



City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Alderton, R. (2020). Perceptions of T-glottalling among adolescents in South East England: A sign of 'chavviness', or a key to 'coolness'?. *English Today*, 36(3), pp. 40-47. doi: 10.1017/s0266078420000279

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/29868/>

Link to published version: <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0266078420000279>

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Perceptions of T-glottalling among adolescents in South East England:

A sign of ‘chavviness’, or a key to ‘coolness’?

Roy Alderton

University of Sheffield

Alderton, Roy (2020). Perceptions of T-glottalling among adolescents in South East England:

A sign of ‘chavviness’, or a key to ‘coolness’? *English Today*, 36(3), 40–

47. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266078420000279>

1. T-glottalling in sociolinguistics

Sociolinguistic research has established that glottal realisations of the voiceless alveolar stop /t/ have become increasingly common in accents of British English. The phenomenon, known as T-glottalling, encompasses the production of word-final and word-medial /t/ using glottal articulations, including creaky voice, pre-glottalisation [ʔt] and glottal replacement [ʔ] (Straw & Patrick, 2007), so that words such as *but* [bʌt] and *butter* [bʌtə] may become [bʌʔ] and [bʌʔə] respectively. The change has been documented for some time in Scotland (Macafee, 1997) and Norfolk (Trudgill, 1999) but has since been reported in numerous locations across the UK (see Smith & Holmes-Elliott, 2018 for a recent review). Studies of regional dialect levelling (Kerswill, 2003) have argued that T-glottalling has spread from working-class London speech into neighbouring varieties of South East England and beyond as a form of geographical diffusion (Altendorf & Watt, 2004). Together with other variables showing similar sociolinguistic patterns, such as TH-fronting and /l/-vocalisation, it has been

identified as part of a set of ‘youth norms’ used by young people in many urban centres to index a trendy, youthful identity (Williams & Kerswill, 1999; Milroy, 2007; though see Watson, 2006 for an exception in Liverpool), which have elsewhere been referred to as ‘Estuary English’ (Rosewarne, 1984; Altendorf, 2017). In terms of perception, T-glottalling is described as highly salient and stigmatised, frequently attracting comments from lay speakers to the effect that it should be avoided (Wells, 1982; Bennett, 2012), to the extent that mainstream journalistic publications can identify and criticise its use by ‘educated’ speakers such as politicians (e.g. Littlejohn, 2011).

Despite the traditional view of T-glottalling as a low-prestige variable, recent research has uncovered a more nuanced understanding of social meanings of this feature. Fabricius (2000, 2002) shows that the stigma associated with glottal variants of /t/ may be diminishing, even among speakers of England’s most traditionally prestigious variety, Received Pronunciation (RP). Kirkham and Moore’s (2016) analysis of the speech of one high-profile RP speaker, the former UK Labour Party leader Ed Miliband, shows that he uses different rates of T-glottalling to evoke various social meanings, such as solidarity and friendliness, in speeches to two audiences with different political ideologies. This demonstrates that the social meanings of T-glottalling cannot be reduced to merely a vague notion of ‘stigma’. Rather, they may vary depending on the speaker, the audience and the nature of the interaction, potentially indexing a range of social characteristics.

The notion that phonetic variation can be used for indexical work is supported by research in the ‘third wave’ of sociolinguistics (Eckert, 2012), which argues that linguistic features are not necessarily used as a reflection of a speaker’s membership of a particular social group, but can be used to evoke social meanings and identities that are relevant and meaningful within a particular interaction. In this way, phonetic features can index a multitude of inter-related social meanings, forming an ‘indexical field’ of stances and personae that may be

activated in the minds of listeners depending on the (perceived) identity of the speaker, the hearer and other contextual factors (Eckert, 2008). This is important when considering the perceptions of T-glottalling, since it enables us to consider the social associations of this variable as diverse, context-dependent, and meaningful within particular interactions, rather than as a deterministic binary distinction between ‘stigma’ and ‘prestige’.

In this article, I report a case study of the social meanings of T-glottalling in South East England by presenting survey and conversation data from 16 adolescent listeners attending a private school in Hampshire. This community is ideal for addressing this issue because T-glottalling is a socially stratified sound change in the region (Altendorf & Watt, 2004), together with other variables that are collectively regarded as ‘youth norms’ (Williams & Kerswill, 1999) or ‘Estuary English’ (Altendorf, 2017). The change is increasing in usage and prestige in South East England, even among those from higher social class backgrounds such as private school students (Fabricius, 2000; Badia Barrera, 2015), which raises the question of how this is reflected in the perceptions of listeners from such backgrounds. In doing so, the study contributes to our understanding of sociolinguistic meaning by testing whether one linguistic variant can elicit different indexical meanings using a novel combination of survey and conversation techniques. It is hoped that this small-scale investigation may prove a useful point of departure for scholars interested in accessing listener perceptions of phonetic variables using multiple methods. It also offers an insight into the stylistic possibilities of T-glottalling (Coupland, 2007) as well as how adolescents from high socio-economic class backgrounds process and discuss sociolinguistic variation.

2. Methods

The present study is part of a broader project described in Alderton (2019). Perception data were elicited using the verbal-guise technique, which involves listeners responding to recordings of different speakers (e.g. Nesdale & Rooney, 1996; Bayard *et al.*, 2001), via both forced-choice and free-choice responses. The forced-choice data were obtained by having listeners rate four auditory stimuli according to various social dimensions via an attitude judgement survey. The free-choice data took the form of conversations in which participants discussed their impressions of the stimuli in small groups. The participants in the perception study were 16 native English-speaking adolescents (eight girls, eight boys) aged 16-18, who attended the sixth form of a private school in Hampshire.

The auditory stimuli were 30-second extracts of recordings of four teenagers from Hampshire reading aloud the short story ‘The Boy Who Cried Wolf’ (Deterding, 2006). This text was chosen as it contains all the phonemes of English, including many instances of /t/ in different phonological environments.¹ The four stimulus speakers were selected from a pool of 26 participants who were recorded at another school in the same town, as they displayed differing rates of various ‘youth norm’ (Williams & Kerswill, 1999; Milroy, 2007) or ‘Estuary English’ (Altendorf, 2017) features, which included T-glottalling. Two boys and two girls were selected, with one participant of each gender representing relatively high and relatively low rates of glottalling, in order to assess whether speaker gender played a role in mediating listener perceptions. This included the speakers with the highest (9/10 in the 30-second extract) and lowest (2/10) rates of T-glottalling out of the sample of 26. Tokens of /t/ in the stimuli were coded auditorily as either alveolar or glottal, with the latter category

¹ The phonological environment surrounding /t/ has a significant influence on the likelihood of it being glottalled and how it is perceived (Wells 1982; Fabricius 2000). The stimulus speakers who used the lowest rates of glottalling typically did so only before obstruents, while those with higher rates of glottalling used it in more ‘stigmatised’ environments, such as word-finally before a vowel and word-medially before a syllabic /l/. Word-medial pre-vocalic /t/ was not glottalled in any of the stimuli.

encompassing productions with different phonetic characteristics, but which are all regarded perceptually as types of T-glottalling (Straw & Patrick 2007; Smith & Holmes-Elliott 2018).

These include:

- Total glottal replacement (typically preceding vowels and syllabic /l/, e.g. *hot afternoon* [hɒʔ a:ft̪n̩: n], *little* [lɪʔl]).
- Creaky voice (typically preceding pauses and sonorant consonants, e.g. *short while* [ʃɔ: waɪ̰])
- Unreleased /t/ (typically preceding obstruents, e.g. *get some* [get̚ sʌm]).

The social characteristics included in the survey were informed by the results of a pilot study conducted in another school in the same town, through which I was able to identify the traits that were socially relevant to young people in the area and were associated with linguistic variation. While some of these social characteristics were specific to the school, echoing other studies of sociolinguistic variation in secondary schools (e.g. Eckert, 2000; Drager, 2015), many were generalisable within the context of adolescent life in the town and were locally relevant for teenagers at other schools in the same locality (see Alderton, 2019 for further examination of school-specific factors). The data analysed in this article are listeners' responses to two of the survey questions, which concern the speaker's personality traits and their stereotypical social group at school. Listeners heard each stimulus three times in a consistent order and were instructed to select as many traits as they wished from a list of 42 personality traits and five stereotypical social groups (*the arty group*, *the chavs*, *the geeks*, *the popular group* and *the sporty group*) by circling them on an answer sheet while listening to the stimuli.

Many studies of sociolinguistic perception use a similar method to that employed in the present study, the matched-guise technique (e.g. Giles, 1970; Campbell-Kibler, 2007),

whereby different ‘guises’ are produced by the same speaker. This has the advantage of eliminating the influence of characteristics of individual variation beyond those under investigation. However, matched-guise studies require either speakers who can accurately perform various guises, or digital manipulation of the stimuli to splice variants from one recording into another, which can produce unnatural-sounding stimuli (Garrett *et al.*, 2003). The verbal-guise technique used here avoids these problems, while the risk that listeners respond to properties of the stimuli beyond those under investigation is addressed by the free-choice part of the study, in which listeners discussed which specific phonetic features of the stimuli prompted their survey responses. In this way, listeners’ holistic perceptions of unmodified, ecologically valid recordings of speech could be investigated, while their reactions to T-glottalling specifically could be accessed via the free-choice conversation task.

3. Survey results

Figure 1 shows the responses attributed to each of the four stimuli in the form of word clouds, where words in larger text represent answers selected more frequently. All names are pseudonyms.

slightly unexpected given the results for the other speakers and the literature on T-glottalling. The conversation data, however (see Section 4), suggest that the reason for these evaluations is the presence of some disfluency features (e.g. stuttering and slow pace) in his speech. This points to the main limitation of the verbal-guise technique referred to in Section 2: that using non-manipulated stimuli from different speakers may cause listeners to focus on aspects of speech that are not of theoretical interest or that may inhibit them from behaving in an expected direction. Yet the alternatives, which involve presenting listeners with digitally manipulated stimuli (e.g. Campbell-Kibler, 2007) or several recordings from the same speaker (e.g. Giles, 1970), also have disadvantages such as the risks of producing unnatural-sounding stimuli or listeners paying no attention (or too much) to very small differences between otherwise-identical recordings.

Closer observation of the other frequently selected traits for Luke reveals some more expected results based on a high rate of T-glottalling: ‘uneducated’, ‘masculine’, ‘sporty’, ‘chavvy’ and ‘laddish’.² These suggest that frequent T-glottalling in his speech may be linked to a lack of education and loutishness in a similar way to Amy, but that these indexical links may be partially blocked or are weaker than the ‘shy’ and ‘boring’ characteristics prompted by his disfluency. This reflects previous research suggesting that the indexical meanings of linguistic features may in certain contexts be blocked in perception by the presence of other social and linguistic variables (Campbell-Kibler, 2009; Levon, 2014; Pharao *et al.*, 2014).

Comparing the gender of the speakers also yields interesting findings. While Ellie is attributed with characteristics such as ‘popular’, ‘confident’, ‘feminine’ and ‘attractive’, Chris’s most frequent traits include ‘geeks / geeky’ and ‘weird’. This indicates that high rates of alveolar /t/ production may index positive traits for girls – mainstream popularity and

² A *lad* here refers to the stereotype of a young man who engages in typically masculine activities such as sport, binge drinking and casual sex (OED Online, 2018b).

aesthetic appeal – but that this works conversely for boys, making them sound too intellectual or unconventional for mainstream acceptance. This is similar to work which has found strongly released alveolar /t/ to index a ‘nerd’ persona among teenagers in California (Bucholtz, 2010). Similarly, Amy’s speech is more strongly associated with negative characteristics such as the ‘chav’ persona and the ‘uneducated’ and ‘annoying’ traits compared to Luke’s, even both speakers use relatively high rates of T-glottalling. This may echo established societal gender norms surrounding appropriate behaviour for boys and girls, here indexed through /t/ realisation. Alternatively, it may reflect the sociolinguistic distribution of T-glottalling in speech production, as research suggests that men tend to use glottal stops more frequently than women (Kerswill, 2003).

Gender-based patterns of sociolinguistic variation and meaning have been shown to be particularly profound at secondary school, in which students are negotiating their identity within the ‘heterosexual market’ as a key element of the school social order through their gendered behaviour (Eckert, 2000). These gender differences imply that the social meanings of variants of /t/ may differ depending on the social characteristics (e.g. gender) of the speaker, rather than forming a static, universally applicable association between form and indexical meaning (Eckert, 2008; Levon, 2014; Phrao *et al.*, 2014; Schleef, 2017). Caution should be applied when interpreting results based on the perception of only four speakers, however, especially when listeners could be responding to a wider range of characteristics that may vary between the speakers.

4. Conversation results

A limitation of the findings in the previous section is that listeners’ survey responses may not be based solely on the variation in T-glottalling. For instance, they could be a reaction to

other phonetic, prosodic or voice-quality features, or a combination of these. The conversation data help address this issue by enabling participants to state which specific characteristics of the stimuli contributed to their impressions. The task of commenting on linguistic phenomena is challenging for many non-linguists because they may not have the knowledge or meta-linguistic discourse needed to articulate the subtle differences in pronunciation that they hear (Kristiansen, 2011). As a result, it is unreasonable to expect to find direct and unequivocal comments from participants on the socio-indexical properties of phonetic features, so it is necessary to infer these perceptions from more general discussion of language use. It becomes clear from the conversations, however, that T-glottalling is a highly salient variable for the participants, which colours their impressions of the stimuli to a substantial degree, and which elicits strong reactions based on its social associations. Transcript 1 is typical of the discussions, demonstrating the importance of T-glottalling to listener perceptions. For the sake of brevity and clarity, some fillers, disfluencies and irrelevant utterances are removed from the transcripts in this article.

Transcript 1

- ROY What made you think [Amy's] voice was annoying?
- VANESSA She didn't pronounce her Ts at all. I'm not saying that's annoying, it's just... that doesn't annoy me, it's just...
- JAKE You pick up on it, don't you?
- VANESSA Yeah.
- JAKE There was one word in particular... I can't remember it but as soon as I heard it, it sort of made me think...
- VANESSA I don't find that annoying, it's just I think it says something... maybe more about *her*.
- ROY What does it say about her?

VANESSA Oh no, I'm digging myself a hole! I don't know. She sounded quite uneducated, which isn't annoying but that, kind of coupled with how loud she was, just kind of made me feel how in a lesson she'd be really not focused and kind of the one that's chatting at the back with all her friends and that.

The participants here are discussing Amy, the speaker who uses the most glottal stops, and have decided that she sounds annoying. When asked what prompted this evaluation, Vanessa immediately responds with 'she didn't pronounce her Ts at all'. She then backtracks somewhat before clarifying that this realisation of /t/ makes her think of Amy as a loud, uneducated and disruptive student. The salience of T-glottalling in listener perceptions as one of the major contributors towards participants' impressions is also shown in Jake's comment that 'you pick up on it, don't you?' and that his thoughts were piqued as soon as he heard a particular word (later on, this is identified to be *little*, which Amy pronounces with a glottal stop). The association between Amy's T-glottalling and her supposed lack of education and disruptive behaviour reflects the 'uneducated' and 'annoying' responses from the survey. These traits are linked to the 'chav' and 'lad' personae, which is demonstrated in Transcript 2, featuring the same participants discussing Luke's speech.

Extract 2

VANESSA He seemed really nervous. I feel like if he was talking normally, not under, like, that pressure, he wouldn't speak like that. He'd be a lot more kind of outgoing and not stuttery.

JAKE He sounded quite masculine as well and I think, like, the same as Vanessa, that if he wasn't in that environment, quite laddish.

CATH Yeah, I agree, but at first I thought he was nervous, but then I just feel... I don't mean to be mean but he'd be, like, one of the people... like... chavs.

ROY Why did you think he sounded like one of the chavs?

CATH I dunno, he was a bit, like... he wasn't... very articulate. [laughs] I sound so posh.
[laughs]

This discussion shows that some of the survey responses for Luke concerning shyness are a result of disfluency features such as stuttering. Moreover, it makes clear that these listeners are able to account for this while attributing additional social factors to the stimulus, such as the 'lad' and 'chav' personae, in response to the speaker being 'not very articulate', which is defined elsewhere by these participants as including T-glottalling.

So far, we have seen that some listeners in this study are highly sensitive to the realisation of /t/ in the stimuli and are able to perceive and, to a certain degree, articulate the social meanings that they associate with glottal variants of /t/. Their comments indicate that glottal production of /t/ indexes disengagement from education and being a 'chav' or a 'lad'. This reflects public discourse on T-glottalling that identifies it as inappropriate for educated speech and as negatively associated with working-class people (Littlejohn 2011; Bennett 2012), reproducing traditional class-related anxieties in British culture which are often manifested through attitudes to language (Milroy 2001). The participants' responses so far thus suggest a somewhat deterministic relationship between phonetic and social information – that speakers' pronunciation reflects their background, upbringing or group membership. As discussed in Section 1, however, research has argued that linguistic variables may index various social meanings that may only be relevant or accessible by certain speakers or within particular contexts (Eckert, 2008). Studies have found that the complex, contextually-bound nature of social meaning in speech production can be accounted for by listeners in speech perception experiments (Campbell-Kibler, 2009; Levon, 2014; Phrao *et al.*, 2014), so the question is whether these perceptions can be manifested in listeners' metalinguistic commentary here.

In the conversation data, there is some evidence that listeners may be aware of how T-glottalling can be used to index social meanings beyond working-class stereotypes and that it can be used stylistically for identity construction. This is shown in Transcript 3, in which two boys are talking about a mutual friend who is from a highly privileged background, but who often uses ‘chavvy’ speech.

Transcript 3

- JIM But Theo is hugely different because he’s such an extraordinary person. He’s so... upper-class but his dialect is so...
- LEE Chavvy.
- JIM ... Not upper-class, so yeah.
- LEE He’s a contradiction... there are quite a few people like that, though, who I think are very upper-class – they have basically everything you could want – and yet are still quite... I don’t wanna say working-class, but less educated.
- JIM But I’ve known Theo for about eight years and I’ve seen the transition between him talking like me and him sort of over the last, like, three or four years changing into this. I dunno, I don’t really understand why but yeah, I see what you’re saying.
- ROY OK, so do you think those people put it on a little bit?
- LEE Yes, most certainly. I wouldn’t say they’d go home and talk like that.
- ROY OK, so this ‘upper-class group’ who ‘put on chavviness’ if you like, they put on the T-dropping and H-dropping and TH [pronounced as F]?
- LEE Yeah. They do it to act cool. I dunno why.

In this conversation, Jim and Lee identify a fellow student from their school whose pronunciation sounds ‘chavvy’, ‘less educated’ and ‘working-class’, despite ‘hav[ing] basically everything you could want’. This ‘chavvy’ pronunciation was earlier identified as encompassing T-glottalling, H-dropping and TH-fronting, which I clarify with the

participants at the end of the transcript. The boys acknowledge that their friend's language use is a recent development that he only does at school in order to 'act cool'. The student's use of 'chavvy' speech to evoke 'coolness' is not tied to his social class background – in fact, in this regard it is a 'contradiction', as he is 'upper-class'. Rather, T-glottalling has a specific indexical meaning within a particular context (i.e. in their school). It is not entirely clear whether the participants are claiming that the speaker consciously adopts this style as a type of performance or if he supposedly does it sub-consciously in everyday conversation, but in either case, it shows that they perceive T-glottalling to be part of a style, together with other 'youth norm' (Williams & Kerswill, 1999; Milroy, 2007) or 'Estuary English' (Rosewarne, 1984; Altendorf, 2017) features such as TH-fronting, that can be used to construct a 'cool' identity within the institution of the school, and not simply as a marker of a speaker's background.

5. Conclusion

The results suggest that, at a surface level, T-glottalling is perceived to be a feature of a stigmatised 'chavvy' style, reflecting previous research (Bennett, 2012). However, the social meanings associated with glottal /t/ appear to vary according to the social and linguistic characteristics of the speaker, particularly their gender. This supports the idea that T-glottalling, as with other linguistic variables, can be used to index multiple social meanings. The conversation results also show that T-glottalling is salient in listener perceptions and can be recognised by listeners as a resource for identity construction and style formation. This may be particularly noticeable in the context of a private school in South East England, where the use of T-glottalling can evoke a 'cool' stance based on its associations with working-class stereotypes that may be regarded as incongruous when produced by young people from high

socio-economic class backgrounds. The social meanings of T-glottalling reported in this case study are not intended to be claimed as generalisable to all adolescents in the South East, but they can act as a demonstration of what is ‘stylistically possible’ (Coupland, 2007: 28). Further work in sociolinguistic perception would benefit from combining indirect experimental methods with more direct methods, such as the survey and interviews reported here, to deepen our understanding of how people process sociolinguistic variation.

References

- Alderton, R. 2019. 'Salience and social meaning in speech production and perception.' PhD thesis. Lancaster, UK: Lancaster University.
- Altendorf, U. & Watt, D. 2004. 'The dialects in the south of England: Phonology.' In B. Kortmann & E. W. Schneider (eds.), *A Handbook of Varieties of English*, Volume 1: Phonology. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, pp. 178-203.
- Altendorf, U. 2017. 'Estuary English.' In A. Bergs & L. Brinton (eds.), *The History of English*, Volume 5: Varieties of English. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, pp. 169-186.
- Badia Barrera, B. 2015. 'A sociolinguistic study of T-glottalling in young RP: Accent, class and education.' PhD thesis. Colchester, UK: University of Essex.
- Bayard, D., Weatherall, A., Gallois, C. & Pittam, J. 2001. 'Pax Americana? Accent attitudinal evaluations in New Zealand, Australia and America.' *Journal of Sociolinguistics* 5(1), 22-49.
- Bennett, J. 2012. "'And what comes out may be a kind of screeching": The stylisation of *chav*speak in contemporary Britain.' *Journal of Sociolinguistics* 16(1), 5-27.
- Bucholtz, M. 2010. *White Kids: Language, Race, and Styles of Youth Identity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Campbell-Kibler, K. 2007. 'Accent, (ING), and the social logic of listener perceptions.' *American Speech* 82(1), 32-64.
- Campbell-Kibler, K. 2009. 'The nature of sociolinguistic perception.' *Language Variation and Change* 21(1), 135-156.

- Coupland, N. 2007. *Style: Language Variation and Identity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Deterding, D. 2006. 'The North Wind versus a Wolf: Short texts for the description and measurement of English pronunciation.' *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* 36(2), 187-196.
- Drager, K. 2015. *Linguistic Variation, Identity Construction and Cognition*. Berlin: Language Science Press.
- Eckert, P. 2000. *Linguistic Variation as Social Practice: The Linguistic Construction of Identity in Belten High*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Eckert, P. 2008. 'Variation and the indexical field.' *Journal of Sociolinguistics* 12(4), 453-476.
- Eckert, P. 2012. 'Three waves of variation study: The emergence of meaning in the study of sociolinguistic variation.' *Annual Review of Anthropology* 41, 87-100.
- Fabricius, A. 2000. 'T-glottalling between stigma and prestige: A sociolinguistic study of modern RP.' PhD thesis. Copenhagen, Denmark: Copenhagen Business School.
- Fabricius, A. 2002. 'Ongoing change in modern RP: Evidence for the disappearing stigma of t-glottalling.' *English World-Wide* 23(1), 115-136.
- Garrett, P., Coupland, N. & Williams, A. 2003. *Investigating Language Attitudes: Social Meanings of Dialect, Ethnicity and Performance*. Cardiff: University of Wales Press.
- Giles, H. 1970. 'Evaluative reactions to accents.' *Educational Review* 22(3), 211-227.

- Kerswill, P. 2003. 'Dialect levelling and geographical diffusion in British English.' In D. Britain & J. Cheshire (eds.), *Social Dialectology: In Honour of Peter Trudgill*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, pp. 223-243.
- Kirkham, S. & Moore, E. 2016. 'Constructing social meaning in political discourse: Phonetic variation and verb processes in Ed Miliband's speeches.' *Language in Society* 45(1), 87-111.
- Kristiansen, T. 2011. 'Attitudes, ideology and awareness.' In R. Wodak, B. Johnstone, & P. Kerswill (eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Sociolinguistics*. London: SAGE, pp. 265-278.
- Levon, E. 2014. 'Categories, stereotypes, and the linguistic perception of sexuality.' *Language in Society* 43(5), 539-566.
- Littlejohn, R. 2011. 'If Dave wants Wyatt Earp as Met chief, it's his call.' *Daily Mail*, August 15. Retrieved from <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/debate/article-2026382/If-David-Cameron-wants-Wyatt-Earp-Met-chief-call.html>
- Macafee, C. 1997. 'Ongoing change in modern Scots: The social dimension.' In C. Jones (ed.), *The Edinburgh History of the Scots Language*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, pp. 514-548.
- Milroy, L. 2001. 'The social categories of race and class: Language ideology and sociolinguistics.' In N. Coupland, S. Sarangi, & C. N. Candlin (eds.), *Sociolinguistics and Social Theory*. London: Routledge, pp. 235-260.

- Milroy, L. 2007. 'Off the shelf or under the counter? On the social dynamics of sound changes.' In C. M. Cain & G. Russom (eds.), *Managing Chaos: Strategies for Identifying Change in English*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, pp. 149-172.
- Nesdale, D. & Rooney, R. 1996. 'Evaluations and stereotyping of accented speakers by pre-adolescent children.' *Journal of Language and Social Psychology* 15(2), 133-154.
- Oxford English Dictionary Online. 2018a. 'chav, n.' Online at <http://www.oed.com/view/Entry/264253> (Accessed 4 December 2018.).
- Oxford English Dictionary Online. 2018b. 'lad, n.1.' Online at <http://www.oed.com/view/Entry/104972> (Accessed 4 December 2018.).
- Pharao, N., Maegaard, M., Møller, J. S. & Kristiansen, T. 2014. 'Indexical meanings of [s+] among Copenhagen youth: Social perception of a phonetic variant in different prosodic contexts.' *Language in Society* 43(1), 1-31.
- Rosewarne, D. 1984. 'Estuary English.' *Times Educational Supplement*, 19 October.
- Schleef, E. 2017. 'Social meanings across listener groups: When do social factors matter?' *Journal of English Linguistics* 45(1), 28-59.
- Smith, J. & Holmes-Elliott, S. 2018. 'The unstoppable glottal: Tracking rapid change in an iconic British variable.' *English Language and Linguistics* 22(3), 323-355.
- Straw, M. & Patrick, P. L. 2007. 'Dialect acquisition of glottal variation in /t/: Barbadians in Ipswich.' *Language Sciences* 29(2), 385-407.

- Trudgill, P. 1999. 'Norwich: Endogenous and exogenous linguistic change.' In P. Foulkes & G. J. Docherty (eds.), *Urban Voices: Accent Studies in the British Isles*. London: Arnold, pp. 124-140.
- Watson, K. 2006. 'Phonological resistance and innovation in the North-West of England.' *English Today* 22(2), 55-61.
- Wells, J. C. 1982. *Accents of English*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Williams, A. & Kerswill, P. 1999. 'Dialect levelling: Change and continuity in Milton Keynes, Reading and Hull.' In P. Foulkes & G. J. Docherty (eds.), *Urban Voices: Accent Studies in the British Isles*. London: Arnold, pp. 141-162.