



City Research Online

City St George's, University of London

Citation: Campbell, P. & Callaghan, T. (2022). Acceptability of home-based visual field testing for glaucoma monitoring: Accuracy and reliability data. Paper presented at the 24th international meeting of the Imaging and Perimetry Society, 10-13 Aug 2022, Berkeley, California..

This is the presentation version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version. To cite this item please consult the publisher's version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/32071/>

Copyright and Reuse: Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, unless otherwise indicated, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. For full details of reuse please refer to [City Research Online policy](#).

Adherence, accuracy, and reliability of home-based visual field testing for glaucoma monitoring

Peter Campbell
Tamsin Callaghan
Pete Jones
David Edgar
David Crabb



 [@crabblab](https://twitter.com/crabblab)
[@petercampbellc1](https://twitter.com/petercampbellc1)



Optometry and Visual Sciences
School of Health Sciences

Financial disclosures



This work is supported by:

- Glaucoma UK/INTERNATIONAL GLAUCOMA ASSOCIATION Research Award
- An earlier project grant from Fight for Sight charity
- UK Research and Innovation Grant



**UK Research
and Innovation**



**THE COLLEGE OF
OPTOMETRISTS**

Visual Field testing

Detecting
Progression

Capacity

COVID-19

HOME
MONITORING

Icare® HOME
tonometer




Practical recommendations for measuring rates of
visual field change in glaucoma

B C Chauhan,¹ D F Garway-Heath,² F J Goñi,³ L Rossetti,⁴ B Bengtsson,⁵
A C Viswanathan,² A Heijl⁵

Home visual field testing

- Tablet-based devices and head mounted displays have been developed
- They have been shown to approximate conventional SAP perimetry (HVF)

Melbourne Rapid Field Test




Vingrys, A.J., et al, 2016. Validation of a tablet as a tangent perimeter. *TVST*, 5(4)




A. Head-mounted (i-H) type

Kimura, T et al ., 2019.
Comparison of head-mounted perimetry (imo[®]) and Humphrey Field Analyzer. *Clinical Ophthalmology*

- Since 2013 we have developed **Eyecatcher®**
- Designed to perform hospital grade visual field assessments at home






- “ZEST-like” thresholding algorithm, a central fixation cross, and a button press response.
- 4 x 6 grid corresponding to the central 24 locations of a standard 24-2 perimetric grid ($\pm 15^\circ$ horizontal; $\pm 9^\circ$ vertical).







STUDY AIMS

- Are glaucoma patients willing to comply with a home-testing regime (**adherence**)?
- Do home perimeter continue to produce high quality VF data when operated at home, unsupervised (**accuracy**)?



Procedure



- 2 x HFA (24-2 SITA Fast) per eye




- Eyecatcher VF test both eyes at home




- 2 x HFA (24-2 SITA Fast) per eye and semi-structured interview

Results



- 20 glaucoma participants (median MD = -8.9dB)
- Adherence (percentage of tests completed) = 98.3%.


Repeatability of Eyecatcher[®] 2.0



- Good **concordance** between individual VF locations
- Pearson Correlation; $r = 0.86$, $P \ll 0.001$



Eyecatcher[®] 2.0 Accuracy



- Strong association ($p<0.0001$) between Eyecatcher (mean of 6 tests) and HFA (mean of 4 tests)
- Correlation $r = 0.94^*$

*Pearson Correlation; $P < 0.001$]




What we found



Jones, P.R., et al., (2021) Glaucoma home monitoring using a tablet-based visual field test (Eyecatcher) *AJO*



- Participants showed excellent adherence for home monitoring
- Data from 6 home-monitoring tests were in good agreement with 4 SAP tests conducted in clinic (accuracy).
- Home-monitoring of VFs is viable for some patients.

- Inexpensive (~£400) smartglasses, connected to an ordinary android smartphone
- Designed to address our findings and practical limitations highlighted





Repeatability and accuracy of Eyecatcher[®] 3.0



Limitations



- Paracentral vision was assessed
- How representative are participants of wider glaucoma community?

*Who would
benefit from
home
monitoring?*



- Currently being evaluated as a home-monitoring for children with glaucoma and as a glaucoma case-finding tool in sub-Saharan Africa



Thank you

Our participants



CITY
UNIVERSITY OF LONDON
EST 1894



@crabblab



UK Research
and Innovation



THE COLLEGE OF
OPTOMETRISTS



**FIGHT
FOR SIGHT**
The Eye Research Charity

E: Peter.campbell@city.ac.uk

www.eyecatchervision.com



Reference



Jones, P.R., et al., (2021) Glaucoma home monitoring using a tablet-based visual field test (Eyecatcher): an assessment of accuracy and adherence over 6 months. *American journal of ophthalmology*, 223, pp.42-52

www.eyecatchervision.com