



# City Research Online

## City St George's, University of London

**Citation:** Sweeney, A., Perot, C., Callard, F., Adenden, V., Mantovani, N. & Goldsmith, L. (2019). Out of the silence: towards grassroots and trauma-informed support for people who have experienced sexual violence and abuse. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 28(6), pp. 598-602. doi: 10.1017/s2045796019000131

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version. To cite this item please consult the publisher's version.

**Permanent repository link:** <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/31259/>

**Link to published version:** <https://doi.org/10.1017/s2045796019000131>

**Copyright and Reuse:** Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, unless otherwise indicated, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. For full details of reuse please refer to [City Research Online policy](#).

**Long title:** Out of the silence: towards grassroots and trauma-informed support for people who have experienced sexual violence

**Short title:** Out of the silence

**Authors:** A Sweeney<sup>1</sup>, C Perôt<sup>2</sup>, F Callard<sup>3</sup>, V Adenden<sup>4</sup>, N Mantovani<sup>1</sup> and L Goldsmith<sup>1</sup>

1: Population and Health Research Institute, St Georges, University of London, Cranmer Terrace, London, UK.

2: Independent Researcher, Trainer and Hon. Research Associate with University of Bristol and King's College London, UK.

3: Department of Psychosocial Studies, Birkbeck University, London, UK.

4: Focus-4-1, Merton, London, UK.

**Word count:** 2995

**Corresponding author:** Angela Sweeney, Population and Health Research Institute, St Georges, University of London, Cranmer Terrace, London, UK, [asweeney@sgul.ac.uk](mailto:asweeney@sgul.ac.uk).

# **Out of the silence: towards grassroots and trauma-informed support for people who have experienced sexual violence**

## **Introduction**

trauma matters. It shapes us. It happens all around us. It destroys some of us, and it is overcome by many of us. To ignore it is to ignore who we are in all our complexity (Filson, 2016).

Inter-personal trauma has at its core the abuse of power (see Lovett et al. 2018). This paper focuses on power abuses that manifest as sexual violence, understanding that many survivors have experienced multiple forms of abuse over long periods meaning that their experiences often do not map neatly onto the distinct categories used in research and practice (Perôt & Chevoux, 2018).

Estimates of the prevalence of childhood sexual abuse range from one in 20 to as high as one in four, with girls disproportionately affected (Felitti et al. 1998; Radford et al. 2011). Globally, it is estimated that 35% of women have experienced sexual or intimate partner violence, and that women are more likely to experience repeated and severe violence compared to men (Oram et al. 2017). Experiencing sexual violence is linked to significant mental distress (Khadr et al. 2018), with childhood sexual abuse (CSA) in particular linked to psychosis (Bebbington et al. 2011).

Unsurprisingly, current and previous rates of sexual violence amongst people in contact with psychiatric services are high (e.g. Grubaugh et al. 2011; Mauritz et al. 2013; Khalifeh et al. 2015).

Given that sexual violence is so commonplace and so impactful, what is psychiatry's response? In this paper, we will consider psychiatric responses to sexual violence, arguing that these typically constitute iatrogenic harm. We will then describe community-based and grassroots responses before briefly considering trauma-informed approaches. Whilst this paper has a UK focus, some aspects will resonate globally, particularly given that Western psychiatry is increasingly being exported around the globe (Mills, 2015, cited in Russo, 2018).

## **Mainstreaming silence: psychiatric services and sexual violence**

The idea that people, predominantly girls and women, are too mad, too bad and too sad to be believed has been used to silence people since time immemorial (Watts, 2018).

Silence typically characterises the experience of sexual violence, particularly in childhood. It is a silence that is demanded and coerced by perpetrators, and sanctioned by families, communities and society. Sexual violence survivors have long described the way in which psychiatry can reinforce this silence, causing further harm to an already shattered self (e.g. Smith et al. 2015; Bond et al. 2018). Collectively, we may recognise the silencing of the past, of the wives and daughters placed in Victorian asylums. But we deny the silencing of the present, for psychiatry is different now, not like the representations of *One Flew Over the Cuckoo's Nest* but benign, expert, well-meaning. We may concede that psychiatry silenced sexual violence survivors in the 1960s, maybe even the 1970s, but surely not now.

The experiential knowledge of survivors, shared in conversations, blogs, book chapters and beyond reveals a different story.

I broke down completely. My internal distress was mirrored by my external reality. I was in a seemingly endless nightmare and I was awake ... It didn't take long for the label of 'paranoid schizophrenic' to be pronounced over my dead spirit. I was tendered a large dose of Largactil ... to appease my reality (Richie B, 1996 pp 12).

My first contact with mental health services was at the age of 12 or 13. Although I didn't have the words to explain what had happened to me, after years of silence, and of feeling that I didn't have a voice, my story was pouring out. I was closed down with medication and changes of topic. I still do not have the words to tell my story. Twenty years earlier, my mum's trauma had led her to sectioning, ECT and a lifetime of contact with mental health services. I believe that our lives would have unfolded very differently if ... staff had been supported to understand and engage with our pain - if we were encouraged to tell our stories instead of being shut down with looks, words, drugs and ECT (Sweeney, 2016).

It is only in recent years that mainstream mental health research has established the role of gender-based violence in the development of significant mental distress (e.g. Bebbington et al. 2011; Kahdr et al. 2018); this partially explains the paucity of research eliciting survivors' views of support needs and services. Sexual violence survivors may justifiably expect that psychiatric services have a long-held fundamental understanding of trauma and sexual violence, how it impacts on people, and survivors' subsequent support needs. It often comes as a shock when survivors attempt to access help and realise that not only is there very little well-funded support available, but that the response

of psychiatry can be actively harmful (Smith et al. 2015; Bond et al. 2018). This can leave desperate people with a choice between harmful help, or no help (Jensen, 2004, quoted in Russo, 2018 p. 10). Further, asking for help with nothing changing can replicate damaging early experiences (e.g. see Bond et al. 2015).

What do we mean when we describe psychiatric help as harmful? It is not uncommon, even in the #MeToo era, for sexual violence survivors to be disbelieved by psychiatric services, to be explicitly told 'You were not abused, you have a mental illness' (see also Hughes et al. this issue). Russo writes, "psychiatry is one of the best preventions of truth ... becoming a mental patient was a good solution for everyone, except for me" (2002). Research has found that mental health staff often fail to validate disclosures because service users have psychiatric diagnoses (Mantovani & Allen, 2017), and frequently use 'alleged' when recording disclosures in medical notes (Trevillion et al. 2014), a subtle form of silencing. Alongside inflicting immense psychological damage, denying sexual violence jeopardises criminal proceedings and risks keeping people in abusive situations.

Connected to this, the power and authority of biomedical psychiatric interpretations of mental distress can delegitimise people's own knowledge:

I knew that what I was experiencing made sense, given what had taken place in my life. Even then I understood my reactions as sane responses to an insane world. I was told, 'Whatever else might be going on with you is not relevant – it's your mental illness that matters'. This drove me into a frenzy, for now help was just another perpetrator saying, 'You liked it, you know you did; that wasn't so bad; it's for your own good.' I was diagnosed and described as 'lacking insight' – ensuring that I would never be able to legitimately represent my self or my own experiences (Filson, 2016 pp. 21).

Whilst experiences of diagnosis are idiosyncratic, receiving a diagnosis can operate as a powerful signifier that it is you who are the problem, not your experiences, reinforcing silence. The label 'personality disorder', for instance, locates the problem within the individual and de-legitimises the search for meaning in one's responses to, and interaction with, the social world (Coles, 2013). Watson has observed, "What messages is society giving to abusers when victims are given disorders as the explanation for distress?" (2018). Similarly, Shaw and Proctor comment:

I cannot understand how the vast majority of perpetrators of sexual violence walk free in society; whilst people who struggle to survive its after effects are told they have disordered personalities (cited in Coles, 2013).

Prominent psychiatrist and trauma researcher Judith Herman argues that help is always harmful when it mimics the original trauma by taking power away from the survivor (1997). Everyday experiences of using psychiatric services can re-traumatise survivors and actively prevent healing. Consider the use of restraint and forcible injection, which can physically re-enact rape and sexual assault. Agenda has found that one in five women and girls admitted to UK psychiatric units experience physical restraint, including repeated and face down, and that women and girls are more likely to be restrained than men and boys (2017).

It was horrific... I had some bad experiences of being restrained face down with my face pushed into a pillow. I can't begin to describe how scary it was, not being able to signal, communicate, breathe or speak. Anything you do to try to communicate, they put more pressure on you. The more you try to signal, the worse it is (MIND, 2013).

The damage inflicted by 'power over' responses to distress also takes subtler forms, including overt and insidious pressures to accept prescriptions, treatments and diagnoses that may conflict with people's own beliefs and needs. This replication of invalidation, coercion and force can be hugely damaging, not only to survivors but also to staff, particularly those who are themselves survivors: research suggests that there are significant trauma rates amongst health and social care staff (e.g. Bracken et al. 2010; Esaki & Larkin, 2013; McLindon et al. 2018). This is because the organisational expectation that staff will use 'power over' relational approaches can erode staff compassion (Sweeney et al. 2016), with pessimism - rather than hope – protecting staff from feelings of helplessness (Chambers et al. 2014). Biomedical dominance, insufficient support and training in sexual violence and trauma-informed approaches, and inconsistent access to structured, reflective supervision can act as further barriers to compassionate responses to sexual violence survivors (see Sweeney et al. 2018). Bond and colleagues interviewed 28 CSA survivors, and found:

All the survivors who spoke to us described numerous encounters with support services that demonstrated an innate lack of empathetic understanding, professional knowledge and expertise in how best to provide appropriate support for adult survivors of CSA (2018).

### **Sexual violence within psychiatric settings**

Perpetrators of sexual violence are able to operate in institutions where organisational cultures reinforce silence; where there is a “conducive context” (Lovett et al. 2018). Following a recent investigation into the aid sector, the parliamentary International Development Committee concluded:

the delivery of aid, whilst providing lifesaving resources to people and communities in crisis, can also be subverted by sexual predators into a channel through which they can magnify their power and use possession of those resources to exploit and abuse some of the most vulnerable people in the world. We must not turn away from the horror of it. We have a duty to confront it. (2018 pp. 75).

Psychiatric service users are also vulnerable to institutional sexual exploitation and violence, not least because psychiatric labels can undermine claims to truth and rationality (Roper, 2016; Rose, 2017). The few studies that have been conducted into sexual violence on inpatient wards are deeply troubling. A survey of 50 women in Australia found that 45% had been sexually assaulted whilst an inpatient, and of the 61% who reported this to staff, the overwhelming majority (85%) found the response unhelpful (VMIAC, 2013). One participant commented “If the assailant is not a patient but a nurse or doctor who can you trust or turn to for help?”. A recent investigation by England’s Care Quality Commission found that on inpatient psychiatric wards over a 3 month period in 2017, there were reports of 29 rapes, 273 sexual assaults and 184 acts of sexual harassment, the majority against service users (2018). The report also concluded that recording rates may be low, partially due to staff desensitisation through the regularity of incidents (see Hughes et al, this issue, for further discussion). Police recording of sexual assaults on psychiatric inpatient wards has also been found to be inadequate (Foley & Cummins, 2018). Whilst the UK has a policy of gender segregation on inpatient psychiatric wards, this cannot protect people from sexually violent staff or perpetrators of the same gender.

### **Out of the silence: grassroots and community-based responses**

The UK has few statutory services for sexual violence survivors (Coy et al. 2009; Allnock et al. 2012; Kennedy et al. 2015; Hawkins & Taylor, 2015), with National Health Service trauma clinics often excluding experiences of childhood abuse (Perôt, 2018). This, coupled with experiences of statutory iatrogenic harm, means that many survivors are seen in community-based specialist sexual violence services (Bond et al. 2018). Despite survivors’ generally reporting positive experiences of these

services (Bond et al. 2018), they are often underfunded, resulting in long waiting lists, session fees and time-limited therapy (Smith et al. 2015). It is also uncommon for the sector's specialist expertise to impact on psychiatric services: indeed, there are concerns that the direction of influence runs the other way, with a psychiatric 'creep' into anti-violence services, such as the increasing tendency to establish separate services for the 'mentally ill' (Rubinsztajn, 2016).

Survivors who have experienced pathologisation and iatrogenic harm often move away from helper-helpee roles, connecting instead through mutual peer support (e.g. Filson & Mead, 2016). Some survivors have established organisations fostering peer support, self-help, activism, campaigning and education, often simultaneously. In the UK, these include Survivors' Voices (co-established/led by CP, [www.survivorsvoices.org](http://www.survivorsvoices.org)), Butterfly (established by VA, <http://focus-4-1.co.uk/projects-services/>) and The Survivors' Collective ([www.survivorscollective.co.uk](http://www.survivorscollective.co.uk)). There are also important transnational sources of influence and inspiration. Endeavours like these are often run with little or no funding, reliant instead on the passion and commitment of key members.

In reflecting on a Berlin-based survivor-led sexual violence project, Rubinsztajn observes:

how powerful it can be when people realise that *everybody* else in the project is a survivor ... It's then, maybe for the first time, that the person is consciously in a space with other survivors and can physically grasp that they're not alone in their experience ... you find yourself among likeable, tough and funny people who have gone through similar things and decided not to bear those experiences and consequences on their own anymore, not to stay silent anymore (emphasis added, pp. 128).

Carr writes of, "the persistent argument from service users, survivors, their organisations and communities ... that we must have independent organisations, arenas and power bases from which to think and do for ourselves" (2018). Rubinsztajn also voices concerns that survivor-led sexual violence projects can come to mimic the mainstream services they critique; she describes the lack of a single 'we' in survivors' needs and perspectives, with some experiences highlighted and others unspoken, such as of racism. Adopting an intersectional approach is a shared core standard for a number of women's anti-violence services, benchmarking good practice (Imkaan et al. 2016).

## **The hope of trauma-informed approaches**

The behaviours and thoughts that experts in some cultures label psychotic or schizophrenic are usually understandable reactions to our life events and circumstances. So rather than ask, “What is wrong with you?” and “What shall we call it?” It is more sensible, and useful, to ask, “What happened to you?” and “What do you need?” (Read, 2018).

Trauma-informed approaches may be a way of enabling all services – community and statutory alike - to come closer to meeting survivors’ needs, as well as improving staff experiences (e.g. see Sweeney et al. 2018). Trauma-informed approaches are an organisational change process that can be described as:

a strengths-based framework that is grounded in an understanding of, and responsiveness to, the impact of trauma, that emphasises physical, psychological and emotional safety for both providers and survivors, and that creates opportunities for survivors to rebuild a sense of control and empowerment (Hopper et al. 2005).

The aim then, is to improve experiences, relationships and environments for staff and service users. Whilst concepts like ‘strengths-based’ and ‘empowerment’ can seem almost meaningless because of their overuse, they are hugely significant in this context, intended to reverse the ‘power over’ abuses that are at the heart of sexual violence and iatrogenic harm (see Butler et al. 2011; Sweeney et al. 2016).

Fundamental to trauma-informed approaches is ‘seeing through a trauma lens’ – that is, understanding the connections between experiences and coping strategies - and preventing (re)traumatisation. Although further principles have been fairly well described (see, for example, Elliot et al. 2005; Butler et al. 2011; SAMSHA, 2014; Filson, 2016; Sweeney et al. 2016), the approach is relatively complex and consequently, commonly misunderstood (Sweeney & Taggart, 2018). This increases the risk that where implemented, it could be diluted to the point of worthlessness (Sweeney & Taggart, 2018). Change is required at a systemic level, including staff support, training and reflective supervision (Bloom, 2016). Alongside commissioning meaningful trauma-informed services (Bush, 2017), commissioners should develop and strengthen peer-led organisations, reinforcing local capacity to engage in service provision, peer support and campaigning (e.g. Bott et al. 2010).

Despite widespread interest in, and early implementation of, trauma-informed approaches, there is a lack of underpinning empirical research (see Chrutie, 2018). And just as there are vast differences between grassroots and mainstream psychiatric services, so too there are vast differences between survivor-led and mainstream research approaches (e.g. see Russo, 2012). Research led by and co-produced with survivors is needed to understand whether and how services meet survivors' needs, and to investigate the potential - and potential failings - of trauma-informed approaches. This research should itself be trauma-informed (Shimmin et al. 2017) and occur within an epistemic injustice framework (understanding discrimination and exclusion in knowledge generation and against knowers) (Fricker, 2007), with an understanding of how participation affects survivor researchers, particularly when working in partnerships (Roper, 2016). The Charter for Organisations Engaging Survivors in Projects, Research & Service Development, currently being piloted, aims to provide a quality mark for safe, meaningful and effective survivor involvement in research (Perôt & Chevous, 2018).

### **Closing thoughts**

Survivors of sexual violence can feel let down over and over again – that the abuse was able to continue for so long; that perpetrators are able to continue abusing, including within psychiatric services; that the criminal justice system is stacked in perpetrators' favour (as evidenced by low conviction rates, Walby & Allen, 2004); and that the psychiatric system, which should understand and support survivors, is more often harmful and pathologising of individual responses, rather than recognising people's strengths and remarkable ability to adapt and survive. It is through validation (the act of believing) that a climate of support and recognition for victims and survivors of sexual violence is created. Our core belief, and one that is worth repeating, is that the expertise about what we need to heal lies with us.

### **Acknowledgements**

Angela Sweeney would like to thank Dr Steve Gillard for helpful feedback on and discussions about an earlier draft.

### **Financial Support**

Angela Sweeney is funded by a National Institute for Health Research Post-Doctoral Fellowship (PDF-2013-06-045). This paper presents independent research partially funded by the National Institute

for Health Research (NIHR). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

### **Conflict of Interest**

None

### **References**

**Agenda briefing on the use of restraint against women and girls**, March 2017.

(<https://weareagenda.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/Restraint-FOI-research-briefing-FINAL1.pdf>). Accessed 23 October 2018.

**Allnock D, Radford L, Bunting L, Price, A, Morgan-Klein N, Ellis J, Stafford A** (2012). In Demand: Therapeutic Services for Children and Young People Who Have Experienced Sexual Abuse. *Child Abuse Review* 21(5), 318-34.

**Bebbington P, Jonas S, Kuipers E, King M** (2011). Childhood sexual abuse and psychosis: data from a cross-sectional national psychiatric survey in England. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 199, 29-37.

**Bloom S, Farragher B** (2010). *Destroying Sanctuary: The Crisis in Human Service Delivery Systems*. Oxford University Press: New York, NY.

**Bond E, Ellis F, McCusker J** (2018). *I'll be a survivor for the rest of my life. Adult survivors of child sexual abuse and their experience of support services*. Survivors in Transition and University Campus Suffolk: Suffolk.

**Bott S, Sweeney A, Watts R** (2010). *A Commissioner's Guide to Developing and Sustaining User-Led Organisations*. Social Care Institute for Excellence: London.

**Bracken M, Messing J, Campbell J, La Flair N, Kub J** (2010). Intimate partner violence and abuse among female nurses and nursing personnel: prevalence and risk factors. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing* 31(2), 137-148. DOI: [10.3109/01612840903470609](https://doi.org/10.3109/01612840903470609)

**Bush M** (2018). *Addressing adversity. Prioritising adversity and trauma-informed care for children and young people in England* (ed. M Bush). The YoungMinds Trust with Health Education England: London.

**Butler L, Critelli F, Rinfrette E** (2011). Trauma-informed care and mental health. *Directions in Psychiatry* 31, 197-210.

#### **Care Quality Commission Sexual Safety on Mental Health Wards**

([https://www.cqc.org.uk/sites/default/files/20180911c\\_sexualsafetymh\\_report.pdf](https://www.cqc.org.uk/sites/default/files/20180911c_sexualsafetymh_report.pdf)) Accessed 23 October 2018.

**Carr S** (2018). "Where I end and you begin". A personal commentary on Russo's 'Through the eyes of the observed' #PsychDrugDebate. The Mental Elf (<https://www.nationalelfservice.net/populations-and-settings/service-user-involvement/where-i-end-and-you-begin-a-personal-commentary-on-russos-through-the-eyes-of-the-observed-psychdrugdebate/>).

**Chambers M, Gallagher A, Borschmann R, Gillard S, Turner K, Kantaris X** (2014). The experiences of detained mental health service users: issues of dignity in care. *BMC Medical Ethics* 15(50), 1-8.

**Coles S** (2013) Borderline personality disorder: abandon the label, find the person. <https://blogs.canterbury.ac.uk/discursive/borderline-personality-disorder-abandon-the-label-find-the-person/> Accessed 23 October 2018.

**Coy M, Kelly L, Foord J** (2009). Map of gaps 2. The postcode lottery of Violence Against Women support services in Britain. End Violence Against Women in partnership with Equality and Human Rights Commission: London.

**Elliot D, Bjelajac P, Fallot R, Markoff L, Glover Reed B** (2005). Trauma-informed or trauma-denied: principles and implementation of trauma-informed services for women. *Journal of Community Psychology* 33, 461-77.

**Esaki N, Larkin H** (2013). Prevalence of adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) among child service providers. *Families in Society: The Journal of Contemporary Social Services* 94(1), 31-7.

**Felitti G, Anda R, Nordenberg D, Williamson D, Spitz A, Edwards V, Koss M, Marks J** (1998). Relationship of child abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults: the Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 14, 245-58.

**Filson B** (2016). The haunting can end: trauma-informed approaches in healing from abuse and adversity. ed. J Russo and A Sweeney, pp 20-24. PCCS Books: Monmouth.

**Filson B, Mead S** (2016). Becoming part of each other's narratives: Intentional Peer Support. ed. J Russo and A Sweeney, pp 109-117. PCCS Books: Monmouth.

**Foley M, Cummins I** (2018). Reporting sexual violence on mental health wards. *The Journal of Adult Protection* 20, 93-100.

**Fricker M** (2007). Epistemic injustice: power and the ethics of knowing. Oxford University Press: Oxford, New York.

**Grubaugh A, Zinzow H, Paul L, Egede L, Frueh B** (2011). Trauma exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder in adults with severe mental illness: A critical review. *Clinical Psychology Review* 31, 883-899. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2011.04.003

**Hawkins S, Taylor K** (2015). The Changing Landscape of Domestic and Sexual Violence Services. www.rapecrisis.org.uk: All-Party Parliamentary Group on Domestic and Sexual Violence Inquiry. Women's Aid: Bristol.

**Herman J** (1997). Trauma and recovery. Basic Books: New York.

**Hopper E, Bassuk E, Olivet J** (2010). Shelter from the Storm: Trauma-Informed Care in Homelessness Services Settings. *The Open Health Services and Policy Journal* 3, 80-100.

**House of Commons International Development Committee** Sexual exploitation and abuse in the aid sector Eighth Report of Session 2017–19. 23<sup>rd</sup> July 2018.

<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201719/cmselect/cmintdev/840/840.pdf>

**Imkaan, Rape Crisis England & Wales, Respect, SafeLives and Women's Aid** (2016). Sector Sustainability Shared Standards: shared values that apply across the VAWG sector. Women's Aid: Bristol.

**Khadr S, Clarke V, Wellings K, Villalta L, Goddard A, Welch J, Bewley S, Kramer T, Viner R** (2018). Mental and sexual health outcomes following sexual assault in adolescents: a prospective cohort study. *The Lancet Child and Adolescent Health* doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(18)30202-5

**Kennedy K, White C** (2015) What can GPs do for adult patients disclosing recent sexual violence? *British Journal of General Practice* 65(630), 42-4.

**Kesey K** (1962). One flew over the cuckoo's nest. The Viking Press: New York.

**Khalifeh H, Moran P, Borschmann R, Dean K, Hart C, Hogg J Osborn O, Johnson S, Howard L** (2015). Domestic and sexual violence against patients with severe mental illness. *Psychological Medicine* 45, 875-86.

**Lovett J, Coy M, Kelly L** (2018). Deflection, denial and disbelief: social and political discourses about child sexual abuse and their influence on institutional responses A rapid evidence assessment.

Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse. Child and Woman Abuse Studies Unit, London Metropolitan University: London.

**McLindon E, Humphreys C, Hegarty K** (2018). "It happens to clinicians too": an Australian prevalence study of intimate partner and family violence against health professionals. *BMC Women's Health* 18, 113.

**Mantovani N, Allen R** (2017). Improving responses to domestic violence in secondary mental health services in Wandsworth, South West London. A mixed methods study to assess the recorded incidents of domestic violence and abuse (DVA) in secondary mental health services and to explore stakeholders' views on strategies to address DVA. London: St. George's University of London: London. ISBN: 978-1-5272-0938-1.

**Mauritz M, Goossens P, Draijer N, van Achterberg T** (2013). Prevalence of interpersonal trauma exposure and trauma-related disorders in severe mental illness. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology* 4.

**MIND** (2013). Mental health crisis care: physical restraint in crisis A briefing for frontline staff working in mental health care. MIND: London.

**Oram S, Khalifeh H, Howard L** (2017). Violence against women and mental health. *Lancet Psychiatry* 4, 159–70.

**Perôt C** (2018) Conducting Research with Trauma Survivors. Lecture available by contacting author at [www.survivorsvoices.org](http://www.survivorsvoices.org).

**Perôt C, Chevous J** (2018). (<http://survivorsvoices.org/charter/>). Accessed 23 October 2018.

**Radford L, Corral S, Bradley C, Fisher H, Bassett C, Howat N** (2011). Child Abuse and Neglect in the UK Today. NSPCC: London.

**Read J** (2018). Making sense of, and responding sensibly to, psychosis. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology* <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022167818761918>.

**Richie B** (1996). Afriad to live and afraid to die. eds. J Read and J Reynolds, pp 9-15. Macmillan Press Ltd: Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire, London.

**Roper C** (2016). Is partnership a dirty word? eds. J Russo and A Sweeney, pp 201-209. PCCS Books: Monmouth.

**Rose D** (2017). Service user/survivor-led research in mental health: epistemological possibilities. *Disability and Society* 32, 773-789.

- Rubinsztajn Z** (2016). Sexual violence in childhood: demarketing treatment options and strengthening our own agency. ed. J Russo and A Sweeney, pp 127-133. PCCS Books: Monmouth.
- Russo J** (2018). Talking Point Papers 3. Through the eyes of the observed: re-directing research on psychiatric drugs. McPin Foundation: London. <http://mcpin.org/wp-content/uploads/talking-point-paper-3-final.pdf>. Accessed 23 October 2018.
- Russo J** (2012). Survivor-controlled research: a new foundation for thinking about psychiatry and mental health. *Forum: Qualitative Social Research* 13, Art 8.
- Russo J** (2002). Reclaiming madness. eds. J Read and J Reynolds, pp 36-39. Macmillan Press Ltd: Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire, London.
- SAMHSA** (2014). SAMHSA's working concept of trauma and framework for a trauma-informed approach. National Centre for Trauma-Informed Care (NCTIC), SAMHSA: Rockville, MD.
- Shimmin C, Wittmeier K, Lavoie J, Wicklund E, Sibley K** (2017). Moving towards a more inclusive patient and public involvement in health research paradigm: the incorporation of a trauma-informed intersectional analysis. *BMC Health Services Research* 17, 539.
- Smith N, Dogaru C, Ellis F** (2015). Hear me. Believe me. Respect me. #Focusonsurvivors. A survey of adult survivors of child sexual abuse and their experiences of support services. University Campus Suffolk and Survivors in Transition: Suffolk.
- Sweeney A** (2016). Bringing trauma-informed approaches to the UK. ACES Network Connection 12 October 2016. (<https://www.acesconnection.com/blog/bringing-trauma-informed-approaches-to-the-uk>). Accessed 23 October 2018.
- Sweeney A, Clement S, Filson B, Kennedy A** (2016). Trauma-informed mental healthcare in the UK: what is it and how can we further its development? *Mental Health Review Journal* 21, 174-192.
- Sweeney A, Filson B, Kennedy A, Collinson L, Gillard S** (2018). A paradigm shift: relationships in trauma-informed mental health services. *BJPsych Advances* 24, 319-333.
- Sweeney A, Taggart D** (2018). (Mis)understanding trauma informed approaches in mental health. *Journal of Mental Health* DOI: 10.1080/09638237.2018.1520973.
- Trevillion K, Hughes B, Feder G, Borschmann R, Oram S, Howard L** (2014). Disclosure of domestic violence in mental health settings: a qualitative meta-synthesis. *International Review of Psychiatry* 26: 430-444.

**Victorian Mental Illness Awareness Council (2013).** Zero Tolerance for Sexual Assault: A safe admission for women. VMIC: Victoria. ([http://www.daru.org.au/wp/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/Zero-Tolerance-for-Sexual-Assult\\_VMIAC.pdf](http://www.daru.org.au/wp/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/Zero-Tolerance-for-Sexual-Assult_VMIAC.pdf)).

**Walby S, Allen J (2004).** Domestic violence, sexual assault and stalking: Findings from the British Crime Survey. Home Office Research Study 276. Home Office: London.

**Watson J A disorder for everyone blog.** (<http://www.adisorder4everyone.com/about>). Accessed 18 July 2018.

**Watts J (2018)** The uncomfortable truth is that many psychiatric wards have a culture of sexual assault. The Independent 12 September 2018. Accessed 23 October 2018.