

## City Research Online

### City, University of London Institutional Repository

**Citation:** Devi, R., Chadborn, N., Meyer, J., Banerjee, J., Goodman, C., Dening, T., Gladman, J. R. F., Hinsliff-Smith, K., Long, A., Usman, A., et al (2021). How quality improvement collaboratives work to improve healthcare in care homes: a realist evaluation. Age Ageing, 50(4), pp. 1371-1381. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afab007

This is the published version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

**Permanent repository link:** https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/27187/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afab007

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online: <a href="http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/">http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/</a>

publications@city.ac.uk

### **QUALITATIVE PAPER**

# How quality improvement collaboratives work to improve healthcare in care homes: a realist evaluation

Reena Devi<sup>1</sup>, Neil H. Chadborn<sup>2,3</sup>, Julienne Meyer<sup>4</sup>, Jay Banerjee<sup>5</sup>, Claire Goodman<sup>6,7</sup>, Tom Dening<sup>2</sup>, John R. F. Gladman<sup>2,3,8</sup>, Kathryn Hinsliff-Smith<sup>9</sup>, Annabelle Long<sup>2</sup>, Adeela Usman<sup>2</sup>, Gemma Housley<sup>10</sup>, Sarah Lewis<sup>2</sup>, Matthew Glover<sup>11</sup>, Heather Gage<sup>11</sup>, Philippa A. Logan<sup>2,3,8,12</sup>, Finbarr C. Martin<sup>13</sup>, Adam L. Gordon<sup>2,3,4,8</sup>

Address correspondence to: Adam L. Gordon, Room 4113 Medical School, Royal Derby Hospital, Uttoxeter Road, Derby, DE22 3NE, UK. Email: adam.gordon@nottingham.ac.uk

### **Abstract**

**Background:** Quality improvement collaboratives (QICs) bring together multidisciplinary teams in a structured process to improve care quality. How QICs can be used to support healthcare improvement in care homes is not fully understood. **Methods:** A realist evaluation to develop and test a programme theory of how QICs work to improve healthcare in care homes. A multiple case study design considered implementation across 4 sites and 29 care homes. Observations, interviews and focus groups captured contexts and mechanisms operating within QICs. Data analysis classified emerging themes using context-mechanism-outcome configurations to explain how NHS and care home staff work together to design and implement improvement.

**Results:** QICs will be able to implement and iterate improvements in care homes where they have a broad and easily understandable remit; recruit staff with established partnership working between the NHS and care homes; use strategies to build relationships and minimise hierarchy; protect and pay for staff time; enable staff to implement improvements aligned with existing work; help members develop plans in manageable chunks through QI coaching; encourage QIC members to recruit multidisciplinary support through existing networks; facilitate meetings in care homes and use shared learning events to build multidisciplinary interventions stepwise. Teams did not use measurement for change, citing difficulties integrating this into pre-existing and QI-related workload.

**Conclusions:** These findings outline what needs to be in place for health and social care staff to work together to effect change. Further research needs to consider ways to work alongside staff to incorporate measurement for change into QI.

School of Healthcare, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>NIHR Applied Research Collaboration - East Midlands (ARC-EM), UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>School of Health Sciences, City University of London, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, University of Leicester, Leicester, and Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>School of Health and Social Work, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>NIHR Applied Research Collaboration – East of England (ARC-EoE), UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>NIHR Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre, Nottingham, UK

 $<sup>^9\</sup>mbox{Faculty}$  of Health and Life Sciences, De Montfort University, Leicester, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham, UK

<sup>11</sup> Surrey Health Economics Centre, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Nottingham CityCare Partnership, NHS Provider Service, Nottingham, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Population Health Sciences, King's College London, London, UK

### R. Devi et al.

**Keywords:** nursing homes, quality improvement, quality improvement collaboratives, health services research, primary care, older people

### **Key Points**

- QICs bring staff from different organisations together to improve healthcare in care homes.
- Healthcare improvement should align with existing work priorities and be led by staff with experience of collaboration.
- Care home staff can lead healthcare improvement if approaches and structures are adopted, which enable them to do so.
- GPs were keen to be involved in care home improvement collaboratives but did not have capacity to lead.
- CGA is unfamiliar to many community staff working in improvement and may cause confusion.

### Introduction

In England, approximately 410,000 older people live with dementia and/or frailty in care homes which provide 24-hour care with, or without, on-site nursing [1]. Health services to care homes are associated with better outcomes if they have a focus and activities that legitimise ongoing contact between healthcare and care homes at an institutional level, where they link with a wider system of healthcare, and when they provide access to dementia-specific expertise [2]. There is uncertainty, both in the UK and internationally [3,4], around how to implement evidence-based approaches to care in care homes, given the tensions between the quality of care (often involving multiple external inputs from expert healthcare professionals) and quality of life (involving client-led, asset-based and person-centred care in a homely environment) [5].

When healthcare interventions are copied from primary care or hospitals and imposed on care homes without adaptation, then implementation will fail [6]. Quality improvement approaches enable adaptation of evidence-based interventions across multiple settings in a way that is context sensitive [7] and therefore have potential to overcome some barriers to implementation in care homes. Quality improvement collaboratives (QICs) bring together professionals from multiple sites to learn and apply improvement methods [8]. QICs vary in their delivery but generally comprise (i) focus on a specified topic, (ii) support by clinical and quality improvement experts, (iii) participation by multiple professionals across organisations and (iv) use of an improvement model (setting targets, collecting data and testing changes). A systematic review which collated the evidence for QICs in all healthcare settings from randomised controlled trials, nonrandomised controlled clinical trials, controlled before-after studies and interrupted time-series studies with or without control site up till 2014 found 64 studies describing QICs found that 83% reported improvement in one or more effect measure [9]. Four studies were conducted in care homes and focused on falls [10,11], pressure ulcers [12] and painmanagement [13]. Whilst positive outcomes were reported, less is understood about how the QIC intervention worked to improve care in care homes.

For those delivering and taking part in QICs, it is important to understand the patterns of working that deliver

change and improvements in care, with benefit to residents, relatives and staff. This study aimed to understand how QICs work when designing and implementing evidence-based approaches to healthcare in care homes.

### **Methods**

A realist evaluation approach was used. A full protocol has been published [14]. This paper is compiled in line with RAMESES-II reporting standards for realist evaluations [15].

The QIC intervention was called ProactivE HeAlthcare for Older People in Care Homes (PEACH). Table 1 provides a detailed description of the QIC using the template for intervention description and replication [16]. The QIC ran for 18 months (September 2016–February 2018) and comprised teams of health and social care professionals from four sites, each covered by a separate NHS Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG; the organisations responsible for commissioning healthcare in England). The research team established the QIC and provided advice on-site team composition and focus of intervention. We did not specify the interventions implemented by each site team. As these were a consequence of the intervention, rather than the intervention per se, they are reported in Table 2 under results.

Collaborative shared-learning events took place during months 1, 7, 13 and 18. Events comprised activities to build relationships, training on quality improvement and sessions to develop improvement plans and share progress between sites.

An appreciative approach [17] was used to maximise engagement, creativity, inclusivity and minimise effects of perceived hierarchy. The improvement team running the collaborative introduced the principles of Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA) as an evidence-based approach to holistic assessment and care of older people with frailty. CGA emphasises multidisciplinary, multidomain assessment and focussed around patient priorities. It has been shown to the improve quality of care and patient outcomes in hospitals [18–20] but requires adaptation in care homes due to the need to negotiate and schedule interactions between multiple professionals and organisations [21].

**Table 1**. Description of the PEACH quality improvement collaborative

How well

participants.

Name	PEACH collaborative
Why Where	The aim was to improve healthcare for care home residents. CGA was used as a framework to guide teams.  Nottinghamshire (a county in the East Midlands region of England), UK. Collaborative shared learning events were carried out at a university location, and in-between events (action periods) teams met in local care homes, and at premises of local CCG (organisations which plan and purchase healthcare services) locations.
Who provided	The PEACH collaborative was delivered by an improvement team comprising a locally known clinical academic geriatrician, a nurse leader with expertise in appreciative methods to promote quality of life in care homes, a Health Foundation Quality Improvement Fello
Recipients	and a researcher with interest in quality improvement science.  In total, over the course of the QIC 44 participants attended at least one collaborative shared learning event. The job roles of all participants attending at least one collaborative shared learning event are described below:
	Site 1: commissioner, pharmacist, GP (X2), care home employed nurse, care home care assistant, NHS community matron, lay representative and a geriatrician.  Site 2: commissioner (X2), Age UK representative, GPs (X3), falls specialist, care home manger, pharmacist and a dietician.  Site 3: commissioner, care home vanguard manager (X2), matron nurse, care home nurse, geriatrician (X2), care home manager (X2), senior carer, integrated health and social care manager dementia outreach manager and Age UK worker.
	senior carer, integrated health and social care manager, dementia outreach manager and Age UK worker.  Site 4: commissioner, matron nurse (X3), GP (X2), geriatrician, care home manager, social worker, pharmacist, Age UK and NHS care-coordinator
How	The collaborative-shared learning events were organised and hosted by the improvement team. Site teams met during action periods in their local areas, with a member of the improvement team was present to provide coaching at all meetings.
When and how much	A total of 18 months (September 2016–February 2018), with four collaborative shared learning events that took place approximately every 6 months).
What (materials and procedures)	Forming communities of practice: the collaborative took place across a region comprising four neighbouring geographical localities (sites with a team formed in each site. In each site, the person responsible for planning and purchasing healthcare services (commonly referred to as 'commissioners' in the UK) for older people recruited a team. Teams were multidisciplinary (team configuration described above). Collaborative shared learning events: events included allocated time for teams to:
	Discuss their local needs and priorities
	<ul> <li>Develop quality improvement plans</li> <li>Present and share project ideas, and their experiences of the improvement journey (challenges, successes, lessons learnt and next steps)</li> <li>Network</li> </ul>
	Educational/learning sessions: the events included workshops with foci on:
	<ul> <li>Quality improvement techniques; setting SMART objectives, and testing change ideas using a Plan Do Study Act approach.</li> <li>Getting to know each other as people (icebreaker exercises)</li> <li>Helping participants feel safe (agreed ways of working)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Exploring attitudes and feelings (use of image cards)</li> <li>Focussing on the positive (What works well and why? How do we want things to be? How can we work together to make this happen What needs to be in place to make it happen more of the time?)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Communicating more effectively (celebrate, be curious, connect emotionally, consider other perspectives, collaborate, compromise an be courageous)</li> <li>Capturing lessons learnt (takeaway messages—one thing learnt, want to think about more, wish to leave behind)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>CGA and using this approach to care for older people.</li> <li>Action period group meetings: during action periods (the time in-between each shared learning event, approximately 5–6 months) team</li> </ul>
	met at their own site locations to review progress, and progress their improvement projects.  Coaching: a Health Foundation trained quality improvement fellow provided coaching and mentoring to individual teams, both at
	shared learning events and also during the action periods.  Signposting: when site teams faced challenges the improvement team helped by signposting to relevant contacts/resources.
	Newsletter: provided project updates (i.e. meeting dates) and team stories describing progress with quality improvement projects. Shared through email, with approximately three newsletters per year.
	Administrative support: the site teams were offered administration support during action periods, for example, arranging meetings and circulating meeting agendas/minutes.  Support with data collection: the wider PEACH team included researchers dedicated to evaluating the activity of the QIC, collecting data around healthcare service use and care home resident well-being. Teams were offered support with data collection and quality
	improvement evaluation.
Tailoring	GPs and care home staff were provided with backfill payment for their time taken to attend events as they are independent sector worke and only able to attend meetings if adequate staff cover is arranged to cover workload. Reimbursement was retrospective upon invoice and based upon hourly rates outlined by the British Medical Association (https://www.bma.org.uk/pay-and-contracts/pay/other-doctor-pay-scales/salaried-gps-pay-ranges) and NHS Agenda for Change (https://www.healthcareers.nhs.uk/working-health/working-nhs/nhs-pay-and-benefits/agenda-change-pay-rates) for GPs and Care Home staff respectively. Care home managers were reimbursed at NHS
Modifications to	Agenda for Change Band 7, nurses at Band 5 and care home staff at Band 4.  The original plans included carrying out conference calls as another way to meet and discuss progress with improvement work. The
the programme	conference calls would take place during action periods and involve each site team with the improvement team. One conference call was carried out and not repeated as face-to-face meetings were deemed more effective for reviewing and discussing project progress.  Across teams and over the course of the OIC attendance was maintained (defined as attending all collaborative learning events) in 34

Across teams and over the course of the QIC attendance was maintained (defined as attending all collaborative learning events) in 34

**Table 2**. Descriptions of each team's QI project

	Why				How	Where	When and how much	Modifications
Site 1: Pharmacist-led medication review for care home residents.	Polypharmacy contributes to morbidity and morrality. No consistent approach had been taken previously to medication review.	Prior to the start of this project, the pharmacist member of the group had already developed a checklist to structure and standardise medication reviews for older people.	Pharmacist reviewed prescribing on NHS electronic records then met GP and care home staff to complete medications checklist. GP changed drugs and care home staff monitored effect on resident.	Pharmacist, GP and care home staff.	Face-to-face meetings.	Care home	65 residents from 11 care homes over project time.	Care home nurse specialist joined the team at the second iteration.  Dietetic materials from group 2 from third iteration.  Templates developed to help care home staff monitor effect on medication changes on
Site 2: Dietician-led nutrition support to care homes.	Malnutrition and undernutrition are prevalent in care homes, causes morbidity and is treatable.	Training materials to train care home staff on nutrition, diabetes and the Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (MUST). An information pack containing homemade recipes for fortified drinks, high-calorie snacks and finger foods. A 3-day food diary and MUST protocol.	Dietician visited homes to build relationships with staff and identify specific training needs.  Dietician, GP and care home staff did dieteric reviews on residents with high MUST scores (based on assessments performed by care home staff) to develop dieteric care plan. Dieteric assessment and care plan made available on GP	Care home staff training, dietician and GP.	Face-to-face meetings at homes. Care plans made available using existing function on GP computer system.	Care home. GP practice	Training and routine MUST screening adopted by 23 homes housing 880 residents.	Interaction between dietetic status, prescriptions and physical status identified over time. Links developed to discuss broader (non-dietetic) care needs with pharmacy and nurse specialist colleagues at the second iteration. Pharmacy assessment tool from group 1 included in meetings at the second iteration.
Site 3: Multidisciplinary review meetings for care home residents at risk of deterioration	Multidisciplinary review meetings already in place for residents newly admitted to care homes, but no mechanism to identify residents at risk of deterioration.	Triage tool to enable care home staff to describe concerns about residents in a structured way developed in conjunction with care home staff collaborative members.	Care home staff complete triage tool for residents they select as causing concern. Completed triage tools reviewed by dementia outreach and care home specialist nurse practitioners with access to NHS records. Members of the MDT met at care home to discuss resident. Meetings arranged on day/time of scheduled GP visit to care home. MDT members	Care home staff, dementia outreach and care home nurse specialist, GP, voluntary sector representative.	Face-to-face group meeting	Care home	A total of 14 residents across one residential and one nursing home.	The triage tool was redesigned following testing in the residential home. Second iteration was tested in the nursing home.
Site 4: Multidisciplinary review for newly admitted care home residents	To inform proactive multidisciplinary care to residents newly admitted to care home.	An electronic template enabling collation of multidomain assessment information, from Connected Notts [23] project.	Implement care plan.  New admissions identified by care homes staff. Healthcare multidisciplinary team assessed resident individually.  Once all assessments completed, team members meet to create a care plan.	GP, community matron, care coordinator, care home manager, geriatrician and voluntary sector representative.	Face-to-face.	GP surgery	Planned to carry out once monthly. Intervention took place once during the study.	The group requested modifications to the electronic assessment template after first use. This was not completed to their satisfaction during the study period and a decision was made to abandon further MDT meetings.

## Data collection and programme theory development

Data on the OICs were collected through direct observation of meetings, and interviews and focus groups with collaborative members at multiple time points. Analysis started from an initial programme theory derived from the literature, summarised in online Appendix 1 and described in detail elsewhere [14]. This outlined how QICs are thought to work generally, and barriers and enablers, which might be relevant in care homes. A final programme theory was generated iteratively through three stages of realist inquiry: theory gleaning, testing and validation. Theory gleaning interviews and focus groups were conducted after the first two shared learning events in the first 6 months of the collaborative. Participants were asked their views around what helps teams of healthcare and care home professionals work together to improve healthcare delivery. Analysis generated a second iteration of the programme theory, which used contextmechanism-outcome configurations (CMOCs) to describe what enables OICs in care homes to work, when, for whom, and in what ways. These CMOCs were then tested through further observations, interviews and focus groups in months 6–18. Interviews at this stage comprised a realist teacher-learner cycle [22], where CMOCs were presented to participants, who were asked to confirm, refute, modify or augment them. Where participants disputed the programme theory, it was revisited by recourse to study data. Theory validation interviews and focus groups were conducted 3 months after the QIC completed and comprised a sense-check of the final theory.

### Data analysis

Interviews and focus groups were audio recorded, transcribed verbatim and analysed using NVivo (version 12). For each phase, two researchers (from R.D., A.G., N.C., J.B. and J.M.) independently analysed all database entries, and the wider research team met regularly to interrogate key themes and emerging CMOCs. The focus of these discussions was to establish what components of the programme theory had explanatory value and were supported by data from within and across collaborative sites.

#### Results

Each site team developed QI projects reflecting needs and priorities in their local areas, resulting in four distinct projects, described in Table 2.

Twenty-seven interviews and 10 focus groups were conducted. Forty-five participants informed theory gleaning (14 interviews and 3 focus groups), testing (10 interviews and 4 focus groups) and validation (3 interviews and 3 focus groups). Thirty-two participants were collaborative members and 13 worked in or had a family member living in, a care home where QI was undertaken. Of the 32 collaborative member participants, 20 participated in

repeated interviews/focus groups, contributing to either all three (n = 10) or two (n = 10) stages of theory development.

Four CMOCs, outlined in Table 3, were identified with supporting evidence to describe how QICs comprising healthcare and care home staff work together to improve healthcare in care homes.

# CMOC I: Staff will engage and sustain involvement with the Improvement Collaborative if steps are taken to minimise hierarchy and give care home staff voice, established patterns of shared working are used, and time is protected and paid for.

Care home staff spoke about the collaborative being their first opportunity to engage with NHS staff outside direct clinical care. They regarded some NHS attendees, particularly general practitioners (GPs), as being quite senior. In this context, work to overcome hierarchy was particularly useful. Successful measures included: icebreaker exercises that humanised collaborative members by asking them to talk about life outside work, agreeing shared ground rules and minimising the use of jargon and acronyms. Most members understood this to be about breaking down barriers and minimising hierarchy, and all were happy to support it.

'The closest I get to speaking one-to-one with a GP about any concerns, worries or ideas normally is the two-weekly ward round. The PEACH study ... was all different people together who you can speak to and raise ideas or concerns'. (Care Home Manager, site 3)

'I feel like people don't necessarily take notice of what care home staff have got to say, because they're not NHS members of staff. And it shouldn't be like that. At the end of the day [they're] the ones that look after these people day in, day out'. (Specialist nurse, site 3)

We asked commissioners to recruit collaborative members experienced in working with care homes. This led to different staff mixes for each area, with sites 1, 2 and 3 recruiting a pharmacist, dietician and mental health nurses, respectively. Where working patterns were established before the collaborative, we observed rapid progress with improvement. Where there was less history of collaborative working, teams took longer to develop and progress. One area had lost many of the NHS staff working with care homes through recent decommissioning decisions and struggled to recruit collaborative members with relevant expertise and experience.

'It's taken us longer to get going than we anticipated, our priorities are focused onto other things. That was difficult, because ....[before] we were doing so much work around care homes'. (Commissioner, site 2)

GPs and care home staff are not directly employed by the NHS and told us that backfill payments legitimised time spent away from usual activities, particularly when asking colleagues to cover their absence. Administrative support from the improvement team for meetings was described

**Table 3**. CMOCs describing how healthcare and care home staff work together to improve healthcare delivered in care home

Mechanism  The remark of the QUC is broad and casy to understand.  The remark of the QUC is broad and casy to understand.  The remark of the QUC is broad and casy to understand.  The remark of the QUC is broad and casy to understand.  The arrelative of the the QUC is broad and casy to understand.  The arrelative of the the QUC is broad and casy to understand.  The collaborative can focus begin as equals in reference of the cash of the	A wide range of stakeholders can join the collaborative comfortable that they understand the brief and it reflects their priorities.  Team members have learnt how to work together across NHS/care home boundaries.  Team members are empowered to participate as equals in the collaborative.  Team members can focus legitimately on QLC without distraction from other work commitments.  The collaborative can focus to improvement rather than logistics and avoids placing responsibility for administration with any one professional grouping.  All context if staff can align improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitate methors in a light improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitate work priorities.  Collaborative members negotiate shared plans that align with their individual work priorities.  Qlooching enables the collaborative to operationalise their ideas, without theratening staff new to Qlooching chables the collaborative members adjust their plans in response to Qlooching  Mechanism  Mechanism  Ollaborative members start clinical interventions themselves, leading by example and maintain a right focus on care home specific issues through their neworks to support the collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks to support the collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks to support the collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks to support the collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks to support the collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks to support the collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks in support the collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks maintains focus on care home staff in care home staff.  Staff can count of the intervention in care homes taff.  Staff can count of the intervention in care homes taff.			
The entire of the QIC is broad and easy to understand.  Staff are recruited from those with established patterns of shared  Voolighoustive mercings where the shared from those with established patterns of shared  Voolighoustive mercings are streamed from those with established patterns of shared  Team members have learned where the shared with the state of the shared with the shared to minimise hierarchy and more house, and any and are free and the shared countered in the shared patterns of the share	The emit of the QIC is broad and easy to understand.  The trey understand.  The trey understand the bird and it reflects their priorities.  Team members have learnth how to work together across NHS/care.  Team members can from bloomative.  Team members can from bloomative.  Team members can from self-trey and collaborative members and lawe from other vork committents.  Team members can from self-trey and collaborative members can from self-trey of participation or across the self-trey and the self-trey and collaborative members can from self-trey the self-trey collaborative members and the self-trey and of participation or across the self-trey in the local context if staff can algo improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework Councer.  CNOC 2. Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff can algo improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework Councer.  CNOC 2. Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff can algo improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework Councer.  CNOC 2. Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff can algo improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework.  Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities.  Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities.  Collaborative members subject the order of the principles of QI and context in the transmitter of QI coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative species of QI coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative species of QI coaching the expertise	Context	Mechanism	Outcome
Steff are recuired from those with the cabalished parterns of shared  Calcularian recuired from those with the cabalished parterns of shared  Calcularian receiting as structured to minimize therarchy and manner parterns of start of care thouses of the calculation of the cabalished parterns and have contained to minimize the forest of such an eventery as a received to participate as equals in the manner parterns of the cabalishe within the local context of staff can align improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework  COMOC 2. Action plans will be feasible within the local context of staff can align improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework  COMOC 2. Action plans will be feasible within the local context of staff can align improvement work with existing priorities and interests, support is provided to organise meetings.  COallocative members have existing individual work priorities  COallocative members are empowered to the principles of QI and conducted in an approximate organise special conductive special condu	Souffare recurrined from those with established parterns of shared working between NHS and care homes working between NHS and care homes maximise participates a equals in the manners are empowered to participate as equals in the collaborative mentions and are the care homes The onliborative can focus legitimately on QIC without distraction from other work commitments.  Administrative support is provided to organise meetings.  Administrative members as well be feasible within the local context of Collaborative members as baccine to the principles of QI and conducted in an an all the provided in the provided of the principles of QI and conducted in an an attended to ordaning enables to collaborative members as baccine to the principles of QI and conducted in an an use the expertise of QI coaching enables to collaborative members as baccine to the principles of QI and to collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of and formation and use the come as the venue for improvement work.  Horough or the principles of conducted around are homes.  Horough or the principles of conduct	The remit of the QIC is broad and easy to understand.	A wide range of stakeholders can join the collaborative comfortable that they understand the brief and it reflects their priorities.	Sustained engagement with collaborative (34 NI and care home staff remained engaged over
Note the process of the process and the collaborative members are turned to minitive hierarchy and collaborative meetings are structured to minitive hierarchy and collaborative meetings are structured to minitive hierarchy and collaborative meetings.  Administrative support is provided to organise meetings and individual work priorities.  Collaborative members adjust the decil organise organise organise the caperities of QI and variety provided which provided which provided which the configurative support is a minimized them directly, are easily able to access expectise from multidisciplinary support networks of Collaborative members adjust their decil majorement of Collaborative members adjust their decil for the configurative support networks of staff external to the collaborative members and uncorned in and administration and uncorned in and social care professionals cortened around care homes at the venue for improvement work.  Additionative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Additionative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Additionative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Additionative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Additionative member	working between NHS and care homes.  Calculborative menting as rutetured nominimise hierarchy and collaborative can focus legitimately on QIC without distraction maximise participation.  Care homest and GP staff are offered backfill payments and have remarker can focus on improvement rather than logistics and a robe describing a professional gooping.  CMOC 2. Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff can align improvement rather than logistics and professional gooping.  CMOC 2. Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff can align improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI caaching framework and the context of the plans and professional gooping.  CMOC 2. Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff can align improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI caaching framework and interests apported by a facilitative QI caaching framework and interests apported by a facilitative QI caaching framework and interests apported by a facilitative QI caaching framework and interests apported by a facilitative QI caaching framework and interests apported by a facilitative QI caaching framework and conducted in an apportant threatening staff interests and conducted in an apportant threatening and the capture of QI coaching canables and conducted in an appoint staff interests as also and the care home as the venue for improvement.  Action plans will be accessibly implemented if collaborative members start dinical interventions themselves, leading demonstrating changes to paid and use the care home as the venue for improvement.  Action plans will be accessible from multidisciplinary support networks of staff external and use to even for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Hospital and social care professionals oriented around care homes and contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and contractual arrangements support	Staff are recruited from those with established patterns of shared	Team members have learnt how to work together across NHS/care	18 months)
Collaborative members are convented and of set after defend backfill payments and lawe professional grouping are structured to minimume interactly and maximise participation.  Care home and CD staff are differed backfill payments and lawe from other work commitments.  Administrative support is provided to organize meetings.  The collaborative can focus on improvement rather than logistics and merch as a professional grouping.  CMCC 2. Action plans will be feasible within the local context if saff can align intervencent work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI oaching framework.  Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities.  Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities.  Collaborative members subsequence coaching is available and conducted in an QI coaching enables the collaborative members subsequence trouble processing programs and thermse stating plan has a conducted in an and use the care home as the wrute for improvement of Collaborative members subsequently implemented if collaborative members adjust the conjugation members and use the care home as the wrute for improvement or collaborative members and use the care home as the wrute for improvement or collaborative members and use the care home as the wrute for improvement or collaborative members and use the care home as the wrute for improvement work.  Collaborative members along the collaborative members adjusted to the intervention in care home specific issues collaborative.  Collaborative members along the collaborative members adjusted the collaborative members and the collaborative members adjusted to the intervention in care home specific issues collaborative.  Collaborative members are operational stuff directly involved in care homes.  Collaborative members are coperational stuff directly involved in care homes.  Collaborative a	Collaborative members are understand and collaborative and members are mpowered to participation.  Care home and GP adf are offered backfull payments and have permission to attend meetings.  CMOC 2: Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff can align improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework who existing individual work priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework with existing priorities and interests, support to a facilitative QI coaching framework with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework with care to the principles of QI and without theretoring staff news to QI coaching size developed plans along the context of coaching and the successfully implemented if collaborative members initiate them directly, are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support networks of Collaborative members frecuit additional staff through their removers. The Collaborative members initiate them directly, are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support	working between NHS and care homes.	home boundaries.	
Transcense processed and GP spaces.  Can be and GP spaces.  Administrative support is provided to organise meetings.  Administrative support is provided to organise meetings.  Administrative support is provided to organise meetings.  CAOC 2. Action plans will be feasible within the local context if saff can align improvement work with extenting priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework  COMC 2. Action plans will be feasible within the local context if saff can align improvement work with extenting priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework  Collaborative members needing individual work priorities.  Collaborative members are existing individual work priorities.  Collaborative members are expertise to QI coaching enables the collaborative members allow the individual work priorities.  Collaborative members are expertise to QI coaching enables the collaborative members are expertise to QI coaching enables the collaborative members are expertise to QI coaching enables the directly are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support networks. The organization of Collaborative members are operational suff directly involved in care.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of saff external to the collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of maintain a light focus on care hone specific issues discretely involved in care.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact which care homes.  Contractual arrangements support contact which care homes and increasing contractual arrangements support contact which care homes.  Cont	Team networks can did GV staff are offered backfill payments and have permission to attend meetings.  Administrative support is provided to organise meetings.  Administrative support is provided to organise meetings.  The collaborative end context if staff can align improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework Outcome  Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities.  Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities.  Collaborative members are to be principles of QI and conducted in an individual work priorities.  Collaborative members state to be principles of QI and conducted in an align improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework Mechanism  Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities.  Collaborative members are to be principles of QI and conducted in an align minimal mini	Collaborative meetings are structured to minimise hierarchy and maximise narticipation	leam members are empowered to participate as equals in the	
permission to attend meetings.  Administrative support is provided to organise meetings.  Administrative support is provided to organise meetings.  The collaborative can focus on improvement rather than logistics and another placing responsibility for administration with any one professional grouping.  CMOC 2: Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff can align improvement vock with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework members align improvement vock with existing priorities and interests, support and conducted in an individual work priorities.  Collaborative members subscribe to perationalise their ideas.  Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff can align improvement vock with in 12 can be a provided to organize and conducted in an individual work priorities.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and without theretening shall now to QI.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and without theretening shall now to QI.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and without theretening shall now to QI.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and without theretening shall now to QI.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and without theretening shall now to QI.  Collaborative members are excessfully implemented if collaborative members initiate then directly, are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support networks of collaborative members seared initiate them directly are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support networks of collaborative members securit additional shall the collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Action plans relation to the intervention in care homes and easily meetings related to the intervention in care homes.  Action plans are used as the venue for improvement work.  Action plans are used as the venue for improvement work and gives concerned to the int	From other work commitments.  Administrative support is provided to organise meetings.  CMOC 2. Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff can align impressment work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework.  Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and individual work priorities.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and and the care home as the venue for improvement and use the care home as the venue for improvement and use the care home as the venue for improvement and use the care home as the venue for improvement are operational staff directly involved in care homes.  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collab	maximos partecipation.  Care home and GP staff are offered backfill payments and have	Team members can focus legitimately on QIC without distraction	
Administrative support is provided to organise meetings.  CMOC 2: Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff can align improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework  CMOC 2: Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff can align improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework  Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement  Mechanism  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as	Administrative support is provided to organise meetings.  The collaborative can focus on improvement rather than logistics and rocas are professional grouping.  CMOC 2: Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff can align improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a facilitative QI coaching framework.  Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities.  Collaborative members shave existing individual work priorities.  Collaborative members shave for improvement coaching is available and conducted in an individual work priorities.  Collaborative members shaveribe to the principles of QI and QI coaching enables the collaborative members shaveribe to the principles of QI and QI coaching enables the care home as the venue for improvement and use the care home as the venue for improvement and use the care home as the venue for improvement or Collaborative members start clinical interventions themselves, leading Measurable process and outcon between the care home as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members shave existing individual work priorities.  Collaborative members start clinical interventions themselves, leading with their recognise the expertise from multidisciplinary support networks of staff external and we the care home as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members start clinical interventions themselves, leading Measurable process and outcon between the care home as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members start clinical intervention in care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members recuit additional staff through their networks of conducted 3557 reaching maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and involved in care homes and involved in care homes and received the care homes and received the care homes and received the care homes and received th	permission to attend meetings.	from other work commitments.	
Professional grouping Context Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities Collaborative members absented to the principles of QI and Collaborative members absented to the principles of QI and Collaborative members absented to the principles of QI and Collaborative members absented to the principles of QI and Collaborative members absented to the principles of QI and Collaborative members absented to the principles of QI and Collaborative members absented to the principles of QI and Collaborative members absented to access expertise tyle. CMOC 3: Plans will be successfully implemented if collaborative members adjust their plans in response to QI coaching Collaborative members and the careful to the collaborative members are operational saff directly involved in care Collaborative members are operational saff directly involved in care Collaborative members have access to local support networks of Collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as	Professional grouping  Context  Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities  Collaborative members shave existing individual work priorities.  Collaborative members are considered in an appreciation style and conducted in an appreciative style.  Collaborative members about threatening staff new to QLI coaching enables the collaborative members adjust their plans in response to QLI coaching enables and conducted in an appreciative style.  Collaborative members about threatening staff new to QLI coaching enables and conducted in an without threatening staff new to QLI coaching enables and conducted in an accessfully implemented if collaborative members adjust their plans in response to QLI coaching enables and the care home as the venue for improvement.  Collaborative members at the venue for improvement.  Collaborative members start clinical interventions themselves, leading Measurable process and outcon by context.  Collaborative members start clinical interventions themselves, leading Measurable process and outcon be context.  Collaborative members start clinical interventions themselves, leading Measurable process and outcon be context.  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care home staff involved members recruit additional staff through their neworks of collaborative members recruit additional staff through their neworks of collaborative members are professionals oriented around care homes.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are operated out of since homes and gives some control to care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are operated out of since homes and social care professionals oriented around care homes and saff care doubt on the intervention in care homes.  Collaborative memb	Administrative support is provided to organise meetings.	The collaborative can focus on improvement rather than logistics and avoids placing responsibility for administration with any one	
Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and appreciative style.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and without threatening staff new to QI.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and without threatening staff new to QI.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and without threatening staff new to QI.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and without threatening staff new to QI.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and without threatening staff new to QI.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and use the care home as the venue for improvement  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are under staff involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Hours and the care homes and maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care  Hours and the care homes and the care hom	Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities Collaborative members recognise members regoriare shared plans that align with their individual work priorities. Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and protection applicative some conducted in an application of QI coaching parallel and conducted in an application treatening staff new to QI. Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and croopins the expertise of QI coaches.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and croopins the expertise of QI coaches.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and croopins the expertise of QI coaches.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and croopins the expertise of QI coaches.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and croopins the expertise of QI coaches.  Collaborative members are venue for improvement  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of sup	CMOC 2: Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff ca	professional grouping.  an align improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supported by a	acilitative QI coaching framework
Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities.  Quality improvement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative spice.  Quality improvement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative spice.  Quality improvement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative spice.  Quality improvement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative spice.  Quality improvement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative spice.  Quality improvement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative spice.  Quality improvement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative spice.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and streambers initiate them directly, are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support networks of saff external to the collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care.  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collabo	Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities compassing care homes.  Collaborative members are degree to the principles of QI and suppreciative superciative state of the cognise the expertise of QI coaching treatments adjust their plans in response to QI coaching tree superciatery of QI and treatening staff new to QI.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and treatening staff new to QI.  Collaborative members initiate them directly, are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support networks of staff external and use the care home as the venue for improvement  Context  Collaborative members star clinical interventions themselves, leading demonstrating changes to pati through care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care by example and maintain a right focus on care home specific issues and outcon delivory.  Collaborative members star clinical interventions themselves, leading demonstrating changes to pati through care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care by example and maintain a right focus on care home specific issues access to local support networks of collaborative. MDTs coalesce around the collaborative. MDTs coalesce around the collaborative. MDTs coalesce around the collaborative.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of coalesce around the collaborative. MDTs coalesce around the collaborative. MDTs coalesce around care homes.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and supprevement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and collaborative work against existing contractual obligations  Collaborative members have access to local support members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members have access to local support contact with care home such as the venue for improvement work and gives some control to care.  Collaborative members have access to local suppo	Context	Mechanism	Outcome
individual work priorities.  Quenching enables and conducted in an appreciative story improvement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative story improvement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative story in provement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative story in provement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative story in proper acceptance and the temperature of the principles of QI and collaborative members attending staff new to QI.  Collaborative members abbscribe to the principles of QI and context members and use the care home as the venue for improvement  Machanism  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members access to local support networks of collaborative members access to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Contract collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and intervention in care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and intervention in care homes.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and intervention in care homes.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and intervention in care homes.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and intervention in care homes.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and intervention in care homes.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and intervention in care homes.  Collaborative members are collaborative.  Col	encompassing care homes.  Que coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative style.  Quality improvement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative style.  Quellaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and recognise the expertise of QI coaching recognise the expertise of QI coaching recognise the expertise of QI coaching.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and recognise the expertise of QI coaching.  CMOC 3: Plans will be successfully implemented if collaborative members initiate them directly, are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support networks of staff external and use the care home as the venue for improvement  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Horough care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Hosing all meetings related to the intervention in care homes members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work against existing contractual arrangements and provemen	Collaborative members have existing individual work priorities	Collaborative members negotiate shared plans that align with their	Action plans reflect shared priorities, are delivera
Quality improvement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative style.  Quality improvement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative style.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and without threatening staff new to QI.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and without threatening staff new to QI.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and without threatening staff new to QI.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and south they recognise the expertise of QI coaches.  CMOC 3: Plans will be successfully implemented if collaborative members initiate them directly, are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support networks of staff external to the collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care home as the venue for improvement connected in through care home staff involvement when staff involvement when staff involvement when the collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members recurit additional staff through their networks of contractual arrangements support contact with care homes.  Contract  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care homes are operational staff directly involved in care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and contractual arrangements work.  Contractual arrangements work and gives some control to care homes.  Contractual arrangements work and contractual arrangements work and contractual arrangements work.  Collaborative members for improvement work.  Collaborative members are access to local support contact with care homes.  Collaborative members for improvement work.  Collaborative members for intervention in care homes.  C	Quality improvement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative style.  Quality improvement coaching is available and conducted in an appreciative style.  Collaborative members adjust their plans in response to QI coaching make them implementable (TH Collaborative members adjust their plans in response to QI coaching make them implementable (TH Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and recognisc the expertise of QI coaches.  CMOC 3: Plans will be successfully implemented if collaborative members initiate them directly, are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support networks of staff external and use the care home as the venue for improvement  CMOC 3: Plans will be successfully implemented if collaborative members initiate them directly, are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support networks of staff external and use the care home as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care home staff involugh care home staff involugh care home staff involugh their networks of to support the collaborative members have access to local support networks of to support the collaborative. MDTs coalesce around the collaborative members have access to local support care homes.  Collaborative members have access to local support network.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and side staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations.  Contractual arrangement work.  Collaborative members have access to local support contact with care homes and gives some control to care home work and gives some control to care home work and gives some control to care home work and gives across 2 care home work and gives some control to care home work and gives some control to care home work and gives access	encompassing care homes.	individual work priorities.	within existing job plans, and harness existing
appreciative style.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and recognise the members subscribe to the principles of QI and recognise the experise of QI coaching recognise the experisor of QI coaching recognise the experisor of QI coaching recognise or professional staff directly involved in care homes.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of through their networks of through their networks of directing related to the intervention in care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and saff.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and saff.  Contractual arrangements work of QI and the professional staff intervention in care homes.  Contractual arrangements work of QI and the professional staff intervention in care homes.  Contractual arrangements work of QI and the professional staff intervention in care homes.  Contractual arrangements work of QI and the collaborative members around the collaborative members around the collaborative members around the collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members	appreciative style.  Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and recognise the expertation of QI and recognise the expertation of QI and recognise the expertation of QI coaching recognisms as the venue for improvement of Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care and use the care home staff directly involved in care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of by example and maintain a tight focus on care home specific issues carried out 63 medication reviously care homes, sire 2 conducted 355 relation reviously care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes. MDT reviews across 2 care home staff.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations.  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations.	Quality improvement coaching is available and conducted in an	QI coaching enables the collaborative to operationalise their ideas,	practice networks. They are designed in ways tha
Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and recognise the expertise of QI coaching recognise the expertise of QI coaches.  CMOC 3: Plans will be successfully implemented if collaborative members initiate them directly, are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support networks of staff external to the collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members recruit additional staff involvement.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members recruit additional staff involvement.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members recruit additional staff involvement.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of the support the collaborative members recruit additional staff involvement.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of the staff involvement.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of the staff involvement.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of the staff involvement.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of the staff involvement.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes.  MDT reviews across 2 care homes.)  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and improvement work.  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations	Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and recognise the expertise of QI coaching recognise the expertise of QI coaches.  CMOC 3: Plans will be successfully implemented if collaborative members initiate them directly, are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support networks of staff external and use the care home as the venue for improvement  Mechanism  Collaborative members start clinical interventions themselves, leading  Measurable process and outcon by example and maintain a tight focus on care home specific issues carried out 63 medication revi collaborative members have access to local support networks of the support the collaborative members have access to local support networks of the support the collaborative members have access to local support networks of the support the collaborative. MDTs collaborative. Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of the support the collaborative members have access to local support and maintains focus on care home sare used as the venue for improvement work.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations  Mechanism directly singulariant recognises of the company of the contractual arrangements work.  MDT reviews across 2 care homes improvement work.  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations  MEASURATIONED AND Treviews across 2 care homes improvement work.  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations	appreciative style.	without threatening staff new to QI.	make them implementable (Three out of four Q
recognise the expertise of QI coaches.  CMOC 3: Plans will be successfully implemented if collaborative members initiate them directly, are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support networks of the collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care homes are operational staff directly involved in care home success to local support networks of collaborative members start clinical interventions themselves, leading by example and maintain a tight focus on care home specific issues access to local support networks of through care homes. Collaborative members have access to local support networks of the support the collaborative members have access to local support networks of the support the collaborative members have access to local support networks of the support the collaborative members have access to local support networks of the support the collaborative members have access to local support networks of the support the collaborative members have access to local support networks of the support the collaborative members have access to local support networks of the support the collaborative members have access to local support network of the support the collaborative members are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members access to local support networks of the support the collaborative members access to local support to the collaborative members and maintains focus on care home support to accept the collaborative members and the collaborative members and outcome metrics and outcome metrics and outcome metrics (Si	recognise the expertise of QI coaches.  CMOC 3: Plans will be successfully implemented if collaborative members initiate them directly, are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary support networks of staff external and use the care home as the venue for improvement  Mechanism  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of  to support networks of  to support the collaborative members have access to local support networks of  to support the collaborative. MDTs coalesce around the collaborative.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of  to support the collaborative. MDTs coalesce around the collaborative.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of  to support the collaborative. MDTs coalesce around the collaborative.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of  to support the collaborative.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of  to support the collaborative.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of  to support the collaborative.  Collaborative members recuit additional staff through their networks of the support collaborative members have access to local support work.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care homes with care homes and  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations  Improvement work	Collaborative members subscribe to the principles of QI and	Collaborative members adjust their plans in response to QI coaching	sites developed plans along these lines which the
Mechanism  Context  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care homes are operational staff directly involved in care homes are operational staff directly involved in care homes.  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care homes specific issues  Collaborative members start clinical interventions themselves, leading by example and maintain a tight focus on care home specific issues  Collaborative members tecruit additional staff through their networks of the support the collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks of the support the collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks of the support the collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks of the support the collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks of the support the collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks of the support the collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks of the support the collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks of the support the collaborative members for improvement work.  Howes, site 2 conducted 355 reviews of dieteric properties are homes.)  MDT reviews across 2 care homes.)  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and support contact work additional very work against existing contractual obligations  Contractual arrangements work.  Contractual arrangements work and gives some control to care homes.)	Context  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care home as the venue for improvement  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of to support the collaborative. MDTs coalesce around the collaborative. Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and improvement work improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and improvement work improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and improvement work improvement work.  Collaborative members start clinical interventions thems eastly focus on care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members start clinical interventions thems staff involvement work and gives some control to care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members support contact with care homes and improvement work.  Collaborative members start clinical interventions thems are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members start clinical interventions thems are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members start clinical interventions thems staff.  Collaborative members start clinical interventions access 2 care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collabo	recognise the expertise of QI coaches.		were subsequently able to implement.)
Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks  Collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks of confucted 355 reviews of dietetic perscriptions across 20 homes. Site 3 conducted 1 hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and staff.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and improvement work.  Collaborative members starf involvement staff.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and improvement work.  Collaborative members starf involvement work.  MDTs reviews across 2 care homes.)  And the collaborative members are defined arrangements support contact with care homes and improvement work.  Collaborative members starf through their networks of dieteric personal arrangements arrangements arrangements arrangement work.  MDTs reviews across 2 care homes.)  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and improvement work.	Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of  Collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks  To support the collaborative. MD Is coalesce around the collaborative.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes  maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care homes and staff can count' work against existing contractual obligations  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and  Staff can count' work against existing contractual obligations  Collaborative members start clinical interventions themse staff involvement works.  MDT reviews across 2 care homes and outcon care home work and gives some control to care home work and gives some control to care home work and count's work against existing contractual obligations  Collaborative members start clinical interventions themselves, leading demonstrating changes and outcon demonstration of the measurable process and outcon demonstration or care homes.  MDT reviews across 2 care homes maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care homes with care homes and staff.	CMOC 3: Plans will be successfully implemented if collaborative memb and use the care home as the venue for improvement	oers initiate them directly, are easily able to access expertise from multidisciplinary	r support networks of staff external to the collaborati
Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members start clinical interventions themselves, leading  by example and maintain a tight focus on care home specific issues  through care home staff involvement.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of health and social care professionals oriented around care homes.  Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations  Collaborative members start clinical interventions trace home specific issues  demonstrating changes to patient care. (Site 1 carried out 63 medication reviews in 11 care homes, site 2 conducted 355 reviews of dieteric prescriptions across 20 homes. Site 3 conducted 1  Measurable process and outcome metrics  demonstrating changes to patient care. (Site 1 carried out 63 medication reviews in 11 care homes, site 2 conducted 355 reviews of dieteric prescriptions across 20 homes. Site 3 conducted 1  Measurable process and outcome metrics  demonstrating changes to patient care. (Site 1 carried out 63 medication reviews in 11 care homes, site 2 conducted 355 reviews of dieteric prescriptions across 20 homes. Site 3 conducted 1  Momestaff.  MDT reviews across 2 care homes.)  Robinstrating contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and staff.	Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care  delivery.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of health and social care professionals oriented around care homes.  Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and single order of the intervention of the collaborative members have access to local support networks of the support the collaborative. MDTs coalesce around the collaborative.  Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and improvement work in the collaborative members start clinical interventions at the through their networks of the intervention in care homes.  Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care home staff.  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations  Collaborative members transple process and outcom demonstrate homes, leading changes to patient arrangements are homes.  MDT reviews across 2 care ho home staff.  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations	Context	Mechanism	Outcome
by example and maintain a tight focus on care home specific issues through care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of through care homes. Collaborative members have access to local support networks of the intervention in care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care homes staff.  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations in 11 care homes, site 2 conducted 355 reviews of dietetic prescriptions across 20 homes. Site 3 conducted 1  MDT reviews across 2 care homes.)  MDT reviews across 2 care homes.)  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations improvement work	delivery.  by example and maintain a tight focus on care home specific issues to local support networks of collaborative members have access to local support networks of health and social care homes. Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Collaborative members have access to local support networks of collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks of to support the collaborative. MDTs coalesce around the collaborative. Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes. Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care home staff.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations access to local support demonstrating characterial and the collaborative across 2 care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  MDT reviews across 2 care ho staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations are used as the venue for improvement work.  MDT reviews across 2 care howe staff.  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations	Collaborative members are operational staff directly involved in care	Collaborative members start clinical interventions themselves, leading	Measurable process and outcome metrics
Collaborative members have access to local support networks of health and social care professionals oriented around care homes.  Collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks of health and social care professionals oriented around care homes.  Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care home staff.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations carried out 63 medication reviews in 11 care homes, site 2 conducted 355 reviews of dietetic prescriptions across 2 to homes. Site 3 conducted 1  MDT reviews across 2 care homes.)  MDT reviews across 2 care homes.)  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations improvement work	Collaborative members have access to local support networks of health and social care professionals oriented around care homes.  Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and success to local support networks of collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks home sugfican count, work against existing contractual obligations  Collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks homes, site 2 conducted 355 r. homes, site 2 conducted 350 r. homes, site 3 conducted 350 r. homes, site 3 conducted 350 r. homes, site 3 conducted 350 r. homes	delivery.	by example and maintain a tight focus on care home specific issues	demonstrating changes to patient care. (Site 1
Collaborative members have access to local support networks of Collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks of collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks of collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks of care homes. Site 3 conducted 1 hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care home staff.  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations improvement work	Collaborative members have access to local support networks of Collaborative members have access to local support networks of Collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks homes. Care homes.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes  Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work. MDT reviews across 2 care homes are used as the venue for improvement work. MDT reviews across 2 care home work and gives some control to care home staff.  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations improvement work		through care home staff involvement.	carried out 63 medication reviews in 11 care
health and social care professionals oriented around care homes. Site 3 conducted 1  Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  MDT reviews across 2 care homes.)  maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care home staff.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations improvement work.	health and social care professionals oriented around care homes.  Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes  maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care  home staff.  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations	Collaborative members have access to local support networks of	Collaborative members recruit additional staff through their networks	homes, site 2 conducted 355 reviews of dietetic
Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes  maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care home staff.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations	Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.  Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes  maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care home staff.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations  improvement work	health and social care professionals oriented around care homes.	to support the collaborative. MDTs coalesce around the collaborative.	prescriptions across 20 homes. Site 3 conducted
home staff. Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations improvement work	home staff.  Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and  Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations improvement work	Care homes are used as the venue for improvement work.	Hosting all meetings related to the intervention in care homes maintains focus on care home work and gives some control to care	MDT reviews across 2 care homes.)
Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations improvement work	Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations improvement work		home staff.	
Improvement work	improvement work	Contractual arrangements support contact with care homes and	Staff can 'count' work against existing contractual obligations	
		improvement work		,

multicomponent and multidisciplinary. A growing improvement community coalesces around

improvement plans.

QI coaches play a role in signposting, ensuring teams have necessary specialist support to broaden out their interventions.

legitimises QIC members enlisting broader support through their Site teams value cross-site learning and adopt the most successful

parts of each other's interventions.

Improvement teams are transparent about successes and failures at

An overarching framework emphasises the importance of holistic

large collaborative meetings.

QI coaching facilitates cross-site learning and iteration of improvement.

networks to replicate the successes of other sites.

Interventions grow over time, becoming

to take menial tasks away from busy clinical staff and to ensure that control of the collaborative did not rest with one professional grouping.

CGA was chosen as the remit for the collaborative because of its emphasis on comprehensive and holistic care. The ambition was that this would attract and recruit a wide range of practitioners. In practice, it drew people to the collaborative because CGA had achieved prominence in local and national policy documents at the time, not because collaborative members understood or felt motivated by it. Indeed, CGA was felt by many to be difficult to reconcile with existing practice frameworks including holistic primary care and person-centred care.

'it would have been helpful to have more clarity about what sort of things fit into the concept of CGA. Because people have spoken about CGA for years, when you ask somebody what does it look like everybody's got a different idea'. (Commissioner, site 2)

In light of this, the improvement team decided early on to deemphasise CGA and focus, instead, on delivering holistic care to older people. This was sufficiently straightforward to enable teams to progress.

# CMOC 2: Action plans will be feasible within the local context if staff can align improvement work with existing priorities and interests, supporting by a facilitative QI coaching framework.

Staff who already had a focus on care homes, or activities highly relevant in care homes such as deprescribing or nutritional review, were more readily able to align improvement ideas with existing work roles and interests.

'part of the project . . . was to identify what are the job roles already present in the system and what are their current priorities. What I felt in the meetings was that the people who were better aligned with this project were more engaged'. (Geriatrician, site 2)

Those without a care home focus in routine work struggled to make the QIC a priority amidst competing commitments.

'we haven't had a vanguard, so we haven't had extra funding to get people in post. We don't have a care home pharmacist. We have done this on a shoestring, I literally mean people giving up their time when actually for me this morning it's meant that there will meetings that I will have to do in my spare time, the little spare time I have'. (Commissioner, site 4)

Equal input from care home and NHS staff was needed to create a feasible action plan which worked for all. Care home staff provided crucial input around the needs of care home residents and organisations, and the team collectively identified and planned how to address these. Where one sector, staff group, or individual took responsibility for the bulk of planning, this led to failure to progress. This was most marked in site 4, where medical staff took responsibility for perfecting an electronic pro forma for multidisciplinary meetings. Dependency on medical staff was challenging as doctors were often otherwise committed.

'as a group, we've been kind of dependent on the GP ... and we didn't want to make any decisions about GP involvement unless he was happy and then, because he wasn't there for some meetings, we couldn't take it forward'. (Geriatrician, site 4)

QI coaching was observed to encourage teams to break tasks down into manageable chunks, consider process and outcome measures that could demonstrate improvement and support team members writing improvement plans. Where staff did not subscribe to the principles of QI, progress was slow. One team who expressed frustration as they tried to implement a complex intervention were observed on multiple occasions to rebuff suggestions from the QI coach to start with smaller more straightforward plans.

'there was frustration ... that we weren't moving things forward as quickly as we should. But I think we also felt that we wanted to improve, and what we had wasn't a working tool, and it needed to be better before we could roll it out. I think it wasn't us being obstructive, it was us wanting to make sure that this is something that everybody could use'. (Commissioner, site 4)

# CMOC 3: Plans will be successfully implemented if collaborative members initiate them directly, recruit multidisciplinary support networks of staff external to the collaborative, and use the care home as the venue for improvement.

The groups that progressed most towards improvement goals were led collaboratively by care home and NHS staff involved in direct clinical care. They created guidelines or pro formas for the project and problem solved together. The pharmacist in site 1 created and iterated a template and checklist for medication review together with care home staff. The care home and NHS staff in site 3 together developed an assessment form to identify residents at risk of deterioration. Most teams relied on paper resources to co-ordinate care because these could be quickly developed and iterated. Site 4 sought an IT solution, which blocked progress because of the complexity of data governance across multiple organisations.

'the big bit was around the IT solution ... and making sure it was right before we tried to roll it out ... we tried to, obviously, with the secure NHS data connection in care homes, the IT team were looking at that. But I'm not sure either progressed' (Community matron, site 4)

QIC members with local support networks were able to use existing relationships to recruit multidisciplinary expertise to support improvement plans. The site 1 pharmacist recruited a nurse practitioner to support medication reviews and the site 2 dietician enlisted help from care home pharmacists for shared reviews.

Conducting meetings in care homes allowed care home staff control over how meetings fitted with care home routines and allowed GPs to engage with the group away from

### R. Devi et al.

competing tasks at their surgeries. The change in territory and control enabled care home staff involvement in conversations. In areas where GPs had an existing contractual obligation to visit the care home weekly, this provided an opportunity to do the work.

'GPs only do rounds on certain days, you don't want to put them out by getting to come on a different day'. (Nurse specialist, site 3)

The complexity of recruiting GPs to support improvement plans was a recurrent issue. Even GPs within the QIC, who were either care home enthusiasts or had leadership roles, struggled with how to engage GP colleagues who were seen as time poor and unable to devote much attention to care home improvement.

'I'm not your average GP .... What I'd like to know is how we can spread this out to other GPs who by and large aren't that interested in care homes'. (GP. site 1)

Whilst contractual arrangements could help facilitate improvement work, they could act as a block if requirements or incentives for care home work were unclear. Towards the end of the study, contractual uncertainty made some participants less able to continue the QIC.

'I mean I think the new community services contract, until the details of that are completely clear I can't contribute anything else to PEACH'. (Nurse Specialist, site 3)

### CMOC 4: Improvement plans will become more comprehensive over time, where sites adopt an iterative approach, learn from each other, and broaden initial improvement plans to incorporate new ideas from the QIC.

A pattern emerged whereby sites 1 and 2, which started off with easy to implement specialised improvement plans, made these broader and more multidisciplinary over time. By contrast, site 4 attempted to implement CGA *en bloc* having not previously done such work and was unable to progress due to the complexity of co-ordinating inputs from multiple professionals and organisations.

For sites 1 and 2, the QIC played a key role during the 'broadening out' phase, presenting options about what to do next. As a consequence, the pharmacist and dietician in sites 1 and 2 shared and implemented each others' assessment materials. Both sites looked to the established patterns of working in site 3 as an example of functioning multidisciplinary care.

Staff from site 4, where less progress was made, continued to attend the collaborative although there was no direct contractual or managerial requirement to do so. They spoke about the value of learning from sites where projects were working, providing impetus to review and improve individual practice on return to work.

'I came back [from the collaborative meetings] and focused better here. I'd come away and the next day I'd come to work and think, right, I wonder how we are functioning with regard to what we spoke about at the PEACH meeting, let me look into it, and it was either, "we're fine," or, "oh I need to speak to staff and residents". (Care home manager, site 4)

### Measurement for change

Measuring change in process and outcome measures is a core component of QICs. The QI coach was observed to repeatedly emphasise this to site teams. Despite this, no site developed individualised measurement plans. This did not delay progress towards implementation but did impair teams' ability to demonstrate the impact of their work.

Several barriers to collecting measurement for change data were described. First, some collaborative members did not feel it would improve understanding of improvements.

'Why do we need to measure the impact? We know it's going to work'. (GP, site 4)

Second, collaborative members were pre-occupied with QI work and felt they did not have time to collect data:

'I mean he [the QI coach] asked us some really challenging questions [about data] ... actually we thought, we haven't got time for this. And I think it was at that point we probably disengaged a bit'. (Commissioner, site 2)

Third, in some areas, data plans were complicated by having to draw data from multiple sources of information:

'Some are on EMIS Web, some are on SystmOne and, obviously, there's the hospital information system and it's just, there are ways of drawing from all the data, but that's work in process'. (GP, site 1)

Finally, across all sites there was a tension between data collection for commissioning purposes, which was widely accepted as a good use of data, and measurement for change at a clinical level, viewed as less important.

'Well, actually, I don't want you to collect any data, because the data I need has to show the return on investment, which is very different to the data that the Improvement team would need'. (Commissioner, site 2)

### Discussion

The focus of this paper was to describe the patterns of working that deliver change and improvements when using a QIC approach focussed on healthcare in care homes. We found that QICs will be able to implement and iterate improvement plans where they have a broad remit which is easy to understand; recruit staff with an established pattern of working between the NHS and care homes; use specific strategies to minimise hierarchy; protect and pay for staff time; direct staff to implement improvements that align with existing work; support members to develop plans in manageable chunks through QI coaching; encourage QIC members

to draw on existing work networks for multidisciplinary support; hold meetings in care homes to work around the schedules of care home staff and GPs; and use shared learning events to enable staff to build multidisciplinary interventions in a stepwise fashion.

A number of these findings, for example the importance of coaching and the role of shared learning events in consolidating and iterating improvement plans, match previous findings from the improvement literature [24,25]. Other findings, for example showing that better outcomes were realised when care home and NHS staff had established common ground, mirror earlier work from the care home literature [2,6,26]. Previous studies, though, have not unpacked in a detailed way how QICs work in care homes. Understanding what components work when, for whom, and under what circumstances is an important step forward.

A commonly stated mantra in Quality Improvement is that, 'whilst all improvement is change, not all change is improvement'. [27] The corollary of this is that to understand what change is improvement, practitioners need to measure processes and outcomes with sufficient robustness and frequency for statistical tests of change to be applied. Teaching our site teams about this, and reinforcing the importance of measurement for change, did nothing to enable or empower them to implement measurement plans. The time and resource requirements to 'measure what matters' are well described, even where statutory datasets and metrics are in place [28]. In UK care homes, however, there are no statutorily mandated datasets or metrics. Data are collected in inconsistent ways, and incompletely collated, whilst information governance is negotiated between multiple organisations, with lines of responsibility often unclear [29]. Given the findings here about the reticence of NHS and care home staff to collect additional data, it is important that proposals to develop minimum datasets in UK care homes, already underway, take account of the likely needs of teams who will need to undertake measurement for change as part of improvement in the sector.

GPs were crucial enablers for implementation. This is consistent with the important co-ordinating role GPs have in the delivery of healthcare in care homes [26]. We found, however, that when improvement initiatives were contingent on GPs playing a central or leading role, then they were at risk of stalling. This was because of competing demands on time, an increased focus on medical aspects of care, and reinforcement of traditional hierarchies with consequent disempowerment of other staff groups. Similar findings about both the importance and role of GPs have come from care home improvement work undertaken in the Netherlands [4], so these findings are not unique to England although they may be even more important here because of the central role of GPs within the NHS. An ongoing realist synthesis will explore these issues and consider what needs to be in place for GPs to play a productive role in improvement in care homes [30].

Much of the literature on leadership in care homes has focussed on the role of care home managers as leaders in

social care delivery [31]. Our findings suggest managers can also play an integral role in leading healthcare improvements for their residents. Throughout the study, we saw care home managers and staff engage in the QIC with enthusiasm. They generated improvement ideas, hosted QIC meetings, advocated for the initiative and went on to ensure the delivery of improvement interventions within their care homes. Where they were not able to do so, it was because one or more NHS staff dominated the improvement team and the care home staff were unable to challenge this or offer alternative approaches. An important lesson is for QI coaches not to allow teams to partition along organisational lines, as this may reinforce care home staff feeling like outsiders. Some of the care home managers involved in the QICs were registered nurses by training and supervised nursing teams as part of care homes with nursing, but this was not universally the case. The debate around the importance of nursing expertise in care homes is one commonly visited in the international literature [32], but we saw excellent leadership in our study even when nurses were absent. It could be that the focus on specific professional groupings misses the true question of what skills are needed to lead in long-term care.

Using CGA to focus discussions was a justifiable starting point. CGA, however, is a complex intervention and the term has been recognised, even by its proponents, to be a misnomer [33] because it is essentially about delivering appropriate holistic care, albeit it crucially underpinned by comprehensive assessment. In the context of this study, CGA proved difficult to understand and operationalise for the staff involved because it was superimposed on pre-existing ways of working that already encompassed aspects of CGA. The principle of multidomain assessment by multidisciplinary teams (MDT) was widely accepted but participants regarded this as a self-evident component of good care, rather than as a part of CGA. It may be that CGA is a useful framework for improvement teams supporting QICs to keep in mind but that it is too much to expect participants to learn about both CGA and improvement methodology at the same time.

The strength of our iterative approach to programme theory development was that it allowed participants from diverse backgrounds to debate, question and shape the understanding of what happened during the collaborative. That our findings represent an effective synthesis of observations from the disparate care home and improvement literatures suggests that this approach was successful. A limitation is that the study team had a dual role, to act as intervention facilitators and evaluators. This could have biassed our understanding of the contexts and mechanisms at play. A separate part of the PEACH study analysed the impact of the QIC on quality of life and NHS resource use [34]. A paper summarising these findings is in preparation. The purpose of this paper is to present findings about the process of using QICs in the care home setting.

Our findings are based on work undertaken in one part of the UK and this could challenge the broader relevance of the programme theory; however, the alignment of our findings

### R. Devi et al.

with studies undertaken in other regions and countries [3,4] suggests that much of what we have found here applies outside of the local context. In many countries, commissioners would not be sufficiently integrated into health systems to enable them to play the co-ordinating role that they played here and they could, in some jurisdictions, be precluded by law from engaging in service delivery. In other countries GPs do not play a central role in healthcare for care homes. International readers may find it easier to consider commissioners as 'regional leaders in health and social care' and GPs as 'accountable healthcare professionals' when translating our descriptions to their national setting.

Implementation of these findings will depend, in part, on opportunities for staff to interact in a structured way with sufficient QI support and guidance. In England, the recent roll-out of Enhanced Care in Care Homes, part of the NHS long-term plan [35], with consequent reconfiguration of how healthcare is delivered to care homes, represents one such opportunity. Yet the focus of this initiative has so far been on strategy, without any attention to supporting teams to operationalise improvements in a consistent way. The findings presented here could, if consistently deployed, be of significant help. Internationally, attention has recently turned to how the similarities in long-term care settings merit common responses [36], despite the differences in how long-term care is organised. They have not, hitherto, considered the role of QI, or QICs, in developing such common responses. The programme theory here presents some unifying principles that could provide a basis for such work.

In conclusion, a QIC focussing on improving the care of older people in long-term care homes can enable context-sensitive improvement plans. The four CMOCs comprising our programme theory describe contextual aspects which are open to influence. By addressing the themes identified, multiprofessional teams aiming to bring about improvements in the sector can be more confident of success.

**Acknowledgements:** QIC meetings were funded by the East Midlands Academic Health Sciences Network Patient Safety Collaborative as part of their programme of dissemination of gold standard practice across the East Midlands. We would also like to acknowledge and thank teams who took part in the PEACH QIC programme, and in this evaluation.

**Supplementary Data:** Supplementary data mentioned in the text are available to subscribers in *Age and Ageing* online.

**Declaration of Sources of Funding:** The Proactive Health-care for Older People in Care Homes (PEACH) programme, of which this work was part, was funded by The Dunhill Medical Trust (grant number FOP1/0115). Adam Gordon, John Gladman and Pip Logan are part of the NIHR Applied Research Collaboration-East Midlands, and Claire Goodman is part of the NIHR Applied Research Collaboration-East of England. Claire Goodman and Pip Logan are NIHR senior investigators. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Dunhill Medical

Trust, the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Declaration of Conflicts of Interest: None.

### References

- 1. Competition and Markets Authority. Care Homes Market Study. London, 2017.
- **2.** Gordon AL, Goodman C, Davies SL *et al.* Optimal healthcare delivery to care homes in the UK: a realist evaluation of what supports effective working to improve healthcare outcomes. Age Ageing 2018; 47: 595–603.
- **3.** Marshall M, Pfeifer N, de Silva D *et al.* An evaluation of a safety improvement intervention in care homes in England: a participatory qualitative study. J R Soc Med 2018; 111: 414–21.
- 4. Poot AJ, de Waard CS, Wind AW, Caljouw MAA, Gussekloo J. A structured process description of a pragmatic implementation project: improving integrated care for older persons in residential care homes. Inquiry 2017; 54: 46958017737906.
- Corazzini KN, Anderson RA, Bowers BJ et al. Toward common data elements for international research in long-term care homes: advancing person-centered care. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2019; 20: 598–603.
- 6. Bunn F, Goodman C, Corazzini K et al. Setting priorities to inform assessment of care homes' readiness to participate in healthcare innovation: a systematic mapping review and consensus process. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020; 17: 987.
- 7. Saint S, Olmsted RN, Fakih MG *et al.* Translating health care-associated urinary tract infection prevention research into practice via the bladder bundle. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf 2009; 35: 449–55.
- **8.** de Silva D. Improvement collaboratives in health care. In: Evidence scan. London, 2014.
- Wells S, Tamir O, Gray J et al. Are quality improvement collaboratives effective? A systematic review. BMJ Qual Saf 2018; 27: 226–40.
- **10.** Colón-Emeric C, Schenck A, Gorospe J *et al.* Translating evidence-based falls prevention into clinical practice in nursing facilities: results and lessons from a quality improvement collaborative. J Am Geriatr Soc 2006; 54: 1414–8.
- **11.** Arling PA, Abrahamson K, Miech EJ *et al.* Communication and effectiveness in a US nursing home quality-improvement collaborative. Nurs Health Sci 2014; 16: 291–7.
- **12.** Lynn J, West J, Hausmann S *et al.* Collaborative clinical quality improvement for pressure ulcers in nursing homes. J Am Geriatr Soc 2007; 55: 1663–9.
- **13.** Baier RR, Gifford DR, Patry G *et al.* Ameliorating pain in nursing homes: a collaborative quality-improvement project. J Am Geriatr Soc 2004; 52: 1988–95.
- **14.** Devi R, Meyer J, Banerjee J *et al.* A quality improvement collaborative aiming for Proactive HEAlthcare of Older People in Care Homes (PEACH): a realist evaluation protocol. BMJ Open 2018; 8: e023287.
- **15.** Wong G, Westhorp G, Manzano A *et al.* RAMESES II reporting standards for realist evaluations. BMC Med 2016; 14: 96.
- **16.** Hoffmann TC, Glasziou PP, Boutron I *et al.* Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and

- replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. BMJ 2014; 348: g1687-7.
- **17.** Meyer J, Heath H, Holman C *et al.* Moving from victim blaming to an appreciative inquiry: exploring quality of life in care homes. Qual Ageing Older Adults 2006; 7: 27–36.
- **18.** Ellis G, Gardner M, Tsiachristas A *et al.* Comprehensive geriatric assessment for older adults admitted to hospital. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017 Sep 12; 9: CD006211.
- **19.** Boorsma M, Frijters DHM, Knol DL *et al.* Effects of multidisciplinary integrated care on quality of care in residential care facilities for elderly people: a cluster randomized trial. Can Med Assoc J 2011; 183: E724–32.
- **20.** Harvey P, Storer M, Berlowitz DJ *et al.* Feasibility and impact of a post–discharge geriatric evaluation and management service for patients from residential care: the residential care intervention program in the elderly (RECIPE). BMC Geriatr 2014: 14: 48.
- **21.** Chadborn NH, Goodman C, Zubair M *et al.* The role of comprehensive geriatric assessment in healthcare of older people in UK care homes: realist review. BMJ Open 2019; 9: e026921.
- **22.** Manzano A. The craft of interviewing in realist evaluation. Evaluation 2016; 22: 342–60.
- 23. Connected Nottinghamshire Project Website. https://www.connectednottinghamshire.nhs.uk (9 September 2020, date last accessed).
- **24.** Nadeem E, Olin SS, Hill LC, Hoagwood KE, Horwitz SM. Understanding the components of quality improvement collaboratives: a systematic literature review. Milbank Q 2013; 91: 354–94.
- **25.** Zubkoff L, Neily J, Mills PD. How to do a virtual breakthrough series collaborative. J Med Syst 2019; 43: 27.
- **26.** Goodman C, Davies SL, Gordon AL *et al.* Optimal NHS service delivery to care homes: a realist evaluation of the features and mechanisms that support effective working for the continuing care of older people in residential settings. NIHR Journals Library 2017.
- 27. Institute of Healthcare Improvement. Science of Improvement: Selecting Changes. http://www.ihi.org/resources/Pages/HowtoImprove/ScienceofImprovementSelectingChanges.aspx#:~:text=%E2%80%8BModel%20for%20Improvement%3A%20What,that%20wants%20to%20continuously%20improve (4 December 2020, date last accessed).

- **28.** Meyer GS, Nelson EC, Pryor DB *et al.* More quality measures versus measuring what matters: a call for balance and parsimony. BMJ Qual Saf 2012; 21: 964–8.
- **29.** Hanratty B, Burton JK, Goodman C *et al.* Covid-19 and lack of linked datasets for care homes. BMJ 2020; 369: m2463.
- 30. Gordon AL, Devi R, Williams C et al. Protocol for a realist review of general practitioners' role in advancing practice in care homes (GRAPE study). BMJ Open 2020; 10: 36221.
- **31.** Owen T, Meyer J, Bentley J *et al.* Better partnership between care homes and the NHS: findings from the My Home Life programme. J Care Serv Manag 2008; 3: 96–106.
- **32.** McGilton K, Escrig-Pinol A, Gordon A *et al.* Uncovering the devaluation of nursing home staff during COVID-19: are we fuelling the next health care crisis? J Am Med Dir Assoc 2020. https://www.jamda.com/article/S1525-8610(20) 30492-8/fulltext (3 December 2020, date last accessed).
- **33.** Welsh TJ, Gordon AL, Gladman JR. Comprehensive geriatric assessment a guide for the non-specialist. Int J Clin Pract 2014; 68: 290–3.
- **34.** Usman A, Lewis S, Jordan J *et al.* Statistical analysis plan for the proactive healthcare of older people in care homes (PEACH) study. EMRAN Discuss Pap Series 2018; 22. https://www.nottingham.ac.uk/emran/documents/issue-22-emran-sep-2018.pdf (9 September 2020, date last accessed).
- NHS Long Term Plan. https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk/ (4 December 2020, date last accessed).
- **36.** O'Neill D, Briggs R, Holmerová I *et al.* COVID-19 highlights the need for universal adoption of standards of medical care for physicians in nursing homes in Europe. Eur Geriatr Med 2020; 1: 3.

Received 17 September 2020; editorial decision 4 January 2021