

**City Research Online** 

# City, University of London Institutional Repository

**Citation:** Rowane, A. J., Gavaises, M. & McHugh, M. A. (2019). Vapor-liquid equilibria and mixture densities for 2,2,4,4,6,8,8-heptamethylnonane + N2 and n-hexadecane + N2 binary mixtures up to 535 K and 135 MPa. Fluid Phase Equilibria, 506, 112378. doi: 10.1016/j.fluid.2019.112378

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/23099/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2019.112378

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. City Research Online: <u>http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/</u><u>publications@city.ac.uk</u>

Vapor-liquid equilibria and mixture densities for 2,2,4,4,6,8,8-heptamethylnonane + $N_2$ and
n-hexadecane $+ N_2$ binary mixtures up to 535 K and 135 MPa
Aaron J. Rowane <sup>a,b</sup> *, Manolis Gavaises <sup>a</sup> , Mark A. M <sup>c</sup> Hugh <sup>c</sup>
<sup>a</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering and Aeronautics, City University of London,
Northampton Square, ECIV 0HB London, UK
<sup>b</sup> Afton Chemical Limited, London Rd, Bracknell, RG12 2UW, Berkshire
<sup>c</sup> Department of Chemical and Life Science Engineering, Virginia Commonwealth University,
601 W Main St, Richmond, VA, 23284
Abstract
In this work, we report high-pressure, high-temperature (HPHT) mixture density and $T$ -p
isopleth (bubble (BP) and dew (DP) point) data for hexadecane (HXD) + $N_2$ and
heptamethylnonane (HMN) + $N_2$ mixtures from ~323 to 523 K and pressures to ~100 MPa.
Isothermal, mixture density data for both mixtures are measured in the single-phase region from
the BP pressure to ~135 MPa and with ~ 14 to 90 mol% $N_2$ . A HPHT variable-volume, windowed
view cell is used for both density and phase behavior measurements using the synthetic method.
Mixture densities are correlated with the modified Tait equation and isothermal BP/DP data are
correlated with an Antoine-type equation to allow for reliable interpolation of the data sets.
Mixture densities and BP/DP pressures are modeled with the PC-SAFT equation coupled with
pure component parameters calculated with two different group contribution methods. Although
fairly reasonable predictions of liquid mixture densities are obtained when the binary interaction

1	parameter, $k_{ij}$ , is set to zero for both HXD + N <sub>2</sub> and HMN + N <sub>2</sub> mixtures, a value of $k_{ij}$ equal to at
2	least 0.119 is needed for both systems to obtain reasonable predictions of isothermal $p$ - $x$ behavior.
3	
4	Key words: PC-SAFT, High Pressure, High Temperature, Bubble Points, Dew Points

#### 6 1. Introduction

Accurate thermophysical fluid properties are crucial for the design and operation of 7 8 chemical processes used to manufacture specialty chemicals, lubricants, crude oil, polymers, etc. <sup>1,2</sup>. The need for a fluid property database is especially important when operating at high-pressure, 9 10 high-temperature (HPHT) conditions, such as those encountered in the recovery of petroleum 11 reserves in ultra-deep reservoirs, in the application of lubricants to reduce energy losses due to 12 friction in the automotive and allied industries, and in the operation of highly efficient diesel 13 engines designed to reduce soot emissions. The eventual depletion of fossil fuels and their negative 14 environmental impact has led to exploration of sustainable energy sources offering reduced 15 emissions. Alternative fuel sources that can be used as a direct replacement or blended with 16 petroleum derived diesel to mitigate its use are advantageous as they do not require a complete 17 overhaul of current automotive infrastructure. Biowaste derived, paraffinic diesels have been 18 proposed as an alternative as they can be produced from nonedible components of food  $crops^{3,4}$ , 19 waste cooking oils<sup>5</sup>, and slaughterhouse waste<sup>6</sup>. Paraffinic diesel fuels are produced by first, 20 oxidizing the feedstock then converting it to middle distillate *n*-paraffins through Fisher-Tropsch 21 (FT) synthesis. Finally, in a final step the mixture of *n*-paraffins is subjected to an isomerization 22 hydrocracking process to convert a fraction of the fuel to i-paraffins<sup>7</sup>. The finished product is a mixture of *n*-paraffins and *i*-paraffins where the ratio of the two chemical families and *i*-paraffins structure are dependent on the selectivity of the catalyst used and isomerization process conditions<sup>8</sup>. These considerations place a premium on the HPHT fluid properties of *i*-paraffins and *n*-paraffins and understanding how *i*-paraffin structure impacts their fluid properties. Several studies report phase behavior data for binary *n*-alkane + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures<sup>9-13</sup>, but only handful of studies report mixture densities for the *n*-alkane + N<sub>2</sub> systems<sup>14-16</sup>. However, to the best of our knowledge no data are available for middle distillate *i*-paraffins + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures.

8 Here we present experimental information on the mixture density and phase behavior of 9 two C16 isomers, hexadecane (HXD) and heptamethylnonane (HMN), each with nitrogen  $(N_2)$ . 10 HXD and HMN are representative compounds from two prominent chemical families found in diesel fuels and, therefore, these two C16 isomers are often used as surrogates for diesel fuel<sup>17</sup>. In 11 12 addition, fluid property studies incorporating surrogates HXD and HMN are also of significant 13 industrial interests since they are reference fuels used to determine the cetane number of diesel fuel using ASTM D613<sup>18</sup>. The major components in air, N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>, are both spherically symmetric 14 15 diatomic gasses that exhibit similar intermolecular potentials. However, unlike O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> is an inert 16 gas which makes it a suitable surrogate for studies at HPHT conditions. This suggests the HPHT 17 data obtained for HXD or HMN +  $N_2$  mixtures can provide insight into the physical state of diesel fuel injected into an air environment prior to combustion in a diesel engine where concentrations 18 19 of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O will be negligible

20 Currently, only two studies by Sultanov and coworkers<sup>19</sup> and Lin and coworkers<sup>20</sup> provide 21 pressure-mole fraction (*p-x*) isothermal data for the HXD + N<sub>2</sub>. Further only a single study by 22 Zolghader et al.<sup>16</sup> reports mixture density data for the HXD + N2 system. Mixture density data 23 obtained in the single-phase region are correlated using a modified Tait equation and isothermal

1 BP/DP data are correlated with an Antoine-type equation. Both correlations provide a facile means 2 of interpolation of data needed for comparisons with available literature data. The HXD isothermal 3 bubble (BP) and dew (DP) point data generated in this study are compared to p-x isotherms reported by Sultanov and coworkers<sup>19</sup> at temperatures from 323 to 523 K and to a single p-x4 isotherm at 426.7 K reported by Lin and coworkers<sup>20</sup>. Additionally, HXD saturated, liquid 5 densities reported here are compared to those reported by Zolghader et al.<sup>16</sup>. To the best of our 6 7 knowledge, there are no reported studies for  $HXD + N_2$  mixture density data at pressures greater 8 than saturation pressure. Furthermore, there are no phase behavior or mixture density data available in the literature for HMN +  $N_2$  mixtures. Yang and coworkers<sup>21</sup> do report saturated, liquid 9 10 densities for  $HXD + CO_2$  mixtures and the trends observed in this study are contrasted with the 11 trends for saturated, liquid densities found for both  $HXD + N_2$  and  $HMN + N_2$  mixtures.

12 The resultant mixture densities and phase behavior data are modeled using the Perturbed Chain Statistical Associating Fluid Theory (PC-SAFT) equation of state (EoS)<sup>22</sup> which has been 13 shown to work considerably well for highly asymmetric mixtures of spherical and chain molecules. 14 15 For example, García-Sánchez et al.<sup>23</sup> report PC-SAFT modeling results for various non-associating hydrocarbon +  $N_2$  systems including the HXD +  $N_2$  system. Here the pure component parameters 16 used with the PC-SAFT EoS are calculated with the group contribution (GC) method of Sauer and 17 coworkers<sup>24</sup> (S-GC) and the method of Tihic and coworkers<sup>25</sup> (T-GC). The differences between 18 19 these two methods are that the S-GC parameters are regressed from a combined, normal and 20 branched paraffin data set and the T-GC parameters are regressed from separate, normal and 21 branched paraffin data sets. Tihic, et al. developed the GC method using both first-order (FOG) 22 and second-order group (SOG) values for calculating pure-component parameters while Sauer and 23 coworkers only use FOG.

## 1 **2. Methods and Materials**

## 2 2.1. Materials

3 Table 1 lists the source and mass fraction purity of each chemical used in this study, as4 received, in this study.

5

Table 1. Chemical samples used in this study listed with the source and mass fraction purity
reported by the manufacturer.

		Mass Fraction	Analysis
Chemical Name	Source	Purity	method <sup>a</sup>
n-Hexane	Sigma-Aldrich	≥ 0.990	GC
n-Hexadecane	Sigma-Aldrich	0.990	GC
2,2,4,4,6,8,8-Heptamethylnonane	Acros Organics	0.980	GC
Nitrogen	Air-Gas	1.000	

# 8 <sup>a</sup> Determined by gas chromatography (GC) by the supplier.

9

# 10 **2.2. Methods**

Figure 1 shows the variable-volume, view cell used for VLE and density measurements. Details on the experimental apparatus are found in previous publications<sup>26-29</sup> and here the main features of the apparatus are described. A screw-type, pressure generator (Model 37-5.75-60, High Pressure Equipment Co.) delivers or removes water to the back end of the cell and to a pressure gauge, used to measure pressures below ~65 MPa (Model CM57303, 0 - 68.9 MPa, standard uncertainty of 0.07 MPa, Heise Corporation), and to a transducer, used to measure pressures greater than ~65 MPa (Model 245BWGDNEAPW, 0 - 345 MPa, standard uncertainty of 0.34 MPa,

1 Viatran Corporation). Both the gauge and transducer are located external to the heated cell and 2 maintained at ambient temperature. The system pressure is equal to the pressure of the water 3 delivered to the cell plus/minus a correction of 0.07 MPa when moving the piston forward/back. 4 The cell is wrapped with heating tape and then covered with insulation to generate the desired 5 operating temperature maintained to within  $\pm 0.2$  K and measured with a type-K thermocouple 6 (Omega Engineering) calibrated against an accurate RTD thermometer having certificate of 7 calibration traceable to NIST standards (Model DURAC TP-R04, measurement range 173 to 673 8 K, permissible deviation of 0.06 K, H-B Instrument Company). The fluid of interest in the cell is 9 mixed with a stir bar controlled by an external magnet/motor located underneath the cell. The 10 contents of the cell are projected onto a video monitor using a camera (Model STC-N63CJ, Lenox Instrument Company) coupled to a borescope (Model HAWKEYE<sup>®</sup> Pro, Gradient Lens 11 12 Corporation) placed against a cylindrical sapphire window (Hemlite sapphire, 1.905 cm thick x 13 1.905 cm outside diameter  $\pm$  0.005 cm, faces flat to 0.0008 cm and parallel to 0.0025 cm, and 14 beveled edges 0.762 cm x 45°, GT Crystal Systems, LLC) sealed with an elastomeric o-ring.





Figure 1. Schematic diagram of (A) the high-presssure variable-volume, view cell and (B) the
rod connecting the piston to the LVDT (not to scale).

19

 $\sim$ 2 MPa to reduce the residual air content to less than 10 ppm. After flushing, typically 0.025 g N<sub>2</sub> remains in the cell. Approximately 2.0 to 10.0 g of liquid HMN or HXD are charged to the cell

remains in the cell. Approximately 2.0 to 10.0 g of liquid HMN or HXD are charged to the cell using a syringe that is weighed ( $\pm$  0.001 g) before and after loading. Next approximately 0.7 to 2.0 g of N<sub>2</sub> are loaded using a high-pressure, transfer vessel that is weighed ( $\pm$  0.001 g) before and after loading. The final mass of N<sub>2</sub> in the cell includes any N<sub>2</sub> remaining in the cell at ambient pressure after flushing. The cell is then heated and pressurized to the desired temperature and pressure for VLE or density measurements.

For VLE and density measurements, the empty view cell is flushed three times with  $N_2$  at

9

1

2

#### 10 **2.2.1. VLE measurements**

11 Once the desired temperature is reached, the mixture is stirred vigorously for 30 minutes 12 to ensure thermal equilibrium. At a constant temperature, with a clear, single phase in the view 13 cell, the system pressure is slowly reduced by ~0.5 MPa and the mixture again is stirred vigorously 14 and allowed to come to thermal equilibrium. If a clear, single phase exists at this lower pressure, 15 the system pressure is again slowly decreased by ~0.5 MPa and the mixture is again stirred 16 vigorously and allowed to come to thermal equilibrium. This pressure reduction technique is 17 continued until a small vapor bubble (bubble point, BP) appears for mixtures lean in N<sub>2</sub> or a mist 18 or fog (dew point, DP) appears for mixtures very rich in  $N_2$ . The pressure is now increased well 19 into the single-phase region and the solution is mixed to return to equilibrium. The pressure 20 reduction technique is repeated with smaller step changes in pressure, several times, to reproduce 21 the transition and to reduce the transition pressure. For both BP and DP measurements the 22 composition of the predominant phase is considered equal to the overall solution composition since the mass of the second phase is negligible. Data are obtained at pressures chosen in random order
 for a given isopleth to minimize any potential experimental artifacts in the measurements.

3

#### 4 **2.2.2. Density measurements**

5 The internal cell volume is determined using a linear variable differential transformer 6 (LVDT, Model 2000 HR, Measurement Specialties) that tracks a magnetic core at the end of a rod 7 connected to the piston as shown in Figure 1. The piston position is correlated to the internal cell 8 volume by calibration with hexane performed over the entire temperature-pressure range of 9 interest in this study. Densimeter volume data are obtained at each temperature, pressure, and 10 LVDT reading by dividing the known mass of hexane added to the cell with accurate hexane density data obtained from the NIST REFPROP program <sup>30, 31</sup>. Single-phase, mixture density data 11 12 are calculated knowing the mass of solution loaded in the cell and the internal cell volume obtained from the LVDT calibration equation. Isothermal, mixture density data are recorded in the single-13 phase region from the BP pressure to ~135 MPa. For each isotherm, data are obtained at pressures 14 15 chosen in random order to minimize any experimental artifacts in the measurements. The 16 calculated maximum mole fraction expanded uncertainty is slightly more than 0.001 and the 17 standard uncertainties of temperature and pressure are u(T) = 0.2 K and u(p) = 0.07 MPa for p<68.9 MPa and 0.34 MPa for 68.9<p<165 MPa. The expanded accumulated uncertainty in the reported 18 19 mixture densities is  $U_c(\rho) = 0.80\%$  with a coverage factor, k = 2, which corresponds to a confidence 20 interval of approximately 95%.

### **3. Experimental results**

2 The SI provides p-T- $x_{N2}$ - $w_{N2}$  data tables for BP and DP transitions at given N<sub>2</sub> mole ( $x_{N2}$ ) and weight ( $w_{N2}$ ) fractions for both the HXD + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures and HMN + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures. Figure 2a 3 4 shows p-T isopleths, that are transitions at constant composition, for HXD+ N<sub>2</sub> mixtures and Figure 5 2b shows similar isopleths for HMN +  $N_2$  mixtures. Each isopleth shows the locus of BP or DP 6 points that represent the transition from a single phase region at pressures above the curve to a two 7 phase, liquid + vapor phase region at pressure below the curve. In this study we note that the gas 8 solubility of N2 in both HXD +  $N_2$  and HMN +  $N_2$  mixtures increases with increasing temperature. 9 This behavior is likely due to the increase in free volume of both C16 isomers with increasing 10 temperature since intermolecular interactions between the mixture components are not expected to be strongly influenced by temperature for the same range of temperature increase $^{32}$ . 11



Figure 2. Pressure-temperature isopleths obtained in this study for HXD + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures shown in
(a) where ○ - 20.9, ● - 29.0, □ - 45.2, ■ - 58.1, ◇ - 68.5, ◆ - 81.6, and △ - 90.2 mol%
N<sub>2</sub> and for HMN + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures shown in (b) where ○ - 14.9, ● - 29.0 (one data point is
off the graph at 575.6 K), □ - 39.2, ■ - 57.1, ◇ - 67.1, ◆ - 76.0, △ - 77.3, ▲ - 87.4 mol%
N<sub>2</sub>. Lines are drawn to guide the eye.

1	The SI provides $p$ - $T$ - $x_{N2}$ - $w_{N2}$ data tables for HXD + N <sub>2</sub> and HMN + N <sub>2</sub> mixture densities
2	at a given $x_{N2}$ and $w_{N2}$ . The mixture density data obtained in this study are at $x_{N2}$ from ~0.14 to
3	0.90, pressures to ~135 MPa, and temperatures to ~535 K. HXD + $N_2$ mixture density data are
4	obtained at 26 isotherms (166 total points) held within $\pm$ 0.2 K except for the 527.0 K isotherm ( $\pm$
5	0.8 K) and the 528.6 K isotherm ( $\pm$ 0.3 K). Likewise, HMN + N <sub>2</sub> mixture density data are obtained
6	at 34 isotherms (166 total points) held within $\pm$ 0.2 K except for the 526.9 K isotherm ( $\pm$ 0.3 K)
7	and the 528.0 K ( $\pm$ 0.5 K). Figure 3 shows an example of the effect of pressure and temperature
8	on the density of $HXD + N_2$ and $HMN + N_2$ mixtures, each at slightly different, fixed mixture
9	compositions and temperatures. Each density curve originates at the two-phase, BP boundary of
10	the respective system and extends to ~120 MPa and temperatures from ~300 to 525 K. The density
11	curves exhibit the expected trends with increasing temperature and pressure. The $HXD + N_2$ two-
12	phase region in Figure 3(a) is expected to be smaller than that shown for the HMN + $N_2$ system
13	since a higher amount of N <sub>2</sub> is dissolved in the HMN mixtures.



Figure 3. Examples of experimental densities for HXD + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures (a) and HMN + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures
(b) obtained in this study.

## 2 **3.1 Correlation of experimental data**

Correlation equations are used to facilitate interpolating pressure-composition (p-x) and density-composition (p-x) data and to generate plots of the data. Reliable correlations also serve as an effective means to compare previously reported phase behavior and mixture density data. In the present study BP and DP data are correlated with Antoine's equation and mixture density data are correlated with the Tait equation.

8

## 9 **3.1.1** Correlation of **BP** data using Antoine's equation

10 Equation 1 shows Antoine's equation used for interpolation of the BP/DP data sets that 11 allows for comparison of the results from the present study to any available literature. Values for 12 parameters, A, B, and C, for both hydrocarbon  $+ N_2$  mixtures are obtained by minimizing the 13 percent, average, absolute deviation ( $\Delta_{AAD}$ , equation 2) for *p*-*T* data at each (HMN or HXD) + N<sub>2</sub> 14 mixture composition. The SI provides tables reporting best-fit values for the parameters A, B, and 15 C in equation 1 for both HXD + N<sub>2</sub> and HMN + N<sub>2</sub> along with  $\Delta_{AAD}$  and the maximum deviation 16 ( $\Delta_{\text{max}}$ , equation 3) values. For 14 of the 15 data sets the fit of Antoine's equation agrees with 17 experimental data resulting in  $\Delta_{AAD}$  and  $\Delta_{max}$  values that are less than or equal to 1%. One outlier 18 is the HXD + N<sub>2</sub> data set at  $x_{N2} = 0.290$  ( $\Delta_{AAD} = 0.8\%$  and  $\Delta_{max} = 1.3\%$ .)

19

$$20 \quad ln\left(\frac{p}{MPa}\right) = A - \frac{B}{T+C} \tag{1}$$

21

22 
$$\Delta_{AAD} / \% = 100 \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \frac{x_{i,exp} - x_{i,cal}}{x_{i,exp}} \right|$$
 (2)

$$2 \quad \Delta_{max} / \% = Max \left( 100 \cdot \left( \left| \frac{x_{i,exp} - x_{i,cal}}{x_{i,exp}} \right| \right) \right)$$
(3)

4 where  $x_{i,exp}$  is an experimental point,  $x_{i,cal}$  is a calculated point, and N is the number of data points. 5 Figure 4(a) shows select  $p-x_{N2}$  isotherms generated using the Antione's equation with 6 parameters from the SI for the HXD +  $N_2$  system and Figure 4(b) shows the expanded view of 7 these isotherms using weight fraction rather than mole fraction. Figure 4(b) offers a clear picture 8 of the experimental challenges measuring BP for these mixtures. These *p*-w<sub>N2</sub> curves show that, 9 on a mass basis, N<sub>2</sub> does not dissolve to a great extent in HXD even at temperatures as high as 423 10 K and elevated pressures, that is, these curves exhibit a very large positive slopes. Hence, a small 11 error in N<sub>2</sub> mass loading can have a significant effect on measured BP values. In addition, the large 12 positive slope in the p- $w_{N2}$  curves are relatively insensitive to temperature variations at 13 temperatures below 423 K and pressures to 100 MPa. Both the large positive slopes and lack of 14 temperature sensitivity makes it challenging to precisely measure the BP for this sparingly soluble 15 gas in HXD at these low temperature conditions. In contrast to this behavior, the location of the 16 BP curves become more sensitive to pressure and temperature when operating from 473 to 523 K. 17 Although the 523 K isotherm in Figure 4(b) is approaching a maximum, indicative of a mixture-18 critical point, we did not observe a mixture-critical point before reaching the T-p limit of our 19 apparatus.

Figure 4(c) shows p- $x_{N2}$  isotherms for the HMN + N<sub>2</sub> system at the same four temperatures as shown for the HXD + N<sub>2</sub> system in Figure 4(a). When comparing the isotherms in both figures it is apparent that N<sub>2</sub> more readily dissolves in HMN than HXD at a lower pressure, likely due to

1 the expected larger free volume of HMN, a highly branched hydrocarbon. At temperatures less 2 than 423 K the HMN *p*-*w*<sub>N2</sub> isotherms also exhibit fairly large positive slopes and the isotherms 3 are very close to one another indicating a modest lack of temperature sensitivity. In contrast to the 4 HXD system, BP and DP data are observed for the HMN system at N<sub>2</sub> loadings in excess of ~80 5 mol% (~30 mass%) at 473 and 523 K. Note that mixture-critical transitions were not clearly 6 observed for any of the HMN measurements. Nevertheless, the 473 and 523 K isotherms are drawn 7 as continuous curves with apparent mixture-critical points to connect the observed BP transitions 8 to the DP transitions for mixtures. Note the very narrow width of the maxima at the two highest p-9  $x_{N2}$  isotherms in Figure 4(c). This characteristic shape occurs for other binary mixtures containing 10 compounds that have very large differences in molecular size and energetics.



(c)

- Figure 4. P- $x_{N2}$  and P- $w_{N2}$  isotherms at 323, 423, 473, and 523 K for HXD + N<sub>2</sub> (a) and (b) and HMN + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures (c) and (d). Lines are drawn to guide the eye.
- 4

5 Figure 5a compares Antoine-calculated,  $HXD + N_2$ , BP data from the present study that overlaps with data to ~ 60 MPa reported by Sultanov et al.<sup>19</sup>. Only three out of five possible 6 7 isotherms are shown in Figure 5a to avoid cluttering the graph. Similar trends for the shape and 8 relative locations of the isotherm are observed in both studies. However, only the 323 and 523 K 9 isotherms agree reasonably well with those of Sultanov and coworkers. The 423 K isotherm from 10 the present study is shifted to higher  $N_2$  mole fractions compared to Sultanov's data, as are 11 isotherms at 373 and 473 K (not shown). It is worth noting that when we observed the lack of 12 agreement for these isotherms, we recalibrated our pressure gauges and thermocouples, but found the T-p measurements to be reliable and accurate, as previously described in the experimental 13 section. There appears to be no apparent reason for the discrepancies with the "middle" 14 15 temperature isotherms reported by Sultanov and coworkers<sup>19</sup>.

Figure 5b shows reasonable agreement between the Antoine-calculated, HXD + N<sub>2</sub>, BP data from the present study with the data at 462.7 K reported by Lin et al.<sup>20</sup>. Unfortunately, the 462.7 K isotherm is the only one that partially overlaps with conditions of the present study. It is worth noting that Lin and coworkers performed measurements with a dynamic flow technique at extreme temperatures of 463 to 703 K<sup>33</sup>, but only to pressures of ~ 25 MPa. In contrast, the synthetic method is used in the present study to measure BP data to temperatures of ~ 525 K and pressures to at least 100 MPa. The advantage with the synthetic technique used here is that it is also possible to obtain reliable mixture density data at constant composition and temperature
 starting at the BP and operating to much higher pressures.



Figure 5. Comparison of BP data calculated using Antoine's equation fit to HXD +N<sub>2</sub> data obtained
in the present study (lines) to data of Sultanov et al.<sup>19</sup> shown in (a) at ● - 323, ○ - 423,
and ■ - 523 K and to data of Lin et al. shown in (b) at ● - 462.7 K.

8

## 9 **3.1.2** Correlation of density data using the Tait equation

10 Mixture density data obtained in the single-phase region are correlated using the Tait 11 equation given by equations 4 to 6. Unlike in previous studies where the Tait reference density,  $\rho_0(T)$ , is calculated at  $p_0 = 0.1$  MPa, here  $p_0$  is set equal to the BP or DP pressure at each T-x<sub>N2</sub> 12 13 condition calculated with Antoine's equation and best-fit parameters. Each mixture density, 14 isothermal, isopleth is first fit to the Tait equation by varying C,  $\rho_0(T)$ , and B(T) to minimize the 15  $\Delta_{AAD}$  and constraining the bias ( $\Delta_{bias}$ , equation 7) to zero. Once values of  $\rho_0(T)$  and B(T) are 16 determined at each temperature these parameters are then fit to polynomials of temperature given 17 by equations 5 and 6. Finally, the parameters C,  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$ , and  $b_2$  are refit simultaneously to 18 all of the mixture density, isothermal isopleths by minimizing  $\Delta_{AAD}$  and constraining  $\Delta_{bias}$  to zero.

1 The SI provides tables listing the parameters used with equations 4 to 6 for both HMN or HXD + 2 N<sub>2</sub> mixtures at each mixture composition where parameters for pure HMN or HXD are from our 3 previous study<sup>34</sup>. With this calculation scheme the  $\Delta_{AAD}$  varies from 0.1 to 0.3% and  $\Delta_{MAX}$  varies 4 from 0.2 to 1.2% at temperatures from 298 to 533 K and pressures from 4 to 135 MPa.

$$6 \qquad \frac{\rho - \rho_0(T)}{\rho} = C \log_{10} \left( \frac{P + B(T)}{P_0 + B(T)} \right) \tag{4}$$

8 
$$\rho_0(T)/kg \cdot m^{-3} = \sum_{i=0}^2 a_i T^i$$
 (5)

$$10 \qquad B(\mathbf{T})/MPa = \sum_{i=0}^{2} b_i T^i \tag{6}$$

11

$$12 \quad \Delta_{bias} / \% = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} 100 \cdot \left( \frac{x_{i,exp} - x_{i,cal}}{x_{i,exp}} \right) \tag{7}$$

13

14 The Tait equation, with parameters found in the SI, is used to create Figures 6a and 6b that 15 shows the variation of the saturated, liquid-phase mixture density as N<sub>2</sub> dissolves in HMN or HXD 16 at a fixed temperature and, implicitly, as a function of pressure. Here we compare the trends for  $HXD + N_2$  mixture densities with the trends reported by Yang et al.<sup>21</sup> for saturated liquid densities 17 18 for HXD + CO<sub>2</sub> mixtures. Yang and coworkers show that the dissolution of CO<sub>2</sub> into HXD 19 increases mixture densities at low temperatures and decreases mixture densities at high 20 temperatures. However, this study is limited to a maximum temperature of 473 K and a maximum 21 pressure of 50 MPa where CO<sub>2</sub> can still be considered a compressed, dense gas not far it's critical 22 point. In contrast, N<sub>2</sub> is an expanded supercritical fluid at temperatures from 300 to 525 K, which

1 is two to four times higher than the critical temperature of N<sub>2</sub> (~126 K). Figure 6a shows that HXD 2 + N<sub>2</sub> mixture densities initially decrease as N<sub>2</sub> dissolves into HXD at all temperatures from 323 to 3 525 K. However, the mixture densities exhibit a shallow minimum with respect to increasing N<sub>2</sub> 4 mole fraction at ~ 0.35. At higher  $N_2$  mole fractions, mixture densities now increases as more  $N_2$ 5 dissolves in the mixture. This minimum in the saturated density curve is especially prominent for 6 the 323 K isotherm in Figure 6a. The shape of the  $\rho$ -x<sub>N2</sub> curves reflect a balance between the 7 reduction in liquid mixture density as N<sub>2</sub> dissolves into HXD and the increase in liquid mixture 8 density as the system pressure is increased to force  $N_2$  into the HXD. This conflict of effects is 9 exacerbated at the lowest temperatures where N<sub>2</sub> is only sparingly soluble in HXD as reflected in 10 the almost vertical BP isotherms shown in Figure 3b. At operating temperatures of  $\sim 473$  K and 11 higher, the pressure effect is a bit less pronounced since an increased amount of N<sub>2</sub> can more easily 12 dissolve in the thermally-expanded HXD. Ultimately, at 523 K, the highest temperature shown in 13 Figure 6a, the  $\rho$ -x<sub>N2</sub> curve exhibits a very small maximum since the densities now have greater 14 than 80 mol% N<sub>2</sub>.

Figure 6b shows the impact of N<sub>2</sub> solubility and pressure on the saturation density curves for HMN + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures. Many of the same composition and pressure trends are observed with the HMN system as observed with the HXD system. However, in this instance the pronounced minimum in the  $\rho$ -*x*N<sub>2</sub> curve is diminished at a lower temperature of ~ 423 K, the density curve at 473 exhibits a modest negative slope, and the 523 K turns to lower densities near ~ 0.8 since the last data point in this figure represent a DP not a BP.

21



Figure 6. The relationship between Tait-calculated, saturated liquid densities and N<sub>2</sub> mole fraction
in (a) HXD + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures and in (b) HMN + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures obtained in the present study. ○
- 323, ■ - 373, □ - 423, ● - 473, and △ - 523 K.

Zolghadr et al.<sup>16</sup> report saturated liquid mixture densities for the HXD +  $N_2$  system at 7 8 temperatures from 313 to 393 K and pressures to ~ 42 MPa. However, the authors of this study 9 only provide a very meager amount of experimental detail for these density measurements. For 10 example, the authors do not offer verification that a single phase exists in the densimeter, they do 11 not report whether the HXD + N2 mixtures were well mixed and maintained at saturation 12 conditions, and they do not report the equilibrium concentration of  $N_2$  at T-p conditions. 13 Nevertheless, Figure 7 shows a deviation plot comparing Tait-calculated, saturated liquid densities 14 for HXD + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures from the present study to saturated liquid densities reported by Zolghadr et al.<sup>16</sup> The densities at 333 K agree within the estimated uncertainty of the present study over the 15 16 entire pressure range reported by Zolghadr and coworkers. However, the saturated liquid mixture 17 densities reported by Zolghadr and coworkers at 353, 373, and 393 K differ by more than 1% from 18 those in the present study.



16 where  $a^{hc}$  is the hard chain fluid contribution,  $a^{disp}$  is the dispersion interaction contributions, and 17  $a^{assoc}$  is the contribution from self- and cross-association complex formation, which is not 18 applicable here since HXD and HMN do not self- or cross-associate with N<sub>2</sub>. The approach taken

1 in the present study is to assess the performance of the PC-SAFT EoS using two different group 2 contribution (GC) methods to calculate the three, pure-component parameters m,  $\sigma$ ,  $\epsilon/k$  for HXD and HMN. One approach is the GC method of Sauer et al.<sup>24</sup> (S-GC) with parameters regressed 3 4 from a combined, normal and branched paraffin data set. The other approach is the GC method of Tihic et al.<sup>25</sup> (T-GC) with parameters regressed from separate, normal and branched paraffin data 5 6 sets. Tihic developed the GC method using both first-order (FOG) and second-order group (SOG) 7 values for calculating pure-component parameters while Sauer only use FOG. Table 2 lists 8 calculated values for m,  $\sigma$ , and  $\varepsilon/k$  for HXD and HMN obtained with both approaches. Parameters 9 for N<sub>2</sub>, determined from a fit of the EoS to the N<sub>2</sub> vapor pressure curve and saturated liquid 10 densities, are taken directly from the literature<sup>22</sup>.

11 Table 2. PC-SAFT EoS parameters *m*,  $\sigma$ , and  $\varepsilon/k$  calculated using Tihic's and Sauer's GC methods 12 for both HXD and HMN. Parameters for N<sub>2</sub> are taken directly from the literature.<sup>22</sup>

13

#### HXD

	т	σ/Å	ε/k/K	
Sauer et al. <sup>24</sup>	7.609	3.8637	237.54	
Tihic et al. <sup>25</sup>	6.669	3.9440	253.59	
HMN				

#### 14

Sauer et al. <sup>24</sup>	5.009	4.2774	284.79
Tihic et al. <sup>25</sup>	5.603	4.1640	266.46
N <sub>2</sub>			

## 15

	Gross and Sadowski <sup>22</sup>	1.205	3.313	90.96	
--	----------------------------------	-------	-------	-------	--

16 17

1 Equations 14-16 show the combining rules used to calculate *m* for a mixture and the cross 2 terms,  $\sigma_{ij}$  and  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ , needed for mixture calculations with the PC-SAFT EoS as described by Gross 3 and Sadowski<sup>22</sup>. Here HPHT mixture density data are compared to purely predictive model 4 calculations with  $k_{ij}$  set to zero for both GC methods since interaction energies are less sensitive 5 than m and  $\sigma$  to variations in density. Subsequently BP/DP behavior are modeled with both zero 6 and non-zero values for  $k_{ij}$  using both GC methods since phase behavior calculations are very 7 sensitive to variations in  $\varepsilon$ . The performance of the PC-SAFT EoS in each case is characterized by 8 the resultant values for the  $\Delta_{AAD}$ ,  $\Delta_{stdev}$ ,  $\Delta_{bias}$ , and  $\Delta_{max}$ . The calculations are performed using commerically available software, VLXE<sup>35</sup>. 9

10

$$11 \qquad m = \sum_{i} x_i m_i \tag{14}$$

- <sup>12</sup> where  $x_i$  is the mole fraction of component *i*.
- 13

$$14 \qquad \sigma_{ij} = 0.5(\sigma_i + \sigma_j) \tag{15}$$

16 
$$\varepsilon_{ij} = (1 - k_{ij})\sqrt{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j}$$
 (16)

17

## 18 **3.1.4 Modeling mixture densities**

Figure 8 illustrates the performance of the PC-SAFT EoS for density predictions using either the S-GC or T-GC parameters listed in table 2 for both HXD + N<sub>2</sub> and HMN +N<sub>2</sub> mixtures and with  $k_{ij} = 0$  for all cases. Figure 8 shows the same  $\Delta_{AAD}$  value is obtained for the HXD + N<sub>2</sub> system regardless which parameter set is used. However, figure 8 also shows a significantly better  $\Delta_{AAD}$  value for HMN + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures using T-GC parameters as compared to S-GC parameters. A likely reason for the better performance of the T-GC method is that Tihic and coworkers regressed GC parameters from independent sets of normal and branched alkane data whereas Sauer and coworkers grouped normal and branched alkane data sets. Additional statistical performance measures are provided in the supplemental information for density predictions using the two different GC methods.



7

8 Figure 8. Performance of the PC-SAFT EoS for density predictions using the group contribution 9 method of Sauer et al. (S-GC) and Tihic et al. (T-GC) with  $k_{ij} = 0$  for all cases.

10

#### 11 **3.1.5 Modeling VLE**

Figures 9a and 9b illustrate the performance of the PC-SAFT EoS for HXD + N<sub>2</sub> and HMN + N<sub>2</sub> BP/DP predictions using parameters calculated with the two GC methods when  $k_{ij} = 0$  and when the best-fit  $k_{ij}$  is used, respectively. Table 3 provides the best-fit  $k_{ij}$  values for both the HXD + N<sub>2</sub> and HMN + N<sub>2</sub> systems when using either S-GC or T-GC parameters. As shown in figure 9a the resultant  $\Delta_{AAD}$  values are insensitive to the choice of GC parameters. Additionally, it is worth noting that BP/DP predictions are grossly underpredicted when  $k_{ij} = 0$  in all cases for the systems studied here (see additional statistical measures of the EoS performance listed in the SI). Figure

1 9b shows that  $\Delta_{AAD}$  is about a factor of two lower for the HXD + N<sub>2</sub> system when using T-GC 2 parameters. However, the choice of GC parameters has no impact on the  $\Delta_{AAD}$  values for the HMN 3  $+ N_2$  system. It is not apparent why a non-zero kij has a noticeably different impact on the VLE 4 predictions for the HXD system as compared to the HMN system. Given that a non-zero value 5 only corrects the energetic parameter in the PC-SAFT EoS, it is possible that the difference in 6 performance of the EoS for both systems is a result of the very different values for the other two 7 EoS parameters, m and sigma, calculated with both GC methods. Further, in depth, parametric 8 studies are needed to resolve this observed difference in performance of the GC methods with the 9 PC-SAFT EoS.



Figure 9. Performance of the PC-SAFT EoS for VLE predictions using the group contribution methods of Sauer et al. (S-GC) and Tihic et al. (T-GC). (a)  $k_{ij} = 0$  is used and (b) best-fit values for  $k_{ij}$  are used. In this case  $\Delta_{AAD}$  compares experimental and calculated BP/DP pressures.

	k	(ij
	S-GC	T-GC
HXD	0.134	0.119
HMN	0.125	0.130

1 Table 3. Best-fit  $k_{ij}$  values for HXD + N<sub>2</sub> and HMN + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures using parameters calculated 2 using either the group contribution method of Sauer et al. (S-GC) or Tihic et al. (T-GC).

3

5 Figures 10(a) and 10(b) show comparisons of experimental and calculated isotherms for 6  $HXD + N_2$  and  $HMN + N_2$  mixtures, respectively, using pure component parameters calculated 7 with the T-GC method. Figure 10(a) shows a good fit for the 323 to 423 K isotherms with only a modest fit of the 530 K isotherm. In fact, with  $k_{ij} = 0.119$  the predicted mixture-critical point at 8 9 523 K and ~115 MPa is not observed experimentally, as shown in Figure 4(a). Garcia-Sanchez and coworkers<sup>23</sup> also find it necessary to increase the value of  $k_{ij}$  to expand the two-phase region 10 11 and increase the mixture-critical pressure. Figure 10(b) shows the same calculated trends with the 12 HMN + N<sub>2</sub> system as seen for the HXD + N<sub>2</sub> system. For the HMN + N<sub>2</sub> system the p-x<sub>N<sub>2</sub></sub> isotherms 13 at 323 to 423 K are fit well with  $k_{ij} = 0.130$ ; however, the mixture-critical point is predicted to be 14 well in excess of 125 MPa whereas the data in Figure 3c suggest this point should be at slightly 15 less than 125 MPa. In contrast, the predictions for the 530 K isotherm are reasonably close to the 16 actual observed behavior. Although not shown here, the same calculated trends are seen for both 17 mixtures when using S-GC parameters.



Figure 10. Comparison of experimental (symbols) and PC-SAFT calculated (lines) isotherms with
pure component parameters calculated with the T-GC method for (a) HXD + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures
with *k*<sub>ij</sub> = 0.119, and (b) HMN + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures with *k*<sub>ij</sub> = 0.130. ○ - 323, □ - 373, △ - 423,
◇- 473, and ▽ - 530 K.

8 Figures 11(a) and 11(b) show predicted mixture critical curves for the HXD +  $N_2$  and HMN 9 + N<sub>2</sub> systems when using the best fit  $k_{ij}$  values with the S-GC and T-GC parameters, respectfully. In both instances the predicted mixture-critical curves exhibit type III behavior<sup>36</sup>, which is 10 11 representative of binary mixtures with molecular components that have very different molecular 12 sizes and energetics. Figure 11(a) shows the predicted mixture-critical curves for the HXD +  $N_2$ 13 and HMN + N<sub>2</sub> systems using the best-fit  $k_{ij}$  values and S-GC parameters. Two solid line representing pure component vapor pressure curves for HXD and HMN essentially superpose in 14 15 the *p*-*T* diagram. Predicted pure component critical points for HXD and HMN are ~710 and ~755 K, respectfully, which differ from the reported values of 722 K and 1.4 MPa for HXD<sup>37</sup> and 692 16 K and 1.57 MPa for HMN<sup>38</sup>. Additionally, predictions with the PC-SAFT when using the S-GC 17 18 parameters provide the incorrect trend predicting both greater mixture critical points for HMN +

1  $N_2$  and greater a pure component critical point for HMN than HXD +  $N_2$  and HXD, respectfully. 2 Figure 11(b) shows the predicted mixture-critical curves for the HXD +  $N_2$  and HMN +  $N_2$  systems 3 using the best fit  $k_{ii}$  values and T-GC parameters. In this instance the calculated critical points for 4 HMN and HXD are quite close to one another at ~734 K and 1.7 MPa which still differs from 5 reported values. However, predicted mixture critical points for  $HMN + N_2$  are lower than that of 6  $HXD + N_2$  at which is what is shown experimentally. The better representation of the PC-SAFT 7 EoS when using the T-GC parameters may due to the inclusion of SOG which are not included in 8 the S-GC database.



Figure 11. PC-SAFT calculated *p*-*T* diagram for HXD + N<sub>2</sub> and HMN + N<sub>2</sub> mixtures where (a) using pure component parameters calculated using GC method of (a) Sauer et al. and (b) Tihic et al. In both cases the calculated vapor pressure curves for HXD and HMN (solid lines) essentially superpose each ending at the predicted pure component critical point (open circle). The HMN + N<sub>2</sub> mixture-critical curve (red dashed line) the HXD + N<sub>2</sub> mixture-critical curve (black dashed line) are calculated with the best fit  $k_{ij}$  values.

17

#### 1 4.Conclusions

2 Mixture densities and bubble and dew point data are reported at temperatures to ~525 K and pressures up to ~125 MPa for C16 isomers, HXD or HMN, in N<sub>2</sub>. The reported mixture density 3 4 data are valuable to quantitatively assess the effect of molecular structure on HPHT fluid properties 5 and to add to the existing property database for these two isomers. The data are also of value since 6 these C16 isomers are often used as surrogates for diesel fuel, a complex multicomponent mixture. 7 The experimental data are modeled using the PC-SAFT EoS with Sauer's and Tihic's GC methods 8 to calculate pure component parameters that allow for density and phase behavior predictions. 9 Mixture densities are predicted almost equally well with parameters from either GC method with 10 the binary interaction coefficient set equal to zero. This result is not surprising since mixture 11 density data do not offer a very sensitive test for the performance of an EoS. However, very poor 12 predictions of the phase behavior of both HXD or HMN  $+ N_2$  mixtures are obtained, regardless of 13 which GC method is used, if the binary interaction parameter is set to zero. Although it is difficult 14 to get an accurate fit of all of the observed isotherms using a nonzero, positive value for  $k_{ij}$  with 15 either GC method for both mixtures, it is worth noting that the data span a 200 K range which suggests that  $k_{ij}$  may need to be a function of temperature. In addition, the model calculations 16 17 presented in this study clearly show the sensitivity of the results to the EoS pure component 18 parameters obtained with two different group contribution methods. For these C16-N<sub>2</sub> mixtures, 19 PC-SAFT calculations with T-GC parameters appear to provide more reasonable predictions of 20 the phase behavior extrapolated to very high temperatures as compared to calculations using S-GC 21 parameters. This result is directly related to the method used to generate GC parameters.

- 22
- 23

1 Acknowledgments

This project has received funding from the European Union Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation program, Grant Agreement No 675528. The authors thank Joseph Roos (Afton), Joseph Remias (Afton), Joshua Moore (Afton), Ashu Gupta (Afton), and Mark Devlin (Afton) for their helpful, technical insight with this study.

6

# 7 **References**

- Gupta, S.; Olson, J. D., Industrial Needs in Physical Properties. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2003,
   42, 6359-6374.
- 10 2. Hendriks, E.; Kontogeorgis, G. M.; Dohrn, R.; de Hemptinne, J.-C.; Economou, I. G.;
- Žilnik, L. F.; Vesovic, V., Industrial Requirements for Thermodynamics and Transport
   Properties. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2010, 49, 11131-11141.
- 13 3. Hamelinck, C. N.; Faaij, A. P. C.; den Uil, H.; Boerrigter, H., Production of FT
- 14 transportation fuels from biomass; technical options, process analysis and optimization,
- 15 and development potential. *Energy* **2004**, 29, 1743-1771.
- 16 4. Zwart, R. W. R.; Boerrigter, H., High efficiency co-production of synthetic natural gas
- 17 (SNG) and fisher-tropsch (FT) transportation fuels from biomass. *Energy Fuels* 2005, 19,
  18 591-597.
- Srivastava, S. P.; Hancsók, J., *Fuels and Fuel-Additives*. 1st ed.; John Wiley & Sons,
   Lease Habeleen, New Jacobie 2014, p. 264
- 20 Inc.: Hoboken, New Jersey, 2014; p 364.
- 21 6. Zahos-Siagos, I.; Karonis, D., Exhaust emissions and physiochemical properties of
- hydrotreated used cooking oils in blends with diesel fuel. Int J Chem Eng 2018, 2018, 1-
- 23

10.

1	7.	Brownstein, A. M., Renewable Motor Fuels. Butterworth-Heinemann: 2015; p 134.
2	8.	Deldari, H., Suitable catalysts for hydroisomerization of long-chain normal paraffins.
3		Appl Catal, A <b>2005,</b> 293, 1-10.
4	9.	García-Córdova, T.; Justo-Garcíam, D. N.; García-Flores, B. E.; García-Sánchez, F.,
5		Vapor-liquid equilbrium data for the nitrogen+ dodecane system at temperatures from
6		(344 to 593) K and at pressures up to 60 MPa. J Chem Eng Data 2011, 56, 1555-1564.
7	10.	García-Sánchez, F.; Eliosa-Jiménez, G.; Silva-Oliver, G.; García-Flores, B. E., Vapor-
8		liquid equilibrium data for the nitrogen $+ n$ -decane system from (344 to 563) K and at
9		pressures up to 50 MPa. J Chem Eng Data 2009, 54, 1560-1568.
10	11.	García-Sánchez, F.; Eliosa-Jiménez, G.; Silva-Oliver, G.; Godínez-Silva, A., High-
11		pressure (vapor + liquid) equilibria in the (nitrogen + n-heptane) system. J Chem
12		<i>Thermodynam</i> <b>2007,</b> 39, 893-905.
13	12.	Tong, J.; Gao, W.; Robinson, R. L.; Gasem, K. A. M., Solubilities of Nitrogen in Heavy
14		Normal Paraffins from 323 to 423 K at Pressures to 18.0 MPa. J Chem Eng Data
15		<b>1999,</b> 44, 784-787.
16	13.	Uribe-Vargas, V.; Trejo, A., Vapor-liquid equilibrium of nitrogen in an equimolar
17		hexane + decane mixture at temperatures of 258, 273, and 298 K and pressures to 20
18		MPa. <i>Fluid Phase Equilib</i> <b>2004,</b> 220, 137-145.
19	14.	Jianhua, T.; Satherley, J.; Schiffrin, D. J., Density and interfacial tension of nitrogen-
20		hydrocarbon systems at elevated pressures. Chin J Chem Eng 1993, 1, 223-231.
21	15.	Pereira, L. M. C.; Chapoy, A.; Burgass, R.; Tohidi, B., Measurement and modelling of
22		high pressure density and interfacial tension of $(gas + n-alkane)$ binary mixtures. J Chem
23		<i>Thermodyn</i> <b>2016,</b> 97, 55-69.

1	16.	Zolghadr, A.; Riazi, M.; Escrochi, M.; Ayatollahi, S., Investigating the effects of
2		temperature, pressure, and paraffin groups on the N2 miscibility in hydrocarbon liquids
3		using the interfacial tension measurement method. Ind Eng Chem Res 2013, 52, 9851-
4		9857.
5	17.	Mueller, C. J.; Cannella, W. J.; Bays, J. T.; Bruno, T. J.; DeFabio, K.; Dettman, H. D.;
6		Gieleciak, R. M.; Huber, M. L.; Kweon, CB.; McConnell, S. S.; Pitz, W. J.; Ratcliff, M.
7		A., Diesel surrogate fuels for engine testing and chemical-kinetic modeling:
8		Compositions and properties. Energy Fuels 2016, 30, 1445-1461.
9	18.	ASTM D613 - 18a, Standard test method for cetane number of diesel fuel oil. ASTM
10		International: West Conshohocken, PA, 2018.
11	19.	Sultanov, R. G.; Skripka, V. G.; Namiot, A. Y., Phase Equilibrium of Methane and
12		Nitrogen with High-Boiling Hydrocarbons. Gasov Delo 1972, 10, 43-46.
13	20.	Lin, HM.; Kim, H.; Chao, KC., Gas-liquid equilibria in nitrogen + <i>n</i> -hexadecane
14		mixtures at elevated temperatures and pressures. Fluid Phase Equilib 1981, 7, 181-185.
15	21.	Yang, P.; Guo, H.; Wang, Z.; Zhou, Q., Density and volumetric properties of binary
16		mixtures of CO <sub>2</sub> + hexadecane from (303.2 to 473.2) K and pressures up to 50 MPa. $J$
17		Chem Eng Data 2019, In Press.
18	22.	Gross, J.; Sadowski, G., Perturbed-Chain SAFT: An Equation of State Based on a
19		Perturbation Theory for Chain Molecules. Ind Eng Chem Res 2001, 40, 1244-1260.
20	23.	García-Sánchez, F.; Eliosa-Jiménez, G.; Silva-Oliver, G.; Vázquez-Román, R., Vapor-
21		liquid equilibria of nitrogen-hydrocarbon systems using the PC-SAFT equation of state.
22		Fluid Phase Equilib <b>2004,</b> 217, 241-253.

1	24.	Sauer, E.; Stavrou, M.; Gross, J., Comparison between a homo- and a heterosegmented
2		group contribution approach based on the perturbed-chain polar statistical associating
3		fluid theory equation of state. Ind Eng Chem Res 2014, 53, 14854-14864.
4	25.	Tihic, A.; Kontogeorgis, G. M.; von Solms, N.; Michelsen, M. L., A predictive group-
5		contribution simplified PC-SAFT equation of state: Application to polymer systems. Ind
6		Eng Chem Res 2008, 47, 5092-5101.
7	26.	Liu, J.; Kim, Y.; M <sup>c</sup> Hugh, M. A., Phase behavior of diisobutyl adipate-carbon dioxide
8		mixtures. Fluid Phase Equilib 2006, 248, 44-49.
9	27.	Liu, K.; Wu, Y.; M <sup>c</sup> Hugh, M. A.; Baled, H.; Enick, R. M.; Morreale, B. D., Equation of
10		state modeling of high-pressure, high-temperature hydrocarbon density data. J Supercrit
11		Fluids 2010, 55, 701-711.
12	28.	Mallepally, R. R.; Gadepalli, V. S.; Bamgbade, B. A.; Cain, N.; M <sup>c</sup> Hugh, M. A., Phase
13		behavior and densities of propylene + hexane binary mixtures to 585 K and 70 MPa. $J$
14		<i>Chem Eng Data</i> <b>2016,</b> 61, 2818-2827.
15	29.	Wu, Y.; Bamgbade, B. A.; Liu, K.; M <sup>c</sup> Hugh, M. A.; Baled, H.; Enick, R. M.; Burgess, W.
16		A.; Tapriyal, D.; Morreale, B. D., Experimental measurements and equation of state
17		modeling of liquid densities for long-Chain <i>n</i> -alkanes at pressures to 265 MPa and
18		temperatures to 523 K. Fluid Phase Equilib 2011, 311, 17-24.
19	30.	Lemmon, E. W.; Huber, M. L.; McLinden, M. O., REFPROP 9.1. 2013, 23.
20	31.	Lemmon, E. W.; Span, R., Short fundemental equations of state for 20 industrial fluids. J
21		<i>Chem Eng Data</i> <b>2006,</b> 51, 785-850.
22	32.	Prausnitz, J. M.; Lichtenthaler, R. N.; Gomes de Azevedo, E., Molecular
23		Thermodynamics of Fluid-Phase Equilibria. Prentence Hall: 1999; p 860.

1	33.	Simnick, J. J.; Lawson, C. C.; Lin, HM.; Chao, KC., Vapor-liquid equilibrium of
2		hydrogen/tetraIin system at elevated temperatures and pressures. AIChE J 1977, 23, 469-
3		476.
4	34.	Rowane, A. J.; Mallepally, R. R.; Gupta, A.; Gavaises, M.; M <sup>c</sup> Hugh, M. A., High-
5		temperatures, high-pressure viscosities and densities of <i>n</i> -hexadecane, 2,2,4,4,6,8,8-
6		heptamethylnonane, and squalane measured using a universal calibration for a rolling-
7		ball viscometer/densimeter. Ind Eng Chem Res 2019, 58, 4303-4316.
8	35.	Laursen, T. VLXE, V. 9.3. <u>www.vlxe.com</u>
9	36.	Scott, R. L.; van Konynenburg, P. H., Static properties of solutions. Van der Waals and
10		related models for hydrocarbon mixtures. Discuss Faraday Soc 1970, 49, 87.
11	37.	Ambrose, D.; Tsonopoulos, C., Vapor-liquid critical properties of elements and
12		componds. 2. Normal alkenes. J Chem Eng Data 1995, 40, 531-546.
13	38.	Ambrose, D.; Ghiassee, N. B., Vapor pressure and critical temperature and critical
14		pressure of 2,2,4,4,6,8,8-heptamethylnonane. J Chem Thermodyn 1988, 20, 1231-1232.
15		