

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Robinson, T. & Veresiu, E. (2021). Advertising in a Context Harm Crisis. Journal of Advertising, 50(3), pp. 221-229. doi: 10.1080/00913367.2021.1925604

This is the published version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/25879/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2021.1925604

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online:

http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/

publications@city.ac.uk



Journal of Advertising



ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ujoa20

Advertising in a Context Harm Crisis

Thomas Derek Robinson & Ela Veresiu

To cite this article: Thomas Derek Robinson & Ela Veresiu (2021): Advertising in a Context Harm Crisis, Journal of Advertising, DOI: <u>10.1080/00913367.2021.1925604</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2021.1925604









Advertising in a Context Harm Crisis

Thomas Derek Robinson^a and Ela Veresiu^b

^aBayes Business School, City University London, London, United Kingdom; ^bSchulich School of Business, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

ARSTRACT

Context harm crises concern the challenges of advertising morally sound products in a context that is failing, as during COVID-19. Following Koselleck, we argue that crises interrupt the trajectory of existing social processes, thereby preventing consumers' expected future outcomes. We propose a three-step future framing advertising strategy in response: (1) mourning a future that was lost to facilitate emotional adaptation; (2) reconstructing a new future to facilitate rational action under conditions of ambivalence; and (3) establishing mythologies for future-oriented identity work to facilitate the existential demands of crises. We then discuss health messaging from the perspective of future framing.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent lockdown is a global (OECD 2020a), national (OECD 2020b), local (Davenport et al. 2020), and consumer crisis (Andersen et al. 2020). This poses a profound challenge to traditional advertising strategies, which build on underlying assumptions about generalized social and institutional stability, as well as economic growth (Holm 2016). Previous work on crisis in advertising has addressed product harm crises or how to manage public relations (PR) when a specific product, brand, or firm fails and causes harm in an otherwise well-functioning context (Coombs and Holladay 2001; Dutta and Pullig 2011; Gorn, Jiang, and Johar 2008; Xie and Keh 2016). However, less is known about advertising sound products and services from morally viable brands and companies in a wider context that is failing, as in the case of COVID-19. We term this novel form of crisis external to the firm a context harm crisis.

In this conceptual paper, we draw on the work of Reinhardt Koselleck (2000, 2002, 2004) to provide a substantive theorization of context harm crisis management through advertising. Koselleck and Richter (2006) provide three hallmark features that crises share: (1) a crisis disrupts or brings to cessation the trajectory of an existing process, thereby preventing

an expected future outcome. We argue that this causes consumers the emotional harm of knowing there was a better future that could have been but did not happen. (2) A crisis creates a multitude of potential, other future outcomes that can be both good and bad. This generates the harm of unexpected cognitive labor consumers face in rationally planning for the future in the new context. (3) A crisis calls for decisive individual human intervention, which we theorize harms the viability of existing consumer identities, thereby necessitating new roles for consumers.

We term the advertising response of engaging these emotional, rational, and existential consumer ills caused by a context harm crisis "future framing." Ultimately, the purpose of adverting in a context harm crisis is to restore consumer hope by providing interpretative resources about an imagined future in which goals are emotionally, rationally, and existentially congruent and possible (MacInnis, de Mello, and Patrick 2004). In addition to unpacking a novel form of crisis and conceptualizing new advertising strategies to address it, we reorganize several streams of literature, thereby identifying new conceptual relations in the marketing and advertising oeuvre. MacInnis (2011) identifies these elements as key features of conceptual contributions.

CONTACT Thomas Derek Robinson 🔯 Thomas.Robinson@city.ac.uk 🗈 Bayes Business School, City University London, 106 Bunhill Row, London, EC1Y 8TZ UK

Supplemental data for this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2021.1925604.

Our aim in this paper is to explore the implication of our concepts, context harm crisis and future framing advertising, for health messaging. Health messaging has received substantial attention in advertising research. For example, Sukran et al. (2003) explore communication of sensible alcohol behaviors, Peracchio and Luna (1998) address smoking prevention among youths, Dahl, Frankenberger, and Manchandra (2003) study advertising campaigns for condoms to prevent sexually transmitted diseases, while Sundar, Kardes, and Wright (2015) explore messaging about body slimming creams and dietary supplements. Last, Kees and Andrews (2019) study the intersection of policy and advertising regarding consumer education campaigns about cannabis. This research unanimously stresses the efficacy of frequent repetition of a single message (Sundar, Kardes, and Wright 2015) and addresses the subjective, future-oriented emotions of pride and guilt (Coleman, Royne, and Pounders 2020). We aim to show that context harm crises require not only bespoke advertising efforts for various communities and societies but also an evolving, multistage approach not recognized in prior advertising literature on health messaging.

In the following, we begin with a critical discussion of extant literature on crisis in marketing and advertising research. Drawing on Koselleck's work, we then unpack our concepts of context harm crisis and future framing advertising in relation to COVID-19. In conclusion, we discuss the role of future framing advertising in addressing the persuasion context of desirable health behaviors (Sundar, Kardes, and Wright 2015) and health advertising.

Crisis in Marketing and Advertising

Crisis has received substantial interest in marketing, advertising, and consumer behavior literature. At the macro level, studies have addressed environmental (Humphreys and Thompson 2014), energy (Press and Arnould 2009), technology (Hoffman and Novak 2018), food (Gollnhofer, Weijo, and Schouten 2019), economic (Coskuner-Balli 2020), poverty (Giesler and Veresiu 2014), and migrant (Luedicke 2015) crises. At the institutional level, crisis has been addressed as a managerial issue (Siomkos and Kurzbard 1994), pertaining to corporate social responsibility (Klein and Dawar 2004), relating to marketing efficiency (Van Heerde, Helsen, and Dekimpe 2007), dependent on consumer transitory assessments (Vassilikopoulou et al. 2009), and inherently related to attribution of blame (Gao et al. 2012). At the micro level, consumer

researchers have discussed personal (Thompson, Henry, and Bardhi 2018), existential (Shankar, Elliott, and Fitchett 2009), emotional (Loveland, Smeesters, and Mandel 2010), masculinity (Holt and Thompson 2004), and moral (Izberk-Bilgin 2012) consumer crises. However, none of these studies provide any substantive definition of crisis.

Dutton (1986, 502) succinctly explains this conceptual vagueness, stressing that "[i]n more cases than not, its meaning [crisis] has not been specified. ... Instead researchers assume that a popular understanding of the construct is sufficient." This theoretical sentiment echoes across influential contributions in advertising research. In an early commentary, Fox and Geissler (1994) simply describe crisis as a strong word, capturing situations that cause alarm without specifying what it is about the situation that spurs negative emotions. This builds on Stewart's (1992) overview paper defining crisis as a state or condition that someone or something is in at a specific time, without further exposition about what that condition could be.

Advertising has typically focused on the managerial issue of product harm crises. Addressing negligence about a particular product that has negative repercussions for customers, Gorn, Jiang, and Johar (2008) define crisis as a situation that requires the ascription of guilt, making conditions that promote perceptions of innocence a relevant component of PR. Xie and Keh (2016) further define crisis as a situation that requires the identification of a culpable and blameworthy party. Advertising therefore focuses on symbolic and communication responses to manage issues of moral or use failure when products fail in an otherwise well-functioning context (Coombs and Holladay 2001) or reputation management post-product harm crisis (Dutta and Pullig 2011). However, these approaches do not address wider contextual crises, where no product or firm failure is involved. Beck (1992, 38) terms contextual crisis a "generalized social endangering," where the overall setting of human life is brought into question. The COVID-19 crisis captures this wider breakdown in social predictability and performance, which inaugurates an epoch of crisis (Rodgers 2011).

Conventional wisdom suggests that advertisers could deploy nostalgia—a selective recall of the past through rose-colored glasses to escape the pressures of an unpleasant present and future (Veresiu, Robinson, and Rosario 2022)—in a context harm crisis. Studies have shown how nostalgia is triggered in the marketplace by critical events regarding environmental

catastrophes, technological discontinuities, economic fluctuations, and geopolitical shocks (Brown, Kozinets, and Sherry 2003; Hamilton et al. 2014). Trying times spur consumers' desire to revisit the safety and comfort of a personally lived or imagined more peaceful past (Jacobsen 2020).

However, we argue that the escapism of nostalgic advertising strategies is unhelpful when confronted with consumers' pressing need for decisive, future-oriented action under broad crisis conditions. Phipps and Ozanne (2017) show that consumers, under oneoff crises such as a power outage, work to recreate a sense of predictability to return to tacit habits. Robinson and Arnould (2020) further demonstrate that ongoing uncertainty about the future pushes consumers into sustained action, making iterative and adaptive planning toward the future a permanent component of their lives.

Outside of marketing, Reinhard Koselleck (2000, 2002, 2004) provides a substantive theorization of crisis, which can be applied in advertising. A crisis describes a sharp and sudden disruption to an established process (Koselleck and Richter 2006). According to Koselleck (2004), crisis gives rise to a particular experience of time indicating the end of an epoch that, in turn, creates a sense of impermanence and ambivalence about the future. Crisis establishes longer or shorter transitions toward a range of possible future outcomes that could be better or worse (Koselleck and Richter 2006). Yet, the concept of crisis is also associated with a responsibilization of individuals to take action and secure one outcome among many possibilities. Crises therefore create a moral duty to intervene and take control of the new impermanence and ambivalence in order to establish new processes that lead to the best possible future outcomes. Unlike a product harm crisis, the onus for action in a context harm crisis is therefore on the consumer and not the firm or brand. We propose that these features together capture a context harm crisis, which guide new advertising strategies.

How COVID-19 Destroyed Our Future

COVID-19 is a context harm crisis as it not only captures the end of an epoch by terminating sustained, decade-long growth in stock markets (Mitchell 2020), oil markets (Tobben 2020), and consumer markets (Tobben 2020) but also lurches the world in general toward an unpredictable future (Sibony 2020). This crisis has revealed, amplified, and accelerated social, institutional, and financial weaknesses that have been simmering for decades (Acharya 2018). This makes

2020 a "hinge in history" (Summers 2020, 1) or a crisis event that unleashed a period of profound and lasting structural uncertainty about the future, which requires adaptive responses.

As a concrete illustration, social distancing efforts in higher education spoil expected life transitions for young adults, since they may no longer have the traditional university experience or even leave their parents' home (LeFevre 2020). Some commentators describe this experience as "preparing for the unknown" (Tidman 2020, 1), while others stress that for people in general "2020 hasn't been the year that you expected" (Fitzmaurice 2020, 1). Constantly shifting regulations have also changed travel, disrupting tourism, anticipated reunions with family, and business travels (Becker 2020).

On a more pessimistic note, some commentators find that the future of globalization and world-spanning supply chains has been permanently impacted, thereby changing the way consumers receive and use goods. PricewaterhouseCoopers (2020) predicts a radical shortening of supply chains, while Altman (2020) anticipates a permanent souring of international trade due to COVID-19. Changes to income and working from home have impacted housing markets (Lussenhop 2020). Some consumers face a bleak outlook of potential eviction or forced relocation to cheaper housing or even homelessness, while others constantly reimagine their future domestic setup through home offices.

Disrupted patterns of consumption and the subsequent stalling of in-person retail forces consumers to reimagine the future role of the high street in their daily lives (Goldberg 2020). Eventing and entertainment have similarly been profoundly affected (Rhys 2020). In the United Kingdom, annual staples such as the pantomime have been canceled, making long held national Christmas traditions impossible to uphold, while Halloween is all trick and no treat due to social distancing demands in the United States (Maynard 2020). In sum, the COVID-19 pandemic has suddenly ruined consumers' previously anticipated future, replacing it with a multitude of potential outcomes and highly responsibilized environments (Aboelenien, Arsel, and Cho 2020; Giesler and Veresiu 2014) that require decisive and immediate preemptive action on behalf of the individual consumer.

Future Framing Advertising Strategies

In the following, we propose three advertising responses to a context harm crisis. These responses assist consumers in reframing the future in order to demonstrate the sustained relevance of the offered



Method: Address the hierarchy of effects for grieving (denial, anger, bargaining, depression and acceptance)

Advertising Strategy: Create consensus about futures that will (Rational planning happen

Method: 1) Imagine a specific shared future world; 2) decribe a route into such a desirable future

Crisis results in creating new roles and identities to manage the future (Existential cost)

Advertising Strategy: Create consensus about what we are to each other in the future

Method: Establish mythologies for adaptive consumer identity work (identity transition and collective identity work)

Figure 1. Advertising strategies in a context harm crisis.

product in the new emotional, rational, and existential context of the crisis (see Figure 1). Koselleck and Richter (2006) argue that crises push individuals to attend first to emotional, then rational, and finally existential considerations about their future. We propose that advertisers can respond to each stage of a crisis with a set of carefully calibrated negotiations about the future. Ultimately, the purpose of advertising in a context harm crisis is to restore consumer hope by reframing a consensus about an imagined future in which goals are emotionally, rationally, and existentially congruent and possible (MacInnis, de Mello, and Patrick 2004), which we term future framing advertising.

Mourning a Lost Future

As it disrupts progression into a previously expected future, a context harm crisis pushes consumers to experience a sense of emotional loss about what could have been, but did not happen. Gell (1992, 206) terms this a "temporal opportunity cost" and specifies that this is the cost of knowing there was a lost future that could have allowed for a better expenditure of time than the one that is actually occurring. In a study of how forgone alternatives influence consumer behavior, Tsiros and Mittal (2000) find that counterfactuals, or mental simulations of what might have been when choosing a product, are a cause of regret for consumers. Krishnamurthy and Sivaraman (2002) show that the displeasure of counterfactual reasoning stems from mentally undoing the pleasure one may actually

experience in choosing something over another. Describing the lost opportunity costs inherent to counterfactual reasoning as the dark side of variety, Morewedge, Zhu, and Buechel (2019) point out that many alternatives to one's experiences, however, are not simply foregone, since another person may experience them. However, this is not the case in a context harm crisis. Koselleck (2002) argues that a crisis establishes systemic opportunity costs for every person and hence every product. It is the whole context which, as a value proposition, is framed by counterfactual inferences (Albrecht and Danneberg 2011) or consumers' thought experiments about what could have been. It is the future we could have shared together that is lost.

We propose that context harm crisis management through advertising involves addressing an initial mourning period for the future that did not happen. Current studies indicate that consumers go through five stages of grief (Berinato 2020; Weiss 2020). Since lost opportunity costs crowd out meaningful engagement with a product's value proposition, advertising must bring the consumer to an emotional state, whereby the value proposition is not wholly or substantially negated by counterfactuals. By progressing the consumer through the stages of denial, anger, bargaining, depression, and acceptance (Kübler-Ross and Kessler 2014) through advertising, we propose that advertisers can lead their target consumer segments through a hierarchy of mourning effects (Barry and Howard 1990) at the outset of a context harm crisis. The Hyundai (2020) "#ThisIsUs Humanity Prevails" advertisement engages the hierarchy of mourning effects by progressing the viewer through photos and videos of first angry then accepting individuals during the initial lockdown. The ad does not feature one image of a Hyundai automobile, instead addressing the context harm crisis directly with the emotional sentiment echoed in a powerful sentence superimposed on the imagery: "times like these make us grow, they make us progress for humanity" (see the supplemental online appendix for additional examples).

Managing the Futures That Could Be

As a context harm crisis creates a spectrum of unforeseeable eventualities (Koselleck and Richter 2006), consumers come to experience the future as ambiguous, radically open, and subject to choice. Existing research on dietary requirements has shown, however, that information overload and ambiguity also spur consumer apathy (Cornish and Moraes 2015). Studies in political marketing illustrate that apathy is less the outcome of individual, moral failures and more rational adaptions to systemic issues of undecidability (Mitchell 1990). Consumer research on the wedding market, for example, has demonstrated that ambivalence can drive resignation and even defiance (Otnes, Lowrey, and Shrum 1997). Ricoeur (2016) stresses that there can be no individual action without imagining a meaningful future, insofar as the individual must use imagination to set up a schematization of the network of ends and means. It is in the anticipatory imagining of action outcomes that consumers try out different possible courses of action and play with practical possibilities of a product. Context harm crisis-driven ambiguity about the future therefore subverts the consumer's ability to assess the relevance of a product's end benefits and the quality of a product's performance (Meyvis and Janiszewski 2002).

We propose that context harm crisis management through advertising involves assisting consumers in establishing consensus about what constitutes a meaningful future to act toward. This has two components: (1) providing resources for imagining a specific future world within which the use of a product will be enjoyed and (2) addressing the added cognitive labor consumers face in planning an action route into such a desirable future. While traditional advertising often focuses on time metaphors, for example, "explore a new dimension of time," "built to withstand the test of time," or "we build the future" to convey product benefits (Spears 2003, 33), context harm crisis requires more specific exposition about what such a world will be and how to reach it. Similarly, since consumers must now spend substantial effort gathering information and establishing milestones in order to connect the present with an envisioned future through action, advertising must connect explicitly with planning behaviors (Townsend and Liu 2012).

Carlsberg's (2020) "Welcome Back to the Pub" commercial captures the twofold nature of imaginary and rational planning toward the future. Spoofing Steve McQueen's prisoner of war film, The Great Escape, the characters plan their way to the pub upon easing of lockdown restrictions, illustrating social distancing regulations along the way. The ad shows what life at the pub will be like in the future with outdoor seating only. This advertisement does the thinking about the future for consumers by providing an action template to reduce cognitive planning costs associated with ambiguity, which is not available to hedonically oriented commercials that stress taste (see the supplemental online appendix for additional examples).

Modeling New Future Consumer Selves

Sudden disruptions to conceptions of the future bring into existential question consumers' identities. This is because crisis creates a lag, whereby consumers must manage new roles with old identities. Schau, Gilly, and Wolfinbarger (2009) show that consumers radically renegotiate who they are and establish emergent identities when afflicted by life-disrupting events, such as retirement. Savary and Dhar (2020) demonstrate that self-concept clarity affects choices, whereby consumers can be very averse to changes that have identity implications. Türe and Ger (2016) illustrate that consumers engage in identity work to make sense of changes over time using material objects to weave a coherent narrative between the past and the future. The authors argue that disruptions to identity narratives are unpleasant and therefore invigorate identity work.

We propose that context harm crisis management through advertising can provide resources to assist consumers in the often-unpleasant process of reconstructing a meaningful identity or at least come to terms with identity change. Specifically, advertising can establish mythologies for identity work (Luedicke, Thompson, and Giesler 2010). Such advertising efforts can address coping with tensions between old and new identities. Advertising can also provide and support novel discourses and practices to establish identity markers relevant to emergent roles in the post-crisis environment. Advertising can address the fatigue that appears from failure to routinely

disengage from ongoing and pressing identity work. Finally, advertising can address how context harm crises force change upon collective identities (Epp and Price 2008). The Bell Canada (2020) "Call From Anywhere" advertisement, for example, addresses the renegotiation of individual and family identities during the lockdown by destignatizing the confluence of multiple demands. Through sympathy for the issues of working from home and self-online presentation experienced by the main character, the viewer is brought to reflect on one's own identity in the age of home offices and schools with multiple family members living under one roof (see the supplemental online appendix for additional examples).

Implications for Health Advertising

By introducing the concept of context harm crisis, we propose new advertising strategies for more severe and widespread health situations, such as the COVID-19 pandemic. These crises cause not only personal risk but also a generalized risk by endangering the whole social setting through a profound disruption of shared expectations about the future. Our first opposition to traditional, single message health advertising (Sundar, Kardes, and Wright 2015) is that health messaging strategies appear in the three distinct and sequential consumer responses to the context harm crisis (see Figure 1). For example, it is difficult for consumers to engage with rational behaviors concerning the future benefits of mask wearing prior to emotional acceptance of the pandemic as an actual health threat. Advertisers must therefore carefully plan out the three stages of messaging that cater to changing challenges in the market as the context harm crisis evolves.

Similarly, because COVID-19 has a destabilizing effect on consumers' sensemaking about the future by introducing ambiguity about outcomes, the context harm crisis disrupts consumers' rational and instrumental assessment of what could become a source of pride or guilt (Coleman, Royne, and Pounders 2020). This is clearly illustrated in the highly bifurcated consumer responses to masks in the United States. Mask wearing has become a contentious, political issue rather than a health one, where some consumers accept the practice as integral to social responsibility and enabling freedom (Corporation for Public Broadcasting 2020), while others see it as a cause for concern about social repression of individual liberties (Howard Stern Show 2020). This example goes to the heart of health messaging in a context harm crisis:

repetitive reminders to wear a mask will not engage with the inherent ambivalence about the meaning of the product in light of a fractured future that has been established due to a derailed engagement with the acute emotional phase of the context harm crisis.

Ultimately, the purpose of health messaging in a context harm crisis is less about this or that product, but about swiftly establishing consensus around what will and will not happen in the future so as to drive coordinated action in society as a whole (Bell Canada 2020). In the face of a viral pandemic, heterogeneous response levels in a social setting contribute to a calamitous "whack-a-mole" (Hogarth 2020, 1) effect that aggravates and lengthens the context harm crisis and allows for rival meanings about the future to propagate.

Consequently, we see the aim of our future framing advertising strategy comprising emotional, rational, and existential issues as pursuing three kinds of consensus: (1) consensus about what will not happen; (2) consensus about what will happen; and finally, (3) consensus about what we are to each other. As consumers change through the transformative potential brought about by the crisis, a society must reconstitute. Concerted efforts across all markets could therefore frame the persuasion context in beneficial ways for health messaging. The UK supermarket coordination of advertising in the wake of the Black Lives Matter protests provides important insights into such wider advertising collaborations with the aim of establishing consensus (Skopeliti 2020).

Finally, while COVID-19 as a triggering phenomenon applies globally, the personal and social processes, and therefore consumer expectations about the future that it interrupts, differ from setting to setting and require bespoke advertising efforts (Askegaard and Linnet 2011). Eisenstadt (2002) captures how social processes are highly differentiated across modern societies, while Taylor (2004) shows how normative expectations toward the future are differentiated across various communities and societies. Future research can therefore explore the differential effect on lost opportunity costs for consumers in different societies experiencing a context harm crisis. The future that did not happen in an egalitarian welfare state like Denmark, resulting in lost social solidarity through the atomizing effects of the lockdown, will differ from the future that did not happen in a class society like the UK, where citizens will experience lost opportunities for social advancement due to the ossifying effects of the lockdown. Ultimately, few things are as corrosive to the social fabric as a ruptured



future. Advertising therefore provides value to society at large by partaking in the deliberative process about what will be.

References

- Aboelenien, A., Z. Arsel, and C. Cho. 2020. Passing the buck vs. sharing responsibility: The roles of government, firms and consumers in marketplace risks during COVID-19. Journal of the Association for Consumer Research, 5 (2):149-58.
- Acharya, A. 2018. The end of American world order. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Albrecht, A., and L. Danneberg. 2011. First steps toward an counterfactual explication of imagination. Counterfactual thinking - Counterfactual writing, ed. D. Birke, M. Butter, and T. Köppe, 12-29. Berlin: Walter de Gruvter.
- Altman, S. A., 2020. Will Covid-19 have a lasting impact on globalization? Harvard Business Review. https://hbr.org/ 2020/05/will-covid-19-have-a-lasting-impact-on-globalization.
- Andersen, A. L., E. T. Hansen, N. Johannesen, and A. Sheridan. 2020. Consumer responses to the COVID-19 crisis. VoxEU.org. https://voxeu.org/article/consumerresponses-covid-19-crisis.
- Askegaard, S., and J. T. Linnet. 2011. Towards an epistemology of consumer culture theory: Phenomenology and the context of context. Marketing Theory 11 (4):381-404. doi:10.1177/1470593111418796
- Barry, T. E., and D. J. Howard. 1990. A review and critique of the hierarchy of effects in advertising. International Journal of Advertising 9 (2):121-35. doi:10.1080/ 02650487.1990.11107138
- Beck, U. 1992. Risk society: Towards a new modernity. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Becker, E. 2020. How hard will the coronavirus hit the travel industry? https://www.nationalgeographic.com/ travel/2020/04/how-coronavirus-is-impacting-the-travelindustry/.
- Bell Canada. 2020. Call from anywhere. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QhfvdLY-PJo.
- Berinato, S. 2020. That discomfort you're feeling is grief. Harvard Business Review. https://hbr.org/2020/03/thatdiscomfort-youre-feeling-is-grief.
- Brown, S., R. V. Kozinets, and J. F. Sherry. 2003. Teaching old brands new tricks: Retro branding and the revival of brand meaning. Journal of Marketing 67 (3):19-33. doi: 10.1509/jmkg.67.3.19.18657
- Canada, G. A., 2020. Canada's support for international efforts to fight the COVID-19 pandemic. gcnws. https:// www.canada.ca/en/global-affairs/news/2020/04/canadassupport-for-international-efforts-to-fight-the-covid-19pandemic.html.
- Carlsberg. 2020. Welcome back to the pub. https://www. youtube.com/watch?v=sYelOPOH5Kw.
- Coleman, J. T., M. B. Royne, and K. R. Pounders. 2020. Pride, guilt, and self-regulation in cause-related marketing advertisements. Journal of Advertising 49 (1):34-60. doi:10.1080/00913367.2019.1689871
- Coombs, W. T., and S. J. Holladay. 2001. An extended examination of the crisis situations: A fusion of the

- relational management and symbolic approaches. Journal of Public Relations Research 13 (4):321-40. doi:10.1207/ S1532754XJPRR1304 03
- Cornish, L. S., and C. Moraes. 2015. The impact of consumer confusion on nutrition literacy and subsequent dietary behavior. Psychology & Marketing 32 (5):558-74. doi:10.1002/mar.20800
- Corporation for Public Broadcasting. 2020. Wearing a mask helps stop the spread of germs. https://www.youtube. com/watch?v=OLO1GNXKmNE.
- Coskuner-Balli, G. 2020. Citizen-consumers wanted: Revitalizing the American dream in the face of economic recessions, 1981-2012. Journal of Consumer Research 47 (3):327–49. doi:10.1093/jcr/ucz059
- Dahl, D. W., K. D. Frankenberger, and R. V. Manchandra. 2003. Does it pay to shock? Journal of Advertising Research 43 (3):268-80.
- Davenport, A., C. Farquharson, I. Rasul, L. Sibieta, and G. Stoye. 2020. The geography of the COVID-19 crisis in England. https://www.ifs.org.uk/publications/14888.
- Dutta, S., and C. Pullig. 2011. Effectiveness of corporate responses to brand crises: The role of crisis type and response strategies. Journal of Business Research 64 (12): 1281-7. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.01.013
- Dutton, J. E. 1986. The processing of crisis and non-crisis strategic issues. Journal of Management Studies 23 (5): 501–17. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6486.1986.tb00434.x
- Eisenstadt, S. N. 2002. Multiple modernities. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers.
- Epp, A. M., and L. L. Price. 2008. Family identity: A framework of identity interplay in consumption practices. Journal of Consumer Research 35 (1):50-70. doi:10.1086/ 529535
- Fitzmaurice, R. 2020. How to rescue your 2020. Evening Standard. https://www.standard.co.uk/lifestyle/wellness/ how-to-be-productive-selfcare-personal-development-fitness-reboot-a4542581.html.
- Fox, R. J., and G. L. Geissler. 1994. Crisis in advertising? Journal of Advertising 23 (4):79-84. doi:10.1080/ 00913367.1943.10673462
- Gao, H., J. G. Knight, H. Zhang, D. Mather, and L. P. Tan. 2012. Consumer scapegoating during a systemic productharm crisis. Journal of Marketing Management 28 (11-12):1270-90. doi:10.1080/0267257X.2011.645859
- Gell, A. 1992. The anthropology of time: Cultural constructions of temporal maps and images. New York: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Giesler, M., and E. Veresiu. 2014. Creating the responsible consumer: Moralistic governance regimes and consumer subjectivity. Journal of Consumer Research 41 (3):840-57. doi:10.1086/677842
- Goldberg, J. 2020. The impact of COVID-19 on U.S. brands and retailers. Forbes. https://www.forbes.com/sites/jasongoldberg/2020/03/29/the-impact-of-covid-19-on-us-brandsand-retailers/.
- Gollnhofer, J. F., H. A. Weijo, and J. W. Schouten. 2019. Consumer movements and value regimes: Fighting food waste in Germany by building alternative object pathways. Journal of Consumer Research 46 (3):460-82. doi: 10.1093/jcr/ucz004
- Gorn, G. J., Y. Jiang, and G. V. Johar. 2008. Babyfaces, trait inferences, and company evaluations in a public relations

- crisis. Journal of Consumer Research 35 (1):36-49. doi:10. 1086/529533
- Hamilton, K., S. Edwards, F. Hammill, B. Wagner, and J. Wilson. 2014. Nostalgia in the twenty-first century. Consumption Markets & Culture 17 (2):101-4. doi:10. 1080/10253866.2013.776303
- Hoffman, D. L., and T. P. Novak. 2018. Consumer and object experience in the internet of things: An assemblage theory approach. Journal of Consumer Research 44 (6):1178-204. doi:10.1093/jcr/ucx105
- Playing 2020. Hogarth, R. 'Whack-a-Mole' Coronavirus is not a good enough local lockdown strategy. The Institute for Government. https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/blog/whack-mole-coronavirus-locallockdown-strategy.
- Holm, N. 2016. Advertising and consumer society: A critical introduction. London: Macmillan International Higher Education.
- Holt, D. B., and C. J. Thompson. 2004. Man-of-action heroes: The pursuit of heroic masculinity in everyday consumption. Journal of Consumer Research 31 (2):425-40. doi:10.1086/422120
- Howard Stern Show. 2020. Howard plays viral videos of people refusing to wear a face mask. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7dFz4sJ5RPs.
- Humphreys, A., and C. J. Thompson. 2014. Branding disaster: Reestablishing trust through the ideological containment of systemic risk anxieties. Journal of Consumer Research 41 (4):877–910. doi:10.1086/677905
- Hyundai. 2020. #ThisIsUs. Humanity Prevails. https://www. youtube.com/watch?v=RRJiYeIxJpY.
- Izberk-Bilgin, E. 2012. Infidel brands: Unveiling alternative meanings of global brands at the nexus of globalization, consumer culture, and Islamism. Journal of Consumer Research 39 (4):663-87. doi:10.1086/665413
- Jacobsen, M. H. 2020. Nostalgia now: Cross-disciplinary perspectives on the past in the present. London: Routledge.
- Kees, J., and J. C. Andrews. 2019. Research issues and needs at the intersection of advertising and public policy. Journal of Advertising 48 (1):126-35. doi:10.1080/ 00913367.2019.1599747
- Klein, J., and N. Dawar. 2004. Corporate social responsibility and consumers' attributions and brand evaluations in a product-harm crisis. International Journal of Research in Marketing 21 (3):203-17. doi:10.1016/j.ijresmar.2003. 12.003
- Koselleck, R. 2002. The practice of conceptual history: Timing history, spacing concepts. Trans. T. S. Presner. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Koselleck, R. 2004. Futures past: On the semantics of historical time. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Koselleck, R. 2000. Critique and crisis: Enlightenment and the pathogenesis of modern society. Cambridge: MIT
- Koselleck, R., and M. W. Richter. 2006. Crisis. Journal of the History of Ideas 67 (2):357-400. doi:10.1353/jhi.2006.
- Krishnamurthy, P., and A. Sivaraman. 2002. Counterfactual thinking and advertising responses. Journal of Consumer Research 28 (4):650-8. doi:10.1086/323736

- Kübler-Ross, E., and D. Kessler. 2014. On grief and grieving: Finding the meaning of grief through the five stages of loss. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- LeFevre, D. 2020. Covid-19 is no longer a short-term crisis for higher education. Times Higher Education. https:// www.timeshighereducation.com/blog/covid-19-no-longershort-term-crisis-higher-education.
- Loveland, K. E., D. Smeesters, and N. Mandel. 2010. Still preoccupied with 1995: The need to belong and preference for nostalgic products. Journal of Consumer Research 37 (3):393-408. doi:10.1086/653043
- Luedicke, M. K. 2015. Indigenes' responses to immigrants' consumer acculturation: A relational configuration analysis. Journal of Consumer Research 42 (1):109-29. doi: 10.1093/jcr/ucv002
- Luedicke, M. K., C. J. Thompson, and M. Giesler. 2010. Consumer identity work as moral protagonism: How myth and ideology animate a brand-mediated moral conflict. Journal of Consumer Research 36 (6):1016-32. doi: 10.1086/644761
- Lussenhop, J. 2020. Why U.S. is expecting an 'avalanche' of evictions. BBC News. https://www.bbc.com/news/worldus-canada-53088352.
- MacInnis, D. J. 2011. A framework for conceptual contributions in marketing. Journal of Marketing 75 (4):136-54. doi:10.1509/jmkg.75.4.136
- MacInnis, D. J., G. de Mello, and V. M. Patrick. 2004. Consumer hopefulness: Construct, relevance to internet marketing, antecedents and consequences. International Journal of Internet Marketing and Advertising 1 (2): 174-95. doi:10.1504/IJIMA.2004.004019
- Maynard, M. 2020. All trick no treat: Halloween category report 2020. The Grocer. https://www.thegrocer.co.uk/category-reports/all-trick-no-treat-halloween-category-report-2020/646975.article.
- Meyvis, T., and C. Janiszewski. 2002. Consumers' beliefs about product benefits: The effect of obviously irrelevant product information. Journal of Consumer Research 28 (4):618-35. doi:10.1086/338205
- Mitchell, A. 2020. The decade-long bull run has ended with tough times ahead for venture capital activity. Market Watch. https://www.marketwatch.com/story/thedecade-long-bull-run-has-ended-with-tough-times-aheadfor-venture-capital-activity-2020-04-23.
- Mitchell, W. C. 1990. Ambiguity, contradictions, and frustrations at the ballot box: A public choice perspective. Review of Policy Research 9 (3):517-25. doi:10.1111/j. 1541-1338.1990.tb01059.x
- Morewedge, C. K., M. Zhu, and E. C. Buechel. 2019. Hedonic contrast effects are larger when comparisons are social. Journal of Consumer Research 46 (2):286-306. doi:10.1093/jcr/ucy070
- OECD. 2020a. Coronavirus (COVID-19): Joint actions to win the war. https://www.oecd.org/about/secretary-general/Coronavirus-COVID-19-Joint-actions-to-win-the-war. pdf.
- OECD. 2020b. The territorial impact of COVID-19: Managing the crisis across levels of government. https:// www.oecd.org/coronavirus/policy-responses/the-territorial-impact-of-covid-19-managing-the-crisis-across-levelsof-government-d3e314e1/.

- Otnes, C., T. M. Lowrey, and L. J. Shrum. 1997. Toward an understanding of consumer ambivalence. Journal of Consumer Research 24 (1):80-93. doi:10.1086/209495
- Peracchio, L. A., and D. Luna. 1998. The development of an advertising campaign to discourage smoking initiation among children and youth. Journal of Advertising 27 (3): 49-56. doi:10.1080/00913367.1998.10673562
- Phipps, M., and J. L. Ozanne. 2017. Routines disrupted: Reestablishing security through practice alignment. Journal of Consumer Research 44 (2):361-80. doi:10. 1093/jcr/ucx040
- Press, M., and E. J. Arnould. 2009. Constraints on sustainable energy consumption: Market system and public policy challenges and opportunities. Journal of Public Policy & Marketing 28 (1):102–13. doi:10.1509/jppm.28.1.102
- PricewaterhouseCoopers. 2020. COVID-19: Operations and supply chain disruption. PwC. https://www.pwc.com/us/ en/library/covid-19/supply-chain.html.
- Rhys, T. 2020. Coronavirus: Theatres are already closing the UK government needs to act now. The Conversation. http://theconversation.com/coronavirus-theatres-are-alreadyclosing-the-uk-government-needs-to-act-now-141796.
- Ricoeur, P. 2016. Imagination in discourse and action. In Rethinking imagination, ed. G. Robinson and J. F Rundell, 118-35. London: Routledge.
- Robinson, T. D., and E. Arnould. 2020. Portable technology and multi-domain energy practices. Marketing Theory 20 (1):3-22. doi:10.1177/1470593119870226
- Rodgers, D. T. 2011. Age of fracture. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Savary, J., and R. Dhar. 2020. The uncertain self: How selfconcept structure affects subscription choice. Journal of Consumer Research 46 (5):887-903. doi:10.1093/jcr/ ucz022
- Schau, H. J., M. C. Gilly, and M. Wolfinbarger. 2009. Consumer identity renaissance: The resurgence of identity-inspired consumption in retirement. Journal of Consumer Research 36 (2):255-76. doi:10.1086/597162
- Shankar, A., R. Elliott, and J. A. Fitchett. 2009. Identity, consumption and narratives of socialization. Marketing Theory 9 (1):75-94. doi:10.1177/1470593108100062
- Sibony, O. 2020. Strategy in unpredictable times: Four lessons from the COVID-19 crisis. Forbes. https://www.forbes.com/sites/hecparis/2020/04/28/strategy-in-unpredictable-times-four-lessons-from-the-covid-19-crisis/.
- Siomkos, G. J., and G. Kurzbard. 1994. The hidden crisis in product-harm crisis management. European Journal of Marketing 28 (2):30-41. doi:10.1108/03090569410055265
- Skopeliti, C. 2020. UK supermarkets unite after Sainsbury's advert prompts racist backlash. The Guardian. https:// www.theguardian.com/world/2020/nov/28/uk-supermarketsunite-after-sainsburys-advert-prompts-racist-backlash.
- Spears, N. 2003. On the use of time expressions in promoting product benefits-The metaphoric and the literal. Journal of Advertising 32 (2):33-44. doi:10.1080/ 00913367.2003.10639131
- Stewart, D. W. 1992. Speculations on the future of advertising research. Journal of Advertising 21 (3):1-18. doi:10. 1080/00913367.1992.10673372

- Sukran, P. J., W. Dejong, K. M. Herr-Zara, M. Ridriguez-Howard, and K. Fay. 2003. A paid radio advertising campaign to promote parent-child communication about alcohol. Journal of Health Communication 8 (5):489-95. doi:10.1080/713852114
- Summers, L. 2020. Covid-19 looks like a hinge in history. Financial Times. https://www.ft.com/content/de643ae8-9527-11ea-899a-f62a20d54625.
- Sundar, A., F. R. Kardes, and S. A. Wright. 2015. The influence of repetitive health messages and sensitivity to fluency on the truth effect in advertising. Journal of Advertising 44 (4):375-87. doi:10.1080/00913367.2015. 1045154
- Taylor, C. 2004. Modern social imaginaries. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Thompson, C. J., P. C. Henry, and F. Bardhi. 2018. Theorizing reactive reflexivity: Lifestyle displacement and discordant performances of taste. Journal of Consumer Research 45 (3):571-94.
- Tidman, Z. 2020. Students enter the unknown as they start university amid coronavirus. *Independent*. https://www. independent.co.uk/news/education/education-news/universitycoronavirus-students-online-lectures-hybrid-learning-gap-yeara9697226.html.
- Tobben, S. 2020. Negative oil prices: What does oil below zero really mean? Bloomberg. https://www.bloomberg. com/news/articles/2020-04-20/negative-prices-for-oil-here-swhat-that-means-quicktake.
- Townsend, C., and W. Liu. 2012. Is planning good for you? The differential impact of planning on self-regulation. Journal of Consumer Research 39 (4):688-703. doi:10. 1086/665053
- Tsiros, M., and V. Mittal. 2000. Regret: A model of its antecedents and consequences in consumer decision making. Journal of Consumer Research 26 (4):401-17. doi:10. 1086/209571
- Türe, M., and G. Ger. 2016. Continuity through change: Navigating temporalities through heirloom rejuvenation. Journal of Consumer Research 43 (1):1-25. doi:10.1093/ icr/ucw011
- Van Heerde, H., K. Helsen, and M. G. Dekimpe. 2007. The impact of a product-harm crisis on marketing effectiveness. Marketing Science 26 (2):230-45. doi:10.1287/mksc. 1060.0227
- Vassilikopoulou, A., G. Siomkos, K. Chatzipanagiotou, and A. Pantouvakis. 2009. Product-harm crisis management: Time heals all wounds? Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services 16 (3):174-80. doi:10.1016/j.jretconser. 2008.11.011
- Veresiu, E., T. D. Robinson, and A. B. Rosario. 2022. Marketing and nostalgia. In Faces of nostalgia: Explorations of a multi-facetted emotion, ed. M. H. Jacobsen. Bristol: Bristol University Press, forthcoming.
- Weiss. 2020. COVID-19 and the grief process. Psychology Today. https://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/love-andsex-in-the-digital-age/202003/covid-19-and-the-grief-process.
- Xie, Y., and H. T. Keh. 2016. Taming the blame game: Using promotion programs to counter product-harm crises. Journal of Advertising 45 (2):211-26. doi:10.1080/ 00913367.2015.1134362