

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Malamateniou, C. (2024). Technology-enabled patient care in medical radiation sciences: the two sides of the coin. Journal of Medical Radiation Sciences, doi: 10.1002/jmrs.807

This is the published version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/33246/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1002/jmrs.807

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.
 City Research Online:
 http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/
 publications@city.ac.uk

EDITORIAL

Open Access

Technology-enabled patient care in medical radiation sciences: the two sides of the coin

Christina Malamateniou, PhD, MA, BSc (Hons), SFHEA, DIC, MAcadMEd^{1,2,3,4} in

¹Department of Midwifery & Radiography, School of Health and Psychological Sciences, City, University of London, London, UK ²Discipline of Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy, College of Medicine and Health, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland ³European Federation of Radiographer Societies, Cumiera, Portugal

⁴European Society of Medical Imaging Informatics, Vienna, Austria

J Med Radiat Sci 00 (2024) 1-4

doi: 10.1002/jmrs.807

Introduction: Healthcare Innovation in Medical Radiation Sciences (MRS): Part of Our Professional Identity

Technological innovation has been intertwined with MRS since its inception. The dyadic nature of the role and professional identity of a radiographer, radiation therapist and nuclear medicine technologist (or medical radiation practitioner as more commonly known in Australia and New Zealand) commands both technological acumen and patient care expertise.¹ More specifically, medical radiation practitioners are expert healthcare professionals who use technology in medical imaging and radiation therapy to benefit the patients through improvement of diagnosis and/or treatment.² They achieve these while ensuring patient safety and an optimal patient experience. This duality of our role (technical expertise coupled with patient care values) is a commonly accepted principle we are educated with, and practise within, one we cannot operate without and that differentiates us from other healthcare professions.

MRS Innovation as Key to Person-Centred Care

There is an abundance of technological innovation within the past 50 years of the MRS professions. This includes, but is not limited to, the different generations of medical imaging equipment and hardware (computed radiography (CR) and digital radiography (DR) systems, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) scanners, ultrasound probes, interventional radiology tools and linear accelerators), all of which aim to deliver safer, better, faster care for all patients.^{3,4} Furthermore, new software updates hold promise for improved image acquisition, reconstruction and postprocessing, for improved image and therapy quality, higher diagnostic accuracy, precision medicine and better patient outcomes.^{5–7}

There is an increasing recognition of the importance of patient needs and preferences expressed by recent movements like co-production^{8,9} and co-design of health care. More effort and time have been subsequently invested in improving patient experience¹⁰ and comfort, preparing them for an examination and ensuring optimal communication before, during and after their visit in radiology or radiotherapy. Elaborate simulation programmes or suites, virtual reality and digital twinning interventions to prepare patients for scans or complex procedures are on the rise.^{11,12} Given the increasingly culturally diverse populations encountered in clinical practice, different technological innovations are employed to improve accessibility and inclusion. Artificial intelligence-enabled communicators, like the mobile-based ones, described in the paper of Taylor and McLean¹³ are being trialled to ensure clear understanding of patient needs and preferences and to enable a safe and optimal examination, tailored to them. A variety of innovations explore ways to bring healthcare to the patient's bedside, minimise patient travelling and declutter the busy hospitals and clinical centres. These include wearable devices to monitor patient health, or the newly introduced mobile ultrasound or MRI equipment.^{14,15}

The Rise of Artificial Intelligence: A New Friend or Foe on the Horizon?

The principle of artificial intelligence (AI) was established since the early 1950s and was initially practised in quiet computer laboratories.¹⁶ For many years, it remained the

© 2024 The Author(s). Journal of Medical Radiation Sciences published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Australian Society of Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy and New Zealand Institute of Medical Radiation Technology. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License,

which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

privilege and exclusive study reserved for the few, mainly computer scientists and biomedical engineers, who could understand and work with complex maths and stats. It took some years for AI to be brought from 'the lab to the clinic' and to start to be explored for clinical applications on patients.¹⁷⁻²⁰ There were three vital events that propagated this translation of AI to clinical practice: (1) the 'big data' era in the early 2000s, (2) the advancement of computational processing power, which led to the rapid digitisation of health care with, and (3) the increasing understanding of neuroscience on how the human brain learns and consolidates knowledge, setting the basis for machine learning in recent years.²¹ We are currently at an active stage of exploration, which will likely last for the next decade or so, until we have more robust data from AI implementation and real life use cases, to be able to determine the actual benefits and potential risks.²² Until this has happened, we cannot really be sure of the actual added value of AI for our patients, healthcare professionals and healthcare systems. We do already know, though, that AI is a form of disruptive innovation and requires robust testing both before application and after deployment.^{23,24}

Embracing Technology-Enabled Patient Care in MRS

All these new software and hardware technological solutions, whether AI-enabled or not, are aiming to revolutionise the way health care in delivered, and the same holds true for the MRS profession. Medical radiation practitioners should be well prepared by nurture (education) and culture (professional identity) to adopt and work with latest technological developments. This could give them a unique advantage to assume roles as AI ambassadors, early adopters and leaders in diffusion of innovation in healthcare. It also creates a unique role of responsibility for every healthcare professional in MRS, in order to maximise benefits and minimise the risks of these new technologies. As these technologies are, often, untested, there needs to be centralised support for customised practitioner training and robust governance frameworks to offer guidance for technology deployment and adoption. In a well-connected world, like the one we live in, a thoroughly evaluated technological solution will bring upon benefits for the whole of humanity and save thousands, potentially millions, of lives. This was the case of the latest mammography reporting software, that was recently disseminated in the United Kingdom (UK) and globally.²⁵ Similarly, a poorly designed or inadequately tested technological innovation can create confusion and potentially harm patients and practitioners alike.²⁶ Reliability, safety, reproducibility, patient consent, when 2051 3999, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1022/jmrs.807 by City University Of London Library, Wiley Online Library on [0107/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for loss of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

using patient data, anonymity and confidentiality, all need to be thoroughly considered.²²

Harnessing Technological Innovation for Patient Benefit in MRS and Beyond

What is, therefore, required to be able to harness the benefits of technology to improve our ailing healthcare systems? How can healthcare professionals safely engage in that process, while abiding by the 'do no harm' principle?

- 1. Co-design and co-production of innovation with key stakeholders. Different studies show that there is huge value in co-production and co-design to ensure success for innovations in health care (and beyond).^{8,9} These efforts should include both the practitioners and patients/public throughout the innovation lifecycle, from conceptualisation and design, testing, implementation and port-market monitoring. The authentic contribution of end-users can help refine the scope, ensure user-friendliness and ergonomics, support safety, maximise accuracy, enhance transferability and interoperability, enable clinical efficacy and seamless deployment and adoption in clinical workflows and minimise the cost of any new technological intervention^{7–9} Including these key stakeholders early in their product development pipeline is not just the 'ethical thing' to do; the cost of the "afterthought" and exclusion of patients and practitioners is huge.²⁷ Co-production is not, and should not be, tokenistic; it is an essential stage of robust industrial design. So, medical radiation practitioners, members of the multi-disciplinary team, patients and families/carers are essential resource in the development, testing and implementation of healthcare innovation, as experts by training, or experts by experience.
- 2. *Robust governance frameworks.* These could often be at national, or international level or involve some type of accreditation/evaluation standards relating to the safe use of new technologies. These can include standards for training, testing, deployment and post-market monitoring and should be backed up by well-designed research evidence. There are many national or international organisations that can do this, such as the British Standards Institute (BSI)²⁸ or the International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO),²⁹ and recently more work on this has been accentuated by the artificial intelligence sector and the different professional bodies and registration boards globally.^{30,31}
- 3. *Education and training* that is not just theoretical but also practical, for the new technologies that we are

about to use and apply. This is vital, given that MRS professions are applied science professions, where consolidation of theory and translation of research materialise through clinical applications.³² The knowledge, skills and competencies necessary for diffusion of innovation by clinical practitioners will also need to be carefully considered in curriculum design and be evidence-based.

Conclusion

In the current healthcare landscape, there is an increase in technological advancements that can address our healthcare concerns and needs. However, it is imperative that we approach the development and testing of these innovations with the same level of caution, diligence and care that we have historically applied to new practices, tools or standards aimed at enhancing patient wellbeing.³³ Active engagement, robust governance and customised education are essential for us to contribute to this transformative era in health care.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no new data were generated or analysed for this study.

References

- Niemi A, Paasivaara L. Meaning contents of radiographers' professional identity as illustrated in a professional journal

 A discourse analytical approach. *Radiography* 2007; 13: 258–64.
- Society and College of Radiographers [cited 2024 April 19]. Available from: https://www.sor.org/about/aboutradiography.
- Börnert P, Norris DG. A half-century of innovation in technology—Preparing MRI for the 21st century. *Br J Radiol* 2020; 93: 20200113.
- Feain IJ, Court L, Palta JR, Beddar S, Keall P. Innovations in radiotherapy technology. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 2017; 29: 120–8.
- Schaefer-Prokop CM, De Boo DW, Uffmann M, Prokop M. DR and CR: Recent advances in technology. *Eur J Radiol* 2009; **72**: 194–201.
- Malamateniou C, Malik SJ, Counsell SJ, et al. Motion-compensation techniques in neonatal and fetal MR imaging. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2013; 34: 1124–36.

- Kabasawa H. MR imaging in the 21st century: Technical innovation over the first two decades. *Magn Reson Med Sci* 2022; 21: 71–82.
- 8. Batalden M, Batalden P, Margolis P, et al. Coproduction of healthcare service. *BMJ Qual Saf* 2016; **25**: 509–17.
- Elwyn G, Nelson E, Hager A, Price A. Coproduction: When users define quality. *BMJ Qual Saf* 2020; 29: 711–6.
- Hyde E, Hardy M. Patient centred care in diagnostic radiography (part 1): Perceptions of service users and service deliverers. *Radiography* 2021; 27: 8–13.
- Anwar I, McCabe B, Simcock C, Harvey-Lloyd J, Malamateniou C. Paediatric magnetic resonance imaging adaptations without the use of sedation or anaesthesia: A narrative review. *J Med Imaging Radiat Sci* 2022; 53: 505–14.
- 12. Katsoulakis E, Wang Q, Wu H, et al. Digital twins for health: A scoping review. *NPJ Digit Med* 2024; **7**: 77.
- Taylor B, McLean G. Exploring the use of mobile translation applications for culturally and linguistically diverse patients during medical imaging examinations in Australia – A systematic review. *J Med Radiat Sci* 2024. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmrs.755.
- Pedersen PC, Dickson BW, Chakareski J. Telemedicine applications of mobile ultrasound. In: IEEE International Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing, IEEE, Rio de Janeiro 2009; 1–6.
- Canali S, Schiaffonati V, Aliverti A. Challenges and recommendations for wearable devices in digital health: Data quality, interoperability, health equity, fairness. *PLoS Digit Health* 2022; 1: e0000104.
- Harvard University, 2024 [cited 2024 June 4]. Available from: https://sitn.hms.harvard.edu/flash/2017/historyartificial-intelligence/.
- Lewis SJ, Gandomkar Z, Brennan PC. Artificial intelligence in medical imaging practice: Looking to the future. *J Med Radiat Sci* 2019; 66: 292–5.
- 18. Currie G, Nelson T, Hewis J, et al. Australian perspectives on artificial intelligence in medical imaging. *J Med Radiat Sci* 2022; **69**: 282–92.
- 19. Jones S, Thompson K, Porter B, et al. Automation and artificial intelligence in radiation therapy treatment planning. *J Med Radiat Sci* 2023; **71**: 290–8.
- Wong DJ, Gandomkar Z, Wu WJ, et al. Artificial intelligence and convolution neural networks assessing mammographic images: A narrative literature review. J Med Radiat Sci 2020; 67: 134–42.
- Stanford AI Course, 2022 [cited 2024 June 4]. Available from: https://online.stanford.edu/programs/artificialintelligence-professional-program.
- 22. Walsh G, Stogiannos N, van de Venter R, et al. Responsible AI practice and AI education are central to AI implementation: a rapid review for all medical imaging professionals in Europe. *BJR Open* 2023; **5**: 20230033.

- Strohm L, Hehakaya C, Ranschaert ER, Boon WPC, Moors EHM. Implementation of artificial intelligence (AI) applications in radiology: Hindering and facilitating factors. *Eur Radiol* 2020; **30**: 5525–32.
- González-Gonzalo C, Thee EF, Klaver CCW, et al. Closing the gap between development and integration of AI systems in ophthalmic practice. *Prog Retin Eye Res* 2022; 90: 101034.
- BBC News England [cited 2024 March 21]. Available from: https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/technology-68607059.
- 26. Stogiannos N, Litosseliti L, O'Regan T, et al. Black box no more: A cross-sectional multi-disciplinary survey for exploring governance and guiding adoption of AI in medical imaging and radiotherapy in the UK. *Int J Med Inform* 2024; 25: 105423.
- Abernethy A, Adams L, Barrett M, et al. The promise of digital health: Then, now, and the future. NAM Perspect Washington, DC, 2022; https://doi.org/10.31478/202206e.
- Sujan M, Smith-Frazer C, Malamateniou C, et al. Validation framework for the use of AI in healthcare: Overview of the new British standard BS30440. *BMJ Health Care Inform* 2023; 30: e100749.
- International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO) [cited 2024 April 20]. Available from: https://www.iso.org/ home.html.
- 30. Malamateniou C, McFadden S, McQuinlan Y, et al. Artificial intelligence: Guidance for clinical imaging and

therapeutic radiography professionals, a summary by the Society of Radiographers AI working group. *Radiography* 2021; **27**: 1192–202.

- 31. RANZCR. Position Statement on the Regulation of Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, 2022 [viewed on 2024 June 4]. Available from: https://www.ranzcr.com/college/ document-library/ranzcr-position-statement-on-theregulation-of-artificial-intelligence-in-medicine.
- 32. van de Venter R, Skelton E, Matthew J, et al. Artificial intelligence education for radiographers, an evaluation of a UK postgraduate educational intervention using participatory action research: A pilot study. *Insights Imaging* 2023; 14: 25.
- Dutta A. Unlocking the power of artificial intelligence: Revolutionising clinical medicine for a healthier future. J Med Evid 2023; 4: 271–3.

Correspondence

Christina Malamateniou, Department of Midwifery & Radiography, School of Health and Psychological Sciences, City, University of London, Northampton Square, London, EC1V0HB, UK. Tel: +44-207-0403318; E-mail: christina.malamateniou@city.ac.uk