



City Research Online

City St George's, University of London

Citation: Rose, M. L., Ali, M., Elders, A., Godwin, J., Sandri, A. K., Williams, L. J., Williams, L. R., VandenBerg, K., Abel, S., Abo, M., et al (2018). Tidier descriptions of speech and language therapy interventions for people with aphasia; consensus from the release collaboration. *Aphasiology*, 32(Supp 1), pp. 183-186. doi: 10.1080/02687038.2018.1487021

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version. To cite this item please consult the publisher's version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/25832/>

Link to published version: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02687038.2018.1487021>

Copyright and Reuse: Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, unless otherwise indicated, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. For full details of reuse please refer to [City Research Online policy](#).

TIDieR descriptions of speech and language therapy interventions for people with aphasia; consensus from the RELEASE Collaboration.

Miranda L Rose, Myzoon Ali, Andrew Elders, Jon Godwin, Anastasia Karachalia Sandri, Linda J Williams, Louise R Williams, Kathryn VandenBerg, Stefanie Abel, Masahiro Abo, Frank Becker, Audrey Bowen, Caitlin Brandenburg, Caterina Breitenstein, David Copland, Tamara Cranfill, Marie Di Pietro-Bachmann, Pam Enderby, Joanne Fillingham, Federica Galli, Marialuisa Gandolfi, Bertrand Glize, Erin Godecke, Katerina Hilari, Jacqueline Hinckley, Simon Horton, David Howard, Petra Jaecks, Beth Jefferies, Luis Jesus, Maria Kambanaros, Eman Khedr, Anthony PH Kong, Tarja Kukkonen, Eun Kyoung Kang, Matthew Lambon Ralph, Marina Laganaro, Ann-Charlotte Laska, Béatrice Leeman, Alexander Leff, Antje Lorenz, Brian MacWhinney, Flavia Mattioli, İlknur Maviş, Marcus Meinzer, Enrique Noé Sebastián, Reza Nilipour, Robyn O'Halloran, Nam-Jong Paik, Rebecca Palmer, Ilias Papathanasiou, Brigida Patricio, Isabel Pavão Martins, John Pierce, Cathy Price, Tatjana Prizl Jakovac, Elizabeth Rochon, Charlotte Rosso, Roxele Lima Ribeiro, Ilona Rubi-Fessen, Marina Ruitter, Rebecca Shisler Marshall, Steve Small, Claerwen Snell, Benjamin Stahl, Jerzy P Szaflarski, Shirley Thomas, Leanne Togher, Ineke Van Der Meulen, Mieke Van De Sandt-Koenderman, Evy Visch-Brink, Linda Worrall, Heather Harris Wright & Marian C Brady

To cite this article: Miranda L Rose, Myzoon Ali, Andrew Elders, Jon Godwin, Anastasia Karachalia Sandri, Linda J Williams, Louise R Williams, Kathryn VandenBerg, Stefanie Abel, Masahiro Abo, Frank Becker, Audrey Bowen, Caitlin Brandenburg, Caterina Breitenstein, David Copland, Tamara Cranfill, Marie Di Pietro-Bachmann, Pam Enderby, Joanne Fillingham, Federica Galli, Marialuisa Gandolfi, Bertrand Glize, Erin Godecke, Katerina Hilari, Jacqueline Hinckley, Simon Horton, David Howard, Petra Jaecks, Beth Jefferies, Luis Jesus, Maria Kambanaros, Eman Khedr, Anthony PH Kong, Tarja Kukkonen, Eun Kyoung Kang, Matthew Lambon Ralph, Marina Laganaro, Ann-Charlotte Laska, Béatrice Leeman, Alexander Leff, Antje Lorenz, Brian MacWhinney, Flavia Mattioli, İlknur Maviş, Marcus Meinzer, Enrique Noé Sebastián, Reza Nilipour, Robyn O'Halloran, Nam-Jong Paik, Rebecca Palmer, Ilias Papathanasiou, Brigida Patricio, Isabel Pavão Martins, John Pierce, Cathy Price, Tatjana Prizl Jakovac, Elizabeth Rochon, Charlotte Rosso, Roxele Lima Ribeiro, Ilona Rubi-Fessen, Marina Ruitter, Rebecca Shisler Marshall, Steve Small, Claerwen Snell, Benjamin Stahl, Jerzy P Szaflarski, Shirley Thomas, Leanne Togher, Ineke Van Der Meulen, Mieke Van De Sandt-Koenderman, Evy Visch-Brink, Linda Worrall, Heather Harris Wright & Marian C Brady (2018) Tidier descriptions of speech and language therapy interventions for people with aphasia; consensus from the RELEASE Collaboration, *Aphasiology*, 32:sup1, 183-186

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02687038.2018.1487021>

Abstract

Background:

Speech and language therapy (SLT) interventions for people with aphasia are complex. Interventions vary by delivery model (face-to-face, tele-rehabilitation), dynamic (group, 1-to-1), and provider. Therapists tailor the functional relevance and intervention difficulty to the individual's needs. Therapy regimes are planned at a specific intensity (hours per week), frequency (number of weekly sessions), duration (time from start to end of therapy intervention) and dose (total number of

therapy hours). Detailed and transparent description of interventions for people with aphasia facilitates replication in clinic, between-study comparisons and data-syntheses. Incomplete intervention reporting and inconsistencies in the use of terminology have been observed (RELEASE: REhabilitation and recovery of peopLE with Aphasia after StrokE; Brady 2016; Pierce in prep.). Even when similar terms are used there may be little agreement on their use (Pierce in prep.).

Our RELEASE Collaboration includes 72 multidisciplinary, multilingual aphasia researchers from 28 countries. In preparation for planned meta-analyses (HS&DR 14/04/22) we sought to extract and synthesise information on SLT interventions for aphasia. Description of interventions in research reports have benefited from the Template for Intervention Description and Replication (TIDieR; Hoffmann et al 2014). The TIDieR checklist supports transparent reporting, data extraction and synthesis in aphasia research and has facilitated an exploration of the contribution specific parameters (for example intensity) may make to the effectiveness of (or tolerance to) an intervention (Brady et al 2016). However, while the variables described above are readily summarised, other aspects of therapeutic interventions such as the theoretical approach, the materials used and the procedures employed (the “Why” and “What” within TIDieR) are more challenging to summarise in manner supporting data synthesis and meta-analyses. The World Health Organisation’s International Classification of Health Interventions (ICHI) also seeks a framework which supports the synthesis and statistical analysis of healthcare interventions based on (a) the treatment target, (b) the intended action to the target and (c) the processes and methods required to carry out the action (ICHI 2018). However a framework which complements these initiatives and which supports greater consistency in the description of speech and language therapy interventions for aphasia is required.

Aim:

We sought to develop international consensus on a framework to support the description of SLT interventions for people with aphasia.

Methods:

Two researchers independently extracted information about the SLT interventions in our RELEASE database (Hoffman et al 2014). Information on therapy approaches, materials and procedures were extracted, where possible, as direct quotes from published reports. Using the narrative descriptions, similar approaches were grouped and assigned to one or more category labels by an experienced speech and language therapist. These preliminary groupings were shared with RELEASE Collaborators for review. Each reviewed interventions included within up to 4 category labels and responses via email were requested. There followed an opportunity for group discussion on the proposed categorisation via videoconference.

Results:

Therapy interventions were categorised based on three perspectives (a) the role of the intervention within the study design *e.g. usual care as a comparison control* (b) the intervention target *e.g. rehabilitation of spoken language production* and (c) the theoretical approach *e.g. semantic therapy*. We identified 15 SLT approaches. Categories were not mutually exclusive; rather they represented

different ways of categorising a complex intervention. Poor reporting of therapy interventions, procedures and materials hampered some classifications. The Collaboration facilitated knowledge sharing relating to emerging treatment category definitions such as *multimodal treatment* (Pierce et al in prep.) which reflected interventions aimed to utilise a range of learning mechanisms and neural networks to facilitate language recovery.

Conclusion

Our collaboration agreed a framework which supports better description, data synthesis and meta-analyses of SLT interventions for people with aphasia after stroke.

References

1. Hoffmann, T. C. *et al.* Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. *BMJ* 348, g1687 (2014).
2. Brady, Marian C, Kelly, Helen, Jon, Godwin, Enderby, P. Speech and language therapy for aphasia following stroke (Review). *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 1–397 (2016). doi:DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000425.pub4.
3. International Classification of Health Interventions (ICHI) last accessed 23/04/18
<https://mitel.dimi.uniud.it/ichi/>
4. Pierce, J., O'Halloran, R., Togher, L. & Rose, M. *What is meant by 'multimodal therapy' for aphasia?*
5. *RELEASE Collaboraiton methods paper In prep*