



City Research Online

City St George's, University of London

Citation: Zulker Nayeen, M. S. (2021). Composition of ad hoc Committee for annulling ICSID Arbitral Award: Appraising the Participation of Parties and Contracting States. *Rajshahi University Law Review*, XI, pp. 131-145.

This is the published version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version. To cite this item please consult the publisher's version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/26906/>

Copyright and Reuse: Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, unless otherwise indicated, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. For full details of reuse please refer to [City Research Online policy](#).

ISSN 2070-9196

RAJSHAHI UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

Vol. XI



Department of Law
University of Rajshahi

Rajshahi University Law Review

ISSN 2070-9196

Vol. XI

December 2020

Editor in Chief

Professor Dr. Mohammad Abdul Hannan

Assistant Editor

Professor Dr. Sayeeda Anju

Editorial Board

Professor Dr. Begum Asma Siddiqua

Professor Dr. M Anisur Rahman

Professor Dr. M Ahsan Kabir

Professor Dr. Md Hashibul Alam Prodhan

Professor Abu Naser Md. Wahid

Professor Dr. Md Abdur Rahim Mia

Professor Dr. Md Sahal Uddin

Professor Dr. Md Morshedul Islam

Professor Dr. Md Abdul Alim

[N.B. Editorial Board does not bear any responsibility for the views expressed
by the authors in the article published in this Journal]

University of Rajshahi

Department of Law

Rajshahi University Law Review is a platform for the scholars, researchers, practitioners and judges to develop their research works, philosophies and ideas. It is a yearly printed (along with online version) journal devoted to Jurisprudence, International Law, Personal Laws, Constitutional and Administrative Laws, Human Rights, Corporate Laws etc. It is a double blind peer-reviewed journal with ISSN number.

Correspondence: All Manuscripts and editorial correspondence should be addressed to:

The Editor in Chief
Rajshahi University Law Review
Department of Law
University of Rajshahi
Rajshahi 6205
BANGLADESH
Tel: +880 721 711300
E-mail: rulawreview.raj@gmail.com

Published by	The Department of Law, University of Rajshahi
Price	Tk. 200.00 (Two hundred taka)
ISSN	2070-9196
Printed by	Sarkar Printing, Ranibazar, Rajshahi. Ph.: 0721-770608

[Published in August 2021]

CONTENTS

Dumping and Anti-Dumping Laws and Practices in International Trade: An Analytical Study with Special Reference to Bangladesh Dr. Md. Sahal Uddin	1-12
The Implications and Impacts of Environmental Justice in Developing Countries: Economic and Legal Analysis Md. Shahriar Parvez	13-29
The Scope of the Contemporary Legal Regime to Protect the Climate and Armed Conflict Refugees KMS Tareq	31-42
Recycling of Ships in Bangladesh: Legal Norms and Practices Md. Raisul Islam Md. Shahriar Parvez	43-68
Through the Westminster Lens: A Critical Reflection on the Legislative Procedure of Bangladesh Nirmal Kumar Saha M. Jashim Ali Chowdhury	69-88
Delay in Disposal of Criminal Cases in Bangladesh: A Legal Analysis Dr. Md Abdur Rahim Mia	89-111
Implementation of the United Nations Convention Against Torture in Bangladesh Md. Shibly Islam	113-129
Composition of <i>ad hoc</i> Committee for Annuling ICSID Arbitral Award: Appraising the Participation of Parties and Contracting States Sekander Zulker Nayeen	131-145
Business on Virtual Platform in Bangladesh: Lacunae in the Existing Laws Dr. Sayeeda Anju	147-162
Cross-border Human Trafficking in Bangladesh with special reference to Pandemic Situation Mst. Rezwana Karim	163-188
মুসলিম উত্তরাধিকার আইন অনুযায়ী সম্পত্তি বন্টনে পুত্র-কন্যা সন্তানের অংশ প্রাপ্তির প্রকৃতি ও সচেতনতা: বাংলাদেশের বাস্তবতা মোহাম্মদ হেদায়েত উল্লাহ	189-210

Notes for Contributors

Rajshahi University Law Review is published by the Department of Law, University of Rajshahi usually in December every year. Scholarly articles relating to law and society and book reviews are considered for publication in the Journal.

The author(s) are requested to send two hard copies of manuscript to the correspondence address, and a copy by e-mail attachment to: rulawreview.raj@gmail.com.

Each manuscript must be accompanied by a statement (annexed with call for papers) that the manuscript has not been published elsewhere, that it has not been submitted simultaneously for publication elsewhere. Authors are responsible for obtaining permission to reproduce copyrighted material from other sources and are required to sign an agreement for the transfer of copyright. All accepted manuscripts will become the property of the journal. Manuscripts must not be more than 6000 words inclusive of abstract, reference pages, illustrations, acknowledgements, tables and figure. The abstract should not exceed 250 words. A short biography of each author has also to be included along with the manuscript. It is a peer-reviewed journal; the review board will have the right of reviewing the manuscripts with regard to both language and format.

Reference Style

Authors are instructed to use **Oxford University Standard for the Citation of Legal Authorities** (OSCOLA).

Link: <http://www.citethisforme.com/uk/referencing-generator/oscola>

The opinions, analysis and conclusions expressed or implied in the journal are those of the author(s).

Composition of *ad hoc* Committee for annulling ICSID Arbitral Award: Appraising the Participation of Parties and Contracting States

Sekander Zulker Nayeen*

Abstract

The International Centre for Settlement of Investment Dispute (ICSID) provides a delocalized system for reviewing an arbitral award passed by its original tribunal. In this system, review against any arbitral decision is heard and decided by an 'ad hoc Committee' composed by the Chairman of the Administrative Council of ICSID. Some commentators criticize that the present Committee-composition system confines the party-autonomy and effective participation of ICSID Contracting States in the process. Consequently, some stipulations regarding the qualifications of the arbitrators are not being fully sustained, and the parties are not getting their disputes resolved by the arbitrators they desire. The present study approaches to the ways of ensuring party-autonomy, the participation of the parties and Contracting States in the composition of the ad hoc Committee. In doing so, the compositions of World Trade Organization (WTO) Appellate Body and the International Court of Justice (ICJ) have been discussed to entice an analogy with that of ICSID ad hoc Committee.

Keywords: Composition of ad hoc Committee, Discretion of the Chairman, Party autonomy, Qualification and experience of arbitrators, WTO Appellate Body

* PhD Candidate at the City, University of London under the auspices of City Law School Doctoral Scholarship; he is an Additional District and Sessions Judge, Bangladesh Judiciary, and the UK Government's Chevening Scholar. E-mail: Md.Zulker-Nayeen@city.ac.uk. This article is a modified version of a chapter of his LLM dissertation that was submitted to the City, University of London. The author acknowledges the cordial assistance of his supervisor Professor David Collins in accomplishing this research.

1. Introduction

The *ad hoc* Committee¹ (annulment Committee) is a superior authority in ICSID system established to review an award passed by ICSID tribunal. The composition of this Committee is considerably different from other arbitral regimes and even largely different from the composition of arbitral tribunal under the ICSID Convention itself.² It is composed of three members selected by the Chairman of the Administrative Council of ICSID (hereinafter as the Chairman) on receipt of request from the Secretary General upon registration of an application for annulment.³ This procedure of constituting *ad hoc* Committee broaches some debates, such as, it gives unhindered discretion to the Chairman in composing the Committee which affects the principle of party autonomy especially the parties' participation in selecting and constituting the Committee; it only leaves limited or indirect scope of participation for Contracting States to form the Committee. Given the situations, part-II of this study analyzes-how the composition of *ad hoc* Committee undermines party-autonomy to the extent of appointment of arbitrators. Part-III analyzes- how the greater participation of the parties and Contracting States could minimize the problems arose in part-II. The scope of this paper is strictly confined within some narrower, but significant arbitration principles- such as, party-autonomy and party-participation in the composition of the ICSID arbitral review forum. In theorizing these principles into the ICSID review regime a qualitative research has been carried out throughout the paper.

¹ In this study, it would, sometimes, be called as 'annulment Committee' or simply 'Committee'.

² Generally, the primary arbitral tribunal under ICSID Convention consists of an uneven number of arbitrators. Each party appoints one arbitrator, and the arbitrators attempt to agree on the third arbitrator, the President of the Tribunal. If the party-appointed arbitrators fail to agree, the Secretary-General (or the Chairman of the Administrative Council) of ICSID appoints the President. In appointing their arbitrators the parties enjoy unhindered party-autonomy because they are not bound to select them from the Panel of Arbitrators of ICSID. The system is almost same in case of other arbitral regimes.

³ ICSID Convention art 52(3) and Arbitration Rules rule 52

2. Party autonomy and the composition of *ad hoc* Committee

The procedure for composing *ad hoc* Committee seems contrary to the principle of party autonomy. Generally, party autonomy in its absolute sense means that the parties will have control in all aspects of the proceedings including the composition of the arbitral tribunal and the rules governing the proceedings.⁴ The party autonomy especially parties' participation in appointing arbitrators⁵ is historically recognized in investment arbitration.⁶ Under this principle, investment arbitration provides the parties some privileges of constituting the tribunal and choosing suitable laws. ICSID system also recognizes party autonomy in constituting the original arbitral tribunal.⁷ Party autonomy in ICSID is so wide that it does not limit the party to appoint an arbitrator even out of the panel of ICSID-arbitrators.⁸ However, the scenario is radically reverse for the composition of annulment Committee. It deprives the parties from a fundamental privilege of choosing international arbitration i.e. the party-appointment.⁹ The first deprivation starts with the restriction upon selecting members of *ad hoc* Committee from the ICSID's panel of arbitrators only. It ultimately restricts the parties' expectation to get the dispute resolved by a qualified arbitrator of their choice. The second deprivation starts with the parties' non-participation and the Chairman's discretion in constituting the Committee. These two matters will be discussed elaborately in the following paragraphs.

⁴ Anoosha Boralessa, 'Limitations of Party Autonomy in ICSIS Arbitration' (2004) 15 *The American Review of International Arbitration* 253, 266

⁵ Julian D. M. Lew, Loukas A. Mistelis, et al., *Comparative International Commercial Arbitration*, (© Kluwer Law International; Kluwer Law International 2003) para 10-4

⁶ Joseph M. Matthews, 'Difficult Transitions Do Not Always Require Major Adjustment- It's Not Time to Abandon Party-Nominated Arbitrators in Investment Arbitration' (2010) 25 *ICSID Rev. Foreign Investment Law Journal* 356, 359.

⁷ Boralessa (n 4) 253

⁸ Juan Fernández-Armesto, 'Different Systems for the Annulment of Investment Awards' (2011) 26(1) *ICSID Review* 128, 134

⁹ Chiara Giorgetti, 'Who Decides Who Decides in International Investment Arbitration' (2013) 35(2) *University of Pennsylvania Journal of Law* 431, 437

A. The question of qualifications of the panel members

Since there is no party-appointment, the annulment Committee is supposed to be composed of renowned experts in the field of investment arbitration with a view to satisfying the parties about the standard of the decision. Some commentators claimed that the members of the *ad hoc* Committees are usually experts in investment arbitration.¹⁰ But how a party would be assured about the expertise of such a member? It may be argued that the parties' satisfaction on ICSID-arbitrator's qualifications should be assessed following the terms and conditions ICSID sets for selecting the arbitrators. At the moment of agreeing to arbitrate before ICSID tribunal, the parties give up their right to get preferred arbitrators during annulment because, they believe, ICSID provisions ensure the appointment of experts in annulment Committee. Therefore, the ICSID provisions requiring the qualifications of the arbitrators can be considered as a substitution to the arbitrators' qualifications. Hence, it is necessary to see at first how ICSID prepares the panel of qualified arbitrators.

In preparing this panel of arbitrators, ICSID invites each Contracting States to designate four qualified persons to this panel.¹¹ The Chairman may, additionally, designate up to ten persons to this panel.¹² This provision invokes the Contracting State to forward only 'qualified persons'. The Convention stipulates three main qualifications for designating persons to the panel- such as they must be (i) persons of high moral character, (ii) recognized for competence in the fields of law, commerce, industry or finance, (iii) competent to exercise independent judgment.¹³ In addition, the designated person's competence in the field of law shall be of particular importance.¹⁴ Apart from the qualification 'high moral character', the Convention requires arbitrators' expertise in four major fields e.g. law, commerce, industry, finance. The ICSID system divided these major fields in 11

¹⁰ Kateryna Bondar, 'Annulment of ICSID and Non-ICSID Investment Awards: Differences in the Extent of Review' (2015) 32(6) *Journal of International Arbitration* 621, 633

¹¹ ICSID Convention, art 13(1)

¹² ICSID Convention, art 13(2)

¹³ ICSID Convention, art 14(1)

¹⁴ ICSID Convention, art 14(1)

'economic sectors'¹⁵ and 12 specific 'subject of dispute'.¹⁶ The basic qualifications, economic sectors and subject of dispute represent the diversity of ICSID arbitral regime. This diversity demands the panel members' expertise and experience in diversified areas of investment. Some other attributes such as- knowledge of and experience with international investment law, public international law and international arbitration are also necessary for being the panel member.¹⁷ In short, these stipulations forward three major demands before designating an arbitrator to the panel- one, the arbitrators must have expertise in any one or more of the major fields or economic sectors specified in the Convention; two, they must be capable of independent judgment; three, they must have prior experience of conducting arbitration. If we theoretically consider the stipulated qualifications mentioned above, we must admit that the panel of arbitrators are rich enough to deal the review regime. But, there have been complaints in the past that not all members of the panel had the necessary qualifications.¹⁸ In the following paragraphs, some recent data will be analyzed to search the substance of such allegation.

In 2015, the Chairman constituted 13 annulment Committees¹⁹ wherein 25²⁰ persons were appointed from the panel of arbitrators. By perusing

¹⁵ International Centre for Settlement of Investment Dispute, <<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/icsidweb/cases/pagesadvancedsearch.aspx>> accessed 27 July 2016 [The 'economic sectors are: (i) agriculture, fishing & forestry, (ii) construction, (iii) electric power & other energy, (iv) finance, (v) information & communication, (vi) oil, gas & mining, (vii) other industry, (viii) services & trade, (ix) tourism, (x) transportation and (xi) water, sanitation and flood protection.]

¹⁶ *Ibid* [The 'subject of dispute' are: (i) banking enterprise, (ii) cement production enterprise, (iii) debt instruments, (iv) food products enterprise, (v) hydrocarbon concession, (vi) mining concession, (vii) renewable energy generation enterprise, (viii) telecommunication enterprise, (ix) waste disposal enterprise, (x) waste management services, (xi) water and sewer services concession agreement, (xii) water services concession]

¹⁷ International Centre for Settlement of Investment Dispute, <<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/about/Pages/Qualifications-for-the-Panels.aspx>> accessed 29 July 2016.

¹⁸ Georges Delaume, *Le Centre International pour le reglement des Differends relatifs aux Investissements (CIRDI)*, (1982) 109 J. *DROIT INT'L (CLUNET)* 775, 820-1

¹⁹ <<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/icsidweb/cases/pagesadvancedsearch.aspx?gE=s&trbFdt=01%2F01%2F2015&trbTdt=12%2F31%2F2015>>

their Curriculum Vitae (CV),²¹ it appears that everyone possesses a range of expertise in international arbitration and participated in different arbitrations under ICSID, International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Model Law and others. However, the range of their professions does not give the impression that the panel of arbitrators covers the diversified areas of investment knowledge. All the 25 arbitrators are from legal discipline e.g. professors in law, legal practitioners, and judges. Only two arbitrators have the experiences of working in banking sector. The professional backgrounds of these arbitrators appointed in the annulment Committees do not express the true representation of all the major fields in the panel of arbitrators. There are some risks of not appointing arbitrators from other technical fields. Consequently, other professionals, for example, engineers, bankers, government high officials, agriculturist etc should be included in the panel since the ICSID deals economic sectors concerned with them.

Again, the arbitrators are required to be capable of delivering independent judgment. But ICSID does not have any mechanism to assess the independent judgment-delivering quality of a designee. For example, there is no formal body or mechanism in the ICSID that can call for and assess the designees' prior relevant work records or track records to evaluate their capability of delivering independent judgment. The designation of individuals is mostly within the discretion of States that may not select the designees through a competition. Furthermore, their designation is not subject to any challenge or scrutiny.²² Consequently, a person having limited quality of delivering independent judgment can likely be designated. This is not a problem during selecting arbitrators for original tribunal because, before appointing the arbitrators, the parties scrutinize the

&apprl=CD20,CD18&typ=CD12> accessed 30 July 2016, 12 *ad hoc* Committees were constituted and one was reconstituted.

²⁰ *Ibid.* Some of the panel members were appointed for more than one *ad hoc* Committee.

²¹ ICSID, 'Arbitrators, Conciliators and Ad Hoc Committee Members' <<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/arbitrators/Pages/CVSearch.aspx>> CVs are available on ICSID official website.

²² Boralessa (n 4) 288

qualifications of arbitrators whereby they could avoid appointing anyone who does not satisfy the parties' desired qualifications.²³ Problem occurs when the Chairman appoints the members for annulment Committee as the parties do not have any opportunity to forward a choice. However, it is expected that the Chairman will appoint such member who has prior experience of conducting ICSID tribunal because, firstly, working within the ICSID system enables the Chairman to assess individual-arbitrator's competence of delivering independent judgment and, secondly, it equips the arbitrator with the ICSID arbitration experience. It has been observed that the Chairman constituted 13 annulments Committee in 2015 appointing 25 persons from the panel.²⁴ Some members served in more than one Committee.²⁵ At least seven Committee members out of them did not have any prior experience of conducting ICSID original arbitration.²⁶ Some of them had only conducted one annulment proceedings ever and one member, despite having no experience in ICSID original arbitration conducted seven annulment proceedings.²⁷ The fact forwards a question that how the Chairman assessed the competence and experience of the Committee members regarding their capability of delivering independent judgment. Therefore, the above analyses posed three major problems regarding the qualifications of annulment Committee members- one, failure to ensure the representation from other major disciplines; two, non-availability of the mechanism to assess the independent judgment quality of the designees; three, limited scope to appoint experienced arbitrators. The Chairman's wide discretion of constituting the Committee is one of the contributing factors for these problems.

B. The question of party-participation and the Chairman's discretion

During the selection of an arbitrator, usually, the parties and their counsels spend substantial time and resources for searching efficient arbitrator.²⁸ In ICSID, the parties do not have any freedom to appoint

²³ Carlos Alberto Matheus López, 'Practical Criteria for Selecting International Arbitrators' (2014) 31(6) *Journal of International Arbitration* 795 - 806

²⁴ ICSID (n 19)

²⁵ *Ibid.*

²⁶ ICSID, 'Arbitrators, Conciliators and Ad Hoc Committee Members' (n 21)

²⁷ *Ibid.*

²⁸ Giorgetti (n 9) 445

or even select a member of annulment Committee because the Chairman appoints three persons from the panel of arbitrators.²⁹ As mentioned earlier, this panel would be constituted with the persons designated by both the Chairman and Contracting States. During such designation the Chairman, in addition, pays due regard to the importance of assuring representation from the principal legal systems of the world and the main forms of economic activity.³⁰

However, in principle, the Chairman's discretion regarding the Committee-composition is subjected to two nominal restrictions: one, the member must be taken from the panel of arbitrators; and two, those members must not be connected with any of the parties through nationality or any prior arbitration, conciliation etc.³¹ These rules indicate the formation of such an annulment Committee independent from the parties' control. This may be for gaining more trust and confident on the unbiased constitution of the Committee. But this system diverts the whole process to unhindered discretion of the Chairman prioritizing his discretion over the parties' expectation and, even, minimizing the ICSID Member States' participation in constituting the Committee. David Collins termed this discretion of the Chairman, who is also the President of World Bank, as autocratic.³² The unhindered discretion of the Chairman has driven three major debates in the process of composing annulment Committee. *First*, since the Chairman has wide discretion of designating persons to the panel of arbitrators, does he ensure representation from the principal legal system and main forms of economic activity? *Second*, in absence of party-appointment, does he show any bias appointing his own designees in the annulment Committee? *Third*, do the Contracting States have any role in the appointment of annulment Committee?

First, the Chairman has an obligation to designate persons to the panel of arbitrators ensuring representation from all of the principal legal systems and main forms of economic activity. The phrase 'principal

²⁹ ICSID Convention, art 52(3)

³⁰ ICSID Convention, art 14(2)

³¹ ICSID Convention art 52(3)

³² David Collins, ICSID Annulment Committee Appointments: Too Much Discretions for the Chairman (2013) 30 (4) *Journal of International Arbitration* 333, 339

legal systems' is similar to the practice of International Court of Justice (ICJ) and refers to the various groups of the prevailing legal systems.³³ Since the concept 'principal legal systems' has been borrowed from the ICJ practice, an alike representation can be brought into ICSID system. Today this distribution in ICJ is as follows: Africa 3, Latin America and the Caribbean 2, Asia 3, Western Europe and other States 5, Eastern Europe 2.³⁴ Rules may be framed providing a proportionate and reasonable distribution so that representation from all major legal systems can be ensured. Now, what is the meaning of the second phrase 'main forms of economic activity'? During the adoption of ICSID Convention it was said that 'the expression the main forms of economic activity in Section 15(2) covered such sectors of the economy as banking, industry, agriculture and the like'.³⁵ The ICSID system clarifies these major fields specifying 11 'economic sectors' in dispute which can be described as the major technical sectors in investment area. However, after examining the biographies³⁶ of the present designees, it is evident that they do not represent professionals from some main economic forms such as - agriculture, business, banking etc. All of the designees except one³⁷ are legal experts especially professors in law and arbitration practitioners. Professor David Collins posed confusion that there is no indication as to the criteria of designating these members by the Chairman.³⁸ This question has some substance because any document published from ICSID does not disclose any information regarding such designation. Such designation should be regulated by a set of rule ensuring the representation from major legal systems and economic sectors.

Second, the Chairman is designating persons to the panel of arbitrators and again selecting members of annulment Committee from the same panel. Since there is no rule as to how the Committee members would be selected, it seems absolute discretion of the Chairman. In this

³³ ICSID Secretariat, *History of the ICSID Convention Vol-II-2*, 728

³⁴ International Court of Justice, Members of the Court <www.icj-cij.org/court/?p1=1&p2=2> accessed 3 August 2016

³⁵ ICSID Secretariat, *History of the ICSID Convention Vol - II-1*, 487

³⁶ https://icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/StaticFiles/basicdoc/Biographical%20Notes_Arbitrators_FINAL accessed 1 August 2016.

³⁷ One designee is engineer having a Law degree.

³⁸ Collins (n 32) 337

backdrop, the Chairman is likely to exercise his wide discretion to appoint his designees to the Committee. Practically, there may have some other presumptions behind this exercise of power. One, as the designees from the Contracting States usually enters into the panel without any scrutiny; the Chairman might not find enough qualified arbitrators among them. Two, the Chairman, being the President of the World Bank, could not provide enough attention for searching qualified arbitrators from the long panel. Third, the Chairman knows well the qualifications of his designees which prompted their nominations to annulment Committees. These all are the consequences of non-participation of the parties and wide discretion of the Chairman in appointing the annulment Committee members. So, a mechanism for fair and unbiased procedure of appointment in the Committee is necessary.

Third, the Chairman's discretion in constituting the annulment Committee turned into absolute arrangement excluding the participation of Contracting States. It can be claimed that the Contracting States have indirect participation in composing the Committee as they designate the members of panel. So, they exercise a limited and indirect influence on the composition of Committees due to the fact that appointments are made from the panel of arbitrators.³⁹ But it is the ultimate discretion of the Chairman whether any members designated by the Contracting States would be appointed for annulment Committee. Since the State parties' designation does not undergo any scrutiny, there have been complaints that not all members of the panels had the necessary qualifications or were available for appointments.⁴⁰ In this backdrop, the Chairman may prefer to rely upon his personally acquainted panel members or his designees for annulment Committees. This is because there is no democratic mechanism whereby Contracting States may participate in selecting the Committee members.

³⁹ Christoph H. Schreuer, With Loretta Malintoppi, August Reinisch, Anthony Sinclair, *The ICSID Convention: A Commentary* (2nd edn, Cambridge University Press 2009) 1029.

⁴⁰ Paulsson, J., ICSID's Achievements and Prospects, 6 ICSID Review – FILJ 380, 394 (1991); ICSID Twelfth Annual Report 4 (1977/78) cited in Schreuer (n 39) 50

The discussion in this part puts forward some debates regarding the composition of *ad hoc* Committee members. Such as- (i) ICSID system affects party autonomy especially party appointment; (ii) it cannot always appoint the members with desired qualifications and experiences in investment-arbitration; (iii) it cannot prepare a panel of arbitrators representing the major economic sectors; (iv) it cannot ensure the equal selection of the States' designated arbitrators to the Committees in comparison with the Chairman's designees ; (v) it does not provide a mechanism ensuring the Contracting States' participation in the composition of *ad hoc* Committees.

3. Reformation to ensure party-appointment and Contracting States' participation

A limited modification into the ICSID annulment system may solve the problem of party-appointment. ICSID may maintain two panels of arbitrators- one for the original arbitration and another for annulment wherefrom parties to the dispute may nominate their arbitrators in each proceeding. Some commentators urged for enlarging the pool of arbitrators as a better solutions.⁴¹It does not seem better because it still suggests selection of arbitrators from the common pool where each arbitrator is professionally connected with others. It, rather, would create professional conflict among them. Question may arise, would a separate panel of arbitrators solve the debates as exposed in earlier part.

First, both the States and Chairman would ensure the qualifications of their designees to the panel. It is interesting that the rules of quality-designation are provided in the existing regulations of ICSID. Regulation 21(2) of the Administrative and Financial Regulations stipulates that the Contracting State must indicate the name, address and nationality of the designee, and include a statement of his qualifications, with particular reference to his competence in the fields of law, commerce, industry and finance. This obligation seems not respected properly by the Contracting States because, on a search into

⁴¹ Giorgetti (n 9) 437

the ICSID data,⁴² it is found that most of their designees' profiles do not contain the stipulated descriptions. Such a panel of arbitrators would lead the Chairman to appoint Committee members from a narrow list of his acquainted persons. Therefore, the designation, be it either by the Contracting States or Chairman, must include a detail description specifying the designee's area of expertise and experience in arbitration. During the designation they must forward some other professionals, not only legal experts, to be included in their lists. The Chairman has two additional duties at the time of designation, such as ensuring the representation from- principal legal systems and main economic activity. The Chairman should designate more members from technical fields, e.g., engineering, agriculture etc.

Second, the present system has centered all powers of constituting *ad hoc* Committee into the functions of Chairman denying greater participation of the Contracting States. But such participation is necessary for the development of the ICSID annulment mechanism. As Professor David Collins commented-

'[S]ubstantive improvements to the annulment procedure's mandate, keeping within the bounds of its discretion to assess the correctness of the procedure adopted by tribunals in rendering an award, could be achieved by ensuring greater ICSID member participation in the appointment of the annulment committees'.⁴³

For ensuring such participation, he referred the composition of the WTO's Appellate Body.⁴⁴This Appellate Body is a standing body of seven persons that hears appeals from reports issued by panels deciding the disputes brought by WTO Members.⁴⁵ Unlike the ICSID, the appointment of particular individuals to this Body are made by a committee within the WTO's Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) which itself represents the Member States of the WTO.⁴⁶ The decision of WTO

⁴² <<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/arbitrators/Pages/CVSearch.aspx?gE=pnltype&pnltype=ARB>> accessed 01 August 2016.

⁴³ Collins (n 32) 339

⁴⁴ *Ibid.* 340

⁴⁵ www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/appellate_body_e.htm accessed 02 August 2016.

⁴⁶ Collins (n 32) 340

Appellate Body member-appointment is taken on the recommendation of a Selection Committee, composed of various representatives from WTO committees, as well as the WTO Director General.⁴⁷ This process is addressed as more transparent and participatory than that of the ICSID annulment committee appointment.⁴⁸ David Collins supported a separate panel of arbitrators for annulment Committees following WTO model.⁴⁹ He urged to complement the role of the Chairman in annulment Committee appointment with the Administrative Council of ICSID (hereinafter as Administrative Council) since it is comprised of one representative of each of the Member States of ICSID, with each member having one vote.⁵⁰ He further suggested that the Administrative Council or a Committee under it should have some vetting power on the appointment of annulment Committee members by the Chairman.⁵¹ These suggestions stressed on the democratic participation of Contracting States and curbing unhindered discretion of the Chairman. But he did not suggest the way of ensuring party-appointment from this separate panel.

For party-appointment, the panel of arbitrators for annulment Committee can be prepared following the steps discussed hereafter. Like the existing regulation,⁵² the Secretary-General, at first step, will convene the Contracting States and then the Chairman to designate persons to the panel of arbitrators specifying their expertise in particular economic sector and experiences in conducting arbitration. However, a format of arbitrators' CV should be designed by ICSID to this effect. Upon receiving the designations, either the Administrative Council or a small Committee under it will scrutinize the competence of the designated persons in conducting arbitration. Interestingly, Mr. Kpognon, an expert to the Consultative Meeting of ICSID Convention,

⁴⁷ *Ibid.* 341; See also Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes, art 2.4 [Where the rules and procedures of this Understanding provide for the DSB to take a decision, it shall do so by consensus]

⁴⁸ *Ibid.*

⁴⁹ *Ibid.*

⁵⁰ *Ibid.*

⁵¹ *Ibid.*

⁵² ICSID Administrative and Financial Regulations, reg 21(1)

during drafting the Convention, also proposed such a screening test by the ICSID⁵³ though his proposal was not succeeded in vote.⁵⁴ However, the Administrative Council or a Committee will finalize the panel of arbitrators with those designated persons whom they will find qualified. If they find any designee disqualified for the panel, they will drop him from the list and may convene the concerned State to forward another name. Like the selection of members for WTO Appellate Body, they along with the Chairman will prepare, at the same time, a panel of arbitrators for annulment Committee with those designees whom they will find experienced in investment arbitration. ICSID has records of making several appointments in annulment Committees from a small pool of highly experienced arbitrators.⁵⁵ This attempt reflects the ICSID's realization to preparing separate panel of arbitrators for annulment. In doing so, they must apply their mind in incorporating into both panels the experts from diversified professional backgrounds. This system of paneling will ensure the quality and responsible selection of the arbitrators into ICSID system in one hand. On the other hand, it will ensure the greater participation of Contracting States in the whole selection process. At the last step, like the appointment of members in original ICSID arbitration, the parties will be given autonomy to appoint their arbitrators from the annulment panel when they proceed for annulment of any arbitral decision. In case of their failure, the Chairman will exercise his power of appointing the members of annulment Committee. Such system would address the concerns regarding party-appointment and arbitrator's experience.

However, as against the concept of party-appointment in ICSID annulment, some commentators⁵⁶ argued that the Convention curtailed party-appointment because it wants to establish ultimate objectivity through an unbiased forum of annulment. Since party appoints its

⁵³ History Vol-II-1 (n 33) 253

⁵⁴ Schreuer (n 39) 49

⁵⁵ Lucy Reed, Jan Paulsson , et al., *Guide to ICSID Arbitration*, (Kluwer Law International 2010) 174

⁵⁶ Fernández-Armesto (n 8) 128; Schreuer (n 39) 1029

arbitrator after having some communications- like interview, the arbitrator may show biasness to his appointing parties.⁵⁷ To address this apprehension, party-appointment can be curtailed to 'party-consultation' or 'party preference'. There are some examples of consulting with the parties in appointing members of annulment Committees.⁵⁸ Under the 'party-preference' system, the parties may send a confidential letter of preference mentioning three arbitrators from the separate panel of arbitrators for annulment to the Chairman who may appoint any one out of the three. It will satisfy the parties regarding the qualifications and experiences of the arbitrators. In addition, it will help ICSID to constitute an *ad hoc* Committee with some unbiased arbitrators who would have no correspondences with the parties to the dispute.

⁵⁷ Doak Bishop, Lucy Reed, 'Practical Guidelines For Interviewing, Selecting And Challenging Party-Appointed Arbitrators In International Commercial Arbitration' (1998) 14(4) *Arbitration International* 395

⁵⁸ For example, in *Industria Nacional de Alimentos, S.A. and Indalsa Perú, S.A v. the Republic of Peru*, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/4, Decision on Annulment, 5 September 2007, para. 24 it is mentioned that, 'after consultation with the parties, ICSID appointed Sir Franklin Berman, Justice Hans Danelius and Professor Andrea Giardina to serve on the Ad hoc Committee set up for the annulment proceedings'.

