



# City Research Online

## City St George's, University of London

**Citation:** Liu, X., Li, Y., Lin, Y. & Banerjee, R. (2021). Spectral dynamic stiffness theory for free vibration analysis of plate structures stiffened by beams with arbitrary cross-sections. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 160, 107391. doi: 10.1016/j.tws.2020.107391

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version. To cite this item please consult the publisher's version.

**Permanent repository link:** <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/26467/>

**Link to published version:** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2020.107391>

**Copyright and Reuse:** Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, unless otherwise indicated, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. For full details of reuse please refer to [City Research Online policy](#).

# **Spectral dynamic stiffness theory for plate structures stiffened by beams with arbitrary cross-sections**

Xiang Liu<sup>1</sup>, Yu Li<sup>2</sup>, Yuliang Lin<sup>3\*</sup>, J. Ranjan Banerjee<sup>4</sup>

1. **Xiang Liu**, Professor, Ph.D., Joint International Research Laboratory of Key Technology for Rail Traffic Safety, Central South University, Changsha 410075, China; Key Laboratory of Traffic Safety on Track, Ministry of Education, School of Traffic & Transportation Engineering, Central South University, Changsha 410075, China; State Key Laboratory of High Performance Complex Manufacturing, Central South University, Changsha 410075, China; Email: [xiangliu06@gmail.com](mailto:xiangliu06@gmail.com)
2. **Yu Li**, Postgraduate, Joint International Research Laboratory of Key Technology for Rail Traffic Safety, Central South University, Changsha 410075, China; Key Laboratory of Traffic Safety on Track, Ministry of Education, School of Traffic & Transportation Engineering, Central South University, China; Email: [csuliyu@csu.edu.cn](mailto:csuliyu@csu.edu.cn)
3. **Yuliang Lin**, Associate professor, Ph.D., Joint International Research Laboratory of Key Technology for Rail Traffic Safety, Central South University, Changsha 410075, China; School of Civil Engineering, Central South University, Changsha 410075, China; Email: [linyuliang11@163.com](mailto:linyuliang11@163.com)
4. **J. Ranjan Banerjee**, Professor, D.Sc., School of Mathematics, Computer Science and Engineering, City University London, London EC1V 0HB, UK; Email: [j.r.banerjee@city.ac.uk](mailto:j.r.banerjee@city.ac.uk)

\*Corresponding author, Email address: [linyuliang11@163.com](mailto:linyuliang11@163.com) (Yuliang Lin).

**Abstract:** An analytical spectral dynamic stiffness (SDS) model for plate assemblies stiffened by beams is proposed. The theory is sufficiently general where the plate assemblies could be subjected to any arbitrary boundary conditions (BCs), and importantly, the beam stiffeners could be of open or closed cross-sections, and connected to plates with or without eccentricity. First, by using modified Fourier series, the SDS formulations for different beam stiffeners are developed based on their vibrational equations for the most general case. Then, the beam stiffeners' SDS matrices are superposed directly onto those of the plate assemblies. Next, the reliable, efficient and robust Wittrick-Williams algorithm is applied for the modal analysis of the overall structure. Representative examples are provided to illustrate the accuracy and versatility of the method, where the proposed theory is extensively validated by finite element software ANSYS. The proposed method inherits all advantages of the previously developed SDS theory for plate structures, including high computational efficiency, accuracy, robustness in eigenvalue calculation and the versatility in modelling arbitrary BCs. The proposed theory extends the existing SDS theory substantially to cover a wide class of beam stiffened plate structures prevalent in train bodies, ship hulls, aircraft fuselage and wings and many others.

**Keywords:** Spectral dynamic stiffness method; Beam stiffened plates; Closed-section and open-section beam stiffeners; Arbitrary boundary conditions; Wittrick-Williams algorithm

## 1. Introduction

In rail transportation, mechanical, civil, aerospace, marine and other engineering fields, plate structures are widely used in their design. In order to improve the vibration performance and to enhance the load carrying capacity and also at the same time, achieving a lighter structure, stiffeners are often used to reinforce plate structures. The stiffeners in a plate structures are generally modelled as beams whose cross-sections can vary widely, e.g. angle, channel, Tee, I, L, hat, etc. During minimum mass for optimized design of stiffened plate structures, there are many design parameters such as the spatial arrangements of the stiffeners, the cross-sectional dimensions (e.g. beam depth and width) and geometries (e.g. closed or open sections). In particular, the free vibration analysis of beam stiffened plate structures, especially in the mid and high frequency range is of considerable importance. This is mainly because such structures generally exhibit the coexistence of both long-wavelength and short-wavelength characteristics which can be difficult to handle and thus presents itself as a challenging problem. The long-wavelength vibrating beam stiffeners can be modelled relatively easily by using the Finite Element (FE) method<sup>[1]</sup> whereas the short-wavelength vibrating plates may require Stastical Energy Analysis (SEA)<sup>[2,3]</sup> models. However, in most of the commercial numerical FE software, the modelling and remodeling processes are quite tedious and time consuming, mainly because of the remeshment in the optimization process. The whole enterprise therefore, may become inadequate and inefficient.

Although researches on the analytical modeling for dynamic analysis of beam stiffened plate structures have been carried out to a great measure by many researchers and notably, they have made tremendous progress and achieved significant results over the years. However, there is still a long way to go because the existing design is conservative and generous and there are some deficiencies in the current research that need to be addressed. Some selected pertinent literature is reviewed here. Elishakoff and Sternberg<sup>[4]</sup> reformulated the eigenfrequency problem by determining the wavenumber of stiffened plates subject to simply-supported edges with three different

forms of the eigenfrequency wavenumber. However, their research was confined to an individual isotropic rectangular thin plate stiffened along two parallel sides and simply supported along the other two sides. Mace <sup>[5,6]</sup> proposed a method for the dynamic vibration analysis of plates with two sets of parallel stiffeners when subjected to point excitations on the stiffeners. In their research, stiffened plates were used as the bulkheads and intermediate frames of a hull structure. Fourier wavenumber transform was used to obtain the steady-state response of the plate and the corresponding phase, but the effect of the beam stiffener was not studied which of course, may lead to unacceptably bad results. Mead <sup>[7]</sup> expanded the response expression of the stiffener in terms of discrete wave harmonics of the plate, and essentially he solved the vibration problem of an infinite plate reinforced by periodic stiffeners. The solution of the plate vibration and associated pressure field were effectively represented by the motion of the wave. When compared with Mace's approach <sup>[6,7]</sup>, Mead's method simplifies the calculation process and improves the calculation efficiency. Yin et al <sup>[8]</sup> studied the dynamic response of composite laminates periodically reinforced by stiffeners based on the previous work of Mace <sup>[7]</sup>. The reaction force was expressed as a function of the stiffener's dynamic stiffness and vibration velocity, but the effect caused by the eccentric beam connection was not taken into consideration. Siddiqi and Kukreti <sup>[9]</sup> considered the in-plane force of the plate, the axial stiffness of the stiffener, the interaction between the beam and the plate due to eccentricity, and the torsional and shear stiffnesses of the beam, and they proposed a differential quadrature method for eccentric stiffened plates under a single lateral load. Mittelstedt <sup>[10]</sup> devoted to the analysis of orthotropic plates under uniaxial uniform compressive load. The plates under consideration were stiffened by open-section stiffeners in the longitudinal direction. However, their analysis was limited to certain boundary conditions. Li and his coauthors <sup>[11,12]</sup> proposed an analytical method for the vibration analysis of plates stiffened by any number of beams with any length and any arrangement angle. This method represents each displacement function as a modified version of Fourier series, which consists of a standard Fourier cosine series and several supplementary functions. In this method, both the beam and plate are modelled by the Rayleigh-Ritz method,

which is applicable to a range of stiffened plates vibration problem with different boundary conditions, but the modeling of extra stiffeners would no-doubt become extremely tedious. Lin <sup>[13]</sup> proposed an analytical method for solving the vibration response of ribbed plates with clamped boundaries by using traveling wave solutions. The dependency of the dynamic response of the ribbed plate was studied through experiments by the same author <sup>[13]</sup>. Later, Lin and Zhang <sup>[14]</sup> analyzed the vibration response of ribbed plates with free boundary conditions. They used the double cosine integral transformation technique to arrive at the analytical solution when investigating the free and forced vibration of ribbed plates. However, in their work neither different types of cross-sections nor the eccentricity of the stiffening beam to the connecting plate was considered. Also, the investigators focused only on an individual plate with special boundary conditions rather than as assembly of plates. Golkaram and Aghdam <sup>[15]</sup> proposed a solution for free transverse vibration of a rectangular thin plate suspended locally on a deformable beam by using generalized differential quadrature method. The plate was completely free at all edges except for the local area connected to a beam with a rectangular cross-section. However, this investigation considered only lateral vibration and the beam structure was relatively simple. On another hand, Gorman <sup>[16]</sup> used the superposition method to obtain the analytical solution for the free vibration analysis of an individual plate whose edges are stiffened by, however, non-eccentric beam stiffeners. Zheng and Wei <sup>[17]</sup> carried out a vibration analysis of a bidirectional stiffened thin plate with non-uniform discrete elastic boundary constraints. They applied energy method to derive governing equation of motion of the stiffened plate, and then they used a series of simple polynomials and boundary constraints that meet the Rayleigh-Ritz convergence criterion to discretize the governing equation. However, they did not consider different cross-sections of the beam stiffeners. Aksogan et al <sup>[18]</sup> used the continuous connection method (CCM) and Vlasov's thin-walled beam theory to solve the stiffness matrix of the structure, and performed a dynamic analysis of the non-planar asymmetric coupled shear wall on a rigid foundation.

As reviewed above, most of the research either focuses only on a simple plate structure with simple boundary condition or relies on using many DOFs to model the

structure which makes the method computationally inefficient. There is in fact another powerful analytical method suitable for the analysis of beam-stiffened plate structures which uses very few DOFs and yet the method gives most accurate results. The method is that of the dynamic stiffness method (DSM). The DSM adopts exact shape function ensuring its accuracy within all frequency ranges by using very few DOFs. The individual elements in DSM are frequency dependent, having both mass and stiffness properties, and allow a straightforward assembling procedure for complex structures. Wittrick and Williams<sup>[19]</sup> are probably the first to develop the dynamic stiffness method (DSM) for plated structures with an opposite pair of edges simply supported (Levy-type plates). Boscolo and Banerjee<sup>[20]</sup> derived the dynamic stiffness matrix of Levy-type plates and their assemblies by enhancing the work of Wittrick and Williams<sup>[19]</sup>. Tounsi et al<sup>[21]</sup> and Fazzolari<sup>[22]</sup> proposed dynamic stiffness solutions for the bending vibration of axisymmetric stiffened shells and doubly curved laminated shells, respectively. Li et al<sup>[23]</sup> used the projection method to project the forces on the plate nodes and their corresponding displacements onto a set of orthogonal functions, overcoming the known space-dependent difficulties, and thereby establishing a formula for calculating the dynamic stiffness of the plate in bending vibration of with simply supported boundary conditions. More recently, Liu et al<sup>[24]</sup> proposed the DS formulations for membranes and their assemblies with general classical boundary conditions. In a recently published paper, Liu et al<sup>[25]</sup> further developed the DS formulations for plate assemblies with a pair of opposite edges having all possible combinations of simple supports and guided supports.

The DSM has been used to model beam-stiffened plate structures rather sporadically. For instance, Langley<sup>[26]</sup> established a formula for calculating the dynamic response of plates with transversely added beams and subjected to longitudinally simply supported boundary conditions, but he ignored the in-plane motion of the beam stiffened plate. Later, in a different context, Langley<sup>[27]</sup> took the in-plane motion into consideration, and analyzed the dynamic response of stiffened shell structure. Watson et al<sup>[28]</sup> used Lagrangian multipliers to model point supports of Levy-type plates based on exact strip method, and thus they performed vibration and buckling analysis of

stiffened panels. Yin et al <sup>[29]</sup> proposed a dynamic stiffness model for eccentrically beam-stiffened plates. However, it is apparent that most of the above studies are restricted to plate structures with at least one pair of opposite edges of the plate being simply supported, and the elements can be assembled only in one direction. This is indeed a serious limitation for the application of the theory to practical structures.

In order to remove the above restrictions in the literature, many researchers have proposed different dynamic stiffness models in recent years <sup>[30–39]</sup>. Some of these models are applicable to plate elements with more general boundary conditions, and the elements can be assembled in two directions. Amongst these contributions, Liu, Banerjee and their coauthors <sup>[30–34]</sup> proposed the spectral dynamic stiffness method (SDSM) for both the transverse <sup>[30–33]</sup> and inplane <sup>[34]</sup> vibration problem of the plate by combining the spectral method with the classical dynamic stiffness method for plate with classical boundary conditions (BCs) and non-classical BCs <sup>[35,36]</sup>. The method has also been extended to buckling analysis of plates <sup>[37,38]</sup>. Nefovska-Danilovic and their coauthors proposed dynamic stiffness matrices for plate elements with general boundary conditions for the in-plane vibrations <sup>[39]</sup> and transverse vibrations based on the first-order deformation theory <sup>[40]</sup> and higher-order deformation theory <sup>[41]</sup>. Although the above researches have removed previous restrictions to a great extent, but still, there is no reported research for DS models of plate assemblies with general boundary conditions, particularly stiffened by beams with complex open and closed sections.

The main purpose of this paper is to extend the Spectral Dynamic Stiffness (SDS) <sup>[26,29]</sup> theory to beam stiffened plate structures with general boundary conditions where the beam stiffeners having complex cross-sections. First, the general framework of the SDS method is reviewed. Then, the governing differential equations which represent the free vibration behaviour of both open-section and closed-section beam stiffeners are formulated. Subsequently, the SDS formulation of different beam stiffeners are developed by using the modified Fourier series. The beam stiffeners' SDS matrices are then superposed directly to those of the plate assemblies without resorting to other rather cumbersome techniques such as penalty method or Lagrangian Multiplier method.

The efficient and robust algorithm of Wittrick and Williams <sup>[19]</sup> is invoked and the so-called  $J_0$  count is resolved when computing the natural frequencies and mode shapes. The proposed method retains all advantages of the SDSM <sup>[26,29]</sup> and it extends the application scope by covering more general beam stiffened plate structures.

This paper is organized as follows. First, the general framework and some properties of SDSM are briefly reviewed in Section 2.1, and then the SDS matrices of open-section beam stiffener and closed-section beam stiffener are derived in Section 2.2. Next, Section 2.3 describes the assembly procedure of the SDS formulations of beam stiffeners and plate structures. This is followed by Section 3 in which the proposed SDSM is validated with the help of the commercial FE software ANSYS and computing a range of representative beam stiffened plate structures. Finally, significant conclusions are drawn in Section 4.

## 2. Theory

An important feature of this paper is to extend the generality as well as the versatility of the spectral dynamic stiffness method (SDSM) when applied to stiffened plate structures. The theoretical formulation in this section is developed in following steps. First, the paper briefly summarizes the framework and characteristics of SDSM (Section 2.1) to provide necessary background for the work which will lead the readers smoothly into subsequent sections. Next, the SDS matrices of beam stiffeners with complex cross-section are derived in Section 2.2. Finally, Section 2.3 describes the assembly procedure of the overall SDS matrix of the beam stiffened plates structures.

### 2.1. Framework of the spectral dynamic stiffness method

One of the key points of SDSM used here is the application of modified Fourier series<sup>[35,42]</sup>. For any displacement or force boundary condition, the modified Fourier series along a plate edge is given by

$$h(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{s \in N \\ l \in \{0, 1\}}} H_{l,s} \frac{T_l(\gamma_{l,s} \xi)}{\sqrt{\zeta_{l,s} L}} , \quad H_{l,s} = \int_{-L}^L \mathbb{K} \left( \frac{T_l(\gamma_{l,s} \xi)}{\sqrt{\zeta_{l,s} L}} \right) \xi , \quad (1)$$

where  $N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is the non-negative integer set, and ' $l$ ' takes the value of either '0' or '1' to represent the corresponding symmetric or antisymmetric functions. The constant  $\zeta_{ls}$  is given as

$$\zeta_{ls} = \begin{cases} 2 & l = 0 \\ 1 & l = 1 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The definition of the Fourier series  $T_l(\gamma_{ls}\xi)$  in Eq. (1) is defined as

$$T_l(\gamma_{ls}\xi) = \begin{cases} \cos\left(\frac{s\pi}{l}\xi\right) & l = 0, \\ \sin\left(\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{\pi}{l}\xi\right) & l = 1 \end{cases}, \quad \xi \in [-L, L], \quad s \in N \quad (3)$$

By using the modified Fourier series, the general solution of the governing differential equations (GDE) of a plate element in the frequency domain can be developed within the spectral dynamic stiffness framework. After considerable algebraic operations, the dynamic stiffness matrix of a plate for general BC can be derived with the help of the modified Fourier series defined above. The final matrix expressions are available in the appendix, but interested readers are referred to reference<sup>[26,29]</sup> for further details. With the above pretext, the theory is briefly explained as follows,

The force  $f(\xi)$  and displacement  $d(\xi)$  boundary conditions on the  $i$ th line node take the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_i &= [F_{i00}, F_{i01}, F_{i02}, \dots, F_{i10}, F_{i11}, F_{i12}, \dots]^T \\ \mathbf{d}_i &= [D_{i00}, D_{i01}, D_{i02}, \dots, D_{i10}, D_{i11}, D_{i12}, \dots]^T \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_{ils}$  and  $D_{ils}$  are respectively the modified Fourier (MF) coefficients of the corresponding force and displacement boundary condition applied on the  $i$ th line nodes, obtained by applying Eq. (1) onto  $f(\xi)$  and  $d(\xi)$ .

The relationship between overall force and displacement is expressed as

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{d}$$

where  $\mathbf{K}$  is the SDS matrix of the plate element, which links the modified Fourier

coefficient vector of force  $f(\xi)$  to the displacement  $d(\xi)$  at all line nodes (plate boundaries). For the  $i$ th line node, the relationship between the MF coefficients of force and displacement boundary condition can be defined as

$$f_i = K_{ii}d_i$$

## 2.2. Spectral dynamic stiffness formulations for beam stiffeners

For the vibration analysis of plate structures stiffened by beam stiffeners, several factors need to be addressed. For instance, different cross-sections of beam stiffeners, different shapes, and with or without eccentricity, etc. The current research considers the combination of plates with beams of different cross-sections, shapes and eccentricity.

### 2.2.1. SDSM of the open-section beam stiffener


Figure 1(a) shows a schematic view of a plate stiffened by an open-section beam stiffener. Considering the effect of prestress  $T$  along the stiffener, being positive when tensile. The beam section is connected to the plate at points  $P$ ,  $C$  and  $S$  represent the centroid and shear center respectively at the beam stiffener, and the connection point  $P$  and shear center  $S$  are on the same vertical plane. Parameters  $c_0$ ,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are the offsets among points  $S$ ,  $P$  and  $C$  as shown in Fig. 1(a). The rotation angle of the stringer is denoted by parameter  $\psi$ , and the displacements of points  $S$ ,  $P$  and  $C$  are written as  $(w_0, v_0)$ ,  $(w, v)$  and  $(w_c, v_c)$  respectively. The forces acting on various points of the stringer are shown in Fig. 1(b).  $E\Gamma$  represents warping constant and  $GJ$  is torsion constant,  $EI_1$ ,  $EI_2$  and  $EI_{12}$  are the bending stiffness of the structure;  $\rho A$  and  $I$  are the mass and polar moment of inertia per unit length.  $Q$ ,  $H$  and  $M$  are the reaction forces on the plate boundary. The relationship between the displacements and rotation angles of those points can be expressed as  $\mu_0 = \mu - c_0\psi$ ,  $w_0 = w$ ,  $\mu_c = \mu + c_1\psi$  and  $w_c = w + c_2\psi$ . Under this premise, considering the balance of forces and moments with respect to the shear center, and making  $\mu = 0$  (assuming that the plate is an effective rigid plane within the frequency range considered, without plane motion), one may arrive at the following

governing differential equations for the beam stiffener,

$$EI\psi^{iv} - [GJ + T(c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_1c_0)]\psi'' + [I + \rho A(c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_1c_0)]\dot{\psi} - c_2Tw'' + c_2\rho A\ddot{w} - M - Hc_0 = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$EI_1w^{iv} - Tw'' + \rho A\ddot{w} - EI_{12}c_0\psi^{iv} - c_2T\psi'' + c_2\rho A\dot{\psi} - Q = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$EI_{12}w^{iv} - EI_2c_0\psi^{iv} - c_1T\dot{\psi} + c_1\rho A\dot{\psi} - H = 0 \quad (6)$$



(a) Assembly diagram

(b) Force diagram

Fig. 1. An open-section beam stiffener

Combining Eqs. (4) and (6) and eliminating  $H$ , we have

$$(EI\Gamma + EI_{12})\psi^{iv} - EI_{12}c_0w^{iv} - [GJ + T(c_1^2 + c_2^2)]\psi'' + [I + \rho A(c_1^2 + c_2^2)]\dot{\psi} - c_2Tw'' + c_2\rho A\ddot{w} - M = 0 \quad (7)$$

According to the theory of SDSM, we can express  $w, \psi, Q, M$  as the modified Fourier series form shown in Eq. (1),

$$\begin{cases} w(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{s \in N \\ l \in \{0, 1\}}} w_{ls} \frac{T_l(\gamma_{ls} \xi)}{\sqrt{\zeta_{ls} L}}, & \psi(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{s \in N \\ l \in \{0, 1\}}} \psi_{ls} \frac{T_l(\chi_{ls} \xi)}{\sqrt{\zeta_{ls} L}} \\ Q(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{r \in N \\ l \in \{0, 1\}}} Q_{lr} \frac{T_l(\gamma_{lr} \xi)}{\sqrt{\zeta_{lr} L}}, & M(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{r \in N \\ l \in \{0, 1\}}} M_{lr} \frac{T_l(\chi_{lr} \xi)}{\sqrt{\zeta_{lr} L}} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

By expressing the generalized displacements  $w(\xi)$ ,  $\psi(\xi)$  in terms of the MFs of Eq. (8a), considering the properties of the MFs of Eq. (3), and combining Eqs. (5), (7) and (8), we can arrive at

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q(\xi) \\ M(\xi) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{s \in N \\ l \in \{0,1\}}} \begin{pmatrix} d_{11;ls} & d_{12;ls} \\ d_{21;ls} & d_{22;ls} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_{ls} \frac{T_l(\gamma_{ls}\xi)}{\sqrt{\zeta_{ls}L}} \\ \psi_{ls} \frac{T_l(\gamma_{ls}\xi)}{\sqrt{\zeta_{ls}L}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} d_{11;ls} &= EI_1 \gamma_{ls}^4 + T \gamma_{ls}^2 - \rho A \omega^2 \\ d_{12;ls} &= d_{21;ls} = -EI_2 c_0 \gamma_{ls}^4 + T c_2 \gamma_{ls}^2 - \rho A c_2 \omega^2 \\ d_{22;ls} &= (E\Gamma + EI_2 c_0^2) \gamma_{ls}^4 + GJ \gamma_{ls}^2 + T(c_1^2 + c_2^2) \gamma_{ls}^2 - [I + \rho A(c_1^2 + c_2^2)] \omega^2 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Next, expanding both sides of Eq. (9) by using the modified Fourier series as in Eq. (8b), we have

$$\begin{cases} Q_{tr} = \int_{-L}^L \sum_{\substack{s \in N \\ l \in \{0,1\}}} \left[ (d_{11;ls} w_{ls} + d_{12;ls} \psi_{ls}) \frac{T_l(\gamma_{ls}\xi)}{\sqrt{\zeta_{ls}L}} \right] \frac{T_t(\gamma_{tr}\xi)}{\sqrt{\zeta_{tr}L}} d\xi \\ M_{tr} = \int_{-L}^L \sum_{\substack{s \in N \\ l \in \{0,1\}}} \left[ (d_{21;ls} w_{ls} + d_{22;ls} \psi_{ls}) \frac{T_l(\gamma_{ls}\xi)}{\sqrt{\zeta_{ls}L}} \right] \frac{T_t(\gamma_{tr}\xi)}{\sqrt{\zeta_{tr}L}} d\xi \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Eq. (11) illustrates the relationship between the modified Fourier series coefficients of force and displacement of the beam stiffener which can be expressed in the following matrix form

$$\mathbf{f}^b = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^b \\ \mathbf{M}^b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{11}^b & \mathbf{K}_{12}^b \\ \mathbf{K}_{21}^b & \mathbf{K}_{22}^b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}^b \\ \boldsymbol{\psi}^b \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{K}^b \mathbf{d} \quad (12)$$

where  $\mathbf{K}^b$  is the SDS matrix of the beam stiffener. The concise analytical expression of the each sub-matrix  $\mathbf{K}_{ij}^b$  of the SDS matrix can be written in the form of  $2 \times 2$  block matrix as

$$\mathbf{K}_{ij}^b = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{ij;00}^b & \mathbf{K}_{ij;01}^b \\ \mathbf{K}_{ij;10}^b & \mathbf{K}_{ij;11}^b \end{bmatrix} \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\} \quad (13)$$

The concise analytical expression of  $\mathbf{K}_{ij;lr}^b$  is as follows,

$$\mathbf{K}_{ij;lr}^b(s, r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta_{ls}\zeta_{tr}L}} \int_{-L}^L d_{ij;ls} T_l(\gamma_{ls}\xi) T_t(\gamma_{tr}\xi) d\xi \quad l, t \in \{0, 1\}; s, r \in N \quad (14)$$

So far we have provided the SDS formulation of an open-section beam stiffener. The formulation considers the warpage of the open-section beam, the eccentricity of

the centroid (mass axis), shear center (elastic axis), as well as the connection point. In essence, there are a wide range of beam-stiffened plates can be modelled by the model discussed above, which provides a wide practical application scope.

### 2.2.2. SDSM of beam stiffeners with different closed-sections

Next, the SDS matrices of several closed-section beams will be developed following similar procedure as in Section 2.2.1, to expand its applicability range.

As shown in Fig. 2, a closed-section beam connected to the plate along the projection of the beam's centre line where point  $P$  is located on its bottom surface, and the centre point coincides with the shear centre. As shown in Fig. 3, another closed-section beam connected to the plate where the beam's centre line, connecting line and shear coincide with each other. In the above two different beam sections, point  $C$  is the centroid of the section,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are the offsets of points  $C$  and  $P$  in the  $z$  and  $x$  directions,  $w$  is the displacement of the point  $P$  in the  $z$  direction, parameters  $H$  and  $Q$  are the forces applied to the point  $P$  in the  $x$  and  $z$  directions respectively, and  $M$  is the torsional moment. The stretching of the structure caused by the bending motion, and the warping effect due to the closed section can be ignored. Therefore, based on the classical beam theory, the vibration of the beam stiffener can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \left( EI_1 \frac{\partial^2 w_r}{\partial y^2} \right) - m_r \omega^2 w - m_r \omega^2 c_2 \psi = Q \\ GJ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + [I + m_r (c_1^2 + c_2^2)] \omega^2 \psi - m_r \omega^2 c_2 w = M \end{cases} \quad (15)$$




Fig. 2. A closed-section beam stiffened plate with eccentricity




Fig. 3. A closed-section beam stiffened plate without eccentricity

where  $I_1$  is the main moment of inertia of the beam section on the  $x$  axis.  $E$  is the elastic modulus of the material,  $m_r$  is the mass per unit length of the beam,  $G$  and  $J$  are the shear modulus of the material and the mass moment of inertia with respect to the shear center respectively, and  $I$  is the torsional section factor. Following similar procedure as in Section 2.2.1, the following equations can be derived from Eq. (15)

$$\begin{cases} (EI_1 k_n^4 - m_r \omega^2) w - m_r \omega^2 c_2 \psi = Q \\ [-GJk_n^2 + [I + m_r(c_1^2 + c_2^2)] \omega^2] \psi - m_r \omega^2 c_2 w = M \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

The above equations can be rewritten in the following matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q(\xi) \\ M(\xi) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{s \in N \\ l \in \{0,1\}}} \begin{pmatrix} d_{11;ls} & d_{12;ls} \\ d_{21;ls} & d_{22;ls} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_{ls} \frac{T_l(\gamma_{ls} \xi)}{\sqrt{\zeta_{ls} L}} \\ \psi_{ls} \frac{T_l(\gamma_{ls} \xi)}{\sqrt{\zeta_{ls} L}} \end{pmatrix}$$

When the cross-sectional shape of the beam is as shown in Fig. 2,  $c_2 = 0$ . It can be obtained according to Eq. (10),

$$\begin{cases} d_{11;ls} = EI_1 \gamma_{ls}^4 - m_r \omega^2 \\ d_{12;ls} = d_{21;ls} = 0 \\ d_{22;ls} = -GJ \gamma_{ls}^2 + (I + m_r c_1^2) \omega^2 \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

When the cross-sectional shape of the beam is as shown in Fig. 3,  $c_1 = 0$ . So the parameters in Eq. (10) can be simplified to

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{11;ls} &= EI_1 \gamma_{ls}^4 - m_r \omega^2 \\
d_{12;ls} &= d_{21;ls} = -m_r \omega^2 c_2 \\
d_{22;ls} &= -GJ \gamma_{ls}^2 + (I + m_r c_2^2) \omega^2
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

So far, the SDS formulation of two types of closed-section beam stiffeners have been developed.

### 2.3. Assembly procedure of global spectral dynamic stiffness matrix

Now we can assemble the SDS matrices of both plates and beam stiffeners. To explain the assembly process in a simple manner, but without loss of generality, we adopt the example shown in Fig. 4, in which a plate structure is connected to a beam along the beam's bottom center line along the first line nodes ( $w_p^{(1)}, \psi_p^{(1)}$ ) (Notice that the plate has 8 line nodes and the beam has 2 line nodes). Superimposing the beam SDS matrix  $\mathbf{K}_b$  in Eq. (12) to the rows and columns corresponding to the first and second line nodes of the plate SDS matrix  $\mathbf{K}_p$  like Fig. 5. If a beam stiffer is superimposed onto the  $i$ th and  $(i+1)$ th line nodes of a plate, the overall SDS matrix can be assembled from the SDS matrices of both the plate and the beam stiffener as given in Eq. (19) and illustrated in Fig. 5.




Fig. 4. Line nodes of a closed-section-beam stiffened plate

$\mathbf{K}_{11}^p + \mathbf{K}_{11}^b$	$\mathbf{K}_{12}^p + \mathbf{K}_{12}^b$	$\mathbf{K}_{13}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{14}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{15}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{16}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{17}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{18}^p$
$\mathbf{K}_{21}^p + \mathbf{K}_{21}^b$	$\mathbf{K}_{22}^p + \mathbf{K}_{22}^b$	$\mathbf{K}_{23}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{24}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{25}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{26}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{27}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{28}^p$
$\mathbf{K}_{31}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{32}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{33}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{34}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{35}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{36}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{37}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{38}^p$
$\mathbf{K}_{41}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{42}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{43}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{44}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{45}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{46}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{47}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{48}^p$
$\mathbf{K}_{51}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{52}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{53}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{54}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{55}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{56}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{57}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{58}^p$
$\mathbf{K}_{61}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{62}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{63}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{64}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{65}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{66}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{67}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{68}^p$
$\mathbf{K}_{71}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{72}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{73}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{74}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{75}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{76}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{77}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{78}^p$
$\mathbf{K}_{81}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{82}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{83}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{84}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{85}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{86}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{87}^p$	$\mathbf{K}_{88}^p$

Fig. 5. Assembly procedure of the overall SDS matrixes of a plate stiffened by a beam stiffener

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K}_{i,i} &= \mathbf{K}_{i,i}^p + \mathbf{K}_{11}^b, & \mathbf{K}_{i,i+1} &= \mathbf{K}_{i,i+1}^p + \mathbf{K}_{12}^b \\
\mathbf{K}_{i+1,i} &= \mathbf{K}_{i+1,i}^p + \mathbf{K}_{21}^b, & \mathbf{K}_{i+1,i+1} &= \mathbf{K}_{i+1,i+1}^p + \mathbf{K}_{22}^b
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

### 3. Numerical examples

In order to verify the accuracy and efficiency of the proposed SDSM for beam stiffened plates, we adopt several beam-stiffened-plate models and perform numerical analysis based on MATLAB code. We also take a rigorous recourse to finite element models by using the software ANSYS, for a direct comparison of results. The natural frequencies and mode shapes computed by our theory and those computed using ANSYS are critically examined. To demonstrate the versatility of our method, we use different plate structures stiffened by different stiffeners and with different boundary conditions as illustrations.

In all example models, the size of the rectangular plate is  $2a \times 2b$ , in which  $2a=2b=1m$ , thickness:  $h = 5mm$ . The material properties of the plate and the beam are as follows: Young's modulus  $E_1 = 7.1 \times 10^{10} Pa$ , Poisson's ratio  $\nu=0.3$ , density  $\rho_1 = 2660kg / m^3$ . For the plate boundary conditions, clamped or built-in support is represented by C, simple support is represented by S, and free or supportless is represented by F.

#### 3.1. Example 1: Closed-section beam stiffened plates

In this section, the natural frequencies of the closed-section beam stiffened plates are computed to verify the accuracy of the SDSM. Figure 6(a) shows the finite element

model of a plate stiffened by closed-section beam without eccentricity, where the four sides of the plate are completely free. In the finite element model, the general shell element and beam element are selected as the element type of the structure based on the classic thin plate theory and beam theory. The length of the beam is  $1m$ , and the cross-section size is  $0.05m \times 0.05m$ . Figure 6(b) is the numbering of the four sides of the structure to indicate the boundary conditions (for example, from 1 to 4 sides are ‘S’, ‘G’, ‘F’ and ‘C’, then it is denoted as SGFC).




Fig. 6. The FE model of a plate stiffened by closed-section beam without eccentricity and the numbering of the plate boundaries

Table 1. Natural frequencies ( $Hz$ ) of an SFFF plate stiffened by non-eccentric closed-section ( $0.05m \times 0.05m$ ) beams (Fig. 6) with different FE mesh size and Fourier series of the SDSM

Mode	SDSM				FEM			
	$N=10$	$N=15$	$N=20$	$N=25$	$0.005m$	$0.003m$	$0.002m$	$0.001m$
					$*0.005m$	$*0.003m$	$*0.002m$	$*0.001m$
1	10.537	10.538	10.539	10.539	10.529	10.527	10.526	10.525
2	18.572	18.572	18.572	18.572	18.569	18.569	18.569	18.569
3	33.370	33.370	33.371	33.371	33.345	33.342	33.341	33.34
4	38.314	38.317	38.320	38.320	38.278	38.274	38.272	38.271
5	60.849	60.849	60.849	60.849	60.834	60.831	60.83	60.829
6	67.561	67.563	67.566	67.566	67.456	67.447	67.442	67.441

Table 1 shows the natural frequencies of an SFFF plate stiffened by non-eccentric closed-section beams (Fig. 6) with different FE mesh size and Fourier series of the SDSM. The FE mesh size is set to  $0.001m \times 0.001m$  and SDSM uses 25 Fourier series for the modal analysis. Table 2 shows the representative natural frequencies of a beam

stiffened plate with eight different sets of boundary conditions. Figure 7 shows some representative mode shapes of a stiffened plate with SFCF boundary conditions.

Table 2. Representative natural frequencies ( $Hz$ ) of a beam stiffened plate with eight sets of boundary conditions

BC	Mode	SDSM	FEM
SFCF	1st	18.87	18.87
SSCF	5th	94.93	94.83
FCCC	4th	134.28	134.32
FSSS	2nd	64.19	64.09
FCSC	3rd	88.37	88.34
FFFF	3rd	33.45	33.39
SSSS	7th	165.95	165.65
SCSC	6th	162.08	162.05




Fig. 7. Mode shapes by FEM and SDSM for a stiffened plate subject to SFCF boundary conditions

Table 1 shows the convergence of SDSM and FEM for SFFF, and it can be seen from Table 2 that the results from SDSM and FEM with various boundary conditions are very close to each other. (The small difference indicates a good consistency between the two methods.) It can also be ascertained from Fig. 7 that the modes computed from the two methods match very well. Clearly, the accuracy of the SDSM is verified. It should be noted that the SDSM has taken only 25 Fourier series to compute the modes, and the calculation speed is quite impressive. On the question of accuracy, the SDSM

has significant advantage when compared with the finite element solution which requires much finer mesh.

### 3.2. Example 2: An L-cross-section beam stiffened plate

In this section, the L-cross-section beam stiffened plates is used to further investigate the effect of the beam stiffeners on the plate vibration and to verify the accuracy of the method. As shown in Fig. 8(a), it is a finite element model of an L-cross-section-beam stiffened plate. The cross-sectional dimensions are shown in Fig. 8(b), where  $c_0 = 0.035m$ ,  $c_1 = 0.027m$ ,  $c_2 = 0.077m$ , and the remaining parameters are the same as Example 1. Table 3 shows the natural frequencies of a beam stiffened plate with four sets of boundary conditions. Figure 9 shows some representative mode shapes by SDSM and FEM for the SFFF plate.




Fig. 8. The finite element model of a plate stiffened by L-cross-section beam

Table 3. The natural frequencies ( $Hz$ ) of a beam stiffened plate in Fig. 8 with four different BCs.

Mode	SFCF		SFFF		FSFS		SSCF	
	SDSM	FEM	SDSM	FEM	SDSM	FEM	SDSM	FEM
1	18.88	18.87	9.67	9.64	15.07	15.07	22.58	22.41
2	29.38	29.31	18.57	18.57	36.60	36.73	42.37	42.19
3	53.28	53.14	33.20	33.15	51.68	51.67	65.49	65.21
4	61.14	61.06	35.05	34.96	76.59	76.69	82.42	82.32
5	75.45	75.31	60.98	60.91	78.42	79.27	91.12	90.69
6	98.99	98.86	66.51	66.31	112.64	112.59	131.49	130.93




Fig. 9. Mode shapes of a beam stiffened SFFF plate in Fig. 8 by FEM and SDSM

It can be seen from Table 3 that when the structure is essentially L-cross-section beam stiffened plates, there are little discrepancies in the natural frequencies between the SDSM and the FEM. Also, Fig. 9 indicates that the two sets of mode shapes match well.

### 3.3. Example 3: A T-beam stiffened plate

In this section, an example with a T-beam stiffened plate is analyzed. The structure is shown in Fig. 10(a). Side by side to the application of the current SDSM theory, a finite element model of this structure is also created. The cross-sectional dimensions are shown in Fig. 10(b), and the remaining parameters are the same as Example 1. Figure 11 gives the boundary conditions of the structure. Table 4 shows the comparison of the natural frequencies of 1st to 6th modes obtained by the SDSM and the finite element model under different cross-sectional area. Figure 12 compares some representative mode shapes of a T-beam stiffened plate whose stiffener is of cross-section:  $t_1=t_2=0.02m$ ,  $w_1 = w_2 = 0.08m$ .




Fig. 10. The finite element model of a T-beam stiffened plate




Fig. 11. The boundary conditions of the T-beam stiffened plate

Table 4. Natural frequencies ( $Hz$ ) of the plate structure in Fig. 10 stiffened by beams with different cross-sections

Mode	$t_1=t_2=0.01m$ $w_1 = w_2 = 0.04m$		$t_1=t_2=0.015m$ $w_1 = w_2 = 0.06m$		$t_1=t_2=0.02m$ $w_1 = w_2 = 0.08m$		$t_1=t_2=0.025m$ $w_1 = w_2 = 0.1m$	
	SDSM	FEM	SDSM	FEM	SDSM	FEM	SDSM	FEM
1	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32
2	9.27	9.28	10.13	10.11	10.44	10.41	10.51	10.50
3	10.58	10.57	10.58	10.57	10.58	10.57	10.58	10.57
4	18.59	18.58	18.54	18.53	18.36	18.33	18.29	17.93
5	26.48	26.47	26.48	26.47	26.48	26.47	26.48	26.47
6	33.04	33.01	33.30	33.26	33.34	33.31	33.34	33.28




Fig. 12. Mode shapes for a T-beam stiffened plate in Fig. 10 with  $t_1=t_2=0.02m$  and

$$w_1 = w_2 = 0.08m \text{ by using FEM and SDSM.}$$

It can be seen from Table 4 that the results of both the SDSM and the finite element method are in good agreement for a T-beam stiffened plate with stiffeners of different cross-sections. Figure 12 shows the 1st, 3rd, 5th and 6th mode shapes of a stiffened plate where the T-shaped stiffener's cross-section is  $t_1=t_2=0.02m$ ,  $w_1 = w_2 = 0.08m$  by using both the FEM and the SDSM. It is obvious that the mode shapes computed by both methods agree very well.

### 3.4. Example 4: Complex beam stiffened plates

In this section, two complex beam stiffened plates structures are selected to further verify the accuracy and versatility.

The first example as show in Fig. 13 is a rectangular plate stiffened by two stiffeners with cross-sections given therein, and the structure is subjected to the boundary conditions depicted in Fig. 14. For simplicity, the remaining parameters are the same as Example 1. Table 5 compares the natural frequencies computed by both the SDSM and the FEM and Fig. 15 gives the comparisons of some representative mode shapes.




Fig. 13. A rectangular plate stiffened by two stiffeners with different cross-sections




Fig. 14. The boundary conditions of the two-beam stiffened plate in Fig. 13

Table 5. Natural frequencies of a two-beam stiffened plate in Fig. 13

Mode(Hz)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

SDSM	2.44	3.34	10.51	10.58	15.32	21.81	26.84	32.50
FEM	2.44	3.34	10.49	10.56	15.26	21.75	26.83	32.42




Fig. 15. Mode shapes of a two-beam-stiffened plate in Fig. 13 by FEM and SDSM

It can be seen from Table 5 that for the structure depicted in Fig. 13, the results of SDSM and FEM agree very well. At the same time, the mode shapes computed from two methods shown in Fig. 15 match well.

The second example as show in Fig. 16(a) is an L-shaped plate stiffened by three beam stiffeners, and the structure is subjected to the boundary conditions depicted in Fig. 16(b). For simplicity, the remaining parameters are the same as Example 1. Table 6 compares the natural frequencies by both SDSM and FEM and Fig. 17 shows some representative mode shapes.




Fig. 16. An L-shaped plate stiffened by three beam stiffeners and the boundary conditions

Table 6. Natural frequencies of the stiffened L-shaped plate in Fig. 16

Mode(Hz)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
SDSM	3.55	9.08	14.59	17.24	24.27	26.67	32.49	35.88
FEM	3.55	9.09	14.58	17.24	24.27	26.68	32.47	35.87




Fig. 17. Mode shapes of a stiffened L-shaped plate in Fig. 16 computed by FEM and SDSM

It can be seen from Table 6 and Fig. 17 that both the natural frequencies and mode shapes of the stiffened L-shaped plate by using both the FEM and the SDSM match well with each other. This example further proves that the SDSM is an accurate and versatile tool for the dynamic analysis of complex beam-stiffened plates.

## **4. Conclusions**

A novel spectral dynamic stiffness (SDS) theory has been proposed for plate structures stiffened by beam stiffeners with either open or close sections and with or without eccentricity. According to the relationship between the forces and the displacements at the common edge of the plate edges and the beam stiffener, the vibrational equation of the stiffeners with both open section and closed section are formulated based on modified Fourier series. The SDS matrices of beam stiffeners with the inclusion of warping stiffness have been developed. The ensuing SDS matrices of beam stiffeners are superposed directly onto the overall SDS matrix of the plate assemblies to model the beam-stiffened plate structure accurately. By using the Wittrick-Williams algorithm, the natural frequencies and mode shapes are extracted. A wide ranging and well-thought-out number of examples are given to illustrate the versatility and accuracy of the proposed method. The research has broadened the scopes of recently proposed SDS theories for plate assemblies to a higher level by accounting for beam-stiffeners of complex cross-sections connected to the plate assemblies. The research carried out in this paper is of great significance when analysing stiffened plate structures which have applications in the transport, aeronautical, ship-building industries, amongst others.

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors appreciate the supports from the National Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 11802345, 51878667, 51678571), State Key Laboratory of High Performance Complex Manufacturing (Grant No. ZZYJKT2019-07) and Initial Funding of Specially-appointed Professorship (Grant No. 502045001) which made this research possible.

## Appendix: Spectral dynamic stiffness method of the plate with arbitrary boundary conditions

This appendix record the spectral dynamic stiffness formulations<sup>[26,29]</sup> for a plate element with arbitrary boundary conditions as shown in Fig. 18. The size of the rectangular Kirchhoff plate is  $2a \times 2b$ , with a lateral displacement of  $W(x, y)$ . The frequency-dependent GDE is expressed as follows,

$$\frac{\partial^4 W}{\partial x^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^4 W}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4 W}{\partial y^4} - \kappa W = 0$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{\rho h \omega^2}{D}, D = \frac{E h^3}{12(1-\nu^2)}$$

in which,  $\omega$  is the circular frequency,  $D$  is the bending stiffness of the plate,  $E$  is the Young's modulus of the material,  $\nu$  is the Poisson's ratio,  $h$  and  $\rho$  are the thickness and mass density of the plate, respectively. The rotation, bending moment and shear force are as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_x &= -\frac{\partial W}{\partial x}; \quad \psi_y = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial y}; \\ M_x &= -D \left( \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x^2} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial y^2} \right); \quad M_y = -D \left( \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial y^2} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x^2} \right); \\ V_x &= -D \left( \frac{\partial^3 W}{\partial x^3} + \Gamma^* \frac{\partial^3 W}{\partial x \partial y^2} \right); \quad V_y = -D \left( \frac{\partial^3 W}{\partial y^3} + \Gamma^* \frac{\partial^3 W}{\partial y \partial x^2} \right); \end{aligned}$$

in which  $\Gamma^* = 2 - \nu$ ,  $M_x, V_x, \psi_x$  are the bending moment, shear force and rotation respectively at  $x = \pm a$ , and  $M_y, V_y, \psi_y$  are the bending moment, shear force and rotation angle respectively at  $x = \pm b$ .




Fig. 18. Coordinate system and symbol of displacement and force of thin plate

According to the SDSM, the wavenumbers  $\alpha_{km}$  and  $\beta_{jn}$  of the plate are as follows,

$$\alpha_{km} = \begin{cases} \frac{m\pi}{a} & k=0 \\ \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\pi}{a} & k=1 \end{cases}, \quad \beta_{jn} = \begin{cases} \frac{n\pi}{b} & j=0 \\ \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\pi}{b} & j=1 \end{cases}$$

Based on the SDSM as described in reference<sup>[26,29]</sup>, the SDS formula expression for  $kj$  components are

$$\mathbf{f}^{kj} = \mathbf{K}^{kj} \mathbf{d}^{kj}$$

where  $kj \in \{0,1\}$ , denoting the symmetry of the four  $kj$  components, and

$$\mathbf{f}^{kj} = D \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^{kj} \\ \mathbf{M}^{kj} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{d}^{kj} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W}^{kj} \\ \mathbf{\Psi}^{kj} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{K}^{kj} = D \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{WN}^{kj-1} & -\mathbf{A}_{WW}^{kj-1} \mathbf{A}_{W\phi}^{kj} \\ \mathbf{A}_{MV}^{kj} \mathbf{A}_{WV}^{kj-1} & \mathbf{A}_{M\phi}^{kj} - \mathbf{A}_{MV}^{kj} \mathbf{A}_{WW}^{kj-1} \mathbf{A}_{W\phi}^{kj} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  in the SDS component matrix  $\mathbf{K}^{kj}$  has the following form, in which  $m \in [0, M-1]$  and  $n \in [0, N-1]$ ,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}(n,n)|_{n=0} & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{A}(n,m)|_{n=0, m=0} & \cdots & \mathbf{A}(n,m)|_{n=0, m=N-1} \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{A}(n,n)|_{n=N-1} & \mathbf{A}(n,m)|_{n=N-1, m=0} & \cdots & \mathbf{A}(n,m)|_{n=N-1, m=N-1} \\ \hline \mathbf{A}(m,n)|_{m=0, n=0} & \cdots & \mathbf{A}(m,n)|_{m=0, n=N-1} & \mathbf{A}(m,m)|_{m=0} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \mathbf{A}(m,n)|_{m=M-1, n=0} & \cdots & \mathbf{A}(m,n)|_{m=M-1, n=N-1} & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{A}(m,m)|_{m=M-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

the terms of these four matrices can be expressed in a concise form as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{Ww}^{ij}(n,n) &= -(\Sigma_1 \Upsilon_1 - \Sigma_2 \Upsilon_2) / \Upsilon_0 & A_{W\psi}^{kj}(n,m) &= -\Sigma_5 \Sigma_7 \\ A_{W\psi}^{kj}(m,n) &= -\Sigma_6 \Sigma_8 & A_{W\psi}^{kj}(m,m) &= -(\Sigma_3 \Upsilon_3 - \Sigma_4 \Upsilon_4) / \Upsilon_0 \\ A_{WV}^{kj}(n,n) &= (\Upsilon_1 - \Upsilon_2) / \Upsilon_0 & A_{WV}^{kj}(n,m) &= \Sigma_7 \\ A_{WV}^{kj}(m,n) &= \Sigma_8 & A_{WV}^{kj}(m,m) &= (\Upsilon_3 - \Upsilon_4) / \Upsilon_0 \\ A_{M\psi}^{kj}(n,n) &= -(\Sigma_1^2 \Upsilon_1 - \Sigma_2^2 \Upsilon_2) / \Upsilon_0 & A_{M\psi}^{kj}(n,m) &= -\Sigma_9 \Sigma_7 \\ A_{M\psi}^{kj}(m,n) &= -\Sigma_9 \Sigma_8 & A_{M\psi}^{kj}(m,m) &= -(\Sigma_3^2 \Upsilon_3 - \Sigma_4^2 \Upsilon_4) / \Upsilon_0 \\ A_{MV}^{kj}(n,n) &= (\Sigma_1 \Upsilon_1 - \Sigma_2 \Upsilon_2) / \Upsilon_0 & A_{MV}^{kj}(n,m) &= \Sigma_6 \Sigma_7 \\ A_{MV}^{kj}(m,n) &= \Sigma_3 \Sigma_8 & A_{MV}^{kj}(m,m) &= (\Sigma_3 \Upsilon_3 - \Sigma_4 \Upsilon_4) / \Upsilon_0 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
r_0 &= 2\sqrt{\kappa}, & \Sigma_0 &= 2(-1)^{m+n} \\
\Upsilon_1 &= \text{TH}_k(q_{1jn}a)/q_{1jn}, & \Upsilon_2 &= \text{TH}_k(q_{2jn}a)/q_{2jn} \\
\Upsilon_3 &= \text{TH}_j(p_{1km}b)/p_{1km}, & \Upsilon_4 &= \text{TH}_j(p_{2km}b)/p_{2km} \\
\Sigma_1 &= v\beta_{jn}^2 - q_{1jn}^2, & \Sigma_2 &= v\beta_{jn}^2 - q_{2jn}^2 \\
\Sigma_3 &= v\alpha_{km}^2 - p_{1km}^2, & \Sigma_4 &= v\alpha_{km}^2 - p_{2km}^2 \\
\Sigma_5 &= v\alpha_{km}^2 + \beta_{jn}^2, & \Sigma_6 &= \alpha_{km}^2 + v\beta_{jn}^2 \\
\Sigma_7 &= \Sigma_0 / \left[ \sqrt{\zeta_{jn}\zeta_{km}ab} (p_{1km}^2 + \beta_{jn}^2)(p_{2km}^2 + \beta_{jn}^2) \right] \\
\Sigma_8 &= \Sigma_0 / \left[ \sqrt{\zeta_{jn}\zeta_{km}ab} (q_{1jn}^2 + \alpha_{km}^2)(q_{2jn}^2 + \alpha_{km}^2) \right] \\
\Sigma_9 &= (1-v)^2 \alpha_{km}^2 \beta_{jn}^2 + v\kappa
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{TH}_l(\Xi) = \text{H}_l(\Xi) / \text{H}_l^*(\Xi), \quad \text{H}_l(\Gamma\xi) = \begin{cases} \cosh(\Gamma\xi), & l=0 \\ \sinh(\Gamma\xi), & l=1 \end{cases}$$

$$p_{1bm} = \sqrt{\alpha_{km}^2 - \sqrt{\kappa}}, \quad p_{2km} = \sqrt{\alpha_{km}^2 + \sqrt{\kappa}}, \quad q_{1jn} = \sqrt{\beta_{jn}^2 - \sqrt{\kappa}}, \quad q_{2jn} = \sqrt{\beta_{jn}^2 + \sqrt{\kappa}}$$

Assembling the  $kj$  component matrix  $\mathbf{K}^{kj}$  into the SDS matrix of the plate element  $\mathbf{K}_p$

as follows,

$$\mathbf{K}_p = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}^{00} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{K}^{01} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{K}^{10} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{K}^{11} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}^T$$

where  $\mathbf{T}$  is the total transfer matrix, the analytical formula is as follows,

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_m \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & -\mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & -\mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & -\mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & -\mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & -\mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & -\mathbf{I}_m \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & -\mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & -\mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_m \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\mathbf{I}_m$  and  $\mathbf{I}_n$  are  $n$ -dimensional and  $m$ -dimensional identity matrices respectively,

and  $\mathbf{O}$  represents an empty matrix.

## References

- [1] Lee J S, Deckers E, Jonckheere S, Desmet W, Kim Y Y. A direct hybrid finite element-wave based modelling technique for efficient analysis of poroelastic materials in steady-state acoustic problems[J]. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 2016, 304: 55–80.
- [2] Langley R S, Cotoni V. Response variance prediction in the statistical energy analysis of built-up systems[J]. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 2004, 115(2): 706–718.
- [3] Vergote K, Vandepitte D, Desmet W. On the use of a hybrid wave based-statistical energy approach for the analysis of vibro-acoustic systems in the mid-frequency range[J]. *Proceedings of ISMA 2010 - International Conference on Noise and Vibration Engineering, including USD 2010*, 2010(January): 2437–2449.
- [4] Elishakoff I, Sternberg A. Vibration of rectangular plates with edge-beams[J]. *Acta Mechanica*, 1980, 36: 195–212.
- [5] Mace B R. Sound Radiation From Fluid Loaded Stiffened[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 1981, 79: 439–452.
- [6] Mace B R. Sound radiation from a plate reinforced by two sets of parallel stiffeners[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 1980, 71(3): 435–441.
- [7] Mead D J. Plates with regular stiffening in acoustic media: Vibration and radiation[J]. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 1990, 88(1): 391–401.
- [8] Yin X W, Gu X J, Cui H F, Shen R Y. Acoustic radiation from a laminated composite plate reinforced by doubly periodic parallel stiffeners[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2007, 306(3–5): 877–889.
- [9] Siddiqi Z, Kukreti A. Analysis of eccentrically stiffened plates with mixed boundary conditions using differential quadrature method[J]. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 1998, 22(4–5): 251–275.
- [10] Mittelstedt C. Explicit local buckling analysis of stiffened composite plates accounting for periodic boundary conditions and stiffener-plate interaction[J]. *Composite Structures*, 2009, 91(3): 249–265.
- [11] Xu H, Du J, Li W L. Vibrations of rectangular plates reinforced by any number of beams of arbitrary lengths and placement angles[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2010, 329(18): 3759–

- 3779.
- [12] Zhang X, Li W L. A unified approach for predicting sound radiation from baffled rectangular plates with arbitrary boundary conditions[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2010, 329(25): 5307–5320.
  - [13] Lin T R. An analytical and experimental study of the vibration response of a clamped ribbed plate[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2012, 331(4): 902–913.
  - [14] Lin T R, Zhang K. An analytical study of the free and forced vibration response of a ribbed plate with free boundary conditions[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2018, 422: 15–33.
  - [15] Golkaram M, Aghdam M M. Free transverse vibration analysis of thin rectangular plates locally suspended on elastic beam[J]. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, 2013, 227(7): 1515–1524.
  - [16] Gorman D J. Free vibration analysis of corner-supported rectangular plates with symmetrically distributed edge beams[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2003, 263(5): 979–1003.
  - [17] Zheng H, Wei Z. Vibroacoustic analysis of stiffened plates with nonuniform boundary conditions[J]. *International Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 2013, 5(4): 1–19.
  - [18] Aksogan O, Turkozer C D, Emsen E, Resatoglu R. Dynamic analysis of non-planar coupled shear walls with stiffening beams using Continuous Connection Method[J]. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 2014, 82: 95–104.
  - [19] Wittrick W H, Williams F W. Buckling and vibration of anisotropic or isotropic plate assemblies under combined loadings[J]. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, 1974, 16(4): 209–239.
  - [20] Boscolo M, Banerjee J R. Dynamic stiffness method for exact inplane free vibration analysis of plates and plate assemblies[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2011, 330(12): 2928–2936.
  - [21] Tounsi D, Casimir J B, Abid S, Tawfiq I, Haddar M. Dynamic stiffness formulation and response analysis of stiffened shells[J]. *Computers and Structures*, 2014, 132: 75–83.
  - [22] Fazzolari F A. A refined dynamic stiffness element for free vibration analysis of cross-ply laminated composite cylindrical and spherical shallow shells[J]. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 2014, 62: 143–158.
  - [23] Li H, Yin X, Wu W. Dynamic stiffness formulation for in-plane and bending vibrations of plates with two opposite edges simply supported[J]. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 2016.

- [24] Liu X, Zhao X, Xie C. Exact free vibration analysis for membrane assemblies with general classical boundary conditions[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2020.
- [25] Liu X, Xie C, Dan H-C. Exact Free Vibration Analysis for Plate Built-Up Structures under Comprehensive Combinations of Boundary Conditions[J]. *Shock and Vibration*, 2020, 2020(5305692): 1–21.
- [26] Langley R S. Application of the dynamic stiffness method to the free and forced vibrations of aircraft panels[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 1989, 135(2): 319–331.
- [27] Langley R S. A dynamic stiffness technique for the vibration analysis of stiffened shell structures[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 1992, 156(3): 521–540.
- [28] Watson A, Kennedy D, Williams F W, Featherston C A. Buckling and vibration of stiffened panels or single plates with clamped ends[J]. *Advances in Structural Engineering*, 2003, 6(2): 135–144.
- [29] Yin X, Wu W, Zhong K, Li H. Dynamic stiffness formulation for the vibrations of stiffened plate structures with consideration of in-plane deformation[J]. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 2018, 24(20): 4825–4838.
- [30] Liu X, Banerjee J R. Free vibration analysis for plates with arbitrary boundary conditions using a novel spectral-dynamic stiffness method[J]. *Computers & Structures*, 2016, 164: 108–126.
- [31] Liu X, Banerjee J R. An exact spectral-dynamic stiffness method for free flexural vibration analysis of orthotropic composite plate assemblies - Part II: Applications[J]. *Composite Structures*, 2015, 132: 1274–1287.
- [32] Liu X, Banerjee J R. The spectral-dynamic stiffness method: A novel approach for exact free vibration analysis of plate-like structures[J]. *Civil-Comp Proceedings*, 2015.
- [33] Papkov S O, Banerjee J R. Dynamic stiffness formulation and free vibration analysis of specially orthotropic Mindlin plates with arbitrary boundary conditions[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2019, 458: 522–543.
- [34] Liu X, Banerjee J R. A spectral dynamic stiffness method for free vibration analysis of plane elastodynamic problems[J]. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 2017, 87: 136–160.
- [35] Liu X, Kassem H I, Banerjee J R. An exact spectral dynamic stiffness theory for composite plate-like structures with arbitrary non-uniform elastic supports, mass attachments and coupling constraints[J]. *Composite Structures*, 2016, 142: 140–154.

- [36] Liu X. Spectral dynamic stiffness formulation for inplane modal analysis of composite plate assemblies and prismatic solids with arbitrary classical / nonclassical boundary conditions[J]. *Composite Structures*, 2016, 158: 262–280.
- [37] Liu X, Liu X, Zhou W. An analytical spectral stiffness method for buckling of rectangular plates on Winkler foundation subject to general boundary conditions[J]. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 2020.
- [38] Liu X, Liu X, Xie S. A highly accurate analytical spectral flexibility formulation for buckling and wrinkling of orthotropic rectangular plates[J]. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, 2020, 168(105311).
- [39] Nefovska-Danilovic M, Petronijevic M. In-plane free vibration and response analysis of isotropic rectangular plates using the dynamic stiffness method[J]. *Computers and Structures*, 2015, 152: 82–95.
- [40] Kolarevic N, Nefovska-Danilovic M, Petronijevic M. Dynamic stiffness elements for free vibration analysis of rectangular Mindlin plate assemblies[J]. *Journal of sound and vibration*, Elsevier, 2015, 359: 84–106.
- [41] Kolarevic N, Marjanović M, Nefovska-Danilovic M, Petronijevic M. Free vibration analysis of plate assemblies using the dynamic stiffness method based on the higher order shear deformation theory[J]. *Journal of sound and vibration*, 2016, 364: 110–132.
- [42] Liu X, Banerjee J R. An exact spectral-dynamic stiffness method for free flexural vibration analysis of orthotropic composite plate assemblies - Part I: Theory[J]. *Composite Structures*, 2015, 132: 1274–1287.