) in rural areas who have flourished as a
44 consequence of the increasing money flow from microcredit. These agencies have made huge
45 profits and accumulated huge amounts of capital. They have had to find ways of directing and
46 maintaining their wealth, so they have started corporate activities. One notable outcome has been
47 the birth of, as I say it, the ‘corporate NGO’. From the Grameen Bank many corporate entities,
48 including Grameenphone, have been born. In this context, large multinational corporations
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (MNCs) have felt sufficiently confident to form joint ventures with Grameen or BRAC.
4
5 Gradually, BRAC and Grameen Bank have become a central part of MNCs.
6
7
8
9

10 Y.Z.: How did you become a major critic of microfinance?
11
12
13

14
15 A.M.: I have been studying NGO activities from when they began to appear. In around 1978-79,
16
17 when I was a university student, I became familiar with a few NGOs that, at that time, were new
18
19 in our society. I had the opportunity to visit some areas where they worked, I discussed things
20
21 and I read materials. I was curious and academically interested to investigate this new formation.
22
23 I found that their style of work was similar to the leftists. But the issues of funding,
24
25 accountability and peoples' struggles raised many questions. Microcredit was not present at that
26
27 time, and the NGOs were much more radical than today.
28
29
30
31

32
33
34 I started visiting places and writing on the issue from 1980. The NGOs were mainly in rural
35
36 areas and were assisting landless workers. A tension was growing between NGOs and left
37
38 peasant organizations as they were working in the same area and also had rather similar ideas
39
40 and rhetoric. Many left organizers decided to accept the help of NGOs in order to develop their
41
42 organizations. I was not convinced because the activities of the NGOs was dependent on the flow
43
44 of funds provided for a given project. In 1987, based on my years in the field, my book
45
46 "*Bangladesh Unnoyon Songkot ebong NGO model*" was published. In it, I note that the main
47
48 argument for the emergence of the NGO is poverty alleviation but I was not convinced. I had a
49
50 different understanding of the political economy of poverty. To me, poverty is an outcome of a
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 particular ownership and production system, not an individual failure or responsibility. It is an
4
5 effect of structural causes. To eliminate poverty, structural issues must be considered.
6
7

8
9
10 Y.Z.: What was your experience in relation to microfinance during your activist work?
11
12

13
14
15 A.M.: Firstly, I think that a critical approach towards everything spares you from illusion. It is
16
17 necessary to understand things with an open mind, and to study without preconceived ideas.
18
19 Whenever I visited villages, I talked to the people to get their views, to appreciate their
20
21 experience and to learn from their analysis. Secondly, I encountered other views when I engaged
22
23 in the formal study of microfinance. Thirdly, when my students work on microcredit, I have the
24
25 benefit of their views and observations. I continue to keep a watchful eye on developments and
26
27 seek to analyze them. Actually, I have never come across a case where someone took up
28
29 microcredit and truly derived benefit from it. Stories of NGOs - such as “buy 10 hens, then by
30
31 selling the eggs buy some goats, and from goats buy some cows...,” – are very exceptional. There
32
33 may be some exceptions. But, overall, few cases of microcredit *per se* have actually changed
34
35 someone’s life.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43
44 Y.Z.: How do NGOs operate in Bangladesh by lending microcredit? How does this mechanism
45
46 work?
47
48
49

50
51 A.M.: In general, group formation [bringing group of poor women together] is the starting point
52
53 of microcredit services in villages. Nowadays, there are some Islamic NGOs as well. They also
54
55 provide microcredit. There are certain terms and conditions under which microcredit is given to
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 borrowers. In the beginning, women were preferred as borrowers. Loans were taken individually
4
5 but, when it came to repayment, the group was responsible. If someone did not provide the
6
7 money, the burden fell on the shoulders of the entire group. Eventually, some changes had to be
8
9 made. Later the group activities were reduced significantly. The non- poor, and an increasing
10
11 number of males, were also considered to be eligible for microcredit.
12
13
14
15
16

17 We are witnessing certain changes in rural areas, changes in women's lives, in their relations
18
19 with family, and in their opportunities as income earners or borrowers. NGOs have a role in this
20
21 domain. They have multiple activities that have increased women mobility. In the formal system
22
23 of microcredit a significant number of women have taken loans. Through this process an
24
25 association is created, meetings are held and they are earning some money. Our studies reveal
26
27 that two main types of activities are developed by using this money. First, the women themselves
28
29 can become involved in business. In this way, the market orientation is increased. Most women
30
31 do business with familiar work – for example, milk, sewing, fruit cultivation etc. Nevertheless,
32
33 there is a change. Second, our studies show that most of the women borrowers gave their money
34
35 to their husbands or their brothers or some other male family member to conduct business.
36
37 Sometimes, they also share the profit, but sometimes they become victims. The man may not
38
39 always use the money for the desired purposes. If borrowed money is spent on other purposes –
40
41 like consumption – women borrowers do not get their money back. They then pressurize their
42
43 husbands or other male members to whom they gave the money. In many cases, violence against
44
45 women has followed. We have evidence of such incidents. The women have not used the loan
46
47 but still they have to repay the loan including interest on a weekly basis. This leads to conflicts in
48
49 families, domestic violence and even divorce. So, there are risks as well as opportunities in the
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 field of microcredit. We have also found that the micro credit system is being used as a form of
4 dowry. Instead of pointing to empowerment-disempowerment, we can say that, the market
5 orientation of women's work has increased.
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 Y.Z.: What kinds of mechanisms do the NGOs use for the collection of loan repayments? Why?
13
14
15

16
17 A.M.: Grameen, ASA and BRAC have formal methods of loan distribution. According to the
18 rules, there has to be a group meeting where loans are both taken and repaid. These group
19 meetings are supposed to take place every week. But in reality this format could not continue.
20 After loan defaults increased, the requirement for getting additional borrowers also increased, so
21 that the Grameen Bank and others had to change their work style by starting to sending workers
22 to go from door to door to collect repayment and to obtain new loans.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32
33 Gradually, the reality of men using loans taken by women became clear. The official meetings
34 were gradually being replaced by door to door negotiation, and men were accepted for the direct
35 collection of loans. Another important point is that, while the borrowers are mostly women, the
36 majority of the collectors remain male. The reason behind this, as explained by one official, is
37 that women cannot be tough enough to collect installments in time.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Y.Z.: What alternative mechanisms could NGOs use to collect loan repayments? Would it make
49 microfinance more socially acceptable?
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 A.M.: The loans have to be collected, but their affordability must be considered. Why are the
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

A.M.: The loans have to be collected, but their affordability must be considered. Why are the people so poor that they have to spend all the money on food or medicine? We need to find the causes behind this. And then the state policy or structural issues must be addressed. The education and healthcare sectors are continuing to go through a process of privatization. Even drinking water is now a commodity. The rural poor do not have access any more to the commons, such as places for fishing and for collecting fruits and vegetables when those are privatized. People's income might be more than before, but their consumption power and standard of living is lower.

For these reasons, the wider issues of poverty, and tactics of poverty reduction must be readdressed. People's entitlements must be ensured. They must have the right to education and healthcare and access to the commons. Common property cannot be privatized. Business with people must ensure public rights. There should be an environment in which people take loans and repay willingly and happily.

Y.Z.: How do we know that alternative mechanisms would serve the poor better? Do you have any evidence for this?

A.M.: Yes, there are instances of effective local initiatives and collective efforts in some villages of Bangladesh. There are some examples of success too. Recently, I met an old man during the long march to Sundarban [the world's largest area of mangrove forests]. He came to our meeting at Kaliganj, Jessore district. The people in his area brought all the markets and common properties under the collective control of the villagers. Through regular public consultation, they

1
2
3 are running local organizations in a well-planned way. Because of strong local monitoring and
4 transparency, land grabbing could not continue and common property remains with the common
5 people of this area. Because of local initiative, these villages have become more livable-in and
6 human than others. They also use natural fertilizers. I think we should draw lessons and
7 inspiration from such attempts to build alternatives. We know mainstream politics is polluted and
8 criminalized. So, if we want real alternatives, power to the people in every sense should be the
9 starting point. If we look at the local resource potential, we find plenty of fertile land, an active
10 population, and water sources among others.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Y.Z.: How do you think the governance of the NGO sector can be improved? Do you think it
25 will help the poor to receive better treatment from NGOs?
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 A.M.: Governance of NGOs is difficult. There are multiple powerful actors who influence
33 NGOs. For example, those who provide international funding, influential private actors,
34 governments and their agencies and so on. NGOs do not receive funds from a single institution;
35 they receive funding from different institutions. So, all of these actors have an influence on
36 NGOs. The rules of different countries also become an important factor, and the political culture
37 in Bangladesh, for example, along with its legal framework, play a role too. Because of all these
38 legal and financial conditions, any NGO, even if it tries to work independently for the public
39 interest, encounters problems. NGOs are bound to speak and work in a controlled format, even if
40 that goes against public interest.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 One example, War on Want (WoW) had been working on discrimination, inequality and
4 injustice. But at one point the British government put an embargo on its activities, accusing
5 WoW programs of being 'highly political'. Because the organization was critical of the British
6 government's policy, it encountered a lot of difficulties. Later, the organization probably made
7 some compromise as it started to work again. In case of Bangladesh government, they do not
8 mind NGOs' corruption and wastage, but they are furious if NGOs work against the government.
9

10
11 Practically, most of the NGOs, including all the major ones, are closely linked to the
12 government. The leading national NGOs, in particular, have been working as influential partners
13 of the government in policy formulation and service delivery. All the policy-making committees
14 and all institutional setups have members from one or multiple NGOs. That is why I regard them
15 as an integral part of the state. These NGOs are non-government but not non-state organizations.
16
17

18
19 There are, of course, responsible, well-intentioned people in NGO. But that is not sufficient.
20 They need to think about the future funding prospects, the government's attitude, and the rules
21 for their survival and growth. They cannot go beyond the terms and conditions of their projects.
22 Basically, common or poor people have nothing to do with NGO activities. Poor people do not
23 have any opportunity to determine NGO policy. Ironically, participation by the people is a
24 buzzword in NGO vocabulary. NGOs submit a proposal for a project as per the rules, regulations
25 and demands of the donors. When the proposal is approved, NGOs perform accordingly. For
26 example, if it is about woman trafficking, after finishing the project NGOs would say nothing on
27 the issue or create controversy.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Y.Z.: Why did the Bangladesh government (led by ruling party, Awami League) challenge Dr.
4
5
6 Yunus? Why didn't the government challenge microfinance in 2010?
7
8
9

10 A.M.: The second question is very important. Why *didn't* the Bangladesh government challenge
11
12 microfinance? I have an article regarding this issue titled "*Yes to Microcredit, No to Yunus.*
13
14 *Why?*" The government never raised any concern regarding the microcredit model. But they
15
16 criticize its leading organizer, Dr. Yunus. They did not even search for an alternative. Instead
17
18 they encouraged it through Polli Kormo Sahayak Foundation (PKSF) in 1990s. PKSF started
19
20 with the support of the World Bank. In fact, this government body provides loans to small NGOs
21
22 at an interest of 4% to pursue microcredit activities. And it is up to the local NGOs how much
23
24 they can profit from it.
25
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 The government has some arguments to oppose Dr. Yunus as chairman of Grameen Bank – such
33
34 as his retirement age and some illegal activities. Many NGOs, including Grameen Bank, are one-
35
36 person shows. They claim that there are committees, that elections take place, and so on. But
37
38 they are run by one influential founder or executive. In Grameen Bank, the situation is the same.
39
40 There is a committee of 9 members with some female delegates from the borrower group in the
41
42 committee. But in practice they are nominated. There is evidence that Dr. Yunus used his power
43
44 to dictate to the board. Why then did the Bangladesh government became concerned about
45
46 Grameen Bank? The government considered Dr Yunus as a political threat. A few years ago, he
47
48 tried to form a political party during the Caretaker government. However, his political ambitions
49
50 were not fulfilled at that time. As Grameen Bank had nearly there million borrowers, some
51
52 thought that this would provide the support base of Dr. Yunus. Those people were wrong. Most
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of the borrowers identify themselves politically with either Awami League or Bangladesh
4 Nationalist Party [BNP currently the opposition party]. So Dr. Yunus did not get any support
5 from them.
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 Moreover, the Awami League also considered him closely associated with BNP. It had been
13 thought that he might go against Awami League and strengthen BNP. Accordingly, the
14 government did what was necessary to make Dr. Yunus ineffective, and they did nothing to
15 improve the microcredit distribution and the monitoring mechanism. Also, as Grameen Bank was
16 the main source of Dr. Yunus's power, the government wanted to delink him from that. The
17 government accused him of many irregularities, and many of these claims are true.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Y.Z.: How do you think the role of governments in development countries can solve poverty?
30
31
32
33

34 A.M.: As the government is the only legal sovereign authority, only it can make real change.
35 Determining development priorities, countrywide planning efforts for better life, and ensuring
36 people's entitlements can be done only by the state. What can an NGO do? Specifically, at a
37 local level, they can help to repair a pond, but when the issue of the course of a river arises, only
38 the government can solve that, because this involves national policy, institutions and authority. A
39 sustainable system for the eradication of poverty actually depends on government policies and a
40 development paradigm.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 In the global context, Bangladesh is a peripheral state. Bangladesh has also become an example
54 of the new liberal model of development. The new liberal approach to development, privatization
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and liberalization is a global reality. The main beneficiaries of this paradigm are the rich and
4
5 powerful. Along with the formal privatization of public property the grabbing of more public
6
7 property has been encouraged. This format of development does increase GDP, but it also make
8
9 people more vulnerable and entrenches the poverty reproduction system.
10
11

12
13
14
15 We can say that the location of poverty is shifting, but poverty is still being reproduced. If the
16
17 policy of the government remains the same, poverty alleviation will remain a rhetoric. The
18
19 present Bangladesh government's position is to take resources from the people, and hand it over
20
21 to the few rich. The right to education, healthcare, etc. have been commercialized. The cost of
22
23 living has increased substantially. In order to survive, every member of a family, including
24
25 children and the old, have to work and face a harsh reality. Quantifying and comparing family
26
27 income, in the past and the present can therefore be misleading when seeking to understand the
28
29 real conditions of the general public. Family income has increased compared to earlier years.
30
31 But, during same period, their access to resources (e.g. commons) and rights has deteriorated, as
32
33 has their quality of life. The migration from rural settings to urban areas, as well as migration to
34
35 other countries, has increased. If microcredit is really successful in rural areas, why are so many
36
37 people migrating to Dhaka?
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 A fundamental point for change can occur when public education becomes a real public
47
48 education, and public healthcare becomes real public healthcare. There should be a principled
49
50 policy which ensures that common property cannot be privatized. If any development project is
51
52 found to be harmful for the people and/or the environment, it should be rejected or stopped. No
53
54 development projects should be allowed without properly considering the environmental, social
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and financial costs and they should proceed only after considering public concerns. If the state
4 transforms its approach by taking account of all of these factors, the eradication of poverty is
5 very much possible.
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 Y.Z.: What can the Bangladesh government do to develop the NGO sector?
13
14
15

16
17 A.M.: To speak the truth, the development agendas of the government, apart from corruption, are
18 transferring resources from the poor to the rich. This policy breeds corruption. Policy concerning
19 NGOs is a part of this whole picture. A nexus develops: there is a liaison between the
20 government and MNCs, and there is also a relation between the government and the NGOs. They
21 form elements of a ruling class. In this situation, when allies of the government engage in any act
22 of corruption, the government keeps silent. There is a mutual understanding, or a friendly
23 coexistence. There is a unity of convenience. That is why corruption is increasing, despite all the
24 anti-corruption talk. The corruption situation in Bangladesh is now worse than ever. The GDP is
25 greater than any other time in history, but so is the corruption.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Y.Z.: Do you think that the Bangladesh government can fix the corruption in the NGO sector?
42
43
44

45 A.M.: How can that be possible? The government is corrupt itself. As the NGOs are more
46 responsible to the donors, they cannot be fully controlled. What happens is that they mutually
47 come to a point of compromise. The government and the NGOs both accept each other's
48 corruption. We note the good economic fortune of the founders and executives of NGOs. The
49 NGO bosses live luxuriously. They do not have any complains about anyone. Like the corporate
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 world, their pay scale is at the top of the pyramid. The top people have all the facilities, such as
4 transport and other things. The government does not ask them any questions, not least because
5
6 NGOs receive their funds from abroad. Whether they are corrupt or not, they are bringing money
7
8 from overseas, even if they waste money, at least some is going to poor people. Probably this is
9
10 the thought process that keeps many Bangladeshi and Western intellectuals content with the
11
12 situation.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review