

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Lawrenson, J., Fidalgo, B., Dabasia, P., Jindal, A., Edgar, D. F, Ctori, I. & Peto, T. (2019). Predictive value of screening tests for sight-threatening eye disease in a UK population. Acta Ophthalmologica, 99(3), doi: 10.1111/j.1755-3768.2019.5092 ISSN 1755-375X doi: 10.1111/j.1755-3768.2019.5092

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/27329/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-3768.2019.5092

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. City Research Online: <u>http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/</u><u>publications@city.ac.uk</u>



Abstracts from the 2019 European Association for Vision and Eye Research Conference

Predictive value of screening tests for sight-threatening eye disease in a UK population

John Lawrenson, Bruno Fidalgo, Priya Dabasia, Anish Jindal, David Edgar, Irene Ctori, Tunde Peto First published: 19 December 2019 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-3768.2019.5092

Abstract

Background/Aims

To determine the performance of combinations of structural and functional screening tests in detecting sight-threatening eye disease in a cohort of elderly subjects recruited from primary care

Methods

505 subjects \geq 60 years underwent: Frequency Doubling Technology (FDT) perimetry; iVue OCT (iWellness[®] and peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) scans); IOP with the Ocular Response Analyzer (ORA), all performed by an ophthalmic technician. The reference standard was a full ophthalmic examination by an experienced clinician who was masked to the index test results. Subjects were classified as presence or absence of sight-threatening eye disease (clinically significant cataract, primary open angle glaucoma, intermediate or advanced AMD and significant diabetic retinopathy). Univariate and multivariate logistic regression was used to determine the association between abnormal screening test results and the presence of sight-threatening eye disease.

Results

171 subjects (33.8%) had one or more sight-threatening eye disease(s). The multivariate analysis found significant associations with any of the target conditions for visual acuity < 6/12, an abnormal FDT and peripapillary RNFL thickness outside the 99% normal limit. The sensitivity of this optimised screening panel was 61.3% (95% CI 53.5-68.7); specificity 78.8% (CI 74.0-83.1), positive predictive value 59.5% (CI 53.7-65.2) and an overall diagnostic accuracy of 72.9% (CI 68.8-76.8).

Conclusion

A subset of screening tests may provide an accurate and efficient means of population screening for significant eye disease in the elderly. This study provides useful preliminary data to inform the development of further larger, multicentre screening studies to validate this screening panel.