



City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Alberto, L., Marshall, A. P., Walker, R., Palizas, F. & Aitken, L. M. (2019). Accuracy of a Qsofa Based Sepsis Screening Tool. *Australian Critical Care*, 32(Supp 1), S1. doi: 10.1016/j.aucc.2018.11.007

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/23161/>

Link to published version: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aucc.2018.11.007>

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online:

<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/>

publications@city.ac.uk

ACCURACY OF A qSOFA BASED SEPSIS SCREENING TOOL

Introduction: Early recognition of sepsis is vital to initiate timely treatment. The quick Sequential [Sepsis-related] Organ Failure Assessment (qSOFA) has been proposed to identify sepsis outside the critical care setting. However there is limited evidence regarding its accuracy in the acute medical-surgical context.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to test the diagnostic accuracy of a sepsis-screening tool that incorporated qSOFA (respiratory rate ≥ 22 , altered mentation and systolic blood pressure ≤ 90 mmHg) and the presence of confirmed/suspected infection in general hospitalised patients. **Methods:** An interrupted time series study was conducted in 2017 in a private hospital in Buenos Aires. Screening was positive where the qSOFA was ≥ 2 in patients with a confirmed or suspected infection. Screening tool performance was compared to the discharge diagnosis assessed by an experienced intensivist blinded to screening tool performance. Comorbidities were assessed with the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI). Descriptive statistics and accuracy tests were conducted with SPSS® Version 25. **Results:** 434 patients with a median (IQR) age 70(31) years were included. The majority were female (n=239; 55.0%); 272 (62.7%) were medical patients with a median (IQR) CCI 2(3). Patients stayed a median (IQR) of 4(3) days in hospital, 38 (8.8%) required intensive care for 1.4 (2 days); 12 (3%) died. The most common sources of confirmed/suspected infection were pulmonary (79, 18.2%), skin/soft tissue (44, 10.1%) and urinary (42, 9.7%). Eighty-two patients (18.9%) had a qSOFA ≥ 2 ; 58 (13.4%) were screened positive. Diagnosis at discharge were sepsis (15, 3.5%), infection (153, 35.3%) and other (260, 59.9%). Accuracy tests of the screening tool resulted in 60.0% sensitivity, 88.9% specificity, 16.4% positive and 98.4% negative predictive values. **Conclusion(s):** While the screening tool performed moderately well ruling-out non-septic patients, sensitivity was modest, with poor performance in predicting sepsis.