



City Research Online

City St George's, University of London

Citation: Castro-Sanchez, E., Black, C-A., Whitehouse, C., Hare, N., Tinkler, L., Maxton, F., Valentine, J. & Grieve, S. (2020). The NIHR 70@70 programme: transforming research. *British Journal of Nursing*, 29(4), pp. 240-241. doi: 10.12968/bjon.2020.29.4.240

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version. To cite this item please consult the publisher's version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/24349/>

Link to published version: <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2020.29.4.240>

Copyright and Reuse: Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, unless otherwise indicated, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. For full details of reuse please refer to [City Research Online policy](#).

NIHR 70-at-70 Senior Nurse and Midwife Research Leaders Programme: a transformational opportunity for nursing and midwifery-led and delivered research

Author details:

Enrique Castro-Sánchez (Imperial College London, London, UK; Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London, UK; enrique.castro-sanchez@nhr.ac.uk)

Carrie-Ann Black (South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; carrie-ann.black@nhr.ac.uk)

Claire Whitehouse (James Paget University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Norwich, UK; claire.whitehouse@nhr.ac.uk)

Naomi Hare (Guys and St Thomas NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; naomi.hare@gstt.nhs.uk)

Linda Tinkler (Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle, UK; linda.tinkler@nhr.ac.uk)

Fiona Maxton (North West Anglia NHS Foundation Trust, Peterborough, UK; fiona.maxton@nhr.ac.uk)

Janine Valentine (Yeovil District Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Yeovil, UK; janine.valentine@nhr.ac.uk)

Sharon Grieve (Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust, Bath, UK; University of the West of England, Bristol, UK; Dorothy House Hospice Care, Winsley, Bradford-on-Avon, UK; sharon.grieve@nhr.ac.uk)

On behalf of the 2019-2022 NIHR 70@70 Senior Nurse and Midwife Research Leaders

The Importance of nurse and midwifery-led and delivered research

Nurse and midwife-led and delivered research generates multiple benefits for patients, health care professionals, health and social care organisations, the NHS and the society at large. For example, research infuses evidence-based practice (EBP), offering unique and vital insights into care needs and service gaps, improving clinical and health outcomes (Boaz et al. 2015; Ozdemir et al. 2015; Hanney et al. 2013). Additionally, research increases the trust and satisfaction that patients have with the care received, and promotes perceptions of nursing and midwifery (N&M) as credible professions. As a result, research-focused organisations experience business and reputational benefits, including income generation, efficiency, and returns on staff investment such as increased recruitment and retention (NHS England/Improvement 2019), mirrored in external quality reviews which place research among core business activities. An attractive employer would foster feelings of value among staff, using research intelligence to continuously improve the institutional climate. Such desire to progress constantly has also shaped the N&M professions, with developments of novel clinical and research roles and figures.

The increasing volume of N&M-led and delivered research

These professional changes have been underpinned by an added focus on undergraduate and postgraduate research education, leading to an increased number of N&M-led research projects funded by national and international bodies or charities, with recognised impact at professional and societal level.

Despite this undoubted progress, there remains some gaps and unmet aspirations. For example, the NIHR ambitions that one percent of the existing N&M workforce is research active, in addition to the existing demand for practitioners to be aware of and contributors to research. However, current operational pressures, a persistent lack of research culture, competing clinical demands, understaffing, and limited confidence and competence in research hinder the progress towards the one percent goal mentioned.

The NIHR 70@70 research leader programme

The overarching aim of the NIHR is to improve the health and wealth of citizens in the UK through research. The Institute recognises the significant potential of senior nurses and midwives in achieving the vision of the NIHR, and has introduced the Programme to support and develop a cohort of outstanding leaders in research activity and research mobilisation to clinical practice, mitigating the factors slowing nursing and midwifery leadership in research. Such need to embrace yet ease the demands of integration of clinical practice and scholarship had been highlighted almost a decade before by the NIHR's Clinical Academic Training scheme in 2008, and the Finch report in 2012 (Finch 2012).

The 70@70 award enables each of the seventy nurses and midwives to dedicate two days a week over three years to contribute to the aims of NIHR through fostering a culture of innovation, further the implementation of research activity within their trust and inform research priorities both locally and nationally. The 70@70 leaders engage not only with the wide NHS healthcare economy, but also work with local and national higher education institutions to facilitate change and encourage different perspectives on research nursing and midwifery needs and impact. The hosting organisations, in turn, offer a clear commitment to support the growth and development of the 70@70 leaders.

Many healthcare systems worldwide recognise the importance of supporting and promoting N&M-led research, including clinical academic roles (Bender et al. 2019) as well as research leader/mentor initiatives. However, the scale of the 70@70 innovation programme, the funds and support committed, its wide remit and scope, and the variety of backgrounds and expertise of the leaders appointed has not been matched elsewhere. Further, whilst in many settings some clinical practitioners are required to hold doctoral degrees or be actively leading research (i.e. consultant nurses or nurse practitioners in the US, Australia, Canada, etc), the emphasis of the 70@70 programme is not on leaders themselves to conduct research

projects, but supporting and enhancing existing research capacity and scaling current research structures, serving as research ambassadors and steering the NIHR.

Professionally, the collective leadership demonstrated by the participants in the 70@70 programme is well aligned with the vision of the Chief Nursing Officer of England, which emphasises themes of workforce development for the future, renewing the professional reputation of nurses and finally, speaking with “one collective voice”. The emerging collaborative projects carried out on each regional hub will enable different communities of practice and facilitate learning and scale up of successful interventions and actions.

As a nascent initiative with such wide scope and variety of settings and participants, the metrics of success and impact are flexible and remain in development, but the remit of the programme require that actions at local, regional and national level ensure structural changes that support the sustainability of interventions and capacity building. And although the programme is funded by the NIHR, and therefore focused on the English health and research ecosystem, there is clearly an appetite for mobilising any knowledge gained to international nursing and midwifery research audiences, taking advantage of the multiple collaborations already fostered by the 70@70 leaders.

In summary, the 70@70 programme is a unique and unmatched initiative increasing nursing and midwifery research leadership and delivery, and with the potential to transform the landscape of careers, roles and benefits for patients, clinical and research organisations, the professions and citizens.

REFERENCES

Bender M, Baker P, Harris JL, Hites L, LaPointe RJ, Murphy EA, Roussel L, Spiva L, Stanley JM, Thomas PL, Williams M. 2019. Advancing the clinical nurse leader model through academic-practice-policy partnership. *Nurs Outlook*.67(4):345-353.

Boaz A, Hanney S, Jones T, Soper B. 2015. Does the engagement of clinicians and organisations in research improve healthcare performance: a three-stage review. *BMJ Open*. 5(12):e009415. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-009415.

Finch J. 2012. Report of the Working Group on Expanding Access to Published Research Findings [Internet]. [cited 2019 Dec 1]. Available from <http://www.researchinfonet.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Finch-Group-report-executive-summary-FINAL-VERSION.pdf>

Hanney SR, González-Block MA. 2013. Organising health research systems as a key to improving health: the World Health Report 2013 and how to make further progress. *Health Res Policy Syst*.11:47. doi: 10.1186/1478-4505-11-47.

NHS England/Improvement. 2019. Interim NHS People Plan [Internet]. [cited 2019 Dec 2nd]. Available from https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/Interim-NHS-People-Plan_June2019.pdf

Ozdemir BA, Karthikesalingam A, Sinha S, Poloniecki JD, Hinchliffe RJ, Thompson MM, Gower JD, Boaz A, Holt PJ. 2015. Research activity and the association with mortality. *PLoS One*.10(2):e0118253. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0118253.

Acknowledgments

ECS is affiliated with the National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) [grant number HPRU-2012-10047] in Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance at Imperial College London in partnership with Public Health England (PHE), and acknowledges the support of the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, the Department of Health or Public Health England. All authors are NIHR Senior Nurse and Midwife Research Leaders, and gratefully acknowledge the support provided by the regional NIHR 70@70 Hub leaders.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.