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Summary

The effect of hydrostatic pressure (0.1–54 MPa, equivalent to pressures experienced by fish from the ocean’s surface to depths of ca. 5400 m) on visual pigment absorption spectra was investigated for rod visual pigments extracted from the retinas of 12 species of deep-sea fish of diverse phylogeny and habitat. The wavelength of peak absorption ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$) was shifted to longer wavelengths by an average of 1.35 nm at 40 MPa (a pressure approximately equivalent to average ocean depth) relative to measurements made at one atmosphere (ca. 0.1 MPa), but with little evidence of a change in absorbance at the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$. We conclude that previous $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ measurements of deep-sea fish visual pigments, made at a pressure close to 0.1 MPa, provide a good indication of $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ values at higher pressures when considering the ecology of vision in the deep-sea. Although not affecting the spectral sensitivity of the animal to any important degree, the observed shift in $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ may be of interest in the context of understanding opsin-chromophore interaction and spectral tuning of visual pigments.
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pigment made to date, and on which the observed correlations with environmental variables are based, however, have been recorded at atmospheric pressure. We have therefore measured the visual pigment absorption spectra of extracts of rod pigments from 12 species of mesopelagic and demersal deep-sea fish when subjected to pressures of up to 54 MPa.

Materials and methods

Two specially constructed high pressure hydrostatic chambers were placed into the sample and reference beams of a Shimadzu UV2101PC double beam spectrophotometer (Kyoto, Japan). Each chamber consisted of a 90 mm long, 100 mm diameter stainless steel tube to which 10 mm thick, 100 mm diameter end caps holding 23 mm diameter fused silica windows were bolted. Once completely filled with distilled water, the pressure within these chambers could be increased using a Gilson HPLC pump (model 302, Middleton, WI, USA) connected to them via Swagelok™ tubing (Bristol Fluid System Technologies Ltd, Bristol, UK). A central cavity within each chamber held a standard quartz cuvette, which could be inserted via a 12 mm diameter threaded opening fitted with a high-pressure Swagelok plug. The low volume (10 mm path length) cuvettes in the sample and reference beams were filled to their brims with visual pigment extract (see below) and saline, respectively, and sealed with Parafilm™ before being introduced into the pressure chambers. After filling the pressure chambers with distilled water they were purged to displace any air by briefly running the HPLC pump. Subsequently, pressure was applied in increments under the control of a Gilson (model 802) manometric module to an estimated accuracy of ±0.3 MPa, pressures being cross-calibrated and monitored with a Swagelok analogue pressure gauge (FSD 600 bar).

The absorption spectra of visual pigments from 12 species of deep-sea fish, from a variety of families and a range of habitats and depths (Table 1) were measured at various pressures. Demersal species were caught using a benthic trawl in the North Eastern Atlantic (RRS Discovery cruise 255 and RRS Challenger cruise 134), while pelagic animals were sampled with a midwater net in the Pacific north of Hawaii, or off the coast of Guatemala (cruises 142 and 173 of the RV Sonne, respectively) and the Southern Ocean (RRS James Clark Ross cruise 100). All animals were collected, handled, and tissue prepared as previously described (Partridge et al., 1989; Douglas et al., 1995). Briefly, immediately after capture animals were transferred to iced seawater within light-tight containers. In a darkroom, and working under dim red light, retinae were removed from hemisected eyes and either their visual pigments were extracted immediately and then frozen, or the retinae were frozen in 20 mmol l–1 Pipes-buffered saline (450 mOsm kg–1, pH 7.3) for later extraction.

Visual pigments were extracted from both fresh and frozen material in an identical manner using the detergent n-dodecyl β-D-maltoside, as detailed elsewhere (Partridge et al., 1992; Douglas et al., 1995). Initial extractions used Pipes-buffered saline for Australian and Demersal species, and later extractions used hydroxylamine for Mesopelagic species.

Table 1. Effect of hydrostatic pressure on the wavelength of maximum absorbance (λmax) of difference spectra of visual pigment extracts from 12 species of deep-sea fish, from a range of depths and habitats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Capture depth (m)</th>
<th>Previously measured λmax (nm)</th>
<th>Average intercept λmax (nm)</th>
<th>Average gradient (nm MPa–1)</th>
<th>Average λmax shift (0.1–40 MPa) (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alepocephalus agassizii Goode and Bean 1883</td>
<td>1 1375</td>
<td>4771</td>
<td>483.09</td>
<td>0.0277</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimora rostrata (Günther 1878)</td>
<td>2 1102</td>
<td>475, 4832</td>
<td>489.54</td>
<td>0.0326</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathysaurus ferox Günther 1878</td>
<td>1 1342</td>
<td>4811</td>
<td>484.64</td>
<td>0.0219</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borostomias antarcticus (Lönning 1905)</td>
<td>1 800</td>
<td>4851</td>
<td>490.72</td>
<td>0.0210</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coryphaenoides (N.) armatus (Hector 1887)</td>
<td>5 2750</td>
<td>4811</td>
<td>488.66</td>
<td>0.0412</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coryphaenoides guentheri (Vaillant 1888)</td>
<td>2 1401</td>
<td>4791</td>
<td>494.69</td>
<td>0.0390</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrona carlsbergi (Tåning 1932)</td>
<td>1 295</td>
<td>4851</td>
<td>481.62</td>
<td>0.0590</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnoscopelus fraseri (Fraser-Brunner 1931)</td>
<td>2 45</td>
<td>4883</td>
<td>489.80</td>
<td>0.0294</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidion eques (Günther 1887)</td>
<td>1 700</td>
<td>476, 4842</td>
<td>500.32</td>
<td>0.0354</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nannobrachium achirus (Andriashev 1962)</td>
<td>1 940</td>
<td>4863</td>
<td>487.11</td>
<td>0.0290</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nezumia aequalis (Günther 1878)</td>
<td>3 782</td>
<td>4841</td>
<td>488.80</td>
<td>0.0374</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protomyctophum choriodon Hulley 1981</td>
<td>3 150</td>
<td>4833</td>
<td>481.66</td>
<td>0.0320</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N is the number of individuals of each species measured. Capture depths were calculated as the medians of all data for minimum capture depth available for each species at http://www.fishbase.org.

A linear regression was fitted to the λmax values at five different pressures (0.1, 9.0, 20.0, 31.0 and 45.8 or 54.0 MPa) for each animal examined. To avoid pseudo-replication, average data are presented here for each species.

The intercept on the λmax axis of the regression can be taken as an estimate of the λmax at atmospheric pressure.

Average gradient is the gradient of the regression line.

Average λmax shift, the calculated shift in λmax from atmospheric pressure to 40 MPa (approximately equivalent to 4000 m depth).

References for previous and more accurate measurements of λmax made using hydroxylamine are: 1Douglas et al. (1995); 2Douglas and Partridge, 1997; 3R.H.D., E.M.W. and J.C.P., unpublished data.
saline, but later experiments used 50 mmol l⁻¹ Tris-buffered saline (300 mOsm kg⁻¹, pH 7.0) as this buffer is known to have a negligibly small pressure coefficient (Tsuda, 1982; Neuman et al., 1973). In visual pigment spectroscopy it is usual to add hydroxylamine (NH₂OH) to visual pigment extracts to shift photoproduct absorbance to short wavelengths, well away from the visual pigment’s absorbance peak, thus enabling more accurate determination of visual pigment λ_max (Knowles and Dartnall, 1977). However, this was not done in this instance as the exact λ_max values for all species examined here have been previously established and the primary aim of this study was to ascertain whether pressure shifted the λ_max rather than to place the visual pigment λ_max accurately. It was also felt to be advantageous to minimise the complexity of the reaction conditions of the visual pigment during bleaching in case these exhibited pressure-dependence.

The spectral absorption (300–700 nm) of the extracted visual pigment was determined at atmospheric pressure (ca. 0.1 MPa) and following increases in pressure to 9.0, 20.0, 31.0 and 45.8 or 54.0 MPa, a procedure taking approximately 5 min, before the extract was measured once more at atmospheric pressure. The pressure chamber was then opened and the visual pigment solution irradiated from above with an incandescent light source for 30–60 min. After resealing the chamber, the absorption spectrum of the bleached visual pigment solution was remeasured in the same sequence of ascending pressures. Difference spectra were subsequently constructed by subtracting the bleached from the unbleached absorbance spectrum at each pressure. The λ_max values and absorbance at that λ_max of these difference spectra were determined by fitting the visual pigments templates of Govardovskii et al. (2000) using methods described by Hart et al. (2000).

Results

Fig. 1A shows the absorbance spectra of a visual pigment extract from the retina of Bathysaurus ferox at different pressures, both before and after bleaching. Difference spectra at each of these pressures are shown in Fig. 1B.

The λ_max values of the bleaching difference spectra corresponding to each pressure were plotted as a function of pressure for every animal and linear regression lines were fitted to these data (e.g. Fig. 2A). Coefficients derived for higher order polynomials were not significant, indicating that linear regression models were most appropriate over the range of pressures used. In all cases there was a small but significant increase in λ_max with increasing pressure. In addition to previously measured λ_max values for the visual pigments of the examined species, Table 1 presents the average slope and intercept of the regression line for each species, as well as the calculated shift in λ_max that would be induced by pressure elevation from atmospheric pressure to 40 MPa (the
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**Fig. 1.** (A) Absorbance spectra of visual pigment extracted from the retina of Bathysaurus ferox measured in unbleached and bleached states at pressures of 0.1, 9.0, 20.0, 31.0 and 45.8 MPa. For clarity of presentation all scans have been zeroed at 700 nm. (B) Difference spectra for each pressure constructed using the curves shown in Fig. 1A. Scans have been zeroed at the minimum long-wave absorbance (see Hart et al., 2000).

**Fig. 2.** (A) Relationship between λ_max and pressure for the visual pigment of the animal (Bathysaurus ferox) whose visual pigment difference spectra are shown in Fig 1B. The data are fitted by the following linear regression: \( \lambda_{\text{max}}=0.021943P+484.6437; \) where \( P \) is the pressure in MPa. (B) Relationship between absorbance at the λ_max and pressure for the visual pigment of the animal (Bathysaurus ferox) whose visual pigment difference spectra are shown in Fig. 1B. The data are fitted by the following linear regression: \( A=5.806\times10^{-5}P+0.149787, \) where \( A \) is the absorbance at the λ_max and \( P \) is the pressure in MPa.
压力对深海鱼类视色素的影响

不同的物种，这种变化计算出的λ_max(压)差值在0.84至2.36 nm之间。没有显著的线性关系（Spearman的秩相关系数，r_s; N=12）被发现，这些数据之间的回归线和深度（r_s=0.021，P=0.948），其中b between this gradient and visual pigment λ_max at 0.1 MPa (r_s=0.042，P=0.897)，以及在λ_max在0.1 MPa (r_s=0.042，P=0.897)时的吸收。在λ_max测量的平均值为1.35 nm时，压力也可能会影响视色素的行为。行为视色素in vivo then elevated hydrostatic pressure would not affect the absorption spectra of the visual pigments to any degree that is physiologically important. Nevertheless, the observed shift in λ_max may be indicative of changes in opsin conformation that could have other consequences, with the potential to affect visual performance.

The species investigated here are representatives of a wide range of habitats and depth ranges, comprising animals that live on or near the ocean floor at depths to 4500 m to those inhabiting much shallower pelagic habitats (Table 1). The lack of obvious correlations between visual pigment behaviour under pressure and depth of capture is therefore potentially instructive. We have taken the medians of the shallowest capture depths recorded for a particular species at Fishbase (http://www.fishbase.org), these being tabulated in Table 1. We realise these depth measures are imperfect as, for example, some of mesopelagic species almost certainly occur at the water surface at night and using the median will result in a greater estimate of minimum capture depth. However, the values tabulated in Table 1 provide a better estimate of a species’ depth distribution than reliance on any single study. Using these depth data we calculated correlations between capture depth and the rate of increase in λ_max with applied pressure, and between capture depth and the rate of change in absorbance at the λ_max. In neither case did Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients indicate a significant relationship (r_s=0.021，N=12，P=0.948; r_s=–0.119，N=12，P=0.713, respectively).

Although small, an effect of pressure on λ_max was observed in all animals investigated and the average gradient (0.0338 nm MPa⁻¹) is not only highly significantly different from zero (Student’s one sample t-test: t=11.60，P=0.000，N=12) but also the power (the probability of being able to detect this sized difference) of this statistical test is very high (1.000). Bathochromic shifts in λ_max have been observed when rhodopsin is cooled (Yoshizawa, 1972) and this has been interpreted as due to solvent compression analogous to that occurring at elevated pressure (Tsuda and Ebrey, 1980). In addition, bathochromic shifts in λ_max have been observed in the bacteriorhodopsin at high pressures (Klink et al., 2002). Although a light-activated proton pump rather than a visual pigment, and phylogenetically distant from vertebrate rhodopsins, this molecule shares aspects of rhodopsin’s structure and also utilises retinal as a chromophore. Klink et al. (2002) measured λ_max shifts of ca. 2 nm in bacteriorhodopsin at 40 MPa, slightly more than the average λ_max shift (1.35 nm) that we observed at this pressure.

We are, however, more cautious in our tentative conclusion that absorbance at the λ_max is pressure-independent. The
average increase in absorbance with pressure was 5.44 \times 10^{-5}\text{ MPa}^{-1}. Using the regression intercepts as estimates of absorbance at atmospheric pressure for each species, this corresponds to an average increase in absorbance at 40 MPa of 0.9\%.

An increase in absorbance is to be expected purely on grounds of solvent compressibility: for instance, assuming a linear bulk modulus for water of 2.2\times10^9\text{ Pa} (a value that is probably an overestimate at the pressures we investigated; see Hayward, 1967) a rate of increase in absorbance of 4.545\times10^{-4}\text{ MPa}^{-1} is to be anticipated, corresponding to an absorbance increase of 1.8\% at 40 MPa. As previously stated, we are unable to detect a significant average effect of pressure on absorbance: i.e. the observed average gradient was not significantly different from zero (Student’s one-sample t-test: \(t=0.99, N=12, P=0.342\)) but the power of this test is low (0.148). In fact neither could we detect a significant difference between our observations and the gradient estimated by calculation based on the bulk modulus of water (Student’s one-sample t-test: \(t=-7.29, N=12, P=0\)), despite the fact that, in this case, our power to differentiate the observed from the calculated values is high (1.000). Pressure also increased the absorbance of the food dye carmoisine, to a greater degree, with an average gradient of 1.49\times10^{-4}\text{ MPa}^{-1} (s.d.=1.49\times10^{-4}\text{ MPa}^{-1}, N=5), but this value has high variance and is not significantly different from zero (Student’s one sample t-test: \(t=2.24, P=0.089, N=5\)) although like the visual pigment gradient, the rate of increase was also significantly different from that predicted by calculation (\(t=-4.58, P=0.010, N=5\)). Further data will be required to determine whether visual pigments indeed behave differently from physical predictions (as our measurements suggest), and that their absorbance is less affected by pressure than predicted by the above calculation. Further data are also required to test whether our conclusions based on the species’ average masks diversity in visual pigment pressure dependence at species level.

Visual pigments can be measured spectrophotometrically in a number of ways, including as extract, by microspectrophotometry, as retinal whole mounts, and as outer segment suspensions. While with the first of these the pigment is in solution, the other techniques examine pigments within the outer segment membrane. The design of our pressure vessel constrained our measurements to the examination of detergent extracts, since retinal whole mounts and outer segment suspensions induce an unacceptable level of scatter, for which we could not compensate in the current set up. However, the precise \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) of rod pigment measurements shows little variation with method (Douglas et al., 1995) and extract measurements are therefore a reliable indication of a visual pigment’s absorption within the photoreceptor, at least at atmospheric pressure. Nevertheless, as shown in Table 1, \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) measurements obtained in this study differ from previous measurements by several nm. These differences can be attributed to the presence of visual pigment mixtures in some species and/or to the absence of hydroxylamine in the photo-bleaching conditions used in this study (hydroxylamine being eliminated to simplify the chemical environment of the visual pigment). As shown in Fig. 1, there is considerable overlap between the photoproduct and alpha absorption band of the relatively short-wave-sensitive rod visual pigments measured here, and this will inevitably affect the precision of \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) measurements. Further experiments are required to determine the effect of the addition of hydroxylamine on visual pigments under pressure.

In vivo, visual pigments in photoreceptors are located in outer segment membranes. As long as visual pigments in outer segment membranes behave under pressure as they do in extract, it is likely that \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) values determined at atmospheric pressure in previous studies of deep-sea fish visual pigments, on which all correlations between visual pigment spectral absorption and habitat are based (Partridge et al., 1989; Douglas et al., 1998), represent the true values for visual pigments present within the animals’ photoreceptors at depth. Nevertheless, this conclusion has the caveat that pressure may be found to affect \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) when the visual pigment is in situ in rod outer segment membranes rather than in extract: this possibility requires further study.

Of particular vulnerability to perturbation by pressure are biomolecular reactions that are associated with relatively large volume changes, or depend on the fluidity of cell membrane lipid bilayers, or in which conformation changes occur during activity (Somero, 1992; Gross and Jaenicke, 1994). Visual pigments exhibit several of these characteristics during activation by light, in resultant interactions with intracellular messengers, in termination of activity, and in their regeneration. It is probable that such phenomena will be affected by pressure, particularly as both enzyme reactions (Mozhaev et al., 1994) and protein–protein interactions (Heremans, 1982) are known to be pressure sensitive at pressures encountered in the deep-sea. Indeed, effects of pressure on the transmembrane signalling of other G-protein coupled receptors have been shown (Siebenaller and Garrett, 2002). Further study of these facets of visual pigment behaviour under pressure will be aided by the wealth of opsin sequence data (some 30 rod opsin sequences) that are already available from diverse deep-sea fish taxa (Hope et al., 1997; Hunt et al., 2001), and the solved structure of a vertebrate rod rhodopsin (Palczewski et al., 2000).
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