

**City Research Online** 

# City, University of London Institutional Repository

**Citation:** Bianconi, F., Kather, J. & Reyes-Aldasoro, C. C. (2019). Evaluation of Colour Pre-processing on Patch-Based Classification of H&E-Stained Images. In: Digital Pathology. ECDP 2019. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 11435. (pp. 56-64). Cham: Springer. ISBN 978-3-030-23936-7 doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-23937-4\_7

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/22489/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23937-4\_7

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. City Research Online: <u>http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/</u><u>publications@city.ac.uk</u>

# Evaluation of colour pre-processing on patch-based classification of H&E-stained images

Francesco Bianconi<sup>1,3\*</sup>, Jakob N. Kather<sup>2</sup>, and Constantino C. Reyes-Aldasoro<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Engineering, Università degli Studi di Perugia Via Goffredo Duranti 93, 06135 Perugia, Italy bianco@ieee.org

<sup>2</sup> Department of Medicine III, University Hospital RWTH Aachen 52074 Aachen, Germany jakob.kather@nct-heidelberg.de

<sup>3</sup> School of Engineering and Mathematical Sciences, City, University of London London EC1V OHB, United Kingdom reyes@city.ac.uk

Abstract. This paper compares the effects of colour pre-processing on the classification performance of H&E-stained images. Variations in the tissue preparation procedures, acquisition systems, stain conditions and reagents are all source of artifacts that can affect negatively computerbased classification. Pre-processing methods such as colour constancy, transfer and deconvolution have been proposed to compensate the artifacts. In this paper we compare quantitatively the combined effect of six colour pre-processing procedures and 12 colour texture descriptors on patch-based classification of H&E-stained images. We found that colour pre-processing had negative effects on accuracy in most cases – particularly when used with colour descriptors. However, some pre-processing procedures proved beneficial when employed in conjunction with classic texture descriptors such as co-occurrence matrices, Gabor filters and Local Binary Patterns.

Keywords: Colour  $\cdot$  Histology  $\cdot$  Hematoxylin  $\cdot$  Eosin  $\cdot$  Texture.

# 1 Introduction

Digital Pathology has grown considerably in recent years encompassing computerbased activities that allow for improvements and innovations in the workflow of pathology [1]. In this domain the automated processing of tissue samples has received increasing attention due to the potential applications in diagnosis [2], grading [3], identification of tissue substructures [4], prognostication and mutation prediction [5]. A number of problems, however, still limit the adoption of digital pathology on a large scale: the relatively scarce availability of large labelled datasets of histological images, the differences in the acquisition systems and/or protocol used as well as the variability in tissue preparation and/or stain reactivity [6]. The latter, in particular, can generate colour variations and artifacts that can reduce significantly the accuracy of computer-based methods. This

<sup>\*</sup> Performed this work as an Academic Visitor at City, University of London.



Fig. 1: Datasets used in the experiments: round-up table and sample images.

problem has attracted much attention lately and different colour pre-processing methods have been proposed as possible solutions [7]. Still, their beneficial effects on patch-based classification of H&E-stained images are not clear, since only few studies have addressed the subject in a quantitative way [8,9,10]. Also, apart from [10], little has been investigated as concerns the coupled effects of colour pre-processing and the specific image descriptor used.

In this paper we present a quantitative evaluation of the effects of colour pre-processing on patch-based classification of H&E-stained images. The study is based on seven datasets of histological images from different sources, six colour pre-processing procedures and 12 colour texture descriptors.

# 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Image datasets (Fig. 1)

Agios Pavlos (AP). Breast carcinoma histological images from the Department of Pathology, 'Agios Pavlos', General Hospital of Thessaloniki, Greece [11] (https://zenodo.org/record/834910) representing tissue samples from 21 patients with invasive ductal carcinoma of grade I, II and III.

**BreakHis (BH)**. Breast carcinoma histological images from Pathological Anatomy and Cytopathology, Paraná, Brazil [12] of breast tumour from eight different histological subtypes (https://omictools.com/breakhis-tool).

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Kather Multiclass (KM). Histological images of colorectal cancer from the University Medical Center Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Germany [4] (https://zenodo.org/record/53169) representing eight tissue subtypes.

Lymphoma (LM). Multi-center collection of histological images from malignant lymphoma [13] (https://ome.grc.nia.nih.gov/iicbu2008/lymphoma/ index.html) organised in three classes: chronic lymphocytic leukemia, follicular lymphoma and mantle cell lymphoma.

Netherlands Cancer Institute (NKI). Tissue micro-arrays (TMAs) from a cohort of patients with breast cancer enrolled at the Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, Netherlands [14] (https://tma.im/tma\_portal/C-Path/ supp.html). The dataset includes with segmentation masks from which we extracted tiles of well defined areas of epithelium and stroma.

Vancouver General Hospital (VGH). Same structure as (NKI), but here the images come from a cohort of 328 patients enrolled at Vancouver General Hospital in Canada [14] (https://tma.im/tma\_portal/C-Path/supp.html).

Warwick-QU (WR). Histological images of colorectal cancer from the University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire, United Kingdom [15] (https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/dcs/research/tia/glascontest/download/) organised in two classes (benign and malignant).

#### 2.2 Colour normalisation

We evaluated three colour constancy, two colour transfer and one colour deconvolution method as detailed below. The effects of each method on a set of sample images are shown in Figs. 2–3.

Colour constancy. We considered chromaticity representation ('chroma' in the remainder), grey-world normalisation ('gw') and histogram equalisation ('heq') [16]. In the experiments we used Jost van de Weijer's Color Constancy Toolbox (http://lear.inrialpes.fr/people/vandeweijer/research.html) and Matlab's histeq() function respectively for 'gw' and 'heq'.

Colour transfer. We employed Macenko's [17] and Reinhard's [18] methods using the implementation provided with Warwick's Stain Normalization Toolbox (https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/dcs/research/tia/software/sntoolbox/, SNT henceforth). For each of the two approaches we used four target images, denoted in the remainder as T1, T2, T3 and T4 (Fig. 2). These are all histology images except T1, which is a colour calibration checker. As for the others, T2 is part of SNT demo, whereas T3 and T4 come from The Cancer Genome Atlas (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/). The target images were chosen by the authors based on their subjective judgement.

Colour deconvolution. Colour deconvolution was based on Ruifrok and Johnston's method [19], again via SNT. In the remainder this is denoted as 'decoRJ'.

#### 2.3 Image descriptors

Colour histogram (FullHist). Joint three-dimensional colour histogram [20] with ten bins per channel  $(10^3 = 1000 \text{ features})$ .

#### 4 F. Bianconi, J.N. Kather and C.C. Reyes-Aldasoro



Fig. 2: Illustration of the effects of normalisation. Notice the influence of target images.

*Marginal colour histograms* (MargHists). Concatenation of the three 1D intensity histograms [21] of each colour channel  $(256 \times 3 = 768 \text{ features})$ .

*Grey-level co-occurrence matrices* (GLCM). Contrast, correlation, energy, entropy and homogeneity from GLCM computed at distance 1px, 2px and 3px and



Fig. 3: Colour deconvolution via Ruifrok and Johnston's method: samples of original (RGB) and deconvolved images. Deconvolved channels are in pseudo-colours..

orientation  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $45^{\circ}$ ,  $90^{\circ}$  and  $135^{\circ}$  ( $5 \times 3 \times 4 = 60$  features). Discrete Fourier transform (DFT) normalisation was applied for rotation invariance [22].

Gabor filters (Gabor). Mean and standard deviation of the magnitude of transformed images from a bank with four frequencies and six orientations  $(2 \times 4 \times 6 = 48 \text{ features})$ . Rotation invariance was obtained via DFT normalisation.

Local Binary Patterns (LBP). Concatenation of rotation-invariant ('ri') LBP histograms computed at resolution 1px, 2px and 3px using non-interpolated eight-pixel neighbourhoods as detailed in [23].

Hybrid methods. Marginal colour versions of Gabor, GLCM and LBP were also obtained by applying the corresponding grey-scale descriptor to each colour channel separately and concatenating the resulting features. These are indicated as 'MargGabor', 'MargGLCM' and 'MargLBP' to the remainder.

Pre-trained convolutional networks.  $L_2$ -normalised output of the last fullyconnected layer from the following pre-trained networks: ResNet-50 and ResNet-101 [24], VGG very deep 16 and VGG very deep 19 [25].

## 3 Results and discussion

Each dataset was analysed for a combination of pre-processing and image descriptor, the accuracy being estimated via split-sample validation with stratified sampling at the image level<sup>4</sup>. The random subdivision into train and test set was repeated a hundred times and the results averaged. Classification was based on the 1-NN rule with  $L_1$  distance. We used a train ratio (i.e. fraction of images used for training) f = 1/4 and f = 1/8, and the results did not show significant deviation in the overall trend. The best and second-best combinations are shown in Fig. 4. Pre-trained ResNet50/ResNet101 performed best or secondbest in eight cases out of 14 (accuracy range of best configurations 71.56% –

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Complete results available at https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1bc1m0\_ RCQppfbrCjqjWlF50YoZNLWbW4?usp=sharing

#### F. Bianconi, J.N. Kather and C.C. Reyes-Aldasoro

 $\mathbf{6}$ 



Fig. 4: Best (left) and second-best combinations (right) for each dataset. Each circle corresponds to a dataset, with its descriptor, pre-processing and accuracy. Colours reflect accuracy (brown = best, blue = worst). Results obtained for f = 1/4.

98.81%), followed by joint and marginal colour histograms (six cases, accuracy range 80.09% - 98.16%). As for colour pre-processing, doing nothing was the best or second-best option in seven cases out of 14, followed by heq and chroma.

Table 1 shows the difference to the baseline (colour pre-processing vs. no colour pre-processing) by descriptor and pre-processing method. Colour pre-processing resulted in a loss of accuracy in most cases – in particular, methods that rely on colour responded negatively to colour pre-processing. Colour transfer via Macenko's and Reinhard's methods did not show a clear trend as for the effects of the target image used. Note that the non-histological target image (T1) gave better results than the histological ones (T2–T4) in some cases.

By contrast, the texture-based methods proved more resilient to colour preprocessing. In this case there was even a noticeable gain in accuracy in some combinations descriptor/pre-processing method: marginal colour texture descriptors (i.e. MargGabor, MargGLCM and MargLBP) responded positively both to 'chroma' normalisation and colour deconvolution. This suggests that texture features provide complementary information when extracted separately from each of the hematoxylin, eosin and background channels.

# 4 Conclusions

Colour pre-processing produced a noticeable loss of accuracy in the classification of histological samples in most cases, particularly when used along with image descriptors that rely on colour. This is consistent with [10], but differs from [9]. Some pre-processing methods (i.e. chroma and decoRJ) had positive effects when coupled with certain texture descriptors, specifically MargGabor, MargGLCM and MargLBP. This is a novel finding that should be better explored and validated in future studies.

		Colour pre-processing method												
Descriptor	none	chroma	Mg	heq	Macenko (T1)	Macenko (T2)	Macenko (T3)	Macenko (T4)	Reinhard (T1)	Reinhard (T2)	Reinhard (T3)	Reinhard (T4)	decoRJ	AVG
FullHist	0.00	-8.10	-3.06	-1.77	-5.84	-5.26	-6.28	-5.02	-42.65	-42.65	-42.65	-42.65	-31.50	-18.26
MargHists	0.00	0.59	-5.82	-24.50	-7.08	-4.70	-4.18	-5.05	-27.32	-28.25	-27.00	-30.20	-6.90	-13.11
Gabor	0.00	-0.42	1.16	-2.78	-2.11	-1.84	-1.68	-2.03	-2.18	-1.45	-1.66	-1.22	-0.28	-1.27
GLCM	0.00	0.96	0.52	-3.41	-0.30	-1.64	-0.16	-2.67	-1.50	-2.68	-1.02	-6.82	-2.53	-1.63
LBP	0.00	3.64	-0.36	-0.23	0.39	-0.16	0.01	-0.09	1.48	-1.12	-0.36	-0.56	-0.47	0.16
MargGabor	0.00	2.31	1.65	-0.52	2.04	-1.44	-1.73	-1.96	-1.75	-0.93	-2.42	-1.88	5.80	-0.06
MargGLCM	0.00	4.71	0.78	-1.91	2.75	-3.95	-3.11	-5.47	-0.68	-3.66	-1.32	-6.97	4.66	-1.09
MargLBP	0.00	4.40	0.40	-0.17	2.02	-0.67	-1.12	-0.81	3.70	-0.26	-0.42	-1.56	2.93	0.65
ResNet50	0.00	-2.24	-1.39	-1.32	-1.91	-2.48	-1.96	-2.31	-22.72	-25.56	-24.50	-26.41	-14.71	-9.81
ResNet101	0.00	-3.83	-0.39	-1.63	-2.93	-1.50	-1.35	-2.88	-24.64	-26.01	-25.58	-26.74	-17.31	-10.37
Vgg16	0.00	-2.93	-1.13	-1.04	-3.90	-0.87	-1.21	-1.30			-21.90	-23.38	-14.20	-8.83
Vgg19	0.00	-1.05	-1.14	-2.26	-1.29	-3.58	-1.75	-4.81					-12.29	-8.36
AVG	0.00	-0.16	-0.73	-3 46	-1 51	-2 34	-2 04	-2 87	-13 16	-14 65	-14 07	-15 73	-7 23	-6.00

Table 1: Effect of colour pre-processing: difference to the baseline broken down by image descriptor and colour pre-processing method. Colour reflects accuracy (brown = best, blue = worst). Values are averaged over the seven datasets; f = 1/4.

In conclusion, our results suggest that the use of colour pre-processing for patch-based classification of H&E images should be considered with care. In particular: 1) no pre-processing may provide better results than pre-processing in most cases; 2) the selection of the pre-processing procedure should always be evaluated in conjunction with the image descriptor(s) used.

Acknowledgements This work was partially supported by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research (MIUR) under the Individual Funding Scheme for Fundamental Research ('FFABR 2017') and by the Department of Engineering at the University of Perugia, Italy, under the Fundamental Research programme 2018.

## References

- 1. J. Griffin and D. Treanor. Digital pathology in clinical use: where are we now and what is holding us back? *Histopathology*, 70(1):134–145, 2017.
- P. Sudharshan, C. Petitjean, F. Spanhol, et al. Multiple instance learning for histopathological breast cancer image classification. *Expert Sys App*, 117:103–111, 2019.
- A. Jørgensen, J. Emborg, R. Røge, et al. Exploiting multiple color representations to improve colon cancer detection in whole slide H&E stains. In Proc. of the 1st Intern. Workshop on Computational Pathology (COMPAY), volume 11039 of LNCS, pages 61–68. Springer, Granada, Spain, September 2018.
- J. Kather, C.-A. Weis, F. Bianconi, et al. Multi-class texture analysis in colorectal cancer histology. *Sci Rep*, 6, 2016.
- N. Coudray, P. Ocampo, T. Sakellaropoulos, et al. Classification and mutation prediction from non-small cell lung cancer histopathology images using deep learning. *Nat Med*, 24:1559–1567, 2018.
- A. Khan, N. Rajpoot, D. Treanor, et al. A nonlinear mapping approach to stain normalization in digital histopathology images using image-specific color deconvolution. *IEEE T Biomed Eng*, 61(6):1729–1738, 2014.

#### 8 F. Bianconi, J.N. Kather and C.C. Reyes-Aldasoro

- D. Komura and S. Ishikawa. Machine learning methods for histopathological image analysis. *Comput Struc Biotec*, 16:34–42, 2018.
- A. Sethi, L. Sha, A. Vahadane, et al. Empirical comparison of color normalization methods for epithelial-stromal classification in H and E images. J Pathol Inform, 7(1), 2016.
- F. Ciompi, O. Geessink, B. E. Bejnordi, et al. The importance of stain normalization in colorectal tissue classification with convolutional networks. In *Proc. of the IEEE Intern. Symp. on Biomed Imaging.* Melbourne, Australia, April 2017.
- M. Gadermayr, S. Cooper, B. Klinkhammer, et al. A quantitative assessment of image normalization for classifying histopathological tissue of the kidney. In Proc. of the 39th German Conference on Pattern Recognition (GCPR), volume 10496 of LNCS, pages 3–13. Springer, Basel, Switzerland, September 2017.
- 11. K. Dimitropoulos, P. Barmpoutis, C. Zioga, et al. Grading of invasive breast carcinoma through Grassmannian VLAD encoding. *PLoS ONE*, 12(9), 2017.
- F. Spanhol, L. Oliveira, C. Petitjean, et al. A dataset for breast cancer histopathological image classification. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng*, 63(7), 2016.
- L. Shamir, N. Orlov, D. Mark Eckley, et al. IICBU 2008: A proposed benchmark suite for biological image analysis. *Med Biol Eng Comput*, 46(9):943–947, 2008.
- A. Beck, A. Sangoi, S. Leung, et al. Imaging: Systematic analysis of breast cancer morphology uncovers stromal features associated with survival. *Sci Transl Med*, 3(108), 2011.
- K. Sirinukunwattana, D. R. J. Snead, and N. M. Rajpoot. A stochastic polygons model for glandular structures in colon histology images. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*, 34(11):2366–2378, 2015.
- E. Cernadas, M. Fernández-Delgado, G.-R. E., et al. Influence of normalization and color space to color texture classification. *Pattern Recognit*, 61:120–138, 2017.
- M. Macenko, M. Niethammer, J. Marron, et al. A method for normalizing histology slides for quantitative analysis. In *Proc of the IEEE Intern Symp on Biomed Imaging (ISBI)*, pages 1107–1110. Boston, USA, June 2009.
- E. Reinhard, M. Ashikhmin, B. Gooch, et al. Color transfer between images. *IEEE Comput Grap Appl*, 21(5):34–41, 2001.
- A. Ruifrok and D. Johnston. Quantification of histochemical staining by color deconvolution. Anal Quant Cytol Histol, 23(4):291–299, 2001.
- 20. M. Swain and D. Ballard. Color indexing. Int J Comp Vis, 7(1):11-32, 1991.
- M. Pietikainen, S. Nieminen, E. Marszalec, et al. Accurate color discrimination with classification based on feature distributions. In *Proc of the Intern. Conf. on Pattern Rec. (ICPR)*, volume 3, pages 833–838. Vienna, Austria, August 1996.
- F. Bianconi and A. Fernández. Rotation invariant co-occurrence features based on digital circles and discrete Fourier transform. *Pattern Recognition Lett*, 48:34–41, 2014.
- F. Bianconi, R. Bello-Cerezo, and P. Napoletano. Improved opponent color local binary patterns: an effective local image descriptor for color texture classification. *J Electron Imaging*, 27(1), 2018.
- K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, et al. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In Proc of Comp Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 770–778. Las Vegas, United States, January 2016.
- 25. K. Chatfield, K. Simonyan, A. Vedaldi, et al. Return of the devil in the details: Delving deep into convolutional nets. In *Proc. of the British Machine Vision Conference*. Nottingham, United Kingdom, September 2014.