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Abstract

Purpose

Vitamin D deficiency is prevalent worldwide. This cross-sectional study aimed to investigate the vitamin D status and dietary intake in young university students.

Design/methodology/approach

Forty-one healthy students aged 18-29 years from Coventry University UK were recruited during January-February 2019, including white Caucasians (n=18), African-Caribbeans (n=14) and Asians (n=9). Plasma 25(OH)D concentrations were measured, and dietary vitamin D intake was determined. Chi-square and simple linear regression were used to analyse the data.

Findings

The plasma 25(OH)D concentrations were (36.0 ± 22.2) nmol/L in all subjects, (46.5 ± 25.3) nmol/L in white Caucasians, (22.6 ± 7.4) nmol/L in African-Caribbeans and (37.4 ± 21.7 nmol/L) in Asians. The majority (85.7 %) of African-Caribbeans were vitamin D deficient compared with 22.2 % of white Caucasians and 33.3 % of Asians (P=0.001). Overweight/obese subjects showed a significant higher proportion of vitamin D deficiency (65 %) than normal weight subjects (28.6 %) (P=0.04). The average dietary vitamin D intake in all subjects was (4.6 ± 3.9) µg/day. Only 12.1 % of the subjects met the recommended dietary vitamin D intake of 10µg/day. Dietary vitamin D intake (P=0.04) and ethnicity (P=0.01) were significant predictors of 25(OH)D levels and accounted for 13 % and 18.5 % of 25(OH)D variance respectively.
Research limitations/implications

This small-scale study showed an alarmingly high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency amongst subjects from African-Caribbean origin during wintertime. Education programs and campaigns are urgently needed to fight the vitamin D deficiency in this population.

Originality

The targetted population were in a critical period of transition from adolescence toward adulthood involving changes in behaviours and nutrition.
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Introduction

Vitamin D, a fat-soluble pre-hormone, is a unique essential nutrient with limited natural food sources mostly of animal origin such as oily fish, red meat and egg yolk. Most vitamin D (80-90%) is produced in the skin in response to ultraviolet B (UVB) radiation from the sun (Wacker and Holick, 2013). Apart from its classical role of promoting calcium absorption in the gut, vitamin D also plays important roles in the modulation of cell growth, neuromuscular and immune function, and anti-inflammation (Nair and Maseeh, 2012). Vitamin D deficiency is prevalent worldwide reported as 9.9 % in the US (Ganji et al., 2012), 7.4 % in Canada (Sarafin et al., 2015), 4.6 % to 30.7% in Western Europe (Lips et al., 2019) (vitamin D deficiency was defined as serum 25(OH)D < 30 nmol/L), and 18.8 % in the UK (vitamin D deficiency was defined as serum 25(OH)D concentration < 25 nmol/L) (Sutherland et al., 2021). Reasons for vitamin D deficiency include personal, social and cultural factors influencing sun exposure and dietary intake, skin pigmentation, and the genetics of vitamin D metabolism (Patel et al., 2013; Gallagher et al., 2014). Evidence shows vitamin D deficiency is significantly associated with increased risks to musculoskeletal disease such as osteomalacia (Minisola et al., 2021), and non-musculoskeletal health outcomes such as cardiovascular disease (CVD) and diabetes (Ganji et al., 2020a), breast cancer (Estébanez et al., 2018), mortality from respiratory diseases (Brenner et al., 2020) and reduced lung functions (Ganji et al., 2020b). Serum 25(OH)D levels increase in summer and decrease in winter due to dependency of vitamin D on sunlight (Klingberg et al., 2015). People with dark skin colour have reduced cutaneous vitamin D biosynthesis, primarily due to
increased skin pigmentation that absorbs sun’s UVB (Webb et al., 2018). It has also been demonstrated that serum 25(OH)D levels depend on latitude where less cutaneous vitamin D is synthesised at higher latitudes (Nikooyeh et al., 2017). In the UK serum 25(OH)D concentration falls by around 50 % through winter due to the seasonal variation and high latitude (55.3781° N, 3.4360° W) (Hypponen and Power, 2007). Although the worldwide prevalence of vitamin D deficiency is well known, few studies have focused on young university students. An American study (Tangpricha et al., 2002) found that vitamin D deficiency was significantly more prevalent in young adults (aged 18-25 years old, most were university students) than other older adult groups in winter. A recent Australian study showed similar results that more young adults (aged 18–24 years) were vitamin D deficient than adults aged ≥ 25 years due to low dietary vitamin D intake, being overweight and low physical activity (Horton-French et al., 2021). The transition from adolescence toward adulthood is a critical period regarding changes in health behaviours (Desbouys et al., 2019). Early adulthood is associated with poor diet due to an age of transition, including environmental, social and lifestyle changes (Winpenny et al., 2018). Moreover, the peak bone mass is achieved in the early 20s and vitamin D is an important nutrient for bone health (Gordon et al., 2016).

The aim of the study was to examine the vitamin D status and dietary vitamin D intake during the winter in a sample of university students of white Caucasian, African-Caribbean and Asian origin.

Methods
This was a cross-sectional study carried out during January and February 2019 in Coventry, UK (latitude 52.4068° N, 1.5197° W). The study was approved by the Coventry University Ethics Committee (Ref P79982). All subjects gave their written consent before participating the study.

Subjects

The study recruited Coventry University students, 18-29 years old, white Caucasians (CA), Black/African/African-Caribbean origin (collectively presented as AC), and Asians in self-reported good health. Exclusion criteria were taking vitamin D supplementation at a dose of more than 10 µg/day, liver or kidney disease, digestive system disease, diabetes, cancer, autoimmune disease, regular smokers (one or more cigarettes per day), alcohol consumption more than 14 units per day, travelling to a sunny region for holidays in the past 3 months. The exclusion criteria were set up to avoid potential influences of certain diseases and unhealthy lifestyles on vitamin D status (Tsiaras and Weinstock, 2011). A health and lifestyle questionnaire was used to screen the eligibility of the subjects. Eligible subjects were scheduled a visit to the Health and Life Sciences building of Coventry University. The body weight was measured without coats, shoes, and personal possessions (keys, mobile, watch, belt etc.) using weighing scales. The height was measured using a stadiometer. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by body weight (kilogram) divided by square of height (meter). A blood sample and a food diary were collected for measures of the plasma 25(OH)D concentration and dietary vitamin D intake.
Plasma 25(OH)D measurement

A 2 ml blood sample was collected via phlebotomy into EDTA-treated tubes (Bunzl PLC, London). Plasma was separated by centrifuging for 15 minutes at 1500 x g, at 2-8°C and then stored in -20°C until analysis. Vitamin D status was evaluated by the measurement of plasma 25(OH)D concentrations using Tosoh AIA-900 immunoassay analyser (Tosoh Bioscience, USA) following the manufacturers instruction. The Tosoh ST AIA-PACK 25(OH)D assay correlates well with gold standard methods (Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry, LC-MS), measures 25(OH)D2 and 25(OH)D3 in equimolar proportions and aligns to the reference measurement procedure used in the Vitamin D standardization program (VDSP) (TOSOH Bioscience, 2020). The quality control was in place to verify that the result obtained was within the range of expected values. Assay range of 25(OH)D was between 10 to 300 nmol/L.

Dietary vitamin D intake analysis

Subjects were asked to record a 3-day (consecutive days, including a weekend day) estimated food diary. Food recording with a minimum of three days is regarded as a gold standard method to assess nutrient intake (Ortega et al., 2015). A template food diary with an example and guidance was provided to subjects. Completed food diaries were collected via email or hard copy and dietary vitamin D intake was analysed using the nutrition analytical software Nutritics (Nutritics LTD, Dublin). Food diaries that were incomplete or with an energy intake ≤1000 kcal/day or ≥ 4000 kcal/day were excluded. This was to address the issue of implausible energy intake that might indicate inaccuracy in
the food record (Banna et al., 2017). In this study none of the food diaries collected were excluded.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were presented as mean ± SD including plasma 25(OH)D concentration, dietary vitamin D intake, age and BMI. Categorical variables were presented as percentage (%) e.g. % of vitamin D deficiency. Vitamin D status was categorised based on the plasma 25(OH)D concentration as deficient (< 30 nmol/L), insufficient (30 - 50 nmol/L), sufficient (> 50 nmol/L), according to guidelines by the Institute of Medicine (IOM) (IOM, 2011) and optimal or desirable (≥ 75 nmol/L) (Zittermann et al., 2012). Body weight was classified based on BMI as underweight (BMI< 18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (BMI 18.5 - 24.9 kg/m²) and overweight/obese (BMI≥ 25 kg/m²) (NICE, 2014). Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 26). Difference in frequency (percentage) between groups were tested by Pearson Chi-Square. A simple linear regression was conducted to investigate the contribution of each of the independent variables including dietary vitamin D intake, BMI, age, gender, and ethnicity to the variance of plasma 25(OH)D concentration (dependent variable). Categorical variables (gender and ethnicity) were recoded to create dummy variables with male and CA as the reference category respectively against which all other groups were compared. The statistically significant level was set up at $P\leq0.05$ with two-tail. All continuous variables were normally distributed tested by Kolmogorov-Smirnov method.

Results
Subject characteristics

Forty-four subjects were screened for the study, among which three were excluded due to travel to sunny places during the Christmas holiday (n=2) or taking vitamin D supplement at a dose of more than 10 µg/day (n=1). Therefore, a total of 41 subjects participated in the study. Apart from one participant in the CA group who took Omega-3 capsules, none of the other eligible participants took any dietary supplements.

Table I shows the descriptive characteristics of the subjects. The mean age was 22 y, and mean BMI was 25 kg/m² for all subjects. There was a similar number in gender with 21 females and 20 males. Regarding ethnic groups, 44 % (n=18) were CAs, 34 % (n=14) were ACs and 22 % (n=9) were Asians (2 Indians, 3 Pakistani, 3 Arabians and one Chinese). The range of BMI was 19.1-41.4 kg/m². Fifty-one percent (n=21) of the subjects were normal weight, while 49% (n=20) were either overweight (n=16) or obese (n=4) (Table I).

Plasma 25(OH)D levels and vitamin D status

Table II shows the plasma 25(OH)D levels and vitamin D status in different groups. The average plasma 25(OH)D in all subjects (n=41) was (36.0 ± 22.2) nmol/L, ranging between 11.0 -128.6 nmol/L. The average plasma 25(OH)D concentrations were (22.6 ± 7.4) nmol/L in ACs (n=14), (46.5 ± 25.3) nmol/L in CAs (n=18) and (37.4 ± 21.7) nmol/L in Asians (n=9). Forty-six percent of all subjects were vitamin D deficient, while 31.7 % were insufficient, and only 22 %
were sufficient. Only two subjects (4.9 %, one from the CA and one from the Asian group) achieved the optimal level of plasma 25(OH)D at 75 nmol/L (128.6 nmol/L and 88.7 nmol/L respectively). There was a significant difference in vitamin D status in ethnic groups ($P=0.001$). Eighty-six percent of AC subjects were vitamin D deficient compared with 22.2 % in CAs, and 33.3 % in Asians. None of ACs was vitamin D sufficient compared with 44.4 % in CAs and 11.1 % in Asians. There was no significant difference in vitamin D status between genders ($P=0.47$), but there was a significant difference in vitamin D status between body weight categories ($P=0.04$). Sixty-five percent of the overweight/obese subjects were vitamin D deficient compared with 28.6 % in normal weight, while 15 % of the overweight/obese subjects were insufficient compared with 47.6 % in normal weight. The sufficiency proportion was similar between normal weight and overweight/obese subjects (23.8 % vs 20 %).

The results of the dietary vitamin D intake were based on 33 subjects who returned their food diaries, 16 CAs, 9 ACs and 8 Asians. The average dietary vitamin D intake was 4.6 µg/day in all subjects, 6.3 µg/day in CAs and 3.1 µg/day in both ACs and Asians (Table III).

The current UK government recommendation of dietary vitamin D intake is 10 µg/day for adults and children over the age of one (SACN, 2016). Data in Table III shows that only 12.1 % of all subjects met the recommendation, and all of
them were CA (3 males and one female), while none in the AC or Asian groups met the recommendation. However, there was no significant difference in dietary vitamin D intake adequacy between ethnicities, genders and body weight categories (Table III).

Linear regression analysis

Table IV shows the simple linear regression models of the different independent variables and the dependent viable, plasma 25(OH)D. It was found that dietary vitamin D intake ($P=0.04$) and ethnicity ($P=0.01$) were significant predictors of 25(OH)D, which accounted for 13% and 18.5% of 25(OH)D variance respectively. An increase of 1 µg dietary vitamin D intake was associated with an increase in plasma 25(OH)D of approximately 2.2 nmol/L. ACs had a significant reduction of 23.9 nmol/L in the mean of 25(OH)D concentration compared with CAs ($P=0.002$). No significant reduction of 25(OH)D was seen for Asians compared with CAs in this model ($P=0.28$). Age, gender and BMI were not significant predictors of 25(OH)D variance in the analysis.

Discussion

This study had a target population of university students in the UK from three ethnic origins: CA, AC and Asian. Their vitamin D status was measured, and their dietary vitamin D intake was evaluated during the wintertime. Vitamin D deficiency was prevalent in this population (46.3%), with only 4.9% of the
subjects having the optimal level of plasma 25(OH)D (≥75 nmol/L). An alarmingly high proportion (85.7 %) of vitamin D deficiency and extremely low average plasma 25(OH)D at 22.6 nmol/L was observed in AC subjects. In addition, overweight/obese subjects had a significant higher prevalence of vitamin D deficiency (65 %) than normal weight subjects (28.6 %). Of the independent variables considered: age, gender, BMI, dietary vitamin D intake and ethnicity, the simple linear repression analysis indicated that only dietary vitamin D intake and ethnicity were significant predictors of plasma 25(OH)D levels.

An American study found that African Americans had a significantly lower serum 25(OH)D concentration at 29 nmol/L than CAs at 36.4 nmol/L (Gallagher et al., 2014), while similar results were found in the UK showing that the geometric mean of serum 25(OH)D concentration was much lower in black (30.3 nmol/L) and Asian (mainly South Asian) (24.3 nmol/L) than in white adults (44.9 nmol/L) (Sutherland et al., 2021). Vitamin D deficiency (defined as serum 25(OH)D concentration < 30 nmol/L) was 76.2 % in South Asian vs. 54.7 % in black African-Caribbeans in the UK (Patel et al., 2012). Another recent study showed 50 % of South Asians and 33 % of black African-Caribbeans demonstrated vitamin D deficiency (defined as serum 25(OH)D concentration < 25 nmol/L) compared with around 17.5% in white Caucasians (Sutherland et al., 2021). The current study found that the scale of vitamin D deficiency in university students is much worse than reported in the previous studies, demonstrated by 86 % of AC being vitamin D deficient (defined as 25(OH)D < 30 nmol/L, or 64.3 % if vitamin D deficiency is defined as 25(OH)D < 25 nmol/L)
compared with 22% in CAs and 33% in Asians. This may be due to the fact that the current study was conducted in winter when vitamin D deficiency is greatest, and in university students who have previously been shown to have a greater prevalence of vitamin D deficiency than other adult groups (Tangpricha et al., 2002). Previous studies consistently showed that South Asians had higher incidence of vitamin D deficiency than black people in the UK, although they have a paler skin tone (Lin et al., 2021, Patel et al., 2012, Sutherland et al., 2021). This might be due to poor dietary intake of vitamin D (many South Asians in the UK follow a vegetarian diet), cultural needs to cover the body amongst many South Asian women, and sun avoidance common to both male and female South Asian adults (Lowe & Bhojani, 2017), indicating the importance of sociocultural factors in determining vitamin D status. The Asian group in the current study included five subjects (55.6%) of South Asian origin and showed a lower vitamin D deficiency rate (33.3%) than AC subjects (85.7%). It is thus inappropriate to compare our results with other studies on South Asians alone. In addition, among all subjects that were approached, only one from AC origin took vitamin D supplement (this subject was excluded from the study) and only 12.1% (n=4 out of 33) of the subjects met the dietary intake of 10 µg/day vitamin D recommended by the government, all of whom were from CA group. During recruitment, subjects were asked about their vitamin D awareness (not documented), the majority of the subjects had never heard of vitamin D and did not know the UK government recommendation of dietary vitamin D intake (10 µg/day). The poor awareness or practice of the government recommendation of vitamin D intake in the young university students is of
particular concern especially considering the limited sunlight availability in the UK winter. Education programs or public awareness campaigns aiming to improve the vitamin D awareness and intake particularly in populations of AC and Asian origin, are urgently needed in the UK.

There is evidence to support daily sunlight exposure between April and September of 10-15 minutes for people with lighter skin types, but 25-40 minutes for dark skin (brown) is required to provide sufficient year-round vitamin D (Webb et al., 2018). The recommended dietary intake recommendation is 10 µg/day of vitamin D from the diet or supplement in the UK for people above one year old regardless of age and ethnicity (SACN, 2016). The current study showed dietary vitamin D intake was a significant predictor to the 25(OH)D variance, and an increase of 1 µg dietary vitamin D intake led to a rise of 2.2 nmol/L of plasma 25(OH)D, indicating the importance of dietary vitamin D intake in the wintertime. Due to the reduced sunlight exposure and limited dietary sources of vitamin D, vitamin D supplementation would be key to prevent vitamin D deficiency during the wintertime in the UK. However, it is questionable whether AC or Asian people could achieve comparable levels of plasma 25(OH)D to CAs from the same recommended dietary vitamin D intake or same dose of vitamin D supplementation. For example, 10 µg/day of vitamin D from the diet or supplementation would raise 25(OH)D concentration by only 22 nmol/L based on our model, or by only 10 nmol/L based on the Holick formula of 2.5 µg dietary vitamin D raising 25(OH)D concentration by 2.5 nmol/L (Holick, 2008), which is insufficient for ACs or Asians to achieve the comparable level as CAs, let alone to achieve 75 nmol/L which is regarded as optimal for non-
skeletal health outcomes (Ganji et al. 2020a; Zittermann et al., 2012). Therefore, further research is needed to investigate whether higher dietary vitamin D recommendation is required for the AC and South Asian ethnic groups in the UK.

The recent UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey (NDNS) report showed an average dietary vitamin D intake of 2.7 µg/day in the UK adults (19-64 y) (PHE, 2018), similar to that in AC and Asians at 3.1 µg/day in the current study. However, CA subjects showed a much higher dietary intake at 6.3 µg/day, among which 4 out of 16 had a dietary vitamin D intake more than 10 µg/day. The food diaries showed that the high dietary vitamin D intake was mainly from salmon, fortified breakfast cereal, canned tuna, and eggs.

Though the simple linear regression did not indicate a significant contribution of BMI to 25(OH)D variance, our results show that overweight/obese subjects had a significantly higher prevalence of vitamin D deficiency (65 %) than normal weight subjects (29 %), which supports the observation that obesity has been associated with lower 25(OH)D concentrations (Rafiq and Jeppesen, 2018). Volumetric dilution is the most accepted explanation (Duan et al., 2020), while vitamin D, being fat soluble, can also be stored in cutaneous and visceral adipose tissues, resulting in lower plasma vitamin D levels in overweight and obese individuals (Duan et al., 2020). It is still unclear whether vitamin D deficiency is a cause or an outcome of obesity, and it may be a complex of mutual influence because vitamin D receptors are expressed on adipose cells and have a role in the function of those cells (Vranić et al., 2019).
Although observational studies have shown no association of poor vitamin D status with elevated incidence of osteoporosis in South Asian adults in the UK (Lowe et al., 2010) and in Black Americans (Aloia et al., 2000), levels of 25(OH)D less than 30 nmol/L render a greater risk for osteomalacia or rickets (Brown et al., 2018). Apart from bone health, lower serum 25(OH)D levels are associated with a 1.77-fold higher risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (Tabatabaeizadeh & Tafazoli, 2021) and increased the risk of CVD by 44 % and CVD mortality by 54 % (Gholami, et al. 2019). Recent data indicated that people of AC and South Asian origin had a 2-fold and 2.4-fold higher mortality rate respectively from COVID-19 compared with white CAs (CDC, 2021), and vitamin D deficiency was significantly associated with COVID-19 severity and mortality (Campi et al., 2021) and longer recovery time from COVID-19 (Al-Salam et al., 2021). Currently, the role of vitamin D supplementation, and the optimal vitamin D dose and status, are subjects of debate, because large interventional studies have been unable to consistently show a clear benefit of vitamin D supplementation (Amrein et al., 2020), however very few such studies have been conducted in minority populations in the UK.

The key limitation of the current study is the small sample size; however, the results provided a glimpse of the vitamin D status in a specific population of university students in the UK (Coventry University). Education programs or campaigns are urgently needed to promote the awareness of vitamin D deficiency and encourage the use of vitamin D supplements in young university adults during the wintertime. It is worth investigating a revision of dietary recommendation of vitamin D intake to ACs in the UK to reduce the vitamin D
deficiency prevalence observed. Future large-scale studies to investigate the vitamin D status and its health implications in the university students are warranted.
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# Tables

## Table I. Descriptive characteristics of study subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
<th>Age (year)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total subjects</strong></td>
<td>41 (100 %)</td>
<td>22.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>25.1 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>21 (51.2 %)</td>
<td>21.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>24.9 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20 (48.8 %)</td>
<td>22.8 ± 2.7</td>
<td>25.4 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>18 (43.9 %)</td>
<td>22.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>24.2 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>14 (34.1 %)</td>
<td>22.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>25.7 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>9 (22.0 %)</td>
<td>21.6 ± 3.0</td>
<td>26.1 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body weight</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight</td>
<td>21 (51.2 %)</td>
<td>21.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>21.8 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight/obese</td>
<td>20 (48.8 %)</td>
<td>22.8 ± 3.0</td>
<td>28.6 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD; AC, African-Caribbean; BMI, body mass index; CA, Caucasian. Normal weight: body mass index (BMI) 18.5-24.9 kg/m²; Overweight/Obese: BMI ≥ 25 kg/m².
Table II. Plasma 25(OH)D concentrations and vitamin D status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Plasma 25(OH)D (nmol/L)</th>
<th>Vitamin D status (%)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deficient</td>
<td>Insufficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total subjects</td>
<td>36.0 ± 22.2</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>46.5 ± 25.3</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>22.6 ± 7.4</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>37.4 ± 21.7</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>55.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>31.6 ± 17.3</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>41.4 ± 26.2</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight</td>
<td>38.4 ± 18.4</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight/obese</td>
<td>34.1 ± 26.1</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plasma 25(OH)D concentration was presented as mean ± SD. AC, African-Caribbean; CA, Caucasian. *Pearson Chi-Square for vitamin D status. Vitamin D deficiency: < 30 nmol/L; insufficiency: 30-50 nmol/L; sufficiency: > 50 nmol/L based on the plasma 25(OH)D concentration. Normal weight: body mass index (BMI) 18.5-24.9 kg/m²; Overweight/Obese: BMI ≥ 25 kg/m².
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Dietary vitamin D intake (µg/day)</th>
<th>Adequate % (n)</th>
<th>Inadequate % (n)</th>
<th>P values*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All subjects</td>
<td>4.6 ± 3.9</td>
<td>12.1 % (4)</td>
<td>87.9 % (29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>6.3 ± 4.6</td>
<td>25.0 % (4)</td>
<td>75.0 % (12)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>3.1 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100 % (9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>3.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100 % (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3.2 ± 3.1</td>
<td>5.9 % (1)</td>
<td>94.1 % (16)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6.2 ± 4.2</td>
<td>18.8 % (3)</td>
<td>81.2 % (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight</td>
<td>5.2 ± 3.9</td>
<td>11.1 % (2)</td>
<td>88.9 % (16)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight/Obese</td>
<td>4.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>13.3 % (2)</td>
<td>86.7 % (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dietary vitamin D intake was presented as mean ± SD. AC, African-Caribbean; CA, Caucasian; *Pearson Chi-Square for dietary vitamin D intake adequacy. Adequacy: dietary vitamin D intake ≥ 10 µg/day; Inadequacy: dietary vitamin D intake < 10 µg/day. Normal weight: body mass index (BMI) 18.5-24.9 kg/m²; Overweight/Obese: BMI ≥ 25 kg/m².
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables (predictors)</th>
<th>Adjusted $R^2$</th>
<th>$P$ value (ANOVA)</th>
<th>Constant</th>
<th>Unstandardized beta (B)</th>
<th>Standardized beta (β)</th>
<th>95% CI for B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dietary vitamin D intake</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>28.234</td>
<td>2.164</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>(0.109, 4.218)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>43.852</td>
<td>-0.298</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>(-1.944, 1.348)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>33.487</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>(-2.649, 2.911)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>41.374</td>
<td>-9.794</td>
<td>-0.222</td>
<td>(-23.738, 4.150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>46.497</td>
<td>AC -23.869, Asian -9.063</td>
<td>AC -0.513, Asian -0.170</td>
<td>AC (-38.423, -9.314)¹, Asian (-25.737, 7.612)²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Coefficient $P=0.002$; ² Coefficient $P=0.28$; AC, African-Caribbean; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval.