



City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Scamell, M. Professional symmetry in clinically based ethnographic research: an asset or liability? . .

This is the preprint version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/27991/>

Link to published version:

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Abstract

Purpose: To offer methodological reflection on the complexities of being an insider ethnographic health service researcher.

Methods/data: Taking ethnographic fieldnotes that describe an observed episode of midwifery care in a UK-based maternity service, the shared identity between the researcher and research participant will be explored as being at once comfortably shared, while at the same time, a source of pernicious estrangement. The methodological reflections came from a study investigating the work midwives (n32) did, in a range of intra-partum (labour and birth) settings, when making sense of the concept of risk. Data were collected during fieldwork carried out in an NHS maternity service offering intra-partum care in four distinct clinical settings: the home; freestanding midwifery-led units (n2); alongside midwifery-led units (n2); and obstetric-led units (n2). Fieldnotes were compiled over a period of 13 months.

Conclusion: Detailed interrogation of the potential tensions that can arise out of a common identity shared by both the ethnographic researcher and the participant helps to expose some ethical dimensions of insider ethnographic research in clinical health care settings.

Highlights

- Explores the ethical domains of shared professional identity between the ethnographic researcher and research participant
- Contributes to the insider/outsider researcher identity and methodological debate
- Critically evaluates the role of the 'indigenous ethnographer' in high-income health care research

Title

Professional symmetry in clinically based ethnographic research: an asset or a liability?

Introduction

Health service ethnography in England has a legacy that stretches back to the latter part of the last century (Kleinman, 1999; Pope & Mays, 1995; Savage, 1995). By using ethnographic methods, researchers have been able to immerse themselves in the everyday running of health care settings to gain greater insight into the complexities in health service provision (Dixon-Woods, 2003). Or as Cubellis et al. (2021 p.1) put it:

‘long-term ethnographic inquiries can provide nuanced insights in the context of health services research and related fields of studies’

While it has been suggested that, through its attention to detail, ethnography is helpful for investigating issues such as patient centredness, clinical safety, health seeking behaviours and practitioner errors in both low- and high-income countries across the globe (Grant et al., 2017; Liberati et al., 2015; Taxis & Barber, 2003; Webster et al., 2015), it is not, as Dixon-Woods (2003) points out, ‘for the faint hearted’. The ethical dilemmas that can arise out of ethnographic immersion in the field can be challenging because the researchers are implicated in the clinical decision-making of their participants.

In what follows, a brief overview of the identity works that ethnographers face in pursuit of their nuanced insights will be explored. Critical reflections on an ethnographic discourse analysis (Gwyn, 2002) I conducted as a qualified midwife and medical anthropologist will be used to expose some of the latent liability inherent in the professional symmetry I shared with the midwife I was working with during one particular observation episode.

The purpose of the Economic and Social Research Council-funded study from which this article draws was to investigate the meaning making of risk undertaken by midwives working in the south of England. This research came out of a concern over the ever-increasing litigation burden to the

1
2
3 National Health Service (NHS) of maternity care in the UK and the impact this has on how
4 midwives understand risk during intra-partum care. Drawing on a single observation episode from
5 this study, I try to demonstrate some of the ethical domains inherent in the ethnographic project
6 where the researcher positions themselves as an insider in the professional health care community
7 they are investigating.
8
9
10
11
12
13

14 In the final section of this article, the reflexive oscillations taken from the ethnographic fieldnotes
15 are worked together with methodological literature in an effort to further understanding of the
16 ethical domains involved in being an insider ethnographer in a health care setting. While the
17 original proposition behind the insider ethnographer may have been part of the de-colonisation
18 project aimed at ridding the methodology of its imperialist and politically suspicious legacy, the
19 conclusions from this article are that the application of this approach in high-income health care
20 settings should never be considered to be ethically or clinically neutral.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **Mapping the insider/outsider researcher debate**

30
31 With the expansion of the ethnographic method in health research, interest in the identity work
32 of the ethnographer in this body of literature has gained more attention (Burns et al., 2012; Coffey,
33 1999; Gair, 2012; Labaree, 2002; Ledger, 2010; Leslie et al., 2014). In health service research, the
34 notion of the insider ethnographer not only includes membership of social groups into which the
35 ethnographic researcher is born – racial, ethnic, gendered, etc. – but also practitioner groups into
36 which the researcher has been initiated through the formalised processes of education and
37 socialisation (Good & Good, 1993). Health service research has helped to expand insider/outsider
38 debate in relation to identity in ethnography to include a broader notion of community
39 membership.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Concerns about identity, and by association the authenticity of representation, have been at the
50 heart of ethnography since its early anthropological inception (Malinowski, 1932). Having
51 originally set out to adopt a detached, impersonal approach, whereby the researcher was present
52 in the field to look *at* people rather than work *with* people (Denzin, 2002), ethnographers during
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the latter part of the 20th century adopted a more reflexive approach where their identity as
4 researchers was foregrounded in the creation of the ethnographic text (Clifford & E., 1986;
5 Crawford & Hafsteinsson, 1993). The identity of the researcher, which had been previously
6 conveniently ignored in the ethnographic project, became central to the methodological debate
7 (Denzin, 2002; Stanton, 2004). During this period of ethnographic development, the *indigenous*
8 *ethnographer*, or insider researcher, particularly those from low-income countries, was positioned
9 as a solution to the potential harms of more realist and colonialist approaches to ethnography
10 that had historically been carried out by those from high-income countries (Tengan, 2005; White
11 & Tengan, 2001). Autoethnography took the debates surrounding embodied identity further by
12 questioning the authenticity of stories of an essential self (Reed-Danahay, 1997).
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 In the context of ethnographic health service research undertaken in countries with well-
24 developed health care systems there is a general consensus that practitioner status helps
25 researchers with issues such as access into the field of study, language and acceptability (Borbasi
26 et al., 2005). Notwithstanding such benefits, the insider researcher has been described in some of
27 the health service methodological literature as either teetering on the edge of a dichotomy
28 between their potentially antagonistic insider/outsider identities – the practitioner versus the
29 researcher (Acker, 2000; Borbasi et al., 2005; Meijl, 2005) or, alternatively, the insider researcher
30 has been positioned as occupying spaces that exist between different identities that are not so
31 much juxtaposed but tethered together on a kind of continuum through the research activity
32 (Breen, 2007; Kearns, 2000).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Recent methodological debate surrounding the insider ethnographer in health service research
44 has turned towards the tensions which can arise within professional symmetry in the researcher
45 and participant relationship (Blythe et al., 2013; Burns et al., 2012; Ledger, 2010). Within this
46 literature, polemic understandings of the insider/outsider research relationship have been found
47 to be lacking, as the researcher is understood to be constantly negotiating many possible ways of
48 being both within and between their insider and outsider identities.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Using a reflexive account of what might broadly be described as an indigenous ethnographer's
4 experience of working in the field, this article aims to contribute to this critique through an
5 exploration of the slippery and unsettling nature of the commonalities shared between an insider
6 researcher and a participant, where both were midwives. It is the contested nature of the midwifery
7 professional identity in England (Page, 2017) and elsewhere in the world (Blaaka & Schauer Eri,
8 2008; Larsson et al., 2009; O'Connell & Downe, 2009) which helps to make sense of some of the
9 concerning ethical implications of the slipperiness where commonalities, as well as differences,
10 within a shared identity – within professional symmetry – coexist.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **The study**

21
22 The study from which this exploration of the ethical domains of the insider ethnographic research
23 relationship emerged, was a 13-month ethnographic discourse analysis (Gwyn, 2002) project
24 carried out to investigate how English midwives working in the south of the country make sense
25 of risk through their talk and practice in the intra-partum care setting. This study was undertaken
26 in a public maternity health service within an NHS Trust where all maternity care is free at the
27 point of access. The maternity service offered intra-partum (labour and birth) care in four different
28 settings: the women's homes; freestanding midwifery-led units situated in the community some
29 distance from the acute hospital; obstetric-led units; and alongside midwifery-led units, both
30 situated within the acute hospital site. Further details on this study's setting, design and findings
31 have been reported elsewhere (details removed for blinding, 2011, 2014, 2015, 2019).
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 The project, funded by the Economic and Social Research Council, used observation of situated
43 talk and practice that took place in all four birthing settings as its central data collection technique.
44 Whether these observations can be described as participant observations, from the insider
45 ethnography point of view, is debatable. Although I secured an honorary contract with the Trust
46 to conduct the research, this contract did not entitle me to practise as a midwife. I had submitted
47 no statutory intention to practise in this clinical setting (a regulatory requirement at the time of
48 data collection), and it was only my registration as a practitioner that obligated me to act as a
49 registered midwife in this context (Nursing and Midwifery Council [NMC], 2004a, 2004b, 2008).
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 The ethnographic observation described in this article took place in one of the NHS Trust's high-
6 risk obstetric-led units. The fieldnote entry includes a senior midwife (pseudonym Rachael), who
7 was involved in transferring a labouring woman (pseudonym Poppy) from a low-risk birthing
8 facility – an alongside midwifery-led unit – to the high-risk obstetric unit – labour ward.
9
10
11

12 13 **Ethical approval**

14
15 Written consent and sequential verbal consent were gained from all those involved in the study,
16 and all transcripts and fieldnotes were 'cleaned', with identifying features removed, prior to
17 analysis. Ethical approval was sought through both national and local NHS Ethics, and full approval
18 for the study was granted prior to commencement of the fieldwork. The project protocol was
19 reviewed and approved, prior to the commencement of data collection, by the NHS Trust's
20 Research and Development governance team, the Head of Risk, Assurance and Legal Services and
21 the Head of Midwifery. The researcher had an NHS licence to practise as a maternity care assistant
22 for the duration of the data collection period.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 31 **Meeting Poppy and Rachael**

32
33 The fieldnote entry below describes Poppy's care as she is entering into the final stages of her
34 labour. Poppy, a second-time mother, has just been transferred to a high-risk, obstetric-led unit
35 for continuous electronic fetal monitoring due to meconium-stained liquor (amniotic fluid that is
36 contaminated by the baby's bowel motion). Up until the point where this fieldnote entry begins,
37 Poppy's care had been provided in a low-risk unit situated in the hospital (an alongside midwifery-
38 led unit) run exclusively by midwives. This care included labouring in a birthing pool.
39
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 "Poppy seemed resolute. Although all her hopes for a water birth had been dashed the
47 minute her waters had broken (showing that the baby had opened its bowels), she seemed
48 to be determined to make the best of what I imagined she considered being a suboptimal
49 situation...
50

51
52 Once transferred from the birth centre into the acute unit, Poppy continued with her plans
53 for an active birth. She simply ignored the bed, which was positioned centrally in the room,
54 and used it as a support to help her stay on her feet. She began to moan with each
55 contraction as she gently swayed her hips from side to side.
56

1
2
3
4 Rachael (the midwife), having attached the CTG (the continual electronic fetal monitoring
5 machine) and checked that the fetal heart tracing was reassuring, left her to it, busy filling
6 out all the necessary paperwork; meaning that poor Poppy was left unsupported.
7
8

9 I felt powerless; I knew the pressure Rachael was under to get all the paperwork up to date.
10 Post transfer, staff relations are at best strained and eyebrows are often raised, but there
11 was nothing I could do to assist."
12

13 (Observational notes excerpt)
14
15

16 As I watched this scenario unfold, I had a sense that my allegiances were shifting. The idea of a
17 homogenous, shared identity between me and Rachael began to evaporate as possible ways of
18 being a midwife competed. My desire to be a supportive colleague by being helpful to the midwife
19 I was working with, who was having to cope with the bureaucratic demands of the organisational
20 setting, was gradually displaced by another competing midwifery identity.
21
22
23
24

25
26
27 Up to the point shortly after Poppy's transfer, my actions during the observation were driven out
28 of a sense of professional empathy. Rachael and I had a mutuality that could only be gained
29 through a shared, professional experiential knowledge. For example, my insider knowledge of the
30 staff dynamics involved in transferring a client from a low-risk to a high-risk caring environment
31 during labour allowed me empathy for Rachael's predicament. Although both units are staffed by
32 midwives, loyalties in these circumstances tend to run along clinical settings, rather than
33 professional boundaries, rendering transfer relationships tense, and revealing some of the less
34 coherent dimensions of the professional identity work midwives do when working in England.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42
43 As the birth of Poppy's baby became more imminent, the tentative nature of the overlaps between
44 my and Rachael's identity emerged. As a different facet of my professional identity took hold, one
45 where my empathy for Rachael was all but subsumed, the mutuality of space Rachael and I shared
46 began to fracture. This fracture in the research relationship was born out of our shared identity,
47 our sameness. It was a fluidity within our shared identity that unsettled our relationship.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 "I watched and waited for what seemed forever to see if Rachael was going to offer Poppy
4 support. She didn't say a word. *I couldn't bear it!*
5
6

7 I walked up behind Poppy and put my hand on her lower back, quietly congratulating her
8 on how well she was doing. We began to sway in rhythm together and as we did so her
9 movements became stronger and she went to crouch towards the floor, giving a guttural
10 growl. I was encouraged by the noise and looked behind me to hand over to Rachael. I
11 battled with an almost instinctual urge to position myself to 'catch the baby'. At the sound
12 of Poppy's cry, Rachael looked up and ordered Poppy on to the bed. 'If you are not careful
13 you will have this baby on the floor!' she remarked.
14
15

16 I watched as a perfectly calm mother, engrossed in the act of spontaneous birth in an
17 upright position, suddenly became confused. She started apologizing for pushing;
18 explaining that she couldn't help it. She tried to follow Rachael's instructions and get on
19 to the bed but each time was overwhelmed by another contraction accompanied by an
20 urge to push.
21
22

23 Rachael was very persistent, however, and insisted that Poppy move, even though she was
24 clearly in pain and distressed by the efforts."
25
26

(Observational notes excerpt)
27
28
29

30 There is a clear disconnect between this observation excerpt and the previous one. While both
31 entries were written during the same observation episode, the first was written before the birth of
32 Poppy's baby; the second afterwards. This temporality, I believe, is important because it captures
33 the moment when the heterogeneity within the midwifery identity was most keenly felt by me,
34 Rachael and, of course, Poppy.
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 At the point when I walked towards Poppy – at the point I reached out to touch her – I chose to
42 abandon the common ground that operated to unite me with Rachael's rationale and activity.
43 This choice had ethical ramifications. Through my hands and my body, a different midwifery
44 identity crystallized; an identity that privileged my experiential knowledge of being a vulnerable
45 birthing mother myself. I chose to embody a specific set of professional priorities I knew might
46 not have coincided with those held by the midwife responsible for the care. Truthfully, at that
47 moment in time, it never occurred to me to be more cautious; nor did I spare a thought for making
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 more effort to reflect Rachael's practice priorities. My preoccupation with my own understanding
4 of what kind of midwife I am and my embodiment of that understanding simply did not allow it.
5
6 In positioning Poppy for a standing birth, I had assumed – wrongly, as it turns out – that, if I was
7 able to facilitate this birth process by trying to instill Poppy with a sense of confidence in the
8 upright position, I would make Rachael's task much easier. In reality, however, my actions
9 challenged Rachael's practice, undermined her authority, made her midwifery tasks more difficult
10 and, most importantly, confused Poppy. All these consequences arose directly out of the
11 knowledge I possessed as an insider researcher.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 Discussion

20
21
22 By scrutinising the complexities involved in the ongoing and dynamic formation of my identity as
23 an insider, as a full member of the group I was investigating, I have been able to confront some
24 of the methodologically concerning and ultimately harmful elements of indigenous ethnography
25 in developed, high-income health care settings. Without question, capitalising on my insider
26 identity provided me with certain advantages in terms of access and acceptability; none of which
27 should be underestimated. Without this status, it is unlikely that I would have ever witnessed the
28 birth of Poppy's baby. Because I was a midwife, the service users and providers I encountered
29 during my observations found it easy to talk to me and I was able to enter the NHS birthing
30 environment, both inside and outside of the labour care room, with relative ease. Such practical
31 advantages, however, should never be simply assumed to be nebulous.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 According to the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines relating to
43 intra-partum care for healthy women and babies, women giving birth in any setting in the UK
44 should be supported to mobilise as much as possible and change position (National Institute for
45 Health and Care Excellence, 2014). The guidelines go so far as to suggest that supine (lying down
46 on your back) should be avoided during labour and birth. In choosing to touch Poppy, I set about
47 following this guideline in a way that embodied a particular kind of midwifery identity. With this
48 touch, some of the commonalities I shared with Rachael slipped away. By taking this step, I
49 appealed to a version of midwifery identity that did not coincide with the midwife I was working
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 with and who, ultimately, was responsible for the care of Poppy and her baby. As this version of
4 midwifery crystallized into action, I successfully set Poppy up for a standing birth (my favourite!)
5 that, in this context, could never be realised. In so doing, I was responsible for causing harm not
6 only to the midwife I was working with but also to the labouring woman in her care. Had I not
7 encouraged Poppy, had I not suggested through my hands, through my body, through my touch,
8 that what she was doing was acceptable, and that having her baby in the standing position would
9 be possible, perhaps she would not have been so surprised by Rachael's instructions to sit down
10 on the bed. In other words, my insider status and the decision I made as an insider was an ethical
11 liability.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 My own experience of being positioned as an insider researcher, described in this article, goes
22 some way towards illustrating the moral and clinical complexities insider ethnographers face when
23 working in health care settings. By focusing on the complexities within the insider's identity, rather
24 than the space that lurks between what are often described as competing identities – the
25 researcher and the insider – (Allen, 2004; Bonner & Tolhurst, 2002; Breen, 2007; Burns et al., 2012;
26 Dwyer & Buckle, 2009; Gair, 2012; Kanuha, 2000), the harms that can be inadvertently caused by
27 the indigenous ethnographer can be exposed. While concurring with Breen (2007), Burns et al.
28 (2012) and the like, that the ethnographer does much work in the spaces that exist between
29 various concurrent identities, the reflexive fieldnotes in this article draw attention to the ethical
30 (or in this case unethical) work researchers do when constructing their situated identities as an
31 insider. Moreover, this article reveals how my identity as an indigenous ethnographer in this
32 project operated to cause more harm than I cared to admit at the time of my data collection.
33 While branches of the ethnographic project, such as critical ethnography and autoethnography,
34 have offered fertile ground for engaging with the multifaceted nature of the identity work
35 undertaken during research, I feel that the anguish exposed by this article calls for a broader
36 engagement with the issue in all types of insider ethnographic health service research.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Conclusion

In this article, extracts from ethnographic fieldnotes have been used to explore the complexities that exist within shared identities when the research is an insider. This exploration helps to expose some of the ethical dimensions of the ethnographic research relationship in health care. Using an embodied experience of being a practitioner/researcher investigating midwifery talk and practice in England, I have suggested that the researcher has much work to do when negotiating their identity, even, or especially, when they are an insider, belonging to the group being studied. Through a detailed interrogation of my fieldnotes, I have grappled with some of the challenging ethical domains, inherent in my professional identity orientation, faced during my ethnographic research.

In conclusion, I propose that insider researcher identity is neither straightforward nor neutral. My experiences in the field confirmed that the identity, whether it be insider, outsider or in-between the two, is neither fixed nor stable but is a process of ongoing negotiation between what can involve at times competing subjectivities. The complexities that I confronted in this article came out of a multiplicity that originated from spaces within my and Rachael's commonly shared, group identity – our professional symmetry.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Andy Alaszewski, whose constant and insightful support has been inspirational, the Economic and Social Research Council, who funded the investigation and Alison Barker, who helped with proofreading. A very special thanks to the midwives who took the time to talk me.

References

Acker, S. (2000). In/out/side: Positioning the researcher in feminist qualitative research. *Resources for Feminist Research*, 28(1/2), 189–208. ISSN: 07078412

- 1
2
3 Allen, D. (2004). Ethnomethodological insights into insider–outsider relationships in nursing
4 ethnographies of healthcare settings. *Nursing Inquiry*, *11*(1), 14–24.
5
6
7 Blaaka, G., & Schauer Eri, T. (2008). Doing midwifery between different belief systems. *Midwifery*,
8
9 *24*(3), 344–352. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MIDW.2006.10.005>
10
11 Blythe, S., Wilkes, L., Jackson, D., & Halcomb, E. (2013). The challenges of being an insider in
12 storytelling research. *Nurse Researcher*, *21*(1), 8–12.
13
14 Bonner, A., & Tolhurst, G. (2002). Insider-outsider perspectives of participant observation. *Nurse*
15
16 *Researcher*, *9*(4), 7–19.
17
18 Borbasi, S., Jackson, D., & Wilkes, L. (2005). Fieldwork in nursing research: positionality,
19 practicalities and predicaments. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *51*(5), 493–501.
20
21 Breen, L. (2007). The researcher ‘in the middle’: Negotiating the insider/outsider dichotomy.
22
23 *Australian Community Psychologist*, *19*(1), 163–174.
24
25 Burns, E., Fenwick, J., Schmied, V., & Sheehan, A. (2012). Reflexivity in midwifery research: The
26 insider/outsider debate. *Midwifery*, *28*(1), 52–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2010.10.018>
27
28 Clifford, J., & E., G. M. (Eds.). (1986). *Writing Culture: The Poetics and Politics of Ethnography*.
29 University of California Press.
30
31 Coffey, A. (1999). *The Ethnographic Self: Fieldwork and the Representation of Identity*. Sage
32 Publications Inc.
33
34 Crawford, P., & Hafsteinsson, S. (1993). *The Construction of the Viewer. Media Ethnography and*
35
36 *Anthropological Audiences. Proceedings from NFA 3*. Intervention Press.
37
38 Cubellis, L., Schmid, C., & Peter, S. von. (2021). Ethnography in Health Services Research:
39 Oscillation Between Theory and Practice. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10497323211022312>,
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Dwyer, S. C., & Buckle, J. L. (2009). The Space Between: On Being an Insider-Outsider in
4 Qualitative Research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 8(1), 54–63.
5 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406909000800105>
6
7
8 Gair, S. (2012). Feeling Their Stories Contemplating Empathy, Insider/Outsider Positionings, and
9 Enriching Qualitative Research. *Qualitative Health Research*, 22(1), 134–143.
10 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732311420580>
11
12
13
14 Good, B. J., & Good, M. J. D. (1993). Learning medicine. In *The construction of medical knowledge*
15 *at Harvard Medical School. In Knowledge, Power, and Practice: The Anthropology of Medicine*
16 *and Everyday Life* by Shirley Lindenbaum and Margaret M. Lock. e: T (pp. 81–107).
17 University of California Press.
18
19
20
21 Grant, S., Checkland, K., Bowie, P., & Guthrie, B. (2017). The role of informal dimensions of safety
22 in high-volume organisational routines: An ethnographic study of test results handling in
23 UK general practice. *Implementation Science*, 12(1). [https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-017-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-017-0586-8)
24 [0586-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-017-0586-8)
25
26
27
28
29 Gwyn, R. (2002). *Communicating Health and Illness The Body , Disease and Discourse* (1st ed.).
30 Sage Publications Inc.
31
32
33 Kanuha, V. K. (2000). "Being" Native versus "Going Native": Conducting Social Work Research as
34 an Insider. *Social Work*, 45(5), 439–447. <https://doi.org/10.1093/SW/45.5.439>
35
36
37 Kearns, R. (2000). Being there: Research through observing and participating. In I. Hay (Ed.),
38 *Qualitative Research Methods in Human Geography* (pp. 103–121). Oxford University Press.
39
40
41 Kleinman, A. (1999). Moral experience and ethical reflection: Can ethnography reconcile them? A
42 quandary for "The New Bioethics". *Daedalus*, 128(4), 69–97.
43
44
45
46
47
48 Labaree, R. v. (2002). The risk of 'going observationalist': Negotiating the hidden dilemmas of
49 being an insider participant observer. *Qualitative Research*, 2(1), 97–122.
50 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794102002001641>
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Ledger, A. (2010). Exploring multiple identities as a health care ethnographer. *International*
4 *Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 9(3), 291–304.
5
6
7 Leslie, M., Paradis, E., Gropper, M. A., Reeves, S., & Kitto, S. (2014). Applying ethnography to the
8 study of context in healthcare quality and safety. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 23(2), 99–105.
9
10 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2013-002335>
11
12 Liberati, E. G., Gorli, M., Moja, L., Galuppo, L., Ripamonti, S., & Scaratti, G. (2015). Exploring the
13 practice of patient centered care: The role of ethnography and reflexivity. *Social Science &*
14 *Medicine*, 133, 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOCSCIMED.2015.03.050>
15
16
17 Malinowski, B. (1932). *Argonauts of the Western Pacific* (2nd ed.). George Routledge and Son.
18
19 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315772158>
20
21 Meijl, T. van. (2005). The Critical Ethnographer as Trickster. *Anthropological Forum*, 15(3), 235–
22 245.
23
24
25 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). (2014). *Intrapartum care for healthy*
26 *women and babies clinical guideline*. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg190
27
28 Nursing & Midwifery Council (NMC). (2004a). *Midwives Rules and Standards*. Nursing and
29 Midwifery Council.
30
31
32 Nursing & Midwifery Council (NMC). (2004b and 2008). *The NMC Code of Professional Conduct:*
33 *standards for conduct, performance and ethics*. Nursing and Midwifery Council.
34
35
36 O'Connell, R., & Downe, S. (2009). A metasynthesis of midwives' experience of hospital practice
37 in publicly funded settings: Compliance, resistance and authenticity. *Health*, 13(6), 589–609.
38
39 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363459308341439>
40
41
42 Page, L. (2017). Women and babies need protection from the dangers of normal birth ideology.
43 *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, 124(9), 1385–1385.
44
45 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.14668>
46
47
48 Pope, C., & Mays, N. (1995). Reaching the parts other methods cannot reach: An introduction to
49 qualitative methods in health and health services research. *British Medical Journal*,
50 311(6996), 42–45.
51
52
53 Reed-Danahay, D. (1997). *Auto/ethnography: rewriting the self and the social*, p. 277. Berg
54 Publishers.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Savage, J. (1995). *Nursing Intimacy: An Ethnographic Approach to Nurse-patient Interaction*.
4 Scutari Press.
5
6
7 Stanton, G. (2004). Writing Culture in the 21st century. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, 7(2),
8 155–165. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/1367549404042489>
9
10 Taxis, K., & Barber, N. (2003). Causes of intravenous medication errors: an ethnographic study.
11 *Quality & Safety in Health Care*, 12(5), 343–347. <https://doi.org/10.1136/qhc.12.5.343>
12
13 Tengan, T. K. (2005). *Unsettling Ethnography: Tales of an 'Ōiwi' in the Anthropological Slot*. 15(3),
14 247–256. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/00664670500282030>
15
16 Webster, F., Rice, K., Dainty, K. N., Zwarenstein, M., Durant, S., & Kuper, A. (2015). Failure to cope:
17 The hidden curriculum of emergency department wait times and the implications for
18 clinical training. *Academic Medicine*, 90(1), 56–62.
19
20 <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000000499>
21
22
23
24
25 White, G., & Tengan, T. K. (2001). Disappearing worlds: Anthropology and cultural studies in
26 Hawai'i and the Pacific. *The Contemporary Pacific*, 13(2), 381–416.
27
28 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1353/cp.20001.0072>
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60