

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Alsanat, H., Gunalan, S., Poologanathan, K., Guan, H. & Tsavdaridis, K. D. (2020). Numerical investigation of web crippling in fastened aluminium lipped channel sections under two-flange loading conditions. Structures, 23, pp. 351-365. doi: 10.1016/j.istruc.2019.10.012

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/27022/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2019.10.012

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. City Research Online: <u>http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/</u> <u>publications@city.ac.uk</u>



City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Alsanat, H, Gunalan, S, Poologanathan, K, Guan, H and Tsavdaridis, KD ORCID: 0000-0001-8349-3979 (2020). Numerical investigation of web crippling in fastened aluminium lipped channel sections under two-flange loading conditions. Structures, 23, doi: 10.1016/j.istruc.2019.10.012

This is the draft version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/27022/

Link to published version: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2019.10.012

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online: <u>http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/</u> <u>publications@city.ac.uk</u>

1 Numerical investigation of web crippling in fastened aluminium

2	lipped channel sections under two-flange loading conditions
3	
4	Husam Alsanat
5	School of Engineering and Built Environment, Griffith University
6	Gold Coast campus, QLD, 4222, Australia
7	School of Engineering, Al-Hussein Bin Talal University, Ma'an, Jordan
8	Shanmuganathan Gunalan
9	School of Engineering and Built Environment, Griffith University
10	Gold Coast campus, QLD, 4222, Australia
11	Keerthan Poologanathan
12	Faculty of Engineering and Environment, University of Northumbria,
13	Newcastle, UK
14	Hong Guan
15	School of Engineering and Built Environment, Griffith University
16	Gold Coast campus, QLD, 4222, Australia
17	Konstantinos Daniel Tsavdaridis
18	School of Civil Engineering, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, Leeds, UK
19	

20 Abstract

Aluminium alloys have recently been utilised in the fabrication of thin-walled members using 21 a roll-forming technique to produce purlins, floor joists and other structural bearers. Such 22 members are often subjected to transversely concentrated loads which may possibly cause a 23 critical web crippling failure. Aluminium specifications do not explicitly provide clear design 24 guidelines for roll-formed members subjected to web crippling actions. Therefore, this study 25 26 was conducted to investigate the mechanism of web crippling for roll-formed aluminium lipped channel (ALC) sections with flanges attached to supports (fastened) under two-flange loading 27 conditions. Based on the experimental works presented in a companion paper, numerical 28 simulations were conducted including an extensive parametric study covering a wide range of 29 ALC geometrical dimensions, bearing lengths, and 5052 aluminium alloy grade with H32, H36 30 and H38 tempers. The acquired web crippling data were then used to investigate the influence 31 32 of the flange restraints on the web crippling mechanism of the ALC sections. Furthermore, a detailed assessment of the consistency and reliability of the currently available design rules 33 used in practice was carried out. The predictions of the web crippling design guidelines given 34 in the Australian, American and European specifications were found to be unsafe and 35

unreliable, whereas a good agreement was obtained between the predictions of our recently
proposed design guidelines and acquired web crippling results. Further a suitable Direct
Strength Method (DSM)-based design approach was developed in this study with associated
equations to predict the elastic bucking and plastic loads of fastened ALC sections under twoflange loading conditions.

Keywords: Web crippling; Aluminium lipped channel sections; Two-flange loading
conditions; Fastened; Numerical simulations; Design guidelines.

43 1 Introduction

Structural applications of aluminium alloys have recently increased in building construction 44 industry. The inherent characteristics of aluminium alloys including light-weight, corrosion 45 resistance, and ease of fabrication and shaping have attracted designers' attention in a wide 46 range of building applications especially those in harsh industrial and marine environments [1]. 47 Thin-walled members fabricated by aluminium alloys have recently been employed in the 48 49 construction and building industries as purlins, floor joists and other structural bearers, and therefore are potentially susceptible to various types of instabilities similar to thin-walled steel 50 51 members. Such structural members are highly prone to concentrated loads applied transversely, which may lead to localised failure to the web called web crippling. 52

In real-life scenarios, aluminium structural members supported by column, bearers or rafters 53 and highly loaded by joists, purlins or live loading may induce web crippling failure. Depends 54 on the locations of the load and support, four failure scenarios can be potentially occurred 55 56 according to the AISI Standard test method [2]. If the loading and support are in the same line of action, the member fails under two-flange loading conditions (end-two-flange (ETF) and 57 58 interior-two-flange (ITF)), otherwise one-flange loading conditions (end-one-flange (EOF) and interior-one-flange (IOF)) are experienced. These loading conditions are further classified in 59 60 terms of the flange restraints, such as unfastened and fastened. It is worth to mention that the 61 majority of the available studies, both experimental and numerical ones, were conducted on 62 unfastened members [3-13] while limited studies [14-20] have been undertaken on fastened sections even though the latter is often found in common real-life practice. 63

64 Several finite element analysis methods were utilised in the past to simulate thin-walled 65 members under web crippling action. For fastened channel sections, Macdonald et al. [16] 66 performed non-linear finite element analysis on unfastened/fastened cold formed steel lipped 67 channel sections using ANSYS software. Recently, Janarthanan et al. [18] thoroughly investigated the use of quasi-static analysis based on the explicit integration scheme in
ABAQUS. Fastened unlipped cold-formed steel channel sections were simulated under oneflange loading conditions. Unlike static general non-linear analysis and quasi-static analysis
with implicit integration, Janarthanan et al. [18] found that explicit dynamic method have the
ability to overcome certain convergence issues that former mentioned methods often encounter.

73 Investigating web crippling for aluminium members has also gained increasing attention in the last decade. Extruded aluminium sections under the web crippling action were mainly 74 investigated [21-27] while limited studies were performed on roll-formed sections [28-30]. 75 76 Zhou and Young [23] conducted web crippling experimental and numerical investigations on 77 extruded square and rectangular hollow sections under the ETF and ITF loading conditions. The sections were fabricated using 6061-T6 and 6063-T5 heat-treated aluminium alloys. 78 79 Nonlinear static analysis were employed for the simulations and the developed finite element models were verified against the experiments. Chen et al. [26] further investigated the web 80 81 crippling behaviour and capacity of extruded square hollow sections by caring out experiments and numerical analyses. The influence of different boundary conditions, bearing lengths, web 82 83 slenderness and loading conditions on the ultimate capacity and the section ductility were examined. Improved design rules were also developed based on a parametric study to estimate 84 85 the web crippling capacity of the sections under four loading conditions. Recently, Zhou and 86 Young [22] reported web crippling experimental and numerical studies of unfastened extruded aluminium alloy (6063-T5 and 6061-T6) unlipped and lipped channel sections. The finite 87 element models were developed and analysed using nonlinear static analysis, and then used for 88 an extensive parametric study. 89

90 Alsanat et al. [28, 29] experimentally and numerically studied the web crippling failure of unfastened ALC sections under two-flange loading conditions (ETF and ITF). A detailed 91 assessment of the AS/NZS 1664.1 [31], AS/NZS 4600 [32] and Eurocode 3 [33] specifications 92 was performed and suitable modifications were made to improve their accuracy and reliability. 93 94 Alsanat et al. [30] also experimentally investigated the web crippling capacity of the ALC sections with flanges attached to the supports under both the ETF and ITF loading conditions, 95 96 and a new prediction approach was developed to estimate the increase in the web crippling capacity due to the flange being restrained. It should be noted that extruded and roll-formed 97 aluminium sections behave differently under buckling instabilities. This is due to the nature of 98 manufacturing process as extruded members are fabricated with sharp corners while roll-99

formed sections are produced with rounded corners. Therefore, roll-formed sections under web
 crippling actions are generally exposed to eccentric loading which will significantly influence
 the behaviour and capacity of the members.

Owing to the empirical nature of the current methods for predicting the web crippling capacity, 103 and the lack of numerical studies on fastened aluminium sections, this study was conducted to 104 numerically investigate the web crippling phenomenon of fastened ALC sections under two-105 flange loading conditions and further investigate the influence of a wider range of parameters 106 on the web crippling behaviour and capacity of fastened ALC sections. Accurate and reliable 107 numerical models, using quasi-static analysis with explicit integration scheme in 108 ABAQUS/CAE, were firstly developed and then validated against the experimental results [30] 109 in terms of ultimate web crippling strength, load-vertical displacement response and failure 110 mode. Based on the validation, an extensive parametric study comprising a various geometrical 111 dimensions, bearing lengths, and aluminium alloy grades was carried out. The acquired web 112 crippling database, in conjunction with that reported in Alsanat et al. [29] for unfastened ALC 113 sections, were subsequently used to further explore the effect of restrained flanges on the main 114 parameters associated with web crippling. Moreover, a detailed assessment for the currently 115 available design rules was performed, and a DSM-based approach was developed in this study 116 to determine the web crippling capacity of fastened ALC sections under two-flange loading 117 conditions. 118

119 2 Brief overview of experimental study

Two series of web crippling tests, including 38 test specimens of the ALC sections with 120 restrained flanges under the ETF and ITF loading conditions, were previously conducted by 121 Alsanat et al. [30]. Aluminium alloy grade 5052-H36 was used in the fabrication of the 122 123 specimens. Figures 1 (a) and (b) show the test set-up of fastened ALC sections under the ETF and ITF loading conditions, respectively, and Figure 1 (c) shows the section profile of a typical 124 ALC section. Five different sectional sizes were considered and loaded by high strength 125 bearing plate (bearing length (N) ranged from 25mm to 150 mm). The specimens were cut to a 126 specific length (L) according to AISI S909 [2] (L = 3h and L = 5h for ETF and ITF loading 127 conditions, respectively). Tables 1 and 2 summarise the dimensional details and the ultimate 128 capacities of the fastened ALC sections under the ETF and ITF loading conditions, 129 respectively. The top and bottom flanges of the specimen were attached to the bearing plates 130 using M12 bolt per flange. Note that the label "ETF-20025-N100" indicates that the loading 131

132 condition is ETF, the section height (*d*) is 200 mm, the thickness of the web (*t*) is 2.5 mm, and 133 the length of the bearing plate (N) is 100 mm.

134 **3** Finite element study

The finite element (FE) model of fastened ALC specimens under web crippling actions is 135 described in this section in detail. The general purpose finite element program, ABAQUS 136 Version 6.14 [34] was employed for this task due to its exceptional capabilities in simulating 137 various structural elements under diverse loading scenarios. Quasi-static analysis with explicit 138 integration was performed to simulate the slow movement of the loading plate during the 139 experimental tests. It has been proven that such analysis has the ability to overcome certain 140 contact and convergence difficulties that implicit (static) integrator often encounters [35]. Note 141 that initial geometrical imperfections were not considered in the modelling process, as the 142 applied load generated from the contact between the load bearing plate and corner radius has a 143 large eccentricity with respect to the web portion. Natário, et al. [35] and Sundararajah et al. 144 [6] verified this by investigating the influence of several possible geometrical imperfections 145 for cold-formed steel lipped channel sections and found that their influence on the web 146 crippling capacity is less than 1%. 147

148 3.1 Finite element type and mesh control

The ALC sections were modelled using the general purpose S4R shell element, which is a linear 4 node deformable element with reduced integration, allowing for finite strains and changes in thickness. It has been proven that such type of element is suitable to simulate 3D thin-walled members under web crippling actions [6, 35]. The loading and support bearing plates were modelled using R3D4 discrete rigid elements since they are much stiffer compared to the section itself.

To achieve a high accuracy of the finite element solution and minimise the computational time of the analysis, the mesh size for numerical model were carefully selected. The details for mesh size sensitivity analysis were reported by Alsanat et al. [29] for unfastened aluminium lipped channel sections. Similar mesh size control is employed in this study. The lipped channel section was modelled using mesh size of 5×5 mm, except the corners as the finer mesh of 5×1 mm was assigned to ensure appropriate transformation of the internal stress throughout the web-flange junction.

162 3.2 Material properties

163 The material properties employed in the numerical analysis were gained from conducting 164 tensile coupon tests [30]. Fifteen coupons were extracted from the flat portion of the web of 165 the sections and tested. Table 3 gives the mechanical properties including elastic modulus (E), 166 static 0.2% tensile proof stress ($\sigma_{0.2}$) and ultimate tensile strength (σ_u) and strain (ε u). The 167 engineering stress-strain curves obtained from coupon tests were converted to the true stress– 168 strain curves using Equations (1) and (2), since web crippling problems may involve high 169 plastic strains.

170

$$\sigma_{true} = \sigma_{eng} (1 + \varepsilon_{eng}) \tag{1}$$

 $\varepsilon_{true} = \ln(1 + \varepsilon_{ena}) \tag{2}$

where σ_{true} is the true stress (MPa), ε_{true} is the true strain, σ_{eng} is the engineering stress (MPa) and ε_{eng} is the engineering strain.

Figure 2 shows the typical engineering and true stress-strain curves for the 5052 H36 174 aluminium alloy. The material model consists of two parts; the elastic modulus of the material 175 was identified to represent the elastic region while the plastic region was considered using the 176 true plastic stress-strain curve. Despite the fact that the material properties for the corners differ 177 from the web portion, the acquired material properties were used to model the whole section 178 including the corners. It is believed that the changes in the material properties for the corners 179 will not affect the ultimate web crippling capacity and behaviour for models failed in pure web 180 crippling. Also, the models experienced flange crushing were not considered in proposing 181 design rules. 182

183 3.3 Contact and constraint definition

The interactions between the bearing plate, the ALC sections and the bolts were modelled 184 precisely using Contact Pair and Multi-Point Constraint (MPC) algorithms in ABAQUS [34]. 185 The Contact Pair algorithm with a Penalty contact method was employed to simulate contact 186 between deformable bodies (lipped channel section) and rigid elements (bearing plates). The 187 contact formulation was assumed to be "Hard", and a friction coefficient of 0.4 was assigned 188 to avoid any frictional slip. To simulate the bolt connection, Multi-Point Constraint (MPC) 189 with rigid ties were identified between the rigid plate and the bolt hole perimeter (Figure 3). 190 Such constraint can prevent any rotational movement of the flange during the analyses so that 191 192 the fastened condition can be adequately represented.

193 3.4 Boundary conditions and loading

The boundary conditions were precisely assigned to the models to simulate the actual experimental scenario. Reference Points were used to assign the boundary conditions for the loading and support plates. Identical boundary conditions were assigned to both ETF and ITF models. As shown in Figure 3, the U_x , U_y and U_z translational movements, and R_y and R_z rotations were fully restrained at the support plate. The loading plate was restricted from U_x and U_z translational movement and R_y and R_z rotations, however the vertical downward movement (U_y) was permitted.

In the analysis, both Smooth Step and Mass Scaling options were implemented to reduce any converge issues and accelerate the calculations. The Smooth Step Amplitude allowed the model to deform smoothly in the initial stage of the analysis by reducing the displacement while Mass Scaling options increased the mas density of the modelled elements which led to a significant reduction of the number of time increment generated from the quasi-static analysis [34].

206 Simulating the actual experimental loading rate (2 mm/min) using Quasi-static analysis with explicit integration is, in fact, highly time-consuming process (Natário et al. [34]). Therefore, 207 both artificial loading rates and Mass Scaling methods are often implemented to accelerate the 208 209 calculations. However, these methods may lead to a significant increase in the influence of the inertial effects and noise on the results. Therefore, the loading rate and mass scaling values 210 211 were carefully assigned, and the kinetic-to-internal energy ratios were closely monitored. In 212 this study, the loading rate of 25 mm/s (total applied displacement = 25 mm and total step time = 1 s) with smooth step amplitude (ramp procedure), and a constant Mass Scaling factor of 100 213 was assigned in the analysis. Default values of linear bulk viscosity parameters (damping 214 coefficient value of 0.06) and quadratic bulk viscosity parameter (1.2) were assigned. Apart 215 from the very first instants of the simulations, the kinetic-to-internal energy ratios remained 216 217 below 5% during the analysis.

218 3.5 FEA Validation

The developed 38 numerical models were compared with the experiments in terms of the ultimate capacity, load-vertical deformation responses and failure modes. The numerical web crippling results (P_{FEA}) agreed very well with the experimental capacities ($P_{Exp.}$) for both the ETF and ITF loading conditions as shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The mean and COV values of the $P_{Exp.}/P_{FEA}$ ratios are 0.96 and 0.05 for the ETF loading condition, respectively, whereas these values are 0.99 and 0.05, respectively, for the ITF loading condition.

The load-vertical displacement responses and the failure modes obtained from the numerical 225 simulations were also validated with the experiments. The load-vertical displacement 226 responses of the numerical models were predicted including the post-failure stage, as presented 227 in Figures 4 (a) and (b) for the loading conditions of ETF and ITF, respectively. Even though 228 both comparisons show a strong agreement in the post-failure stages, the elastic stiffness 229 observed in the initial stage noticeably differ for both loading conditions. It is believed that the 230 measured vertical displacement was inevitably affected by the test rig settlement during the 231 tests. Such a difference was also observed by Sundararajan et al. [6]. The failure modes of ETF-232 25025-N100 and ITF-10030-N100 specimens as observed in the experiments and numerical 233 analysis are compared in Figures 5 (a) and (b). In General, the numerical models are able to 234 simulate the experimental tests of fastened ALC sections under two-flange loading conditions. 235

It was observed from the experimental and numerical investigations that specimens under ITF loading condition with 25 mm bearing plates experienced flange crushing failure. The numerical load-vertical displacement responses were validated with the experimental responses as shown in Figures 4 (c) for the ITF-20030-N25 specimen. Both the experimental and the numerical responses exhibited the flange crushing behaviour. Figure 5 (c) shows the agreement between the experimental and numerical failure modes of the ITF-25025-N25 specimen.

243 3.6 Parametric study

Based on the validated numerical models discussed in the previous section, a parametric study was conducted to explore the influence of various parameters on the web crippling capacity of fastened ALC sections under two-flange loading conditions. The acquired results obtained from both experiments and parametric analyses will then be used to assess the suitability of the design rules given in the current standards and Alsanat et al. [30]. New predictive Direct Strength Method (DSM) approach will also be developed based on these results.

- The parametric study details are summarised in Table 4 as follow: $50 \text{ mm} \le N \le 150 \text{ mm}$, 28 $\le h/t \le 130 \text{ and } 2 \text{ mm} \le r_i \le 8 \text{ mm}$. Additionally, aluminium alloy grade 5052 with hardening of H32, H36 and H38 [31] were also considered. The length of specimens used in the parametric study was *3d* and *6d* for the ETF and ITF loading conditions as recommended by Alsanat et al. [29].
- To consider the stain hardening effect, the bi-linear model proposed by Su et al. [36] for aluminium material was implemented in the parametric analyses as shown in Figure 2. The

strain hardening slope (E_{sh}) and the ultimate strain (ε_u) can be calculate using Equations (3) and (4), respectively.

$$E_{sh} = \frac{f_u - f_y}{c_2 \varepsilon_u - \varepsilon_y} \tag{3}$$

$$\varepsilon_u = C_3 \left(1 - \frac{f_y}{f_u} \right) + C_4 \tag{4}$$

where $C_2 = 0.5$, $C_3 = 0.13$, and $C_4 = 0.059$ are constants for aluminium material, f_y is the yield stress, f_u is the material ultimate stress (MPa) and ε_y is the yield strain.

263 4 The effect of fastened flanges

The acquired parametric results for fastened ALC sections and the parametric data reported by 264 Alsanat et al.'s [29] for unfastened sections were analysed to explore the influence of fastened 265 flanges on the section ultimate web crippling capacity. Typically, fastening the flanges has a 266 substantial effect on the capacity of the ALC sections. Such restraint prevents flange rotation 267 and consequently results in a significant reduction in the out-of-plane moment imposed on the 268 web from the eccentric load. Hence, the overall capacity of the section will substantially 269 increase. This can be confirmed by Figures 6 (a) and (b) which display the difference between 270 unfastened and fastened specimens, respectively, in terms of failure modes. 271

Figure 7 compares the web crippling capacities of unfastened and fastened sections under the ETF and ITF loading conditions. Generally, flange restraining has more influence on the ETF sections, up to 88% increased strength with an average of 59%, whereas, the ITF sections can gain up to 45% more capacity with an average of 22%.

Figures 8 and 9 illustrate the influences of the major geometrical parameters N/t and h/t, 276 277 respectively on the web crippling capacity ratios (P_F/P_U) of the ALC sections. Figure 8 shows the P_F/P_U ratio versus the bearing length ratio (N/t) for three different inside bent radii (r_i) 278 279 under both the ETF and ITF loading conditions. It can be seen that increasing the bearing length ratio (N/t) results in a considerable increase to the capacity ratio (P_F/P_U) of ALC sections. The 280 P_{F}/P_{U} ratios for the ETF specimens are more sensitive to such influence than the ITF 281 specimens. It is also shown that varying the inside bend radii (r_i) for the same N/t has a minimal 282 influence on the P_F/P_U ratio. Figure 9 demonstrates the effects of the web slenderness ratios 283 (h/t) on P_F/P_U ratios for three bearing lengths (N) under the ETF and ITF loading conditions. 284 285 As h/t ratio increases, a relatively small increase in the P_F/P_U ratios was observed compared to the influence of N/t ratios. The ETF specimens loaded with the small bearing plates (N = 50mm) 286

are more sensitive to the change in the h/t ratio compared to the large bearing plates (N = 100mm and 150mm), whereas the ITF specimens behave similarly regardless of the change in the bearing length.

The relationship between the web crippling capacity ratio (P_F/P_U) of the ALC sections and the geometrical factor Nh/t^2 was investigated based on the experimental data reported by Alsanat et al [28, 30] covering both unfastened and fastened specimens. Increasing the Nh/t^2 factor leads to a nonlinear increase the P_F/P_U and novel predictive approach (fastening factor (k_f)) was proposed in Alsanat et al [30] to estimate the increased capacity ratio (P_F/P_U) of fastened ALC section (Equation (5)).

$$k_f = 0.6 \left(\frac{Nh}{t^2}\right)^a \ge 1 \tag{5}$$

where the coefficient a = 0.126 and 0.095 for the ETF and ITF loading conditions, respectively.

Figures 10(a) and (b) present all the experimental and numerical web crippling capacity ratios (P_F / P_U) against the geometrical factor Nh/t^2 for the ETF and ITF loading conditions, respectively. The mean values of the P_F / P_U to the predicted (k_f) ratios are 1.00 and 0.97 for the ETF and ITF loading conditions, respectively, while the corresponding COV values is 0.06 both loading conditions. This indicates the suitability of the new fastening factor approach to predict the increased web crippling capacity of wide-ranged fastened ALC sections under twoflange loading conditions.

393 5 Current design rules

394 5.1 International specifications

A detailed assessment to the accuracy of design guidelines recommended in the AS/NZS 395 1664.1 [31], AS/NZS 4600 [32] and Eurocode 3 [33] were conducted by comparing them with 396 experimental and numerical parametric results. It should be mentioned that the predictions of 397 the web crippling capacities using these specifications, apart of AS/NZS 4600 [32], are not 398 399 differentiated between the sections with fastened and unfastened support conditions. Moreover, the design provisions given in Eurocode 9 [37] for aluminium sheeting structures were 400 excluded herein due to their limitation to sections with multi-webs. The three specifications 401 mentioned above are briefly introduced below outlining their respective prediction equations. 402

403 5.1.1 AS/NZS 1664.1 [31] for aluminium structures

404
$$P_{AS1664} = \frac{1.2t^2 \sin \theta (0.46f_y + 0.02\sqrt{Ef_y})(N + C_{W2})}{C_{W3} + r_i(1 - \cos \theta)}$$
(ETF) (6)

405
$$P_{AS1664} = \frac{t^2 \sin \theta (0.46f_y + 0.02\sqrt{Ef_y})(N + C_{w1})}{C_{w3} + r_i(1 - \cos \theta)}$$
(ITF) (7)

406 where $C_{w1} = 140mm$, $C_{w2} = 33 mm$, $C_{w3} = 10 mm$, *t* is the web thickness, *N* is the bearing 407 length (mm), r_i is the internal bent radius (mm); *E* is the elastic modulus (MPa), f_y is the 0.2% 408 static yield stress (MPa), and θ is the angle between the web surface and the bearing surface 409 plane ($\theta = 90^{\circ}$).

410 5.1.2 AS/NZS 4600 [32] for cold-formed steel structures

411
$$P_{AS4600} = Ct^2 f_y \sin\theta \left(1 - C_R \sqrt{\frac{r_i}{t}}\right) \left(1 + C_N \sqrt{\frac{N}{t}}\right) \left(1 - C_h \sqrt{\frac{h}{t}}\right)$$
(8)

412 where *h* is the flat portion of the web (mm); *C*, *C_R*, *C_N*, *C_h* are the geometrical coefficients given 413 in Table 5. Note that in Equation (6), the following conditions $h/t \le 200$, $N/t \le 210$, $r_i/t \le 414$ 414 $12, N/h \le 2$, and $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ must be satisfied.

415 5.1.3 Eurocodes 3 [33] for cold-formed steel structures

416
$$P_{EC3} = \frac{k_1 k_2 k_3 f_y t^2}{\gamma_{M1}} \left[6.66 - \frac{d_w}{64t} \right] \left[1 + 0.01 \frac{N}{t} \right]$$
(ETF) (9)

417
$$P_{EC3} = \frac{k_3 k_4 k_5 f_y t^2}{\gamma_{M1}} \left[21 - \frac{d_w}{16.3t} \right] \left[1 + 0.0013 \frac{N}{t} \right]$$
(ITF) (10)

418 where:

- 419 $k_1 = 1.33 \frac{f_y}{690.9};$
- 420 $k_2 = 1.15 0.15 \frac{r_i}{t} \ (0.5 \le k_5 \le 1.0);$
- 421 $k_3 = 0.7 + 0.3 \left(\frac{\theta}{90}\right)^2;$
- 422 $k_4 = 1.22 \frac{f_y}{1036.4};$

423
$$k_5 = 1.06 - 0.06 \frac{r_i}{t} \ (k_5 \le 1.0);$$

424 d_w is the web height between the flange mid-lines in mm; γ_{M1} is the partial safety factor 425 $(\gamma_{M1} = 1)$ and θ is equal to 90°.

The mean and COV values of the web crippling capacities ratios ($P_{Exp.-FEA}/P_{predicted}$) for the 426 ETF and ITF loading conditions are summarised in Table 5. Generally, the design rules 427 provided by the aforementioned specifications, apart from AS/NZS 1664.1 [31] for the ITF 428 loading condition, overestimate the web crippling capacity of fastened ALC sections. The mean 429 values of $P_{Exp.-FEA}/P_{predicted}$ ratios are ranging from 0.55 to 0.87 with the COV values between 430 0.11 and 0.26 for both the ETF and ITF loading conditions. The AS/NZS 1664.1 [31] 431 predictions agree reasonably well for the ITF loading condition with the mean and COV values 432 equalling 1.04 and 0.13, respectively. Figures 11 (a) and (b) show the comparisons between 433 the predicted capacities (Ppredicted) obtained from the current design guidelines and the ultimate 434 web crippling capacity capacities ($P_{Exp.-FEA}$) under the ETF and ITF loading conditions, 435 respectively. 436

437 5.2 Modified design rules

Alsanat et al. [28] improved the accuracy of the design rules obtained from the AS/NZS 1664.1 [31], AS/NZS 4600 [32] and Eurocode 3 [33] specifications for unfastened ALC sections by modifying them based on experimental tests, in which the test specimens had their *h/t* ranging from 30 to 100, $r_i = 5$ mm and the aluminium alloy grade being 5052 H36. In this study, comparisons between the predictions of the modified Equations (11)–(15) (where k_f is the fastening factor according to Equation (5) and the wide-ranged parametric and experimental data for fastened ALC sections were carried out.

445 5.2.1 Modified AS/NZS 1664.1

446
$$P_{AS1664(Modi.)} = k_f \frac{C_1 t^2 \sin \theta (0.46f_y + 0.02\sqrt{Ef_y})(N + C_{w2})}{C_{w3} + r_i (1 - \cos \theta)} \left(1 - C_{h1} \sqrt{\frac{h}{t}}\right) \quad \text{(ETF)}$$
(11)

447
$$P_{AS1664(Modi.)} = k_f \frac{C_2 t^2 \sin \theta (0.46f_y + 0.02\sqrt{Ef_y})(N + C_{w1})}{C_{w3} + r_i(1 - \cos \theta)} \left(1 - C_{h2}\sqrt{\frac{h}{t}}\right) \quad \text{(ITF)} \quad (12)$$

448 where $C_1 = 0.31$, $C_2 = 258$, $C_{w1} = 780$ mm, $C_{w2} = 238$ mm, $C_{w3} = 10$ mm, $C_{h1} = 0.05$ and $C_{h2} =$ 449 0.025.

450 5.2.2 Modified AS/NZS 4600

451
$$P_{As4600(modi.)} = k_f C t^2 \sqrt{E f_y} \sin \theta \left(1 - C_R \sqrt{\frac{r_i}{t}} \right) \left(1 + C_N \sqrt{\frac{N}{t}} \right) \left(1 - C_h \sqrt{\frac{h}{t}} \right)$$
(13)

452 where the values of the proposed geometrical coefficients are summarised in Table 5.

453 5.2.3 Modified EC3

454
$$P_{EC3(Modi.)} = k_f \frac{0.028k_1k_2k_3\sqrt{Ef_y}t^2}{\gamma_{M1}} \left[6.66 - \frac{d_w}{64t} \right] \left[1 + 0.01 \frac{N}{t} \right] \quad \text{(ETF)}$$
(14)

455

456
$$P_{EC3(Modi.)} = k_f \frac{0.034k_3k_4k_5\sqrt{Ef_y}t^2}{\gamma_{M1}} \left[21 - \frac{d_w}{16.3t}\right] \left[1 + 0.0013\frac{N}{t}\right]$$
(ITF) (15)

The mean and COV values of the web crippling capacity ratios ($P_{Exp.-FEA}/P_{predicted}$) using the 457 modified Equations (11)-(15) are summarised in Table 6 for the ETF and ITF loading 458 conditions. The comparison shows that the modified equations are able to accurately predict 459 the web crippling capacity for both loading conditions with reasonable mean and COV values 460 ranging from 0.99 to 1.10 and 0.05 to 0.10, respectively. It can be concluded that the web 461 crippling capacity formulae incorporating the fastening factor can accurately predict the 462 increased capacities of the ALC sections under two-flange loading conditions when the flanges 463 464 are restrained to the supports as shown in Figures 12 (a) and (b).

465 6 Direct strength method

Schafer [38] developed the Direct Strength Method (DSM) approach to determine the ultimate capacity of thin-walled members. This method has been successfully employed to estimate the capacity of cold-formed steel member under compression, bending and shear actions based on their elastic and yield capacities. However, further improvement to this method is still needed for the web crippling design of thin-walled aluminium members. In this study, the DSM-based design equations are proposed for predicting the web crippling capacities (P_n) of fastened ALC sections under two-flange loading conditions, based on the results from experiments [30] and those obtained from the present numerical parametric analyses. For this purpose, critical
buckling and yield loads of fastened ALC sections are determined by the FE elastic buckling
analysis and the Yield-Line Theory (YLT), respectively.

476 6.1 Elastic buckling load

The elastic buckling load for conventional plates is generally determined using Equation (16).
However, such approach is rather inaccurate in predicting the elastic capacity of lipped channel
sections due to the complex interaction between the web, flanges and corners elements and
therefore numerical elastic buckling analysis is often implemented instead. In this study,
ABAQUS was employed to conduct elastic buckling analyses to estimate the critical buckling
loads of fastened ALC sections under ETF and ITF loading conditions.

483
$$P_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 E k_{cr} t^3}{12(1-v^2)d}$$
(16)

484 where k_{cr} is the buckling coefficient and v is the the Poisson ratio (0.33 for aluminium).

485 Figure 13 shows the established numerical models with the associated boundary conditions to predict the critical buckling load of fastened ALC sections under the ETF and ITF loading 486 conditions. The bottom flange at the supports was prevented from translational movement in 487 all directions (displacements U_x , U_y , and U_z) for both loading conditions. For the loaded area 488 on the top flange, Reference Point (RP) was implemented with MPC rigid tie connections to 489 assign the boundary conditions (U_x , U_z , R_v , and R_z were fixed), and a unit load was assigned to 490 the vertical direction (U_v) . The Subspace Eigen Extraction method was used in the linear 491 analysis, and the first Eigen mode (minimum buckling capacity) was selected. A total of thirty-492 eight numerical models were simulated for the ETF and ITF loading conditions and their elastic 493 494 buckling capacities $P_{cr(FEM)}$ are summarised in Tables 7 and 8, respectively.

The elastic critical buckling loads obtained from the numerical analyses were used to calculate 495 the elastic buckling coefficient ($k_{cr(FEA)}$) using Equation (16), and the results are summarised in 496 Tables 7 and 8, for the ETF and ITF loading conditions, respectively. The coefficient k_{cr} is 497 typically influenced by both sectional geometry and the bearing length, and hence can be 498 expressed using empirical expressions. A linear relationship was found between $k_{cr(FEA)}$ and the 499 bearing length to section height ratio (N/d), as shown in Figure 14. Thus, a simplified approach 500 501 (Equation (17)) was developed in this study to determine the elastic buckling coefficients $(k_{cr(Prop.)})$ of the fastened ALC sections under both loading conditions. 502

503
$$k_{cr(Prop.)} = C_{b,1} + C_{b,2} \frac{N}{d}$$
(17)

where $C_{b,1} = 1$ and $C_{b,2} = 3$ for the ETF loading condition, and $C_{b,2} = 3.4$ and $C_{b,2} = 2$ for the ITF loading condition.

The FE buckling loads ($P_{cr(FEM)}$) and the proposed buckling loads ($P_{cr(Prop.)}$), calculated by substituting Equation (15) into Equation (16), are compared in Tables 7 and 8 for the ETF and ITF loading conditions, respectively. The mean value of $P_{cr(FEM)}/P_{cr(Prop.)}$ is 1.00 for both loading conditions, whereas the corresponding COV values are 0.03 and 0.02. This indicates that the proposed elastic buckling load ($P_{cr(Prop.)}$) incorporating the fastening factor agrees well with the corresponding numerical bucking load ($P_{cr(FEM)}$) for fastened ALC sections under both

512 ETF and ITF loading conditions.

513 6.2 Yield load

Determining the yield load (P_{ν}) is the other key aspect of the DSM-based design method in 514 addition to the buckling load (P_{cr}) . Generally, simplified approaches can be used for beams, 515 columns, beam-columns under global, distortional and local buckling modes. However, for 516 517 members under web crippling actions, highly localised deformations and the associated nonuniform stresses further obscure the definition of the yield load. Natário et al. [39, 40] 518 thoroughly investigated the plastic mechanism of different cold-formed steel sections including 519 520 built-up I-section, Z- section (fastened/unfastened), C-section (unlipped/lipped and fastened/unfastened) under the ETF and ITF loading conditions. Analytical expressions were 521 derived based on the yield-line models, and Equations (18) and (21) were developed [39, 40] 522 to determine the yield capacity of fastened lipped channel sections under the ETF and ITF 523 loading conditions, respectively. 524

525 For the ETF loading condition,

526
$$P_y = f_y N_m \left(-2r_m + \sqrt{4r_m^2 + t^2 \frac{N^*}{N_m}} \right)$$
(18)

527
$$N^* = 2N_m + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}(h + 2r_m)$$
(19)

528 $N_m = N + 2.5r_{ext} + (h/2)$ (20)

529 For the ITF loading condition,

530
$$P_y = \frac{1}{2} f_y N_m \left(\sqrt{16r_m^2 + 6t^2} - 4r_m \right)$$
(21)

531
$$N_m = \min(L; N + 5r_{ext} + 3h)$$
 (22)

where N_m is the length of yield line (mm), N^* is an auxiliary parameter (length in yield-line method) (mm), r_{ext} is the external bent radius (mm) and r_m is the midline bent radius (mm).

These formulae are employed in this study to calculate the yield load of fastened ALC sections under both loading conditions. Figure 15 display the typical plastic failure mechanism proposed by Natário et al. [39, 40] as well as von-Mises stress distributions observed at the ultimate failure stage for the ETF and ITF loading conditions.

538 6.3 Proposed Direct Strength method (DSM)-based approach

In this research, a DSM-based approach is developed based on the elastic buckling load (P_{cr}) (Section 6.1), the yield load (P_y) (Section 6.2), and the experimental as well as numerical data for fastened ALC sections under the ETF and ITF loading conditions. Using a non-linear regression procedure, the DSM-based formulae (Equations (23) and (24)) were calibrated to estimate the web crippling strength (P_n) of fastened ALC sections under both loading conditions.

545 For the ETF loading condition:

546
$$P_{n} = \begin{cases} P_{y} & \text{for } \lambda \leq 0.2\\ 0.3P_{y} \left[1 - 0.07 \left(\frac{P_{cr}}{P_{y}} \right)^{0.52} \right] \left(\frac{P_{cr}}{P_{y}} \right)^{0.52} & \text{for } \lambda > 0.2 \end{cases}$$
(23)

547 For the ITF loading condition:

548
$$P_{n} = \begin{cases} P_{y} & \text{for } \lambda \leq 0.34 \\ 0.55P_{y} \left[1 - 0.138 \left(\frac{P_{cr}}{P_{y}} \right)^{0.58} \right] \left(\frac{P_{cr}}{P_{y}} \right)^{0.58} & \text{for } \lambda > 0.34 \end{cases}$$
(24)

549 where λ is the web crippling slenderness ($\lambda = \sqrt{P_y/P_{cr}}$).

Figures 16 (a) and (b) compare the load ratio P_n/P_v against the web crippling slenderness (λ). 550 A clear trend signifying the relationship between P_n/P_v and λ can be seen for both the ETF and 551 ITF loading conditions. This generally indicates the reliability of the proposed elastic buckling 552 coefficient (Equation (17)), and the suitability of the yield-line theory developed by Natário et 553 al. [39,40] for determining the yield load (P_{ν}) for cold-formed steel members to be applied to 554 aluminium members. Further, having no data points closer to the plastic plateau $(P_n/P_v=1)$ 555 implies that the ALC sections are primarily governed by buckling failure and a combination of 556 buckling and plastic failure due to the low value of elastic modulus. Table 6 gives the mean 557

values of the ultimate-to-DSM predicted capacity ratios as 1.01 and 1.01, while the corresponding COV values are 0.11 and 0.13 for the ETF and ITF loading conditions, respectively.

561 7 Reliability analysis

The statistical model recommended by the North American Specification [41] are generally used to calculate the capacity resistance factor (ϕ_w). The variation of material, fabrication and loading effects is considered in this model (Equation (25)).

565
$$\phi_w = 1.5 M_m F_m P_m e^{-\beta_0 \sqrt{V_M^2 + V_F^2 + C_n V_P^2 + V_Q^2}}$$
(25)

where P_m and V_p are the mean value and the COV of the test-to-predicted load ratio, respectively, F_m and $V_F = 1.0$, 0.05 are the mean value and COV of the fabrication factor, respectively, M_m , $V_M = 1.1$, 0.06 are the mean value and COV of the material factor, respectively, $V_Q = 0.21$ is the COV of the load effect, $C_n = n^2 - 1/n^2 - 3n$ is the correction factor depending on the number of tests *n* and β_0 is the target reliability index for beams ($\beta_0 \ge$ 2.5).

572

Table 6 gives the respective resistance factor (ϕ_w) and reliability indexes (β_0) for the 573 574 predictions of the international design rules, modified equations and proposed DSM formulae. The results showed that the reliability indexes (β_0) calculated based on the recommended 575 resistance factors (ϕ_w) given in the international specifications are less that the target value 576 $(\beta_0 < 2.5)$ which indicates their unreliability. However, the reliability indexes (β_0) for the 577 modified and proposed DSM equations and based on our recommend resistance factors (ϕ_w) 578 are equal or exceed the target value ($\beta_0 \ge 2.5$). Hence, it is suggested to use $\phi_w = 0.90$ for all 579 modified equations, except Equation 12, and $\phi_w = 0.85$ for the proposed DSM-based approach 580 as well as Equation (12). 581

582 8 Conclusions

This paper describes a numerical study of fastened roll-formed ALC sections under web crippling action with two-flange loading conditions. 38 numerical models were firstly developed and validated with the results of the experimental study conducted by the authors in the past. A comprehensive parametric study was then conducted to further study the influence of various parameters including sectional geometries, bearing lengths and aluminium alloy grades on the web crippling capacities. The acquired large database containing numerical and

previous experimental results was then used to explore the effect of restrained flanges on the 589 web crippling capacity of the ALC sections. The web crippling capacities of these sections 590 have increased due to flange restraining up to 88% and 45% under the ETF and ITF loading 591 conditions, respectively. Both the accuracy and reliability of the current design rules 592 recommended by the international specifications as well as the modified equations with the 593 association of the proposed fastening factor were evaluated. It was found that the design rules 594 595 specified in the current international guidelines are unsafe with a large coefficient of variation to estimate the web crippling capacities, apart of AS/NZS 1664.1 for the ITF loading condition. 596 597 On the other hand, the modified equations can accurately predict the web crippling capacity of fastened ALC sections. The development of DSM-design approach for fastened roll-formed 598 aluminium sections is also presented in this study. The elastic buckling analyses were carried 599 out, and a predictive approach was proposed to estimate the buckling load. Further, the existing 600 analytical expressions derived based on the Yield-Line Theory to determine the plastic load for 601 cold-formed steel members were found to be suitable and applicable for fastened ALC sections. 602 The outcomes of this study can be considered for potential inclusion in the relevant 603 international specifications to improve the accuracy and reliability of the design rules. 604

605 Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Griffith University for providing the necessary technical support and test facilities to conduct this project, and to Mr Robert Price from BlueScope Building Components Pty Ltd for supplying the test specimens.

609 **References**

- 610 [1] Mazzolani FM. Structural applications of aluminium in civil engineering. Struct Eng
 611 Intern 2006;16(4):280-5.
- 612 [2] American Iron and Steel Institute. Standard test method for determining the web
 613 crippling strength of cold-formed steel beams. AISI S909, Washington DC, USA; 2008.
- Bock M, Theofanous M, Dirar S, Raybone P. Assessment of web crippling design
 provisions for application to proprietary soldier beams. Struct 2019;20:147-56.
- 616 [4] Gunalan S, Mahendran M. Web crippling tests of cold-formed steel channels under two
 617 flange load cases. J Const Steel Res 2015;110:1-15.
- 618 [5] Gunalan S, and Mahendran M. Experimental study of unlipped channel beams subject
 619 to web crippling under one flange load cases. Adv Steel Constr 2019;15(2):165-72.

- 620 [6] Sundararajah L, Mahendran M, Keerthan P. New design rules for lipped channel beams
 621 subject to web crippling under two-flange load cases. Thin-Walled Struct
 622 2017;119:421-37.
- 623 [7] Sundararajah L, Mahendran M, Keerthan P. Experimental studies of lipped channel
 624 beams subject to web crippling under two-flange load cases. J Struct Eng 2016;142(9):
 625 :04016058.
- [8] Sundararajah L, Mahendran M, Keerthan P. Web crippling studies of SupaCee sections
 under two flange load cases. Eng Struct 2017;153:582-97.
- [9] Keerthan P, Mahendran M, Steau E. Experimental study of web crippling behaviour of
 hollow flange channel beams under two flange load cases. Thin-Walled Struct
 2014;85:207-19.
- [10] Uzzaman A, Lim JBP, Nash D, Rhodes J, and Young B. Cold-formed steel sections
 with web openings subjected to web crippling under two-flange loading conditions—
 Part I: Tests and finite element analysis. Thin-Walled Struct 2012;56:38–48.
- [11] Uzzaman A, Lim JBP, Nash D, Rhodes J, and Young B. Web crippling behaviour of
 cold-formed steel channel sections with offset web holes subjected to interior-twoflange loading. Thin-Walled Struct 2012;50(1):76–86.
- 637 [12] Steau E, Mahendran M, Keerthan P. Web crippling tests of rivet fastened rectangular
 638 hollow flange channel beams under two flange load cases. Thin-Walled Struct
 639 2015;95:262-275.
- 640 [13] Steau E, Keerthan P, Mahendran M. Web crippling capacities of rivet fastened
 641 rectangular hollow flange channel beams under one flange load cases. Steel Constr
 642 2016;9(3):222-239.
- 643 [14] Bhakta BH, LaBoube RA, Yu WW. The effect of flange restraint on web crippling
 644 strength. Final report, University of Missouri-Rolla, Rolla, MO, USA; 1992.
- 645 [15] Gerges RR, and Schuster RM. Web crippling of single web cold formed steel members
 646 subjected to end one-flange loading. Proceedings of the 14th International specialty
 647 conference on cold-formed steel structures; 1998 October. 1998. Missouri, U.S.A.
- 648 [16] Macdonald M, Don MH, KoteŁko M, Rhodes J. Web crippling behaviour of thin649 walled lipped channel beams. Thin-Walled Struct 2011;49(5):682-90.

- [17] Janarthanan B, Mahendran M, and Gunalan S. Bearing capacity of cold-formed
 unlipped channels with restrained flanges under EOF and IOF load cases. Steel
 Constr 2015;8(3):146-154.
- [18] Janarthanan B, Mahendran M, and Gunalan S. Numerical modelling of web crippling
 failures in cold-formed steel unlipped channel sections. J. Constr Steel Res
 2019;158:486-501.
- [19] Janarthanan B, Sundararajah L, Mahendran M, Keerthan P, and Gunalan S. Web
 crippling behaviour and design of cold-formed steel sections. Thin-Walled Struct
 2019;140:387-403.
- [20] Beshara B, and Schuster R M. Web crippling of cold formed steel C- and Z- sections.
 Proceedings of the 15th International specialty conference on cold-formed steel
 structures, Department of Civil Engineering Center for Cold- Formed Steel Structures;
 2000. Rolla, MO.
- [21] Wang YQ, Wang ZX, Yin FX, Yang L, Shi YJ, Yin J. Experimental study and finite
 element analysis on the local buckling behavior of aluminium alloy beams under
 concentrated loads. Thin-Walled Struct 2016;105:44-56.
- [22] Zhou F. and Young B. "Aluminium alloy channels subjected to web crippling." Adv
 in Struct Eng 2019; 22(7), 1617-1630.
- [23] Zhou F, Young B. Aluminum tubular sections subjected to web crippling—Part I. ThinWalled Struct 2008;46(4):339-51.
- F. Aluminium tubular sections subjected to web crippling—Part II:
 Proposed design equations. Thin-Walled Struct 2008;46(4):352-361.
- [25] Zhou F, Young B, Zhao X.L. Tests and design of aluminium tubular sections subjected
 to concentrated bearing load. J Struct Eng 2009;135(7):816-817.
- 674 [26] Chen Y, Chen X, Wang C. Aluminum tubular sections subjected to web crippling. Thin675 Walled Struct 2015;90:49-60.
- 676 [27] Su MN, Young B. Design of aluminium alloy stocky hollow sections subjected to
 677 concentrated transverse loads. Thin-Walled Struct 2018;124:546-57.
- [28] Alsanat H, Gunalan S, Guan H, Keerthan P, Bull J. Experimental study of aluminium
 lipped channel sections subjected to web crippling under two flange load cases. ThinWalled Struct 2019;141:460-476.

681 682 683	[29]	Alsanat H, Gunalan S, Guan H, Keerthan P, K. & Tsavdaridis K. D. Web crippling behaviour and design for aluminium lipped channel sections under two flange loading conditions. Thin-Walled Struct 2019; 144:106265.
684 685 686	[30]	Alsanat H, Gunalan S, Poologanathan K, Guan H, Baniotopoulos C. Web Crippling for fastened aluminium lipped channel sections under two flange loading conditions. J Struct Eng 2019. (in press)
687 688	[31]	Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand. Australia/New Zealand Standard AS/NZS 1664.1 Aluminium structures - Part 1: Limit state design. Sydney, Australia; 1997.
689 690	[32]	Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand. Australia/New Zealand Standard AS/NZS 4600 Cold-formed steel structures. Sydney, Australia; 2018.
691 692 693	[33]	EN 1993-1-3. Eurocode 3: Design of steel structures – part 1-3: general rules – supplementary rules for cold-formed members and sheeting. European Committee for Standardization, Brussels; 2006.
694	[34]	Simulia. ABAQUS Standard User's Manual, Version 6.14, Rhode Island, USA; 2013.
695 696	[35]	Natário P, Silvestre N, Camotim D. Web crippling failure using quasi-static FE models. Thin-Walled Struct 2014;84:34-49.
697 698	[36]	Su MN, Young B. Design of aluminium alloy stocky hollow sections subjected to concentrated transverse loads. Thin-Walled Struct 2018;124:546-57.
699 700	[37]	EN 1999-1-4. Eurocode 9: Design of aluminium structures - Part 1.4: Cold-formed structural sheeting. European Committee for Standardization, Brussels; 2007.
701 702	[38]	Schafer BW. The direct strength method of cold-formed steel member design. J Constr Steel Res 2008;64(7-8):766-78.
703 704	[39]	Natário P, Silvestre N, Camotim D. Direct strength prediction of web crippling failure of beams under ETF loading. Thin-Walled Struct 2016;98:360-74.
705 706	[40]	Natário P, Silvestre N, Camotim D. Web crippling of beams under ITF loading: A novel DSM-based design approach. J Const Steel Res 2017;128:812-24.
707 708 709	[41]	American Iron and Steel Institute (AISI). Specifications for the cold-formed steel structural members, cold-formed steel design manual. AISI S100, Washington DC, USA; 2012.
710		



713









Figure 2: Typically measured stress-strain curve and bi-linear CSM model [36] for 5052-H36 aluminium alloy











FE model



FE cross section

(b) ITF-10030-N100 (web crippling)



(c) ITF-25025-N25 (flange crushing) Figure 5: Comparison of experimental and numerical failure modes



Figure 7: Comparison between the web crippling failure capacities of fastened (P_F) and unfastened (P_U) models

 $P_{\rm U}$

775 776



788

789 Figure 8: Web crippling capacity ratio (P_F/P_U) versus bearing length ratio (N/t) with different inside bent radii (models with h/t = 32 and $f_y = 179$ MPa)

2.5 N=50mm - ETF N=50mm - ITF 2.0 N=100mm - ETF 1.5 ¹**d**/⁴**d** 1.0 0.5 0.0 0 25 50 75 100 125 150 h/t

Figure 9: Web crippling capacity (P_F/P_U) versus web slenderness ratio (h/t) with different bearing lengths (models with $r_i = 5 \text{ mm}$ and $f_y = 179 \text{ MPa}$)

793 794 795



Figure 10: Influence of fastened-to-unfastened capacity (P_F/P_U) against the geometrical factor Nh/t^2



812
813 Figure 11: Comparison between experimental and numerical web crippling capacities and predictions of current
814 international specifications
815







Figure 13: Assigned boundary conditions in the buckling model for fastened ALC sections





 $\begin{array}{c} \text{N/d} \\ \text{Figure 14: Comparison between the proposed elastic buckling coefficients } (k_{cr(Prop.)}) \text{ and FEA elastic buckling} \\ \text{S39} \\ \text{coefficients } (k_{cr(FEA)}) \text{ for different N/d ratios} \\ \end{array}$





- mer en										
Specimen	d	b_f	l_b	t	r_i	L	P_{Exp} .	P _{FEA}	P _{Exp.} /P _{FEA}	
	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(kN)	(kN)	(kN)	
ETF-10030-N25	107.2	60.5	14.8	2.95	4.9	107.2	6.80	7.13	0.95	
ETF-10030-N50	106.4	58.3	16.2	2.95	5.0	106.4	8.44	8.59	0.98	
ETF-10030-N100	107.2	59.3	15.1	2.95	4.8	316	11.25	12.68	0.89	
ETF-15030-N25	156.8	62.9	23.0	2.93	4.9	466	6.37	7.05	0.90	
ETF-15030-N50	157.6	63.2	22.3	2.93	5.0	465	7.84	7.91	0.99	
ETF-15030-N100	158.4	63.3	21.8	2.92	5.1	465	9.92	10.03	0.99	
ETF-15030-N150	155.4	63.4	23.0	2.92	4.9	467	12.45	13.45	0.93	
ETF-20025-N25	208.2	74.5	25.4	2.42	5.1	617	4.74	5.01	0.95	
ETF-20025-N50	208.0	74.3	25.3	2.43	4.9	615	5.15	5.52	0.93	
ETF-20025-N100	207.2	74.2	25.8	2.43	5.0	615	6.19	6.57	0.94	
ETF-20025-N150	204.1	75.9	26.4	2.43	4.8	615	7.51	8.17	0.92	
ETF-20030-N25	204.5	74.9	27.5	2.9	4.6	611	6.45	7.07	0.91	
ETF-20030-N50	208.3	73.1	27.6	2.9	5.0	615	7.37	7.60	0.97	
ETF-20030-N100	204.4	75.5	27.6	2.89	4.6	613	8.64	9.41	0.92	
ETF-20030-N150	208.2	73.4	27.2	2.89	5.0	615	11.05	11.31	0.98	
ETF-25025-N25	259.9	80.7	23.5	2.43	4.4	765	4.45	4.60	0.97	
ETF-25025-N50	259.8	76.2	23.8	2.44	4.9	765	4.90	4.89	1.00	
ETF-25025-N100	262.2	76.1	22.6	2.44	4.8	765	6.20	5.76	1.08	
ETF-25025-N150	260.4	76.2	23.5	2.45	4.6	765	7.20	6.91	1.04	
			Mean						0.96	
			COV						0.05	

Table 1: Comparison of experimental and FEA web crippling capacities for ETF loading condition

Table 2: Comparison of experimental and FEA web crippling capacities for ITF loading condition

Specimen	d	b_f	l_b	t	r_i	L	P _{Fxn} .	P _{FFA}	P_{Fxp} / P_{FFA}
	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(kN)	(kN)	(kN)
ITF-10030-N25	106.8	59.3	14.3	2.94	4.8	527	21.88	21.16	1.03
ITF-10030-N50	106.5	59.4	14.8	2.95	4.9	525	20.79	19.46	1.07
ITF-10030-N100	106.2	59.6	14.4	2.94	4.8	523.5	23.68	22.85	1.04
ITF-15030-N25	156.6	62.6	22.6	2.93	4.8	774	19.99	20.40	0.98
ITF-15030-N50	156.8	62.4	22.7	2.92	4.9	775	19.34	19.59	0.99
ITF-15030-N100	156.3	62.1	22.7	2.92	4.8	776	22.99	23.61	0.97
ITF-15030-N150	156.7	62.5	22.8	2.93	4.9	774	23.99	25.98	0.92
ITF-20025-N25	206.3	74.0	26.3	2.43	4.6	1028	13.41	14.21	0.94
ITF-20025-N50	207.3	73.3	26.0	2.44	4.9	1022	13.93	14.00	1.00
ITF-20025-N100	207.4	73.9	26.3	2.43	5.0	1019	15.83	17.28	0.92
ITF-20025-N150	207.5	73.4	26.9	2.44	4.6	1021	16.52	18.70	0.88
ITF-20030-N25	205.5	74.5	31.6	2.9	4.4	1022	19.46	19.55	1.00
ITF-20030-N50	206.7	75.3	27.4	2.93	4.8	102	19.97	19.52	1.02
ITF-20030-N100	206.4	74.4	26.7	2.9	4.8	1021	22.63	23.33	0.97
ITF-20030-N150	206.6	74.5	26.7	2.89	4.6	1022	22.67	24.68	0.92
ITF-25025-N25	259.8	76.1	22.1	2.43	4.4	1273	14.21	13.38	1.06
ITF-25025-N50	260.1	76.0	22.4	2.42	4.5	1274	14.05	13.99	1.00
ITF-25025-N100	259.9	76.3	22.5	2.43	4.5	1269	15.99	15.99	1.00
ITF-25025-N150	259.8	76.2	22.2	2.43	4.5	1275	16.72	16.40	1.02
			Mean	1					0.99
			COV						0.05

Section	E (GPa)	$\sigma_{0.2,Eng}$ (MPa)	σ _{0.2,true} (MPa)	σ _{u,Eng.} (MPa)	σ _{u,True} (MPa)	ε _{u,Eng.} (%)	ε _{u, True} (%)
10030	65.05	210	223	259	274.93	6.15	5.97
15030	63.55	206	217	248	261.76	5.55	5.40
20025	63.95	214	225	260	273.13	5.05	4.93
20030	64.13	212	226	257	273.63	6.47	6.27
25025	64.34	216	230	265	282.70	6.68	6.47

Table 3. Mechanical properties of aluminium sections used in the numerical simulations [30]

Table 4: Parametric study model details of ALC sections under ETF and ITF loading conditions

Loading condition	Section	N (mm)	h/t	r_i (mm)	Aluminium hardening	Number of models
ETF	10030	50,100,150	27.7 - 31.7	2, 5, 8	H32, H36, H38	27
	15025	50,100,150	53.6 - 58.4	2, 5, 8	H36	9
	25025	50,100,150	96 - 98.4	2, 5, 8	H32, H36, H38	27
	25030	50,100,150	77.7 - 81.7	2, 5, 8	H36	9
	30025	50,100,150	110.6 - 116.4	2, 5, 8	H36	9
	40030	50,100,150	126-130	2, 5, 8	H32, H36, H38	27
			Experiment			19
		19				
		146				
ITF	10030	50,100,150	27.7 - 31.7	2, 5, 8	H32, H36, H38	27
	15025	50,100,150	53.6 - 58.4	2, 5, 8	H36	9
	25025	50,100,150	96 - 98.4	2, 5, 8	H32, H36, H38	27
	25030	50,100,150	77.7 - 81.7	2, 5, 8	H36	9
	30025	50,100,150	110.6 - 116.4	2, 5, 8	H36	9
	40030	50,100,150	126-130	2, 5, 8	H32, H36, H38	27
	Experiment					19
	FE- validation					19
	Sub-total	1				146
		То	tal			292

Note:

Table 5: Geometrical coefficients used in Equations (6) and (11)

Design rule	Loading	С	C_R	C _N	C _h
	condition				
AS/NZS 4600 [32]	ETF	7.50	0.08	0.12	0.048
	ITF	20.00	0.10	0.08	0.031
Modified [28]	ETF	0.273	0.21	0.16	0.06
	ITF	0.78	0.17	0.04	0.03

		-				
Rule	Loading	Equation	Mean	COV	ϕ_w	β_0
	condition					
Current	ETF	AS/NZS 1664.1	0.68	0.26	0.90	0.64
		[31]				
		AS/NZS 4600 [32]	0.55	0.13	0.85	0.25
		Eurocode 3 [33]	0.86	0.13	1.00	1.30
	ITF	AS/NZS 1664.1	1.04	0.13	0.90	2.48
		[31]				
		AS/NZS 4600 [32]	0.65	0.11	0.85	0.91
		Eurocode 3 [33]	0.87	0.17	1.00	1.29
Modified	ETF	Equation (9)	1.06	0.11	0.90	2.67
		Equation (11)	1.04	0.08	0.90	2.69
		Equation (12)	0.99	0.13	0.85	2.50
	ITF	Equation (10)	1.10	0.09	0.90	2.89
		Equation (11)	1.03	0.06	0.90	2.72
		Equation (13)	1.04	0.10	0.90	2.65
Proposed	ETF	DSM approach	1.01	0.11	0.85	2.70
	ITF	DSM approach	1.01	0.13	0.85	2.58

Table 6: Comparison of mean and COV values for ultimate-to-predicted web crippling capacity ratios $(P_{Exp.-FEA}/P_{Predicted})$

Table 7: Comparison of FEA and proposed critical buckling loads - ETF loading condition

Specimen	r _i	$P_{cr(FEM)}$	$k_{cr(FEA)}$	$k_{cr(Prop.)}$	$P_{cr(Prop.)}$	P _{cr(FEM)} /
	(mm)	(kN)			(kN)	$P_{cr(Prop.)}$
ETF-10030-N50	5.00	39.60	2.41	2.43	39.98	0.99
ETF-10030-N50	8.00	39.90	2.42	2.43	39.98	1.00
ETF-10030-N100	5.00	66.80	4.06	3.86	63.49	1.05
ETF-10030-N100	8.00	65.00	3.95	3.86	63.49	1.02
ETF-10030-N150	5.00	94.20	5.72	5.29	87.01	1.08
ETF-10030-N150	8.00	87.30	5.30	5.29	87.01	1.00
ETF-25025-N50	5.00	6.10	1.56	1.59	6.23	0.98
ETF-25025-N50	8.00	6.10	1.56	1.59	6.23	0.98
ETF-25025-N100	5.00	8.44	2.15	2.18	8.54	0.99
ETF-25025-N100	8.00	8.30	2.12	2.18	8.54	0.97
ETF-25025-N150	5.00	11.10	2.83	2.76	10.84	1.02
ETF-25025-N150	8.00	10.90	2.78	2.76	10.84	1.01
ETF-40030-N50	5.00	6.00	1.39	1.38	5.94	1.01
ETF-40030-N50	8.00	5.96	1.38	1.38	5.94	1.00
ETF-40030-N100	5.00	7.47	1.73	1.75	7.56	0.99
ETF-40030-N100	8.00	7.37	1.71	1.75	7.56	0.97
ETF-40030-N150	5.00	9.14	2.12	2.13	9.18	1.00
ETF-40030-N150	8.00	8.99	2.08	2.13	9.18	0.98
		Mean				1.00
		COV				0.03

Table 8: Comparison of FEA and proposed critical buckling loads - ITF loading condition

Specimen	r_i	$P_{cr(FEM)}$	$k_{cr(FEA)}$	$k_{cr(Prop.)}$	P _{cr(Prop.)}	$P_{cr(FEM)}$
	(mm)	(kN)			(kN)	$P_{cr(Prop.)}$
ITF-10030-N50	5.00	68.40	4.16	4.35	71.64	0.95
ITF-10030-N50	8.00	69.60	4.23	4.35	71.64	0.97

ITF-10030-N100	5.00	86.10	5.23	5.30	87.32	0.99
ITF-10030-N100	8.00	85.50	5.19	5.30	87.32	0.98
ITF-10030-N150	5.00	108.30	6.58	6.26	103.00	1.05
ITF-10030-N150	8.00	105.60	6.42	6.26	103.00	1.03
ITF-25025-N50	5.00	14.90	3.80	3.79	14.87	1.00
ITF-25025-N50	8.00	15.10	3.85	3.79	14.87	1.02
ITF-25025-N100	5.00	16.20	4.13	4.18	16.41	0.99
ITF-25025-N100	8.00	16.30	4.16	4.18	16.41	0.99
ITF-25025-N150	5.00	17.70	4.51	4.58	17.95	0.99
ITF-25025-N150	8.00	17.80	4.54	4.58	17.95	0.99
ITF-40030-N50	5.00	15.80	3.66	3.65	15.77	1.00
ITF-40030-N50	8.00	15.90	3.68	3.65	15.77	1.01
ITF-40030-N100	5.00	16.70	3.86	3.90	16.85	0.99
ITF-40030-N100	8.00	16.78	3.88	3.90	16.85	1.00
ITF-40030-N150	5.00	17.70	4.10	4.15	17.93	0.99
ITF-40030-N150	8.00	17.70	4.10	4.15	17.93	0.99
	1.00					
		COV				0.02