



# City Research Online

## City St George's, University of London

**Citation:** Charlton, P. H., Pilt, K. & Kyriacou, P. A. (2022). Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing. *Physiological Measurement*, 43(5), 050301. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ac6cc4

This is the published version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version. To cite this item please consult the publisher's version.

**Permanent repository link:** <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/28156/>

**Link to published version:** <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6579/ac6cc4>

**Copyright and Reuse:** Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, unless otherwise indicated, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. For full details of reuse please refer to [City Research Online policy](#).

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • OPEN ACCESS

## Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

To cite this article before publication: Peter H Charlton *et al* 2022 *Physiol. Meas.* in press <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6579/ac6ccc>

### Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is “the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an ‘Accepted Manuscript’ watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors”

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2022 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd..

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY 3.0 licence, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY 3.0 licence immediately.

Everyone is permitted to use all or part of the original content in this article, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions may be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected and is not published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY licence, unless that is specifically stated in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

# Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

Peter H. Charlton<sup>1,2</sup>, Kristjan Pilt<sup>3</sup>, and Panicos A. Kyriacou<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, UK

<sup>2</sup> Research Centre for Biomedical Engineering, City, University of London, UK

<sup>3</sup> Department of Health Technologies, Tallinn University of Technology, Ehitajate tee 5, 19086 Tallinn, Estonia

Abbreviated Title: Best practices in photoplethysmography

Corresponding Author: Peter H. Charlton pc657@medschl.cam.ac.uk

Strangeways Research Laboratory, 2 Worts' Causeway, Cambridge, CB1 8RN, UK

## Abstract

Photoplethysmography is now widely utilised by clinical devices such as pulse oximeters, and wearable devices such as smartwatches. It holds great promise for health monitoring in daily life. This editorial considers whether it would be possible and beneficial to establish best practices for photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing. It reports progress made towards this, balanced with the challenges of working with a diverse range of photoplethysmography device designs and intended applications, each of which could benefit from different approaches to signal acquisition and processing. It concludes that there are several potential benefits to establishing best practices. However, it is not yet clear whether it is possible to establish best practices which hold across the range of photoplethysmography device designs and applications.

## Key terms

photoplethysmogram, pulse wave analysis, signal processing, wearables

## Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

1  
2  
3 1 This Editorial considers whether it would be possible and beneficial to establish best practices  
4  
5 2 for acquiring and processing photoplethysmography signals.  
6  
7

8 3 Photoplethysmography is an optical technique which provides non-invasive measurements of  
9  
10 4 the arterial pulse wave, which is related to the blood volume change in the observed microvascular  
11  
12 5 tissue. The photoplethysmogram (PPG) signal is already widely utilised by clinical devices such as pulse  
13  
14 6 oximeters (1), and wearable devices such as smartwatches (2). Photoplethysmography holds great  
15  
16 7 promise for health monitoring in daily life. Indeed, several potential applications of  
17  
18 8 photoplethysmography were presented in 2021 alone in *Physiological Measurement*, including: blood  
19  
20 9 pressure monitoring (3, 4); detecting peripheral arterial disease (5); sleep staging (6); screening for  
21  
22 10 sleep apnea and cardiovascular disease (7, 8); and detecting driver sleepiness (9).  
23  
24  
25

26  
27 11 Despite the widespread use of photoplethysmography, best practices have not yet been  
28  
29 12 established for acquiring and processing photoplethysmography signals. This may in part be due to  
30  
31 13 the diversity of photoplethysmography device designs, ranging from smartwatches to earbuds, and  
32  
33 14 applications, ranging from oxygen saturation measurement in clinical practice to heart rate monitoring  
34  
35 15 during exercise (10). Potentially, the best approach to signal acquisition and signal processing could  
36  
37 16 differ between each device design and application. Nonetheless, there could be benefits to  
38  
39 17 establishing best practices, such as establishing hardware configurations that consistently provide  
40  
41 18 high quality signals, and establishing signal processing algorithms that can accurately derive  
42  
43 19 parameters from a variety of PPG signals. This is illustrated by the findings of Liu *et al.* in their recent  
44  
45 20 article in *Physiological Measurement*. They found that the use of different PPG signal filtering settings  
46  
47 21 can result in different measurements being obtained from PPG pulse wave analysis. Based on this,  
48  
49 22 they highlighted the potential benefits of the 'standardisation' of PPG filtering (11). In this case,  
50  
51 23 establishing best practices for filtering PPG signals would have the benefit of allowing pulse wave  
52  
53 24 indices to be compared between studies and between devices. However, this may not be  
54  
55 25 straightforward as different filtering settings may be required for different applications, such as heart  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

rate monitoring (which uses the fundamental frequency of the PPG,  $\approx 0.5\text{-}3\text{Hz}$ ) and blood pressure assessment (which uses higher frequency content).

Potential areas in which best practices could be established include factors relating to device design (hardware and software) and measurement protocols (recording setting and duration). These are summarised in Figure 1, and now described.

### Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing



**Figure 1:** Factors influencing photoplethysmography measurements, and important advances towards establishing best practices.

*Source: This Max Health Band image has been obtained by the authors from the [Wikimedia website](#) where it was made available by Peter H Charlton under a [CC BY 4.0](#) licence. It is included within this article on that basis. It is attributed to Peter H Charlton.*

Several factors in the hardware design influence the PPG signal (2, 12), and are therefore potential areas in which best practices could be established. Firstly, the wavelength of emitted light determines the depth of light penetration, and consequently the level of the vasculature contributing to the PPG signal (13), which influences signal quality (14). Current best practice is to use longer wavelengths (*e.g.* infrared) for transmission photoplethysmography as these penetrate deeper (15), and shorter wavelengths (*e.g.* green) for reflectance photoplethysmography as these produce higher signal quality for heart rate measurement (17). However, this practice may need to be revisited as the

## Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

1  
2  
3 44 use of green light has been found to result in less accurate heart rate monitoring in subjects with  
4  
5 45 darker skin tones (19). Secondly, in reflectance photoplethysmography the signal quality is influenced  
6  
7 46 by the geometry of the light emitter, light detector, and sensor casing. Current best practice is to  
8  
9 47 design the surrounding casing to eliminate ambient light as far as possible (18). In the future this may  
10  
11 48 be extended to using geometries in which the LED surrounds the photo detector, as these have been  
12  
13 49 found to give higher signal quality (16). Thirdly, the contact pressure applied by the device to the skin  
14  
15 50 impacts the shape of the PPG pulse wave (20) and consequently its second derivative (21). Best  
16  
17 51 practice in the area of contact pressure has not yet been established: higher pressures may reduce  
18  
19 52 probe-tissue movement artifact, and have been found to increase the accuracy of PPG-based heart  
20  
21 53 rate monitoring (22). However, it is not clear whether such pressures would be suitable for long-term  
22  
23 54 monitoring. Ideally, the contact pressure should remain constant when analysing pulse wave shape,  
24  
25 55 such as when tracking changes nocturnal changes in blood pressure (23). Fourthly, the body site  
26  
27 56 chosen for PPG measurement influences pulse wave shape (27), and the utility of the acquired signal  
28  
29 57 (2). Best practice has not yet been established in this area: in clinical devices the finger is often used  
30  
31 58 (29), whereas in consumer devices the wrist is often used due to user preference (24). In summary,  
32  
33 59 the challenge of establishing best practices is not trivial, as several factors can influence the PPG signal,  
34  
35 60 and it is likely that different device configurations would be best suited to different applications.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 The software used in PPG devices influences the PPG signal and the parameters derived from  
43  
44 62 it, and therefore presents potential areas in which to establishing best practices. Firstly, there is a  
45  
46 63 compromise between increasing the sampling frequency to capture details of the shape of PPG pulse  
47  
48 64 waves, and reducing it to reduce power consumption (30). Best practices differ between applications,  
49  
50 65 with minimum acceptable sampling frequencies of 10, 16, and 25 Hz reported for heart rate,  
51  
52 66 respiratory rate, and pulse rate variability measurements respectively (25), (26), (28). Secondly,  
53  
54 67 different approaches can be used to remove motion artifact, ranging from eliminating periods of  
55  
56 68 motion (31), to denoising the PPG (32), to cancelling motion artifact using a reference accelerometer  
57  
58  
59  
60

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

69 or gyroscope signal (33). Here, best practices also differ between applications: in hospital monitoring  
70 it has been proposed that periods of motion should be eliminated from analyses (40), whereas in  
71 exercise monitoring the alternative approaches of denoising the PPG or cancelling motion artifact are  
72 used (32). Whilst it may be challenging to develop a universal strategy to PPG signal quality  
73 assessment, recent work has demonstrated that a single approach can perform well across different  
74 heart rhythms and different PPG devices (42). Thirdly, the analog and digital filtering used to pre-  
75 process signals influences both the amplitudes and timings of PPG pulse wave features (11, 34). For  
76 instance, an optimal low-pass filter cut-off of 6 Hz has been proposed to preserve the higher harmonic  
77 components of the PPG, and minimise variability in indices calculated from its second derivative (35).  
78 Fourthly, the choice of signal processing algorithm used to estimate a physiological parameter from  
79 the signal can greatly influence the accuracy and precision of the parameter (36). Best practices for  
80 deriving pulse wave features from finger PPG signals have been proposed (37, 38). However, best  
81 practices have not yet been established for signals acquired at the wrist, which differ from finger  
82 signals (39). Similarly, it could be beneficial to optimise neural network architectures for PPG analyses,  
83 building on existing architectures (6). Further work is also required to identify the best pulse wave  
84 features for different tasks from amongst the wide range of features proposed in the literature (41,  
85 43). For instance, recent studies have investigated the best features for blood pressure estimation (3)  
86 and pulse rate variability analysis (44). The best algorithm design may also depend on a subject's  
87 characteristics, as shown by recent proposals of different blood pressure estimation algorithms for  
88 subjects of different ages (45) and subjects of different blood pressure categories (46). In summary, it  
89 may be difficult to establish best practices for the software used in PPG devices, as the best approach  
90 may vary according to the sensor configuration, application, and subjects being monitored.

91 A further area in which best practices could be established is the protocols used to obtain PPG  
92 measurements, where best practices could be used to obtain repeatable and reproducible  
93 measurements. Measurement protocols can be tightly controlled in clinical settings, where

## Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

1  
2  
3 94 consideration can be given to room temperature, subject position, and the duration of rest prior to  
4  
5 95 measurement (47). However, protocols cannot be so tightly controlled when obtaining measurements  
6  
7 96 from consumer devices in daily life. Nevertheless, measurements can be obtained in a repeatable  
8  
9 97 manner during periods of rest, such as resting and night-time resting heart rates (48) (49). Future work  
10  
11 98 may consider the required recording durations and acceptable levels of signal quality to estimate  
12  
13 99 different physiological parameters from the PPG (50). Whilst it is possible to obtain some parameters  
14  
15 100 during exercise (*e.g.* heart rate) (32), it may only be possible to obtain other parameters accurately  
16  
17 101 whilst at rest (*e.g.* those derived from the second derivative of the PPG, such as the aging index) (51).  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 102 It is clear that there are several potential areas in which best practices could be established  
23  
24 103 for the acquisition and processing of PPG signals. However, it is not yet clear whether it would be  
25  
26 104 possible and beneficial to establish best practices. On the one hand: it may not be possible to establish  
27  
28 105 best practices as they may vary greatly between device designs and applications; it may not be  
29  
30 106 possible to use them widely if they are patented; and, they may not be beneficial if they don't  
31  
32 107 substantially improve device performance. On the other hand, establishing best practices could:  
33  
34 108 reduce the time taken to design and manufacture devices; ensure PPG-based measurements are as  
35  
36 109 accurate and reproducible as possible; and, help advance the field as researchers and developers  
37  
38 110 could build on existing best practices when making novel developments.  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 111 Several advances could aid research into determining whether it would be possible and  
44  
45 112 beneficial to establish best practices for PPG signal acquisition and processing. Firstly, wearable  
46  
47 113 devices which provide the raw PPG signal are invaluable for such research, as demonstrated through  
48  
49 114 the use of the Empatica E4 wristband in many research studies (52). Whilst several research devices  
50  
51 115 can provide the raw PPG signal (10), large-scale studies could be conducted more easily in daily life if  
52  
53 116 consumer devices were similarly able to provide raw PPG signals. Secondly, freely available datasets  
54  
55 117 allow researchers to benchmark their own PPG signal processing algorithms against others on a  
56  
57 118 common dataset. Several such datasets are available (10), including: the WeSAD and PPG-DaLiA  
58  
59  
60

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

1  
2  
3 119 datasets, acquired using an Empatica E4 device in healthy subjects (53) (54); and the VitalDB and the  
4  
5 120 MIMIC Waveform databases, acquired from critically-ill patients (55, 56). However, there are  
6  
7 121 limitations to current datasets: they are often collected from either healthy volunteers or a particular  
8  
9 122 patient population, rather than a broad cross-section of society; they often contain PPG signals  
10  
11 123 acquired by only one device, rather than signals acquired using different hardware configurations; and  
12  
13 124 they are often recorded in either laboratory or clinical settings, but few are recorded in daily life.  
14  
15 125 Thirdly, there is a need for widely accepted validation protocols with which to assess the performance  
16  
17 126 of PPG-based devices. Such protocols already exist for devices measuring blood pressure and heart  
18  
19 127 rate (57) (58). However, different standards may be required for different applications, such as varying  
20  
21 128 the accuracy and data availability thresholds according to the intended use case and measurement  
22  
23 129 scenario (59, 60).  
24  
25  
26  
27

28  
29 130 To conclude, there are several potential benefits to establishing best practices for acquiring  
30  
31 131 and processing PPG signals. However, it is not yet clear whether it is possible to establish best practices  
32  
33 132 which hold across the range of PPG device designs and applications. Therefore, much further work is  
34  
35 133 required to investigate whether it would be possible and beneficial to establish best practices, and to  
36  
37 134 understand how they may differ between device designs and intended applications.  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 135

### 43 136 **Acknowledgments**

44  
45  
46 137 This paper is based upon work from COST ACTION CA18216 'Network for Research in Vascular  
47  
48 138 Ageing', supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology): [www.cost.eu](http://www.cost.eu) . The  
49  
50 139 work was supported by British Heart Foundation (BHF) grant FS/20/20/34626, and by the Estonian  
51  
52 140 Ministry of Education and Research under personal post-doctoral research funding PUTJD815.

53  
54  
55 141 Certain images in this publication have been obtained by the authors from the Wikimedia  
56  
57 142 website, where they were made available under a Creative Commons licence. Please see individual  
58  
59  
60

Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

143 figure captions in this publication for details. To the extent that the law allows, IOP  
144 Publishing and Institute of Physics and Engineering in Medicine disclaim any liability that any person  
145 may suffer as a result of accessing, using or forwarding the image(s). Any reuse rights should be  
146 checked and permission should be sought if necessary from Wikimedia and/or the copyright owner  
147 (as appropriate) before using or forwarding the image(s).

148

## 149 References

- 150 1. **Alian AA, Shelley KH.** PPG in clinical monitoring. In: *Photoplethysmography*, edited by Kyriacou  
151 PA, Allen J. Elsevier, p. 341–359.
- 152 2. **Charlton PH, Marozas V.** Wearable photoplethysmography devices. In:  
153 *Photoplethysmography*, edited by Kyriacou PA, Allen J. Elsevier, p. 401–439.
- 154 3. **Xing X, Ma Z, Xu S, Zhang M, Zhao W, Song M, Dong W-F.** Blood pressure assessment with in-  
155 ear photoplethysmography. *Physiol Meas* 42: 105009, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ac2a71.
- 156 4. **Esmalpoor J, Moradi MH, Kadkhodamohammadi A.** Cuffless blood pressure estimation  
157 methods: Physiological model parameters versus machine-learned features. *Physiol Meas* 42,  
158 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/abeae8.
- 159 5. **Allen J, Liu H, Iqbal S, Zheng D, Stansby G.** Deep learning-based photoplethysmography  
160 classification for peripheral arterial disease detection: A proof-of-concept study. *Physiol Meas*  
161 42, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/abf9f3.
- 162 6. **Li Q, Li Q, Cakmak AS, Poian G Da, Bliwise DL, Vaccarino V, Shah AJ, Clifford GD.** Transfer  
163 learning from ECG to PPG for improved sleep staging from wrist-worn wearables. *Physiol Meas*  
164 42, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/abf1b0.
- 165 7. **Ouyang V, Ma B, Pignatelli N, Sengupta S, Sengupta P, Mungulmare K, Fletcher RR.** The use  
166 of multi-site photoplethysmography (PPG) as a screening tool for coronary arterial disease and  
167 atherosclerosis. *Physiol Meas* 42, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/abad48.
- 168 8. **Behar JA, Liu C, Kotzen K, Tsutsui K, Corino VDA, Singh J, Pimentel MAF, Warrick P, Zauneder  
169 S, Andreotti F, Sebag D, Kopanitsa G, McSharry PE, Karlen W, Karmakar C, Clifford GD.** Remote  
170 health diagnosis and monitoring in the time of COVID-19. *Physiol Meas* 41, 2020. doi:  
171 10.1088/1361-6579/abba0a.
- 172 9. **Hultman M, Johansson I, Lindqvist F, Ahlström C.** Driver sleepiness detection with deep neural  
173 networks using electrophysiological data. *Physiol Meas* 42, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-

## Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

- 1  
2  
3 174 6579/abe91e.  
4  
5 175 10. **Charlton PH, Kyriacou PA, Mant J, Marozas V, Chowienczyk P, Alastruey J.** Wearable  
6  
7 176 Photoplethysmography for Cardiovascular Monitoring. *Proc IEEE* 110: 355–381, 2022. doi:  
8  
9 177 10.1109/JPROC.2022.3149785.  
10 178 11. **Liu H, Allen J, Khalid SG, Chen F, Zheng D.** Filtering-induced time shifts in  
11  
12 179 photoplethysmography pulse features measured at different body sites: The importance of  
13  
14 180 filter definition and standardization. *Physiol Meas* 42, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ac0a34.  
15 181 12. **Lemay M, Bertschi M, Sola J, Renevey P, Genzoni E, Proença M, Ferrario D, Braun F, Parak J,**  
16  
17 182 **Korhonen I.** Applications of Optical Cardiovascular Monitoring. .  
18 183 13. **Liu J, Yan BP, Zhang Y, Ding X, Su P, Zhao N.** Multi-wavelength photoplethysmography enabling  
19  
20 184 continuous blood pressure measurement with compact wearable electronics. *IEEE Trans*  
21  
22 185 *Biomed Eng* 66: 1514–1525, 2019. doi: 10.1109/TBME.2018.2874957.  
23 186 14. **Fallow BA, Tarumi T, Tanaka H.** Influence of skin type and wavelength on light wave  
24  
25 187 reflectance. *J Clin Monit Comput* 27: 313–317, 2013. doi: 10.1007/s10877-013-9436-7.  
26 188 15. **Anderson RR, Parrish JA.** The optics of human skin. *J Invest Dermatol* 77: 13–19, 1981. doi:  
27  
28 189 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12479191.  
29 190 16. **Khan Y, Han D, Ting J, Ahmed M, Nagisetty R, Arias AC.** Organic multi-channel optoelectronic  
30  
31 191 sensors for wearable health monitoring. *IEEE Access* 7: 128114–128124, 2019. doi:  
32  
33 192 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2939798.  
34 193 17. **Matsumura K, Toda S, Kato Y.** RGB and near-infrared light reflectance/transmittance  
35  
36 194 photoplethysmography for measuring heart rate during motion. *IEEE Access* 8: 80233–80242,  
37  
38 195 2020. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2990438.  
39 196 18. **Abay TY, Kyriacou PA.** Photoplethysmography in oxygenation and blood volume  
40  
41 197 measurements. In: *Photoplethysmography*, edited by Kyriacou PA, Allen J. Elsevier, p. 147–188.  
42  
43 198 19. **Fine J, Branan KL, Rodriguez AJ, Boonya-Ananta T, Ajmal, Ramella-Roman JC, McShane MJ,**  
44  
45 199 **Coté GL.** Sources of inaccuracy in photoplethysmography for continuous cardiovascular  
46  
47 200 monitoring. .  
48 201 20. **Chandrasekhar A, Yavarimanesh M, Natarajan K, Hahn J-O, Mukkamala R.** PPG Sensor  
49  
50 202 Contact Pressure Should Be Taken Into Account for Cuff-Less Blood Pressure Measurement.  
51  
52 203 *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 67: 3134–3140, 2020. doi: 10.1109/TBME.2020.2976989.  
53 204 21. **Grabovskis A, Marcinkevics Z, Rubins U, Kviesis-Kipge E.** Effect of probe contact pressure on  
54  
55 205 the photoplethysmographic assessment of conduit artery stiffness. *J Biomed Opt* 18: 027004,  
56  
57 206 2013. doi: 10.1117/1.JBO.18.2.027004.  
58 207 22. **Scardulla F, D’acquisto L, Colombarini R, Hu S, Pasta S, Bellavia D.** A study on the effect of

## Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

- 208 contact pressure during physical activity on photoplethysmographic heart rate measurements.  
209 *Sensors* 20: 1–15, 2020. doi: 10.3390/s20185052.
- 210 23. **Radha M, de Groot K, Rajani N, Wong CCP, Kobold N, Vos V, Fonseca P, Mastellos N, Wark**  
211 **PA, Velthoven N, Haakma R, Aarts RM.** Estimating blood pressure trends and the nocturnal  
212 dip from photoplethysmography. *Physiol Meas* 40: 025006, 2019. doi: 10.1088/1361-  
213 6579/ab030e.
- 214 24. **Prinable JB, Foster JM, McEwan AL, Young PM, Tovey E, Thamrin C.** Motivations and key  
215 features for a wearable device for continuous monitoring of breathing: A web-based survey.  
216 *JMIR Biomed Eng* 2: e1, 2017. doi: 10.2196/biomedeng.7143.
- 217 25. **Wolling F, Van Laerhoven K.** Fewer samples for a longer life span. In: *Proc. iWOAR*. ACM, p. 1–  
218 10.
- 219 26. **Charlton PH, Bonnici T, Tarassenko L, Alastruey J, Clifton DA, Beale R, Watkinson PJ.**  
220 Extraction of respiratory signals from the electrocardiogram and photoplethysmogram:  
221 technical and physiological determinants. *Physiol Meas* 38: 669–690, 2017. doi: 10.1088/1361-  
222 6579/aa670e.
- 223 27. **Hartmann V, Liu H, Chen F, Qiu Q, Hughes S, Zheng D.** Quantitative comparison of  
224 photoplethysmographic waveform characteristics: Effect of measurement site. *Front Physiol*  
225 10: 198, 2019. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2019.00198.
- 226 28. **Choi A, Shin H.** Photoplethysmography sampling frequency: Pilot assessment of how low can  
227 we go to analyze pulse rate variability with reliability? *Physiol Meas* 38: 586–600, 2017. doi:  
228 10.1088/1361-6579/aa5efa.
- 229 29. **Alty SR, Angarita-Jaimes N, Millasseau SC, Chowienczyk PJ.** Predicting arterial stiffness from  
230 the digital volume pulse waveform. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 54: 2268–2275, 2007. doi:  
231 10.1109/TBME.2007.897805.
- 232 30. **Lee J, Jang DH, Park S, Cho SH.** A low-power photoplethysmogram-based heart rate sensor  
233 using heartbeat locked loop. *IEEE Trans Biomed Circuits Syst* 12: 1220–1229, 2018. doi:  
234 10.1109/TBCAS.2018.2876671.
- 235 31. **Guo Z, Ding C, Hu X, Rudin C.** A supervised machine learning semantic segmentation approach  
236 for detecting artifacts in plethysmography signals from wearables. *Physiol Meas* 42: 125003,  
237 2021. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ac3b3d.
- 238 32. **Zhang Z, Pi Z, Liu B.** TROIKA: A general framework for heart rate monitoring using wrist-type  
239 photoplethysmographic signals during intensive physical exercise. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 62:  
240 522–531, 2015. doi: 10.1109/TBME.2014.2359372.
- 241 33. **Marozas V, Charlton PH.** Wearable photoplethysmography devices. Zenodo:

## Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

- 1  
2  
3 242 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4601547>: 2021.
- 4  
5 243 34. **Liang Y, Elgendi M, Chen Z, Ward R.** An optimal filter for short photoplethysmogram signals.  
6 244 *Sci Data* 5: 1–12, 2018. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2018.76.
- 7  
8 245 35. **Pilt K, Ferenets R, Meigas K, Lindberg L-G, Temitski K, Viigimaa M.** New  
9 246 photoplethysmographic signal analysis algorithm for arterial stiffness estimation. *Sci World J*  
10 247 2013: 1–9, 2013. doi: 10.1155/2013/169035.
- 11  
12 248 36. **Charlton PH, Bonnici T, Tarassenko L, Clifton DA, Beale R, Watkinson PJ.** An assessment of  
13 249 algorithms to estimate respiratory rate from the electrocardiogram and photoplethysmogram.  
14 250 *Physiol Meas* 37: 610–26, 2016. doi: 10.1088/0967-3334/37/4/610.
- 15  
16 251 37. **Elgendi M.** Detection of c, d, and e waves in the acceleration photoplethysmogram. *Comput*  
17 252 *Methods Programs Biomed* 117: 125–136, 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2014.08.001.
- 18  
19 253 38. **Elgendi M, Norton I, Brearley M, Abbott D, Schuurmans D.** Detection of a and b waves in the  
20 254 acceleration photoplethysmogram. *Biomed Eng Online* 13:139, 2014. doi: 10.1186/1475-925X-  
21 255 13-139.
- 22  
23 256 39. **Rajala S, Lindholm H, Taipalus T.** Comparison of photoplethysmogram measured from wrist  
24 257 and finger and the effect of measurement location on pulse arrival time. *Physiol Meas* 39:  
25 258 075010, 2018. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/aac7ac.
- 26  
27 259 40. **Orphanidou C, Bonnici T, Charlton P, Clifton D, Vallance D, Tarassenko L.** Signal-quality indices  
28 260 for the electrocardiogram and photoplethysmogram: derivation and applications to wireless  
29 261 monitoring. *IEEE J Biomed Heal Informatics* 19: 832–8, 2015. doi: 10.1109/JBHI.2014.2338351.
- 30  
31 262 41. **Charlton PH, Celka P, Farukh B, Chowienczyk P, Alastruey J.** Assessing mental stress from the  
32 263 photoplethysmogram: a numerical study. *Physiol Meas* 39: 054001, 2018. doi: 10.1088/1361-  
33 264 6579/aabe6a.
- 34  
35 265 42. **Mohagheghian F, Han D, Peitzsch A, Nishita N, Ding E, Dickson E, Dimezza D, Otobil E,**  
36 266 **Noorishirazi K, Scott J, Lessard D, Wang Z, Whitcomb C, Tran K, Fitzgibbons T, Mcmanus D,**  
37 267 **Chon K.** Optimized Signal Quality Assessment for Photoplethysmogram Signals using Feature  
38 268 Selection. .
- 39  
40 269 43. **Lin WH, Li X, Li Y, Li G, Chen F.** Investigating the physiological mechanisms of the  
41 270 photoplethysmogram features for blood pressure estimation. *Physiol Meas* 41: 0–13, 2020.  
42 271 doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ab7d78.
- 43  
44 272 44. **Peralta E, Lazaro J, Bailon R, Marozas V, Gil E.** Optimal fiducial points for pulse rate variability  
45 273 analysis from forehead and finger photoplethysmographic signals. *Physiol Meas* 40, 2019. doi:  
46 274 10.1088/1361-6579/ab009b.
- 47  
48 275 45. **Xing X, Ma Z, Zhang M, Gao X, Li Y, Song M, Dong W-FF.** Robust blood pressure estimation

## Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

- 276 from finger photoplethysmography using age-dependent linear models. *Physiol Meas* 41:  
 277 025007, 2020. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ab755d.
- 278 46. **Khalid SG, Liu H, Zia T, Zhang J, Chen F, Zheng D.** Cuffless Blood Pressure Estimation Using  
 279 Single Channel Photoplethysmography: A Two-Step Method. *IEEE Access* 8: 58146–58154,  
 280 2020. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2981903.
- 281 47. **Allen J, Hedley S.** Simple photoplethysmography pulse encoding technique for communicating  
 282 the detection of peripheral arterial disease - A proof of concept study. *Physiol Meas* 40, 2019.  
 283 doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ab3545.
- 284 48. **Radin JM, Wineinger NE, Topol EJ, Steinhubl SR.** Harnessing wearable device data to improve  
 285 state-level real-time surveillance of influenza-like illness in the USA: a population-based study.  
 286 *Lancet Digit Heal* 2: e85–e93, 2020. doi: 10.1016/S2589-7500(19)30222-5.
- 287 49. **Mishra T, Wang M, Metwally AA, Bogu GK, Brooks AW, Bahmani A, Alavi A, Celli A, Higgs E,  
 288 Dagan-Rosenfeld O, Fay B, Kirkpatrick S, Kellogg R, Gibson M, Wang T, Hunting EM, Mamic P,  
 289 Ganz AB, Rolnik B, Li X, Snyder MP.** Pre-symptomatic detection of COVID-19 from smartwatch  
 290 data. *Nat Biomed Eng* 4: 1208–1220, 2020. doi: 10.1038/s41551-020-00640-6.
- 291 50. **Huthart S, Elgendi M, Zheng D, Stansby G, Allen J.** Advancing PPG Signal Quality and Know-  
 292 How Through Knowledge Translation—From Experts to Student and Researcher. *Front Digit  
 293 Heal* 2: 619692, 2020. doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2020.619692.
- 294 51. **Takazawa K, Tanaka N, Fujita M, Matsuoka O, Saiki T, Aikawa M, Tamura S, Ibukiyaama C.**  
 295 Assessment of vasoactive agents and vascular aging by the second derivative of  
 296 photoplethysmogram waveform. *Hypertension* 32: 365–370, 1998. doi:  
 297 10.1161/01.HYP.32.2.365.
- 298 52. **McCarthy C, Pradhan N, Redpath C, Adler A.** Validation of the Empatica E4 wristband. In: *Proc.  
 299 IEEE EMBS ISC*. IEEE.
- 300 53. **Schmidt P, Reiss A, Duerichen R, Van Laerhoven K.** Introducing WeSAD, a multimodal dataset  
 301 for wearable stress and affect detection. In: *Proc. ICMI*, p. 400–408.
- 302 54. **Reiss A, Indlekofer I, Schmidt P, Van Laerhoven K.** Deep PPG: large-scale heart rate estimation  
 303 with convolutional neural networks. *Sensors* 19: 3079, 2019. doi: 10.3390/s19143079.
- 304 55. **Lee HC, Jung CW.** Vital Recorder- A free research tool for automatic recording of high-  
 305 resolution time-synchronised physiological data from multiple anaesthesia devices. *Sci Rep* 8:  
 306 1–8, 2018. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-20062-4.
- 307 56. **Johnson AEW, Pollard TJ, Shen L, Lehman LH, Feng M, Ghassemi M, Moody B, Szolovits P,  
 308 Anthony Celi L, Mark RG.** MIMIC-III, a freely accessible critical care database. *Sci Data* 3:  
 309 160035, 2016. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2016.35.

## Establishing best practices in photoplethysmography signal acquisition and processing

- 1  
2  
3 310 57. **Stergiou GS, Alpert B, Mieke S, Asmar R, Atkins N, Eckert S, Frick G, Friedman B, Graßl T,**  
4 311 **Ichikawa T, Ioannidis JP, Lacy P, McManus R, Murray A, Myers M, Palatini P, Parati G, Quinn**  
5 312 **D, Sarkis J, Shennan A, Usuda T, Wang J, Wu CO, O'Brien E.** A universal standard for the  
6 313 validation of blood pressure measuring devices: Association for the Advancement of Medical  
7 314 Instrumentation/European Society of Hypertension/International Organization for  
8 315 Standardization (AAMI/ESH/ISO) Collaboration Statement. *Hypertension* 71: 368–374, 2018.  
9 316 doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10237.
- 15 317 58. **Mühlen JM, Stang J, Lykke Skovgaard E, Judice PB, Molina-Garcia P, Johnston W, Sardinha LB,**  
16 318 **Ortega FB, Caulfield B, Bloch W, Cheng S, Ekelund U, Brønd JC, Grøntved A, Schumann M.**  
17 319 Recommendations for determining the validity of consumer wearable heart rate devices:  
18 320 expert statement and checklist of the INTERLIVE Network. *Br J Sports Med* 55: 767–779, 2021.  
19 321 doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-103148.
- 23 322 59. **Mukkamala R, Yavarimanesh M, Natarajan K, Hahn JO, Kyriakoulis KG, Avolio AP, Stergiou**  
24 323 **GS.** Evaluation of the Accuracy of Cuffless Blood Pressure Measurement Devices: Challenges  
25 324 and Proposals. *Hypertension* 78: 1161–1167, 2021. doi:  
26 325 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.121.17747.
- 30 326 60. **Consumer Technology Association.** Physical Activity Monitoring for Heart Rate, ANSI/CTA 2065  
31 327 [Online]. 2018. [https://shop.cta.tech/collections/standards/products/physical-activity-](https://shop.cta.tech/collections/standards/products/physical-activity-monitoring-for-heart-rate)  
32 328 [monitoring-for-heart-rate.](https://shop.cta.tech/collections/standards/products/physical-activity-monitoring-for-heart-rate)  
33  
34  
35 329