

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Yang, Y-F., Fu, F. & Liu, M. (2024). Cyclic Behavior of Four-Limbed Circular CFST Latticed Beam- Columns. Journal of Structural Engineering, 150(3), 04024006. doi: 10.1061/JSENDH.STENG-13073

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/31671/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1061/JSENDH.STENG-13073

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

 City Research Online:
 http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/
 publications@city.ac.uk

1	
2	

3 4

Cyclic Behavior of Four-Limbed Circular CFST Latticed Beam-Columns

You-Fu Yang¹, Feng Fu² F.ASCE and Min Liu^{1,3}

Abstract: Experimental tests to investigate the behavior of four-limbed circular concrete-filled steel 5 tube (CFST) latticed beam-columns under constant axial compression and cyclic lateral force were 6 carried out. Attention was paid to the effect of diameter-to-thickness ratio of limb tube D/T (51.5) 7 8 and 24.9), axial compression level n (from 0.05 to 0.5) and type of limb (circular CFST and steel circular hollow section (CHS)) on the overall behavior, failure modes and load versus deformation 9 relationship of the specimens. Additionally, the cyclic deterioration of stiffness, ductility and 10 accumulated energy dissipation of the specimens were assessed for seismic design. According to this 11 experimental study, it is found that, due to the interaction between limb tube and its concrete core, 12 the seismic resistance of composite specimens is better than that of steel counterparts. Moreover, the 13 seismic resistance of composite specimens generally reduces with the increase of D/T and n. A 14 finite element (FE) model is further established to replicate the behavior of the specimens, and the 15 simulated cyclic behavior of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns subjected to constant 16 axial compression and cyclic lateral force agree well with experimental results. Parametric study on 17 the lateral force versus displacement hysteretic curve of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-18 columns was performed using the verified FE model. Finally, an accurate restoring force model (RFM) 19 to predict the lateral force versus displacement relationship of four-limbed circular CFST latticed 20 beam-columns is developed, and the predictions are in good agreement with the numerical and 21 experimental results. 22

Keywords: Four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns; Cyclic lateral force; Experimental
 behavior; Finite element simulation; Restoring force model; Metal and composite structures.

¹Professor, State Key Laboratory of Coastal and Offshore Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China
 (corresponding author). E-mail: youfuyang@163.com

²Senior Lecturer, School of Science & Technology, City, University of London, EC1V 0HB, United Kingdom. E-mail:
 Feng.Fu.1@city.ac.uk

²⁹ ³Lecturer, School of Civil Engineering, Liaoning Technical University, Fuxin 125105, China. E-mail: minliu19930723@163.com

30 Introduction

Concrete-filled steel tube (CFST) is a composite member formed by filling concrete into thin-walled steel tube, and due to the enhancement of tube wall stability by the filled concrete and the confinement from the outer steel tube on the brittle concrete core, the CFST has the advantages of high strength, good plasticity, superior fatigue/impact resistance, fast-track construction process, and so on (Han et al. 2014; Lin et al. 2021). Recently, the CFST has been widely used in high-rise, long-span, heavyload bearing, and anti-seismic composite structures (Han et al. 2014; 2020).

In practical engineering, when the slenderness ratio or load eccentricity of a structural member are 37 large, the advantage of good compression performance of single CFST member is difficult to be 38 displayed, and the strength of materials is also not effectively utilized. Under such circumstances, the 39 latticed members consisting of several CFST limbs and the connecting lacings become a better choice 40 to overcome the above-mentioned disadvantages of the single CFST (Matsui and Kawano 1988). The 41 CFST latticed member generally refers to the structural member with the CFST as the limbs and 42 hollow steel tube as the lacings, and the centroid axis of the lacings is in the same plane as that of the 43 two limbs welded with them. According to the difference in the number of the limbs, two-, three- and 44 four-limbed cross-sections are the common form of the CFST latticed members (Yang et al. 2018b). 45 Overall, in comparison with single CFST member having identical load-carrying capacity, the CFST 46 latticed member not only has similar properties, but also possesses lighter weight, greater flexural 47 stiffness and better stability (DBJ/T13-51 2010). The CFST latticed members have been used in 48 practice as beams, columns, piers or arch ribs (Kawano and Sakino 2003; Yang et al. 2018b), and in 49 the future also have good application prospect in large complex engineering both on land and at sea. 50 During large seismic overloads, the capacity, stiffness, ductility and energy dissipation of the CFST 51 latticed members are dominated by the structural behavior of CFST limbs and tube lacings, as well 52 as the synergistic effect between CFST limbs and tube lacings. Therefore, since the CFST latticed 53 members were first developed, many researchers have paid attention to their seismic behavior by 54 conducting cyclic tests and numerical simulation (Yang et al. 2018b), and the research by Kawano et 55

al. (1996) is one of earlier attempts to explore the elasto-plastic behavior and deformability of two-56 limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns experimentally and theoretically. Table 1 summarizes 57 the available cyclic tests on the CFST latticed beam-columns, in which L is the length of specimens, 58 D and T are the diameter/width and wall thickness of steel circular/square hollow section 59 (CHS/SHS) in the limbs respectively, d and t are the diameter and wall thickness of steel CHS 60 lacings respectively, $f_{y,li}$ is the yield strength of limb tube, f'_c is the cylindrical compressive 61 strength of concrete in the limbs, and n is the axial compression level. It can be seen that, the limbs 62 of most specimens are circular CFST, and the n values are relatively small and have limited variation. 63 64 Simultaneously, the experimental results show that, the seismic behavior of composite latticed specimens is better than that of steel counterparts; however, further cyclic tests of CFST latticed 65 beam-columns are needed to fully understand the influence of key parameters on their seismic 66 behavior. In addition to experimental studies, finite element (FE) modelling on the cyclic behavior of 67 CFST latticed beam-columns were also carried out by the commercial software ABAQUS (Deng 68 2012; Huang et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2018b, 2019) and OpenSees (Huang 2015; Yuan et al. 2020). 69 The results showed that, the cyclic behavior of three-limbed circular and four-limbed square CFST 70 latticed beam-columns can be well predicted by the FE model in Yang et al. (2018b, 2019); however, 71 due to the neglect of key influencing factors (e.g. fracture of steel tubes), the cyclic behavior of four-72 limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns has not been accurately simulated by the available FE 73 models. Moreover, the restoring force model (RFM) of CFST latticed beam-columns is the basis for 74 nonlinear dynamic time-history analysis of composite structures. Currently, two RFMs for the four-75 limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns (Luo 2013; Chen et al. 2014) have been proposed; 76 however, the suitability of them is limited by incomplete tests and/or inadequate FE simulations. 77

Based on the above review and analysis, it is clear that comprehensive study on the cyclic performance of four-limbed circular CFST latticed members is still limited, which shows that further experiments and theoretical modelling are necessary to guide the engineering practice. In this study, the focus is on the cyclic behaviors of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns. The effect of D/T, n and type of limb on the performance of typical specimens under constant axial compression and cyclic lateral force was first investigated, and then a FE model aiming to well predict cyclic behavior of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns was developed. In addition, a new RFM was proposed on the basis of systematic parametric study, and the accuracy of the RFM was verified by the comparison with the numerical and experimental lateral force versus displacement hysteretic curves.

88 Experimental program

89 Information of the Specimens

The behavior of 1/5 scale four-limbed latticed columns in Tianjin International Convention & 90 Exhibition Center or 1/10 scale four-limbed latticed piers in Ganhaizi Bridge (Huang 2015) was 91 investigated experimentally while subjected to combined constant axial compression and cyclic 92 lateral force. A total of eight four-limbed specimens with M-shape layout of the lacings, consisting of 93 six specimens with circular CFST limbs and two specimens with steel CHS limbs as reference, were 94 manufactured, and the lacings of all the specimens were the steel CHS. The design of composite 95 specimens and steel specimens is in accordance to DBJ/T13-51 (2010) and GB50017 (2017), 96 respectively, and takes into account laboratory site conditions, loading capacity of equipment, and 97 limit of research funds. The length (L) of all the specimens was designed to be 1960 mm. The 98 parameters varied in the tests included: 1) D/T, 51.5 and 24.9, which are less than the limit of circular 99 CFST in relevant codes (ACI318-19 2019; ANSI/AISC360-16 2016; EN1994-1-1 2004; GB50936 100 2014); n, 0.05 (low compressive load), 0.25 (basic compressive load) and 0.50 (high compressive 101 load); and type of limb, circular CFST and steel CHS, in which the values of D/T reflect the impact 102 of material properties and geometric sizes, while the values of n reflect the influence of load level. 103 The axial compression level (*n*) of the specimens is expressed as: 104

105

$$n = \frac{N_0}{\varphi \cdot N_s} \tag{1}$$

106 where, φ is the stability factor, N_0 is the constant axial compressive load, and N_s is the sum of the 107 sectional strength of all the limbs, for the specimens with steel CHS limbs, $N_s = \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_{y,li,i} \cdot A_{s,i}$, and for the specimens with circular CFST limbs (Yang et al. 2022), $N_s = k_u \cdot (1.14 + 1.02\xi) \cdot f_{ck} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^4 A_{sc,i}$, in which $A_{s,i}$ and $A_{sc,i}$ are the cross-sectional area of the *i*th steel CHS limb and circular CFST limb respectively, k_u is the coefficient related to f_c' , when $f_c' \leq 40$ MPa, $k_u=1.1$, and when $f_c' > 40$ MPa, $k_u=1.0$, ξ is the confinement factor of circular CFST (Han et al. 2014), and f_{ck} is the characteristic compressive strength of concrete.

For the steel specimens, the stability factor (φ) related to the equivalent slenderness ratio (λ_e) is determined using GB 50017 (2017), while for the composite specimens, φ is calculated by:

115
$$\varphi = \frac{1}{2\bar{\lambda}_{sc}^2} \left(k_l - \sqrt{k_l^2 - 4\bar{\lambda}_{sc}^2} \right) \le 1.0$$
(2-1)

116
$$k_l = 0.81 + 0.56\bar{\lambda}_{sc} + \bar{\lambda}_{sc}^2$$
(2-2)

117
$$\bar{\lambda}_{SC} = \frac{\lambda_e}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{0.67f_{y,li}}{(0.192f_{y,li}/235 + 0.488) \cdot E_{s,li}}}$$
(2-3)

118 where, $\bar{\lambda}_{sc}$ is the nomolized slenderness ratio, and $E_{s,li}$ is the elastic modulus of steel. The 119 equivalent slenderness ratio (λ_e) including the effect of shear deformation of the lacings can be 120 obtained by the formulae in Yang et al. (2019).

The configuration and dimensions of the specimens is shown in Fig. 1, where l_0 is the internode length of the limbs, and h_0 and s_0 are the cross-sectional width along major and minor axis, respectively. The information of the specimens is listed in Table 2, where $P_{u,e}$ is the tested lateral capacity, $K_{a,e}$ is the tested elastic stiffness, and I_d is the ductility index.

The limb tubes and lacings of all the specimens were respectively fabricated by the cold-formed 125 and seamless steel CHS, and each specimen had two square steel plates with the sizes of 520 mm×520 126 mm×20 mm as the endplates. During the production of the specimens, eight four-limbed steel latticed 127 specimens together with one endplate were first completed according to the designed sizes and 128 welding process. The limbs and the lacings were welded together by the fillet welds, and the welding 129 quality met the relevant codes. There was no eccentricity at the connection nodes of the limbs and the 130 lacings. Fresh concrete was poured into the limbs of six steel specimens to form the composite 131 specimens. In order to guarantee the cohesion of the steel and concrete interface, the end plane of the 132

concrete infill was polished to be flush with the limb tube before welding another endplate. Moreover,
four stiffeners spaced 90 degrees and arranged symmetrically were also welded to the end of each
limb tube to avoid unexpected end damage.

136 The properties of steel CHS in the limbs and steel CHS lacing were respectively obtained by standard tensile and compressive coupons, and the average values of the measured results are listed 137 in Table 3. The mix proportion of concrete in the limbs was: cement=420 kg/m³, fly ash=130 kg/m³, 138 limestone gravel=832 kg/m³, river sand=800 kg/m³, tap water=189.5 kg/m³, and water reducer=6.88 139 kg/m³. The slump and spread of fresh concrete were 270 mm and 661 mm, respectively. The 140 compressive strength of concrete was obtained by the test on cubes with side length of 150 mm, and 141 the measured average result at 28 days and when conducting cyclic test of composite specimens were 142 55.2 MPa and 73.8 MPa, respectively. The elastic modulus of concrete was acquired by compressive 143 tests on prisms with side lengths of 150 mm, 150 mm and 300 mm, and the measured average result 144 was 3.47×10^4 N/mm². 145

146 **Testing Set-up, Loading Histories and Instrumentation**

The testing set-up is indicated in Fig. 2. The specimen was treated as a beam-column with one end 147 fixed and the other end free under in-plane cyclic lateral force. A manually controlled hydraulic jack 148 was used to apply the constant axial compressive load (N_0) , and a closed-loop servo-controlled 149 hydraulic actuator was employed to apply the cyclic lateral forces/displacements. In order to avoid 150 151 the overturning effect and ensure the fixed boundary conditions, the bottom endplate of the specimen was connected with a 40 mm thick steel plate by twenty high-strength bolts, whilst the steel plate was 152 fastened to the ground by two rigid beams and four anchor bolts. The top endplate of the specimen 153 was linked to a T-shaped connector with a web thickness of 30 mm by twenty high-strength bolts, 154 and one roller was arranged between the flange of the T-shaped connector and the front end of the 155 actuator to ensure free rotation of the top end of the specimen. The back end of horizontally placed 156 actuator was fixed on the reaction wall, and the front end was connected with the flange of the T-157 shaped connector by four high-strength bolts. The front end of vertically placed hydraulic jack was 158

acted on a load cell, and another roller through cross-sectional centroid was placed between the load cell and the top of the specimen. The back end of vertically placed hydraulic jack together with a roller system along the loading direction were fixed on a rigid reaction frame, to ensure that the hydraulic jack moved freely with the lateral movement of the specimen.

The sequence history of force and displacement, which requires pre-determination of the yield 163 force/displacement of the specimen, was widely used in the cyclic tests of single CFST beam-columns 164 (Varma et al. 2004). However, the applicability of this method to cyclic tests of four-limbed circular 165 CFST latticed beam-columns is unclear, and unsuitable loading regime may lead to premature failure 166 or non-failure of the specimens. Therefore, in order to ensure the stable loading and obtain reliable 167 test results, the displacement-controlled loading protocol was adopted in the present study according 168 to existing guidelines and studies (ATC-24 1992; Yang 2018b, 2019). The history of lateral 169 170 displacement at the top of the specimen corresponding to the *i*th loading step (δ_i) is shown in Fig. 3, where N_c is the number of cycles, which are determined with reference to the recommendations in 171 ATC 24 (1992), and δ_0 is the nominal yield displacement, which is set to be identical for easy 172 comparison, i.e. $\delta_0 = 10$ mm. During the tests, the loading rate was controlled by the frequency of 173 running a complete cycle, that is, when $j \le 2$, $2 < j \le 6$, j = 7, j = 8, j = 9 and $j \ge 10$, the loading frequencies 174 were 0.01Hz, 0.008Hz, 0.006Hz, 0.004Hz, 0.003Hz and 0.002Hz, respectively. 175

The generalized displacements were measured by eight displacement transducers (DTs) attached at top endplate and one limb, and the localized strains were measured by five strain gauges pasted on outer wall of two limbs and one lacing, as demonstrated in Fig. 2. In addition, a rigid frame was specially fabricated to hold the displacement transducer in different spatial positions.

180 **Overall Behavior and Failure Modes**

The recording of the tests showed that, for all the specimens, there was no displacement of the bottom endplate, and the connection between the limbs and the endplate was not damaged, indicating that no overturning effect occurred and the fixed boundary conditions were achieved. The failure process of all the specimens was dominated by the damage of the limb tubes, the limb-lacing KT-joints and/or

the lacings. Generally, with the increase of δ_i , the local buckling with interval convexity and 185 concavity first occurred near the root of the limbs of two steel specimens, and there were no further 186 damage of lacings when D/T=51.5 or a few lacings with coupled global and local buckling when 187 D/T=24.9 until the end of the tests. The outward local buckling of limb tube first happened to the 188 root of most composite specimens, except that the cracking of limb tube in the limb-lacing KT-joint 189 first happened to specimen C-B-0.05 and the local/global buckling of lacings happened to specimen 190 C-B-0.25. When the lateral displacement increased to a certain extent, these three kinds of damage 191 coexisted in the composite specimens, and further propagated with the increase of δ_i until the end 192 of the tests. In addition, the good integrity of circular CFST latticed specimens during and after the 193 tests showed that, the coupling behavior of four limbs could be ensured due to the presence of lacings, 194 although the damage occurred to a number of lacings and KT-joints. 195

Fig. 4(a) shows the tested failure modes of the specimens, where the symbols ① through ⑤ 196 respectively represent local buckling of limb tube, cracking of limb tube in the KT-joints, coupled 197 global and local buckling of diagonal lacing, cracking/fracture of limb tube and fracture of diagonal 198 lacing. For the steel specimens, the interval local buckling of CHS limbs mainly appears near the root, 199 200 and further coupled global and local buckling of lacings occurs to the one with a smaller D/T. It should be noted that, the local buckling of limb L_1 in the specimen S-A-0.25 occurs at a location of 201 202 $(0.09 \sim 0.14)L$ away from the bottom endplate, which maybe due to the local out-of-arc deformation of the limb tube. However, under the same n value, the composite specimens have more complicated 203 204 failure modes than steel counterparts, that is, additional cracking of limb tube in the KT-joints and coupled global and local buckling of lacings happen to specimen C-A-0.25, while coupled global and 205 local buckling, even wall fracture, of more lacings occur to specimen C-B-0.25. The cracking of limb 206 tube in the K-joints is mainly caused by the insufficient load-carrying capacity of tube wall under 207 local complex stresses. In general, for the composite specimens, the number of positions with 208 additional failure increases with the improvement of n, which mainly due to the more significant 209 second-order effect under axial compression. Overall, the abovementioned failure modes of four-210

limbed circular CFST latticed specimens have also been observed in the previous experiments (Deng
2012; Huang et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2014; Huang 2015; Yuan et al. 2020).

Fig. 5 demonstrates the representative failure modes of concrete core in the CFST limbs. It can be 213 214 observed that, the failure of concrete core is evidently related to that of limb tube, i.e., the localized compound crushing and cracking are formed at the local buckling position of limb tubes, and the 215 tensile fracture appears at the cracking/fracture position of limb tubes. Simultaneously, the formation 216 of indentation is caused due to the local compression of diagonal lacings near the KT-joints. It can 217 also be seen from Fig. 5 that, there is no obvious wear track at the contact area between the limb tube 218 and its concrete core, that is, no evident slippage occurs to their interface, which is similar to the 219 findings in studies of Han et al. (2009) and Ji et al. (2014), meaning that the limb tube and its concrete 220 core generally function cohesively as a unit. 221

222 Variation of Forces and Deformations

Based on the readings of DT_6 and DT_7 in Fig. 2, it was found that, the maximum relative torsion angle of the specimens (i.e. ratio of relative displacement to the distance) varied between 0.23% and 0.70%, indicating that the torsion of the specimens during the tests was limited, and its influence on the variation of forces (deformations) could be ignored.

The recorded lateral displacement along the length of typical specimens based on the readings of 227 DT₀ through DT₅ in Fig. 2 is displayed in Fig. 6, where $\delta_{1,i}$ is the lateral displacement of the *i*th 228 measuring point in the first cycle of each loading level, h_i is the distance between the *i*th measuring 229 point and the bottom end, and p is the ratio of lateral force corresponding to $\delta_{1,i}$ to lateral capacity 230 defined later, while a negative p value represents the stage after reaching the lateral capacity. It can 231 be found that, generally, $\delta_{1,i}$ is distributed linearly along the length direction when $p \leq 0.6$ and 232 basically symmetric in two loading directions. When p is between 0.6 and 1.0, the drift angle 233 between two vertical measuring points increases gradually from bottom to top. In the post-peak stage, 234 the distribution characteristic of $\delta_{1,i}$ is similar to that of structural frames with diagonal bracings, i.e. 235 superposition of flexural deformation of four limbs and shear deformation of diagonal lacings. 236

Additionally, while *p* is the same, the CFST latticed specimen results in a larger $\delta_{1,i}$ than its steel counterpart, namely, a better deformability is achieved.

Fig. 7 shows the lateral force (P) versus drift angle (δ_t/L) hysteretic curve of the specimens, where 239 δ_t is the lateral displacement at the top of the specimens. The recorded peak force in two loading 240 directions of the $P - \delta_t / L$ curve is taken as the lateral capacity $(P_{u,e})$. It is indicated that, overall, 241 the $P - \delta_t / L$ hysteretic curve of all the specimens is stable and no pinching characteristics is 242 included. When n is consistent, the load-carrying capacity of steel specimens declines rapidly after 243 reaching $P_{u,e}$ due to the serious local buckling of steel CHS limbs; however, due to the interaction 244 between limb tube and its concrete core, the composite specimens have a wider $P - \delta_t/L$ hysteretic 245 curve and a slower decrease in the load-carrying capacity after achieving $P_{u,e}$ than steel counterparts, 246 exhibiting a better seismic resistance behavior. For the composite specimens, the higher the n value 247 and the smaller the D/T value, the wider the $P - \delta_t/L$ hysteretic curve is. This can be explained 248 that, within a certain range of n values (e.g. ≤ 0.5 in this study), the three-dimensional 249 confinement to concrete core in the limb tube is better than the damage caused by the increase of n, 250 which leads to a better structural property of circular CFST limbs, and the confinement effect of the 251 tube in the CFST limbs on its concrete core increases with the decrease of D/T (Han et al. 2020). 252 The effect of parameters on $P - \delta_t / L$ backbone curve of the specimens is displayed in Fig. 8. It 253

is shown that, the lateral force (*P*) in both loading directions generally experiences three stages, i.e., approximately linear increasing, nonlinear increasing and post-peak declining, as the drift angle (δ_t/L) increases. While *n* is kept constant, the composite specimens possess a higher elastic stiffness, a larger drift angle corresponding to $P_{u,e}$ and a slower decline rate of load-carrying capacity than the reference steel specimens. In addition, for the composite specimens, with the increase of *n* and the reduce of D/T, the elastic stiffness and drift angle corresponding to $P_{u,e}$ increase, while the decline rate of load-carrying capacity decreases at the post-peak stage.

Fig. 9 exhibits the effect of parameters on lateral force (*P*) versus strain (ε) hysteretic curves, where (*L*' and 'T' in the brackets represent the longitudinal and transverse strain at the measuring points, 263 respectively, and ε_{v} is the yielding strain of steel. It can be seen that, irrespective of the type of limb, the specimens with a smaller D/T generally possess a wider $P - \varepsilon$ hysteretic curve when n is the 264 same, as the stability of steel CHS limb and the confinement of outer tube in the circular CFST limbs 265 to its concrete core are enhanced. The strain development at point A is more sufficient than that at 266 point B because of the difference in bending moments at the two points, while ε_L of the lowest 267 diagonal lacings gradually develop into complete compression from symmetric coexistence of tension 268 and compression due to the accumulated damage. In addition, after the tests completed, the maximum 269 ε_L at points A and C is much larger than ε_{ν} ; however, the maximum ε_L at point B only approaches 270 ε_{ν} . It is shown in Fig. 9(a) that, the feature of $P - \varepsilon$ hysteretic curve at the same measuring point of 271 composite specimen is generally similar to that of the reference steel specimen, whilst the former has 272 a wider $P - \varepsilon$ hysteretic curve than the latter due to the improved performance of circular CFST 273 274 limb than steel CHS limb. It is further shown in Fig. 9(b) that, for the composite specimens, the covered area in the second and fourth quadrants of $P - \varepsilon$ hysteretic curve increases with the increase 275 of n. The $P - \varepsilon_L$ hysteretic curve is fusiform when n equals to 0.25 and 0.5; however, the $P - \varepsilon_L$ 276 hysteretic curve is pinched in the later period when n=0.05, which may be caused by the 277 cracking/fracture of limb tubes (see Fig. 4). 278

279 Mechanical Factors

Fig. 10(a) shows the influence of parameters on lateral capacity of the specimens, where $P_{u,e+}$ and 280 281 $P_{u,e-}$ are the lateral capacity in 'push' and 'pull' directions, respectively, and their average values $(P_{u,e})$ are given in Table 2. It can be seen that, the lateral capacity of composite specimens is evidently 282 higher than that of steel counterparts, considering that the load-carrying capacity of the limbs in the 283 former is higher than that of the limbs in the latter due to the constraint effect of limb tube on its 284 concrete core. On average, $P_{u,e}$ of composite specimen with D/T of 51.5 and 24.9 is 95.0% and 285 22.6% higher than that of its steel counterpart, respectively. While n is the same, the composite 286 specimens with D/T of 24.9 result in a 18.0%-53.7% higher $P_{u,e}$ than those with D/T of 51.5, as 287 288 the limb tube having a smaller D/T produces a stronger constraint to its concrete core (Han et al. 289 2014). For the composite specimens with D/T=24.9, $P_{u,e}$ decreases with the increase of n due to 290 the impact of axial compression on the initial cumulative damage and the second-order effect, and 291 $P_{u,e}$ of n=0.25 and n=0.50 is 5.0-9.6% and 14.6-18.3% lower than that of n=0.05, respectively. For 292 the composite specimens with D/T=51.5, however, $P_{u,e}$ slightly increases with the increase of n. 293 This may be due to the size deviation caused by the welding of thin-walled steel CHS, which reduces 294 the lateral capacity of the specimens with a relatively small n.

The effect of parameters on elastic stiffness of the specimens measured by the initial slope of the 295 $P - \delta_t$ curve is shown in Fig. 10(b), where $K_{a,e+}$ and $K_{a,e-}$ are the elastic stiffness in 'push' and 296 'pull' directions, respectively, and their average values $(K_{a,e})$ are also listed in Table 2. It is shown 297 that, due to the interaction between limb tube and its concrete core, $K_{a,e}$ of composite specimen with 298 299 D/T of 51.5 and 24.9 equals to 1.207 and 1.234 times that of the reference steel specimen, respectively. When n is the same, the composite specimens with D/T=24.9 lead to a 30.2~43.1% 300 higher $K_{a,e}$ than those with D/T=51.5, considering that under the same tube diameter a smaller 301 D/T causes a larger cross-sectional moment of inertia and a stronger constraint of limb tube to its 302 concrete core. Furthermore, under the same D/T value, the elastic stiffness of composite specimens 303 304 reduces when n increases due to the enhanced initial damage under a larger axial compression, and $K_{a,e}$ at n=0.25 and n=0.50 is 4.2~11.7% and 7.3~15.6% lower than that at n=0.05, respectively. 305 The ductility index (I_d) of the specimens in two loading directions can be computed by the 306 following equation (Yang et al. 2019): 307

308

$$I_d = \frac{\delta_{t,0.85}}{\delta_{t,y}} \tag{3}$$

where, $\delta_{t,0.85}$ is the lateral displacement when $P = 0.85P_{u,e+}(P_{u,e-})$ in the post-peak phase of the $P - \delta_t$ backbone curve, and $\delta_{t,y}$ is the yield lateral displacement, which equals to $P_{u,e+}/K_{a,e+}$ or $P_{u,e-}/K_{a,e-}$.

The I_d value of all the specimens is taken as the average value of two loading directions; however, the I_d value of one loading direction is ignored, if the $P - \delta_t$ backbone curve has no descending

stage or lateral force does not drop to $0.85P_{u,e+}(P_{u,e-})$. The obtained I_d of all the specimens is 314 summarized in Table 2. The variation in the I_d value of the specimens is indicated in Fig. 10(c). As 315 can be observed that, due to the combined action between limb tube and its concrete core, the I_d 316 value of composite specimen with D/T of 51.5 and 24.9 is 69.9% and 34.9% higher than that of the 317 reference steel specimen, respectively. In addition, the ductility of composite specimens show a 318 decreasing trend with the increase of n and D/T, because of the existence of the second-order effect 319 under axial compression and the reduction in confinement of concrete core from limb tube, and the 320 I_d value at n=0.05 is 1.30~1.37 times and 1.37~1.47 times that at n=0.25 and n=0.50, respectively, 321 while the I_d value when D/T=24.9 is 1.077-1.111 times that when D/T=51.5. 322

323 Deterioration of Stiffness

It is well known that, the stiffness of structural beam-columns decreases gradually while subjected to cyclic lateral force. Fig. 11 shows the deterioration of stiffness ($K_i/K_{a,e}$) of the specimens as N_c increases, where K_i is the secant stiffness under the *i*th loading cycle, and can be determined by the following equation (JGJ/T101 2015):

328

$$K_{i} = \frac{|+P_{i}|+|-P_{i}|}{|+\delta_{t,i}|+|-\delta_{t,i}|} \tag{4}$$

where, $+\delta_{t,i}$ and $-\delta_{t,i}$ are the peak lateral displacement in push and pull loading directions, respectively; and $+P_i$ and $-P_i$ are the lateral force relevant to $+\delta_{t,i}$ and $-\delta_{t,i}$, respectively.

It can be seen from Fig. 11 that, while $N_c \leq 6$, that is, the force reaches about half of lateral 331 capacity, the deterioration of stiffness of composite specimens is slower than that of steel counterparts, 332 mainly because the damage of concrete core is relatively slight during this stage, and the confinement 333 of limb tube to its concrete core makes the performance of circular CFST limbs better than that of 334 steel CHS limbs. While N_c is greater than 6, with the damage aggravation of concrete core under 335 cyclic lateral force, the deterioration of stiffness of composite specimens is quicker than that of the 336 relevant steel specimens. Moreover, when $N_c \leq 6$, the deterioration of stiffness of composite 337 specimens with n of 0.50 is the quickest owing to the most severe initial damage of concrete core, 338 and that of composite specimens with n of 0.05 and 0.25 has no consistent changing characteristics. 339

When N_c is larger than 6, generally, the composite specimens with n of 0.25 and 0.50 have a slower deterioration of stiffness than those with n of 0.05, considering that a higher axial compression results in a greater inhibition of tensile damage of concrete core due to the improvement in the bond between two materials and the compactness of concrete. At the same time, regardless of the type of limb, a quicker deterioration of stiffness is produced for the specimens with a larger D/T due to the reduced performance of the limbs.

346 Accumulated Energy Dissipation

The effect of parameters on the relationship between accumulated energy dissipation (E) and drift 347 angle (δ_t/L) is displayed in Fig. 12. It can be observed that, compared with the reference steel 348 specimens, the *E* value of composite specimens is significantly improved due to their higher lateral 349 capacity, stiffness and ductility. Additionally, under the same conditions, the E value of composite 350 specimens increases with the decrease of D/T and the increase of n. This can be explained that, the 351 smaller the D/T value, the stronger the constraint of limb tube to its concrete core is, meanwhile, 352 the increase of axial compression improves the bond between limb tube and its concrete core and the 353 compactness of concrete core. 354

355 Finite Element (FE) Modelling

356 Description of the FE Model

To accurately replicate the cyclic behavior of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns, a finite element (FE) model was developed using the software package ABAQUS (2014).

The limb tubes and lacings in the composite beam-columns were simulated by the metal plasticity model using the von Mises yield criterion and the associated flow rule, and the mixed hardening plasticity model containing isotropic hardening and nonlinear kinematic hardening was utilized to characterize their cyclic behavior. The isotropic hardening was described by the changing of yield surface, and the nonlinear kinematic hardening was expressed by the changing of the back stress vector (ABAQUS 2014; Yang et al. 2018a, 2018b). In this study, the parameters in the mixed hardening plasticity model of steel tube were determined based on the linear interpolation of

calibration values in previous tests (Shi et al. 2011; Jia and Kuwamura 2014) and further debugging 366 by the coincidence between the simulated and measured cyclic behavior of all available circular CFST 367 latticed beam-column specimens, and the final results are presented in Table 4, where $\sigma|_0$, Q_{∞} and 368 b_s are the parameters in the isotropic hardening model, and $C_{kin,1(\sim 4)}$ and $\gamma_{1(\sim 4)}$ are the 369 parameters in the nonlinear kinematic hardening model. In addition, the ductile damage model 370 recommended in ABAQUS (2014) consisting of ductile damage initiation criterion and damage 371 evolution based on effective plastic displacement was adopted here to simulate the progressive 372 damage (fracture) of steel tube under cyclic loading, and the details was given in Yang et al. (2019). 373 Table 5 presents the parameters for ductile damage initiation criterion of steel tube in the specimens, 374 where K_p is the strengthening coefficient, m_s is the hardening index, and C_1 and C_2 are the 375 equivalent plastic damage strain under pure shear and uniaxial tension, respectively. Simultaneously, 376 the measured properties from the tests were used for the elastic parameters of limb tubes and bracings, 377 whilst the elastic modulus (E_s) and Poisson's ratio (μ_s) were respectively taken as 2.06×10⁵ MPa and 378 379 0.3 when no test results were available. Moreover, during the tests, the influence of the deformation of other components (e.g. two endplates, stiffeners and loading plate, etc.) was very limited, and to 380 simplify the modelling they were treated as the elastic materials with E_s and μ_s of 1.0×10¹² MPa 381 and 0.001, respectively. 382

The concrete damaged plasticity (CDP) model in ABAQUS (2014) was selected to model the 383 complicate cyclic behavior of concrete core in the limb tubes of circular CFST latticed beam-columns. 384 The recommended formula in ACI 318-19 (2019) was used to obtain the elastic modulus of concrete 385 (*E_c*), i.e. $E_c = 4730\sqrt{f_c'}$, and the Poisson's ratio of concrete was set to be 0.2. The plasticity parameters 386 of concrete were same as those in Yang et al. (2018b), which were proved to be a good choice for the 387 FE modelling on cyclic behavior of three-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns, that is, 388 dilation angle=30°, flow potential eccentricity=0.1, ratio of initial equibiaxial compressive yield stress 389 to initial uniaxial compressive yield stress=1.16, ratio of the second stress invariant on the tensile 390 meridian to that on the compressive meridian = 2/3 and viscosity parameter = 0.0005. 391

The relationship between tensile stress and cracking displacement proposed by Goto et al. (2010) was used as the tensile constitutive model for concrete core in the limb tubes. The FE simulation results in the literature (Deng 2012; Yang et al. 2018b) demonstrate that, the compressive constitutive model for the concrete in the steel tube proposed by Han et al. (2007) was capable of well modelling the properties of concrete core in the limb tubes of circular CFST latticed members under cyclic loading. In this study, the same model was used for the compressive stress (σ_c)-strain (ε_c) relationship of concrete core in the limb tubes, and the details are as follows:

399
$$\frac{\sigma_c}{f_c'} = \begin{cases} 2 \varepsilon_c / \varepsilon_p - (\varepsilon_c / \varepsilon_p)^2 & (\varepsilon_c / \varepsilon_p \le 1.0) \\ \frac{\varepsilon_c / \varepsilon_p}{\beta_0 \cdot (\varepsilon_c / \varepsilon_p - 1)^2 + \varepsilon_c / \varepsilon_p} & (\varepsilon_c / \varepsilon_p > 1.0) \end{cases}$$
(5)

400 where, $\varepsilon_p = (1300 + 12.5f'_c + 800\xi^{0.2}) \times 10^{-6}$, $\beta_0 = (2.36 \times 10^{-5})^{[0.25 + (\xi - 0.5)^7]} \cdot (f'_c)^{0.5}/2 \ge 0.12$.

For the CDP model in ABAQUS (2014), the elastic stiffness of concrete is damaged (or weakened) when the unloading stiffness in the softening stage of stress-strain curve is lower than the initial value, and in general the damage factors are used to represent the elastic stiffness loss of concrete. In this study, the suggested formulas by Birtel and Mark (2006) and Goto et al. (2010) were respectively used to calculate the damage factor (*d*) of concrete under compression and tension:

406
$$d = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\sigma_c \cdot E_c^{-1}}{\varepsilon_c^{pl} \cdot (1/b_c - 1) + \sigma_c \cdot E_c^{-1}} & \text{(under compression)} \\ 1.24(k_t/f_c') \cdot u_t^{ck} \le 0.99 & \text{(under tension)} \end{cases}$$
(6)

407 where, ε_c^{pl} is the compressive plastic strain; b_c is the ratio of compressive plastic strain to inelastic 408 strain, which equals to 0.7, k_t is the maximum negative stiffness in the tensile softening stage (Goto 409 et al. 2010), and u_t^{ck} is the cracking displacement.

While subjected to cyclic load, the elastic stiffness of concrete can partially recover. By reference to the existing results (Yang et al. 2019) and further calibration by the available test results, the stiffness recovery coefficient under compression (w_c) and tension (w_t) were set to be 0.2 and 0, respectively, i.e. the stiffness of concrete partially recovers when the stress state changes from tension to compression, and the stiffness of concrete does not recover when the stress state changes from compression to tension.

The limb tubes and bracings were modelled by the four-node reduced integrating shell elements 416 (S4R), and to meet the calculation accuracy nine Simpson integral points were set along thickness 417 direction of the shell elements. The concrete core in the limbs and other components were modelled 418 419 using eight-node reduced integral three-dimensional solid elements (C3D8R). The structured meshing was realized by cutting the composite beam-columns into several regions, and the ideal mesh 420 density was determined by gradually refining the meshing until the deviation of the calculation results 421 of two adjacent meshing was less than 5%. In addition, the meshing at the crack prone positions (i.e. 422 root of the limbs and limb-lacing KT joints) of the specimens was refined to capture their fracture 423 process. The meshing of a typical FE model of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns is 424 demonstrated in Fig. 13. 425

Based on the aforementioned test results, the interface between limb tube and its concrete core was 426 replicated using surface-to-surface contact with finite sliding, which is consistent with the properties 427 of steel-concrete interface under cyclic shear in the tests of Liu et al. (2022). The 'hard contact' in 428 normal direction and the 'Coulomb friction' in tangential directions, which have been successively 429 430 used in the FE simulation of composite columns under cyclic loading (e.g. Goto et al. 2010; Ma et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2018b), were used in the modelling. After referring to the previous research (Deng 431 2012; Luo 2013; Huang et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2018b) and further verified by the new test results, 432 the tangential friction coefficient between limb tube and its concrete core was taken as 0.6. A 433 consistent mesh density was set at the interface between shell and solid elements to ensure that the 434 elemental nodes of two materials in the same position were coincident. Moreover, on the basis of 435 ensuring accuracy and efficiency, the welding connection between limb tube and bracings/endplates 436 as well as the interface between concrete and endplates were all simplified as the 'Tie' constraints. 437

The boundary conditions with one end fixed and the other end free were considered in the FE model of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns under cyclic lateral force, as shown in Fig. 13. All degrees of freedom of bottom endplate were restricted by setting as 'ENCASTRE' to reproduce the fixed boundary conditions achieved in the tests. One reference point (RP1) was set on

the centroid of top endplate, and simultaneously a continuous distribution coupling constraint was 442 established between RP1 and the upper surface of top endplate to restrict the degrees of freedom of 443 RP1 outside the X-Z plane (i.e. $U_Y=UR_X=UR_Z=0$), so as to duplicate the in-plane free boundary 444 445 conditions. Another reference point (RP2) together with a continuous distributed coupling constraint was set on the side centroid of top endplate to realize the application of cyclic lateral 446 forces/displacements. Two analysis steps were defined, that is, in the first step the constant axial 447 compressive load (N_0) was applied to the RP1, and in the second step the cyclic lateral forces (P) or 448 displacements (δ_t) were applied to the RP2. Furthermore, the geometric nonlinear effects were 449 considered in both analysis steps. 450

Additionally, the influence of initial geometric defects on the cyclic behavior of four-limbed 451 circular CFST latticed beam-columns was analyzed by using the FE model, and the first buckling 452 eigenmode of limb tubes and lacings in the composite specimens was determined as the initial 453 geometric defects of the corresponding circular CFST latticed beam-columns in the first analysis step, 454 as typically demonstrated in Fig. 14. The comparison between the simulated and measured results 455 shows that, the influence of the initial geometric defects on the FE simulation results is limited when 456 the defect factors are equal to 0.1T and 0.01D (Lai et al. 2016). As is well known, the welding residual 457 stress has influence on the behavior of steel members. However, the parameters or processes related 458 to the welding were not available for the tested specimens. Therefore, under the premise of ensuring 459 the calculation accuracy, the effect of welding residual stress on the cyclic behavior of four-limbed 460 circular CFST latticed beam-columns was temporarily not considered in the FE simulation. 461

462 Validation of FE model

The predicted failure modes of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-column specimens and steel counterparts in this study are displayed in Fig. 4(b). The contrast between the predicted and tested results shows that, overall, the failure modes, fracture and deformation of the limbs and out-of-plane deformation of lacings obtained by the FE simulation agree well with the tested results. The FE model is further used to identify the failure modes of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns. It is found that, generally, there are two kinds of failure modes, namely compression-shear failure and compression-flexure failure, and the equivalent slenderness ratio (λ_e) is the determining factor. Fig. 15 demonstrates the effect of λ_e on the failure modes of typical four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns. It can be seen that, with the increase of λ_e , the area with high stress in the limb tubes and lacings is concentrated towards the bottom of limb tubes and the peak value of the Mises stress also shows a decreasing tendency, indicating that the compression-shear failure gradually changes to the compression-flexure failure.

The predicted $P - \delta_t / L$ hysteretic curves, lateral displacement distribution, $P - \varepsilon$ hysteretic 475 curves and deterioration of stiffness of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-column specimens 476 are compared with the tested results in Figs. 7 and 16, 17, 18, and 19, respectively. In Figs. 19(c and 477 d), to clearly show the comparison results, the curves with n=0.25 and n=0.50 are shifted to the right 478 by $0.01\delta_t/L$ and $0.02 \delta_t/L$, respectively. It is shown that, the predicted results generally accord well 479 with the tested ones. The comparison of mechanical factors of four-limbed circular CFST latticed 480 specimens between the predicted and tested results is shown in Fig. 20, where $P_{u,fe}$ and $K_{a,fe}$ are 481 the predicted lateral capacity and elastic stiffness using the FE model, respectively, and μ and σ 482 denote the mean value and the standard deviation, respectively. The results of statistical analysis 483 exhibit that, in general, the predicted lateral capacity and elastic stiffness of four-limbed circular 484 CFST latticed specimens agree well with the tested results. 485

The above comparison proves that, overall, the cyclic behavior of four-limbed circular CFST
latticed beam-columns can be well predicted by the FE model established in this study.

488 **Restoring Force Model (RFM)**

The restoring force model (RFM) is an important basis for the elastic-plastic seismic response analysis of complex members/structures (Fukumoto and Morita 2023). The experimental results of this study show that, the deformation characteristics of a CFST latticed beam-column is superimposed by flexure-type and shear-type under the combined action of constant axial compression and cyclic lateral force, and as a result it is appropriate to use shear layer model to describe its RFM, namely the 494 mathematical model between lateral force and the corresponding displacement.

495 Key Parameters

The effect of parameters on $P - \delta_t$ hysteretic/backbone curve of four-limbed circular CFST latticed 496 beam-columns is analyzed using the verified FE model. The basic parameters are: $D \times T = 100 \text{ mm} \times 2.3$ 497 mm, $d \times t=34$ mm×2.3 mm, $h_0=s_0=l_0=400$ mm, n=0.4, $f_c'=50$ MPa, $f_{y,li}=355$ MPa, yield strength 498 of lacing $(f_{y,la})$ is 355 MPa, and nominal shear-span ratio $\lambda_m(=L/h_0)$ is 3.0. The FE modelling 499 results are demonstrated in Fig. 21, where the black lines denote the relevant backbone curves. It can 500 be seen that, the trend of $P - \delta_t$ backbone curves and the unloading/reloading criterion of $P - \delta_t$ 501 hysteretic curves are mainly determined by D/T, $f_{y,li}$, f'_c , d/t, n and λ_m . Additionally, $f_{y,la}$ 502 only has an effect on the peak force of $P - \delta_t$ backbone curve of members with a small λ_m . 503

504 Detailed RFM

By careful observation and analysis of a large number of FE simulated $P - \delta_t$ hysteretic curves, it is found that, the RFM of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns can be denoted by the segmented lines in Fig. 22, where the line O-A(A')-B(B')-C(C') represents the backbone curve, and the lines 1-2-1'-2'-1 (before reaching lateral capacity) and 3-4-5-3'-4'-5'-3 (after reaching lateral capacity) represent the unloading/reloading paths.

The Y-coordinate at point B(B') (P_m) on the backbone curve of the RFM, i.e. lateral capacity, is defined as the force that first satisfies the following conditions: 1) the maximum tensile (shear) strain of steel tube at the limbs' root reaches 0.01, and 2) the peak force of $P - \delta_t$ backbone curve. Based on the regression analysis of the FE simulation results, the formulae of P_m can be expressed as:

514
$$\frac{P_m}{1.17V_u} = \begin{cases} \left[0.35 - 0.43n^{1.9} + \frac{P_m \cdot L}{1.17M_u} \right]^{0.71} & (k_v \le 0.85) \\ \left[f(n) \cdot (1.94n \cdot \xi - 1.13n + 1) \right]^{-0.49} & (k_v > 0.85) \end{cases}$$
(7-1)

515
$$V_{u} = \begin{cases} V_{u,li} + V_{u,la} & (\lambda_{m} < \lambda_{m0}) \\ M_{u}/L & (\lambda_{m} \ge \lambda_{m0}) \end{cases}$$
(7-2)

516
$$V_{u,li} = \frac{0.52}{-0.22 + \lambda_m^{0.5}} \cdot (-0.06 + 0.39\alpha_s^{2.57}) \cdot (-3.23 + 0.81\xi^{-0.66}) \cdot N_s$$
(7-3)

517
$$V_{u,la} = \frac{0.66 \sum_{i=1}^{2} f_{y,la} \cdot A_{s,la,i} \cdot \sin\theta}{L/l_0}$$
(7-4)

518
$$k_{v} = (V_{u,li} + V_{u,la})/(M_{u}/L)$$
(7-5)

519
$$M_u = (0.95 + 0.16\xi^{-0.9}) \cdot r_c \cdot f_{y,li} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^2 1.1A_{s,li,i}$$
(7-6)

520
$$f(n) = 4.54n^2 - 2.8n + 1$$
 (7-7)

where, k_v is the shear strength ratio, λ_{m0} is the critical shear-span ratio determining iteratively by $k_v=1.0$, $V_{u,li}$ and $V_{u,la}$ are the shear strength of limbs and lacings, respectively, α_s is the crosssectional steel ratio of circular CFST limbs (Han et al. 2020), $A_{s,li,i}$ and $A_{s,la,i}$ are the area of the *i*th limb tube and lacing in the same cross-section, θ is the angle between diagonal lacing and limb, and r_c is the distance between the barycenter of composite section and the centroid of limbs under compression.

The Y-coordinate at point A(A') (P_y) on the backbone curve of the RFM corresponding to the end of elasticity is equal to $0.7P_m$. The initial slope of the backbone curve of the RFM, namely the elastic stiffness (K_a), can be expressed as:

530
$$K_a = \eta_K \cdot 3\sum_{i=1}^{2} (E_s \cdot I_{s,i} + 0.6E_c \cdot I_{c,i})/L^3$$
(8-1)

531
$$\eta_{K} = \begin{cases} (0.32 - 0.06n) \cdot \alpha_{s}^{-0.13} \cdot (d/t)^{-0.33} \cdot \lambda_{m}^{1.13} & (\bar{\lambda}_{sc} \le 0.46) \\ (1.34 - 0.48n) \cdot \alpha_{s}^{-0.13} \cdot (d/t)^{-0.13} \cdot \lambda_{m}^{0.14} & (\bar{\lambda}_{sc} > 0.46) \end{cases}$$
(8-2)

where, $I_{s,i}$ and $I_{c,i}$ are the cross-sectional moment of inertia of limb tube and its concrete core in the *i*th limb about the centroid axis of composite section.

534 The X-coordinate at point B(B') ($\delta_{t,m}$) on the backbone curve of the RFM can be determined by:

535
$$\delta_{t,m} = \left[(6 + 6n^2 - 11.28n + 2.34\xi^{0.54}) \cdot \lambda_m^{-0.69} + 0.06n \cdot \lambda_m \right] \cdot \frac{P_m}{K_n}$$
(9)

The formula for the stiffness at descending stage B(B')-C(C') (K_T) of the backbone curve of the RFM is as follows:

538

$$K_T = \frac{-0.006\lambda_m^{1.58}.\xi^{0.64}}{1+2.54n^2 - 3.49n + 0.66\xi} \cdot K_a \tag{10}$$

The unloading/reloading criterion of the RFM is as follows: 1) when unloading from point 1(3) to point 2(4), the stiffness of linear unloading equals to K_r , and the Y-coordinate at point 2(4) is $\eta_D \cdot P_r$, where P_r is the force at unloading point; 2) linear unloading continues to point 1'(3'), where point 1'(3') is the symmetric point of point 1(3) with respect to the origin of coordinates, and the transition point 5 is on the backbone curve and its Y-coordinate equals to $\eta_E \cdot P_r$; and 3) reloading continues along path 1'-2'-1 or 3'-4'-5'-3 until the next unloading/reloading cycle begins. The regression formula for the related coefficients is as follows:

546

$$\frac{K_r}{K_a} = \left(\frac{\delta_{t,m}}{\delta_r}\right)^c \tag{11}$$

547

$$\eta_D = 0.62n - 0.57[\ln(6.66\alpha_s)]^2 + 0.28 \tag{12}$$

548
$$\eta_E = [0.017(\ln \alpha_s)^3 + 1.07] \cdot (\lambda_m^{0.12} - 0.14) \cdot [0.18\ln(n) + 1.17]$$
(13)

where, δ_r is the displacement at unloading point, and *c* is 0.6 and 0 when $\delta_{t,m}/\delta_r < 1.0$ and $\delta_{t,m}/\delta_r \ge 1.0$, respectively.

The comparison of key parameters in the RFM of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-551 columns between the simplified and FE simulation results is shown in Fig. 23, where, the parameters 552 with subscripts 's' and 'fe' are simplified and simulated values, respectively. It can be observed that, 553 generally, the simplified formulae based on regression analysis can well predict the key parameters 554 in the RFM of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns. The typical comparison between 555 the RFM and the FE/test $P - \delta_t$ hysteretic curves is demonstrated in Fig. 24. It can be seen that, 556 overall, the proposed RFM is capable of well predicting the $P - \delta_t$ hysteretic curves of four-limbed 557 circular CFST latticed beam-columns. 558

559 The scope of application of the RFM is: D/T=22.7-83.3, d/t=10-20, $f_{y,li}(f_{y,la})=235$ MPa-460

560 MPa, $f_c'=25$ MPa-65 MPa, $h_0/l_0=0.67-2.0$, $h_0/s_0=1.0-2.0$, $\lambda_e \le 80$ and $n \le 0.6$.

561 **Conclusions**

Based on the tests and FE simulation on the cyclic behavior of four-limbed circular CFST latticed
beam-columns, the following conclusions can be drawn:

(1) The test results of typical latticed specimens show that, while subjected to constant axial compression and cyclic lateral force, the coupling behavior of four CFST limbs can be ensured due to the linkage of lacings, and the overall failure modes of circular CFST latticed are manifested as cracking of limb tube in the KT-joints due to the insufficient load-carrying capacity of tube wall under local complex stresses, coupled global and local buckling of diagonal lacings and local buckling and/or cracking of limb tubes. Additionally, the localized compound crushing and cracking occur to
concrete core in the CFST limbs.

(2) Along the length of CFST latticed specimens, the distribution of lateral displacement is analogous to that of structural frames with diagonal bracings. When *n* is the same, the CFST latticed specimens have a wider $P - \delta_t(\varepsilon)$ hysteretic curve and a slower decline in load-carrying capacity after achieving $P_{u,e}$ than steel counterparts due to the improved performance of circular CFST limbs; while a wider $P - \delta_t(\varepsilon)$ hysteretic curve is produced for the CFST latticed specimens with a larger *n* and a smaller D/T.

(3) While *n* kept unaltered, the CFST latticed specimen with D/T=51.5 results in 95% greater $P_{u,e}$, 20.7% greater $K_{a,e}$ and 69.9% greater I_d than the relevant steel latticed specimen, and for the CFST latticed specimen with D/T=24.9, the above enhance percentages are 22.6%, 23.4% and 34.9%, respectively. Moreover, $P_{u,e}$, $K_{a,e}$ and I_d of CFST latticed specimens with D/T=24.9 are 18.0%-53.7%, 30.2%-43.1% and 7.7%-11.1% higher than those of composite specimens with D/T=51.5, respectively, and overall the CFST latticed specimens with a smaller *n* lead to a higher value of $P_{u,e}$, $K_{a,e}$ and I_d .

(4) A FE model, which reasonably contains mixed hardening and progressive damage of steel tube as well as damaged plasticity and partial stiffness recovery of concrete core, is established using the ABAQUS, and the FE-simulated cyclic behaviors of two- and four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-column specimens generally conforms with the experimental observations. The FE simulation results further show that, for four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns, there are two kinds of failure modes, namely compression-shear failure and compression-flexure failure.

590 (5) By the FE modelling, the impact of key parameters on the $P - \delta_t$ hysteretic/backbone curve 591 and unloading/reloading paths is discovered, and the RFM for the four-limbed circular CFST latticed 592 beam-columns is further established based on the regression analysis of the FE simulation results. 593 Generally, the simplified $P - \delta_t$ hysteretic curves according to the RFM are in good agreement with 594 the FE simulation and test results.

595 Data Availability Statement

596 Some or all data, models or code that supports the findings of this study are available from the 597 corresponding author upon reasonable request.

598 Acknowledgements

- 599 The studies in this paper are financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of
- 600 China (Projects 51678105). The financial support is gratefully acknowledged.

601 **References**

- 602 [1] ABAQUS. 2014. "*ABAQUS standard user's manual, version 6.14*." Dassault Systèmes Corp.,
 603 Providence, RI, USA.
- [2] ACI 318-19. 2019. "Building code requirements for structural concrete and commentary."
 American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI.
- [3] ANSI/AISC 360-16. 2016. "Specification for structural steel buildings." American Institute of
 Steel Construction (AISC), Chicago, Illinois.
- [4] ATC-24. 1992. "*Guidelines for cyclic seismic testing of components of steel structures*." Applied
 Technology Council, Redwood City, CA.
- [5] Birtel, V., and P. Mark. 2006. "Parameterised finite element modelling of RC beam shear failure."
 In *Proc., 19th Annual Int. ABAOUS Users* '*Conf.*, 95-108. Providence, RI: ABAQUS, Inc.
- 612 [6] CEN (European Committee for Standardization). 2004. "Design of composite steel and concrete
- *structures-Part 1-1: General rules and rules for buildings.*" EN 1994-1-1: Eurocode 4. Brussels,
 Belgium: CEN.
- 615 [7] Chen, B., Y. Zou, C. Tang, X. Yang, and M. He. 2014. "Contrast research on square and circular
- 616 CFST laced columns pseudo-static test." Chin. Civil Eng. J. 47(Suppl. 2): 108-112. https://
- 617 doi.org/10.15951/j.tmgcxb.2014.s2.017.
- 618 [8] DBJ/T13-51. 2010. "Technical specification for concrete-filled steel tubular structures." Housing

- 619 and Urban Construction Department of Fujian Province. Fujian, China.
- [9] Deng, X. 2012. "Study on the seismic performance of concrete filled steel tubular lattice column."
 Master dissertation, Central South University, Changsha, China.
- [10] Fukumoto, T., and K. Morita. 2023. "Restoring force characteristic model for bending moment-
- for angle relationship of concrete-filled square steel tube beam-columns." *Jpn. Archit. Rev.*
- 624 6(1): e12351. https://doi.org/10.1002/2475-8876.12351.
- [11]GB 50017. 2017. "Standard for design of steel structures." Beijing: China Architecture &
 Building Press.
- [12]GB 50936. 2014. "*Technical code for concrete filled steel tubular structures*." Beijing: China
 Architecture & Building Press.
- [13]Goto, Y., G. P. Kumar, and N. Kawanishi. 2010. "Nonlinear finite-element analysis for hysteretic
 behavior of thin-walled circular steel columns with in-filled concrete." *J. Struct. Eng.* 136(11):
 1413-1422. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE) ST.1943-541X.0000240.
- [14]Han, L. H., F. Y. Liao, Z. Tao, and Z. Hong. 2009. "Performance of concrete filled steel tube
 reinforced concrete columns subjected to cyclic bending." *J. Constr. Steel Res.* 65(8–9): 16071616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcsr.2009.03.013.
- [15]Han, L. H., W. Li, and R. Bjorhovde. 2014. "Developments and advanced applications of
 concrete-filled steel tubular (CFST) structures: members." *J. Constr. Steel Res.* 100: 211-228.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcsr.2014.04.016.
- 638 [16]Han, L. H., Y. F. Yang, H. Yang, and W. Li. 2020. "Life-cycle based analytical theory of
- concrete-filled steel tubular structures and its applications." *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 65(28-29): 31733184. https://doi.org/10.1360/TB-2020-0618.
- 641 [17] Han, L. H., G. H. Yao, and Z. Tao. 2007. "Performance of concrete-filled thin-walled steel tubes
- 642 under pure torsion." *Thin-Walled Struct*. 45(1): 24-36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2007.01.008.

- [18] Huang, Y. 2015. "Seismic behavior of concrete filled steel tubular built-up columns." Ph.D.
 dissertation, University of Trento, Trento, Italy.
- [19] Huang, Z., L. Z. Jiang, Y. F. Chen, Y. Lu, and W. B. Zhou. 2018. "Experimental study on the
 seismic performance of concrete filled steel tubular laced columns." *Steel Compos. Struct.* 26(6):
 719-731. https://doi.org/10.12989/scs.2018.26.6.719.
- [20] JGJ/T 101. 2015. "Specification for seismic test of buildings." Beijing: China Architecture &
 Building Press.
- [21] Ji, X., H. Kang, X. Chen, and J. Qian. 2014. "Seismic behavior and strength capacity of steel
 tube-reinforced concrete composite columns." *Earthquake Eng. Struct. Dyn.* 43(4): 487-505.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/eqe.2354.
- [22] Jia, L. J., and H. Kuwamura. 2014. "Prediction of cyclic behaviors of mild steel at large plastic
 strain using coupon test results." *J. Struct. Eng.* 140(2): 04013056. https://doi.org/10.1061/
 (ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0000848.
- [23] Kawano, A., and K. Sakino. 2003. "Seismic resistance of CFT trusses." *Eng. Struct.* 25(5): 607619. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0296(02)00170-0.
- [24]Kawano, A., C. Matsui, and Y. Sakino. 1996. "An experimental study on the elasto-plastic
 behavior and deformability of concrete-filled tubular truss beam-columns under cyclic loading." *J. Struct. Constr. Eng.* 61(482): 141-150. https://doi.org/10.3130/aijs.61.141_2.
- [25] Lai, Z., A. H. Varma, and L. G. Griffis. 2016. "Analysis and design of noncompact and slender
 CFT beam-columns." *J. Struct. Eng.* 142(1): 04015097. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)
 ST.1943-541X.0001349.
- [26] Lin, S., Y. G. Zhao, Z. H. Lu, and X. F. Yan. 2021. "Unified theoretical model for axially loaded
 concrete-filled steel tube stub columns with different cross-sectional shapes." *J. Struct. Eng.*147(10): 04021159. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0003150.

- [27] Liu, M., F. Wu, E. Abi, L. Wu, and G. Su. 2022. "Strength degradation characteristics of the steelconcrete interface under cyclic shear." *Teh. Vjesn.* 29(4): 1372-1381. https://doi.org/10.17559/
 TV-20210705101118.
- [28] Luo, Y. 2013. "Studies on the seismic performance of four-tube concrete filled steel tubular laced
 columns." Master dissertation, Central South University, Changsha, China.
- [29]Ma, D. Y., L. H. Han, W. Li, and X. L. Zhao. 2018. "Seismic performance of concrete-encased
 CFST piers: Analysis." *J. Bridge Eng.* 23(1): 04017119. https://10.1061/(ASCE)BE.19435592.0001157.
- [30] Matsui, C., and A. Kawano. 1988. "Strnegth and behavior of concrete-filled tubular truss." *In: Proceedings of the International Speciality Conference on Concrete Filled Steel Tubular Structures*, 113-119. Harbin, China.
- [31]Shi, Y., M. Wang, and Y. Wang. 2011. "Experimental and constitutive model study of structural
 steel under cyclic loading." *J. Constr. Steel Res.* 67(8): 1185-1197. https://doi.org/10.1016/
 j.jcsr.2011.02.011.
- [32] Varma, A. H., J. M. Ricles, R. Sause, and L. W. Lu. 2004. "Seismic behavior and design of highstrength square concrete-filled steel tube beam columns." *J. Struct. Eng.* 130(2): 169-179. https://
 doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9445(2004)130:2(169).
- [33] Yang, Y. F., C. Hou, and M. Liu. 2018a. "Experimental study and numerical analysis of CFSST
 columns subjected to lateral cyclic loading." *J. Struct. Eng.* 144(12): 04018219. https://doi.org/
 10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0002225.
- [34] Yang, Y. F., M. Liu, and F. Fu. 2018b. "Experimental and numerical investigation on the
 performance of three-legged CFST latticed columns under lateral cyclic loadings." *Thin-Walled Struct.* 132: 176-194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2018.08.016.
- [35] Yang, Y. F., M. Liu, C. Hou, and X. M. Bie. 2019. "Behaviour of four-legged square CFST

- latticed members under lateral cyclic loading." *J. Constr. Steel Res.* 156: 54-74. https://doi.org/
 10.1016/j.jcsr.2019.01.018.
- [36] Yang, Y. F., M. Liu, and X. M. Bie. 2022. "A research on the bearing capacity of four-legged
 CFST latticed members under axial compression." *Prog. Steel Build. Struct.* 24(5): 18-26.
 https://doi.org/10.13969/j.cnki.cn31-1893.2022.05.003.
- [37] Yuan, H., Q. Wu, Y. Huang, and Z. She. 2020. "Experimental and theoretical studies on the
 seismic performance of CFST battened built-up column piers." *Eng. Struct.* 206: 110099. https://
 doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2019.110099.

717 Table Caption List

- **Table 1.** Summary of available cyclic tests on the CFST latticed beam-columns.
- **Table 2.** Information of the specimens.
- **Table 3.** Material properties of steel CHS.
- **Table 4.** Parameters in the mixed hardening plasticity model of steel tube.
- **Table 5.** Parameters for ductile damage initiation criterion of steel tube.

723

Tables:

			annina y	or available	cyclic tests	on the ci		a beam e	orunnis.	
No.	Section form	Layout of lacings	L (mm)	$D \times T$ (mm×mm)	$d \times t$ (mm×mm)	f _{y,li} (MPa)	f_c' (MPa)	п	Number of specimens	Ref.
1	Two- limbed	V-shape	2434	○-60.5×2.3	○-34×2.0	370.4	29.4	0-0.2	8	Kawano et al. (1996)
2	Three- limbed	M-shape	1960	○-100× 1.9/4.0	0-34×2.5	303.4/ 325.2	45.9	0.05~ 0.52	6	Yang et al. (2018b)
3	Four- limbed	M-shape	1200	○-86×1.5	0-48×2.5	315.0	34.2~ 50.0	0.2~0.4	8	Deng (2012)
4	Four- limbed	N-shape	1700	0-90×3.4 □-80×3.0	0-42×2.7	259.0	57.9	0.2	1 2	Chen et al. (2014)
5	Four- limbed	-, V-, M- and N-shape	2500	○-110×2.0	○-50×2.0	345.0	32.1~ 47.5	0.15	6	Huang (2015)
6	Four- limbed	M-shape	1200~ 3000	○-86×1.5	0-48×2.0 ∼3.0	315.0	16.3	0.2~ 0.3	4	Huang et al. (2018)
7	Four- limbed	M-shape	1960	□-100× 1.8/3.5	□-34×2.5	297.6/ 275.5	59.3	0.05~ 0.5	6	Yang et al. (2019)
8	Four- limbed	-shape	2500	○-114×2.0	0-48×2.0	345.0	34.1~ 54.6	0.15	7	Yuan et al. (2020)

 Table 1. Summary of available cyclic tests on the CFST latticed beam-columns

Note: The limb tubes/lacings of each specimen have the same section size and physical properties, and 'o' and 'D' denote circular hollow section (CHS) and square hollow section (SHS), respectively.

Table 2. Information	of the s	pecimens.
----------------------	----------	-----------

No. Label		$D \! imes T$	$d \times t$	2	D/T	12	N_0	$P_{u,e}$	K _{a,e}	Ι.	
INO.	Laber	(mm)	(mm)	Λe	D/I	п	(kN)	(kN)	(kN/mm)	Id	
1	S-A-0.25	○-100×1.94	0-34×2.46	27.7	51.5	0.25	182.5	66.0	3.38	2.56	
2	S-B-0.25	○-100×4.01	0-34×2.46	31.1	24.9	0.25	344.1	140.5	4.72	3.47	
3	C-A-0.05	○-100×1.94	0-34×2.46	35.1	51.5	0.05	93.7	117.9	8.45	5.78	
4	C-A-0.25	○-100×1.94	0-34×2.46	35.1	51.5	0.25	468.5	128.7	7.46	4.35	
5	C-A-0.50	○-100×1.94	0-34×2.46	35.1	51.5	0.50	937.0	131.2	7.13	4.13	
6	C-B-0.05	○-100×4.01	0-34×2.46	36.8	24.9	0.05	131.0	181.2	11.00	6.42	
7	C-B-0.25	○-100×4.01	0-34×2.46	36.8	24.9	0.25	654.9	172.2	10.54	4.68	
8	C-B-0.50	0-100×4.01	0-34×2.46	36.8	24.9	0.50	1309.9	154.8	10.20	4.45	

Note: 1) 'S' and 'C' represent steel CHS limb and circular CFST limb, respectively; 2) 'A' and 'B' represent D/T value of steel CHS in the limbs of 51.5 and 24.9, respectively; and 3) the values of 0.05, 0.25 and 0.50 indicate the axial compression level.

Table 3. Material pr	operties of steel CHS.
----------------------	------------------------

	Table 5. Material properties of steer CHS.										
Tumo	Diameter	Thickness	Yield strength	Tensile strength	Elastic modulus	Doisson's ratio	Elongation				
Туре	(mm)	(mm)	(MPa)	(MPa)	(N/mm^2)	Poisson's fatio	(%)				
Limb	100	1.94	325.2	413.2	1.80×10^{5}	0.289	19.9				
LIIID	100	4.01	303.4	426.2	1.96×10 ⁵	0.274	20.1				
Lacing	34	2.46	332.4	452.3	2.00×10^{5}	0.300	-				

Table 4. Parameters in the mixed hardening plasticity model of steel tube.

	$D \times T/d \times t$	Isotropic hardening				Nonlinear kinematic hardening							
Туре	$(\text{mm}\times\text{mm})$	$\sigma _0$ (MPa)	Q_{∞} (MPa)	b_s	$C_{kin,1}$ (MPa)	γı	$C_{kin,2}$ (MPa)	<i>Y</i> 2	$C_{kin,3}$ (MPa)	<i>Y</i> 3	$C_{kin,4}$ (MPa)	7 4	Ref.
Limb	60.5×2.3	370.4	21	1.0	8450.2	175.5	7176.9	115.1	2814.3	34.5	1556.2	27.6	Kawano et
Lacing	34×2.0	381.2	21	1.2	8644.6	175.7	7348.6	114.7	2797.4	34.7	1601.4	27.0	al. (1996)
Limb	86×1.5	315.0	21	1.2	7453.0	174 5	6206.0	117 1	2000.0	33.5	1324 5	30.6	Deng
Lacing	48×2.5	515.0	21	1.2	7455.0	174.5	0290.0	11/.1	2900.9	55.5	1524.5	30.0	(2012)
Limb	110×2.0	345.0	21	1.2	7993.0	175.0	6773.0	116.0	2854.0	34.0	1450.0	29.0	Huang
Lacing	50×2.0	374.0	21	1.2	8515.0	175.5	7234.1	114.9	2808.7	34.5	1571.3	27.4	(2015)
Limb	86×1.5	315.0	21	1.2	7453.0	174.5	6296.0	117.1	2900.9	33.5	1324.5	30.6	Huang et
Lacing	48×2.0/3.0	320.0	21	1.2	7543.0	174.5	6375.5	116.9	2893.1	33.5	1345.5	30.4	al. (2018)
Limb	100×1.94	325.2			7636.6	174.6	6458.2	116.7	2885.0	33.6	1367.2	30.1	This
LIIID	100×4.01	303.4	21	1.2	7244.2	174.2	6111.6	117.5	2919.0	33.2	1276.0	31.3	
Lacing	34×2.46	332.4			7766.2	174.8	6572.7	116.5	2873.7	33.8	1397.3	29.7	paper

Туре	$D \times T/d \times t$ (mm×mm)	K_p (MPa)	m_s	C_1	C_2
Limb	100×1.94	582.76	0.103	0.055	0.222
	100×4.01	652.25	0.145	0.083	0.224
Lacing	34×2.46	680.97	0.135	0.077	0.223

Figures:



Fig. 1. Configuration and dimensions of the specimens (units are in millimeters).



Fig. 2. Testing set-up and instrumentation.



Fig. 3. History of lateral displacement.





(a) (b) (c) **Fig. 5.** Representative failure modes of concrete core in the CFST limbs: (a) compound crushing and cracking; (b) fracture; and (c) indentation.



(a) (b) **Fig. 6.** Lateral displacement along the length of typical specimens: (a) S-A-0.25; and (b) C-A-0.25.



Fig. 7. $P - \delta_t/L$ hysteretic curve of the specimens: (a) S-A-0.25; (b) C-A-0.05; (c) C-A-0.25; (d) C-A-0.50; (e) S-B-0.25; (f) C-B-0.05; (g) C-B-0.25; and (h) C-B-0.50. (×: tested starting point of limb tube cracking; and Δ : predicted starting point of limb tube cracking)



(a) (b) **Fig. 8.** Effect of parameters on $P - \delta_t / L$ backbone curve of the specimens: (a) D/T=51.5; and (b) D/T=24.9.



Fig. 9. Effect of parameters on $P-\varepsilon$ hysteretic curves: (a) type of limb; and (b) axial compression level.



and (c) ductility index.





Fig. 12. Effect of parameters on $E - \delta_t / L$ relationship.



Fig. 13. Meshing and boundary conditions of the FE model.



Fig. 14. First buckling eigenmode of limb tubes and lacings in typical composite specimens (Ampification factor=100): (a) CN1R; (b) CB1R; (c) C-A-n; and (d) C-B-n.



Fig. 15. Effect of λ_e on the failure modes of typical four-limbed circular CFST latticed beamcolumns.



Fig. 16. Typical comparison between the predicted $P - \delta_t/L$ hysteretic curves and the tested results in the literature: (a) CN1R; (b) CB1R; (c) CB0R; (d) CB2R; (e) GZZ1; (f) GZZ3; (g) S1; (h) S5; (i) S6; (j) SCC1; (k) SCC5; and (l) SCC6.



Fig. 17. Typical comparison between the predicted and tested lateral displacement distribution of the specimens: (a) S-A-0.25; (b) C-A-0.25; (c) S-B-0.25; and (d) C-B-0.25.



Fig. 18. Comparison between the predicted and tested $P-\varepsilon$ hysteretic curves of typical specimens: (a) C-A-0.25; and (b) C-B-0.25.



(a) (b) (c) (d) **Fig. 19.** Comparison between the predicted and tested deterioration of stiffness: (a) specimens in Huang (2015); (b) specimens in Huang et al. (2018); (c) specimens (C-A-n series) in this study; and (d) specimens (C-B-n series) in this study.



Fig. 20. Comparison between the predicted and tested mechanical factors: (a) lateral capacity; and (b) elastic stiffness.



(d) (e) (f) **Fig. 21.** Effect of main parameters on $P - \delta_t$ hysteretic curve of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns: (a) D/T; (b) $f_{y,li}$; (c) f_c' ; (d) d/t; (e) n; and (f) λ_m .



(a) (b) **Fig. 22.** RFM of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns: (a) backbone curve; and (b) unloading/reloading paths.



(d) (e) (f) **Fig. 23.** Comparison of key parameters in the RFM between the simplified and FE simulation results: (a) P_m ; (b) $\delta_{t,m}$; (c) P_y ; (d) K_a ; (e) K_T ; and (f) $K_r/K_a - \delta_{t,m}/\delta_{t,r}$ relationship.



Fig. 24. Typical comparison between the RFM and FE/test $P - \delta_t/L$ hysteretic curves: (a) RFM and FE result; and (b) RFM and test result.

Fig. 1. Configuration and dimensions of the specimens (units are in millimeters).

Fig. 2. Testing set-up and instrumentation.

Fig. 3. History of lateral displacement.

Fig. 4. Failure modes of the specimens: (a) tested result; and (b) predicted result.

Fig. 5. Representative failure modes of concrete core in the CFST limbs: (a) compound crushing and cracking; (b) fracture; and (c) indentation.

Fig. 6. Lateral displacement along the length of typical specimens: (a) S-A-0.25; and (b) C-A-0.25.

Fig. 7. $P - \delta_t / L$ hysteretic curve of the specimens: (a) S-A-0.25; (b) C-A-0.05; (c) C-A-0.25; (d) C-A-0.50; (e) S-B-0.25; (f) C-B-0.05; (g) C-B-0.25; and (h) C-B-0.50.

Fig. 8. Effect of parameters on $P - \delta_t/L$ backbone curve of the specimens: (a) D/T=51.5; and (b) D/T=24.9.

Fig. 9. Effect of parameters on $P-\varepsilon$ hysteretic curves: (a) type of limb; and (b) axial compression level.

Fig. 10. Variation in mechanical factors of the specimens: (a) lateral capacity; (b) elastic stiffness; and (c) ductility index.

Fig. 11. Deterioration of stiffness of the specimens: (a) D/T=51.5; and (b) D/T=24.9.

Fig. 12. Effect of parameters on $E - \delta_t / L$ relationship.

Fig. 13. Meshing and boundary conditions of the FE model.

Fig. 14. First buckling eigenmode of limb tubes and lacings in typical composite specimens (Ampification factor=100): (a) CN1R; (b) CB1R; (c) C-A-n; and (d) C-B-n.

Fig. 15. Effect of λ_e on the failure modes of typical four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns.

Fig. 16. Typical comparison between the predicted $P - \delta_t / L$ hysteretic curves and the tested results in the literature: (a) CN1R; (b) CB1R; (c) CB0R; (d) CB2R; (e) GZZ1; (f) GZZ3; (g) S1; (h) S5; (i) S6; (j) SCC1; (k) SCC5; and (l) SCC6.

Fig. 17. Typical comparison between the predicted and tested lateral displacement distribution of the specimens: (a) S-A-0.25; (b) C-A-0.25; (c) S-B-0.25; and (d) C-B-0.25.

Fig. 18. Comparison between the predicted and tested $P-\varepsilon$ hysteretic curves of typical specimens: (a) C-A-0.25; and (b) C-B-0.25.

Fig. 19. Comparison between the predicted and tested deterioration of stiffness: (a) specimens in Huang (2015); (b) specimens in Huang et al. (2018); (c) specimens (C-A-n series) in this study; and (d) specimens (C-B-n series) in this study.

Fig. 20. Comparison between the predicted and tested mechanical factors: (a) lateral capacity; and (b) elastic stiffness.

Fig. 21. Effect of main parameters on $P - \delta_t$ hysteretic curve of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns: (a) D/T; (b) $f_{y,li}$; (c) f'_c ; (d) d/t; (e) n; and (f) λ_m .

Fig. 22. RFM of four-limbed circular CFST latticed beam-columns: (a) backbone curve; and (b) unloading/reloading paths.

Fig. 23. Comparison of key parameters in the RFM between the simplified and FE simulation results: (a) P_m ; (b) $\delta_{t,m}$; (c) P_y ; (d) K_a ; (e) K_T ; and (f) K_r/K_a - $\delta_{t,m}/\delta_{t,r}$ relationship.

Fig. 24. Typical comparison between the RFM and FE/test $P - \delta_t/L$ hysteretic curves: (a) RFM and FE result; and (b) RFM and test result.