

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Yargawa, J., Daniele, M., Pickerill, K., Vidler, M., Koech, A., Jah, H., Mwashigadi, G., Mwaniki, M., von Dadelszen, P., Temmerman, M., et al (2025). Content and design of respectful maternity care training packages for health workers in sub-Saharan Africa: Scoping review. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics, 168(3), pp. 857-874. doi: 10.1002/ijgo.15938

This is the published version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/33978/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.15938

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online: http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/ publications@city.ac.uk/

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Obstetrics



Content and design of respectful maternity care training packages for health workers in sub-Saharan Africa: Scoping review

Judith Yargawa ^{1,2} Marina Daniele ³ Kelly Pickerill ⁴ Marianne Vidler ⁴
Angela Koech ^{5,6} 💿 Hawanatu Jah ⁷ 💿 Grace Mwashigadi ⁶ 💿 Mukaindo Mwaniki ⁵ 💿
Peter von Dadelszen ^{8,9}
Hannah Blencowe ² and the PRECISE-DYAD Network

¹Wolfson Institute of Population Health, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

²Maternal, Adolescent, Reproductive & Child Health (MARCH) Centre, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

³Centre for Maternal and Child Health Research, City University of London, London, UK

⁴Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

⁵Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Aga Khan University, Nairobi, Kenya

⁶Center of Excellence in Women and Child Health, Aga Khan University, Nairobi, Kenya

⁷Medical Research Council Unit, Fajara, The Gambia

⁸Department of Women and Children's Health, King's College London, London, UK

⁹Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology and BC Children's Hospital Research Institute, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

¹⁰Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

Abstract

Background: Training health workers might facilitate respectful maternity care (RMC); however, the content and design of RMC training remain unclear.

Objective: To explore the content and design of RMC training packages for health workers in sub-Saharan Africa.

Search Strategy: MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL Complete, Web of Science Core Collections, SCOPUS, and grey literature sources (including websites of RMC-focused key organizations and Ministries of Health) were searched for journal papers, reports, and training guides from January 2006 up to August 2022.

Selection Criteria: There were no restrictions on study designs, language, or healthworker cadre. Two reviewers independently screened results.

Data Collection and Analysis: Key data, including training content and methods used, were extracted and summarized.

Main Results: Thirty-two citations from 26 studies/programs were identified (24 journal papers, 5 manuals/guides, 2 reports and 1 PhD thesis), with 27 citations from 22 studies informing the review findings. About half of all conducted studies were from East Africa. The most common topics in RMC trainings were communication, privacy and confidentiality, and human resources. Most trainings were multicomponent and appear to be largely in-service training. Health workers providing direct care to women, compared with non-clinical staff such as receptionists and cleaners, were the only recipients of training in most studies (81.8%). Two broad categories of training methods/tools were identified: workshop-based and action-based. Over 90% of the studies assessed impact of the training, with a majority focused on impacts on maternal health and care; however, half of the latter studies did not appear to have feedback mechanisms in place for implementing change.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2024 The Author(s). International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.

Correspondence

Judith Yargawa, Wolfson Institute of Population Health, Queen Mary University of London, Charterhouse Square, London EC1M 6BQ, UK. Email: j.yargawa@qmul.ac.uk

Funding information

NIHR-Wellcome Partnership for Global Health Research, Grant/Award Number: 217123/Z/19/Z **Conclusions:** The content and design of RMC training in sub-Saharan Africa are multifaceted, suggesting the complexity of implementing/promoting RMC. Some progress has been made; however, missed opportunities in training remain with respect to study populations, training topics, cadres, and feedback mechanisms.

KEYWORDS

disrespect and abuse, health workers, respectful maternity care, sub-Saharan Africa, training

1 | INTRODUCTION

The improved coverage in institutional births globally is leading to a greater focus on the quality and experiences of care for women and newborns. As a result, respectful maternity care (RMC) has gained significant attention in the past decade. All birth environments should be free from disrespect and abuse (D&A), and actively promote respectful care. To achieve high quality of care, health care should be safe, effective, patient-centered/acceptable, efficient, accessible, and equitable. The White Ribbon Alliance has developed an RMC Charter outlining 10 rights to which women and newborns are entitled when receiving maternity care. The WHO Quality of Care Framework considers provision of care to be just as important as experience of care.

Significant progress has been made in the evidence base on RMC. The Bowser and Hill⁴ landscape study provided a pioneering, comprehensive review of the evidence on D&A, highlighting the issue on a global scale and presenting seven domains of D&A: physical abuse, non-confidential care, non-consented care, nondignified care, abandonment of care, discrimination, and detention in facilities. Since then, further reviews have been conducted including: typology of mistreatment of women during delivery in health facilities⁵; drivers of mistreatment⁶; impacts of D&A on health outcomes and postnatal care use⁷; women's perspectives on what matters to them during childbirth⁸; experiences of care after stillbirths⁹; perspectives of midwives¹⁰; tools/instruments for measurement including indicators for routine monitoring and evaluation, 11 quality assessment, 12 and general critique of methods used in prevalence studies¹³; prevalence of D&A¹⁴; effectiveness/impacts of RMC policies and interventions 15-17; and country-specific reviews and studies. 18-20

Although the knowledge base regarding the prevalence and determinants of RMC/D&A has grown substantially, evidence of intervention and implementation of RMC to address D&A is limited. Health workers lead efforts to provide quality care to women in sometimes difficult working environments^{21–23}; however, D&A is also at times perpetrated by them. Training health workers and consistently monitoring and evaluating practices can improve RMC.¹⁵ However, the content and design of these trainings remain unclear. This scoping review aimed to explore the content and design of RMC training packages for health workers in sub-Saharan Africa. Specific objectives included:

 To identify the content of RMC training packages for health workers

- 2. To identify the design of the RMC training packages (including funding)
- 3. To determine whether or not the training varies by cadre of health workforce
- 4. To find out whether or not the training is tailored to promote RMC for service users with specific characteristics
- 5. To investigate whether or not the impact of the training is assessed, the types of evaluations conducted, and the existence of feedback mechanisms for implementing change.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Eligibility criteria

Working definitions for key themes in the research topic guided the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Health workers were defined as any cadre providing either direct medical care (for example, doctors, nurses, midwives, community health extension workers) or those involved in wider healthcare operations (for instance, receptionists, porters, cleaners). We considered health workers working in any type of health facility in sub-Saharan Africa, including primary, secondary, and tertiary health facilities operated by governmental or non-governmental bodies. Training packages could either promote RMC or aim to reduce D&A.

Inclusion criteria included: studies focused on health-worker training either promoting RMC or addressing D&A (including studies focusing on only one aspect of RMC, for instance, informed consent); studies on quality-of-care training with an RMC component; qualitative and quantitative studies and non-research sources; studies conducted in sub-Saharan Africa; published in any language. Exclusion criteria included: quality of care training without an RMC component; training for health workers assisting home deliveries; and editorials or commentaries.

2.2 Information sources and search strategy

MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL Complete, Web of Science Core Collections, and SCOPUS were searched from January 1, 2006, up to November 2021. Grey literature sources were searched between November 2021 and August 2022: WHO African Index Medicus; websites of key organizations focused on RMC (White Ribbon



GYNECOLOGY OBSTETRICS FIGO WILEY 3

Alliance, HEARD Project, Quality of Care Network, International Confederation of Midwives and FIGO [International Federation of Gynecology & Obstetrics]); and websites of Ministries of Health of all 49 sub-Saharan African countries, including additional sites hosting country data (Table S1). The website searches included tab-bytab, key word, and resource repository searches. Journal papers, reports, training guides/manuals, and other relevant documents were retrieved, and additional sources were also retrieved from reviewing reference lists of included studies from databases.

Synonyms of "respectful maternity care" and "disrespect and abuse" were searched in combination with synonyms of "training" and "health workers" using both Medical Subject Headings (MESH) and free texts (Table S2).

2.3 | Study selection

Retrieved papers were exported to a central database and managed using Endnote X8 and Covidence, and Microsoft applications for grey literature. Papers were first screened by title, then abstract and full text, with a yes, no, or maybe outcome assigned. Two reviewers screened all papers from the central databases and discrepancies were resolved through discussion. High inter-rater reliability was observed, with discrepancies in around 2% of screened papers, which were subsequently resolved. As RMC training can be multicomponent and could potentially be linked to numerous topics, an additional set of second-order inclusion/exclusion criteria were used to guide the screening process (Table S3). A breakdown of studies from central databases excluded at the full-text screening stage is listed in Table S4.

2.4 Data charting, synthesis, and analysis

A data-charting excel sheet was developed to extract information (Table S5). The sheet was refined through piloting. The scoping review was primarily informed by the typology of RMC developed by Shakibazadeh et al.,²⁴ a comprehensive framework that not only covers RMC-relevant actions by health workers but also incorporates health-system-wide, woman- and family-level factors. This typology provides 12 domains of RMC: being free from harm and mistreatment; maintaining privacy and confidentiality; preserving women's dignity; prospective provision of information and seeking informed consent; ensuring continuous access to family and community support; enhancing quality of physical environment and resources; providing equitable maternity care; engaging with effective communication; respecting women's choices that strengthen their capabilities to give birth; availability of competent and motivated human resources; provision of efficient and effective care; and continuity of care.²⁴ First, the content of the identified RMC training packages was mapped to these 12 RMC domains, with provision for a 13th "other" category. Two D&A and mistreatment typologies-Bowser and Hill⁴ and Bohren et al.⁵—are widely used in the field and

were mapped to the Shakibazadeh framework to further guide data extraction (Table S6).

Data were synthesized descriptively and narratively. In a few studies, the specific content of the training was not explicitly provided but was implicitly inferable from other parts of the paper. Categories and sub-categories were developed and counts were made within each. Commonalities and heterogeneity across studies, countries, health facility types, health-worker cadres, and other factors were explored.

2.5 | Reporting

The review was registered on the Open Science Framework in August 2021. 25 Findings have been reported in accordance with the PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) checklist 26 (Table S7).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Study selection

The database searches yielded 12 643 citations, 61 full texts were screened and 18 studies were included, with four citations included from screening reference lists. A further 10 citations were obtained from the grey literature sources. Across all data sources, 32 citations from 26 studies/programs were included in the review (24 journal papers, 5 manuals/guides, 2 reports and 1 PhD thesis) (Figure 1).

3.2 | Study characteristics

Of the 32 citations, 27 citations were studies already conducted (labeled as "conducted studies"; Table 1a) and five were manuals/guides (Table 1b). The conducted studies are the focus of this review's findings, with a summary of the manuals/guides included for signposting purposes. Five of the conducted studies were additional citations from studies already incorporated in the review; these provide additional information to the main studies (labeled as "extra studies"; Table 1a). Hence the review includes 22 unique studies using a range of study designs, with before-and-after/pre-post design common. All studies were single-country studies, and about half were from East Africa (Kenya, Tanzania, Ethiopia, and Rwanda) (Table 1a). Most studies were in public-sector facilities across a range of levels, although hospitals were predominant.

3.3 | Objective 1: To identify the content of RMC training packages for health workers

Most trainings were multicomponent and somewhat complex, and included a broad range of aims including reducing D&A, changing



FIGURE 1 Flow chart of search results from all data sources.

a: One citation from the HEARD Project is a pack of 7 manuals/guides and powerpoint presentations from the Heshima Project. These have been counted as one citation in the flowchart, as they are multiple resources within a single study. b: This citation from the ICM is a pack of 3 manuals/guides and powerpoint presentation from the RESPECT toolkit. These have been counted as one citation in the flowchart, as they are multiple resources within a single study. c: Breakdown of MoH reports gotten: East Africa (3 Ethiopia, 6 Kenya, 1 Madagascar, 4 Tanzania, 7 Uganda); Central Africa (3 Equatorial Guinea, 3 Congo Republic, 1 Burundi); Southern Africa (7 Mozambique, 13 South Africa); West Africa (2 Burkina Faso, 1 multi-country including Guinea, Ghana and Nigeria, and 1 pan-African). d: 2 training manuals, 1 PhD thesis and 1 journal paper retrieved from screening reference lists.

2 reports and 1 PhD thesis)

practice, and improving maternal satisfaction (Table 2). The most frequent RMC topics were effective communication (seven studies, domain #8), maintaining privacy and confidentiality (six studies, domain #2), availability of competent and motivated human resources (six studies, domain #10), prospective provision of information and seeking informed consent (five studies, domain #4), and ensuring continuous access to family and community support (five studies, domain #5). Table \$8.1 shows specific examples of training content for each RMC domain.

Additional RMC-related topics not easily fitting into our analytical framework were included in some trainings²⁴ (Table S8.2). These included topics on rights (e.g. human rights, patients'/providers' rights), codes of conduct/ethics, attitudes (e.g. empathy, interpersonal skills, relationship development with women), and non-specific RMC topics (e.g. compassionate care, patient-centered care, prevalence of mistreatment in the setting). Beyond RMC, other topics sometimes related broadly to maternal health care (e.g. focused antenatal care, basic emergency obstetric and newborn care (BEmONC), maternal death reviews and surveillance, birth preparedness), and

healthcare management (e.g. leadership, inter-professional collaboration, problem-solving).

Objective 2: To identify the design of the **RMC** training packages

informing review

Similar to content of the training (Objective 1), the methods/tools used in the training were multicomponent.

Two broad categories of methods/tools were identified: workshop-based and action-based (Table 3). The workshop-based category was common and consisted of presentations, modules, and didactic sessions. A wide range of participatory approaches were used in these sessions to facilitate learning, for example, role plays, interactive discussions, case studies, group work, icebreakers, and story-telling. Written materials were sometimes provided and a range of tools were used to aid learning. The action-based category included a broad range of activities that went beyond information transfer to practical implementation



("learning-by-doing" initiatives) and activities targeted at particular groups (health workers, the community, and policy makers). A range of actions were carried out to promote RMC and tackle D&A in health facilities including establishment of quality improvement teams, providing suggestion boxes in facilities, and putting up wall posters in maternity wards. There were actions focused on health workers including pastoral support activities (e.g. counseling, mentorship, and coaching), guidance/monitoring activities (supervisory and follow-up visits), and incentives/benefits. Other activities were focused on women or the community such as community sensitization workshops, Maternity Open Days (to build trust with the community and help dispel myths/misconceptions about facility delivery), monitoring/resolving D&A cases and counseling for victims of D&A. Additional initiatives were aimed at policy makers/facility leaders and included stakeholder forums, consultative meetings for RMC buy-in, and continuous dialogue.

The frequency and duration of training varied across studies (Table 4). Workshops were more commonly conducted as one-off activities whereas process-type activities (e.g. supervisory visits, mentorship and counseling for providers) tended to be done multiple times at specified or continuous intervals. Duration of training varied from a few hours or days (e.g. workshops and meetings) to several months (e.g. quality improvement processes).

Other aspects of design of the RMC training packages that were considered included: commissioners, funders, and facilitators of the training; modes and location of the training; attendance option; and incentives for attendance. We found that some details about the training were not reported in most studies. This included whether attendance was mandatory or optional, mode (face-to-face, online, or hybrid, although the trainings appear to have been largely face-to-face), and incentives for attendance. Data regarding the commissioner and location of the training were missing or unclear in around half of studies.

Commissioners and funders of RMC training in sub-Saharan Africa are diverse and commonly involve multiple organizations/ institutions including international organizations, governments, and universities/research institutes. International organizations were listed as funders in 72.7% of the studies (Table S8.3). Training organizations and personnel were mainly researchers/academics, health providers, international organizations, government/policy makers, and local non-governmental organizations/groups and they cumulatively played a variety of roles including developing/reviewing training materials and/or implementing or facilitating the training (Table S8.3). For studies reporting location of training, this was mostly on-site, with one study⁴⁵ justifying this as cost-effective, leading to maximum clinical staff participation. The trainings were largely in-service training only, except in two studies in which midwifery students were included. 40,49 Regarding incentives for training, this appeared to be largely part of the action-based training. Excluding these, one study 49 mentioned that certificates were given to participants during a Certificate Ceremony at the end of the seminar, and another study³¹ mentioned that participants were given compensation for transportation.

3.5 | Objective 3: To determine whether or not the training varies by cadre of health workforce

Data were not available on specific training methods by health worker cadre. However, the results suggest that trainings were delivered to a variety of cadres (Table S8.4). Health workers providing direct care to women, compared with those involved in hospital operations/administration, were the only recipients of training in most studies (81.8%). The health-worker cadre most commonly included in training was midwives (68.2%), followed by doctors/physicians/surgeons (45.5%), then nurses and other/broad clinical groupings (both 36.4%); non-clinical roles made up only 13.6% of the training cadre. More than half (63.6%) of trainings in the included studies involved mixed cadres in the training group.

3.6 | Objective 4: To establish whether the training is tailored to promote RMC for specific characteristics of service users

Almost all studies designed the training to promote RMC for all women, rather than tailoring it to specific characteristics of service users such as educational level/socioeconomic status, age, residence, disability, ethnicity, and birth outcome. There were a few exceptions. A few studies incorporated specific characteristics of women (e.g. "difficult" patient, a young adolescent mother, or a non-cooperative woman during labor) in role-play and simulation activities conducted during training. Two studies focused on specific patient characteristics to align with the studies' training aims: women undergoing cesarean section only (aimed at improving the obtaining of informed consent before cesarean section), ⁵³ and women who had vaginal births only (aimed at reducing episiotomy rates). ⁴⁰

3.7 | Objective 5: To investigate whether or not the impact of the training is assessed, evaluations are conducted, and feedback mechanisms for implementing change exist

Over 90% of the studies assessed impact of the training including impacts on maternal health and care (72.7% of studies) and impacts on health-worker-related metrics such as knowledge and experience (36.4% of studies) (Table 5). In all, 18.2% evaluated both categories.

Half of the studies evaluating impacts on maternal health/care used quantitative methods, 6.3% qualitative, and a little over one-third (37.5%) used mixed methods. Of the studies using quantitative methods, more than half (62.5%) used a before-and-after/quasi-experimental design, with several conducting exit surveys/exit interviews with women. The studies using qualitative methods used a number of designs including observations, focus group discussions, in-depth interviews, case narratives, and document analysis. The mixed methods studies used a



TABLE 1A Overview of included studies: Conducted studies (n=27 citations, 22 studies).

	General study information	nformation					Study area information	tion		
N/S	Study and reference #	Stated aim of study	Country	Study design	Year(s) study conducted	Part of wider training or project yes/ no	Setting	Number of HF and level	Type of HF	Cr Illia.
1	Abuya et al. 2015 ²⁷	To measure the effect of interventions to reduce the prevalence of D & A during labour and delivery in 13 Kenyan health facilities	Kenya	Before- and-after quantitative study	June 2011-Feb 2014	° Z	Mixed (4 rural and the rest urban or peri-urban)	13 facilities (including 3 public referral hospitals, 3 district public hospitals with maternity units, 2 faith-based hospitals, 2 private nursing homes, 1 public health centre)	Mixed (public, private, faith-based)	FIGO
	Warren et al. 2017 ²⁸ (Extra study)	To describe and analyse the implementation process of Heshima Project, its strengths and challenges and lessons gained		Qualitative	2011-2016	Yes	Mixed (urban and rural)	13 health facilities (included health centres and hospitals in 5 counties)		
5	Afulani et al. 2019 ²⁹	To evaluate the effect of an integrated simulation-based training on RMC provision	Ghana	Pre-post cross- sectional study (pilot study)	2017	°Z	Rural	5 district delivery facilities (1 referral hospital and 4 health centres)	Public (with 1 mission referral hospital)	
က်	Akin-Otiko and Bhengu 2013 ³⁰	To explore an interpersonal communication and counselling (IPCC) capacity building approach to empower midwives for friendly service and result-oriented client education at first level of midwifery practice	Nigeria	Mixed (both quantitative and qualitative)	2010	° Z	Mixed (rural, urban and urban slums)	Not reported (but 9 health facilities were selected from 8 of the 23 LGAs in Kaduna, Nigeria)	Not reported	
4	Asefa et al. 2020a ³¹	To examine service providers' reaction to and experiences of RMC training and implementation	Ethiopia	Interventional mixed-methods (pre-post survey and post-intervention FGDs)	2018	Yes	Not reported (but in SNNPR)	3 hospitals (1 primary, 2 general hospitals; all comprehensive emergency obstetric care hospitals)	Public	
	Asefa et al. 2020b ³² (Extra study)	To assess women's experiences of mistreatment during facility-based childbirth before and after implementation of a respectful maternity care intervention		Pre-post study	Dec 2017- Sept 2018					
5.	Brown et al. 2007 ³³	To increase number of women with a companion during childbirth (secondary objective: to improve practice)	South Africa	Cluster randomised trial	1998-1999	o Z	Urban	10 health facilities (Midwife obstetric units, district hospitals (level 1 hospitals), and referral hospitals (level 2 hospitals)	Public	

Gy	NECOLOGY BSTETRICS	WILEY 17
C		FIGO

TABLE 1A (Continued)

						OBSTETRI	S FIGO	-WILE	Y⊐
	Type of HF	Public		Not reported	Not reported	Public	Public	Public	(Continues)
ation	Number of HF and level	1 tertiary hospital		Not reported	Not reported	17 health centres and 3 primary hospitals (in 3 districts in 3 regions - Tigray, Oromia, and SNNPR)	1 general hospital	1 secondary district hospital (the largest maternity specialty hospital in Rwanda)	
Study area information	Setting	Urban		Not reported (but follow-on study title says "rural Malawi")	Not reported	Rural	Unclear (but at Injibara General Hospital)	Urban	
	Part of wider training or project yes/ no	Yes (part of a PhD thesis)		°Z	°Z	Yes	°Z	Yes	
	Year(s) study conducted	2019		2015	Unclear	2016-2019	Nov. 2018-May 2019	2012, 2014	
	Study design	Qualitative		Qualitative (pilot study)	Theatre-inspired	Qualitative	Mixed (pre-post interventional study and qualitative study)	Mixed (pre-post intervention study and cross-sectional study)	
	Country	Ghana		Malawi	South	Ethiopia	Ethiopia	Rwanda	
information	Stated aim of study	To evaluate impact of a 4-day RMC training in midwives' daily maternity care practices	To develop an in-service training program for midwives to provide patient-centered childbirth care that would increase client satisfaction with childbirth care	To design and pilot an RMC-promoting training module for clinical midwives (Other aims: To also show link between human rights and maternal health care, and how a human rights-based approach may improve experiences of patients and care providers)	To engender an ethos of care and compassion within maternity settings, in order to prepare these environments for mental health taskshifting initiatives	To describe the development, implementation and results of a range of interventions to improve RMC	To reduce D&A of mothers during antenatal care and delivery services	To estimate effect of the human resources for health midwifery in-service mentorship model on episiotomy rates	
General study information	Study and reference #	Dzomeku et al. 2021 ³⁴	Dzomeku 2016 ³⁵ (Extra study)	Geddes et al. 2017 ³⁶	Honikman et al. 2020 ³⁷	Mengistu et al. 2021 ³⁸	Mihret et al. 2020 ³⁹	Ndayambaje et al. 2017 ⁴⁰	
	N/S	9		7.	ω	%	10.	11.	



_	
eq	
Ein	
on	
\cup	
⋖	
H 1	
AB	
-	

General study information	/ information					Study area information	tion	
Study and reference # Stated aim of study	Stated aim of study	Country	Study design	Year(s) study conducted	Part of wider training or project yes/ no	Setting	Number of HF and level	Type of HF
Okonofua To improve self-reported indicators et al. 2020 ⁴¹ of maternal healthcare satisfaction by women	To improve self-reported indicators of maternal healthcare satisfaction by women	Nigeria	Quasi- experimental	2017-2019	Yes	Urban	4 secondary hospitals (2 referral hospitals as intervention, and 2 hospitals as controls; all 4 were either central hospitals or general hospitals)	Public
Oosthuizen To find out the effect of the 'CLEVER et al. 2020 ⁴² Maternity Care' package, a multi-faceted intervention to improve respectful, quality obstetric care	To find out the effect of the 'CLEVER Maternity Care' package, a multi-faceted intervention to improve respectful, quality obstetric care	South Africa	Before-and- after study	2016-2017	Yes	Unclear (but in Tshwane health district)	10 primary facilities (all of them midwife-led obstetric units, MOUs)	Public
Oosthuizen To implement a multicomponent et al. 2019 ⁴³ intervention to change the complex (Extra study) interplay between preventable maternal and perinatal mortality and morbidity and poor clinical governance and supervision in midwife-led labour units	To implement a multicomponent intervention to change the complex interplay between preventable maternal and perinatal mortality and morbidity and poor clinical governance and supervision in midwife-led labour units		Mixed methods (quantitative and qualitative)	Jan 2015- Dec 2017				
Ouedraogo To develop the interpersonal skill of et al. 2014 ⁴⁴ health workers in Burkina Faso. Also to reinforce RMC skills among Society of Gynaecologists and Obstetricians of Burkina Faso members & health workers	To develop the interpersonal skill of health workers in Burkina Faso. Also to reinforce RMC skills among Society of Gynaecologists and Obstetricians of Burkina Faso members & health workers	Burkina Faso	Qualitative (direct observations)	2012-2013	°Z	Mixed (rural and urban)	3 health facilities (1 primary rural district hospital, 1 secodary urban regional hospital, and 1 tertiary urban university hospital)	Public
Pfeiffer et al. To describe the design, 2019 ⁴⁵ implementation and evaluation of an 18 month-long leadership training and coaching program for health workers	-	Ghana	Mixed (qualitative and survey)	Jan 2014- June 2015	Yes	Urban	1 tertiary referral hospital	Public
Kujawski et al. To assess a participatory community 2017 ⁴⁶ and health system intervention to reduce the prevalence of disrespect and abuse during childbirth in Tanzania		Tanzania	Before-and- after study	2011-2016	Kes Kes	Rural	2 district hospitals	Public
Ramsey et al. To test approaches to measure 2016 ⁴⁷ (Extra prevalence of disrespect and abuse study) during childbirth, and develop and monitor approaches to reducing it			Mixed (Survey, FGDs and IDIs, observation, project documentation and monitoring)				2 district hospitals (but the wider study included 8 facilities in the 2 districts)	



TABLE 1A (Continued)

	General study information	nformation					Study area information	ation	
S/N	Study and reference #	Stated aim of study	Country	Study design	Year(s) study conducted	Part of wider training or project yes/ no	Setting	Number of HF and level	Type of HF
17.	Ratcliffe et al. 2016 ⁴⁸	To describe the implementation process and outcomes of two interventions to reduce disrespect and abuse in the study facility	Tanzania	Mixed (prepost studies, interviews and direct observations)	Jan 2013- Dec 2014	Yes	Urban	1 tertiary (a regional referral hospital)	Public
18.	Shimoda and Lida 2018 ⁴⁹	Unclear	Tanzania	Seminar	2018	o N	Urban	1 tertiary hospital	Public
19.	Umbeli et al. 2014 ⁵⁰	To assess impact of health care providers' training on patient-provider's communication during childbirth in the labour ward	Sudan	Quasi- interventional study	2011	°Z	Urban	1 tertiary hospital	Public
20.	Webber et al. 2018 ⁵¹	To improve attitudes of health workers towards pregnant women	Tanzania	Qualitative study (a pilot study)	Not reported	°Z	Unclear (but in Rorya District, Mara Region)	Not reported (but consisted of hospitals, health centres, dispensaries in the district)	Not reported
21.	Wilson- Mitchell et al. 2018 ⁵²	To develop and deliver a 2-day RMC workshop for midwives using Intellectual Partnership Model principles	Tanzania	Before-and- after study	July- August 2017	°Z	Rural	Not reported	Not reported
22.	Zethof et al. 2020 ⁵³	To assess recollection of informed consent before and after introducing a multicomponent intervention	Malawi	Pre-post study	January– June 2018	o Z	Rural	1 hospital (level not reported)	Mission



Being a facilitator and tips,

policy-makers, managers,

those providing maternity

PowerPoint slides

advocates, etc

workshop preparations,

practical steps, expressing the RESPECT workshop

vision, and self-reflection

The PowerPoint slides

are already-prepared and

and the RESPECT facilitator's workshop PowerPoint slides

consisting of the RESPECT

toolkit, the RESPECT

This is a 3-in-1 package

services."

include detailed, helpful

notes in the notes pane

NECOLOGY	()
BSTETRICS	1.1
	FIG

* * 1		OBSTETRICS	FIGO	
Materials/ documents included ^a	Yes		Yes	Yes
Guidance given on	Guidance given on: RMC subject, objectives of the workshop, participants,	supplies and equipment needed for training session; plan for session including agenda items, role of facilitators and suggested allocated time	This domesticated guide was specially tailored to the Nigerian context. Designed for standalone RMC workshops or for incorporation into regular activities (e.g. monthly facility seminars)	Background, using the toolkit, activities, RMC policies/guides, Reading list, useful web-links and videos, sample lesson plans
Any target	Clinicians and clinical supervisors (but also clinical managers and other	stakeholders in clinical settings)	Everyone. Health facility managers and providers at all levels of the system Designed to be a useful tool for a wide range of stakeholders in pre-service, in-service and advocacy	Maternity care workers (whether as individuals or as a group), but added can be used by anyone e.g. midwives, doulas, doctors, researchers,
Stated purpose	To provide guidance and materials for conducting a one-day RMC workshop for	clinicians	"To support communities and, specifically, healthcare providers in confronting D&A during facility-based childbirth and promoting dignity in evidence-based maternity care." Adapted from a generic Population Council guide (Ndwiga et al., 2015), it was designed "to reflect the Nigerian context and the specific needs of healthcare workers at primary, state, and federal levels in the country"	"To help raise awareness about how crucial RMC is and to encourage others to think critically about their own and others behaviour amongst
Title	Respectful Maternity Care Workshop: Learning resource package		Respectful Maternity Care: A Nigeria-focused health workers' training guide	(i) RESPECT workshops: A toolkit (ii) RESPECT workshops: Facilitator's guide (iii) RESPECT workshops:
Publication year	2015		2015	2020
Organization/author	USAID and MCHIP (Maternal and Child Health Integrated	Program)	White Ribbon Alliance	International Confederation of Midwives



TABLE 1B Overview of included studies: Manuals/guides (n=5 citations, 4 studies).

=	_
7	2
9	닉
7	=
÷	Ę
Ž	Ξ
ر	
α	2
Z Z	2
T 1 B	4
~	4
~	4
~	4

		FIGO
Materials/ documents included ^a	Yes	Yes
Guidance given on	Session outline, background/overview (including rationale for supporting births in alternative positives, skills demonstration), useful references/ resources, roleplay guidance, pictures and instructions for supporting birth on 'all-fours', and a job aid with pictures showing many different labour and birth positions	A wide range of topics including overview of maternal health, rights, the RMC subject, roles in promoting RMC for different stakeholders, monitoring and data management, etc. Also includes references/ links to other resources, action plans, role-play scripts, workshop schedule, forms, workshop schedule, forms, workshop schedule, forms, pamphlets/brochures, etc pamphlets/brochures, etc
Any target	Maternity workers/providers	A wide range: Supervisors, program managers, clinicians, service providers, community health workers, technical advisers, policy makers, trainers, communities legal and health rights advocates, media professionals, civil right groups, society leaders, etc
Stated purpose	To provide materials for sensitization, training and follow up on alternative birth positions as a key component of implementing RMC	"To promote increased support, advocacy, and provision of high-quality, woman-centred maternity care" Tailor-designed to be conducted at the facility level and also at the community level
Title	Alternative birth positions	Larger publication: Respectful maternity care resource package (from Heshima Project) Consists of: (i) Promoting respectful maternity care: A training guide for community-based workshops (Community facilitator's guide) (ii) Promoting respectful maternity care: A training guide for facility-based workshops (Facilitator's guide) (iii) Promoting respectful maternity care: A training guide for facility-based workshops (iiii) Promoting respectful maternity care: A training guide for facility-based workshops (iv) Promoting respectful maternity care resource package: Community flipchart (v) Heshima lessons learned brief (vi) PowerPoint presentations (Promoting respectful maternity care (mc) at birth: Orientation for community-based workshops; Promoting respectful maternity care (mc) at birth: Orientation for facility-based workshops)
Publication year	2016	2014-2016
Organization/author	Maternal and Child Survival Program, USAID (Currie, S.)	Population Council (Ndwiga et al.)

^a For example, forms, worksheets/exercises, role-play scripts, questionnaires, PowerPoint slides, training schedule, etc.



TABLE 2 Content of RMC training packages for health workers by RMC domains (n=27 citations, 22 studies).

						FIG	9															
RMC Domain #12																						
RMC Domain #11																						
RMC Domain #10																						
RMC Domain #9																						
RMC Domain #8																						
RMC Domain #7																						
RMC Domain #6																						
RMC Domain #5																						
RMC Domain #4																						
RMC Domain #3																						
RMC Domain #2																						
RMC Domain #1																						
Study	Abuya et al. 2015 ²⁷ ; Warren et al. 2017 ²⁸	Afulani et al. 2019 ²⁹	Akin-Otiko and Bhengu 2013 ³⁰	Asefa et al., 2020 ^{31,32}	Brown et al. 2007 ³³	Dzomeku et al. 2021 & 2016 ^{34,35}	Geddes et al. 2017^{36}	Honikman et al. 2020 ³⁷	Mengistu et al. 2021 ³⁸	Mihret et al. 2020 ³⁹	Ndayambaje et al. 2017 ⁴⁰	Okonofua et al. 2020 ⁴¹	Oosthuizen et al. 2020 & 2019 ^{42,43}	Ouedraogo et al. 2014 ⁴⁴	Pfeiffer et al. 2019 ⁴⁵	Kujawski et al. 2017 ⁴⁶ ; Ramsey et al. 2016 ⁴⁷	Ratcliffe et al. 2016 ⁴⁸	Shimoda and Lida 2018 ⁴⁹	Umbeli et al. 2014 ⁵⁰	Webber et al. 2018 ⁵¹	Wilson-Mitchell et al. 2018 ⁵²	Zethof et al. 2020 ⁵³
s N/s	1.	2. A	3. A 2	4. A	5. B	6.	7. G	8. T	2 .	10. N	11. N	12. C	13. C	14. C	15. P	16. K	17. R	18. \$	19. U	20. V	21. V	22. Z
		• •	• •	•	٠,	-		~	٠.							• •		• •		• •		. 1

Preserving women's dignity; #4: Prospective provision of information and seeking informed consent; #5: Ensuring continuous access to family and community support; #6: Enhancing quality of physical environment and resources; #7: Providing equitable maternity care; #8: Engaging with effective communication; #9: Respecting women's choices that strengthens their capabilities to give birth; #10: Availability of competent and Note: Green represents "included"; Red represents "not included." The RMC Domains (Shakibazadeh et al., 2018); #1: Being free from harm and mistreatment, #2: Maintaining privacy and confidentiality; #3:

motivated human resources; #11: Provision of efficient and effective care; #12: Continuity of care. Studies not mapped to any domain were included in the 13th 'other' category.



TABLE 3 Methods/tools used in RMC training across studies (n=27 citations, 22 studies).

Workshop-based

- Modules
- Lectures
- Didactic sessions (including
- short didactic lectures) - Presentations (by
- facilitators, individual health
- workers)
- Workshops (including Values Clarification and Attitude Transformation, VCAT)
- Demonstrations
- Role plays
- Group work
- Team work/ team meetings
- Peer assessment/small group feedback
- Case studies
- Discussion (open discussion, interactive group discussion,
- small group discussion)
- Brainstorming
- Ice breakers
- Hands-on sessions
- Communication activities
- Observation of midwife-
- client interactions - Simulated emergency
- obstetric drills
- 'Appreciation circles'
- Storytelling/ experiential story-telling exercises/
- individual 'river of life Birth simulation (including
- scripts with prompts for certain behaviours)
- Theatre-style method (the Secret History method, which uses a range of methods eg improvisation. audience participation in acting, narratives. mindfulness mediation exercise, debriefing, lecture)

- Written materials aiven durina workshon-hased training
- Individual readings
- Interactive workbook
- Illustrated pamphlets
- Handouts/checklists/training manuals/ written guidelines and protocols given
- Student and facilitator handbook
- Access to and training on using WHO Reproductive Health Library

Tools used during workshopbased training.

- Powerpoints
- Flip charts
- Videos (including video testimonials)
- Process maps (showing movements through labour/delivery and potential points of disrespectful care)
- Driver diagrams (for identifying root causes of disrespectful care and possible interventions)
- Focusing matrix (for ranking possible interventions)

Practical implementation in health facilities

- Action plans (to address issues and for institutionalisation)
- Strategic plans (to improve women's satisfaction with care)
- Quality improvement teams established Formulation of respectful care commitments by health workers
- D&A monitoring (e.g. protocol for reporting and monitoring)
- Regular M&E
- Supervisory visits Handover rounds
- Staff complaints addressed in monthly/ad hoc meetings
- Generation and testing of new ideas in a 'change package' as part of quality
- Study visits (to observe interactions between providers and pregnant women in facilities, and also see both respectful and non-respectful care)
- Customer service desks
- Suggestion boxes
- PR personnel desks in maternity units
- Exit interviews for quality assurance

Written materials and tools given/used during practical implementation in facilities. - Illustrated pamphlets given to women/nostcard sizes of Universal Rights of

- Childbearing Women Mentorship checklists for M&E
- Standardised checklists
- Wall posters (listing Universal Rights of Child-bearing Women by WRA and MoH, WHO's guidelines for positive childbirth experience, manifestations of mistreatment during birth. Put in maternity wards, labour rooms. Translated to local languages)
- Life-testimonial videos/ magazine-style video program (using interviews of women who recently delivered)

Action-based

Welfare and capacity-building for health workers

- Counselling
- Stress management support - Mentorship (including using champions)
- On-site coaching
- Individual coaching
- Intensive engagement
- Follow-up visit/support
- Supervisory visits/supportive supervision
- Self-reflection/personal reflection
- Degree upgrade
- Incentives and benefits (Lowcost awards for good performance, e.g. certificates, photos, medals, small gifts. monthly high-performing employee recognition, and staff recognition events; and improvements of working environments/ conditions, e.g providing tea and bread in break room for staff, reducing length of shift due to overwork/complaints, and expediting payment of overtime allowances)

Outreaches to policy makers and facility leaders

- Continuous/policy dialogue - Stakeholder forums
- Consultative meetings for RMC
- buv-in - Media involvement
- Advocacy/ advocacy visits to policymakers and hospital administrators
- Incorporation of RMC into a maternal health bill

Community outreaches

- Community sensitization workshops and community dialogue
- Health education program (for pregnant women and their partners)/ health talks - Maternity Open Days (to build trust with community and help dispel myths / misconceptions about facility delivery. Both men and women invited to facility to
- learn and interact with staff - Rirth Onen Days (participatory health education to women. Included tour of hospital so women could see wards they might encounter during childbirth. Also birth preparedness and ANC
- education given) Monitoring and resolving D&A cases (including methods for reporting D&A)
- Mediation/alternative dispute resolution (with society leaders serving as intermediaries between health facility and the community)
- Counselling victims of D&A
- FGDs with women
- Client service charter (developed jointly by community, facility and district stakeholders through
- a participatory process)
- Male involvement

combination of these two methods, and sometimes included process evaluations. Mixed methods were used predominantly for the studies assessing impact on health-worker-related metrics (75%). Common quantitative designs included pre-post studies/tests and usage of assessment form/evaluation form/guestionnaire/survey to collate feedback from the health workers, and common qualitative designs included focus group discussions and in-depth interviews.

Half of the studies evaluating impacts on maternal health/care did not appear to have feedback mechanisms in place for implementing change. Studies without feedback mechanisms tended to be those using before-and-after/pre-post designs involving exit surveys with women, and those with feedback mechanisms tended to be using process evaluation measurements. A wide variety of feedback mechanisms were noted in studies including written mentorship feedback given to units, supervisory/follow-up visits, discussion with staff following observations of midwife-client interactions, discussions in routine meetings (with inclusion of a standing item on respectful care in morning meetings), inclusion of maternity staff in quality improvement teams, meetings, complaints mechanisms, and regular monitoring of progress towards goals. It was less clear whether studies evaluating impacts on health-workerrelated metrics had feedback mechanisms in place for implementing change. Specific evaluation results on impacts of the training are beyond the scope of this review.

DISCUSSION

This comprehensive scoping review identified 22 unique studies of RMC educational interventions or training for health workers in 10 sub-Saharan African countries, plus 5 manuals/guides for national or international use. Many training packages were part of multicomponent interventions and the topics covered related to most of the domains for promotion of RMC and prevention of D&A of Shakibazadeh et al.'s framework.²⁴ A range of group workshops and individual training or mentoring sessions were offered. Action plans and a variety of initiatives were implemented at the facility and community levels. In over three-quarters of the trainings, health workers providing direct care to women were the only recipients of the training, which excluded operations/administrative staff. Nearly two-thirds of the trainings were directed at mixed cadres, with midwives the most frequently included group. With two exceptions, all trainings focused on all maternity service users rather than particular sub-groups. Over 90% of studies conducted some form of impact evaluation. Three-quarters focused on health and care impacts, about one-third on health-worker-related impacts and one-fifth on both. Half the studies evaluating impact on health and care did not have feedback mechanisms in place.

We surveyed 16 years of published outputs with a comprehensive search strategy, aiming to capture all relevant publications from



TABLE 4 Frequency and duration of RMC training package activities (n=27 citations, 22 studies).

Type of training activity	Frequency	Duration ^a
Workshops	 Mainly one-off Workshop was the most common one-off training activity Refresher workshops were done multiple times (including monthly) 	Most workshops lasted between 2-3 days, with 3 days more popular. Workshops lasting for ≤1 day (e.g. for 3 hours), within 4-6 days or carried out throughout a specified duration (2 months) were also reported. For refresher trainings, this lasted for a few hours.
Meetings	Multiple	These mainly related to quality improvement activities, which were often organized routinely/continuously. Done weekly in some studies and quarterly in others. Also specified as lasting for <1 day.
Community-related events	One-off: Community dialogues and stakeholder meetingsMultiple: Maternity open days	Maternity Open Days, community dialogue meeting, stakeholder forum lasted for 1 day
Monitoring & evaluation, supervisory and follow-up visits	 Multiple Supervisory visit was the most common training activity done multiple times. Intervals for supervisory visits included monthly. Every 2 weeks: Monitoring & evaluation 	A range of durations including $<$ 1 day, 4-6 days, and within 2-5 months.
Counselling and mentorship for providers	Multiple	The duration of counselling was not often apparent but one study 28 mentioned that it lasted for 45min- 1 hour per session. Mentorship tended to be done routinely/continuously or over a longer period of time (>1 year)
Quality improvement processes	Multiple	This lasted over a prolonged duration: 6- 12 months.
Others	_	Duration for preparatory training work was also provided in some studies, for example, development of RMC resources, curricula, client service charter or the intervention. This included a range of durations including 1 week, 1 month and 6-12 months.

^a As durations were reported in diverse ways in the papers, the categories within this column were created to facilitate reporting.

before the conceptualization of RMC/D&A by Bowser and Hill. We considered training content beyond specific acts of respectful/disrespectful care and also included multicomponent training designs. In spite of the multicomponent and heterogeneous nature of the RMC trainings, we attempted to synthesize and categorize the data in meaningful ways. Our findings are specific to sub-Saharan Africa, which improves the relevance to African countries; however, RMC trainings in other parts of the world may be different. Our review was unable to capture trainings that had not been written up, either as academic papers or as reports that could be identified via the grey literature search. As we have identified primarily academic papers and reports, the trainings included here may be among the most carefully conceptualized, as demonstrated by the high proportion that were evaluated.

There is overlap between our findings and those of other reviews of multicomponent RMC policies and interventions, ^{15,17} because most of the latter include a training component. In addition, Dhakal et al.⁵⁴ published a systematic review of educational interventions to promote RMC in 2022, covering both high- and low-income countries. Our review and these other reviews are complementary—the others, by focusing on rigorous evaluations, have largely examined

effectiveness, whereas ours has aimed to provide a comprehensive overview of the design and characteristics of training programs, with a specific focus on sub-Saharan Africa. Our review included double the number of unique studies compared with Dhakal et al.,⁵⁴ perhaps because our search included additional data sources. Our findings can raise awareness of existing initiatives and their specific features among stakeholders at international, national, and sub-national levels, potentially avoiding duplication of efforts and supporting the future intervention design.

The number of training packages identified suggests that the RMC agenda has risen in prominence in recent years in the sub-Saharan African region; however, the fact that only 10 countries in sub-Saharan Africa (approximately 20% of the total) were represented, demonstrates a dearth of evidence. It is plausible that health workers are being trained on RMC in other countries, but these trainings have not been captured for research purposes. In terms of content, the inclusion of broad topics related to RMC such as rights, ethics, and professional attitudes is a welcome development. As mistreatment in maternity care is a symptom of systemic disempowerment and abuse against women and children, ⁵⁵ the explicit inclusion of gender-based inequities and violence could be a



OBSTETRICS WILEY 15

TABLE 5 Overview of training evaluations conducted in included studies (*n* = 27 citations, 22 studies).

,	·
Category	Frequency (%)
Impact of training evaluated on any	category
Yes	20 (90.9)
No	2 (9.1)
Impact of training evaluated on mat	ernal health and care
Yes	16 (72.7)
No	5 (22.7)
Somewhat/unclear	1 (4.5)
Impact of training evaluated on hea (knowledge, experience, etc)	lth worker-related metrics
Yes	8 (36.4)
No	13 (59.1)
Somewhat/unclear	1 (4.5)
Both impact of training on maternal related metrics evaluated	health/care and health worker
Yes	4 (18.2)
No	16 (72.7)
Somewhat/unclear	2 (9.1)
Methods used in evaluation (for impare; $n = 16$)	pact on maternal health and
Quantitative	8 (50.0)
Qualitative	1 (6.3)
Mixed	6 (37.5)
Unclear	1 (6.3)
Methods used in evaluation (for importance; $n = 8$)	pact on health worker-related
Quantitative	0 (0.0)
Qualitative	2 (25.0)
Mixed	6 (75.0)
Unclear	0 (0.0)
Feedback mechanisms in place (for care; $n = 16$)	impacts on maternal health and
Yes	7 (43.8)
No	8 (50.0)
Somewhat/unclear	1 (6.3)

useful addition to future programs. Further, it is well established that service users who are socioeconomically vulnerable, adolescents, or migrants are at higher risk of experiencing disrespect and abuse. ^{56,57} For this reason, it is disappointing that the topic of equitable care did not appear to be addressed in any training programs, although it is plausible that this was covered under broad training topics such as ethics, rights, and attitudes in Domain #13 (Table S8.2). Combined with the limited evidence of provision of RMC training focused on specific service user groups, this suggests that most training initiatives to date lack a well-developed social justice and equity perspective. Although not explicitly mentioned in Shakibazadeh et al.'s framework, ²⁴ respectful newborn care and respectful stillbirth care were also not apparently covered. ⁵⁸ While

acknowledging that some training content may not have been documented in publications, we urge that these important topics be integrated into future training programs.

The lack of published evidence on pre-service training is disappointing. Post-registration training is key to creating clinical practice environments that embody RMC in which both students and newly qualified staff can learn, ^{59,60} but RMC training needs to commence at the onset and be embedded within curricula in medical, nursing, and midwifery institutions.

A commendable feature of most trainings was that multiprofessional teams were trained together, given that mutual respect and collaboration based on a shared vision are essential for the provision of quality care. However, operations and administrative staff, also part of the maternity system with which women come in contact (e.g. receptionists), were not included; future training should include these in all facilities where such cadres exist. Another issue is lack of clarity around who the trainers were and the extent to which a service user perspective was included in the trainings, although the latter may have been included in baseline studies. The lived experience of service users can be immensely powerful in changing hearts and minds and reducing the perceived "otherness" of the population served. This perspective can be presented to participants both in reported and pre-recorded form, or, preferably, in the form of a live service user trainer or speaker. 62

In terms of evaluation, exit interviews were commonly used, and carry the risk of recall bias, social desirability bias, and courtesy bias. In addition, other authors have highlighted a lack of high-quality or standardized measures available to assess respectful and disrespectful maternity care in low- and middle-income countries. ^{12,13} In view of these considerations, the inclusion of mixed methods of data collection in many studies was a positive feature and is to be recommended for future interventions, as no method alone can be considered as standard. ⁶³

Previous reviews have provided evidence of the effectiveness of well-designed educational initiatives, mostly as part of multicomponent interventions, to improve RMC. 15,17,54 However, the relative effectiveness of different training approaches has not been established, such as individual sessions versus group workshops, as well as what might be the benefits and drawbacks of combining training with different intervention components. Nevertheless, the search for a single best approach that suits all contexts may be misguided, as even carefully crafted global tools require adaptation and contextualization to maximize relevance and appropriateness. Overall, participatory training interventions involving active engagement, collaboration, and reflective practice are more likely to be effective, compared with lecture-style presentations.⁶⁴ Longer-term, embedded programs with follow up and/or regular updates, as implied by the term "continuous", are also likely to have greater impact.⁶⁵

In practice, the success of any form of health-worker training may depend on a range of considerations related to both the facility and the health system, such as the presence of effective local champions, buy-in at multiple levels within the organization (from frontline staff to hospital leadership), staff attendance and turnover,



18793479, 0, Downloaded from https://obgyn.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/jgo.15938 by City, University Of London, Wiley Online Library on [05/11/2024]. See the Terms

on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

cost considerations, and the existence of external support and other mechanisms to overcome local organizational difficulties.⁶⁶ Furthermore, effective RMC training may be challenging to achieve as the structural drivers of D&A are deep-rooted.⁶⁷ The working conditions and remuneration for health workers in many settings are below acceptable standards. These include midwives operating at the bottom of institutional hierarchies, being underpaid, practicing in unsafe environments, and lacking equipment and opportunities for selfdevelopment.¹⁰ Midwives in Ethiopia, for example, were critical of one RMC training program in that it helped to center women's rights, but did not take their own into consideration.³¹ Until some of these structural barriers are addressed, training—whether or not in conjunction with other components—is unlikely to eradicate the problem.

Finally, while training programs remain financed through international aid, sustainability remains problematic. 68 Ideally, health systems in sub-Saharan Africa should be able to plan and financially sustain the training required by their staff. In addition, the multiplication of donor-driven staff trainings has exacerbated human resource shortages and created inequitable training cultures focused around per-diem compensation.⁶⁹ In-service RMC training should be embedded in a system approach that takes a holistic and rational view of the staff's training needs, while centering the serviceuser experience. The development of feedback mechanisms that ensure all stakeholders, including frontline workers and the local population, can benefit from the results of evaluations is not only morally imperative, but will also facilitate a sense of ownership of the process, increasing the likelihood of sustainability.

In conclusion, the content and design of RMC training in sub-Saharan Africa are multifaceted, suggesting the complexity of implementing/promoting RMC. Some progress has been made; however, missed opportunities in training remain in terms of study populations, training topics, cadres, and feedback mechanisms. Training programs are distributed unequally, with nearly half of studies conducted in East Africa; more work is needed in other parts of the continent. The inclusion of key information on content and design of training, as well as shareable materials in RMC training studies, could enable shared learning and potential replication of training in other settings. It is imperative that studies assess the impact of training and that these findings are used to inform policy and practice, to drive change towards promoting RMC for every woman everywhere.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The review was conceptualized by HB, JY, and VF. MV, AK, HJ, MD, KP, GM, JY, HB, MT, and VF provided feedback on the design and protocol of the review. JY, KP, and MD conducted the searches; HB screened reference lists of database sources. MD and JY carried out double-screening and resolution of discrepancies. JY conducted data charting and data analysis, with feedback from HB. VF, MM, MD, MV, and HB provided feedback on preliminary results. PvD conceptualized and is the principal investigator of the funded projects that supported this work. The manuscript was drafted by JY and MD. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank members of the PRECISE-DYAD Network for providing feedback and suggestions on the review design during Social Determinants of Health group meetings. We are grateful to Mr. Russell Burke from the LSHTM Library for providing valuable inputs and advice on the search strategy.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This review is part of the PRECISE-DYAD work funded by the NIHR-Wellcome Partnership for Global Health Research Collaborative Award, reference 217123/Z/19/Z.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

REGISTRATION

This scoping review was registered on the Open Science Framework in August 2021 (https://osf.io/v6hn2).

ORCID

Judith Yargawa https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6299-2215 Marina Daniele https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5666-9489 Kelly Pickerill https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6242-857X Marianne Vidler https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7633-8812 Angela Koech https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4838-3269 Hawanatu Jah https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4347-5247 Grace Mwashigadi https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6321-3287 Mukaindo Mwaniki https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5327-9939 Peter von Dadelszen https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4136-3070 Marleen Temmerman https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2069-8752 Veronique Filippi https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1331-3391 Hannah Blencowe https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1556-3159

REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. Quality of Care: a Process for Making Strategic Choices in Health Systems. World Health Organization;
- 2. White Ribbon Alliance. Respectful Maternity Care Charter: the Universal Rights of Women and Newborns. 2019. Accessed June 3, 2021. https://www.whiteribbonalliance.org/respectful-maternitycare-charter/
- 3. Tuncalp WM, Were WM, Maclennan C, et al. Quality of care for pregnant women and newborns-the WHO vision. BJOG. 2015:122(8):1045-1049.
- 4. Bowser D, Hill K. Exploring Evidence for Disrespect and Abuse in Facility-Based Childbirth: Report of a Landscape Analysis. USAID-TRAction Project; 2010.
- Bohren MA, Vogel JP, Hunter EC, et al. The mistreatment of women during childbirth in health facilities globally: a mixed-methods systematic review. PLoS Med. 2015;12(6):e1001847.
- Bradley S, McCourt C, Rayment J, Parmar D. Disrespectful intrapartum care during facility-based delivery in sub-Saharan Africa:



- a qualitative systematic review and thematic synthesis of women's perceptions and experiences. *Soc Sci Med.* 2016;169:157-170.
- Minckas N, Gram L, Smith C, Mannell J. Disrespect and abuse as a predictor of postnatal care utilisation and maternal-newborn well-being: a mixed-methods systematic review. BMJ Glob Health. 2021;6(4):1-14.
- Downe S, Finlayson K, Oladapo OT, et al. What matters to women during childbirth: a systematic qualitative review. PLoS One. 2018;13(4):e0194906.
- 9. Shakespeare C, Merriel A, Bakhbakhi D, et al. Parents' and health-care professionals' experiences of care after stillbirth in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-summary. *BIOG*. 2019:126:12-21.
- Bradley S, McCourt C, Rayment J, Parmar D. Midwives' perspectives on (dis)respectful intrapartum care during facility-based delivery in sub-Saharan Africa: a qualitative systematic review and meta-synthesis. *Reprod Health*. 2019;16(1):116.
- Afulani PA, Buback L, McNallay B, et al. A rapid review of available evidence to inform indicators for routine monitoring and evaluation of respectful maternity care. Global Health. 2020;8(1):125-135.
- Dhakal P, Gamble J, Creedy DK, Newnham E. Quality of measures on respectful and disrespectful maternity care: a systematic review. Nurs Health Sci. 2021;23(1):29-39.
- Sando D, Abuya T, Asefa A, et al. Methods used in prevalence studies of disrespect and abuse during facility based childbirth: lessons learned. Reprod Health. 2017;14(1):127.
- Kassa ZY, Tsegaye B, Abeje A. Disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Int Health Hum Rights. 2020;20(1):23.
- Downe S, Lawrie TA, Finlayson K, Oladapo OT. Effectiveness of respectful care policies for women using routine intrapartum services: a systematic review. Reprod Health. 2018;15(1):23.
- Rubashkin N, Warnock R, Diamond-Smith N. A systematic review of person-centered care interventions to improve quality of facility-based delivery. Reprod Health. 2018;15(1):169.
- Kasaye H, Sheehy A, Scarf V, Baird K. The roles of multi-component interventions in reducing mistreatment of women and enhancing respectful maternity care: a systematic review. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2023;23(1):305.
- Ishola F, Owolabi O, Filippi V. Disrespect and abuse of women during childbirth in Nigeria: a systematic review. PLoS One. 2017;12(3):e0174084.
- 19. Bohren MA, Mehrtash H, Fawole B, et al. How women are treated during facility-based childbirth in four countries: a cross-sectional study with labour observations and community-based surveys. *Lancet*. 2019;394(10210):1750-1763.
- Habte A, Tamene A, Woldeyohannes D, Endale F, Bogale B, Gizachew A. The prevalence of respectful maternity care during childbirth and its determinants in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2022;17(11):e0277889.
- 21. World Health Organization. Midwives' Voices, midwives' Realities: Findings from a Global Consultation on Providing Quality Midwifery Care. World Health Organization; 2016.
- 22. United Nations Population Fund. *The State of the World's Midwifery*. United Nations Population Fund; 2021.
- Jaffre Y, Lange IL. Being a midwife in West Africa: between sensory experiences, moral standards, socio-technical violence and affective constraints. Soc Sci Med. 2021;276:1-10.
- 24. Shakibazadeh E, Namadian M, Bohren MA, et al. Respectful care during childbirth in health facilities globally: a qualitative evidence synthesis. *BJOG*. 2018;125:932-942.
- Open Science Framework. Open Science Framework Registries: Content and Design of Respectful Maternity Care Training Packages for Health Workers in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Scoping Review Protocol. 2021. https://osf.io/v6hn2

- Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. *Ann Intern Med*. 2018;169(7):467-473.
- Abuya T, Ndwiga C, Ritter J, et al. The effect of a multi-component intervention on disrespect and abuse during childbirth in Kenya. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2015:15:224.
- Warren CE, Ndwiga C, Sripad P, et al. Sowing the seeds of transformative practice to actualize women's rights to respectful maternity care: reflections from Kenya using the consolidated framework for implementation research. BMC Womens Health. 2017:17(1):69.
- Afulani PA, Aborigo RA, Walker D, et al. Can an integrated obstetric emergency simulation training improve respectful maternity care? Results from a pilot study in Ghana. Birth. 2019;46(3):523-532.
- Akin-Otiko BO, Bhengu BR. Building capacity of midwives for result-oriented client education and friendly service. West Afri J Nurs. 2013;24(1):28-41.
- 31. Asefa A, Morgan A, Bohren MA, Kermode M. Lessons learned through respectful maternity care training and its implementation in Ethiopia: an interventional mixed methods study. *Reprod Health*. 2020;17(1):103.
- 32. Asefa A, Morgan A, Gebremedhin S, et al. Mitigating the mistreatment of childbearing women: evaluation of respectful maternity care intervention in Ethiopian hospitals. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10(9):e038871.
- Brown H, Hofmeyr GJ, Nikodem VC, et al. Promoting childbirth companions in South Africa: a randomised pilot study. BMC Med. 2007;5:7.
- Dzomeku VM, Boamah Mensah AB, Nakua EK, et al. Midwives' experiences of implementing respectful maternity care knowledge in daily maternity care practices after participating in a four-day RMC training. BMC Nurs. 2021;20:39.
- Dzomeku VM. Development of a patient-centred in-service training programme for midwives to increase client satisfaction with child-birth care in Kumasi, Ghana. University of the Western Cape; 2016.
- Geddes J, Humphrey T, Wallace RMM. Respectful midwifery care in Malawi: A human rights-based approach. Afr J Midwif Womens Health. 2017;11(4):196-198.
- 37. Honikman S, Field S, Cooper S. The Secret History method and the development of an ethos of care: Preparing the maternity environment for integrating mental health care in South Africa. *Transcult Psychiatry*. 2020;57(1):173-182.
- 38. Mengistu B, Alemu H, Kassa M, et al. An innovative intervention to improve respectful maternity care in three Districts in Ethiopia. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2021;21(1):541.
- Mihret H, Atnafu A, Gebremedhin T, et al. Reducing disrespect and abuse of women during antenatal care and delivery services at injibara general hospital, northwest Ethiopia: a pre-post interventional study. *Int J Women's Health*. 2020;12:835-847.
- 40. Ndayambaje A, Anderson R, Yoder CM, et al. Human resources for health (HRH) midwives mentoring program and episiotomy rates at Muhima hospital, Rwanda: a retrospective cross-sectional study. Rwanda Med J. 2017;74(2):1-11.
- Okonofua FE, Ntoimo LFC, Ekezue BF, et al. Outcomes of a multifaceted intervention to improve maternal satisfaction with care in secondary hospitals in Nigeria. Glob Health Action. 2020;13(1):1856470.
- 42. Oosthuizen SJ, Bergh AM, Grimbeek J, et al. CLEVER maternity care: A before-and-after study of women's experience of childbirth in Tshwane, South Africa. *Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med*. 2020;12(1):e1-e8.
- Oosthuizen SJ, Bergh AM, Grimbeek J, et al. Midwife-led obstetric units working 'CLEVER': Improving perinatal outcome indicators in a South African health district. S Afr Med J. 2019;109(2):95-101.
- 44. Ouedraogo A, Kiemtore S, Zamane H, et al. Respectful maternity care in three health facilities in Burkina Faso: the experience of the Society of Gynaecologists and Obstetricians of Burkina Faso. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2014;127(Suppl 1):S40-S42.
- 45. Pfeiffer E, Owen M, Pettitt-Schieber C, et al. Building health system capacity to improve maternal and newborn care: a pilot leadership



- program for frontline staff at a tertiary hospital in Ghana. BMC Med Educ. 2019;19(1):52.
- Kujawski SA, Freedman LP, Ramsey K, et al. Community and health system intervention to reduce disrespect and abuse during childbirth in Tanga Region, Tanzania: A comparative before-and-after study. PLoS Med. 2017;14(7):e1002341.
- 47. Ramsey K, Moyo W, Larsen A, et al. Staha Project: Building Understanding of How to Promote Respectful and Attentive Care in Tanzania (Implementation Research Report). Ifakara Health Institute, USAID, Averting Maternal Death and Disability (Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University); 2016.
- 48. Ratcliffe HL, Sando D, Lyatuu GW, et al. Mitigating disrespect and abuse during childbirth in Tanzania: an exploratory study of the effects of two facility-based interventions in a large public hospital. *Reprod Health*. 2016;13:13.
- 49. Shimoda K, Lida M. Respectful Maternity Care (RMC) Seminar in Tanzania. Vol 24. St Luke's International University; 2018:1-3.
- Umbeli T, Murwan I, Kunna A, et al. Impact of health care Provider's training on patients' communication during labor at Omdurman maternity hospital, Sudan 2011. Sudan J Med Sci. 2014;9(4):211-216.
- 51. Webber G, Chirangi B, Magatti N. Promoting respectful maternity care in rural Tanzania: nurses' experiences of the "Health Workers for Change" program. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2018;18:6.
- Wilson-Mitchell K, Robinson J, Sharpe M. Teaching respectful maternity care using an intellectual partnership model in Tanzania. Midwifery. 2018;60:27-29.
- Zethof S, Bakker W, Nansongole F, Kilowe K, van Roosmalen J, van den Akker T. Pre-post implementation survey of a multicomponent intervention to improve informed consent for caesarean section in southern Malawi. BMJ Open. 2020;10(1):e030665.
- Dhakal P, Creedy DK, Gamble J, Newnham E, McInnes R. Educational interventions to promote respectful maternity care: a mixedmethods systematic review. Nurse Educ Pract. 2022;60:103317.
- 55. Solnes Miltenburg A, van Pelt S, Meguid T, Sundby J. Disrespect and abuse in maternity care: individual consequences of structural violence. *Reprod Health Matters*. 2018;26(53):88-106.
- Adinew YM, Hall H, Marshall A, Kelly J. Disrespect and abuse during facility-based childbirth in central Ethiopia. Glob Health Action. 2021;14(1):1923327.
- 57. Oosthuizen SJ, Bergh AM, Pattinson RC, Grimbeek J. It does matter where you come from: mothers' experiences of childbirth in midwife obstetric units, Tshwane, South Africa. *Reprod Health*. 2017;14(1):151.
- 58. Sacks E. Defining disrespect and abuse of newborns: a review of the evidence and an expanded typology of respectful maternity care. *Reprod Health*. 2017;14(1):66.
- Lusambili AM, Wisofschi S, Wade TJ, Temmerman M, Obure J. A
 qualitative enquiry of health care workers' narratives on knowledge
 and sources of information on principles of respectful maternity
 care (RMC). PLOS Glob Public Health. 2023;3(1):e0000157.
- 60. Rominski SD, Lori J, Nakua E, Dzomeku V, Moyer CA. When the baby remains there for a long time, it is going to die so you have to hit her small for the baby to come out: justification of disrespectful

- and abusive care during childbirth among midwifery students in Ghana. *Health Policy Plan*. 2017;32(2):215-224.
- Prosser-Snelling E. Safer Births through Better Teamworking. The Health Foundation (UK); 2015.
- 62. Fraser C, Grundy A, Meade O, Callaghan P, Lovell K. EQUIP training the trainers: an evaluation of a training programme for service users and carers involved in training mental health professionals in user-involved care planning. *J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs*. 2017;24(6):367-376.
- 63. Aujla N, Chen YF, Samarakoon Y, et al. Comparing the use of direct observation, standardized patients and exit interviews in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review of methods of assessing quality of primary care. *Health Policy Plan*. 2021;36(3):341-356.
- 64. Power A, Underwood J. CPD and revalidation: theory, practice and lessons from teachers. *Br J Midwifery*. 2018;26(6):409-411.
- Wray J, Aleo G. The Importance of Continuing Professional Development (CPD) for Nurses. 2021. https://blogs.bmj.com/ebn/ 2021/11/14/the-importance-of-continuing-professional-devel opment-cpd-for-nurses/
- 66. Ghag K, Bahl R, Winter C, et al. Key components influencing the sustainability of a multi-professional obstetric emergencies training programme in a middle-income setting: a qualitative study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021;21(1):384.
- 67. Lusambili A, Wisofschi S, Shumba C, et al. Health care Workers' perspectives of the influences of disrespectful maternity Care in Rural Kenya. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(21):1-18.
- Ilesanmi OS, Afolabi AA. Sustainability of donor-funded healthrelated programs beyond the funding lifecycle in Africa: a systematic review. Cureus. 2022;14(5):e24643.
- 69. Marten MG. The "sustainability doctrine" in donor-driven maternal health programs in Tanzania, in anthropologies of global maternal and reproductive health: from policy spaces to sites of practice. In: Wallace LJ, MacDonald ME, Storeng KT, eds. Springer.
- Jackson C, Manley K. Contemporary challenges of nursing CPD: time to change the model to meet citizens' needs. Nurs Open. 2022;9(2):880-891.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Yargawa J, Daniele M, Pickerill K, et al. Content and design of respectful maternity care training packages for health workers in sub-Saharan Africa: Scoping review. *Int J Gynecol Obstet*. 2024;00:1-18. doi:10.1002/ijgo.15938

