

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Abrahams, I. (1986). Mössbauer spectroscopic and structural studies of tin materials.. (Unpublished Doctoral thesis, The City University)

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/34278/

Link to published version:

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. MÖSSBAUER SPECTROSCOPIC AND STRUCTURAL STUDIES OF TIN MATERIALS

A THESIS

SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN THE FACULTY OF SCIENCE OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY, LONDON

BY

ISAAC ABRAHAMS

Department of Chemistry, The City University, London.

September 1986



IMAGING SERVICES NORTH

Boston Spa, Wetherby West Yorkshire, LS23 7BQ www.bl.uk

BEST COPY AVAILABLE. VARIABLE PRINT QUALITY



IMAGING SERVICES NORTH

Boston Spa, Wetherby West Yorkshire, LS23 7BQ www.bl.uk

PAGE NUMBERS ARE CUT OFF IN THE ORIGINAL

To my wife

MÖSSBAUER SPECTROSCOPIC AND STRUCTURAL STUDIES

OF TIN COMPOUNDS.

CONTENTS

			Page
ABSTRACI			5
CHAPTER	ONE	Introduction.	6
CHAPTER	TWO	Preparations of tin(II) complexes in solution.	57
CHAPTER	THREE	Thermoanalytical studies on the KBr : SnX ₂ system (where X = Br,Cl,F).	122
CHAPTER	FOUR	Mössbauer spectroscopic studies on tin materials.	166
CHAPTER	FIVE	Structure determination of tin(II) complexes.	248
CHAPTER	SIX	Conclusions.	330
APPENDIX	ONE	Computer programs.	343
APPENDIX	TWO	Structure factor data.	370

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Professor John Donaldson for his help and guidance throughout the course of this project and for offering me the opportunity of doing post graduate research. I am indepted to Dr.Sue Grimes for advice and constructive criticism concerning this work. My thanks to Dr.S.J.Clark for his day to day advice and assistance.

I would also like to thank the SERC and the International Tin Research Institute for a CASE award studentship. My special thanks to Dr.P.J.Smith, Dr.P.A.Cussack, Mrs.D.Searle and Dr.M.Warwick for numerous Mössbauer samples.

For Single crystal X-ray data collection I would like to thank Professor S.Calogero and Dr.G.Valle at Padua University Italy; Dr.M.Hursthouse at Queen Mary College London and Dr.E.M.McPartlin and Dr.K.Hendrick at the Polytechnic of North London.

My gratitude to The City University for research facilities. I would very much like to thank colleagues and staff in the Chemistry and Physics departments of The City University especially for microanalytical work and for running numerous X-ray diffractograms. My thanks also to The City University Computer Unit and to The University of London Computer Centre especially Dr.A.Quick.

Finally I would like to thank my family and friends for their encouragement and support, especially, my wife Anne, for all her help and patience over this course of study.

I declare that single copies of this thesis may be made, in whole or in part, without further reference to me, for the purpose of study, subject to the normal conditions of acknowledgement, at the discretion of the University Librarian.

Isaac Abrahams

Isaac Abranams

ABSTRACT

X-ray crystallography and Mössbauer spectroscopy have been used to study some tin(II) materials. Some alkali metal and ammonium halostannates have been prepared both from aqueous solutions and from solid state melts.

The crystal structures of 3 materials have been determined viz. $RbSn_2Br_5$, $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$ and $KBr.KSnBr_2Cl.H_2O$; and the structures of KCl.KSnCl_3.H_2O and Sn_3BrF_5 have been refined. The structure of $RbSn_2Br_5$ is stabilised by overlap of the 5s orbital on tin and empty 4d orbitals on the bromine atoms, resulting in partial delocalistion of the non-bonding electron pairs on tin. This is part of a range of interactions shown by the lone pairs on tin atoms ranging from dimer formation to the population of solid state conduction bands.

Preparations in solution and in the solid state have confirmed the existence of mixed halide complexes of tin(II). Evidence from the structure determination of KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O suggests two halogen atom sites on the [SnX₃]⁻ anion are interchangeable between bromine and chlorine. The expansion of the lattice in accomodating the larger halide in salts of the type KSnBr_nCl_{3-n}.xH₂O has been monitored using X-ray powder diffraction.

Thermal analysis has been used in the construction of phase diagrams and together with X-ray powder diffraction is used to identify new phases in the system $KBr:SnX_2$, where X = Br,Cl,F.

The conversion electron Mössbauer (CEM) spectra for some normal tin(II) compounds are reported and have been compared with transmission Mössbauer data. Some applications of CEMS, in commercially important materials, are discussed and results on several examples are reported, including ceramic tiles and glazes, tinplates and alloys, fabrics, glass coatings and biocides.

(00)

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

		Page
1.1	Introduction.	7
1.2	Tin, the element.	8
1.3	Bonding in tin.	8
1.4	Bonding in tin(IV) compounds.	9
1.5	Bonding in tin(II) compounds.	14
1.6	Introduction to X-ray crytallography.	22
1.7	Single crystal data collection.	31
1.8	Structure determination.	36
1.9	Computer programs used in X-ray	
	crystallography.	45
	References.	53

53

CHAPTER ONE

1.1 Introduction

The aim of this work has been to achieve a greater understanding of certain aspects of tin(II) chemistry, in particular the environment and nature of the tin(II) species in various halogen complexes and to examine applications of conversion electron Mössbauer spectroscopy (CEMS), in the study of surface environments of tin containing materials.

The project has been divided into different areas of research reflected in the organisation of the various chapters. This introductory chapter serves as a brief account of bonding in tin materials and as an introduction to the technique of X-ray crystallography. Chapter 2 describes the results of investigations into tin(II) products isolated from solutions containing mixtures of alkali metal and stannous halides, while the third chapter deals with some of these systems in the solid state. Chapter 4 examines the uses of CEMS in the study of tin environments and compares the results with those obtained by conventional transmission experiments. Chapter 5 describes the structure determinations of various tin(II) complexes carried out during this work, while the conclusions and recommendations for future work are included in the final chapter.

1.2 Tin, the element.

Tin, atomic number 50, is a silver-white lustrous element exhibiting various metallic properties such as conductivity and ductility. It exists in two allotropic forms, stable in defined temperature ranges; α - or grey tin up to 13.2 °C and β - or white tin above 13.2 °C.

Tin is the fourth member of the main group IV and like the other heavier members it forms compounds in the oxidation states 4+ and 2+. The stability of the lower oxidation state within the group, increases with atomic number which can be seen on comparison of the oxidation potentials [1,2].

$GeO + H_2O$	>	$GeO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^-$	-0.12V
Sn ²⁺	>	$sn^{4+} + 2e^{-}$	+0.15V
Pb ²⁺	>	$PbO_2 + 4H^+ + 2e^-$	+1.46V

1.3 Bonding in tin.

Tin has the ground state outer shell electronic configuration of $5s^2$ $5p^2$ as shown below:



(Note, unless stated otherwise, in all subsequent examples, the outer electronic configuration only, is represented.)

- 8 -

Fig.1.1 [2] shows the successive ionization energies of tin, these favour the loss of four electrons to give a closed shell resulting in the spherical stannic ion Sn^{4+} .



The loss or use in bond formation, of only two electrons may also occur and results in a Sn(II) species with the formal outer shell configuration of $5s^2$ $5p^0$ as seen below:



1.4 Bonding in tin(IV) compounds.

In compounds where the formal oxidation state of tin is 4+ bonding is actually achieved in three different ways as follows:

(i) By loss of four electrons, giving a closed 4d shell, in formation of a stannic ion. The stannic ion is spherical with respect to charge distribution and has a radius of approximately 0.71\AA [2]. Fig.1.2 shows the structures of SnO_2 [3,4] and BaSnO_3 [5] which, have the typically ionic rutile and perovskite structures





Succesive ionization energies for tin.

- 10 -



(a)







0





Ionic tin(IV) structures: (a) SnO₂ (rutile), (b) BaSnO₃ (perovskite)

- 11 -

respectively and which can be represented as containing the Sn^{4+} ion.

(ii) By hybridisation of 5s and 5p orbitals to form four hybrid orbitals tetrahedrally arranged around the tin core.



The situation is analagous to that in carbon and is known to be common in organotin compounds [6,7]. for example Ph_4Sn . However X-ray crystallographic studies have shown that in several organotin compounds originally thought to have tetrahedral structures there is a tendency for tin to adopt higher coordinations in the solid state by inter- and intra-molecular association [8] as summarised in fig.1.3.

(iii) By utilising, in complex formation, empty 5d orbitals which are of similar energy to the valence electron orbitals. This occurs when filled orbitals on a suitable ligand overlap with the empty metal orbitals. The trigonal bipyramidal structure of $(CH_3)_3SnCl.Py$ [9] is achieved in this way formally by sp^3d hybridisation:



5sp³d hybrid orbitals

- 12 -



eg. Me_SnF2

CN. 7



R

eg. $MeSn(NO_3)_3$



- 14 -



While in $[SnF_6]^{2-}$ (present in Sn_3F_8 where tin exists in both oxidation states [10]), an octahedral environment about Sn(IV) is achieved formally by sp^3d^2 hybridisation.



1.5 Bonding in tin(II) compounds.

As with tin(IV) the formation of tin(II) materials can be achieved in several ways:

- (i) By ionisation to produce the stannous ion.
- (ii) By formation of sp² hybrid orbitals in covalent bonding.
- (iii) By complex formation using the 5p and possibly 5d orbitals.
- (iv) By σ donation.
- (v) By delocalisation of the 5s² electron pair in the solid state.

Each of these will now be discussed.

(i) The loss of only two electrons by ionisation forms

the stannous ion Sn^{2+} and leaves the $5s^2$ electrons as a non-bonding pair. Like the stannic ion the Sn^{2+} ion should be spherical, however, depending on the molecular environment, introduction of any p character will subject the non-bonding $5s^2$ electrons to a degree of s-p mixing, thus inducing a directional effect resulting in a non-spherical charge distribution on Sn^{2+} (see fig.1.4).



Fig.1.4 Electron density around tin ions.

The effect of the bulge of electron density in the solid state is to prevent the close approach of anions along the direction in which it points, and is known as the 'lone pair effect'.

Orgel [11] has noted that the presence of low lying excited energy levels often leads to distortion from a symmetrical coordination and that in the event of s and p orbitals being degenerate, the distortion would be significant. In the stannous ion the first excited state $5s^1$ $5p^1$ is separated by only 6.64 eV from the groundstate, this comparatively small energy separation means that the s-p mixing will be significant enough to cause distortion. The three simplest unsymmetrical distortions are shown in fig.1.5. The crystal field



Five nearest neighbours

Four nearest neighbours



Three nearest neighbours



energy, however, decreases rapidly with ligand bond distance. Thus, small anions should give the greatest distortion and this appears to be consistent with the structural data.

The most recent interpretation of bonding in tin compounds is based not only on the energies of the bonding orbitals of the tin atom but also on those of the ligand [12]. Tin(II) to halogen bonding can be explained by considering the relative energy levels of the halide series which are shown in fig.1.6. Bonding with chlorine will involve a fair degree of s and p character, however bonding in tin iodide compounds will involve much p and little s character leaving the non-bonding pair with much s character resulting in a near-spherical tin atom in an octahedral environment. In contrast bonds with fluorine will involve mainly s-orbital character leaving the non-bonding pair to assume a directional p-orbital character and thus give rise to distorted environments. This has also been used to explain the anomalous Mössbauer data in these compounds.

(ii) The formation of sp^2 hybrid orbitals result in covalent bonding as in SnX_2 eg. $SnCl_2$ in the vapour phase.



The symmetry of the resulting molecule depends on the

- 17 -



Fig.1. 6 Approximate relative energy levels for bonding in tin halide series

. F

hybridisation in the valence shell ie. on the inclusion of the 5s electrons in hybridisation (see fig.1.7).



Fig.1.7. Sn Hybridisation in SnX2

(Note: the bond angles will depend on the extent of lone pair repulsion.)

(iii) Utilisation of empty 5p and 5d orbitals can occur in complex formation. In solution, covalent molecules of the type SnX_2 , with sp^2 hybridisation will tend to act as monofunctional acceptors towards suitable monodentate ligands. A lone pair orbital on the ligand, overlaps with the empty p orbital of the tin, which lies at right angles to the trigonal planar arrangement of the sp^2 hybrid. This is then distorted into the tetrahedral sp^3 arrangement (see fig.1.8) [13].



Fig.1.8 $sp^2 \rightarrow sp^3$ hybridisation in complex formation.

In solution the stannous ion can similarly act as a ligand acceptor. It can be shown by consideration of electronic configuration that the hybrids illustrated in fig.1.9 are possible. Only sp, sp^3 and sp^2 hybridisation are detectable in solution and of these only sp^3 and sp^2 hybridised species have been isolated in solid products obtained from solution.

(iv) σ - donation can be achieved by electron pair overlap with a suitable acceptor species and has been used to explain the unusual trigonal bipyramidal coordination of the platinum atom in Pt(SnCl₃)₅³⁻ [14]. Similarly the ions Cl₃SnBF₃⁻ and Cl₃SnBCl₃⁻ have been explained by σ - donation [15] (see fig.1.10). In these materials tin is essentially in a tin(IV) state because it is using all four valence shell electrons in bonding.



Fig.1.10 σ - donation by SnCl₃

(v) Delocalisation of the lone pair removes partially or wholly its stereochemically distorting effect. Interactions can occur between lone pairs orbitals and empty orbitals on other atoms. In the case of $Sn[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ [16] stability is achieved by the lone pair on one tin atom overlapping with the empty orbital











sp³d²

Fig.1.9



on another tin atom resulting in dimer formation: K3Sn2(SO4)3X (X=Br.Cl) [17] delocalises its lone pairs into cluster orbitals, while in CsSnBr, [18], where tin lies in an octahedral site, the non-bonding pairs are delocalised into a solid state conduction band thus eliminating their contribution to tin(II) stereochemistry. This can be used to explain electrical conductivity and optical colouration found in some solid tin(II) compounds like CsSnBr3, whose suggested band structure is shown in fig.1.11 [19]. The anomalously high Mössbauer shift of CsSnBr₃, indicates a reduced 5s² electron density at the tin nucleus which is supported by crystal structure information [18] which confirms the presence overlapping Br4d and Sn5s orbitals (see fig.1.12). It is particularly interesting to note that Cs2Sn(IV)Br6 has a closely related structure to CsSnBr3 but shows none of its electrical and optical properties. These examples of electron delocalisation form part of a range of interactions based on the extent of lone pair delocalisation, which can be expressed as follows:

Monomer \longrightarrow Dimer \longrightarrow Cluster \longrightarrow Polymer

The environments of tin atoms in tin(II) derivatives are summarised in fig.1.13.

1.6 Introduction to X-ray diffraction

This section is an introduction into the techniques of

- 22 -





Suggested band structure for $CsSnBr_3$ showing thermal population (A), optical absorption (B), and emission (C).



Fig.1.12

Orbital overlap between Sn 5s and Br 4d orbitals in CsSnBr₃

Angular



eg. SnX₂ in vapour phase, note 120 bond angle reduced to 95 by electron pair repulsion.

Square pyramidal



eg. distorted in $KSnF_3 \cdot \frac{1}{2}H_2O$

Pyramidal (distorted octahedral)



eg. SnSO₄ close approach of 3 atoms prevented by lone pair repulsion.

Regular octahedral



eg. SnSe



Environments of tin(II)

X-ray powder and single crystal diffraction.

X-rays were discovered by Wilhelm Konrad Rontgen in 1895 for which he was awarded the first Nobel prize in 1901. The name X-ray - X for unknown - was adopted as, originally, little was known about their physical nature. By 1912 Max von Laue had proved that X-rays were electromagnetic waves [20]. Von Laue's experiment had also shown that solids are made up of atoms in a regular three dimensional structure. A detailed interpretation of X-ray diffraction by crystals was soon given by Bragg in 1913 [21], and the development of X-ray crystallography followed.

Von Laue's experiment had shown that a crystal is made up of a three dimensional array of regularly spaced atoms. The wavelengths of X-rays range from 0.1 to 100 Å lying between gamma and U.V. radiation, thus they are comparable to the atom spacings in crystals. Hence, crystals act upon X-rays in a similar fashion to diffraction gratings upon visible light. Consider a row of atoms being bombarded by X-rays in a particular direction. The electrons of the atoms scatter the X-rays as shown in fig.1.14.

Now $n\lambda = x$ where $x = a \cos \phi_n$. Therefore for diffraction,

 $n\lambda = a \cos \phi_n$ Where n = 0, 1, 2, 3....

- 26 -





 $n\lambda = a \cos \phi_n$

Fig.1.14

Geometry of X-ray diffraction by regularly spaced atoms.

This results in concentric cones of radiation of $1/2\phi_n$. Each row produces its own set of cones, however, atoms are so close together that only one set is observed. An interference pattern is produced between cones, as a result there is a further restriction on diffraction and each cone is actually made up of isolated beams. Bragg considered these as reflections from particular sets of imaginary planes within the crystal and defined a condition for reflection.

$$n\lambda = 2 d_{hkl} sin\theta_{hkl} ---- (1.1)$$

Where d is the inter planar spacing, θ is the glancing or Bragg angle and h,k and 1 are indices used to describe different planes. This has become known as the Bragg law.

If a crystal is rotated about the axis of the X-ray beam, the diffracted rays form a cone, This property can be observed in a Weissenberg camera in the oscillation mode. The same effect can be obtained by dividing the crystal into smaller segments and orientating them around the beam axis. As a result of the random positioning of each particle, several sets of indices will be in a diffracting position resulting in several cones of X-rays being formed. The finer the powder the more continuous the cone, therefore bulky crystals would diffract X-rays as spots on a cone rather than a continous line. In the Debye-Scherer method of powder diffraction a beam of monochromatic X-rays enter a closed camera, at the centre of which is mounted a powdered sample in a Lindermann tube. The deflected beams form cones and are recorded as arcs on photographic film. The diameter of the cone is related to 4g (see figs.1.15 and 1.16) and hence the Bragg angle can be calculated with a knowledge of the camera radius.

$\theta(\text{in radians}) = D/4R$

Where R is the camera radius and D is the distance between the centres of arcs on the same cone as measured across the backplate hole, on the photographic film. 'd' spacings can then be calculated using the Bragg law (equation 1.1).

In this work X-ray powder diffraction was used for the identification of new phases in prepared samples. The data were collected on a Phillips PW1051 diffractometer using CuK α radiation. Data were read electrically as opposed to photographically and output was in the form of a graphical trace of intensity verses 28. In order to assist in the interpretation and comparison of X-ray powder traces a Basic program was developed to run on the BBC and Acorn Electron microcomputers, a full listing of the program is given in Appendix 1. The program requires peak heights and 28 values to be input and will output data in easily comparable forms. Another advantage of this type of interpretation is that a permanent computer record of each trace can be stored on disc.





Diffraction by hkl planes in a single crystal



Fig. 1.16

Cross section through powder camera

- 30 -

1.7 Single Crystal data collection.

In single crystal work the quality of the crystal used is of prime importance. Crystals should contain no cracks or have any smaller crystallites attached. Size and shape are also very important. Intensities are proportional to crystal volume, consequently, larger crystals should give better data. In practice, however, there is an optimum size above which absorption effects become significant and intensity decreases. The optimum thickness t_{opt} is inversely proportional to the linear absorption coefficient μ which itself is dependent on wavelength λ .

 $t_{opt} = 2/\mu$

The intensity I of an X-ray beam after passing through an absorber of thickness t can be described by

$$I = I e^{-P(\mu/P)\lambda t}$$

Where ρ is the density and $(\mu/\rho)\lambda$ is the mass absorption coefficient for the wavelength λ .

Variation in crystal shape means that diffracted rays have different path lengths and suffer unevenly from absorption resulting in systematic errors in intensity data. The ideal crystal would, therefore, be spherical with an average diameter of around 0.5mm. In practice, in order to overcome these disadvantages, small crystals were used along with penetrating MoKa radiation, thus reducing the need for absorption corrections.

Crystals once selected were mounted. Two methods were employed; either in Linderman tubes using grease to prevent movement or on the end of quartz or glass needles using 'Araldite' as an adhesive. The former was more suitable for samples that were likely to deteriorate in atmospheric conditions.

All X-ray single crystal data, included in this work were obtained on Phillips PW1100 four circle diffractometers located at The Polytechnic of North London; Queen Mary College, London and Padua University, Italy. As an example of data collection, that of benzyl ammonium hexachlorostannate(IV) is now described.

The crystals were found to be long needles. A small crystal mounted on a quartz needle which was placed on a goniometer and the crystal centred to ensure the correct diffraction of X-rays. The crystal had to be central to four circles; φ , ω , χ and 2g (see fig.1.17 [22]).

After centering, the background radiation level was determined and this value used as a discriminating level in the peak hunt which then commenced. During the peak hunt, optimum values for the four angle settings at a particular peak were measured and recorded for twenty five low angle reflections and from these, the orientation matrix was calculated. This matrix (UB) represents the orientation of the crystal and therefore,

- 32 -


Fig. 1.17: Definition of angles and coordinates on four circle diffractometers. changes every time a crystal is put on. A second matrix (MX) gives information on the size, shape and symmetry of the unit cell, ie., it is directly related to the cell constants; a, b, c, α , β and γ .

Subsequently the diffractometer manual was consulted and the unit cell re-defined to correspond to a standard setting by multiplying UB by a reorientation matrix. A set of preliminary cell dimensions was also calculated.

At this stage the cell type had to be decided on and it seemed that the symmetry of the MX matrix resembled that of a monoclinic a C- faced centred cell. However, it was found that the monoclinic intensity relationships (see table 1.1) did not hold in all cases, and that the cell might indeed be triclinic, with some pseudosymmetry.

a. Triclinic.

 $\alpha = \beta = \chi$ $I_{hkl} = I_{h\bar{k}I}$

b. Monoclinic.

 $\alpha = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$ $I_{hkl} = I_{\overline{h}\overline{k}\overline{l}}$ $= I_{h\overline{k}l}$ $= I_{\overline{h}\overline{k}\overline{l}}$

Table 1.1

Triclinic and monoclinic Intensity and

unit cell angle relationships.

Unlike higher symmetries, in order to solve triclinic

- 34 -

cell structures, all the information in the unit cell must be collected, therefore, data collection covered the whole unit cell.

Values for h, k and l were input into the diffractometer, which then drove to the point at which the set of planes defined by h,k and l were in a reflecting position, and the detector arm in the correct position to measure the intensities. The diffractometer then re-measured the four angles for the peak. A set of twenty five peaks were recorded which had over 1000 counts for intensity, and g angles greater than 10° . The orientation matrix and cell constants were then redetermined.

Data collection then began within a set range for θ . Maximum h,k and 1 values were also put in and these acted as a halt for the collection. The diffractometer was set to collect all positive values for h,k and l, but also negative values in h. Three peaks of high intensity taken from the original peak hunt data were used as references to see if the crystal had moved or decomposed, these were remeasured every three hours. If any difference was found when checking the references, the twenty five high angle reflections, used in calculating the orientation matrix, were remeasured and the matrix recalculated. The diffractometer drove to peaks within the ranges given, for each peak, a background level of radiation was determined both before and after the peak and then the total radiation intensity measured in a scan of 2° width. All this was recorded automatically on magnetic tape over

- 35 -

a period of 5 days.

After data collection the magnetic tape was read into the main frame computer where it underwent data reduction. In this, data were first simplified by subtracting background radiation levels from total peak intensities. The intensities were then corrected for geometric factors by multiplying by the reciprocal of the Lorentz polarisation factor (Lp) ie.

$$(I)_{corr} = I(1/Lp)$$

The reduced data were read onto magnetic tape once again and transferred onto the University of London Computer Centre (ULCC) where structure determination proceeded.

1.8 Structure determination

The basic principles involved in structure determination will now be discussed. Fig.1.18 is a flow chart summarising the procedure followed during structure determination.

The scattering of X-rays by a single electron is described by the amplitude E of the scattered wave at a distance r and is given by, [23,24]

$$E = E_0 \frac{e^2}{mc^2} \frac{1}{r} \sin\chi$$



Fig.1.18

Flow chart showing procedure followed

during structure determination

Where E_0 is the amplitude of the incident plane wave and χ is the angle between the direction of the scattering and the direction of the electric field vibration in the incident wave. Progressing to single atoms it can be said that the scattering is greater by a factor f than that from a single electron and we call this the ' atomic scattering factor'. 'f' varies with the angle of scattering 2g as is shown in fig.1.19.



Fig.1.19

Variation of f_n with sing/ λ

The scattering contribution from the whole unit cell is described by the structure amplitude factor of the unit cell F_{hkl} as follows:

$$F_{hkl} = \sum_{n} f_{n} e^{2\pi i (hx/a+ky/b+lz/c)}$$
 ---- (1.2)

The data collected by the diffractometer are in the form of intensities. These are proportional to $|F|^2$ therefore although it is possible to find the magnitude of F_{hkl} . its phase is indeterminate, this is known as the phase problem. Equation 1.2 assumes that atoms are spherical and stationary. In real crystals, however, atoms vibrate and scattering power is reduced because of the increased electron cloud distribution. For isotropic vibrations atomic scattering is modified by.

$$e^{-B(sing)/\lambda^2}$$
 --- (1.3)

B, the the isotropic temperature factor is given by,

$$B = 8\pi^2 \bar{u}^2$$

Where \overline{u}^2 is the mean-square vibrational amplitude. Further modification is necessary in order to describe anisotropic vibrations; six vibrational parameters are needed to describe a thermal elipsoid and are incorporated into the following modification [25].

$$exp \left[-2\pi i^{2} (U_{11}h^{2}a^{*2} + U_{22}k^{2}b^{*2} + U_{33}l^{2}c^{*2} + 2U_{12}hka^{*}b^{*} + 2U_{13}hla^{*}c^{*} + 2U_{23}klb^{*}c^{*})\right]$$

Calculated structure factors (F_C) are determined for each reflection using the modified equation 1.2. Initially the coordinates of a heavy atom are input (x_{ha}, y_{ha}, z_{ha}) thus equation 1.2 becomes:

$$F_{C} = f_{ha} e^{2\pi i (hx_{ha}, ky_{ha}, lz_{ha})}$$
 ---- (1.4)

With only one atom input the magnitude of F_C is approximate, the phase, however, is correct. We can then

use the calculated phases S_C and the observed structure factor F_O with all the reflections to calculate an electron density map using a 3-dimensional Fourier summation.

$$P_{xyz} = 1/V \sum_{h \in I} \sum_{c} S_{c} F_{0} e^{-2\pi i (hx+ky+lz)} \qquad --- (1.5)$$

Where ρ_{xyz} is the electron density at a particular point. V is the volume of the unit cell and F_O is given by:

$$F_0 = \sqrt{(I_{hkl})}$$

Very often a difference Fourier summation is used after the heavy atoms have been located in order to find the lighter atoms.

 $\Delta \rho_{XYZ} = 1/V \sum_{h \ k \ l} \sum_{h \ k \ l} (F_0 - F_C) e^{-2\pi i (hx \ +ky \ +lz)} - (1.6)$ The resultant E-map shows only the residual electron density.

Computers are used to locate maxima in electron density over a grid of points in the unit cell. These maxima are output as coordinates in order of electron density and are accompanied by possible bonding distances to other maxima. It is possible to assign atoms to many of these maxima and using these positions recalculate structure factors. More of the calculated phases will now be correct and hence a more accurate electron density map can be obtained from which further atoms may be located and the process repeated. After all the atom positions are located the three position parameters x_n , y_n , z_n , and the thermal parameter U_n , are refined for each atom along with the overall scale factor K. Refinement takes the form of an iterative, 3-dimensional, least squares calculation in which $((F_0 - F_C)/K)^2$ is minimised. K is given by,

$$K = \frac{\sum F_C}{\sum F_O}$$

The matrix relating all the components in the least squares procedure is inverted to give the standard errors on the refined parameters. A successful structure determination is gauged by two things; the estimated standard deviations on the final parameters and a reliability (or residual) factor R which is given by,

$$R = \frac{\sum (KF_{O} - F_{C})}{\sum KF_{O}}$$

In general for a well refined structure, an R factor of between 0.02 - 0.06 is the norm. However this varies with the quality of the data and the atoms present; for example structures containing Br atoms tend to give higher R factors than those without.

Two methods were employed in the solution of the phase problem; a Patterson synthesis was used to locate heavy atom positions for input into equation 1.2, alternatively direct methods calculations were used when Patterson synthesis failed to distinguish single heavy atom sites. Each of these will be discussed in turn. (i) Patterson synthesis. [26]

Patterson maps are produced after data correction and prior to structure determination in order to locate heavy atoms. They are vector density maps generated using a Fourier summation:

$$P_{uvw} = 1/V \sum_{h \neq 1} \sum_{h \neq 1} I_{hkl} e^{-2\pi i (hu+kv+lw)}$$

Where $\rho_{\rm uvw}$ is the vector density at point uvw in the vector map. Every peak in the vector map corresponds to a pair of peaks in the real unit cell and peak height is proportional to the product of the atomic numbers of the two atoms. Each Patterson maximum is located at a distance and in a direction from the origin (0,0,0) corresponding to the vector between a pair of atoms in the real unit cell (see fig.1.20)





Patterson cell.

Fig.1.20

P-

Relationship between Patterson and real unit cells

Summarising, every pair of atoms at points x, y, z and x_1, y_1, z_1 , give rise to a Patterson peak at u, v, w where.

- 42 -

 $u = x_1 - x$ $v = y_1 - y$ $w = z_1 - z$

Since peak heights are proportional to the atomic numbers of atoms contributing to the vectors, if there is an atom particularly heavier than the rest its vectors will show at the top of the list and hence its coordinates may be calculated and input into a Fourier synthesis to get an electron density map.

(ii) Direct methods

Direct methods were used in structures where no single heavy atom was distinguishable in the Patterson map. In direct methods the |F| quantity is unsuitable for use in phase determination as it declines with sing, so a normalised structure factor E_{hkl} is used where.

$$E_{hkl}^{2} = \frac{F_{hkl}^{2}}{\times \sum f_{n}^{2}}$$

x is the Debye-Waller factor specific to certain reflections whose values may be calculated using International Tables [27].

Tentative phases for selected E_{hkl} values are determined by the magnitude of the structure factors. A series of phase relationships are then set up using stronger reflections. The relationships are of the form:

 $\phi_{-\mathrm{H}} + \phi_{\mathrm{H}}, + \phi_{\mathrm{H-H}}, = Q_{\mathrm{H,H}},$

Where $\phi_{\rm H}$ is the phase of E_H and Q_{H,H}, is an estimated weighted phase.

An elimination process based on structure information reduces the number of relationships to be used in phase determination. This process, known as convergence, uses space group information to determine which reflections are structure semi-invariants and how many are needed to define the origin. The best reflections for origin definition are selected as well as several other starting points for phase determination. These alternative starting points are based on the strongest phase relationships and are selected using the algorithm described by Germain et. al [28]. The initially unknown phases of the selected reflections are assigned using a 'magic integer' sequence [29,30] resulting in a multiple starting point for the tangent formula in phase determination. Phases are eventually determined using a weighted tangent formula [31]:

$$\tan \phi_{\rm H} = \frac{\sum W_{\rm H} W_{\rm H} \cdot - H E_{\rm H} E_{\rm H} \cdot - H \sin (\phi_{\rm H} + \phi_{\rm H} \cdot - H)}{\sum W_{\rm H} W_{\rm H} \cdot - H E_{\rm H} E_{\rm H} \cdot - H \cos (\phi_{\rm H} + \phi_{\rm H} \cdot - H)}$$

Where W is a weighting factor. Electron density maps can now be calculated as previously described.

Modern direct methods programs are designed for the automatic and complete solution of structures with atoms of approximately equal atomic number. In practice it is often convienient to use direct methods to locate heavy atoms only, ie as an alternative to the Patterson

- 44 -

synthesis, and then use normal Fourier techniques to achieve full structure determination.

1.9 Computer programs used in X-ray crystallography

The following computer programs were used in the present work in connection with X-ray structure determination:

'SHELX-76' [32]

An easy to use integrated program for computing crystallographic calculations on X-ray and neutron diffraction data. The calculations are valid for all space groups and facilities include:

(i) Data reduction and absorption corrections.

- (ii) Rejection of systematic absences and averaging of equivalent reflections.
- (iii) Fast automatic centrosymmetric direct methods.
- (iv) Multisolution tangent refinement including convergence mapping.
- (v) Full-matrix, accelerated full-matrix and blocked full-matrix least squares calculations.
- (vi) Constrained refinement of hydrogen atoms, rigid groups and bond lengths.
- (vii) Refinement of isotropic extinction and crystal size.
- (viii) Analysis of variance and automatic optimisation of weighting scheme.
- (ix) Various Fourier syntheses, with peak searches.
- (x) Lists of structure factors.

'MULTAN-80' [33]

A powerful set of programs designed to perform all the necessary calculations for the complete solution of a structure by direct methods. The package consists of 4 separate programs that communicate via data sets. The programs are summarised as follows: 'NORMAL' computes normalised structure factors and prepares a file for use with 'MULTAN'. 'MULTAN' consists of two logical sections: 'FIRST' finds sets of 3 strong reflections whose indices are related as H, H'and H-H'. It then uses convergence to find starting reflections for the tangent formula. As phase values are initially unknown, selected phases are assigned by 'magic integers' producing a multiple starting point. Convergence is used to reject certain reflections. Sets of 3 reflections are found such that EH is small and E_{H} , and E_{H-H} , are large. 'LAST' determines phases for all reflections from each starting set produced by convergence using a weighted tangent formula. 'EXFFT' computes an electron density map (E-map) from a set of normalised structure factors. 'SEARCH' searches for the highest peaks in the E-map and for molecular structure and fragments and computes bond lengths and angles.

'PLUTO' and 'PLUTO-78' [34,35]

These programs are used for the plotting of molecular and crystal sructures on microfilm. Their main features include:

(i) Plotting of single molecules or assemblies.

(ii) Stick, solid ball and spoke or space filling

- 46 -

representations.

(iii) Automatic labelling.

(iv) Stereo or mono views with or without perspective.

(v) Flexible view direction.

Additional features of 'PLUTO-78' are:

(vi) Calculation of inter and intra molecular distances.

(vii) Compatability with entries in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Base.

'MOLPLOT'

In order to assist in the interpretation of refined X-ray structure parameters, a BASIC computer program was written in BBC BASIC to run on a BBC or Acorn Electron microcomputer fitted with a disc filling system. To save space which is limited in the standard microcomputer, the final version which is listed in Appendix 1 consists of a main program 'MOLPLOT' with 7 overlays, 'MOL 1-7'. A simple flow chart explaining the program layout is shown in fig.1.21. The contents of the program are discussed below:

'MOLPLOT'

Contains the main menu and common procedures and functions. The arrays are dimensioned at the beginning with the number of atoms N% set to 100. This may be increased to 150 with few problems but any further increase must be accompanied with more memory space, for example by the fitting of a second processor.

- 47 -





'MOLPLOT' program layout

- 48 -

'MOL 1'

Input and output of data sets. Each data set consists of the number of atoms in the list followed by the unit cell parameters and the atoms in the format; atom name, type, fractional coordinates (x/a,y/b,z/c).

'MOL 2'

Calculations for bond lengths and angles.

'MOL3'

Unit cell and atomic plots rotatable about 3 axes.

'MOL 4'

Editing facility for data sets, including search, alter, delete, add and re-label atoms sequentially.

'MOL 5'

Reset facility for editing plot parameters.

'MOL 6'

Screen dump routine written in machine code.

'MOL 7'

Symmetry generating routine. Data sets are expanded to include positions generated by centered lattices centers of symmetry and symmetry relations. Care must be taken not to exceed the maximum no. of atoms.

For the plotting, bond length and bond angle routines it was necessary to re-define the atomic coordinates with respect to fixed three dimensional space. This was achieved in Cartesian coordinates as follows:

$$X = a.(x/a) + cos\beta.(z/c).c + cos\beta.(y/b).b$$

- $Y = b.(y/b).sin \%.sin \alpha$
- $Z = c.(z/c).\sin\beta + b.(y/b).\cos\alpha$

Where a, b, c, α , β and γ are the unit cell parameters.

With the coordinates thus defined it is possible to calculate the distance between two points (X,Y,Z) and (X_1,Y_1,Z_1) , using equation 1.7.

$$D = \sqrt{\{(X-X_1)^2 + (Y-Y_1)^2 + (Z-Z_1)^2\}} \quad ---- \quad (1.7)$$

The distances between 3 atoms A, B and C can now be defined as follows:

d = distance between A and B d₁ = distance between A and C d₂ = distance between B and C

Using these, the three angles generated can now be computed using equations 1.8.

Angle ABC =
$$\frac{(d_2^2 + d^2 - d_1^2)}{2 \cdot d_3 \cdot d}$$

Angle BAC =
$$\frac{(d^2 + d_1^2 - d_2^2)}{2 \cdot d_1 \cdot d_1}$$

Angle ACB =
$$\frac{(d_1^2 + d_2^2 - d^2)}{2 \cdot d_1 \cdot d_3}$$
 ----- (1.8)

Rotating in three dimensions requires the setting up of a

- 50 -

rotation matrix. The overall rotation may be broken down into three constituent rotations. If we take three perpendicular axes X,Y,Z rotation about these axes may be described in terms of three angles θ , ψ and ϕ respectively. Rotation about the X axis is described by the transformation matrix shown below.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ 0 & -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly, rotations about the Y and Z axes can be described by the following matrices: About Y

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi & 0 & \sin \psi \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \psi & 0 & \cos \psi \end{pmatrix}$$

and about Z

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & \sin \phi & 0 \\ -\sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The complete rotation is therefore defined as the product of the 3 component matrices ie. R = ABC. Thus,

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} a(11) & a(12) & a(13) \\ a(21) & a(22) & a(23) \\ a(31) & a(32) & a(33) \end{pmatrix}$$

Where,

$$a(11) = \cos \psi$$
$$a(12) = \cos \psi \cdot \sin \phi$$
$$a(13) = \sin \psi$$

- 51 -

a(21)	=	$-\cos\theta.\sin\phi$	-	$sin\theta.sin\psi.cos\phi$
a(22)	=	cos8.cosØ	-	$sin heta.sin \psi.sin \phi$
a(23)	=	sin∂.cos↓		
a(31)	=	$sin\theta.sin\phi$	-	$\cos\theta.\sin\psi.\cos\phi$
a(32)	=	$-\sin\theta.\cos\phi$	-	$sin\psi.sin\phi.cos heta$
a(33)	=	cos8.cos↓		

REFERENCES, CHAPTER ONE

(1) A.J.Baird, R.Parsons and J.Jordan, 'Standard Potentials in Aqueous Solution', I.U.P.A.C., (Marcell Dekker, Inc.), New York and Basel, 1985.

(2) 'C.R.C Handbook of Chemistry and Physics' 61st edition (1980-81). C.R.C. Press.

(3) W.H.Bauer, Acta Cryst., (1956), 9, 515.

(4) W.H.Bauer and A.A.Khan, Acta Cryst., B27, (1970),2133.

(5) G.Wagner and H.Binder, Z.Anorg.Chem., (1959), 298,
12.

(6) R.K.Ingham, S.D.Rosenberg and H.Gilman, Chem.Rev., (1960), 60, 459.

(7) R.C.Poller, J.Organometal.Chem., (1965), 3, 321.

(8) P.Smith and L.Smith, Chemistry in Britain, (1975),11, 208.

(9) R.Hulme, J.Chem.Soc., (1963), 1524.

(10) M.F.A.Dove, R.king and T.J.King, Chem.Comm., (1973),11, 944.

(11) L.E.Orgel, J.Chem.Soc., (1959), 3815.

(12) J.D.Donaldson and S.M.Grimes, Reviews of Silicon, Germanium Tin and Lead Compounds, (1984), 8 (1), 1-132.

(13) J.D.Donaldson, Progress Inorganic Chem., (1967), 8,287.

(14) R.D.Cromer, R.V.Lindsey, C.T.Prewitt and U.G.Stolberg, J.Am.Chem.Soc., (1965), 87, 658.

(15) M.P.Johnson, D.F.Shriver and S.A.Shriver, J.Am.Chem.Soc., (1966), 88, 1568.

(16) J.D.Cotton, P.J.Davidson, M.F.Lappert, J.D.Donaldson and J.Silver, J.Chem.Soc. Dalton Trans., (1976), 2286.

(17) J.D.Donaldson and S.M.Grimes, J.Chem.Soc. Dalton Trans., (1984), 1301.

(18) J.D.Donaldson, J.Silver, S.Hadjiminolis andS.D.Ross, J.Chem.Soc. Dalton Trans., (1975), 1500.

(19) S.J.Clark, C.D.Flint and J.D.Donaldson, J.Phys.Chem.Solids, (1981), 42, 133.

(20) W.Friedrich, P.Knipping amd M.Laue, Proc.Bavarian Acad.Science, (1912), 303. (21) W.L.Bragg, Proc.Camb.Phil.Soc., (1913), 17, 43.

(22) Philips PW1100 instruction manual.

(23) G.E.Bacon, 'X-ray and Neutron Diffraction', Pergamon Press, 1966.

(24) A.H.Compton and S.K.Allison, 'X-rays in Theory and Experiment', Van Nostrand, New York, p.56.

(25) G.H.Stout and L.H.Jensen, 'X-Ray Structure Determination' Macmillan 1968.

(26) A.L.Patterson, Z.Krist., A90, (1935), 517.

(27) 'International Tables for X-ray crystallography' Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1962.

(28) G.Germain, P.Main and M.M.Woolfson, Acta Cryst., A26, (1970), 274.

(29) P.Main, Acta Cryst., A33, (1977), 750.

(30) P.Main, Acta Cryst., A34, (1978), 31.

(31) G.Germain, P.Main and M.M.Woolfson, Acta Cryst., A27, (1971), 368.

(32) G.M.Shelldrick, 'Program for Crystal Structure determination', University of Cambridge, 1975.

(33) P.Main, S.J.Fiske, S.E.Hull, L.Lessinger, G.Germain, J.P.Declerq, M.M.Woolfson, 'MULTAN-80 - A system of computer programs for the automatic solution of crystal structures from X-ray diffraction data.' 1980, Universities of York, England and Louvain, Belgium.

(34) W.D.S.Motherwell, 'PLUTO - A Program for Plotting Molecular and Crystal Structures', University of Cambridge 1976.

(35) W.D.S.Motherwell, 'Program for Plotting Molecular and Crystal Structures - PLUT078', University of Cambridge 1978.

CHAPTER TWO

- 57 -

PREPARATIONS OF TIN(II) COMPLEXES IN SOLUTION

		Page
2.1	Introduction.	59
2.2	General preparative and analytical	
	methods.	60
	(i) Sn analysis.	61
	(ii) Br and Cl analyses.	61
	(iii) K ⁺ analysis.	65
	(iv) Cs and Rb analyses.	65
	(v) Water analysis.	66
	(vi) N and H analysis.	66
2.3	The aqueous systems $xMX:ySnY_2 + HZ$	
	(where $M = Cs, Rb, NH_{4}, K; X and Y =$	
	$Cl, Br, F; Z = Br, Cl, OH, HSO_4$).	68
	MSnX ₃ . nH ₂ O	69

MSn₂X₅.nH₂O

74

MSn ₃ X ₇ . nH ₂ O	103

KX.KSnX3.H20

Materials containing sulphate. 119

References.

120

1

109

CHAPTER TWO

2.1 Introduction

The present work has concentrated on the fate of tin(II) halides in solutions, containing mixtures of the general composition xMX + $ySnY_2$ (where X,Y = Br,Cl,F and M = K,Rb,Cs,NH₄). Studies [1-3] have shown that in solutions of tin(II) halides the stable and predominant species is the trihalostannate(II) anion $[SnX_3]^-$ and this is reflected in the products isolated from solution eg $CsSnCl_3$ [4] and KCl.KSnCl_3.H₂O (see section 5.5 and [5]). In solutions that contain high concentrations of SnY_2 , with respect to MX, the resulting lack of sufficient halides to complex all the tin as $[SnX_3]^-$, results in the formation of polyatomic anions $[Sn_2X_5]^-$ [6-9].

Four types of solid products have previously been obtained from solutions of the type xMX:ySnY₂ [9,10] as follows:

- (i) MSnX₃
- (ii) MX.MSnX₃
- (iii) MSn₂X₅
- (iv) MSn₃X₇

The complexes that crystallise from solution depend upon the relative concentration of MX to SnY₂ in solution and on the relative lattice energies of the complex lattices. Bird [9] carried out a systematic study on solutions of this type but restricted himself to single halide systems. The present work has involved both single and mixed halide systems.

2.2 General preparative and analytical methods.

Most of the products used in the present work were synthesised using the general preparative method: Appropriate molar quantities of MX and SnY_2 (where M = K. Cs, Rb, NH_4 ; and X,Y = F, Cl. Br) were dissolved separately in the minimum amounts of boiling water. In the case of SnY_2 the solution also contained a small piece of tin metal and a few drops of HZ (where Z = Br, Cl, HSO_4) to minimise oxidation and hydrolysis respectively. The solutions were then mixed and evaporated to give a clear solution. Crystals formed in the cooled solutions and were collected by vacuum filtration and dried *in vacuo* over silica gel. The whole process was carried out under N₂ to minimise oxidation.

Products were analysed for Sn, Br, Cl, K, N, H, Cs and H_2O , depending on starting materials used, and products were characterised by X-ray powder diffraction and differential thermal analysis (DTA). In most cases, where new phases were judged to have been formed Mössbauer spectra were collected. The methods used for chemical analysis were as follows:

- 60 -

(i) Sn(II) analysis

Samples were analysed for tin(II) using a modification of the method described by Donaldson and Moser [11]. A small amount (ca. 0.01g) of the sample being analysed was accurately weighed into a 100ml conical flask. To this was added 2M HCl (10ml) and acidified 0.2M FeCl₃ (10ml). The material was allowed to dissolve and 3 drops of phenanthroline indicator were added. The solution was then titrated with 0.1M $CeSO_4$ solution from a 10ml burette, the end point being detected by a red to green colour change. Standardisation was with ammonium ferrous sulphate to which was added the $FeCl_3$ (10ml) and HCl (10ml) solutions. A blank determination was also carried out under identical conditions to sample titrations using a 1ml burette. All titrations were performed in triplicate.

(ii) Bromine and chlorine analysis.

Samples were analysed for bromine and chlorine using a potentiometric titration involving the precipitation of the respective silver halide. This technique was particularly useful for analysing mixed halide samples. The apparatus used is shown in fig.2.1. An aliquot (25ml) of a sample solution, containing ca. 0.1g/100ml of sample in water, acidified with dilute H_2SO_4 , was placed in a 250ml beaker. The volume was increased by adding water (50ml) and the potential difference between silver and calomel reference electrodes, dipping into the solution, was measured. 0.01M silver nitrate solution (1ml) was

- 61 -







Apparatus for potentiometric halide determination

- 62 -

added from a burette and the potential remeasured. This was repeated until both end points were passed, these being characterised by large jumps in potential. Towards the end points the volumes of AgNO₃ added between measurements were reduced to 0.5ml. When plotted graphically the points form sigmoid curves for each halogen present. In a bromide-chloride system the first curve is due to bromide and the second curve to chloride (see fig.2.2). Standardisation of the silver nitrate solution was carried out using dried AR NaCl. All. titrations were performed in triplicate.

It can be shown that for a 25ml aliquot.

$$%X^{-} = \frac{0.4 (V_{X^{-}}) (M) (AW_{X^{-}})}{w} \qquad ---- (2.1)$$

where, X = Br, Cl; V = titre; $M = molarity of AgNO_3$; Aw = atomic weight and W is the weight of sample in 100ml.

The titration data obtained in this work were plotted graphically using a BASIC computer program "TPLOT" [12] (see Appendix 1) running on a BBC microcomputer. The points of inflection on the graphs were calculated by plotting the second differential and calculating the point at which this cut the x - axis. As a result very precise results were obtained. The program also contained a routine for automatically calculating Br and Cl percentages using equation 2.1.



 $V_1 = titre for Br$

 $v_2 - v_1 =$ titre for Cl

Fig.2.2

Idealised potentiometric curves for Br and C1 determination

- 64 -

(iii) K⁺ analysis.

Analysis for potassium was by flame photometry. The technique used the characteristic wavelength of light in the visible spectrum emitted in a non-luminous flame by excited potassium ions. Sample solutions are converted into fine mists using an atomiser and sprayed onto a gas flame. The emitted light is monitored through a glass filter using a photo cell connected to a galvanometer and displayed on an analogue scale. The instrument used in all the present work was an EEL 100. A series of standard solutions were made up in the linear range of the technique (ca. 0 to 20 ppm). Care was taken to ensure that sample solutions had potassium concentrations within this range. Measurements on samples and standards were conducted at the same time and repeated. Results of the standard solutions were plotted by computer on a calibration graph and fitted by least squares to a straight line. Results for the samples were computed automatically using the fitted parameters.

(iv) Cs and Rb analysis.

Analysis of caesium proved very difficult. Gravimetric methods involving precipitation using sodium borohydride [13] proved inaccurate because of the small amounts of sample in use. Cs was finally analysed by ion exchange chromatography on a DIONEX 2010i ion exchange chromatograph. The technique involves separation of cations in a resin based column. The reaction of

- 65 -

monovalent cations with the column resin is summarised below.

$$M^+ + H^+ O_3 S$$
 — Resin $M^+ O_3 S$ — Resin + H⁺

Fig.2.3 is a schematic representation of the chromatographic system used [14]. The retention times of different cations vary with size, thus, Na⁺ and K⁺ ions were detected more rapidly than Cs⁺ ions. Peak heights were measured from recorded traces. A set of standard solutions in the concentration range 0 to 30 ppm Cs⁺ were used in the construction of a calibration graph which was fitted by least squares as for K⁺ analysis. Sample solutions were made up in this range and Cs percentages calculated automatically using the fitted line parameters. Rubidium was also analysed in this way.

(v) Water analysis.

Water assays were obtained by weight loss on heating under N_2 by thermogravimetry (TG). A TG trace was recorded with a simultaneous DTA trace on a Stanton Redcroft STA-780 simultaneous thermal analyser that is described in more detail in section 3.1.

(vi) N and H analysis.

Nitrogen and hydrogen were analysed by standard microanalytical techniques using The City University Microanalysis service.

Samples were further characterised by X-ray powder

- 66 -



Fig.2.3

Schematic representation of Dionex 2010i ion chromatograph

diffraction and Mössbauer spectroscopy. These techniques are detailed in sections 1.6 and 4.1 respectively.

The preparations and results of various mixtures of xMX: $ySnY_2$ (where M = K,Rb,Cs,NH₄; X,Y = Br,Cl,F) are now described.

2.3 The aqueuos systems $xMX : ySnY_2 + HZ$ (where M = Cs.Rb, NH₄, K; X and Y = Cl.Br.F; Z = Br. Cl. OH, HSO₄)

Preparations of the type described above were performed using the general preparative method (sec. 2.2) in the molar concentration ranges (x:y) 5:1 to 1:5 moles. The preparations can be catagorised as follows:

(i) $NH_{4}Br:SnX_{2}$ in $H_{2}O$ + HBr / HC1(ii) $KBr:SnBr_{2}$ in $H_{2}O$ + $HBr / H_{2}SO_{4}$ (iii) CsX : SnY_{2} in $H_{2}O$ + $H_{2}SO_{4} / HBr$ (iv) $KBr:SnCl_{2}.2H_{2}O$ in $H_{2}O$ + HZ ($Z = HSO_{4}.Br,C1$) (v) $RbBr:SnBr_{2}$ in $H_{2}O$ + HBr

The products from many of these preparations were identified as mixtures in the DTA traces and could only be characterised by X-ray powder diffraction. No exact formulae could be calculated for these products. In general the products isolated reflected the MX:SnX₂ ratio in solution. Pure distinct products have however been isolated from some of the preparations and several new phases have been identified. These have been
characterised and identified using DTA, X-ray powder diffraction and elemental analysis as detailed in the previous section. Mössbauer results are reported on most of the new materials and in some cases where suitable crystals have been obtained, single crystal X-ray data have been collected.

The new phases can be categorised into types of complexes as follows:

- (1) $MSnX_{3}$, xH_20
- (ii) MSn₂X₅.xH₂O
- (iii) MSn₃x₇.xH₂O
- (iv) MX.MSnX₃.H₂O

These categories and the systems that produce them are detailed below:

MSnX3.nH20

Mixed halide caesium halostannates have been observed in molten systems [15] but little work has been done on materials prepared from solution [10]. Evidence from the structure determination of KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O (see section 5.4) indicates that at least two halogen sites on the trihalostannate(II) anion are interchangeable between Br and Cl. It is, therefore, resonable to argue that in the KSnX₃ system, where the trihalostannate species is believed to exist, the Br/Cl ratio in products isolated in the solid state, will, to a certain extent, reflect that in solution providing lattice energies are favourable. Thus, by adjusting the Br/Cl ratio in solution it was possible to isolate various materials of the general formula $\text{KSnBr}_{n}\text{Cl}_{3-n}$. xH_{2}O . The products isolated and their preparations are summarised in table 2.1 and the analytical data are given in table 2.2. Decomposition temperatures are given in table 2.3.

The Br/Cl ratio in solution was adjusted using HBr and HCl which also served to suppress hydrolysis. This was preferred to altering the mole ratios of starting materials as this usually resulted in the formation of the MX.MSnX₃.H₂O species in excess KX and of KSn_2X_5 in excess SnX₂ and often a mixture of products.

The materials KSnCl_3 , H_2O and KSnBr_3 , $2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were isolated and characterised by Bird [9]. In the present work KSnBr_3 , $2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was re-synthesised several times in order to prepare crystals suitable for crystallography. Crystals of KSnBr_3 , $2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ are white fibres mainly present in bundles which were unsuitable for single crystal X-ray data collection. The fibres however, are aligned in parallel and rotate plane polarised light with four points of extinction in 360 degrees. A single cell dimension of 8.74 Å was obtained, from a zero layer Weissenberg photograph, by rotation about the fibre axis. This compares with the values of a = 18.81, b = c = 8.81Å observed by Bird for KSnCl_3, H_2O.

The X-ray powder data for these materials are all similar

- 70 -

Preparations from solution of Phases of the type $\mathrm{KSnBr}_n\mathrm{Cl}_{3-n}.\mathrm{xH}_2\mathrm{O}.$

Formula	MX: SnY ₂	Acid content	M. X. Y
KSnBr3.2H20	1:1	ca.lcm ³ conc HBr	K, Br, Br
^{KSnBr} 2.5 ^{C1} 0.5 ^{.H} 2 ^O	1:1	ca.3cm ³ dil HCl	K, Br, Br
KSnBr ₂ C1.2H ₂ O	1:1	ca.3cm ³ dil HCl	K,Cl,Br
KSnBr1.3 ^{Cl} 1.7 ^{.H} 2 ^O	1:1	ca.lcm ³ conc HBr	K, Br, Cl
KSnBrCl ₂ .H ₂ O	1:1	none	K, Br, Cl
^{KSnBr} 0.7 ^{C1} 2.3 ^{.H} 2 ^O	1:1	ca.3cm ³ dil HCl	K, Br, Cl
KSnBr _{0.3} Cl _{2.7} .2H ₂ O	1:2	ca.10cm ³ dil HCl	K, Br, Cl

- 71 -

Analytical results for phases of the type KSnBr_nCl_{3-n}.xH₂O (calculated values are given in brackets)

Formula	%K	%Sn	%Br	%C1	^{%H} 2 ⁰
KSnBr3.2H20	9.0 (9.0)	27.8 (27.4)	57.4 (55.3)	0.00	8.0 (8.3)
^{KSnBr} 2.5 ^{C1} 0.5 ^{.H} 2 ^O	10.3 (9.9)	27.5 (30.2)	50.4 (50.8)	4.3	3.0 (4.6)
KSnBr ₂ Cl.2H ₂ O	12.8	30.4	40.3	10.8	10.0
	(10.1)	(30.5)	(41.1)	(9.1)	(9.3)
^{KSnBr} 1.3 ^{C1} 1.7 ^{.H} 2 ^O	11.9	33.5	31.4	17.5	5.8
	(11.5)	(34.9)	(30.6)	(17.7)	(5.3)
KSnBrCl ₂ .H ₂ O	13.1	33.7	25.7	20.8	5.3
	(12.0)	(36.3)	(24.5)	(21.7)	(5.5)
^{KSnBr} 0.7 ^{C1} 2.3 ^{.H} 2 ^O	14.0	36.8	18.2	25.4	4.5
	(12.5)	(37.9)	(17.9)	(26.0)	(5.8)
^{KSnBr} 0.3 ^{C1} 2.7 ^{.2H} 2 ^O	11.3	36.2	7.4	31.0	11.0
	(12.5)	(37.9)	(7.7)	(30.5)	(11.5)

Decomposition points for phases

of the type $KSnBr_nCl_{3-n}$. xH_2O

٠

Formula	Decomposition pt		
	°C		
KSnBr3.2H20	243		
^{KSnBr} 2.5 ^{C1} 0.5 ^{.H} 2 ^O	232		
KSnBr ₂ C1.2H ₂ O	224		
KSnBr1.3 ^{C1} 1.7 ^{.H} 2 ^O	206		
KSnBrCl ₂ .H ₂ O	206		
KSnBr0.7 ^{C1} 2.3 ^{.H} 2 ^O	206		
KSnBr _{0.3} Cl _{2.7} . ^{2H} 2 ^O	186		

and are given in figs.2.4-11. The relative intensities of the reflections vary not only from material to material, but often from sample to sample of the same material. Common to all the data, however, was an intense line corresponding to a d spacing of ca. 8.0Å. which was assigned to the 101 reflection using the program POWREF [16] By careful measurement of 2 theta on an expanded scale and calibrating using the 002 line of $RbSn_2Br_5$, it was possible to calculate accurate d-spacings and these are shown in table 2.4. A correlation exists between Br content and variation in the d₍₁₀₁₎ spacings and this is illustrated in fig.2.12. This supports the idea of replacement of Cl by Br in the lattice with a resulting lattice expansion.

The Mössbauer data for some of these materials are given in table 2.5. No real trend is observed in the chemical shifts measured in the present work, as these all lie within experimental error of each other. The quadrupole splittings, however, do show a trend - there is a decrease in splitting with an increase in Br content. This is consistent with data on the $CsSn_2Br_nCl_{5-n}$ system [15] prepared from molten mixtures. These data indicate that the electronic environment around the tin shows greater symmetry in the presence of bromine atoms.

MSn2X5.nH20

Complexes of the type MSn_2X_5 . nH_2O were prepared from solutions containing an excess of $SnBr_2$. Very often the



X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern KSnBr3.2H20



Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	100	8.346
	29	6.657
	20	4.848
	61	3.562
	16	3.401
	32	3.326
	22	3.187
1	100	3.048
	21	2.969
	20	2.849
	50	2.771
	16	2.637
	20	2.495
	17	2.417
	43	2.151
	14	2.062
	28	1.937
	12	1.884
	20	1.791



2 Theta(deg.)

Rel. Int.(%) 23 100

d(hkl)Å

23	9.509
100	8.117
16	7.138
27	6.559
8	4.770
16	4.230
10	3.802
12	3.663
43	3.520
26	3.363
96	3.290
46	3.164
10	3.089
60	3.008
30	2.931
44	2.831
40	2.730
13	2.614
6	2.543
33	2.475
10	2.380
48	2.270
7	2.243
41	2.206
52	2.137
13	2.090
16	2.053
10	1.985
10	1.953
19	1.929
20	1.899
17	1.877
8	1.807
22	1.784
10	1.762
7	1.647
. 16	1.623

Fig.2.5 X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern

KSnBr2.5C10.5.H20







Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	17	9.612
	100	8.192
	21	7.138
	17	6.559
	7	4.796
	3	4.506
	5	4.396
	11	4.230
	7	3.917
	13	3.754
	32	3.520
	19	3.278
	24	3.175
	17	3.079
	37	2.998
	27	2.931
	21	2.831
	43	2.722
	13	2.600
	17	2.543
	11	2.481
	7	2.362
	9	2.309
	63	2.122
	14	2.036
	9	1.918
	7	1.873
	14	1.797
	21	1.774
	9	1.759
	5	1.689



- 78 -

X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern KSnBr1.3Cl1.7.H20



Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	100	8.192
	39	6.559
	15	4.796
	10	4.191
	32	3.480
	24	3.267
	11	3.175
	11	3.153
	11	3.132
	29	2.979
	15	2.894
	17	2.805
	47	2.691
	19	2.578
	12	2.455
	84	2.103
	10	2.014
	12	1.899
	10	1.852



X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern KSnBrC12.H20



Rel	. I	nt.	(%)

d(hkl)Å

15	9.408
100	8.043
34	6.463
33	4.720
15	4.171
11	3.619
67	3.466
19	3.232
31	3.132
79	2.959
18	2.876
18	2.797
25	2.675
6	2.607
15	2.571
9	2.501
9	2.442
10	2.356
6	2.327
20	2.090
7	2.053
7	2.019
7	2.002
30	1.892
11	1.841

- 79 -



X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern KSnBr0.7C12.3.H20



Rel. Int.(%)

d(hkl)Å

21	10.782
31	9.509
18	8.346
77	7.971
8	7.196
30	6.916
8	6.417
8	4.695
9	4.418
5	3.867
13	3.663
10	3.466
30	3.220
14	3.110
24	2.969
88	2.788
38	2.659
11	2.557
48	2.508
8	2.344
8	2.321
7	2.276
100	2.080
23	1.993
7	1.926
11	1.888
8	1.848
8	1.834
6	1.759
20	1.737
6	1.704
9	1.670

- 80 -

.







Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	10	9,408
100	100	7,000
	20	6 371
	16	1 671
	10	4.071
	6	4.375
	0	4.230
	7	4.114
	13	3.570
	15	3.427
	13	3.187
	8	3.100
	9	2.931
	10	2.831
	4	2.780
	48	2.637
	4	2.536
	10	2.468
	33	2.062
	8	2.010
	4	1.884
	6	1.870
	4	1.817
	10	1.740
	8	1.716
	4	1.637
	4	1.570



Rel.	Int.	(%)	d(hkl)Å	h	k	1
	6		9.212	0	0	2
	100		7.900	1	0	1
	26		6.417	1	0	2
	26		6.281	0	0	7
	26		4.671	0	0	4
	10		4.374	0	2	0
	10		4.269	2	0	1
	10		4.133	1	0	4
	76		3.590	0	2	3
	76		3.453	1	0	5
	6		3.302	2	1	3
	6		3.164	0	0	6
	76		3.069	2	2	1
	50		2.922	0	3	0
	50		2.903	3	0	1
	26		2.823	0	3	2
	76		2.756	1	1	6
	26		2.615	2	2	4
	50		2.181	4	0	1
	26		2.044	3	2	5
	50		1.863	4	1	5

X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern KSnCl3.H20

d(101) spacings in KSnBrnCl3-n·xH20

Formula	d(101) ^A
KSnBr ₃ .2H ₂ O	8.236
KSnBr _{2.5} Cl _{0.5} .H ₂ O	8.217
KSnBr ₂ C1.2H ₂ O	8.160
KSnBr _{1.3} Cl _{1.7} .H ₂ O	8.096
KSnBrCl ₂ .H ₂ O	8.048
KSnBr0.7 ^{C1} 2.3 ^{.H} 2 ^O	7.994
KSnBr0.3 ^{C1} 2.7 ^{.2H} 2 ^O	7.940
KSnCl_, H_O	7.900

- 83 -

[9]





- 84

1

Mössbauer parameters for some

phases of the type $KSnBr_nCl_{3-n}$. xH₂O

Formula

§ mm/s

 Δ mm/s

[9]

KSnBr ₃ . ^{2H} 2 ⁰	3.61(4)	0.79(4)
^{KSnBr} 1.3 ^{C1} 2.7 ^{.H} 2 ^O	3.58(4)	0.94(4)
KSnBrCl ₂ .H ₂ O	3.60(3)	0.95(3)
KSnBr0.3 ^{C1} 2.7 ^{.2H} 2 ^O	3.58(3)	1.00(3)
KSnCl ₃ .H ₂ O	3.49	1.10

products prepared were mixtures and the exact formulae were not calculable but the X-ray patterns resembled that of KSn₂Cl₅.H₂O. In a systematic study Bird [9] prepared the following materials:

- (1) KSn₂Cl₅.H₂O
- (2) RbSn₂Cl₅.H₂O
- (3) NH4Sn2C15
- (4) CsSn₂Br₅

In the present study both the rubidium and potassium pentabromodistannates have been isolated and the structure of RbSn₂Br₅ has been determined (see section 5.2) by X-ray crystallography. A number of mixed halide phases have also been isolated. The preparations are summarised in table 2.6 and the analytical data and decomposition temperatures are given in tables 2.7 and 2.8 respectively. The Mössbauer data for these materials are shown in table 2.9.

The X-ray powder patterns for the phases isolated are in Figs.2.13-23. The phases $RbSn_2Br_5$, KSn_2Br_5 and $NH_4Sn_2Br_4Cl$ appear to be isostructural. From a knowledge of the cell dimensions of $RbSn_2Br_5$ it was possible to index several of the reflections as follows:

dobs A	d _{calc} .	h	ĸ	1
7.437	7.377	0	0	2
3.678	3.689	0	0	4
2.468	2.459	0	0	6
2.127	2.111	0	4	0

- 86 -

Preparations from solution of Phases of the type $MSn_2Br_nCl_{5-n}$.xH₂O.

Formula

MX:SnY2 Acid content M.X.Y

RbSn ₂ Br ₅	1:2	ca.1cm ³	conc HBr	Rb, Br, Br
^{KSn} 2 ^{Br} 5	1:2	ca.5cm ³	dil H ₂ SO4	K, Br, Br
NH45n2Br4Cl	1:1	ca.5cm ³	dil HCl	NH4.Br.Br
^{NH} 4 ^{Sn} 2 ^{Br} 2.5 ^{C1} 2.5	1:1	ca.5cm ³	dil H ₂ SO4	NH4.Br.Cl
.0.5H ₂ 0				
^{KSn} 2 ^{Br} 0.4 ^{C1} 4.6 ^{.H} 2 ^O	1:5	ca.5cm ³	dil HCl	K, Br, Cl
CsSn ₂ Br ₄ C1.2.5H ₂ O	1:1	ca.5cm ³	dil H2504	Cs.Cl.Br

Analytical results for phases of the type

MSn₂Br_nCl_{5-n}·xH₂O

Formula	%M	%Sn	%Br	%C1	^{%H} 2 ^O
RbSn ₂ Br ₅	11.2 (11.8)	32.7 (32.8)	55.3 (55.3)	0.0 (0.0)	0.0
^{KSn} 2 ^{Br} 5	5.0 (5.7)	35.1 (35.1)	55.8 (58.5)	0.0 (0.0)	0.0
^{KSn} 2 ^{Br} 0.4 ^{C1} 4.6 ^{.H} 2 ^O	8.3 (8.0)	48.6 (48.5)	6.9 (6.5)	35.6 (33.3)	2.0 (3.7)
CsSn ₂ Br ₄ C1.2.5H ₂ O	(17.3)	27.8 (30.8)	41.5 (41.5)	4.2 (4.6)	5.2 (5.8)
	%N	%Н	%Sn	%Br	%Cl
NH4Sn2Br4Cl	2.39 (2.29)	0.56 (0.66)	35.3 (38.9)	52.9 (52.4)	4.6 (5.8)
$^{\rm NH_4Sn_2Br_2.5^{Cl}2.5}_{.0.5H_{20}}$	2.39 (2.53)	0.84 (0.91)	42.1 (42.9)	35.2 (36.1)	15.8 (16.0)

- 88 -

Decomposition points for phases of the type $MSn_2Br_nCl_{5-n}.xH_2O$

Formula	Decomposition pt.
	°C
RbSn ₂ Br5	290
KSn2 ^{Br} 5	263
NH45n2Br4Cl	257
^{NH} 4 ^{Sn} 2 ^{Br} 2.5 ^{C1} 2.5	237
.0.5H ₂ 0	
^{KSn} 2 ^{Br} 0.4 ^{C1} 2.5 ^{.H} 2 ^O	189
CsSn ₂ Br _n C1.2.5H ₂ O	261

Table 2.9

Mössbauer parameters for some phases of the type $MSn_2Br_nCl_{5-n}$. xH_2O

Formula	δ mm∕s	∆ mm/s
RbSn ₂ Br ₅	3.91(6)	0.89(5)
^{KSn} 2 ^{Br} 5	3.83(3)	0.88(3)
NH4Sn2Br4Cl	3.84(7)	0.96(7)
^{NH} 4 ^{Sn} 2 ^{Br} 2.5 ^{C1} 2.5	3.93(4)	0.92(4)
.0.5H ₂ 0		
^{KSn} 2 ^{Br} 0.4 ^{C1} 4.6 ^{.H} 2 ^O	3.87(2)	1.03(2)
CsSn ₂ Br ₄ C1.2.5H ₂ O	3.80(3)	0.95(3)







Rel.	Int.	(%)	d(hkl)Å	h	k	l
	36		7.437	0	0	2
	11		3.678	0	0	4
	14	1 A. A.	3.143	1	1	4
	100		2.998	2	1	3
	9		2.780	2	0	4
	22		2.675	3	1	0
	6		2.468	0	0	6
	23		2.327	2	1	5
	4		2.276	1	1	6
	11		2.127	2	0	6
	3		1.989	3	3	0
	4		1.922	3	3	2
	13		1.892	1	4	3
	13		1.831	0	4	4
	7		1.684	1	4	5
						-





Rel.	Int.	(%)	d(hkl)Å	h	k	1
	100		7.314	0	0	2
	9		3.633	0	0	4
	12		3.100	1	1	4
	69		2.969	2	1	3
	36		2.652	3	1	0
	33		2.417	0	0	6
	17		2.298	2	1	5
	6		2.094	2	0	6
	14		1.977	3	3	0
	19		1.873	1	4	3
	69		1.811	0	4	4
	6		1.664	1	4	5





Rel.	Int.	(%)	d(hkl)Å	h	k	1
	77		7.255	0	0	2
	7		6.109	1	1	0
	5		4.647	1	1	2
	9		4.152	2	0	0
	5		3.802	2	1	0
	7		3.633	0	0	4
	10		3.121	1	1	4
	100		2.959	2	1	3
	4		2.831	3	0	0
	5		2.747	1	0	5
	70		2.436	3	0	3
	79		2.304	2	1	5
	6		2.113	4	0	0
	5		2.032	4	1	1
	27		1.831	4	2	2
	7		1.794	3	1	6
	6		1.667	2	0	8

Fig.2.16

X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern NH4Sn2Br2.5C12.5.0.5H20



Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	10	15.781
	10	14.730
	11	12.628
	12	11.632
	18	10.915
	18	10.526
	16	9.612
	18	9.408
	100	7.255
	13	6.707
	14	6.281
	100	4.114
	20	3.590
	9	3.089
	21	2.931
	18	2.600
	13	2.449
	14	2.423
	13	2.276
	6	2.058
	10	1.977
	10	1.873
	23	1.845
	10	1.811
	10	1.791
	9	1.781
	10	1.768
	8	1.658
	9	1.645





Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	49	7.900
	13	7.025
	19	4.022
	30	3.480
	38	2.849
1	100	2.543
	21	2.206
	26	1.797

X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern KSn2Br0.4C14.6.H20



Fig.2.18



Rel. Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
Red Sheet (R)	01201334
8	10.526
8	9.213
100	7.695
4	4.745
28	3.850
10	3.708
3	3.326
9	3.232
32	3.038
18	2.988
3	2.840
38	2.675
18	2.557
16	2.386
6	2.356
5	2.191
43	1.914
13	1.899
3	1.859
4	1.616
3	1.605
4	1.556





Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	76	7.081
	50	4.059
	76	3.883
	100	2.867
	26	2.644
	66	2.557
	40	2.405
	26	2.356
	76	2.223
	10	2.031
	40	1.941
	40	1.903
	26	1.841
	76	1.810
	26	1.764
	26	1.733
	66	1.613

X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern NH4Sn2C15 [9]



Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	83	7.440
	42	5.750
	83	4.550
	30	4.070
	30	3.800
	55	3.710
	100	3.560
	5	3.390
	63	3.120
	83	2.930
	63	2.870
	30	2.740
	20	2.720
	100	2.540
	8	2.470
	8	2.400
	83	2.310
	42	2.276
	20	2.230
	83	2.117

- 97 -



Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	83	7.700
	42	6.030
	83	4.750
	42	4.260
	83	4.120
	42	3.830
1	.00	3.720
	55	3.360
	50	3.230
	55	3.010
	5	2.830
	83	2.900
	42	2.850
1	.00	2.680
	8	2.640
	8	2.600
	5	2.510
	63	2.380
	83	2.372
	8	2.356
	8	2.310
	8	2.199
	8	2.187
	83	2.049
	42	2.004

- 98 -



Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	50	7.865
	50	6.930
	30	6.360
	10	4.634
	20	4.100
	40	3.576
	50	3.485
	40	3.414
	50	3.082
	20	2.998
2	100	2.832
	30	2.756
	20	2.613
	70	2.540
	30	2.420
	20	2.318
	70	2.192
	30	2.044
	30	1.999
	30	1.929
	30	1.892
	30	1.858
	30	1.824
	70	1.793
	30	1.736
	30	1.708
	50	1.593

- 99 -



Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	66	7.138
	40	4.439
	26	4.152
	26	4.040
	26	3.850
	26	3.770
	76	3.520
	26	3.018
	100	2.876
	10	2.691
	76	2.557
	6	2.362
	76	2.233
	26	2.076
	26	2.035
	26	1.937
	26	1.903
	26	1.873
	26	1.837
	50	1.808
	26	1.770
	50	1.610

X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern RbSn2C15.H20 [9] Identifying these lines in the powder patterns of KSn_2Br_5 and $NH_4Sn_2Br_4C1$ enabled the calculation of cell dimensions which are shown below together with those obtained for $RbSn_2Br_5$ by single crystal work:

RbSn ₂ Br5	a	=	ъ	=	8.442	A;	c	=	14.754	A 4
KSn ₂ Br ₅	а	=	ъ	=	8.38	A:	c	=	14.51	A
NH ₄ Sn ₂ Br ₄ Cl	a	=	ь	=	8.45	A:	c	=	14.55	A

The a/b cell dimensions of the three materials are very similar. In contrast the c-axis is significantly shorter in KSn2Br5 and NH4Sn2Br4Cl. This may be explained with a knowledge of the structure of these materials (see section 5.2). In RbSn2Br5, tin and bromine form 2-dimensional polymeric layers running perpendicular to the c-axis separated by rubidium cations. Hence, in KSn2Br5. little difference would be expected in the a/b cell dimensions as the Sn and Br sites should be unaffected, but the c-axis would be reduced in accomodating the smaller cation. The ammonium cation is of a similar size to K^+ and therefore, the c-axes in KSn2Br5 and NH4Sn2Br4Cl are expected to be approximately the same in the two materials. In the two dimensional polymeric network, in RbSn2Br5, there is evidence of interaction between the non-bonding electrons on the Sn atoms and empty 4d orbitals on Br. One of the Br sites is not involved in this interaction and lies in a plane with Sn. It is likely that it is this Br, which has been replaced by Cl in $NH_{\mu}SnBr_{\mu}Cl$, as the other halide sites

require the use of suitable d-orbitals to maintain their stereochemistry and these are unavailable on Cl. With Cl directly replacing Br in the a/b cell plane a slight lattice shrinkage might be expected, but this has not been observed. In the Mössbauer data the quadrupole splittings are similar in the all bromine materials, $RbSn_2Br_5$ and KSn_2Br_5 , but increases in $NH_4Sn_2Br_4Cl$, indicating a greater electronic assymetry at the tin site in the Cl containing material.

The X-ray powder pattern of $CsSn_2Br_4Cl.2.5H_2O$ is similar to that of $CsSn_2Br_5$ [15]. Indexing some of the powder lines as for $CsSn_2Br_5$ as follows:

d A	h	k	1
7.695	0	0	2
3.850	0	0	4
2.988	4	0	0
2.557	0	0	6

It is possible to derive a set of cell dimensions for $CsSn_2Br_{\mu}Cl.2.5H_2O$:

a = b = 11.95Å; c = 15.39Å $a = b = c = 90^{\circ}$

These dimensions lie between those of $CsSn_2Br_5$ (12.05,15.40Å) and $CsSn_2Cl_5$ (11.497,14.28Å) and are similar to the anhydrous $CsSn_2Br_4Cl$ (11.88 and 15.35Å). It is therefore likely that the water molecules fit into lattice spaces without significantly distorting the lattice. the Mössbauer data seem to confirm this as parameters measured for the hydrated complex are similar to those of the anhydrous material at $\S = 3.81(1)$ and $\Delta = 0.92$ mm/s.

The powder pattern of $\text{KSn}_2\text{Br}_{0.4}\text{Cl}_{4.6}$ ·H₂O is similar to that of KSn_2Cl_5 ·H₂O as determined by Bird [9], suggesting that these materials are isostructural. The Mössbauer data do differ significantly, however, with (\$ = 3.88, $\triangle =$ 0.88 mm/s for KSn_2Cl_5 ·H₂O). Both shift and splitting are larger in the mixed halide material. The X-ray powder pattern of $\text{NH}_4\text{Sn}_2\text{Br}_{2.5}\text{Cl}_{2.5}$ ·O.5H₂O does not resemble that of any known phase.

MSn3X7. nH20

Three phases of the general formula $MSn_3X_7 \cdot nH_2O$ were isolated from aqueous systems. Preparations are summarised in table 2.10. Analytical data, Mössbauer parameters and decomposition temperatures are given in tables 2.11-13 respectively. X-ray powder patterns for these materials are recorded in figs.2.24-26.

Single crystal X-ray data had been collected for what was believed to be the material $CsSn_2BrF_4$ [17]. Attempts to resynthesise crystals of $CsSn_2BrF_4$ always resulted in the isolation of $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$, which has the same X-ray powder pattern as $CsSn_2BrF_4$, as observed by Grimes [10]. The crystal structure however would not Preparations in solution of

Phases of the type $MSn_3X_7 \cdot xH_2O$.

Formula	MX: SnY ₂	Acid used	M. X. Y
^{CsSn} 3 ^{Br} 1.5 ^F 5.5	1:2	ca.3cm ³ dil H_2SO_4	Cs.Br.F
^{KSn} 3 ^{Br} 2 ^{C1} 5 ^{.1.5H} 2 ^O	1:5	ca.1cm ³ conc HBr	K, Br, Cl
^{KSn} 3 ^{Br} 0.7 ^{C1} 6.3 ^{.3H} 2 ^O	1:5	none	K, Br, Cl

Table 2.11

Analytical data for phases of the

type MSn3X7.xH20

Formula	%М	%Sn	%Br	%C1	^{%H} 2 ^O
^{CsSn} 3 ^{Br} 1.5 ^F 5.5	19.4 (18.6)	50.1 (49.9)	16.5 (16.8)	0.0	0.0 (0.0)
KSn3Br2C15.1.5H20	5.0	46.2	21.6	23.7	3.5
	(5.1)	(46.9)	(21.0)	(23.3)	(3.6)
^{KSn} 3 ^{Br} 0.7 ^{C1} 6.3 ^{.3H} 2 ^O	5.2	47.2	7.1	29.2	8.0
	(5.4)	(48.9)	(7.7)	(30.7)	(7.4)
Table 2.12

Mössbauer data for phases

of the type $MSn_3x_7.xH_20$

Formula	{ mm∕s	∆ mm/s
^{CsSn} 3 ^{Br} 1.5 ^F 5.5	3.50(1)	1.50(1)
^{KSn} 3 ^{Br} 2 ^{C1} 5.1.5H2 ^O	3.88(2)	0.96(2)
^{KSn} 3 ^{Br} 0.7 ^{C1} 6.3 ^{.3H} 2 ^O	3.72(2)	1.19(1)

Table 2.13

Decomposition points for phases

of the type $MSn_3x_7.xH_20$

pt.

Formula	Decomposition		
	°C		
^{CsSn} 3 ^{Br} 1.5 ^F 5.5	225		
^{KSn} 3 ^{Br} 2 ^{C1} 5.1.5H2 ^O	210		
KSn ₃ Br _{0,7} Cl _{6,3} , 3H ₂ O	205		





Rel. Int.	(%)	d(hkl)Å	h	k	1
4		4.484	2	0	1
6		3.802	6	0	-1
100		3.401	4	1	0
19		3.314	0	0	3
6		2.578	4	0	3
4		2.191	4	0	4
13		2.132	10	0	1
13		2.127	2	0	-5
11		1.877	6	2	0
6		1.863	6	0	4
4		1.743	12	0	1
30		1.701	4	0	-6
33		1.698	6	0	-6
8		1.656	4	2	3



Rel.	Int.(%)		d(hkl)Å
	16		6.657
	17		4.058
	12		3.548
	100	1	2.858
	26		2.571
	6		2.321
	8		2.227
	6		1.926
	6		1.899
	17		1.811

Fig.2.25

Fig.2.26





Rel. Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
38	8.506
40	5.574
34	4.250
27	3.663
7	3.493
100	2.831
5	2.763
8	2.637
6	2.550
4	2.212
4	2.122
5	2.113
2	2.058
2	1.899
6	1.814
5	1.801

resolve as $CsSn_2BrF_4$ and a solution was eventually derived using the formula $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$ (see section 5.3).

The other two materials isolated had powder patterns which did not resemble each other or that of $^{CsSn}_{3}Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$. Both materials have powder patterns that do not resemble any of the other types of phases known in this system. The Mössbauer parameters also show differences to those of known phases especially in the quadrupole splitting of $KSn_{3}Br_{0.7}Cl_{6.3}.3H_2O$ which is unusually large.

KX.KSnX3.H20

Several materials corresponding to the general formula $M_2 SnBr_nCl_{4-n}$. H_2O (where $M = K.NH_4$) have been isolated from solution. The preparations are summarised in table 2.14 and analytical data and decomposition temperatures are given in tables 2.15-16. X-ray powder patterns are recorded in figs.2.27-32, along with those of some related materials [9].

KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O was prepared from a solution containing a 5:1 mole ratio of KBr : SnCl₂. Very often KBr itself crystallises out of solution when present in a large excess. Because of the high solubility of KBr (53.48g/1 in cold water [18]) in water, crystallisation of KBr can be avoided, providing enough mother liquor is left. The structure of KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O has been determined by Preparations from solution of phases of the type MX.MSnX₃.H₂O

Formula	MX:SnY2	Acid co	ontent	M. X. Y
K ₂ SnBr ₃ Cl.H ₂ O	5:1	ca.lcm ³	conc.HBr	K, Br, Cl
(NH ₄) ₂ SnBr _{2.6} Cl _{1.4} .H ₂ C	2:1	ca.3cm ³	dil HCl	NH4, Br, Cl
(NH ₄) ₂ SnBr _{1.9} Cl _{2.1} .H ₂ C	2:1	ca.5cm3	аіі нсі	NH4.Br.Cl
(NH ₄) ₂ SnBr _{1.5} Cl _{2.5} .H ₂ C	2:1	ca.5cm ³	dil HCl	NH4.Br.Cl

Table 2.15

Analytical data for phases of the type MX.MSnX₃.H₂O

Formula	%K	%Sn	%Br	%C1 %1	H_0
K ₂ SnBr ₂ Cl.H ₂ O	18.5	22.6	47.5	7.7	5.3
2 3 2	(15.9)	(24.2)	(48.9)	(7.2)	(3.7)

(NH ₄)2 ^{SnBr} 2.6 ^{Cl} 1.4 ^{.H} 2 ^O	6.34	2.20	27.6	48.7	10.9
	(6.51)	(2.34)	(27.6)	(48.3)	(11.5)
(NH ₄) ₂ SnBr _{1.9} Cl _{2.1} .H ₂ O	6.80	2.42	29.8	37.9	18.8
	(7.02)	(2.53)	(29.7)	(38.0)	(18.7)
^{(NH} 4)2 ^{SnBr} 1.5 ^{C1} 2.5 ^{.H} 2 ^O	7.26	2.42	30.2	31.9	22.7
	(7.35)	(2.64)	(31.1)	(31.4)	(23.3)

Table 2.16

Decomposition points for phases

of the type $MX.MSnX_3.H_20$

Formula	Decomposition p	pt.
	°C	
K ₂ SnBr ₃ C1.H ₂ O	224	
(NH ₄) ₂ SnBr _{2.6} Cl _{1.4} .H ₂ O	218	
(NH ₄) ₂ SnBr _{1.9} Cl _{2.1} .H ₂ O	204	
(NH ₄) ₂ SnBr _{1.5} Cl _{2.5} .H ₂ O	198	

Table 2.17

Mössbauer data for phases of the type MX.MSnX3.H20

Formula	δ mm∕s	∆ mm/s	
^{(NH} 4)2 ^{SnBr} 2.6 ^{C1} 1.4 ^{.H} 2 ^O	3.64(5)	0.96(4)	
^{(NH} 4)2 ^{SnBr} 1.9 ^{C1} 2.1 ^{.H} 2 ^O	3.61(2)	0.95(4)	
^{(NH} 4)2 ^{SnBr} 1.5 ^{C1} 2.5 ^{.H} 2 ^O	3.58(4)	0.94(4)	
NH4Br.NH4SnBr3.H20	3.59	0.67	[9]
NH4C1.NH4SnCl3.H2O	3.65	0.95	
K ₂ SnBr ₃ Cl.H ₂ O	3.77(5)	0.76(5)	
KC1.KSnCl ₃ .H ₂ O	3.41(4)	0.98(3)	
KBr.KSnBr3.H20	3.63	0.76	[9]



		Fig.2.27	
X-ray	Powder	Diffraction	Pattern
	KBr.K	SnBr2C1.H20	

Rel.	Int.	(%)	d(hkl)Å	h	k	l
	100		7.138	о	1	1
	20		3.164	2	2	0
	32		3.153	0	0	4
	38		3.079	2	2	1
	11		3.008	0	2	3
	20		2.607	0	3	2
	47		2.495	1	3	2
	12		2.227	4	1	1
	30		2.108	0	3	4
	63		2.058	1	3	4
	37		2.032	1	0	6
	22		1.870	1	4	3
	17		1.704	5	2	1

Fig.2.28





) Å

Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	10	10.782
	16	9.509
	8	8.117
	100	7.138
	18	6.371
	7	6.026
	10	5.906
	21	5.126
	5	4.770
	6	4.529
	6	4.396
	6	4.230
	10	4.095
	10	3.986
	25	3.818
	15	3.770
	57	3.209
	60	3.110
	39	3.028
	36	2.867
	5	2.706
	17	2.629
	13	2.557
	15	2.543
	7	2.423
	10	2.386
	14	2.265
	12	2.176
	50	2.132
	61	2.053
	7	1.998
	44	1.907
	17	1.888
	17	1.791
	8	1.710





el.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	100	7.081
	20	4.311
	21	4.095
	17	3.754
	17	3.562
	6	3.209
	8	3.100
	20	3.018
	19	2.969
	11	2.858
	6	2.788
	13	2.550
	11	2.529
	7	2.417
	8	2.374
	15	2.122
	15	2.040
	23	1.895
	13	1.778
	6	1.707

Re





Rel. Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
100	7.081
18	6.326
13	4.291
11	4.077
69	3.534
7	3.198
13	3.153
7	3.069
21	3.008
6	2.831
4	2.536
4	2.362
8	2.113
9	1.981
14	1.852
11	1.710

... - 116 -

Fig.2.31

X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern NH4C1.NH4SnC13.H20 [9]



Rel. Int.(%) d(hkl)Å

100	6 092
100	0.903
00	0.204
50	4.058
26	4.200
66	3.878
66	3.705
26	3.478
6	3.410
76	3.121
6	3.097
66	3.026
50	2.936
50	2.901
66	2.782
6	2.734
6	2.687
6	2.633
26	2.572
26	2.484
10	2.463
6	2,402
50	2.350
10	2 327
26	2.298
66	2 205
66	2 105
50	2.110
50	2 073
26	2.075
20	2.037
40	1.900
20	1.907
50	1.930
0	1.911
20	1.864
50	1.834
50	1.830

X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern



Rel. Int.(%)

d(hkl)Å

100	6.834
30	6.046
20	4.581
20	4.152
70	3.802
70	3.619
10	3.418
70	3.051
20	3.016
70	2.968
20	2.859
20	2.823
70	2.736
10	2.679
10	2.575
56	2.515
40	2.429
40	2.409
40	2.346
40	2.286
10	2.245
30	2.162
40	2.143
56	2.061
56	2.031
10	2.000
40	1.952
40	1.929

- 118 -





Rel. Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
100	8.116
60	7.196
30	6.657
16	4.745
30	3.952
30	3.786
30	3.679
30	3.548
60	3.375
30	3.302
100	3.175
60	3.089
100	3.018
16	2.978
100	2.849
60	2.740
60	2.630
16	2.564
60	2.488
16	2.362
16	2.338
30	2.248
30	2.206

X-ray crystallography and is discussed in section 5.4. Evidence from this determination indicates that at least two of the halide sites on the trihalostannate(II) anion are interchangeable between Br and Cl. Several other mixed halogen complexes have been prepared using the ammonium cation. The structures of $NH_4Cl.NH_4SnCl_3.H_2O$ and $KCl.KSnCl_3.H_2O$ are both known and are isostructural with $KBr.KSnBr_2Cl.H_2O$. The X-ray powder diffraction patterns indicate that the ammonium mixed halide double salts are also isostructural with these materials.

Table 2.17 shows the Mössbauer parameters for these related salts. The parameters of the ammonium salts all lie within experimental error of each other and hence no trends can be identified.

Materials containing sulphate

Many of the materials produced from solutions containing dilute sulphric acid have analytical data that suggest that sulphate has been incorporated into the lattice. This is not unknown, the structure of $K_3Sn_2(SO_4)_3Br$ as determined by Donaldson and Grimes [19], was from a product isolated from a solution containing dilute H_2SO_4 . The presence of SO_4 in these materials has been confirmed using ir spectroscopy the SO_4 group showing an intense line at 1190 cm⁻¹. Unfortunately most of these products crystallised as mixtures and were not studied further in this work.

REFERENCES, CHAPTER TWO

(1) C.E.Vanderzee and D.E.Rhodes, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., (1952), 74, 3552.

(2) W.B.Schaap, J.A.Davis and W.H.Nebergall, J.Amer. Chem.Soc., (1954), 76, 5226.

(3) J.D.Donaldson, Progress Inorganic Chem., (1967), 8,287.

(4) F.R.Poulsen and S.E.Rasmussen, Acta Chem.Scand., (1970), 24,150.

(5) B.Kamenar and D.Grdenic, J.Inorg.Nucl.Chem., (1962),24, 1039.

(6) J.D.Donaldson and J.D.O'Donoghue, J.Chem.Soc.,(1964), 271.

(7) J.D.O'Donoghue Ph.D.Thesis, London 1965.

(8) R.R.McDonald, A.C.Larson and D.T.Cromer, Acta Cryst.,17, (1964), 1104.

(9) S.R.A.Bird, Ph.D. Thesis, London 1971.

(10) S.M.Grimes, Ph.D. Thesis, The City University 1982.

(12) S.J.Clark, Private communication.

(13) A.I.Vogel. 'A Textbook of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis', 3rd edition, Longmans, London 1964.

(14) Dionex 2010i Instruction Manual.

(15) J.D.Donaldson, J.Silver, S.Hadjiminolis and
S.D.Ross, J.Chem.Soc. Dalton Trans., (1975), 1500.

(16) D.C.Puxley, Ph.D. Thesis, London 1972.

(17) J.D.Donaldson, Private communication.

(18) 'C.R.C. Handbook of Chemistry and Physics' 61st edition (1980-81). C.R.C. Press.

(19) J.D.Donaldson and S.M.Grimes, J.Chem.Soc. Dalton trans., (1984), 1301.

CHAPTER THREE

THERMOANALYTICAL STUDIES ON THE KBr : SnX_2 SYSTEM (WHERE X = Br, Cl, F).

Dama

2. 19

the many share a standard of function of the standard and the	age
3.1 Introduction to thermal analysis.	123
3.2 Phases, components and the phase rule.	133
3.3 Construction of phase diagrams.	136
3.4 Phase diagrams for the system KBr:SnX ₂ .	138
KBr : SnBr ₂	139
KBr : SnCl ₂	146
KBr : SnF ₂	154
References	165

CHAPTER THREE

3.1 Introduction to thermal analysis.

Thermal analysis is the general term given to analytical techniques which involve the measuring of a physical property as a function of temperature. For many thousands of years man has observed and utilised the effect of heat on materials, the smelting of iron and copper are early examples. At the end of the 18th century Josiah Wedgwood conducted one of the first recorded thermogravimetric experiments, involving gases evolved from china clays during heating. During the late 19th century, thermal analysis was pioneered with the first DTA results in 1899. The term 'thermal analysis' was eventually introduced in 1905 by Tammann. Work continued steadily throughout the beginning of this century, but, it was not until fairly recently, with the introduction of modern thermoanalytical instrumentation, that thermoanalysis became a common analytical tool.

Fundamental to thermoanalytical techniques is the second law of thermodynamics which, at constant pressure, may be expressed in terms of the change in free energy of a system (ΔG) by the Gibbs-Helmholtz expression [1]:

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S \qquad ----- (3.1)$$

Where $\triangle H$ and $\triangle S$ are the changes in enthalpy and entropy in the system, respectively, and T is the absolute temperature. A reaction is stable when ΔG is positive, at equilibrium when $\Delta G = 0$, and is likely to proceed spontaneously when ΔG is negative. Thus, by adjusting temperature it is possible to make a reaction more likely to occur spontaneously, i.e. make ΔG negative. Differentiating equation 3.1 with respect to T gives:

$$\frac{d(\Delta G)}{dT} = -\Delta S \qquad ---- (3.2)$$

Therefore, increase in temperature will make a reaction more likely to occur spontaneously when ΔS is positive and the situation is reversed when ΔS is negative.

Fig.3.1 shows the main categories and principal techniques used in thermal analysis. The most important of these techniques are differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), differential thermal analysis (DTA) and thermogravimetry (TG). In the present work only the latter two techniques have been used and are discussed below.

TG.

Thermogravimetry involves the measurment of mass as a function of temperature. The type of reactions observable are:

(i) Reactants \longrightarrow Products + Gas (ii) Gas + Reactants \longrightarrow Products

Thus, reactions involving mass loss or mass gain can be



Fig. 3.1

Important thermoanalytical techniques

monitored using this technique. As mass is being measured, the technique is quantative, and instrumental factors have no effect on the extent of reaction, but do influence the rate of reaction.

Fig.3.2 is a typical TG curve showing a single stage mass loss. The reaction can be summarised as follows:

A(solid) \longrightarrow B(solid) + C(gas)

The reaction may be characterised by two temperatures as marked in fig.3.2; T_{i} , is the initial temperature at which cumulative mass change reaches an observable magnitude; T_{f} , the final temperature, is the temperature at which cumulative mass change is at a maximum. The difference $T_{f} - T_{i}$ is called the reaction interval. Thus quantitative measurements are possible by measuring the mass losses corresponding to particular reaction intervals.

In addition to TG curves differential thermogravimetric curves (DTG) were recorded, ie. the derivative of mass change with respect to time, as a function of temperature. In most cases no additional information is available by using DTG, however, in cases where overlapping mass changes occur, the sharp maxima of DTG can enable their separation. Some TG and corresponding DTG curves are shown in fig.3.3.



Reaction interval = $T_f - T_i$

Fig. 3.2

Typical T.G. curve showing single stage mass-loss.





Some T.G. curves and corresponding D.T.G. curves.

DTA.

Differential thermal analysis (DTA) involves the monitoring of temperature differences between a test sample and an inert reference under identical conditions. The difference in temperature is monitored by a thermocouple located beneath the sample holder as summarised in fig.3.4. Temperature differentials occur when samples undergo chemical reactions, phase changes or structural changes.

In an endothermic reaction where ΔH is positive, the sample temperature is lower than the reference, the situation being reversed for exothermic reactions. Fig. 3.5 shows an idealised DTA trace with endothermic and exothermic cuves. The main advantage over TG, is that observable reactions are not limited to ones where mass change occurs. Although the area under curves is proportional to the heat of reaction and amount of material present, analyses of DTA curves are mostly qualitative.

DTA can also be used in reactions where no heat change occurs to observe differences in heat capacities and thermal conductivities, such as present in some solid-solid phase transitions, providing allowances have been made for sample mass and packing density. A shift in the baseline position is characteristic of such a change.

A summary of the type of phenomena observable in TG and DTA experiments is shown in table 3.1.



R = Reference. S = Sample. T = Temperature.

Fig. 3.4

Schematic representation of DTA.



Fig. 3.5

Idealised DTA trace.

- 132 -

Table 3.1

Some observable phenomena in TG and DTA

Phenomena	TG	DTA
Dehydration	L	Endo
Crystalline transition	-	Exo or Endo
Melting	The second second	Endo
Vaporisation	L	Endo
Sublimation	L	Endo
Decomposition	L	Endo or Exo
Solid state reaction	(L)*	Endo or Exo
Solid gas reaction	L	Endo or Exo

.

* Wt loss if gas is released.

L	=	Weight loss
Endo	=	Endothermic peak observable
Exo	=	Exothermic peak observable

3.2 Phases, components and the phase rule.

Gibbs defined a phase as "a state of matter that is uniform throughout not only in chemical composition but also in physical state ". For example, ice is a single phase but a slurry of ice in water is a 2 phase system.

The number of components in a system is the minimum number of chemical species needed to define the composition of that system. For a simple mixture of two materials, that do not react with each other, the number of components is 2, however, this becomes more complicated in cases where equilibria occur.

Using these two definitions it is possible to describe a function defining the number of degrees of freedom (F) in a system as follows:

F = C - P + 2 ----- (3.3)

where, C is the number of components and P is the number of phases. Equation 3.3 is known as the phase rule. The degrees of freedom relate to the variation in pressure and temperature for example, in a one component system with one phase, F = 2, this means that pressure and temperature may be varied independently. With two phases in a one component system F = 1, consequently pressure and temperature cannot be varied independently and one of these variables must be fixed. With 3 phases F = 0, thus 3 phases can only coexist at a set value for pressure and temperature. F cannot have a negative value so 3 phases is the maximum number in a 1 component system.

All of the present work has involved two component systems ie F = 4 - P. As pressure was kept constant during the experiments equation 3.3 may be further simplified to:

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{3} - \mathbf{P}$$

To examine the phases present in a mixture of two solids a two component phase diagram can be constructed. Fig.3.6 shows some simple two component phase diagrams and illustrates the type of features observable. In a simple two component mixture where no new phases are present on mixing, melting occurs at a lower temperature than the highest melting component and falls to a single low point known as a eutectic. At the eutectic the material composition is a mixture of both components. Towards the extremes of the diagram the compositions begin to resemble the pure phases. Thus, in more complex systems there is a eutectic for every pair of neighbouring phases on a phase diagram, even if these phases show no congruent melting point.

Phase diagrams have been constructed in the current work by measuring melting points and eutectic temperatures over a comprehensive composition range using DTA.



Some simple 2 component phase diagrams.

A B.

Simple mixture of two solids with eutectic e.



Congruently melting new phase formed at c, giving two eutectics e₁ & e₂.



Incongruently melting phase at a with a single eutectic e.

e = Eutectic. L = Liquid phase. S = Solid phase. 3.3 Construction of phase diagrams

In the present work all thermal analyses were carried out on a Stanton Redcroft STA-780 simultaneous thermal analyser. This system simultaneously ran TG, DTG, DTA and temperature curves thus overcoming any correlation problems. Fig.3.7 is a flow chart representing the STA-780 system.

Three solid state systems were examined of the type $KBr:SnX_2$, where X = F,Cl,Br. Commercially prepared SnF_2 and KBr were used. KBr was dried in an oven at 80°C before use. The preparations of $SnBr_2$ and of $SnCl_2$ are discussed below:

Anhydrous SnCl₂ was prepared by dehydration of commercially prepared SnCl₂.2H₂O. SnCl₂.2H₂O (22.6g) was added with stirring to acetic anhydride (20.4g). The dehydration which commences immediately is accompanied by intense heat evolution. A fine white powder was filtered off after 1.5 hours, by vacuum filtration, and washed with dry ether. Air was sucked through the filtrate until dry. The powder was stored in vacuo, over silica gel.

 ${\rm SnBr}_2$ was prepared by the reaction of tin metal with concentrated hydrobromic acid. To tin metal (100g) was added HBr (200 mls), in a round bottomed flask. This was heated under reflux conditions in an atmosphere of N₂ After much of the tin had dissolved the solution was decanted into a beaker and heated, under N₂, to evaporate



Schematic representation of STA-780 thermal analyser

excess HBr. The resulting yellow solid was heated strongly until a red liquid was obtained. SnBr₂ formed as a white mass on cooling.

The correct molar quantities of KBr and SnX₂ were weighed and mixed thoroughly by grinding. The mixture was placed in a test tube which was then evacuated. This was heated until the mixture melted and all the KBr had been dissolved in the molten tin halide. The melt was allowed to cool and solidify and the process repeated to ensure a uniform melt. The resulting melt was allowed to cool to room temperature before the vacuum was released. The cooled melt was then ground and thermoanalytical and X-ray powder diffraction measurements carried out on each sample. Mössbauer spectra were collected for those materials considered to be new distinct single phase compounds.

Phase diagrams were constructed over the composition ranges $10 - 100\% \text{SnX}_2$ but, in mixtures where the composition was low in SnX_2 it was not always possible to achieve complete dissolution of the KBr in the stannous halide, as the amount of halide used was not sufficient to form enough of a volume of molten liquid.

3.4 Phase diagrams for the systems KBr : SnX2

Using the techniques described in section 3.3, phase diagrams were constructed for the 3 solid state systems KBr : SnX_2 where X = Br, Cl. F. These systems are discussed below.

KBr : SnBr,

The phase diagram for the KBr : SnBr_2 system is shown in fig.3.8. Melts in the composition range 10 - 90 % SnBr_2 were used in its construction. Melting point and eutectic temperatures are recorded in table 3.2. X-ray powder diffraction was used to characterise the cooled melts.

There are two new congruently melting phases centred at A $(m.p. = 254^{\circ} C \text{ at } 50\% \text{ SnBr}_{2})$ and B $(m.p. = 264^{\circ} C \text{ at } 67\%$ SnBr2). Below 50% SnBr2 no new phases could be isolated. In addition two eutectics are observable at 55% (230°C) and 90% (216°C) SnBr2. In order to confirm the existence of two new distinct phases, X-ray powder diffraction measurements have been made on all samples and compared with those for the phases A and B (given in figs. 3.9 and 3.10) and with SnBr, and KBr (given in figs. 3.11 and 3.12). The X-ray powder data of the melts from 0% SnBr, upto phase A indicate a mixture of KBr and phase A. Melts between 50 and 67% show mixtures of phase A and phase B and above 67% the X-ray powder data are of mixtures of phase B and SnBr2. No evidence was found of a new eutectic composition between phase A and KBr. The X-ray powder data, therefore, confirm the existence of the new phases A and B.

The molar compositions of the two congruently melting phases correspond to the formulae KSnBr_3 (calculated at 50% SnBr_2) for phase A and KSn_2Br_5 (calculated at 66.7% Fig. 3.8. Phase diagram for KBr : SnBr2 system


.

	Tabl	e 3.2	2	
Melting	points	and	eutectics	for
s	stem K	Br :	SnBr ₂	

Molar	composition	Melting point	Eutectic
%	SnBr ₂	°C	°C
	-		
	100	246	
	90	216	
	85	236	220
	80	245	217
	75	255	214
	70	264	226
	65	265	228
	60	244	231
	55	230	
	50	254	228
	48	251	232
	45	250	229
	40	251	228
	35	250	229
	30	248	231
	25	250	225
	20	252	232
	15	254	231
	10	257	
	0	734	



Pol	Tnt	(%)
Re1.	Int.	(70)

d(hkl)Å

22	9.213
64	8.192
27	7.138
26	6.607
22	6.237
21	3.770
26	3.663
28	3.534
68	3.376
29	3.302
100	3.164
18	3.089
59	3.028
18	2.959
91	2.831
29	2.747
26	2.637
53	2.481
16	2.449
20	2.327
18	2.249
49	2.206
26	2.137
20	2.094
21	2.053
24	1.973
32	1.922
20	1.870
36	1.784
18	1.716



		Fig. 3.10	
X-ray	Powder	Diffraction	Pattern
	ŧ	(Sn2Br5	

Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	60	7.314
	17	4.191
	20	3.619
	9	3.089
	100	2.959
	7	2.849
	12	2.747
	46	2.652
	11	2.488
	9	2.417
	30	2.292
	12	1.973
	40	1.873
	11	1.811
	16	1.784
	12	1.661

- 144 -



X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern SnBr2



Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	43	4.353
1	100	2.885
	18	2.362
	10	2.036
	18	1.824
	28	1.667



Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	16	3.818
	100	3.290
	58	2.332
	10	1.907
	17	1.650
	18	1.475

 $SnBr_2$) for phase B. These compare with the complexes KSn_2Br_5 and $KSnBr_3.2H_2O$ isolated from work in solution (see section 2.3). The X-ray powder data for the KSn_2Br_5 complex, isolated from solution (see fig.2.14), confirms that it is isostructural with phase B, present in the melt. The X-ray powder data for $KSnBr_3.2H_2O$, isolated from solution (see fig.2.4), and phase A, present in the melt, are also very similar.

The Mössbauer data for the two new phases, are shown in table 3.3 along with those of SnBr2, KSnBr3.2H20 and KSn2Br5 isolated from solution. Not suprisingly as the the Sn/Br ratio increases from 0.33 in KSnBr3 to 0.4 in KSn2Br5 there is an increase in chemical shift, which increases still further in SnBr2, the parent material, which has a Sn/Br ratio of 0.5. This change of shift is simply indicative of the way in which Sn is changing its use of bonding electrons from that in the parent material, SnBr2, to the complex tribromostannate, which has three relatively covalent Sn-Br bonds. The shifts of the new phases are similar to those observed in the corresponding phases isolated from solution. The quadrupole splittings of the two tribromostannates are approximately equal. There is a slight difference in the quadrupole splittings of the two potassium pentabromodistannate complexes, the difference, however, lies within fitting error of each other.

KBr : SnCl,

The phase diagram for the KBr : SnCl₂ system is shown in

- 146 -

Table 3.3

Mössbauer data for new phases in the solid state system KBr : SnBr₂

Phase	§ mm∕s	∆ mm/s
KSnBr ₃	3.59(7)	0.74(6)
^{KSn} 2 ^{Br} 5	3.84(9)	0.99(8)
KSnBr3.2H20	3.61(4)	0.79(4)
KSn2Br5 (from soln.)	3.83(3)	0.88(3)
SnBr ₂	3.90(2)	0.00

- 147 -

fig.3.13. Melts in the composition range 10 - 90 % SnCl₂ were used in its construction. Melting point and eutectic temperatures are recorded in table 3.4.

There are two new congruently melting phases centred at A $(m.p. = 235^{\circ}C \text{ at } 43\% \text{ SnCl}_2) \text{ and } B (m.p. = 198^{\circ}C \text{ at } 71\%$ SnCl2). In addition four eutectics are observed in the phase diagram, with three at ca. 17% (mp.205°C), 64% (mp.183°C) and 77.5% (mp.189°C) SnCl₂; The fourth eutectic is present between the molar composition range 50-60 %SnCl₂ (mp.192°C), which is below an incongruently melting phase C. A fourth region centered at D may also represent an incongruently melting phase. In order to confirm the existence of two new distinct phases, X-ray powder diffraction measurements have been made on all samples and compared with those for the phases A and B (given in figs. 3.14 and 3.15) and with SnCl₂ [2] and KBr (given in figs. 3.16 and 3.12). The X-ray powder data do confirm these observations. The X-ray powder data of the melts from 0% upto 43% SnCl2 indicate a mixture of KBr and phase A. Between 45 and 70 %SnCl2 the X-ray powder data show mixtures of phase A and phase B. Above 75% SnCl₂ the X-ray powder data are those of a mixture of SnCl, and phase B.

The molar percentages of the two new congruently melting phases correspond to the formulae $K_2Sn_{1.5}Cl_3Br_2$ (calculated at 42.9% $SnCl_2$) for phase A and $KSn_{2.5}BrCl_5$ (calculated at 71.4% $SnCl_2$) for phase B. There are no similar phases isolated from solution (see section 2.3). Fig.3.13. Phase diagram for KBr : SnCl2 system



Table 3.4 Melting points and eutectics for the system KBr : SnCl₂

Molar	composition	Melting point	Eutectic
%	SnCl ₂	°C	°C
	100	246	
	90	229	
	85	201	189,178
	80	193	183
	77.5	189	183
	75	192	182
	70	198	183
	67	187	
	65	189	183
	64	183	
	60	202	192
	55	204	192
	50	207	194
	45	235	205
	40	235	206
	35	232	204
	33	232	206
	30	231	202
	25	226	213,204
	20	218	205
	15	223	202
	10	232	
	0	734	

Fig.3.14





Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	49	9.309
	82	8.117
	21	7.025
	29	6.559
	23	5.867
	23	5.644
	24	5.340
	29	4.745
	21	4.599
	26	4.152
	29	3.883
	32	3.633
	47	3.480
	18	3.401
	29	3.339
	49	3.220
	100	3.132
	21	3.038
	61	2.979
	21	2.885
	82	2.805
	23	2.683
	44	2.442
	24	2.417
	29	2.276
	42	2.201
	21	2.122
	29	2.151
	32	2.067
	29	2.027
	18	1.998
	29	1.895
	26	1.848
	23	1.762
	24	1.704
	21	1.681
	27	1.595

Fig. 3.15

X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern KSn2.5BrC15



Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	6	9.025
	4	8,117
	38	7.081
	4	5.574
	6	4.462
	28	4.058
	4	3.708
	29	3.507
	4	3.209
	4	3.079
	4	2.998
	100	2.858
	3	2.706
	3	2.644
	35	2.564
	9	2.404
	8	2.344
	42	2.222
	7	2.067
	8	2.027
	5	1.945
	7	1.907
	8	1.838
	27	1.807
	8	1.755
	8	1.728
	11	1.610

- 152 -

• •

- 153 -



X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern SnCl2



Pol	Tot (%)	d(hk1)8
Ker.	1110. (%)	u(IIKI)A
	30	4.520
	60	3.910
	60	3.510
	30	3.320
	10	3.210
	60	2.936
	100	2.775
	100	2.525
	10	2.405
	10	2.327
	30	2.243
	30	2.206
	30	2.181
	30	2.166
	100	2.103
	10	1.979
	30	1.916
	10	1.757
	10	1.711
	10	1.693
	30	1.682
	30	1.654
	10	1.621
	30	1.573
	10	1.554
	30	1.528
	10	1.469

The incongruently melting phase (C) at ca. 50% corresponds to a phase of formula of $KSnCl_2Br$ and that at 33% (D) corresponds to a formula of $K_2SnCl_2Br_2$.

The Mössbauer data for the two new distinct phases, are shown in table 3.5 along with those of $SnCl_2$. The Mössbauer data cannot be explained in exactly the same way as in the KBr:SnBr₂ system, as two types of halogen atoms are involved. However, the Mössbauer data may be rationalised by considering the Sn/halogen (Sn/X) ratio, which follows the same trend as observed in the KBr:SnBr₂ system, ie shift increases with increase in the Sn/X ratio. Thus, $K_2Sn_{1.5}ClBr_2$, which has a Sn/X ratio of 0.30, has the lowest shift at 3.53 mm/s which increases to 3.72 mm/s in $KSn_{2.5}BrCl_5$ which a Sn/X ratio of 0.42. Both shifts are considerably lower than that of the parent material SnCl2 with a Sn/X ratio of 0.5, this is expected as complex formation reduces the s-electron density at the tin nucleus.

KBr: SnF2

The phase diagram for the KBr : SnF_2 system is shown in fig.3.17. Melts in the composition range 10-90 $\%SnF_2$ were used in the construction. The numerical values for melting points and eutectics are given in table 3.6.

There are two new congruently melting phases centred at A $(m.p. = 250^{\circ} \text{ C} \text{ at } 50\% \text{ SnF}_2)$ and B $(m.p. = 262^{\circ} \text{ C} \text{ at } 67\% \text{ SnF}_2)$. In addition six eutectics are observed in the phase diagram with three at ca. 42% (mp.240°C), 53%

Table 3.5

Mössbauer data for new phases in the solid state system KBr : SnCl₂

Phase	{ mm∕s	∆ mm/s
^K 2 ^{Sn} 1.5 ^{Cl} 3 ^{Br} 2	3.53(7)	0.85(6)
KSn2.5 ^{BrC1} 5	3.72(3)	0.85(6)
SnCl ₂	4.06(1)	0.00

F1g. 3.17. Phase diagram for KBr : SnF2 system



Molar	composition	Melting point	Eutectic
%	SnF ₂	°C	°C
	100	222	
	90	197	183
	85	225	176
	80	244	227,177,144
	75	245	146
	70	246	215,145
	65	257	
	60	254	240
	55	246	239
	50	250	240,206
	45	246	238,207
	40	246	238,207
	35	248	
	30	249	206,179
	25	249	
	20	249	182
	15	254	
	10	246	
	0	734	

.

æ

Table 3.6 Melting points and eutectics for system KBr : SnF₂

(mp.240°C) and 87% (mp.176°C) SnF_2 ; the fourth eutectic is present between the molar composition ranges of 70-80 %SnF2 which is below an incongruently melting phase C. The fifth and sixth eutectics lie in the molar composition ranges 20-30% and 30-50% SnF2 respectively but no new phases have been identified below 50% SnF2. In order to confirm the existence of two new distinct phases, X-ray diffraction measurements have been made on all samples and compared with those for the phases A and B (given in figs. 3.18 and 3.19) and with SnF2 and KBr (given in figs. 3.20 and 3.12). The X-ray powder data do confirm these observations. The X-ray powder data of the melts from 0% upto 50% SnF2 indicate a mixture of KBr and phase A. Between 50% and 67% SnF2 the X-ray powder data show mixtures of phase A and phase B. Above 67% SnF2 the X-ray powder data are those of a mixture of SnF, and phase B.

The molar percentages of the two congruently melting phases correspond to the formulae KSnBrF_2 (calculated at 50% SnF_2) for phase A and KSn_2BrF_4 (calculated at 66.7% SnF_2) for phase B. The incongruent melting phase C at ca. 40% corresponds to a phase of formula $\text{K}_2\text{Sn}_3\text{Br}_2\text{F}_6$. Phases A and B at 50% and 67% SnF_2 are consistent with the phases A and B identified in the $\text{KBr}:\text{SnBr}_2$ system and like that system no new phases can be identified below 50% SnX_2 (X=Br.F). The X-ray powder data for the two 67% SnX_2 phases identified from the $\text{KBr}:\text{SnBr}_2$ and $\text{KBr}:\text{SnF}_2$ systems are dissimilar as are the two 50% SnX_2 phases. This is not suprising as the nature of the Sn-Br bond







Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	16	9.118
	20	3.089
:	100	3.008
	42	2.912
	10	2.667
`	12	2.227
	28	2.186
	9	2.094
	9	2.080
	14	2.062
	18	2.788
	17	1.701







Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	10	11.946
	15	9.509
	7	8.425
	4	7.500
	6	6.862
	7	6.607
	8	5.867
	40	4.901
	13	4.133
	10	4.077
	6	3.619
	7	3.576
	19	3.414
	64	3.278
	100	3.089
	66	2.931
	23	2.722
	7	2.508
	6	2.411
	6	2.374
	8	2.321
	17	2.181
	5	2.141
	8	2.099
	37	2.076
	15	2.036
	8	1.993
	10	1.969
	7	1.817
	8	1.771
	31	1.725
	7	1.664
	8	1.647

1



Rel. Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
100	3.530
60	3.390
26	3.210
26	3.190
26	3.140
60	2.150
60	2.140
26	1.980

- 161 -

is very different to that of Sn-F and this is reflected in the type of crystal structures formed by the two types of materials [3]. The X-ray powder pattern of KSn_2BrF_4 is however very similar to that of KSn_2F_5 reported by O'Donoghue given in fig.3.21 [4].

The Mössbauer data for the two new phases, are shown in table 3.10 along with those of SnF2, NaSn2F5 and NH4SnF3 (isolated from solution). Unlike the KBr: SnCl, and KBr: SnBr, systems the Mössbauer data cannot be explained by simple consideration of the Sn/halogen (Sn/X) ratio. The KSn₂BrF₁₁ complex which has a Sn/X ratio of 0.4 has a lower shift than KSnBrF2 which has a Sn/X ratio of 0.33. The reason for the discrepancy is as mentioned previously, the difference in nature between the Sn-F and Sn-Br bonds. The shifts of tin fluoride complexes are known to be anomalously low even on consideration of electronegativities [3]. Thus, the utilisation of the less electronegative Br anion in complex formation means that there is an increased electron density at the tin nucleus, on comparison with the all fluorine analogues, which is characterised by a higher shift. This is more significant where the Br/F ratio is high as in KSnBrF2, whose shift is very much higher than NH4SnF3. but becomes less significant as the Sn/F ratio decreases. as in KSn₂BrF4, which has a shift close to that of Na2Sn2F5. The quadrupole splittings also reflect the Br/F ratio and show a decrease with increase in the Br/F ratio.

- 162 -





Rel.	Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
	40	9.940
	50	4.910
	20	4.130
	40	3.630
:	100	3.410
	50	3.300
	76	3.270
	76	2.940
	20	2.440
	10	2.390
	76	2.100
	60	2.030
	50	1.961
	50	1.929
	50	1.791
	60	1.767
	50	1.728
	50	1.701
	50	1.634
	6	1.595

- 163 -

•

Table 3.7

Mössbauer data for new phases in the solid state system KBr : SnF₂

Phase	δ mm∕s	∆ mm∕s
KSnBrF ₂	3.54(3)	1.30(2)
KSn ₂ BrF4	3.36(3)	1.57(3)
SnF ₂ (ortho)	3.20	2.20 [5]
SnF ₂ (mono)	3.60	1.80 [5]
NaSn ₂ F ₅	3.32	1.86 [6]
NH _U SnF3	3.18	1.88 [7]

- 164 -

REFERENCES, CHAPTER THREE

(1) P.W.Atkins, 'Physical Chemistry', 1978, Oxford University Press.

(2) X-ray Powder Data File (Inorganic), American Society for Testing Materials, Philadelphia 1967.

(3) J.D.Donaldson and S.M.Grimes, Reviews on Silicon, Germanium, Tin and Lead Compounds, (1984), 8 (1), 1-132.

(4) J.D.O'Donoghue, Ph.D. Thesis, London 1965.

(5) J.D.Donaldson, R.Oteng and B.J.Senior, Chem.Comm., (1965), 618.

(6) J.D.Donaldson, D.C.Puxley and M.J.Tricker, J.Inorg. Nucl.Chem., (1975), 37, 655.

(7) J.D.Donaldson and B.J.Senior, J.Chem.Soc., (A),(1966), 1798.

CHAPTER FOUR

MÖSSBAUER SPECTROSCOPIC STUDIES OF TIN MATERIALS

4.1	The Mössbauer effect and Mössbauer	
	spectroscopy.	168
4.2	Conversion electron Mössbauer	
	spectroscopy.	178
4.3	Instrumentation.	184
4.4	Fitting programs.	186
4.5	Instrumentation changes and calibration.	191
4.6	A comparison of transmission and	
	of normal tin(II) compounds.	195
4.7	Applications of ¹¹⁹ Sn CEMS to Sn containing systems.	202
	(1) An exemination of timelate	
	passivation and anodic oxide coatings.	202
	(ii) An examination of tin oxide films	

on glass and quartz.

212

Page

(iii) An examination of NiSn alloy.	220
(iv) An examination of the effects of	
air and light on CsSn ₃ Br _{1.5} F _{5.5} using	
CEMS.	225
(v) An examination of flame resistant	
wool and cotton.	230
(vi) An examination of tin impregnated	
coal samples.	232
(vii) An examination of wood	
preservatives.	233
(VIII) TIN(II) Chloride films on	
white PVC.	234
(ix) Surface reactions.	234
(x) An examination of tin biocides on	
leaf samples.	237
(xi) An examination of tin in coloured	
ceramic tiles.	238

References.

243

.

CHAPTER FOUR

4.1 The Mössbauer Effect and Mössbauer Spectroscopy.

The recoiless emission and resonant absorption of γ -radiation, by an atomic nucleus, was first observed in 1957 by R.L. Mössbauer [1,2]. Since then the effect has been measured in many elements and the technique has emerged as a useful tool in structural chemistry. Most studies have been concerned with the ⁵⁷Fe and ¹¹⁹Sn isotopes and their respective γ -ray transitions of 14.4 and 23.8 KeV, the present work involves the ¹¹⁹Sn isotope only. The ¹¹⁹Sn decay scheme is shown in fig.4.1.

y-radiation results from the decay of an excited nuclear spin state to the ground state. In the decaying nucleus there is some loss of energy due to recoil (see fig.4.2). If we assign the energies of the two states as E_{ex} and E_{g} the energy of the y-ray is given by,

$$E_{\chi} = E_{ex} - E_{g} - (E_{R} + E_{D}) ---- (4.1)$$

 E_{R} , the recoil energy and E_{D} a Döppler term can be calculated using equations 4.2 and 4.3 respectively.

$$E_{R} = \frac{E_{\gamma}^{2}}{2mc^{2}} \qquad ---- (4.2)$$
$$E_{D} = 2\sqrt{(E_{R} \text{ kT})} \qquad ---- (4.3)$$

Where T is the absolute temperature and k is Boltzman's constant.



Fig. 4.1





Energy loss due to recoil.

For certain low energy Y-ray transitions, in the solid state, the recoil energy is taken up by the whole lattice and E_R and E_D both approach zero. Therefore, $E_Y = E$ and resonant re-absorption by an identical nucleus may then take place, this is known as the Mössbauer Effect. This may be rationalised as follows:

If a rigidly bound atom in a solid is excited then the recoil is taken up by the whole lattice. Consequently, the mass term in equation.4.2 is very large and $E_R \rightarrow 0$. In practice, however, atoms are not rigidly bound and vibration occurs. If E_R is large enough it may be transferred to a quantised lattice vibration. However if E_R is less than the energy required to reach the lowest vibrational level, the lattice recoils as a whole and resonance is observed. In practice only a small fraction of these events take place, the quantity being known as the recoil free, or Mössbauer, fraction, f, and is given by,

 $\langle X^2 \rangle$ is the mean square vibrational amplitude of the nucleus in the solid and \rangle is the wavelength of the γ -photon. 'f' varies from solid to solid and increases with decreasing temperature ie. as atoms become more rigidly bound in the lattice. Most Mössbauer spectra are, therefore, accumulated at reduced temperatures (typically

80K) in order to enhance the effect.

Mössbauer spectroscopy can give information on atoms and molecules in the solid state, from hyperfine interactions between nuclear and electronic properties (see fig.4.3), ie. between nuclear charge distribution and extranuclear electric and magnetic fields.



Fig. 4.3: Scale of hyperfine interactions between nuclear energy levels and electronic properties.

Hyperfine interactions cause very small splittings in the nuclear energy levels (approx. 5×10^{-8} eV for ¹¹⁹Sn). To observe this in Mössbauer spectroscopy a small relative motion is applied to the source. The energy of



Fig. 4.4

Fundamentals of the Mössbauer effect in $^{119}\mathrm{Sn}$



Mössbauer spectrum for identical

source and absorber

the Y-ray is now Döppler shifted by an amount,

where c = the velocity of light and v = the Döppler velocity (see fig.4.4).

In practice the source is mounted on a vibrator and the extent of vibration adjusted to give the required range of velocities about E_{γ} . The resulting Mössbauer spectrum is a plot of % transmission versus variation in Döppler velocity (see fig.4.5) and shows a Lorentzian distribution. The line width Γ depends on the half life $(t_{1/2})$ of the excited state and is given by.

$$\Gamma_{\rm H} = \frac{h}{2\pi} \frac{0.693}{t_{1/2}} ---- (4.6)$$

where h is Planks constant.

There are three main hyperfine interactions viz:

(i) The chemical, or isomer shift (electric monopole interaction).

(ii) The quadrupole splitting (electric quadrupole interaction).

(iii) The magnetic hyperfine splitting (magnetic dipole interaction).

These are discussed below.

(i) Chemical shift.

Interaction between nuclear charge distribution and s-electronic charge results in an altered resonance position, the difference in energy between the source and absorber resonances is known as the chemical or isomer shift. This energy separation is due to a difference in the nuclear radii of the ground and excited states. Thus extranuclear factors must be considered when rationalising chemical shift and therefore equation 4.7 contains an atomic term and a nuclear term.





where, $|\Psi_S(0)|^2$ is the total s-electron density at the nucleus for source (s) and absorber (a), $\Delta R/R$ is the change in nuclear radii and Ze is the nuclear charge.

For ¹¹⁹Sn $\Delta R/R$ is positive, therefore, as the nuclear term is constant for any given transition, a positive chemical shift will indicate an increase in s-electron density at the nucleus. Thus chemical shift is used as a measure of nuclear s-electron density. By convention, in work with ¹¹⁹Sn, α -tin has been taken as an arbitrary zero and all tin chemical shifts relate to it. Therefore by considering the occupancy of the 5s orbital, the chemical shift of a particular tin compound would be positive for a tin(II) compound or negative for a

5s ⁰	Sn(IV)	Alloys 5s ¹	Sn(II)	5s ²
	-ve §	0.0	+ve {	

tin(IV) material (see fig. 4.6).

Increasing ionic character.

Fig. 4.6: Variation of § in Sn materials wrt α -Sn.

The degree of ionic character and, hence, nuclear s-electron density, increases with increase in positive chemical shift. For convenience the shifts quoted in this work are with respect to a $CaSnO_3$ source, these can easily be corrected with respect to α -tin by subtraction of 2.05 mm/s.

(ii) Quadrupole splitting.

Quadrupole splitting is a result of the coupling of the electric field gradient eq with the nuclear quadrupole moment eQ. Any nucleus with I>1/2 (where I is the spin quantum number) possesses a nuclear quadrupole moment as a direct result of the non-spherical charge distribution around the nucleus. In ¹¹⁹Sn, application of a non symmetrical extra-nuclear field (eg. in Sn(II) materials), results in a splitting of the I=3/2 nuclear energy level into two possible spin states defined by the magnetic quantum number m_{I} ($m_{I} = +-3/2$, +-1/2). The I=1/2 level does not split. Transitions between the 3 resulting nuclear levels causes a splitting in the resonance line and appears as a characteristic doublet in the Mössbauer spectrum. The difference between the two peaks in the spectrum is known as the quadrupole

splitting (Δ) (see figs. 4.7 and 4.8). The difference in energy can be expressed as:

$$\Delta = \left| \frac{e^2 q Q}{2} \right| \qquad (1 - \eta^{2/3})^{1/2} \qquad \dots \qquad (4.8)$$

Where η is the asymmetry of the electric field gradient, Q is the quadrupole moment of the nucleus, q is the field gradient and e is the protonic charge.

Thus, the extent of quadrupole splitting provides valuable information on the asymmetry of the nuclear s-electron density. Therefore, in tin, an imbalance in p or d electron density distribution would cause an electric field gradient at the nucleus inducing asymmetry in the electronic environment around the metal. High symmetry compounds have low quadrupole splittings, eg. SnO2 has a zero quadrupole splitting reflecting the high symmetry octahedral environment around the tin atom. Blue/black SnO, however, which has a square pyramidal environment around Sn, has a splitting of 1.45 mm/s [3]. This is a direct result of the asymmetry caused by the non bonding electron pair whose location prevents the close approach of 4 more oxygen atoms to complete octahedral symmetry. Where Δ is not resolved the Mössbauer line width Γ can give information on the symmetry about the tin nucleus, the broader the line the greater the asymmetry. It should be noted that line widths may be affected by other factors eg source to detector distance (cosine broadening) and sample depth (thickness broadening) and care should be taken when


Fig. 4.7

Absorber energy levels showing isomer shift $\ensuremath{\S}$ and quadrupole splitting $\ensuremath{\Delta}$







Mössbauer spectrum showing a quadrupole

split doublet

interpreting line width values.

(iii) Magnetic splitting.

The presence of a magnetic field at the Mössbauer nucleus induces degeneracy in the nuclear spin states. For each spin state 2I + 1 sublevels exist, where the energy of each sublevel is given by:

$$E_{m} = -g \mu_{n} H m_{T}$$
 ----- (4.9)

Where g is the gyromagnetic ratio; μ_n , the nuclear Bohr magneton; H, the magnetic field strength and m_I , the magnetic quantum number.

In 57 Fe and 119 Sn a symmetric 6 line spectrum is observed reflecting the 6 allowed transitions (see figs 4.9 and 4.10). The intensities are in a calculatable ratio, typically 3:2:1:1:2:3 for a sample in which the magnetic domains are randomly orientated. This effect is significant in the case of 57 Fe, but is mainly restricted to alloys in 119 Sn.

4.2 Conversion Electron Mössbauer Spectroscopy.

On resonant absorption of γ -radiation only some of the excited nuclear states decay by emission of a γ -photon. In fact in ¹¹⁹Sn, 85% of the I=3/2 excited states decay by internal conversion [4]. Internal conversion begins in the L-shell, resulting in the ejection of a 19.6 KeV conversion electron. An electron from the M-shell then



Fig.4.9 Effects of quadrupole and magnetic interaction on absorber nuclear energy levels.



Döppler velocity

mm/s

Fig.4.10 Magnetically split Mössbauer spectrum

fills the vacant hole in the L-shell and in doing so produces a 2.8 KeV photon (see fig.4.11). In 57 Fe internal conversion occurs mainly in the K-shell, unlike 119 Sn where it is energetically forbidden. Table 4.1 summarises the various energies involved in the decay of the excited spin state in 119 Sn [4.5.6]

In the CEMS experiment backscattered internal conversion electrons are detected as compared with the transmitted Y-radiation in conventional Mössbauer spectroscopy. As a result of the backscatter geometry there is no upper limit to sample thickness (responsible for thickness broadening in the transmission mode), and in practice the size of the sample holder is the only limiting factor. The main difference between the conversion electron and transmission techniques is that only information pertaining to the surface is detected in the former as opposed to information from the bulk technique material in the latter. This is explained by the attenuation of electrons ejected from within the sample. An alternative to detection of conversion electrons is the detection of conversion X-rays which, because of their greater penetrating power allow detection from beneath surface coatings such as paints. In a recent study involving conversion X-ray Mössbauer spectroscopy iron corrosion was monitored beneath a protective paint layer [7].





Decay of the excited nucleus in ¹¹⁹Sn

Table 4.1: Decay products from excited ^{119m}Sn nuclei.

Radiation	Energy (KeV)	No. per 100 absorption events.	Range in #	3-Sn
8-rays	23.8	16	100	mm
L X-rays	3.6	9	520-1000	nm
L electrons	19.8	84	30-5000	nm
M electrons	23.0	13	30-7000	nm
L-MM Auger electrons	2.8	75	5-50	nm

- 182 -

(LE) ICHW

becksonitered classroom and a present, sportfold interpretation to so for semiconverse allowed by the appretances formates in describe large relationerses from interiors data [24,13,14] allower labor invitance by Tricker (i) Depth resolution CEMS (DCEMS)

(ii) Integral CEMS (ICEMS)

These techniques will be dealt with in turn.

(1) DCEMS

DCEMS hinges on the resolution of the total energy flux of the backscattered electrons into selected bands of energies corresponding to specific depth ranges within a sample. The number of counts in the nth channel of a Mössbauer spectrum can be expressed as [5,8]:

$$T(E)_{n} = \int_{0}^{\infty} w(E, x) P(x)_{n} dx$$

Where, w(E,x) is a weighted function relating to the probability of detection of an electron from depth x at spectrometer setting E. $P(x)_n$ is the electron emission probability at depth x and at the velocity corresponding to the nth channel. Empirical methods for the interpretation of ¹¹⁹Sn DCEMS data have been described by Bonchev et al [9,10,11].

(ii) ICEMS

ICEMS involves the detection of the total energy flux of backscattered electrons and in general, spectral interpretation is as for transmission Mössbauer spectroscopy. Several workers have tried to derive empirical formulae to describe layer thicknesses from ICEMS data [12,13,14] and have been reviewed by Tricker [5]. The most recent and detailed interpretation of multilayer ICEMS spectra is by Salvat et al, [15,16,17] who describe a spectral function S_n as the probability of absorption of a gamma ray of specified energy to be absorbed in the nth layer and express this as follows:

 $S_n = PE_n + GCE_n + GPE_n + XPE_n - BD$

Where PE = primary electron contribution GCE = secondary electron contribution GPE = secondary gamma ray contribution XPE = secondary X-ray contribution BD = resonant background.

All of the present work on CEMS has been carried out using the ICEMS technique and all further reference to CEMS is to this technique.

4.3 Instrumentation

The spectrometers used in the present work were Cryophysics MS-102 Mössbauer spectrometers running in a constant acceleration mode. Spectra were accumulated over 512 channels in a multi channel analyser. Earlier work was carried out on a teletype controlled spectrometer but this was later upgraded to a microcomputer controlled instrument. The computerised system is summarised in fig 4.12.

The detector used for the CEMS studies was a gas flow proportional counter designed by M.Tricker at the



Fig. 4.12

Schematic representation of a computer

controlled Mössbauer system

- 185 -

Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh. Sections through the detector are shown in figs.4.13 [6]. Detection is achieved by a single tungsten wire anode operating at a voltage of 1050V. With the quenching gas mixture of 95%He/5%CH₄ the detector is particularly insensitive to X-rays and \$-photons. The source (originally at 30 mCi activity) was mounted vertically on a vibrator over the detector with the sample fixed underneath (see fig.4.14). The samples were either mounted directly onto the backplate using adhesives or 'Plasticene' or were sprinkled onto a plastic sample holder smeared with 'Vaseline', which was mounted on the backplate. All spectra recorded in this work were collected at room temperature.

The sample, source and detector arrangements in the transmission mode are shown in fig.4.15. Thin samples are mounted in plastic sample holders which are placed either in a liquid nitrogen cryostat for work at 80K or in a 'Perspex' stand for room temperature spectra. The source (originally at 5mCi activity) was aligned horizontally and attached to a vibrator. The detector used was a one inch proportional counter incorporating a Be window with radiation filtered by palladium foil and was located behind the sample.

4.4 Fitting programs

As described in section 4.1 Mössbauer spectra consist of n points in an assumed Lorentzian distribution. The

- 186 -



Schematic representation of a CEMS detector: The detector is made up from a lead shield A, a front plate B, an aluminium window C, a wire assembly D, a backplate E and a sample holder F.



Details of the wire assembly: The wire is tensioned by means of screws 1,2 and 3. The anode is fed through the guide channel and secured by screws 3 and 1 and then tensioned by turning screw 2. The anode is replaced by undoing screws 1 2 3 and 4, holding the connector to the main body.

Fig. 4.13

Sections through CEMS detector.











Transmission Mössbauer detection geometry

Lorentzian line shape can be described by the function Y(X) as follows:

$$Y(X) = b - \frac{Y(0)}{1 + \left(\frac{X - X(0)}{\Gamma_{ex}/2}\right)^2}$$

Where b is the baseline intensity, Y(0) is the intensity at resonance velocity X(0), and Γ_{ex} is the full width at half peak height.

There are 3n+2 parameters in the spectral function, (N.B. excluding folding point) is width, intensity and position for each line and a baseline and baseline correction value for the spectrum. These variables can be represented by a vector V. Fitting is normally achieved by a least squares method where the value χ^2 is minimised, where χ^2 is given by:

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{[Y_{i} - A(V)_{i}]^{2}}{Y_{i}}$$

Where, Y_i is the intensity at channel i and $A(V)_i$ is the calculated value of vector V at point i. At each iteration corrections are determined for each parameter such that χ^2 is minimised.

Several programs were used to fit Mössbauer spectra in this work and these are described below:

1 'MOSFIT'[18,19]

Two versions of this program were used. The most commonly

used fitting program in the present work was the PASCAL version of 'MOSFIT' (V9.1) which is permanently stored in the memory of the Spectrometer. This gave convenient and rapid fits at the time of collection, but the system would not allow spectra to be re-loaded for fitting after down-loading from the spectrometer. These fits, obtained at the time of collection were satisfactory for most of the spectra accumulated, but occasionally, spectra had to be fitted using other programs. A second BASIC version of 'MOSFIT' was also used in some cases, and ran on a BBC microcomputer. This program was used for checking alternative fits on stored spectra.

2 'MFIT' [20]

The FORTRAN program 'MFIT' was used when a satisfactory fit could not be attained using 'MOSFIT'. The program was particularly useful in resolving narrow quadrupole spittings and for calculating the field strength in magnetically split spectra.

3. 'MOSFUN' [21]

This program was used for fitting complicated spectra that could not be fitted by 'MOSFIT' or 'MFIT'. The program consisted of a set of routines and programs designed to fit data by any theoretical line fitting approach including:

a) Simple correlated Lorentzian distributions

b) Voigt approximations

c) Convolution integral calculations.

Least squares fitting in 'MOSFUN' is by the Newton or Gradient methods. The advantage of this program is that even the most complicated spectra can be fitted. The main disadvantage, however, is that the input format is extremely complex and several input files are needed to fit a single spectrum.

In the current work, all fitted results subsequently reported have been fitted with one of the above programs, where more than one program has been used the best fit only, is reported.

4.5 Instrumentation changes and calibration.

The system used in the CEMS experiments is as described previously. Several changes were made to the system used by Grimes [6]. Data were collected by Grimes on a J&P Mössbauer spectrometer over 256 channels. In the present study, this was replaced by a Cryophysics MS-102 Mössbauer spectrometer accumulating data over 512 channels. The vibrator inherited was in poor condition with much noise accompanying the drive signal. This was overhauled and the vibrator carefully tuned in and the signal trimmed, however, some residual noise was still present. The CaSnO₃ Mössbauer source was replaced with a new source at a starting activity of 30mCi. For most of the spectra collected the detector had a backplate hole of ca. 1 cm. The backplate hole was later doubled to increase the count rate but this also had the effect of slightly increasing linewidths by cosine broadening (see section 4.1).

The CEMS detector was calibrated using SnO_2 and β -Sn. Difficulty was encountered in trying to fit SnO2 spectra as singlet lines. The fitted line often missed out data (see fig. 4.16), indicating that the points were not in a single Lorentzian distribution. This was originally explained by the fact that SnO2 picks up moisture very rapidly and the effect would be observed in the CEM spectrum as an unresolvable doublet. However, fitting as a symmetric doublet also proved very difficult and in general spectra had to be fitted as two separate lines (see fig.4.17) to achieve acceptable statistics. Susequently the vibrator was again overhauled and on reassembly the signal was found to be much cleaner than had previously been obtained and the residual noise left after the first overhaul was eliminated. An SnO, calibration spectrum that had been accumulating previously was re-run after the second overhaul and gave a single Lorentzian peak as compared with a broad line obtained before. Sno, spectra run before and after the second vibrator overhaul are shown in figs. 4.18 and 4.19. The distortion seems to have been limited to within +-1.0 mm/s around the SnO2 resonance, as materials with resonances outside this range seem to be unaffected.

A brief experiment was conducted to assess the feasibility of low temperature CEM experiments using this type of detector. To the detector base plate was



Fig.4.16: Single line fit to calibration spectrum



Fig.4.17: Doublet fit to calibration spectrum

- 193 -







attached a one inch diameter aluminium rod which dipped into a Dewar flask containing liquid nitrogen. Thermally conducting paste was used at the join. The results are shown below:

Sample	T(K)	§ ch.	%eff.	runtime	Max	count
Sn02	300	258.7(3)	200	5H		42
Sn02	80	258.5(3)	260	7.25H		88

The results suggest that collection at 80K is faster than at room temperature, this is expected as the recoil free fraction increases with reduction in temperature (see equation 4.4). There is, however, a significant problem, at 80K, methane, in the He/Me quenching gas mixture, solidifies gradually blocking the inlet pipes meaning that spectra can only be collected for a few hours. Another point to be considered is that, as the sample is in contact with a warm gas, it is probable that the true sample temperature is somewhere in between 80 and 300K and, therefore, would not be directly comparable to 80K transmission Mössbauer data. In conclusion, despite promising results for low temperature data collection, the suitability of a gas flow counter is extremely questionable and similar experiments using other types of detectors may prove more fruitful.

4.6 A comparison of transmission and conversion electron Mössbauer spectra of normal tin(II) compounds.

- 195 -

The room temperature conversion electron and 80K and

room temperature transmission Mössbauer spectra of several normal tin(II) compounds were collected and the results compared. Samples have been divided into three groups; Sn(II) halides, Sn(II) materials containing chalcogen atoms, and Sn(II) carboxylates. The results from each of these groups are discussed below.

(i) Sn(II) halides

The compounds SnX_2 , where X = F, C1, Br, I, were examined by CEMS and the results compared with transmission Mössbauer data. The fitted Mössbauer parameters of these materials, together with those of SnCl2.2H2O are shown in table.4.2. The SnF2 and SnBr2 spectra show no significant variation in shift between CEM and transmission modes. The parameters of SnCl₂ in the room temperature transmission spectrum are close to those of SnCl2.2H20 which suggest the Sn is using its bonding electrons in a similar way. It proved difficult to obtain spectra of SnBr, at room temperature. This is due to the relatively high degree of radiation absorption shown by bromine and the small recoil free fraction of SnBr2 at room temperature. Both in the CEMS and transmission experiments, long collecting times were needed, on fresh samples, in order to obtain some tin (II) information. In SnI2, the small recoil free fraction and high degree of radiation absorption, in addition to rapid oxidation made it impossible to collect a CEM spectrum, despite several attempts. An increase in quadrupole splitting observed in the CEM spectra for SnF2 and SnCl2.2H20

Table 4.2

Fitted Mössbauer parameters

for Sn(II) halides.

Compound	CEM	data	R.t. trans. data	80K trans. data	80K Lit.	value.	Reference
	§ mm/s	∆ mm/s	δ mm∕s Δ mm∕s	{ mm/s △ mm/s	S mm/s	a mm/s	
SnF ₂	3.33(3)	1.64(2)	3.38(2) 1.55(2)	3.50(3) 1.76(2)	3.60	1.8	[39]
SnCl ₂	4.21(3)	0.00	3.66(4) 0.83(3)	4.06(1) 0.00	4.07	0.0	[39]
SnBr ₂	3.90(4)	0.00	3.92(7) 0.00	3.90(2) 0.00	3.93	0.0	[39]
SnI ₂			3.88(3) 0.00	3.88(2) 0.00	3.85	0.0	[39]
SnC12.2H20	3.60(4)	1.62(8)	3.62(3) 1.30(3)	3.63(2) 1.29(1)	3.63	1.21	[40]

indicates an increase in asymmetry at the surfaces of these materials compared to the bulk.

(ii) Sn(II) materials containing chalcogen atoms.

Table 4.3 shows the fitted Mössbauer parameters for some Sn(II) materials containing chalcogen atoms. Blue/black and red tin oxides show no significant variation in shift between CEM and room temperature transmission spectra. A decrease in quadrupole splitting occurs in the CEM spectrum for black SnO, indicating a higher symmetry around tin nuclei at the surface of the material than in the bulk. In red SnO there appears to be no significant difference between the bulk and surface tin environments. The rest of the Sn(II) chalcogenides all show an increase in shift in the CEM spectra indicating an increase in s electron density at the tin nucleus consistent with a reduction in the use of s-electrons in bond formation, but there does not appear to be any accompanying loss of symmetry at the surface. SnSe is present as a singlet in the CEM spectrum, contrary to that found earlier [6] where the spectrum showed an asymmetric doublet which was explained in terms of greater asymmetry about the tin atoms at the surface. The probable explanation for the asymmetry in the earlier reported data could be due to the poorer resolution of the former being collected over only 256 channels plus at the time of collection a weaker source was used.

There is little difference between surface and bulk

Table 4.3

Fitted Mössbauer parameters

for Sn(II) materials containing

chalcogen atoms.

Compound	CEM	data	R.t. tran	ns. data	80K trai	ns. data	80K Lit.	value.	Reference
	§ mm∕s	∆ mm/s	§ mm/s	∆ mm/s	§ mm/s	∆ mm/s	§ mm∕s	∆ mm/s	
Black SnO	2.61(1)	1.24(1)	2.62(2)	1.35(1)	2.59(5)	1.46(5)	2.71	1.4	[39]
Red SnO	2.59(3)	1.99(2)	2.62(2)	1.97(1)	2.57(3)	2.14(3)	2.60	2.2	[39]
SnS	3.31(2)	0.90(1)	3.20(3)	0.92(3)	3.38(1)	1.09(1)	3.16	0.8	[39]
SnSe	3.51(3)	0.00	3.31(5)	0.00	3.34(9)	0.00	3.30	0.0	[39]
SnTe	3.50(1)	0.00	3.37(2)	0.00	3.51(1)	0.00	3.21	0.0	[39]
SnS04	3.86(4)	0.93(3)	3.84(1)	0.93(1)	3.94(2)	1.03(1)	3.90	1.0	[39]
SnHPO ₄	3.70(2)	1.57(2)	3.61(1)	1.40(1)	3.70(2)	1.76(2)	3.25	1.74	[40]

- 200 -

spectrum. The results indicate a different environment for tin atoms located at the surface of the material, with a greater asymmetry in s-electron density around the tin nuclei and a decrease in covalency in bonds to tin atoms located at the surface.

(iii) Sn(II) carboxylates.

Several Sn(II) carboxylates were examined in the present study. Fitted Mössbauer parameters for the Sn(II) carboxylates studied are shown in table 4.4. Sn(II) formate and Sn(II) malonate show significant no differences between CEM and room temperature transmission spectra. The remaining Sn(II) carboxylates all show increases in shift in the surface technique. In general this increase in shift is accompanied by a significant increase in quadrupole splitting except in the spectrum of Sn(II) succinate. These observations may be explained in terms of a weakening of the Sn-O bonds and subsequent breakdown at the surface of the polymeric networks that exist in the structures of these materials.

Comparison of room temperature and 80K transmission reveals two interesting trends. Quadrupole splitting is a temperature dependent quantity (see section 4.1, equation.4.8) and increases with decreasing temperature. In general this feature is apparent in the materials studied, although in a small number of samples there was

Table 4.4

Fitted Mössbauer parameters

for some Sn(II)carboxylates.

Compound	CEM	data	R.t. trans. data	80K trans. data	80K Lit. value.	Reference
	§ mm∕s	∆ mm/s	Smm∕s △ mm/s	§mm∕s ∆mm/s	{mm/s Δ mm/s	
Sn formate	3.12(8)	1.75(7)	3.17(2) 1.72(2)	3.26(2) 1.75(1)	3.10 1.56	[41]
Sn acetate	3.40(8)	1.86(7)	3.20(5) 1.45(5)	3.34(1) 1.80(1)	3.26 1.77	[41]
Sn oxalate	3.60(4)	1.62(4)	3.46(2) 1.50(1)	3.56(2) 1.55(2)	3.75 1.52	[41]
Sn malonate	3.46(5)	1.67(4)	3.39(2) 1.65(1)	3.46(2) 1.76(1)		
Sn succinate	3.33(3)	1.91(3)	3.25(3) 1.89(2)	3.28(2) 1.94(1)		
Sn maleate	3.46(7)	1.78(7)	3.22(2) 1.45(2)	3.37(2) 1.69(1)		

no significant difference between room temperature and $80K \land values$. Little variation in shift with temperature is observed in the two tin oxides, $SnBr_2$, SnI_2 and Sn(II) succinate, the remaining materials, however, all show an increase in shift at 80K. This suggests that there is an increase in s-electron density at the tin nuclei and consequently a weakening of the Sn-L bonds with decrease in temperature.

4.7 Applications of ¹¹⁹Sn CEMS to Sn containing systems.

Several applications of ¹¹⁹Sn CEMS to Sn containing systems were undertaken in the present work. Two types of surface reactions were outlined by Grimes [6] viz: those reactions at tin containing matrix surfaces and those reactions of tin compounds on matrix surfaces. Results from both of these areas are now described.

(i) An examination of tinplate passivation and anodic oxide, coatings.

During tinplate manufacture surface coatings are applied to ensure long term stability to oxidation. These passivation films, directly affect the surface properties of the tinplate; in particular, rust resistance, corrosion reactions, laquer wetting, adhesion and welding characteristics.

One of the conclusions reached, using other techniques [22,23,24,25], is that the film consists mainly of

chromium(III) oxide with a high degree of hydration in the outer layers. The film also consists of Cr metal and tin oxide. In a recent study involving X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (X.P.S.) [25], the tin oxygen ratio, in samples where Cr had been extracted, indicated the presence of SnO and it was suggested that CEMS would be able to verify this.

The samples, used in this study, were commercially produced electrolytic tinplate with a nominal coating mass of 2.8g/m^2 . Results on six samples are discussed:

- a. As-received tinplate.
- b. Fully-extracted tinplate.
- c. Alkaline extracted tinplate.
- d. Stripped alloy layer.
- e. 'Detinned' tinplate.
- f. '300' passivated tinplate.

Two types of commercially available tinplates were examined. The as-received plate had undergone the conventional cathodic dichromate passivation, whilst a second plate had the '300' passivation treatment. Two chromium extracted plates had been placed in boiling NaOH in order to dissolve chromium from the surface. In addition the fully-extracted plate, had previously been anodically oxidised in a phosphate buffer electrolyte, to reduce the Cr metal concentration in the film by oxidation to Cr(VI). In order to further examine the alloy layer, two samples were prepared. In the first, the alloy layer had been removed from tinplated steel and in the second the surface of the tinplate had been stripped of tin metal using an acid wash.

A sample of anodised tinned steel was also examined. Anodic oxide coatings on tinned steel are used in baking ware to produce heat absorbing scratch resistant and adherent surfaces. By modifying the anodising solution a black matt tin oxide deposit is achieved [26], which may be of use in the construction of electronic machine frames.

The CEMS spectra of the 6 samples are shown in figs. 4.20-25 and the fitted results in table 4.5. All the samples showed similar spectra, being fitted to 8 lines with two singlets at ca. 0.0 and 2.7 mm/s, corresponding to SnO2 and Sn metal respectively, and a magnetic sextet resulting from the alloy FeSn2. The field at the Sn nucleus in the alloy is believed to result from the Sn atoms being particularly strongly associated with a ferromagnetic row of iron atoms [27]. Difficulty was experienced in fitting the two inner lines of the sextet as these were coincident with the tin metal line; thus the errors in the positions of these lines are greater than those of the other lines. A computer simulation of the component lines, based on the fitted results of the as-received spectrum, is shown in fig. 4.26 and reflects the idealised section through tinplate shown in fig. 4.27.

The only significantly different spectrum is that of the

CEM parameters for tinplate samples.

	δ ₁ mm/s	S2 mm/s	∆ ₂ mm∕в	^H eff KOe	[∑] 3 ^{mm∕e}
As-recieved	-0.17(3)	2.50(7)	0.07(7)	147.7	2.69(1)
Fully-extracted	-0.15(3)	2.42(12)	0.11(12)	146.7	2.68(1)
Alkali extracted	-0.13(4)	2.46(16)	0.08(16)	154.3	2.61(1)
Stripped alloy	-0.15(4)	2.34(6)	0.11(6)	157.8	2.62(1)
Detinned alloy	-0.13(3)	2.41(7)	0.09(7)	149.6	2.79(4)
300 passivated	-0.14(2)	2.45(4)	0.06(4)	148.8	2.73(1)

Table 4.6

CEM parameters for anodised

tinned steel.





mm/s



Fully extracted tinplate



1

207

1







mm/s





Fig. 4.26: Computer simulation, based on fitted parameters, of the component lines in the 'as-received' tinplate spectrum.

- 209 -



Note: not to scale.



Idealised section through tinplate

'detinned' plate. The detinning is incomplete as Sn is certainly still present. The Sn metal peak is greatly reduced and the sextet is hence more prominent, however there are still high errors in the inner line positions. No evidence was seen of Sn(II)O, in any of the samples, despite its predicted presence in the fully-extracted plate. Small amounts, however, may be concealed under the FeSn₂ sextet.

There appears to be fluctuation in the quadrupole splitting (Δ) and chemical shift (§) which range from 0.06 to 0.11 and 2.34 to 2.50 mm/s respectively. No real significance can be attached to the figures as they contain high errors due to the inability to refine the inner line positions.

The following conclusions may therefore be reached:

1. None of the 'detinning' and extraction processes produced any significant amounts of tin(II).

2. 'Detinning' with acid reduces Sn metal at the surface but SnO₂ is seen, suggesting that oxidation of the new surface is rapid.

3. No significant differences exist between the tin phases present in the as-received tinplate and the '300' passivated sample.

The CEMS spectrum of anodised tinned steel is shown in

fig.4.28 and the fitted results in table 4.6. The spectrum shows a broad SnO_2 peak centred at 0.03 and a second line due to Sn metal at 2.63 mm/s. A third line is also present at ca. 1.0 mm/s, and was originally attributed to a phase arising from the phosphate electrolyte used in the anodising process. On repeating this spectrum (see fig.4.29) after the vibrator had been overhauled (see sec.4.5) the broadness of the SnO_2 peak was eliminated and the line at ca. 1.0 mm/s disappeared. These effects must, therefore, be attributed to instrumentation factors and are not genuine surface features. The fitted parameters for the second spectrum are as follows:

 $s_1 \text{ mm/s}$ $s_2 \text{ mm/s}$ 0.05(1) 3.21(9)

Any suggestion that the black colour of the anodised coating could be due to tin(II)oxide cannot be correct, as no peaks were found in the tin(II) region of the spectrum.

(ii) An examination of tin oxide films on glass and quartz.

The uses of SnO₂ films are varied [28,29], and include use in the bottle industry for glass strengthening and as transparent electrodes in the electronics industry.

Several samples of commercially produced tin oxide films




- 213 -

on glass and quartz were examined, in the present study including:

a. 500 Ω/cm^2 film on glass.

b. 200 Ω/cm^2 black film on glass.

c. 50 Ω/cm^2 film on glass.

d. SnO2 film on quartz.

All the samples gave similar CEMS spectra, these are shown in figs. 4.30-33, and the fitted results are summarised in table 4.7. All the spectra showed two features, a strong broad SnO2 doublet with a narrow splitting and a peak at ca. 0.8 mm/s. Fitting to this model failed to refine the singlet positions and so only the fitted parameters for the doublets are reported. It was originally believed that the singlet, was due to a residual tin-chloride phase resulting from the use of $SnCl_h$ in the manufacturing process. On comparison with the transmission Mössbauer spectra shown in figs.4.34 -4.35, this feature is absent. On repeating the spectrum for the SnO, film on quartz (see fig. 4.36) after the vibrator had been overhauled (see sec.4.5) it was found that the broadness of the SnO2 peak and the small singlet disappeared and these features must in fact be due to instrumentation distortion and not genuine surface effects. Thus, there is no significant difference between the surface and the bulk measurements. No evidence was seen for the presence of Sn(II)O and therefore, the black colour of the 200 Ω/cm^2 film cannot be due to this phase.

Table 4.7a.

SnO₂ films on glass and quartz

Fitted CEM data.

Sample	{ (mm∕s)	Δ (mm/s)	[⁺ (mm/s)
500 Ohm	0.04 +- 0.02	0.75 +- 0.02	0.72 +- 0.02
200 Ohm	0.08 +- 0.01	0.66 +- 0.01	0.90 +- 0.01
50 Ohm	0.08 +- 0.02	0.67 +- 0.02	0.78 +- 0.03
Quartz	0.04 +- 0.02	0.62 +- 0.02	0.78 +- 0.02

Table 4.7b.

SnO₂ films on glass and quartz Fitted transmission Mössbauer data

Sample	S (mm/s)	$\Gamma (mm/s)$

500 Ohm	-0.05	+	0.02	0.65	+	0.04
200 Ohm	-0.01	+-	0.01	0.70	+-	0.01
Quartz	-0.05	+	0.02	0.67	+-	0.02













SnO2 film on Quartz CEM spectrum.



217 -

1





mm/s

218 -



Fig. 4.36

(iii) An examination of NiSn alloy.

A study of the intermetallic compound NiSn was undertaken using CEMS. The properties of this material which include corrosion resistance, resistance to wear, and attractive finishing have given rise to a variety of applications [30]. These applications include use in the automobile industry as brake cylinder linings, in the brewing industry as attractive finishes to pumps, as heavy duty electrical fittings and as connector tags in the electronics industry.

The CEM, room temperature transmission and 80K transmission Mössbauer spectra for NiSn shavings are shown in fig.4.37. The fitted Mössbauer parameters are as follows:

Technique	§ mm/s	∆ mm/s
CEMS	1.84(4)	1.26(2)
R.t. trans.	1.86(2)	1.20(1)
80K trans.	1.92(2)	1.18(1)

As a consequence of the interaction between Sn and neighbouring Ni atoms in this material, a magnetic field occurs at the Sn nucleus and the resulting Mössbauer spectrum is magnetically split with a shift of 1.84(4) mm/s this compares with the figure of 1.85(2) mm/s in the CEM specrum found by Leidheiser et al. [31]. The spectrum approximates to a doublet and was fitted as such, the

- 220 -







- 221 -

resulting splitting is proportional to the hyperfine field at the nucleus which may be calculated as follows:

The energy of the sublevels in a magnetically split nucleus may be described by:

$$E_{m} = - \frac{m_{I} \mu}{T} H$$
 ----- (4.10)

Where m_{I} is the magnetic quantum number. I is the nuclear spin, H is the effective magnetic field and μ is the nuclear Bohr magneton (cf. equation 4.9).

The splitting of the lines in the Mössbauer spectrum Δv is related to E_m as follows:

$$\Delta E_{m} = \frac{\Delta V}{c} E_{\gamma} \qquad ----- (4.11)$$

Where E_{χ} is the energy of the gamma ray and c is the velocity of light. The observed splitting in the CEM spectrum was 1.26 mm/s, thus, the value of Δ Em can be calculated as follows:

$$\Delta E_{\rm m} = \frac{0.126 \text{ cm/s} \cdot 23.9 \text{ KeV}}{2.998 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm/s}}$$
==> $\Delta E_{\rm m} = 1.0045 \times 10^{-10} \text{ KeV}$

Converting to Joules

 $\Delta E_{\rm m} = 1.6093 \times 10^{-26} \, {\rm J}$

Recalling equation 4.10:

$$E_{m} = - \frac{m_{I} \mu}{I} H$$

$$\Delta E_{m} = \left(-\frac{m_{I} \mu}{I}H\right)_{ex} - \left(-\frac{m_{I} \mu}{I}H\right)_{gg}$$

$$\Delta E_{m} = H \left(\left(- \frac{m_{I} \mu}{I} \right)_{ex} + \left(\frac{m_{I} \mu}{I} \right)_{gs} \right)$$

Now, the largest transition is between the $m_I = -3/2 \longrightarrow m_I = -1/2$ sublevels of the excited (I = 3/2) and ground (I = 1/2) states respectively.

Thus,

$$\Delta E_{\rm m} = H \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} -3/2 \ \mu \\ - \ \end{array} \right)_{\rm ex} + \left(\begin{array}{c} -1/2 \ \mu \\ - \ \end{array} \right)_{\rm gg} \right)$$

==>
$$\Delta E_{m}$$
 = H ($\mu_{ex} - \mu_{gs}$)

Now, the nuclear magnetic moments of the ground and excited states are -1.041 and +0.67 nm respectively (1nm = $5.04929 \times 10^{-27} \text{ JT}^{-1}$).

 $\Delta E_{m} = H (5.04929 \times 10^{-27} \text{ JT}^{-1}) (0.67 + 1.041)$ ==> $\Delta E_{m} = H (8.6393 \times 10^{-27})$

==>
$$H = \frac{\Delta E_m}{8.6393 \times 10^{-27}}$$

Substituting:

 $H = \frac{1.6093 \times 10^{-26} \text{ J}}{8.6393 \times 10^{-27} \text{ JT}^{-1}}$

==> H = 1.86 T

Converting into KG (T = 1×10^4 G).

$$H = 18.6 KG$$

In a similar way the effective fields in the other spectra may be calculated and the results are as follows:

Technique	Heff K		
CEMS	18.6		
R.t. trans.	17.7		
80K trans.	17.4		

These effective fields compare to the value of 8.00 obtained by Leidheiser et. al. [31], in a room temperature transmission experiment but the line splitting observed was considerably less than that in the present study.

The shift of NiSn increases slightly at reduced temperature. this may be explained by a localisation of electrons at lower temperatures in the metallic bonding situation. (iv) An examination of the effects of air and light on $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$ using CEMS.

The complex $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$ undergoes a colour change when stored in atmospheric conditions. On initial preparation white crystalline needles are formed which eventually darken even when stored under nitrogen. A study was undertaken in which a sample of $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$ was divided into several parts which were then stored under various conditions, the results of which are now reported.

CsSn₃Br_{1.5}F_{5.5} was prepared as described in section 2.3. The crystals were dried over silica gel and divided into several samples which were stored as follows:

(a) In darkness under N₂.
(b) In light under N₂.
(c) In darkness and in air.
(d) In light and in air.

The CEM spectra of the samples were recorded after approximately 2 weeks and compared with that of the fresh sample and these are shown in fig.4.38. The observed colour changes after two weeks were as follows:

Sample	Colour
Fresh	White(slightly yellow)
(a)	Yellow
(b)	Brown

(c)	Dark brown		
(d)	Light brown/orange		

Table 4.8 shows the fitted CEM parameters for the above samples and those of the fresh sample along with the 80K transmission Mössbauer parameters for $^{CsSn}3^{Br}1.5^{F}5.5$ for comparison.

It is apparent that all the CEM spectra show asymmetric doublets. The observed asymmetry is probably due to the preferential alignment of the needle-like crystallites within the sample holder. Within the limits of experimental error the Mössbauer isomer shift values are approximately 3.45mm/s although the fresh sample may genuinely have a lower shift at 3.26 mm/s. The quadrupole splitting of the fresh sample is much larger than the other samples, indicating an increase in asymmetry about the Sn atoms at the surface of the material.

The dark colouration in the samples must be due to liberation of Br_2 and the subsequent formation of a Sn(IV) species. The CEM spectrum of sample (b) does show a small peak in the Sn(0) region and refitting the data to include this line results in the following parameters:

 $\delta_1 \text{ mm/s}$ $\delta_2 \text{ mm/s}$ $\delta_3 \text{ mm/s}$ $\Delta \text{ mm/s}$ -0.05(4) 2.04(6) 3.58(9) 1.22(9)

It is possible that $CsSn_3^{Br}1.5^{F}5.5$ undergoes a photolytic decomposition to give Sn(0) and SnO_2 as it is well known

Table 4.8

Fitted Mössbauer parameters for CsSn₃Br_{1.5}F_{5.5} stored under various conditions.

	Sn(IV)	Sn(II)	
Sample	{ mm∕s	Smm∕s ∆mm∕s	
Fresh	0.00(5)	3.26(9) 1.77(9)	1
(a) Dark under N ₂	-0.09(8)	3.43(6) 1.55(6)	
(b) Light under N ₂	-0.02(4)	3.41(8) 1.53(8)	
(c) Dark in air	-0.10(4)	3.38(8) 1.57(7)	•
(d) Light in air	-0.10(4)	3.47(9) 1.47(8)	•
Fresh 80K trans.		3.50(1) 1.50(1)	,















Fig. 4.38d Sample d (stored in air and light)

that SnO disproportionates to give Sn and SnO₂ at high temperatures (ca. 400 °C). In conclusion, despite visual differences in the colour of samples, CEMS has not helped to determine the exact nature of this effect.

(v) An examination of flame resistant wool and cotton.

The most important use of inorganic tin chemicals in the fabric and textile industry is as flame retardants. As early as 1859 a method of cotton treatment based on precipitation of SnO_2 was patented [32]. In recent years, increasing public awareness and stricter product manufacturing legislation, has resulted in intensified research in this area.

The present study involved the accumulation of CEM spectra on two fabric samples prepared, by P.Cusack at the International Tin Research Institute, as follows:

a. Unwashed wool treated with SnCl and NH, HF2

b. Cotton treated with Na2WO4 and SnCl4.

The CEM spectra of the two samples are shown in figs.4.39 and 4.40. the fitted data were as follows:

Sample	§ mm/s	ſ mm/s
Wool	-0.12(3)	1.48(6)
Cotton	0.02(2)	1.56(6)



by Disastle at the international the Association

andraline school hoops the second

-231 -

It has been observed that whilst in cotton, SnO, is the active species, in wool, the active species in the unwashed material is $SnF_{5}OH^{2}$ [33,34]. The spectrum of the cotton sample, which showed an SnO2 singlet, verified this observation. The wool sample showed no evidence for the presence of the doublet assigned to a fluorostannate(IV) species in earlier work by Grimes [6], this inconsistency could be due, either to some difference in sample preapration or to poorer resolution on the earlier spectra. The shift of the wool sample is more positive than that found in the transmission spectrum (-0.36(2) mm/s)[34] which was assigned to SnF50H2- but significantly shifted away from SnO2. A second wool sample that had been exposed to atmospheric conditions for some time gave a singlet with a shift of -0.02(3) mm/s. This seems to indicate that if left exposed to atmospheric conditions there is a gradual

change from a hydrated tin(IV) fluorine phase to SnO_2 . This may be a reaction with water vapour in the air as it is known that after washing the treated wool, the tin is present only as SnO_2 .

(vi) An examination of tin impregnated coal samples.

Intensified research into coal liquifaction has resulted in a possible use for tin catalysts. Coal impregnated with $SnCl_4$ was examined using CEMS. Samples were prepared by D.Searle at The International Tin Research Institute by mixing Victorian brown coal with $SnCl_4$ dissolved in petroleum ether, under N₂. Several samples of tin impregnated coal were examined and the fitted results were as follows:

%Sn	§ mm∕s	ſ mm/s
19	0.15(2)	1.20(6)
17	0.15(2)	1.48(8)
13	0.10(2)	1.30(6)
10	0.08(2)	1.54(8)

CEM data for coal impregnated with SnCl,

All spectra were singlets in the tin(IV) region near SnO_2 , suggesting that the tin is present at the surface only as SnO_2 and not as any tin chloride phase.

(vii) An examination of wood preservative

Tributyltin compounds are commonly used as wood preservatives being highly active against fungi and insects and are often applied to timber used in the construction industry.

Several samples of pine wood treated with tin-containing wood preservatives were examined and CEM spectra left to accumulate for several days. Even with relatively high tin percentages (20%) no features were visible in the CEM spectrum. The presence of a Sn(IV) species has however previously been identified in the transmission Mössbauer spectrum [35]. The absence of information in the CEM spectrum, may be accounted for by the comparatively rough surface of the wood, which meant that many of the conversion electrons were re-absorbed. (viii) Tin(II)chloride film on white PVC

SnCl₂ is presently used to sensitise plastics prior to metal plating. It is commonly used in the automobile industry in the manufacture of chrome plated plastic trimmings. The spectrum of a sample of tin(II) chloride film on white PVC is shown in fig.4.41. the fitted results are shown below:

8 n	nm/s		Г	mm/	s
0.0	9(1)		1.	82(4)
3.7	78(6)		1.	38(18)
CEM	data	for	SnCl ₂	on	FVC

From the data it is apparent that oxidation occurs but this is not complete, as there is a residual tin(II) phase. The shift of the tin(II) species of 3.78 mm/s is considerably less than that of SnCl_2 at 4.21 mm/s in the CEM spectrum (see section 4.5). The lowering of shift is consistent with complex formation and could be due to the reaction of SnCl_2 with chlorine atoms on the surface of the plastic to form a trichlorostannate(II) ion which may explain how the SnCl_2 bonds to the plastic.

(ix) Surface reactions

Several examples of tin compounds reacting on surfaces were examined by CEMS and the results are now reported:



Fig.4.41

heating. A sample of glass stained by SnBr₂ was examined by CEMS.

Franklin [36] observed a reaction between Sn vapour and Cs_4PbBr_6 resulting in a singlet at 4.08 mm/s and probably corresponding to $CsSnBr_3$. A similar experiment in the current work failed to show any reaction between tin vapour and Cs_2PbBr_4 , however, the results of the volatilisation of $SnBr_2$ onto Cs_2PbBr_4 are reported. The $CsPbBr_4$ was prepared by heating the appropriate ratio of CsBr and $PbBr_2$ and the resulting cooled melt pressed into a disc.

 SnF_2 is currently being used as an additive to tap water in certain areas to reduce tooth decay. The CEM spectrum of SnF_2 on a calcium phosphate salt is reported and compared with the spectrum of SnF_2 on glass.

The fitted results are as follows:

Sample	§ mm/s	∆ mm/s
SnBr ₂ on glass	-0.02(5)	
SnBr ₂ on CsPbBr ₄	-0.28(8)	
	4.05(9)	
SnF ₂ on glass	-0.30(4)	
	3.35(7)	2.18(4)
SnF ₂ on CaH ₄ (PO ₄) ₂	-0.04(8)	
	3.77(6)	1.60(3)
SnF _o (CEM)	3.33(3)	1.64(2)

The SnBr, stained glass spectrum shows that the staining

- 236 -

consists of SnO_2 with no evidence of residual bromine being present. The SnBr_2 volatilised onto a CsPbBr_4 disc shows some evidence of reaction, with a peak at 4.05(9) mm/s which compares well with Franklin's observation and is close to the shift for CsSnBr_3 at 3.97 mm/s [6]. The singlet at -0.28 mm/s indicates that there has been partial oxidation of the Sn.

The SnF_2 spectra clearly show that surface reaction has occurred on the $\operatorname{CaH}_4(\operatorname{PO}_4)_2$ disc. In both spectra there is evidence for $\operatorname{Sn}(\operatorname{IV})$ suggesting some of the Sn has been oxidised. On glass the oxidised species is likely to be a hydrated tin(IV) fluoride species, while on the $\operatorname{CaH}_4(\operatorname{PO}_4)_2$ disc. oxidation is probably to a tin(IV) phosphate species or SnO_2 . The shift in the $\operatorname{Sn}(\operatorname{II})$ region of the spectrum, being higher than that for SnF_2 or SnF_2 on glass is probably due to a surface reaction causing the tin to use its bonding electrons in a way similar to that in SnHPO_h .

(x) An examination of tin Biocides on leaf samples.

Production of organotin biocides is one of the most important uses of organotin compounds organotin biocides are nearly all of the R₃SnX type (R= alkyl or phenyl). The alkyl or phenyl groups strongly affect the biocidal activity while the X groups mainly influence the solubility. Three popular tin biocides were examined; triphenyltin hydroxide, Ph₃SnOH, which is used as an agricultural pesticide and disinfectant; triphenyltin Biocides were applied as 25% slurries in ethanol, onto leaf surfaces (umberella plant). Only the data for $(cyclo-C_{6}H_{11})_{3}$ SnOH are reported as no features were visible in the CEM spectra of the other materials. The CEM and room temperature transmission spectra of $(cyclo-C_{6}H_{11})_{3}$ SnOH are shown in fig.4.42 and 4.43 and fitted results are given below:

	s mm/s	∆ mm/s
R.T. trans	1.52(10)	3.26(10)
CEM on leaf	0.18(6)	
	1.16(9)	3.46(9)

Mössbauer data on tin biocides

The Mössbauer data show a definite difference between the CEM and transmission data. The larger quadrupole splitting in the CEM spectrum indicates a greater asymmetry around the tin nuclei located at the surface of the applied material. The decrease in shift indicates a decrease in covalency. It may be that the Sn-C bond is being broken in the R_3 SnOH to give R_2 Sn or RSn derivatives.

(xi) An examination of tin in coloured ceramic tiles.

There is large outlet for inorganic tin chemicals in the ceramic industry. SnO₂-based glazes doped with metals

Fig. 4.42





239 -

1

result in a wide variety of colourful tiles.

Several tile samples were examined by CEMS. Table 4.9 shows the fitted results for the room temperature CEM and transmission spectra of the tile samples along with room temperature transmission data for their corresponding glaze pigments. All the glazes except for the Fe doped tile were based on the SnO_2 rutile lattice as the host for the dopant. The cream tile had a glaze which uses calcium tin silicate CaSnSiO_5 (Malayaite) as a host lattice which contains SnO_6 octahedra linked by SiO_4 tetrahedra [37] and whose Mössbauer spectrum shows a quadrupole splitting of 1.32(4) mm/s [38].

The spectra of the blue and yellow tiles show no significant differences between the CEM and transmission spectra and are also similar to the spectra of their respective glaze pigments indicating little or no distortion in the tin environment; this is consistent with previous observations [38].

The light green Ni doped tile shows little difference between surface and bulk measurements, both spectra have lower shifts than the corresponding glaze pigment. The dark green Ni doped tile shows a small quadrupole splitting in the CEM spectrum only, this may be explained in terms of a slight distortion from regular octahedral geometry about the Sn atoms at the surface of the tile.

Table 4.9

Fitted CEM and transmission data for glazed tiles

and transmission data for glaze pigments.

Sample	Tile CEM data		Tile transmission		Glaze pigment	
			data		R.t.trans.data	
	§ mm/s	∆ mm/s	§ mm∕s	∆ mm/s	§ mm/s	∆ mm/s
Blue (Sb)	-0.05(4)		-0.07(1)		-0.03(1)	
Yellow (V)	0.01(1)		-0.06(1)		0.01(1)	
Dk.Green (Ni)	0.02(7)	0.44(7)	-0.09(2)		0.05(1)	
Lt.Green (Ni)	-0.02(2)		-0.07(2)		0.06(1)	
Red (Cr)	-0.01(4)	1.34(3)	-0.07(4)	1.27(3)	-0.14(1)	1.42(1)
Brown (Co)	-0.5(2)	1.7(1)	-0.10(3)	1.16(2)	-0.03(1)	
Cream (Fe)	0.05(4)	0.85(4)	-0.08(2)		0.11(1)	1.47(1)

The Cr doped red tile and glaze pigment spectra all show quadrupole split doublets suggesting a significant distortion from regular octahedral geometry about the tin atoms. The shift and splitting in the glaze pigment are larger than in the tile suggesting that a higher degree of symmetry exists at the tin sites after glazing. There is no significant difference between the surface and the bulk material in the red tile.

The spectrum of the glaze pigment in the brown tile is a singlet resembling SnO₂. in contrast to the tile spectra which both show quadrupole split doublets. Thus, there is a significant change in structure, after firing. The larger splitting in the CEM spectrum with respect to the transmission spectrum indicates a greater electronic asymmetry about the tin nuclei at the surface.

The CEM spectrum of the cream tile shows a quadrupole split doublet in contrast to the singlet shown in the transmission spectrum. This clearly indicates a breakdown of symmetry at the surface from the octahedral coordination of Sn in the bulk. The transmission spectrum of the glaze pigment shows a large quadrupole splitting and positive shift as compared with the negative shift of the undoped material ($\S = -0.07(2)$, $\triangle = 1.32(4)$ mm/s [38]). There is, therefore, a significant change in structure in the Malayaite lattice on firing.

REFERENCES, CHAPTER FOUR

(1) R.L.Mössbauer, Z.Phys., (1958), 151, 124.

(2) R.L.Mössbauer, Naturwiss, (1958), 45, 538.

(3) C.G.Davies and J.D.Donaldson, J.Chem.Soc. (A),(1968), 946.

(4) H.Sano and K.Endo, Reviews on Silicon, Germanium, Tin and Lead Compounds, IV (3), (1980), 245-270.

(5) M.J.Tricker, in 'Mössbauer Spectroscopy and its Chemical Applications', Advances in Chemistry, Series 194, (American Chemical Society, Washington D.C. USA), 1981, p63.

(6) S.M.Grimes, Ph.D. Thesis, The City University, 1982.

(7) J.S.Brooks and S.C.Thorpe, '26th meeting of the
 Mössbauer Spectroscopy Discussion Group - Abstracts',
 Royal Society of Chemistry (1985).

(8) U.Baverstam, T.Ekdahl, C.Bohm, D.Liljequist and B.Ringstrom, Nucl.Instr.Method., (1974), 118, 313.

(9) Ts.Bonchev, A.Minkova and M.Grozdanov, Nucl.Instr. Method., (1977), 147, 481. (10) M.Grozdanov, Ts.Bonchev and A.Likov, Nucl.Instr. Method., (1979), 165, 231.

(11) Ts.Bonchev, M.Grozdanov and L.Shev, Nucl.Instr. Method., (1979), 165, 237.

(12) J.Bainbridge, Nucl.Instr.Method., (1975), 128, 531.

(13) R.A.Krakowski and R.B.Miller, Nucl.Instr.Method., (1972), 100, 93.

(14) G.P.Huffmann, Nucl.Instr.Method., (1976), 137, 267.

(15) F.Salvat and J.Parellada, Nucl.Instr.Method., B1, (1984), 70.

(16) F.Salvat, R.Mayol, J.D.Martinez and J.Parellada, Nucl.Instr.Method., B6, (1985), 547.

(17) F.Salvat, Private communication.

(18) F.W.D.Woodhams and Nucl.Instr.Method., (1979), 165,119.

(19) F.W.D.Woodhams, Private communication.

(20) K.Sorensen, "L.T.E. II Internal Report No.1, Laboratory of Applied Physics II ", Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark, 1972. (21) E.W.Müller, 'M.O.S.F.U.N. - Mössbauer Spectra Fitting Program for Universal Theories.' Institute fur Anorganische Chemie und Analytissche Chemie, Johannes Gutenberg-Universitat, Mainz. 1980.

(22) S.Maeda, T.Asai and T.Sawairi Proceedings of the second International Tinplate Conference London 1980 286.

(23) J.S.Johannessen, A.P.Grande and T.Notevarp Proceedings of the second International Tinplate Conference London 1980 274.

(24) V.Leroy, J.P.Servais, L.Renard and J.Lempereur Proceedings of the first International Tinplate Conference London 1980 399.

(25) M.Warwick, D.Bearfield, K.Clay and B.Scott Proceedings of the Third International Tinplate Conference London 1984.

(26) A. Grobin, Plating, (1973), 60 (5), 477.

(27) G.Trumpy, E.Both, C.Djega-Mariadassou andP.Lecocq, Phys.Rev., B., 2, 3477 (1970).

(28) The Preparation, Properties and Industrial uses of Inorganic Tin Chemicals, P.A.Cusack and P.J.Smith, ITRI publication No. 604. (29) Industrial uses of Inorganic tin chemicals, M.J.Fuller, ITRI publication No. 499.

(30) A.H.Chapman, 'Tin and Tin-Alloy Plating -Review', I.T.R.I. Publication No.606.

(31) H.Leidheiser Jr., I.Czako-Nagy, M.L.Varsanyi and A.Vertes. J.Electrochem.Soc., (1979), 126, (2), 204.

(32) F.Versmann and A.Oppenheim, Eng.Patent, 2077 (1859).

(33) P.A.Cusack, P.J.Smith, J.S.Brooks and R.Smith J.Text.Inst. (1979), 7, 308.

(34) P.A.Cusack, P.J.Smith, J.S.Brooks and R.Smith I.T.R.I. Publication No.573.

(35) P.J.Smith, Private communication.

(36) L.E.Franklin, Unpublished work.

(37) J.B.Higgins and F.K.Ross, Cryst.Struct.Comm., (1977), 6, 179.

(38) D.V.Sanghani, G.R.Abrams and P.J.Smith, Trans.J.Br.Ceram.Soc., 80, 210-214, 1981.

(39) N.N.Greenwood and T.C.Gibb 'Mössbauer Spectroscopy', 1971, Chapman and Hall, London. (40) J.D.Donaldson and S.M.Grimes, Reviews on Silicon Germanium Tin and Lead Compounds, (1984), 8 (1), 1-132.

(41) J.D.Donaldson and A.Jelen, J.Chem.Soc. (A), (1968), 1148.

CHAPTER FIVE

STRUCTURE DETERMINATION OF TIN(II) COMPLEXES

		rage
5.1	Introduction.	249
5.2	The X-ray structure determination of RbSn ₂ Br ₅ .	250
5.3	The X-ray structure determination of CsSn ₃ ^{Br} 1.5 ^F 5.5 [.]	269
5.4	The X-ray structure determination of KBr.KSnBr ₂ Cl.H ₂ O.	296
5.5	A refinement of the structure of KC1.KSnCl ₃ .H ₂ O	311
5.6	A refinement of the structure of Sn_3BrF_5 .	318

References.

328
CHAPTER FIVE

5.1 Introduction

The presence of stereochemically active lone pairs of electrons in tin(II) species usually results in a distorted environment around the tin atom [1,2]. The most common type of distortion found has a trigonal, pyramidal distribution of three nearest-neighbour tin-ligand bonds and three longer essentially non-bonding contacts completing a distorted octahedral geometry. The longer contacts arise because close approach of the ligands to the tin is prevented along directions in which the lone pairs point. In the less common, distorted four pyramidal environment, the tin atom usually has two short bonds to ligands and two bonds of greater length than those normally found in tin(II) compounds as nearest-neighbour contacts. Although most tin(II) compounds have low symmetry lattices, a few structures have tin in high symmetry regular octahedral sites. Such environments are usually found in compounds containing heavier halide and chalcogenide ions, in which the distorting effects of the non-bonding electron pairs, on the tin atoms, are removed by population of solid state bands arising from overlap of the empty halide or chalcogenide orbitals. Recent evidence has also shown that the direction in which the lone-pair orbitals point can lead to some delocalisation of the non-bonding electrons into cluster orbitals [3]. Several structures have been examined in the present work with two aspects in mind viz: the characterisation of the

Sn-Br bond and the extent of delocalisation of the non-bonding electron pairs on the tin atoms.

5.2 The X-ray structure determination of RbSn₂Br₅

In most cases where tin(II) forms complex ions with ligands, the triligandstannate(II) species is the stable and predominant ion. Crystal structures of a number of alkali metal trichloro- and trifluoro-stannates(II) have been determined [4]. The only other known structure of a pentahalodistannate(II) complex is that of NaSn₂F₅ [5], in which fluorine atoms bridge SnF2 groups to form discrete [Sn2F5] ions. Doubt must be cast upon the crystal structure of determination of KSn2F5, which has also been reported [6], the bond lengths presented have been incorrectly calculated and also, in order to balance the molecular formula, the authors have set several of the fluorine sites to partial site occupancies, with no evidence being presented to justify the particular sites chosen. It is, however, evident that the structure of KSn2F5 contains bridging, ionic and terminal covalent, bonds to fluorine. There is, however, a considerable difference in the nature of bonds formed between tin and fluorine and those formed between tin and other halogen atoms [1]. RbSn₂Br₅ represents the first determination of a pentahalogenodistannate(II) complex with a halide other than flouride.

In an attempt to prepare the complex RbSnBr₃ using the method described by Bird [7], an aqueous mixture of RbBr and SnBr₂ in a 1:1 mole ratio resulted in the precipitation of crystals of RbSn₂Br₅. Subsequent attempts to intentionally prepare the latter compound from a 1:2 mole ratio of starting materials, were successful, indeed the compound was also obtained from a 2:1 mole ratio indicating that it is the stable phase precipitated from this system.

(i) Preparation

The compound was prepared using the general preparative method described in section 2.2. RbBr and SnBr₂ were used in a 1:1 mole ratio and a few drops of HBr were used to suppress hydrolysis. The compound crystallised as white rhomboid plates. The material was analysed for Rb, Sn, Br and water using the methods described in section 2.2. A Mössbauer spectrum and an X-ray powder diffraction pattern of the product were also obtained using the methods described in sections 4.1 and 1.6, respectively. A single crystal was selected from the bulk material for X-ray data collection. The analytical data, Mössbauer spectrum and X-ray powder diffraction pattern

(ii) Data collection and space group determination.

Intensity data were collected on a Philips PW1100 four circle diffractomer at Padua University. Italy, as described in section 1.7. Monochromatic MoK α radiation () = 0.7107Å) was used throughout. Data for 365 independent reflections, in tetragonal symmetry, were observed and of those, 284 with I > 3 σ (I) were used in subsequent calculations. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation factors but not for absorption. The crystal data are shown in table 5.1. The unit cell is tetragonal with unit cell parameter restrictions:

$$a = b = c;$$
 $\alpha = \beta = \delta = 90^{\circ}$

The intensity relationships for tetragonal cells are such that only a small number of unique reflections are needed to define the asymmetric unit, consequently, equivalent reflections were merged before subsequent calculations. The following systematic absences were observed in the intensity data:

hkl absent when h+k+1 = 2n+1

Okl absent when l = 2n+1 and when k = 2n+1

The first of these absences indicates a body centred cell, the second absence results from the presence of a c-glide perpendicular to b. The combination of absences excluded all but three possible tetragonal space groups, these were: I4cm (no.108), I4/mcm (no.140) and I4c2 (no.120) [8], where space group 140 is the centrosymmetric analogue of space group 108. In the initial stages the structure was determined in the non-centrosymmetric space group I4cm.

(iii) Location of atomic positions

A Patterson synthesis was used to locate the heavy atoms.

Table 5.1 Crystal data for RbSn₂Br₅

Morphology	White rhomboid plates
Crystal class	Tetragonal
Cell Dimensions (Å)	a = b = 8.442(2)
	c = 14.754(3)
	$\alpha = \beta = \mathscr{G} = 90^{\circ}$
Cell Volume (Å ³)	1051.48
Molecular Weight (g)	722.37
Z	4
$D_{c} (gcm^{-3})$	4.54
D _o (gcm ⁻³)	4.44
F(000)	1248
$\mu(MoK\alpha)$ (cm ⁻¹)	275.31
Radiation	MoK α $\lambda = 0.7107$ Å

- 253 -

Unfortunately this system was not ideal as all the atoms are of similar atomic number.

The	equival	ent posit	ions for	the space	e group	I4cm are:
x	y,z;	-x, -y	,z; x	,-y,0.5 +:	z; -x	, y, 0.5+z;
-y,	x, z;	y, -x	,z; y	,x,0.5+z;	-y	,-x,0.5+z
The	corresp	onding Pa	atterson	vector map	p positi	ons are:
23	k,2y,0	0,23	,0.5	2x,0,0	. 5	x+y,y-x,0
	x-y	,y+x,0	x-y,y-	x,0.5	x+y,y+x	.0.5

The highest peaks in the Patterson map are shown in table 5.2. The expected vectors can be arranged in order of peak heights based on atomic numbers as follows:

	Vector	Rel.Height	(%)
(1)	Sn→Sn	100	
(2)	Sn→Rb	75	
(3)	Sn→Br	70	
(4)	Rb──→Rb	55	
(5)	Rb ───→ Br	52	
(6)	Br→Br	49	

The data suggest that the atoms lie in special positions. Analysis of the map proved difficult, because no single heavy atom is distinguishable but on examining combinations of special positions it was found that vectors between sets of atoms located at the two, four fold sites, 0,0,z and 0,0,0.25+z, would give rise to peaks 8 to 11 while the vectors between the atoms within individual sets would correspond to peaks 26 to 28.

A representative general heavy atom at a four-fold site

- 254 -

Table 5.2 Patterson map for RbSn₂Br₅.

No.	Height	u/a	v/b	w/c	S.O.F.
1	999	0.5000	0.5000	0.5000	0.0625
2	999	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	"
3	999	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000	"
4	410	0.5000	0.5000	0.1352	0.1250
5		0.5000	0.5000	0.8648	**
6		0.0000	0.0000	0.6352	"
7	"	0.0000	0.0000	0.3648	"
8	350	0.5000	0.5000	0.2411	"
9		0.5000	0.5000	0.7589	
10		0.0000	0.0000	0.7411	**
11		0.0000	0.0000	0.2589	
12	335	0.3389	0.0000	0.5000	0.2500
13		0.0000	0.3389	0.5000	"
14	"	0.5000	0.1611	0.0000	"
15		0.5000	0.1611	1.0000	**
16		0.1611	0.5000	0.0000	
17	"	0 1611	0 5000	1 0000	
19	221	0.2406	0.9000	0.1047	0 5000
10		0.3400	0.0000	0.1347	0.5000
19		0.0000	0.3406	0.1347	
20		0.1594	0.5000	0.6347	
21	eo, herini	0.0000	0.34066	0.8653	
22		0.5000	0.1594	0.6347	**
23		0.3406	0.0000	0.8653	
24		0.5000	0.1594	0.3653	**
25	**	0.1594	0.5000	0.3653	**

at 0,0,z was input into a Fourier synthesis and an electron map generated as described in section 1.8. By trial and error, based on the residual obtained, the peak was found to correspond to a set of Rb atoms. From the resultant electron density map a second four-fold site was located at c/4 away from Rb confirming the proposed solution of the Patterson map. This atom at 0,0,z+c/4 was at a distance of 3.69A from the rubidium and was considered to be too close to be a tin atom and, therefore, to be a bromine atom and was subsequently input into a Fourier synthesis as Br(i). Fourier electron density maps obtained, were used to locate a second set of Br atoms, Br(ii). in an eight fold position at 0.34,0.16,z+0.10. An electron density map phased on the Rb, Br(i) and Br(ii) positions located Sn in an 8 fold position 0.31,0.81,z-0.25. The residual, at this stage, with structure factors phased on the Rb, Br(i), Br(ii) and Sn positions, was 0.38. This residual was relatively high considering the amount of electron density apparently located. Consequently, the tin atom was input alone into a Fourier sythesis and used to re-generate the other atom positions. The coordinates of the tin atom were set to 0.31,0.81,0 with the z axis fixed at zero, having previously been left to fluctuate because there is no fixed position for the origin along the c-axis in this space group. With the tin atom, input alone, the residual was 0.53 after four cycles of least squares, as compared with 0.69 for the rubidium alone. The highest peaks in the electron density map phased on the tin position only are shown below:

No.	Height	x/a	y/b	z/c	SOF
Sn		0.1705	0.3295	0.0000	0.5000
3	316	0.5000	0.5000	0.3767	0.2500
5	311	0.5000	0.5000	0.1250	••
10	250	0.3360	0.1640	0.1364	0.5000
13	245	0.1645	0.3359	0.3653	**
14	197	0.5000	0.5000	0.0000	0.2500

An atom in the peak 10 position would be at a distance of 2.8Å from tin atom, this giving a reasonable bond distance to bromine based on previous experience [1]. Thus, with a bromine atom, $Br_{(1)}$, in position 10, Fourier calculations were performed again and the four fold position of 0,0,0.25 was the highest unallocated peak in the resultant electron density map. In agreement with solution of the Patterson map, rubidium was assigned to this peak. Calculations phased on Sn. Rb and $Br_{(1)}$ located further bromine atoms; in the four fold position 0,0,0 and in the 8 fold position, 0.156, 0.345, 0.870. With all the atoms found the residual was 0.069 and the atomic parameters were as follows:

Atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	SOF	В
Sn	0.1782	0.6782	0.0000	0.50	0.0238
Rb	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.25	0.0241
Br(1)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.25	0.0182
Br(2)	0.3437	0.8437	0.8673	0.50	0.0194
Br(3)	0.3343	0.8343	0.1356	0.50	0.0212

It was now apparent that $Br_{(2)}$ and $Br_{(3)}$ were related by a center of symmetry and that the other positions would be unaffected by a solution in the centrosymmetric space group I4/mcm. The use of the higher symmetry space groups gave the slightly higher residual 0.074. Application of anisotropic thermal parameters was not initially successful, but, by applying certain constraints, on the anisotropic thermal parameters, an R factor of 0.058 was achieved. To do this all U_{13} U_{23} values were fixed at zero and U_{11} and U_{22} values were tied to a single variable; U_{12} for $Br_{(1)}$ was also fixed at zero. The final refined parameters are shown in table 5.3. with bond lengths and angles in table 5.4. Structure factors are listed in Appendix 2.

(iv) Structural description and discussion

Fig.5.1 shows a projection of the unit cell contents. The arrangement of the Sn and bridging $Br_{(1)}$ atoms is such that they constitute a two dimensional polymeric network perpendicular to the c-axis at c = 0 and c = 1/2. The remaining $Br_{(2)}$ atoms lie symmetrically above and below the plane of the network, forming terminal Sn - Br bonds. The resultant layer structure, shown in fig.5.2, consists of two-dimensional polymeric $[Sn_2Br_5]_n^{n-}$ anionic layers separated by Rb cations lying symmetrically above and below $Br_{(2)}$ in the direction of of the c-axis. The formation of the polymeric $[Sn_2Br_5]_n^{n-}$ network is in sharp contrast to the discrete $[Sn_2F_5]^-$ units found in NaSn_2F_5.

Table 5.3 Final atomic parameters for $RbSn_2Br_5$ with ESDs in brackets Atom x/a y/b z/c S.O.F.

Rb	0.0	0.0	0.25	0.125
Sn	-0.3215(2)	-0.8215(2)	0.0	0.25
Br(1)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.125
Br(2)	-0.1610(2)	-0.6610(2)	0.1341(2)	0.5

⁰ 11	⁰ 22	^U 33	^U 23	^U 13	^U 12
0.029(2)	0.029(2)	0.017(2)	0.0	0.0	0.006(4)
0.024(1)	0.024(1)	0.022(1)	0.0	0.0	-0.004(1)
0.013(1)	0.013(1)	0.025(2)	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.024(1)	0.024(1)	0.017(1)	-0.002(7)	-0.002(7)	0.001(1)

1000

Table 5.4 Bond lengths (Å), angles (°) and some significant contact distances in RbSn₂Br₅

with ESDs in brackets

SnBr(1)	3.105(1)	SnBr(2)	2.755(3)
RbBr(1)	3.688(1)	RbBr(2)	3.600(1)
Br(1)Br(2)	3.755(1)	Br(2)Br(2)	3.844(5)
SnSn	4.261(5)		

Br(1) - Sn - Br(2)	79.0(1)
Br(2)SnBr(2)	91.8(1)
Br(1)RbBr(2)	61.6(1)
Br(2)RbBr(2)	68.0(1)
RbBr(1)Br(2)	58.0(1)
SnBr(1)Br(2)	46.4(1)
SnBr(2)Rb	97.8(1)







•

- 261



262

Fig. 5.2

RbSn₂Br₅ projection onto a/c showing anionic layers separated by Rb⁺ cations

The Sn atoms in the structure are in distorted square pyramidal environments with two long bonds to bridging Br(1) atoms within the plane of the polymeric network and two terminal bonds to Br(2) atoms symmetrically positioned above and below the plane of the network (see fig.5.3) The distorted square pyramidal environment of the Sn atoms shown in fig.5.4, has 2 Sn-Br terminal bond lengths of 2.755Å, and two bridging bonds to Br of 3.105A; these are shorter than the distances found in Sn3BrF5 (see section 5.6 and [9,10]) of 3.30-3.34A. which were considered to be non-bonding. The bridging Br(1) atoms are surrounded by four equatorial tin atoms at distances of 3.105(1)Å and by two axial Rb atoms in the direction of the c-axis at 3.688(1)Å. The terminal bromine atom $Br_{(2)}$ is surrounded by one Sn at 2.75Å, two Sn atoms at 3.49Å, two Rb atoms at 3.06Å and two Br atoms at 3.84 and 3.97A.

The tin to bromine network perpendicular to the c-axis consists of interlocked puckered planar eight membered Sn_4Br_4 rings. The Sn-Sn distances across the rings are 4.26A and 7.68A. The position of the terminal Br atoms causes the lone pair on the Sn atoms to lie in the plane of the ring. It is interesting to note that the lone pairs on the Sn atoms point directly towards one another across the shortest Sn-Sn distance in the ring. This distance of 4.261(5)A is too long to permit direct interaction between the filled non-bonding orbital on one tin atom and the empty orbital on the opposite tin atom.



- 264 -

Fig. 5.3

Projection of (1,1,0) layer with overlapping Br(2) atoms

above and below the network plane in RbSn2Br5.



Fig. 5.4

Square pyramidal tin environment in RbSn₂Br₅.

but they are close enough to the terminal Br atoms above below the plane, directed along the longer and Sn-Sn distance of the ring, to enable interaction between the lone pair orbitals on Sn and the empty d-orbitals of Br. Taking the radius of the s-orbitals on the Sn atom as 2.05Å and the empty d-orbital on Br as 2.4Å [11], a significant overlap between the filled tin orbitals and the Br d-orbitals is suggested (see figs. 5.5 to 5.7). The effect of this would be to delocalise some of the lone pair electron density on the tin atoms into a 2 dimensional band structure produced by overlap of empty Br d-orbitals within the planar ring network. The Br(2)-Sn-Br(2) angle of approximately 90°, is consistent with the suggested delocalistion because it would provide the best arrangement of Br atoms to ensure maximum overlap of the lone pairs on tin and the empty bromine d-orbitals. Such solid-state effects would not be possible in tin-fluorine networks because of the absence of suitable d-orbitals on fluorine to produce a delocalised band system and this may account for the formation of discrete [Sn2F5] ions in NaSn₂F5 rather than the polymeric network structure in RbSn2Br5.

The 119 Sn Mössbauer shift of 3.91(6) mm/s for the complex $RbSn_2Br_5$ is only slightly lower than that of its parent compound $SnBr_2$ at 3.98 mm/s [12]. Two factors combine to reduce the shift of this material with respect to the parent compound viz: comlex formation and electron delocalisation which both have the effect of.



2.05Å



Extent of Sn $5s^2$ and Br 4d orbital overlap along Sn-Br(2)



Fig. 5.6

Interaction between 5s² Sn orbitals via Br 4d orbitals on bromine atoms above and below a/b cell plane.

- 267 -



268

Fig. 5.7

Arrangement of Br(2) 4d orbitals and Sn $5s^2$ orbitals

w.r.t the a/b cell plane

.

reducing s-electron density at the tin nucleus. This slight decrease shift can be described in terms of the existence of SnBr₂ units in the structure of RbSn₂Br₅ bridged by bromine atoms. The quadrupole splitting of 0.89(5) mm/s is consistent with the unsymmetrical arrangement about the tin atom with two short and two long Sn-Br bonds found in this structure.

5.3 The X-ray structure determination of CsSn 3Br 1.5F 5.5

The unresolved X-ray data set of a complex of formula $^{CsSn}_{2}BrF_{4}$ was examined to see if any progress could be made in the structure determination. The crystals were originally prepared by John Barker at Chelsea College, London and sent to Queen Mary College, London, for data collection [13]. Subsequent attempts at synthesis of this complex gave crystals of the formula $^{CsSn}_{3}Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$. Fitting to this formula in the present work resulted in a successful structure determination.

(i) Preparation

Preparation of the complex $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$ was by the general preparative method described in section 2.2. CsBr and SnF_2 were used in a 1:2 mole ratio with no acid present to suppress hydrolysis. The crystals formed were white needles which gradually darkened in atmospheric conditions (see section 4.7(iv)). Analyses for Cs, Sn and Br were carried out using the methods described in section 2.2 The analytical data are as follows:

- 269 -

	Cs	Sn	Br	F	
	19.4	50.1	16.5		
^{CsSn} 3 ^{Br} 1.5 ^F 5.5	(18.6)	(49.9)	(16.8)	(14.7)	
CsSn ₂ BrF4	(25.3)	(45.1)	(15.2)	(14.4)	

Clearly the analytical data are closer to those of $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$ than the formula proposed earlier. A Mössbauer spectrum and X-ray powder diffractogram were recorded as described in sections 4.1 and 1.6 respectively. The X-ray powder data are in table 5.5 and the Mössbauer spectrum in fig.5.8. A thermoanalytical trace was recorded as described in section 3.1 the results of which are discussed later.

(ii) Data collection and space group determination.

The crystal data are shown in table 5.6. Intensity data were collected, as described in section 1.7, on a Phillips PW1100 four circle diffractometer at Queen Mary College, London. Monochromatic MoK α ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å) radiation was used throughout. Data for 660 independent reflections, in monoclinic symmetry, with I > 3 σ (I) were used in subsequent calculations. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation factors but not for absorption.

The intensity data were related as follows:

 $I_{hkl} = I_{h\bar{k}\bar{l}} = I_{h\bar{k}\bar{l}} = I_{h\bar{k}l}$ Equivalent reflections were merged before subsequent

- 270 -

- 271 -

Table 5.5 X-ray Powder Diffraction Pattern CsSn3Br1.5F5.5



Rel. Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
4	4.484
6	3.802
100	3.401
19	3.314
6	2.578
4	2.191
13	2.132
13	2.127
11	1.877
6	1.863
4	1.743
30	1.701
33	1.698
8	1.656



F1g.5.8

mm/s

272 -

1

т	a	b	1	e	5	•	6

Crystal data for CsSn3Br1.5F5.5

Morphology	White needles
	(darkening in air)
Crystal class	Monoclinic
Cell Dimensions (Å)	a = 22.86
	b = 4.37
	c = 10.23
	$\alpha = \mathscr{C} = 90^{\circ}$
	$\beta = 103.94^{\circ}$
Cell Volume (\mathbb{A}^3)	991.86
Molecular Weight (g)	713.32
Z	4
$D_c (gcm^{-3})$	4.78
$D_o (gcm^{-3})$	4.48
^F (000)	1228
$\mu(MoK\alpha)$ (cm ⁻¹)	163.38
Radiation	MoKa $\lambda = 0.7107$ Å

calculations. The only systematic absence in the intensity data was:

- 274 -

hkl absent when h+k = 2n+1

This corresponds to a C-faced centered cell. The possible space groups were therefore; C2 (no.5), Cm (no.8) and C2/m (no.12) [8] The presence of a mirror plane was excluded by examination of the Patterson map, the main peaks of which are shown in table 5.7. The complete absence in the map of any peaks of the type 0.v.0 showed that no mirror plane was present while the predominance of peaks of the type u.0.w indicated a 2 fold axis perpendicular to b. Thus the space group was confirmed as C2.

(iii) Location of atomic positions.

The relative closeness of the atomic numbers of Sn and Cs with Z = 50 and 55 respectively made the Patterson vector density map (table 5.7) very difficult to interpret. Consequently, direct methods were employed to locate a heavy atom. The FORTRAN program 'MULTAN-80' [14], described in section 1.9, was used to this end. The resulting electron density map had the highest peaks at:

No.	Height	x/a	y/b	z/c
1	4547	0.1104	0.5242	0.0751
2	3878	0.7672	0.5239	0.1775
3	3418	0.9866	0.5244	0.3244
4	2814	0.6553	0.5247	0.4531
5	2176	0.5000	0.5213	1.0000

an.

No.	Height	u/a	v/b	w/c
1	999	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	999	0.000	0.000	1.000
3	999	0.500	0.500	0.000
4	999	0.500	0.500	1.000
5	344	0.379	0.500	0.253
6		0.121	0.000	0.747
7	279	0.344	0.000	0.880
8	**	0.156	0.500	0.120
9		0.239	0.000	0.152
10		0.261	0.500	0.848
11	243	0.243	0.000	0.481
12		0.257	0.500	0.519
13	190	0.358	0.000	0.217
14		0.142	0.500	0.783

Table 5.7 Patterson map for CsSn3^{Br}1.5^F5.5

The positions of the two highest peaks were used in a Fourier synthesis using SHELX-76 [15] (see section 1.9). The peaks were input as two Sn atoms with the position on the y axis was fixed at zero, to define the origin. This gave an R factor of 0.53 after four cycles of least squares refinement. A third tin atom at 0.48,0,0.30 was located from the resulting electron density map.

The next highest peak on the map was at the two-fold special position 0.5,0,0. The closest contact distance to Sn from this position was 3.3Å. Although this distance is longer than the average tin to bromine bonding contact [1], the lowest residual was obtained with a bromine refined in this position. An electron density map phased on Sn and $Br_{(1)}$ located a full site Br at 0.36,0,0.21, which was then used to generate another electron density map, from which was located the last heavy atom Cs, at 0.34,0,0.52. The residual at this stage, with all the heavy atoms located, was 0.18.

Six fluorine sites were subsequently located from new electron density maps and refined to the following coordinates.

 $F_{(1)} = 0.7925. \ 0. \ 0.8184$ $F_{(2)} = 0.3461. \ 0. \ 0.8393$ $F_{(3)} = 0.2277. \ 0. \ 0.6391$ $F_{(4)} = 0.5869. \ 0.5. \ 0.2570$

F(5) 0.4855, 0, 0.6285 F(6) 0.5567, 0.5, 0.5022

With all the fluorines at full site occupancy the molecular formula was unbalanced, with an excess of half a negative charge per formula unit. Attempts to locate half a positive charge failed and it was concluded that one of the halogen sites must be at a half site occupancy, ie ions alternating with a site vacancy. It was found that the only position that would accept half site occupancy without adverse effects on the residual was $F_{(5)}$.

Anisotropic thermal parameters were successfully applied to all the heavy atoms with the U_{12} parameter of $Sn_{(1)}$ and the U_{12} and U_{23} parameters of $Br_{(1)}$ fixed at zero. Non unitary weights were used in the final refinement and inter-layer scale factors were calculated and refined in 1. The final residual was 0.072 and the weighted residual was 0.073. The final atomic parameters are given in table 5.8 with bond lengths and angles in tables 5.9 and 5.10. Structure factors are recorded in Appendix 2.

(iv) Structural description and discussion.

A projection of the unit cell contents is shown in fig.5.9. The arrangement of atoms in this structure is such that tin in association with bridging bromine and fluorine atoms forms polymeric chains running perpendicular to the a-c cell plane (see fig.5.10). All

(1)

Table 5.8 Final atomic parameters

for CsSn₃Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}

with ESDs in brackets

Atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	S.O.F.	Ull	^U 22	^U 33	^U 23	^U 13	^U 12	
Cs	0.3456(1)	0	0.5388(1)) 1	0.032(1)	0.023(1)	0.023(2)	-0.003(2)	0.007(1)	0.008(2)	
Sn(1)	0.1081(1)	0	0.0723(1)) 1	0.031(1)	0.063(1)	0.017(2)	0.009(2)	0.012(1)	0.000(0)	
Sn(2)	0.7555(1)	0	0.1614(1)) 1	0.040(1)	0.053(1)	0.017(1)	-0.003(2)	0.009(1)	-0.002(2)	
Sn(3)	0.9881(1)	0	0.3202(1)) 1	0.032(1)	0.049(1)	0.021(2)	-0.002(2)	0.009(1)	-0.002(2)	
Br(1)	0.5	0	0	0.5	0.027(2)	0.029(2)	0.055(2)	0.000(0)	0.008(1)	0.000(0)	
Br(2)	0.3604(1)	0	0.1958(2)) 1	0.036(2)	0.038(1)	0.032(2)	-0.009(4)	0.014(1)	0.007(3)	
F(1)	0.7900(7)	0	0.819(2)	1	0.070(4)						
F(2)	0.3473(6)	0	0.843(1)	1	0.053(4)						
F(3)	0.2296(5)	0	0.640(1)	1	0.042(3)						
F(4)	0.5867(5)	0.5	0.256(1)	1	0.030(3)						
F(5)	0.4842(8)	0	0.627(2)	0.5	0.012(4)						
F(6)	0.5543(5)	0.5	0.501(1)	1	0.046(3)						

- 278

- 279 -

Table 5.9 Significant contact distances (Å) in

CsSn3^{Br}1.5^F5.5

with ESDs given in brackets

3.248(2)	Sn(3)F(6)	2.09(1)
2.05(2)	Sn(3)F(5)	2.30(2)
2.33(2)	Sn(3)F(6)'	2.26(1)
2.48(1)		
	3.248(2) 2.05(2) 2.33(2) 2.48(1)	3.248(2) $Sn(3)F(6)$ $2.05(2)$ $Sn(3)F(5)$ $2.33(2)$ $Sn(3)F(6)$ $2.48(1)$

$\operatorname{Sn}(2) \operatorname{Br}(2)$	3.200(2)	CsBr(2)	3.606(2)
Sn(2)F(3)	1.98(1)	CsF(2)	3.11(1)
Sn(2)F(1)	2.45(2)	CsF(3)	3.07(1)
Sn(2)F(2)	2.34(1)	CsF(5)	3.08(2)
		CsF(4)	3.16(2)
Sn(1)Sn(2)	4.363(2)	CsF(6)	3.26(2)
Sn(1)Sn(3)	4.087(2)		

Table 5.10 Significant bond angles (°) in

CsSn3^{Br}1.5^F5.5

with ESDs in brackets

Br(1)Sn(1)F(4)	82.7(4)
Br(1)Sn(1)F(1)	136.7(4)
F(4) - Sn(1) - F(1)	89.7(6)
Br(1) - Sn(1) - Br(1)(y=1)	84.6(2)

Br(2)Sn(2)F(3)	87.0(4)
Br(2)Sn(2)F(2)	136.5(4)
F(3)Sn(2)F(2)	86.8(6)
Br(2) - Sn(2) - Br(2)(y=1)	86.1(2)

F(6) Sn(3) F(5)	72.1(7)
F(6)Sn(3)F(6)'	69.2(6)
F(5)Sn(3)F(6)'	87.6(7)



 (\hat{I})

- 282 -



Unit cell contents of C_{sSn3}Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}



Fig.5.10.

Bridging Br networks in $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$ running perpendicular to the a-c cell plane.

- 283 -

- 284 -

the atoms lie in planes perpendicular to the b-axis at b = 0 and b = 0.5 (see fig.5.11). This layered structure is stabilised by associations between tin and bridging bromine and fluorine atoms in the layers above and below.

Three different tin sites are present in the structure. $Sn_{(1)}$ and $Sn_{(2)}$ are very similar being associated with both fluorine and bromine atoms. Sn(3), however, is bonded to fluorine only. $Sn_{(1)}$ and $Sn_{(2)}$ are both in distorted trigonal pyramidal environments, each with one short Sn-F bond (2.052(5) and 1.980(5)A for Sn(1) and Sn(2) respectively), and two equal longer bridging bonds to two bromine atoms of 3.248(1) and 3.200(1) A for Sn(1) and Sn(2) respectively. The bridging tin to bromine networks form infinite polymeric chains running perpendicular to the a-c cell plane. The close approach of a second F atom to the tin atoms is prevented by the position of the non-bonding pairs of electrons which, on space filling considerations, must lie in the a-c cell plane. The fluorines affected by the lone pair repulsion are located at distances of 2.328(5) and 2.339(5)Å, from $Sn_{(1)}$ and $Sn_{(2)}$ respectively, and are stabilised by interactions with tin atoms in the layers above and below at distances of $Sn_{(1)}-F_{(2)} = 2.477(4)A$ and $Sn_{(2)}-F_{(1)} = 2.449(4)$ Å, which are well within the sum of the Van der Waals radii at 3.7Å [16]. The two tin halogen polymeric chains are, therefore, interlocked at every layer giving a pair of polymeric anions of formula $[SnBrF_2]_n^{n-}$ and $[Sn_2BrF_4]_n^{n-}$ in a 2:1 ratio (see fig 5.12).


Fig.5.11.

Planes of atoms in $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$ at $b = 0 \& b = \frac{1}{2}$.





Projection of 2[SnBrF₂]ⁿ⁻.[Sn₂BrF₄]ⁿ⁻ interlocking polymeric anions in CsSn₃Br_{1.5}F_{5.5} which run perpendicular to a/c The $\operatorname{Sn}_{(1)}-\operatorname{Sn}_{(2)}$ distance of 4.363(4)A is outside that normally considered to permit direct interaction between the filled non-bonding orbital on one tin with the empty orbital on its neighbour. The type of interaction seen in $\operatorname{RbSn}_2\operatorname{Br}_5$ (see section 5.2) and CsSnBr_3 [11], where the presence of empty bromine orbitals assists in the delocalisation of the non-bonding electron pairs on Sn, is not possible in this system because of the unavailability of suitable d-orbitals on F, the major halogen present in the lattice. This restricts the lone pairs to the locality of the tin atoms, where they exhibit a stereochemically distorting effect.

The two tin sites $Sn_{(1)}$ and $Sn_{(2)}$ show almost identical stereochemistry. The difference lies in the bridging bromine atoms. Br(1) bridges 4 Sn(1) atoms at equal distances of 3.248(1)Å in a square planar arrangement. Six coordinate geometry is completed with two equidistant Sn(3) atoms arranged axially at 4.001(1)A. Br(2) bridges only two Sn(2) atoms in the layers above and below, at a distance of 3.200(1)A and is seven coordinate with contacts to two Sn(3) atoms at 3.623(1)A, a Cs at 3.606(2)A and two Sn(1) atoms at 3.710(1)Å. The difference in the coordination of the two Br sites reflects the difference shown in the bond lengths in the two trigonal pyramidal sites at $Sn_{(1)}$ and $Sn_{(2)}$, the $Sn_{(2)}$ -site bonds being shorter than the analogous bonds around the the Sn(1) site.

- 287 -

1010

Sn(3) atoms are also in trigonal pyramidal environments. Pairs of $Sn_{(3)}$ atoms at y = 0 and y = 0.5are linked by pairs of F atoms, giving one short (2.091(1)Å) and one long (2.263(1)Å) bridging Sn-F distance to each tin atom. A pair of F atoms bridges each of the $Sn_{(3)}$ atoms in one cell with $Sn_{(3)}$ atoms in the next cell. The inter-cell bridging distances are equal (2.302(1)A) and are responsible for the formation of a polymeric chain perpendicular to the a-c cell plane. The non-bonding electron pairs point out of the a-c plane where they prevent the close approach of any further ligands. Unlike the short bonds in the two other tin sites, the $Sn_{(3)}-F_{(6)}$ bond is non terminal and the fluorine bridges to another $Sn_{(3)}$ atom in the same plane forming a planar, four membered, ring with alternating long and short bonds. These rings are linked to others above and below the plane, by a bridging fluorine $F_{(5)}$. in a zig-zag configuration as seen in fig 5.13. The polymeric chains have 3 fluorine atoms surrounding each Sn(3) atom and form cationic networks [Sn2F3] n+ running perpendicular to the a-c cell plane. Three longer tin contacts complete distorted six coordinate halogen geometry with two $Br_{(2)}$ atoms at 3.623(1) and $F_{(4)}$ at 2.495(1)Å. The environments of the three tin atoms are

summarised in fig 5.14.

The Cs atoms occupy holes in the lattice and are surrounded by 7 fluorine atoms at distances ranging between 3.069 to 3.164Å and a single Br at 3.606(1)Å.



Fig.5.13

Projection of $[Sn_2F_3]_n^{n+}$ chain onto b/c in $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$ showing 'zig - zag' configuration





 (\widetilde{T})

A comparison of the Mössbauer data for CsSn 3Br 1.5F 5.5 with those of other caesium bromo- fluoro- stannates and the parent stannous halides is shown in table 5.11. The Mössbauer spectrum is composed of 3 superimposed quadrupole split doublets of approximately the same shift and splitting. Of the three tin sites, two would show nearly identical Mössbauer parameters, the third, which differs in that there is no close association with bromine, would be expected to show a less positive shift. This difference is apparently not significant enough to be observed in the Mössbauer spectrum. The data for CsSn3Br1.5F5.5 are closer to those of CsSn3BrF6 than those of CsSn₂BrF₄ [16]. The shift, which is significantly lower than both SnF2 and SnBr2, may be accounted for by a description of the structure in terms of distorted SnF2 units being bridged by bromine and fluorine atoms.

The thermal data for this material showed a sharp decomposition point at 225 °C on heating under N_2 and verified the complete absence of water.

The X-ray powder data for various caesium halostannates are shown in tables 5.12 to 5.14 [16]. The diffraction pattern of $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$, $CsSn_2BrF_4$ and $CsSn_3BrF_6$, are all similar. This suggests that $CsSn_2BrF_4$ and $CsSn_3BrF_6$ may have structures similar to that of $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$.

Comparison of 80K Mössbauer data for some caesium bromo-fluoro-stannates (II) and starting materials.

Formula	§ mm/s	∆ mm/s
^{CsSn} 3 ^{Br} 1.5 ^F 5.5	3.50	1.50
CsSn3BrF6	3.46	1.53 [16]
CsSn2BrF4	3.43	1.44 [16]
CsBr.CsSnBrF ₂	2.93	1.81 [16]
SnBr ₂	3.98	0.00
SnF ₂	3.65	1.80

Note all shifts are quoted wrt to CaSnO3.



Rel. Int. (%) d(hkl)Å 26 4.670 46 3.780 3.390 100 100 3.300 26 3.210 46 3.160 3.120 70 2.860 46 26 2.840 2.560 26 70 2.550 2.220 10 2.190 10 10 2.150 10 2.080 26 1.990 1.870 26 1.860 26 1.840 10 10 1.820 26 1.770 1.750 26 46 1.690 26 1.660 46 1.650

- 293 -





Rel. Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
35	6.660
35	4.670
35	3.770
100	3.400
100	3.310
35	3.150
35	3.110
15	2.860
15	2.830
64	2.550
15	2.150
15	2.080
15	1.870
64	1.770
64	1.760
35	1.690

(7)





Rel. Int.(%)	d(hkl)Å
50	3.970
76	3.820
50	3.740
100	3.460
50	3.410
50	3.360
20	3.280
100	3.150
100	3.050
100	2.940
20	2.760
20	2.580
10	2.480
20	2.390
10	2.360
10	2.360
10	2.290
10	2.100
10	2.070
20	2.020
10	1.920
10	1.870
10	1.840
10	1.760
10	1.730

5.4 The X-ray structure determination of KBr.KSnBr2Cl.H20

Crystals of the complex salt KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O are formed on cooling aqueous solutions containing a large excess of KBr with respect to SnCl₂ (see section 2.3). Crystals were deemed suitable for single crystal X-ray data collection after examination on a Weissenberg camera, and the presence of at least one screw axis was observed in the zero-layer Weissenberg photograph. X-ray powder diffraction suggests that the material is isostructural with the known structures of the all chlorine analogues KCl.KSnCl3.H20 and NH4Cl.NH4SnCl3.H20 [17,18]. The structure of this material was undertaken with three principal areas of interest; firstly is the Cl atom preferentially located, as both covalent and ionic halogen sites are known to exist in these materials; secondly to characterise the Sn-Br covalent bond in the complex $[SnX_3]^-$ anion (where $X = Br_nCl_{3-n}$) and thirdly. to observe the extent, if any, of delocalisation of the non-bonding electrons on tin.

(i) Preparation

 $\mathrm{KBr.KSnBr}_{2}\mathrm{Cl.H}_{2}\mathrm{O}$ was prepared from a mixture of KBr and $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2.2\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}}$ in a 5:1 mole ratio using the general preparative method (section 2.2). A few drops of concentrated HBr were used to suppress hydrolysis. The crystals which formed on cooling were colourless rhomboid prisms. Analyses for K, Sn, Br, Cl and H₂O were carried out using the methods described in section 2.2.

-296 -

The analytical data are as follows:

	К	Sn	Br	Cl	^H 2 ^O
KBr.KSnBr ₂ Cl.H ₂ O	18.5	22.6	47.5	7.7	5.3
Calculated	(16.0)	(24.2)	(48.9)	(7.2)	(3.6)

A Mössbauer spectrum and X-ray powder diffraction pattern were recorded as described in sections 4.1 and 1.6 respectively. The X-ray powder data and Mössbauer parameters are given in section 2.3.

(ii) Data collection and space group determination.

Intensity data were collected on a Phillips PW1100 four circle diffractometer at Queen Mary College, London as described in section 1.7. Monochromatic MoK α ($\lambda =$ 0.7107Å) radiation was used throughout. Data for 1158 unique reflections, in orthorhombic symmetry, with I > 3 σ (I) were used in subsequent calculations. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation factors but not for absorption.

The crystal data are shown in table 5.15. The crystal class is orthorhombic with unit cell parameters obeying the following restrictions:

a = b = c; $\alpha = \beta = \chi = 90^{\circ}$

The following systematic absences were observed in the intensity data:

Crystal data for KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O

Morphology	White rhomboid prisms
Crystal class	Orthorhombic
Cell Dimensions (Å)	a = 9.3830
	b = 8.5824
	c = 12.4937
	$\alpha = \beta = \mathcal{Y} = 90^{\circ}$
Cell Volume (Å ³)	1006.10
Molecular Weight (g)	490.03
Z	4
$D_c (gcm^{-3})$	3.24
$D_o (gcm^{-3})$	3.25
F(000)	756
$\mu(MoK\alpha)$ (cm ⁻¹)	111.33
Rediction	$M_{O}K_{T} = 0.7107$

hoo absent when h = 2n + 1Oko absent when k = 2n + 1Ool absent when l = 2n + 1hol absent when l = 2n + 1hko absent when h + k = 2n + 1

These correspond to the symmetry operations shown below:

2₁ parallel to a
2₁ parallel to b
2₁ parallel to c
c - glide perpendicular to b
n - glide perpendicular to c

In the absence of any general systematic absences in the hkl data it was concluded that the cell was primative. Two orthorhombic space groups are possible with the above combination of absences; $P2_1cn$ (non standard form of $Pna2_1$ no.33) and Pmcn (non standard form of Pnma no.62) [8]. The structure was solved in the non centrosymmetric space group $P2_1cn$.

The symmetry related positions for P21 cn are as follows:

(1) x,y,z

- (2) 0.5-x,0.5-y,0.5+z
- (3) 0.5+x, -y, -z
- (4) -x, 0.5 + y, 0.5 z

-299 -

(iii) Location of atomic positions.

As an alternative to a Patterson vector density map, direct methods were used to locate a heavy atom using the FORTRAN program 'MULTAN-80' [14], described in section 1.9. The two highest peaks generated had the following parameters:

x = 0.3979, y = 0.2176, z = 0.4448x = 0.8019, y = 0.2174, z = 0.4449

These two positions were input into a Fourier synthesis using SHELX-76 [15]. The peaks were initially labelled as two Sn atoms and gave an R factor of 0.53 after four cycles of least squares. A third atom was located at the top of the resulting electron density map with the folowing coordinates:

x = 0.1040, y = 0.0007, z = 0.5109

The distance between this position and the atom at 0.398,0.218,0.445 was 2.70Å which is typical of a Sn-Br covalent bond [1]. These two positions were therefore input into a Fourier synthesis as tin and bromine atoms. By trial and error, based on residuals obtained, it was found that the position found by direct methods was in fact a Br and not a Sn atom, as initially input. With two atoms located in the following positions, the residual fell to 44.3%:

- 300 -

Sn 0.1079, 0.0068, 0.5103Br₍₁₎ 0.3939, 0.2113, 0.4438

The highest peak in electron density map generated using the above positions was at 0.11,0.10,0.76. Using this position assigned as $Br_{(2)}$ and the Sn and $Br_{(1)}$ positions the residual after 4 cycles of least squares refinement was 34.3%. At this stage the electron density peak search was expanded to cover the whole unit cell and subsequently a further two atoms were located as the highest peaks in the resulting electron density map. These positions, initially labelled $Br_{(3)}$ and $Br_{(4)}$, had the following coordinates:

The residual at this stage was 22.8%. Attempts to locate a Cl atom in one of the Br positions resulted in an increased residual in all but the $Br_{(4)}$ position, so $Br_{(4)}$ was re-labelled as a Cl atom. The two highest peaks in an electron density map generated using the Sn, Br and Cl atoms, were input into a Fourier synthesis as two potassium atoms and had the following coordinates:

> K₍₁₎ 0.3345, 0.3665, 0.6868 K₍₂₎ 0.8705, 0.3695, 0.6842

Using these positions and those of Sn, Br and Cl to phase structure factors an oxygen atom was located in the resulting electron density map in the following position:

0 0.0788, 0.0560, 0.0454

With all the atoms located and vibrating isotropically the residual after 4 cycles of least squares was 10.5%. Anisotropic thermal parameters were successfully applied to Sn and Br atoms. The Cl position, however, would not accept anisotropic thermal parameters; with Br substituted for Cl in this position anisotropic thermal parameters were successfully applied and was also accompanied by a relatively large drop in the residual from 9.3 to 6.3%. With the two potassium atoms also vibrating anisotropically the residual fell even further to 5.6%. The Cl atom was still unaccounted for and it was concluded that it must be sharing a site with two or more of the Br atoms. The isotropic temperature factors of the Br atoms at this stage were as follows:

Atom	В
Br(1)	0.0261
Br(2)	0.0295
Br(3)	0.0429
Br(4)	0.0482

It was noticed that the isotropic temperature factors of $Br_{(3)}$ and $Br_{(4)}$ were both higher than those of $Br_{(1)}$ and $Br_{(2)}$. With $Br_{(3)}$ and $Br_{(4)}$ set at half site occupancy, a pair of Cl atoms, also at half site occupancy, and in the same positions as $Br_{(3)}$ and $Br_{(4)}$ were added to the

- 302 -

atom list. Structure factor calculations phased on all of the atom positions gave a lowered residual of 3.8%. Repetition of this procedure with the other two halogen positions increased the residual. In the final refinement reflections where $2F_0 < |(F_0-F_c)| > 2F_c$ were omitted from calculations, these were as follows:

h	k	1	h	k	1	h	k	l	h	k	1
11	4	1	1	0	2	9	1	3	2	9	4
3	10	5	2	7	6	8	3	8	4	8	9
1	4	11	1	6	13	3	2	14			

Attempts to fit anisotropic thermal parameters to Cl and O atoms were unsuccessful. The final residual was 3.63%. The final atomic parameters are given in table 5.16 with bond lengths and angles in tables 5.17 and 5.18. Structure factors are recorded in Appendix 2.

(iv) Structural description and discussion.

A projection of the unit cell contents is shown in fig. 5.15. The structure consists of tin atoms in trigonal pyramidal environments bonded to 3 halogen atoms constituting a $[SnBr_2C1]^-$ anion. The remaining bromines exist as ions as do the potassiums.

Assuming a random distribution of Cl atoms four complex tin anions of the general formula $[SnBr_{1+n}Cl_{2-n}]^{-}$ are possible with eight possible tin environments, these are summarised in figs. 5.16 and 5.17. In all the possible

Final atomic parameters for KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O

with ESDs in brackets

Atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	S.O.F	U ₁₁	^U 22	^U 33	^U 23	^U 13	^U 12
Sn	0.0	0.0010(1)	0.5093(1)	1.0	0.0220(4)	0.0279(5)	0.0230(4)	-0.0036(4)	-0.001(1)	0.002(1)
K(1)	0.237(1)	-0.632(1)	0.6869(8)	1.0	0.019(3)	0.037(5)	0.033(4)	-0.006(5)	-0.010(3)	-0.005(3)
K(2)	-0.221(1)	-0.633(2)	0.6834(9)	1.0	0.047(6)	0.051(7)	0.039(5)	-0.009(6)	-0.007(4)	0.005(4)
Br(1)	0.0	0.0961(2)	0.7632(1)	1.0	0.0307(8)	0.0327(8)	0.0387(8)	0.0011(7)	-0.008(2)	-0.001(3)
Br(2)	0.2991(6)	0.2131(6)	0.4428(4)	1.0	0.033(2)	0.056(3)	0.036(2)	0.002(2)	-0.008(2)	0.012(2)
Br(3)	0.207(1)	-0.213(1)	0.5537(9)	0.5	0.019(4)	0.018(4)	0.015(4)	0.001(3)	0.011(3)	0.001(3)
Br(4)	0.0	-0.110(1)	0.305(1)	0.5	0.032(3)	0.026(5)	0.015(3)	0.000(3)	0.006(4)	-0.001(4)
Cl(1)	0.0	-0.096(5)	0.305(3)	0.5	0.027(6)					
C1(2)	0.194(3)	-0.200(3)	0.556(3)	0.5	0.043(7)					
0	-0.015(2)	0.059(1)	0.0459(9)	1.0	0.039(4)					

304 -

Bond lengths (Å) and significant contact distances in KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O with ESDs in brackets

SnBr(1)	3.28(1)
SnBr(2)	2.70(1)
SnBr(3)	2.73(1)
SnBr(4)	2.72(1)
SnCl(1)	2.68(4)
SnC1(2)	2.58(2)
SnSn	4.70(1)
K(1)Br(1)	3.21(1)
K(1)Br(2)	3.32(1)
K(1)Br(4)	3.31(1)
K(1)Cl(1)	3.40(5)
K(2)Br(1)	3.27(1)
K(2)Br(3	3.32(1)
K(2)Br(4)	3.31(1)
K(2)Cl(1)	3.23(5)
K(2)Cl(2)	3.40(4)
K(2)0	2.66(3)

Bond angles (°) in KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O with ESDs in brackets

Br(2)SnBr(3)	89.6(0.5)
Br(2)SnBr(4)	88.2(0.8)
Br(2)SnCl(1)	90.0(1.0)
Br(2)SnCl(2)	89.2(0.8)
Br(3)SnBr(4)	87.3(0.3)
Br(3)SnCl(1)	89.1(0.7)
Br(4)SnCl(2)	88.7(0.8)
Cl(1)SnCl(2)	90.5(1.0)

Fig.5.15.

KBr.KSnBr2Cl.H20 and KCl.KSnCl3.H20 :

Generalised Projection of Unit Cell Contents.



- 308 -







Possible tin environments in ${\rm KBr}_{\bullet}{\rm KSnBr}_{2}{\rm Cl}_{\bullet}{\rm H}_{2}{\rm O}$

 (\mathfrak{I})



Fig.5.16b

Possible tin environments in KBr.KSnBr2Cl.H20

- 309 -



Fig. 5.17

Generalised tin environment in KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O

environments, tin is surrounded by 3 short bonds to halogens and 3 longer contacts to halogens whose close approach is prevented by the position of the non-bonding pair of electrons on tin, which point out of the a-c cell plane. The distance of 3.277\AA for $\text{Sn-Br}_{(1)}$ is shorter than the other long Sn-Br contacts but is longer than the $\text{Sn-Br}_{(2)}$ distance in RbSn_2Br_5 of 3.105\AA (see section 5.2). The distance is, however, similar in length to that found in Sn_3BrF_5 (see section 5.6 and [9.10]) of 3.30\AA which was considered to be non-bonding.

The potassium ions are surrounded by six halogen contacts ranging from 3.225 to 4.312Å in distorted octahedral geometry. The water molecules are even closer with K-O distances of 2.698 and 2.992Å for $K_{(1)}$ and $K_{(2)}$ respectively.

5.5 A refinement of the structure of KCl.KSnCl3.H20

The structure of KCl.KSnCl₃.H₂O was originally determined by Kamenar and Gredenic [17] in 1962. The structure was notable in that it proved the existence of a discrete $[SnCl_3]^-$ anion in the solid state. The original workers proposed a distorted trigonal pyramidal geometry in the complex anion with one long (2.63Å) and two short (2.54Å) Sn-Cl bonds. Several workers have assumed these lengths to be typical of Sn-Cl covalent bonds and have used these values in various calculations. [1,7,19]. In order to make more accurate comparisons with the structure of KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O, the data of Kamenar and Gredenic [17]

- 311 -

were re-examined using modern computer techniques. The original h,k,l and F_0 data were typed into a 'SHELX-76' [15] input file and refined by least squares. The crystal data for KCl.KSnCl₃.H₂O is given in table 5.19. The crystal class is orthorhombic and space group is the centrosymmetric Pbnm (non standard form of Pnma no.62) [8].

(i) Refinement of structure

The eqiuvalent positions for the Pbnm space group are:

z	У.	х,	(1)
0.5+z	0.5-y,	0.5+x,	(2)
z	0.5+y,	0.5-x,	(3)
0.5+z	-y,	-x,	(4)
-z	-y,	-x,	(5)
0.5-z	0.5+y,	0.5-x,	(6)
-z	0.5-8,	0.5+x,	(7)
0.5-z	У,	х,	(8)

172 unique orthorhombic reflections were used in calculations. The original data had been collected using Ni filtered CuK α radiation on a Weissenberg camera and the data corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors and also for absorption.

Refining the original atom positions the residual reached 9.14% after 4 cycles of least squares as compared to a value of 12% obtained by the original workers.

Crystal data for KCL.KSnCl3.H20

Morphology	White rhomboid prisms
Crystal class	Orthorhombic
Cell Dimensions (Å)	a = 8.24
	b = 12.05
	c = 9.14
	$\alpha = \beta = \mathcal{Y} = 90^{\circ}$
Cell Volume (Å ³)	907.53
Molecular Weight (g)	356.68
Z	4
$D_{c} (gcm^{-3})$	2.61
F(000)	596
$\mu(MoK\alpha)$ (cm ⁻¹)	299.68
Radiation	MoKα λ = 0.7107Å

Anisotropic thermal parameters were successfully applied to all the Cl atoms after U_{13} was fixed at zero but not the Sn and O atoms. By refining inter-layer scale factors in k and using non unitary weights a final residual of 7.36 and a weighted residual of 7.30 was obtained. The final atomic parameters are given in table 5.20. Bond lengths and angles are given in table 5.21.

(ii) Structural description and discussion

 $KC1.KSnC1_{3}.H_{2}O$ is iso-structural with KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O (see section 5.4) and $NH_{4}C1.NH_{4}SnC1_{3}.H_{2}O$ [18]. Like the other materials, tin is present as the discrete complex anion $[SnX_{3}]^{-}$ (X = Cl). The projection of the unit cell is as for KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O and is shown in fig.5.15 but it should be noted that the definition of the origin is different from that in KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O and that the unit cell shown relates to the mixed halide complex. The tin environment is shown in fig.5.18 and consists of 3 short Sn-Cl bonds of 2.55, 2.55 and 2.58Å. Octahedral geometry is completed by three more chlorines at longer contacts of 3.16, 3.34 and 3.34Å, whose close approach is prevented by the non-bonding electron pair.

A comparison of bond lengths and angles in the $[SnCl_3]^$ anion between the present work and the original reference is shown below:

- 314 -

Final atomic parameters for KCl.KSnCl₃. H_2^0

with ESDs given in brackets

Atom	x/a	y∕b	z/c	S.O.F	U ₁₁	^U 22	^U 33	^U 23	^U 13	^U 12
Sn	-0.003(2)	-0.0094(4)	0.25	0.5	0.032(1)					
к	0.369(1)	0.1857(6)	-0.017(2)	1.0	0.045(6)	0.036(4)	0.045(7)	-0.001(6)	0.0	-0.012(4)
Cl(1)	0.107(3)	0.191(1)	0.25	0.5	0.033(9)	0.032(7)	0.05(2)	-0.03(2)	0.0	-0.005(8)
C1(2)	0.207(1)	-0.056(7)	0.446(2)	1.0	0.035(5)	0.041(4)	0.049(8)	-0.005(7)	0.0	0.003(4)
C1(3)	0.101(3)	0.263(1)	0.75	0.5	0.037(9)	0.041(8)	0.03(1)	0.01(8)	0.0	-0.017(8)
0	0.442(7)	0.041(3)	0.75	0.5	0.03(1)					

1

315 -

Bond lengths (Å) and angles(°) in KCl.KSnCl₃. H_2O

with ESDs given in brackets

Sn - Cl(1) 2.58(2)Sn - Cl(2) 2.55(2)Sn - Sn 4.576(1)

KCl(1)	3.26(2)
KCl(2)	3.27(2)
KC1(3)	3.21(2)
к0	2.81(3)

Cl(2)--Sn--Cl(1) 88.1(5) Cl(2)--Sn--Cl(2) 89.1(5)



Fig. 5.18

Tin environment in KCl.KSnCl₃.H₂O

	Refined	Original			
	data	data			
Sn-Cl(1)	2.58(2)A	2.63(3)A			
Sn-C1(2)	2.55(2)Å	2.54(3)A			
$C1_{(1)}-Sn-C1_{(2)}$	88.1(5)°	87.7(10)			
$C1(2)^{-Sn-C1}(2)$	89.1(5)°	90.8(10)			

The difference between the two types of Sn-Cl bond in the $[SnCl_3]^-$ anion is now far less significant than in the original work and in fact the lengths lie within calculated error of each other. The inability to set anisotropic thermal parameters for tin, the heaviest element present must cast some doubt on the reliability of the data set and a determination on freshly prepared crystals is now underway.

5.6 A refinement of the structure of Sn₃BrF₅

The structure of Sn_3BrF_5 was determined by Puxley [9.10], and the Sn-Br distances of 2.29-3.25Å, were taken to be non-bonding contacts. In order to characterise the Sn-Br bond in tin(II) materials, the parameters in the original reference were refined to obtain more accurate contact distances, and to re-examine the stereochemistry around tin to see if any interaction is present between the non-bonding electron pairs and the empty Br 4d-orbitals as in the structures of $CsSnBr_3$ [11] and $RbSn_2Br_5$ (see section 5.2). (i) Data collection and space group determination.

Data for 427 independent reflections in monoclinic symmetry were recorded by Puxley [10]. The data were initially collected on a Nonius integrating Weissenberg camera using Zn filtered MoKa radiation and were corrected for absorption. The final residual in the original determination was 11.3%. The crystal data are table 5.22. The unit cell parameters recorded in resemble those found in tetragonal symmetry, however, the original Wiessenberg photographs failed to show the presence of a four-fold axis and it was concluded that the structure was pseudo-tetragonal. The final atomic parameters did approach tetragonal symmetry and in the present work a solution in tetragonal symmetry was attempted.

The following systematic absences were observed in the data:

hol absent when h + 1 = 2n + 1OkO absent when k = 2n + 1(hOO absent when h = 2n + 1) (OOl absent when l = 2n + 1)

The hkl data showed no general systematic absences. This combination is consistent with the following tetragonal space groups: $P4_{2}nm$ (no.102), $P_{\overline{4}}n2$ (no.118) and $P4_{2}/nmc$ (no.136) [8].

- 319 -

```
- 320 -
```

Table 5.22 Crystal data for Sn3BrF5

```
Morphology White needles
Crystal class Monoclinic
                    (pseudo-tetragonal)
Cell Dimensions (Å) a = 4.27
                  b = 12.70
                c = 12.70
                    \alpha = \beta = \delta = 90^{\circ}
Cell Volume (A^3)
                    688.71
   Molecular Weight (g) 530.15
                    4
   Z
D_{c} (gcm^{-3})
                  5.11
                    920
F(000)
\mu(MoK\alpha) (cm<sup>-1</sup>)
                  157.44
Radiation
                  MoK\alpha \lambda = 0.7107Å
```

(0)
(ii) Refinement of structure.

Attempts at solutions in tetragonal space groups failed because the tetragonal condition 0kl = 2n+1 was not met. Consequently, as in the original work, the atomic parameters were refined in monoclinic symmetry in the space group $P2_1/n$ (non standard form of $P2_1/c$ no.14 [8]) which is consistent with the systematic absences observed. Calculations were performed using SHELX-76 [15].

The atomic parameters were refined by least squares calculations. Anisotropic thermal parameters could only be applied initially to the Br position and were only successfully applied to the tin atoms after fixing all the U_{ij} values on these atoms to 0.01. Inter-layer scale factors were calculated in k. The final residual was 9.09% after 4 cycles of least squares. The final atomic parameters are given in table 5.23 and bond lengths and angles in table 5.24. Structure factors are recorded in Appendix 2.

(iii) Structural description and discussion.

The final atomic parameters approach 4/mmm Laue symmetry and the inability to solve the structure in tetragonal symmetry is probably due to error in measurement of the a-cell dimension. This is supported by the fact that for all the atoms, much higher estimated standard deviations are observed in the x/a coordinates than in

(1)

- 322 -

	x/a	y/b	z/c	В
Sn(1)	0.5696(12)	0.1041(4)	0.1019(4)	
Sn(2)	0.0742(13)	0.8393(5)	0.6277(5)	
Sn(3)	0.1266(12)	0.3764(5)	0.1543(4)	
Br	0.5167(18)	0.3815(8)	0.3790(7)	
F(1)	0.5425(97)	0.1049(35)	0.2701(34)	0.0151(98)
F(2)	0.5133(92)	0.2676(29)	0.1054(32)	0.0222(80)
F(3)	0.5809(106)	0.1169(45)	0.4909(37)	0.0267(108)
F(4)	0.5197(99)	0.4894(30)	0.1203(34)	0.0056(85)
F(5)	0.0084(204)	0.1431(58)	0.1533(61)	0.0674(213)

Anisotropic thermal parameters

Sn(1) $U_{11} = U_{22} = U_{33} = U_{23} = U_{13} = U_{12} = 0.01$ Sn(2) " " " " Sn(3) " " "

U₁₁ U₂₂ U₃₃ U₂₃ U₁₃ Br 0.0124(34) 0.0202(42) 0.0380(52) -0.0081(48) 0.0099(40) U₁₂ -0.0007(53)

Overal scale factor = 0.732(7)

Inter-layer scale factors layer 1 2 3 4 5 6 1.125(30) 1.095(23) 1.176(28) 1.172(27) 1.109(21) 1.197(31)

Table 5.24

Important bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) in Sn_3BrF_5 with ESDs in brackets

Sn(1) - F(1)	2.14(4)	Sn(2) - F(1)	2.21(4)
Sn(1)F(2)	2.09(4)	Sn(2) - F(3)	2.18(4)
Sn(1)F(5)	2.05(8)	Sn(2)F(4)	2.19(4)
Sn(3)F(2)	2.24(4)	Sn(1)Br	3.34(2)

Sn(3) - F(4)	2.25(4)	Sn(2)Br	3.30(2)
Sn(3)F(3)	2.09(4)	Sn(3)Br	3.31(2)

F(1)Sn(1)F(2)	88.2(1.6)
F(5)Sn(1)F(1)	74.3(2.4)
F(5)Sn(1)F(2)	81.9(2.3)

F(3)Sn(2)F(1)	79.8(1.7)
F(3)Sn(2)F(4)	77.8(1.7)
F(4)Sn(2)F(1)	77.6(1.7)

F(2) - Sn(3) - F(4)	77.9(1.2)
F(2) - Sn(3) - F(3)	79.5(1.7)
F(3)Sn(3)F(4)	81.5(1.7)

Sn(1) - F(1) - Sn(2)	123.3(1.8)
Sn(2) - F(3) - Sn(3)	127.9(1.9)
Sn(3) - F(2) - Sn(1)	134.6(1.8)

the other two coordinates.

In general the Sn-F bonds are within the experimental errors of those previously reported. The Sn-Br contacts are now all over 3.0Å but are still within experimental error of the previously reported values. In all cases the estimated standard deviations are lower in the refined structure.

The structure consists of infinite two dimensional fluorine bridged networks (see fig 5.19) running perpendicular to the a-axis. Three tin sites exist and are shown in fig.5.20. All of the tin atoms are in trigonal pyramidal environments. $Sn_{(2)}$ and $Sn_{(3)}$ are bonded to three bridging fluorine atoms at distances ranging between 2.09 - 2.24Å. $Sn_{(1)}$ is bonded to two bridging fluorines, at 2.09 and 2.14Å, and a terminal fluorine at 2.05Å.

The Sn-Br distances are longer than the longest bonding distances found in most structures. The longest bridging bonds in $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$ were only 3.248A whilst the ionic Sn-Br contact in KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O was 3.276A. The distance does lie well within the sum of the Van der Waals radii of 3.95A. Because of the trigonal pyramidal symmetry around the tin and the long distances to Br it can be concluded that these are indeed non-bonding contacts and Br exists as the anion sitting in a lattice space. Furthermore, there is no evidence from the stereochemistry of any interaction between the

- 324 --





(33)









Tin environments in Sn₃BrF₅

(0)

non-bonding electron pairs on the tin atoms and empty orbitals on Br.

REFERENCES, CHAPTER FIVE

(1) J.D.Donaldson and S.M.Grimes, Reviews on Silicon Germanium Tin and Lead Compounds, (1984), 8 (1), 1-132.

(2) J.D.Donaldson, Progress Inorganic Chem., (1967), 8,287.

(3) J.D.Donaldson and S.M.Grimes, J.Chem.Soc. Dalton Trans., (1984), 1301.

(4) P.A.Cusack, P.J.Smith, J.D.Donaldson and S.M.Grimes,
'A Bibliography of X-ray Crystal Structures of Tin
Compounds', I.T.R.I., publication No.588.

(5) R.R.McDonald, A.C.Larson and D.T.Cromer, Acta Cryst., 17 (1984), 1104.

(6) S.Vilminot, G.Perez, W.Granier and L.Cot. Rev.Chim.Miner., 17 (1980), 397.

(7) S.R.A.Bird, Ph.D. Thesis, London 1971.

(8) 'International Tables for X-ray Crystallography',Birmingham, Kynoch Press, 1962.

(9) J.D.Donaldson, D.R.Laughlin and D.C.Puxley,J.Chem.Soc. Dalton Trans., (1977), 865.

(0)

(10) D.C.Puxley, Ph.D. Thesis, London 1972.

(11) J.D.Donaldson, J.Silver, S.Hadjiminolis andS.D.Ross, J.Chem.Soc. Dalton Trans. 15 (1975), 1500.

(12) J.Donaldson and B.J.Senior, J.Chem.Soc.(A), (1969),2358.

(13) J.D.Donaldson, Private communication.

(14) P.Main, S.J.Fiske, S.E.Hull, L.Lessinger, G.Germain, J.P.Declerq, M.M.Woolfson, 'MULTAN-80 - A system of computer programs for the automatic solution of crystal structures from X-ray diffraction data.' 1980, Universities of York, England and Louvain, Belgium.

(15) G.M.Sheldrick, 'Program for Crystal Structure Determination', Univ of Cambridge (1975).

(16) S.M.Grimes, Ph.D. Thesis, The City University, 1982.

(17) B.Kamenar and D.Grdenic, J.Inorg.Nucl.Chem, 24 (1962), 1039.

(18) P.G.Harrison, B.J.Haylett and T.J.King, Inorg.Chim.Acta., 75 (1983), 265.

- 329 -

CHAPTER SIX

CONCLUSIONS.

Page

331

6.1 Conclusions.

6.2 Recomendations for future work. 339

References.

341

CHAPTER SIX

6.1 Conclusions

Much is known about tin(II) chemistry from X-ray crystallographic and Mössbauer spectroscopic studies [1,2] which are discussed earlier (see chapters 1,4 and 5). The work in this thesis has been concerned with four aspects of the way in which tin uses its electrons in bonding viz:

(1) Studies on the effects of non-bonding electron pairs on the stereochemistry of tin-halide derivatives.

(2) Studies on the effects of the presence of more than one halide in bonding in tin(II)compounds.

(3) Studies on the nature of the tin bromine bond in various structures.

(4) Studies in the use of Mössbauer spectroscopy to provide information on the ways in which tin uses its electrons in bonding especially at the surface of tin containing materials.

Structures of tin(II) materials fall into two broad categories based on the use of the non-bonding pair of electrons on tin. viz; structures where the non-bonding electron pairs are stereochemically active and structures where partial delocalisation of the non-bonding electrons occur. Structures from both of these categories have been examined in this work. In $CsSn_3Br_{1.5}F_{5.5}$, $KBr.KSnBr_2Cl.H_2O$, $KCl.KSnCl_3.H_2O$, and Sn_3BrF_5 the non bonding electron pairs are stereochemically active and no evidence is found for delocalisation. All of these structures have tin in distorted trigonal pyramidal sites. In the complexes $KCl.KSnCl_3.H_2O$ and $KBr.KSnBr_2Cl.H_2O$ tin exists as the complex anion $[SnX_3]^ (X=Br_nCl_{3-n})$.

The stereochemistry of RbSn2Br5 indicates that an interaction occurs between the non-bonding electron pairs on tin and the empty 4d orbitals on Br. This is part of a range of interactions involving the non-bonding electron pairs on the tin atom. These interactions range from dimer formation in Sn[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₂ [3] where the stability and structure of the material arises from interactions between the lone pair on one tin atom with the empty orbital on the other tin atom in the dimer; cluster formation of tin atoms around the halogens in $K_{3}Sn_{2}(SO_{4})_{3}X$, (X=Br,Cl) [4], where the distorting effects of the lone pair orbitals pointing towards the halide ions are removed by delocalisation of the tin electron density into cluster orbitals; to the population of solid state bands by non-bonding electrons that accounts for the optical and electrical properties of compounds such as CsSnBr3 and SnO [5.6], where the non-bonding orbitals are delocalisd into three dimensional and adjacent layer conduction bands respectively. This range of interactions can be expressed as follows:

- 332 -

00

Monomer \longrightarrow Dimer \longrightarrow Cluster \longrightarrow Polymer

Polymers can be further categorised into two and three dimensional polymers of which RbSn₂Br₅ is a two dimensional example.

In an extensive review of lone pair effects in tin(II) chemistry [2], Donaldson and Grimes were able to characterise the Sn-Cl, Sn-O and Sn-F bonds and calculate average bond distances. A gap exists in the knowledge of the nature of the Sn-Br bond, few structures have been determined which can be used to characterise this bond. All of the materials studied in the present work, except for KCl.KSnCl₃.H₂O had Sn-Br contacts, enabling a comparison of these lengths to be made:

	Si	nBr A	
^{Sn} 3 ^{BrF} 5	3.303.	3.305.	3.343
^{CsSn} 3 ^{Br} 1.5 ^F 5.5	3.248.	3.200	
RbSn ₂ Br ₅	3.105.	2.755	
KBr.KSnBr ₂ Cl.H ₂ O	2.700.	2.722.	2.731

Donaldson and Grimes were able to calculate an average bond length for Sn-Br of 2.81Å from the few known structures containing Sn-Br contacts. The average bonding contact in the present work is 2.92Å. The average Sn-Br bond length over all the known structures containing tin to bromine bonds can now be re-calculated at 2.87Å. Perhaps more useful than an average bonding distance for Sn-Br, is a set of bond ranges defining the nature of the tin to Br contact. Using the data in the present study these can be summarised as follows:

Covalent	2.7-2.9Å
Bridging and ionic	2.9-3.3Å
Non bonding	above 3.3A

The average of the Sn-Cl bonds in KBr.KSnBr₂Cl.H₂O and KCl.KSnCl3.H20 is 2.59Å. This compares with the value of 2.54 quoted by Donaldson and Grimes. Similarly the average Sn-F distance was 2.18Å, compared with 2.14Å in the review article. The [SnCl3] anion has long been thought to have irregularity in the bond lengths between Sn and Cl. In the original structure determination of KCl.KSnCl3.H20 [7] these lengths were 2.54 and 2.63 Å and these lengths have been assumed in various calculations of force constants and bond lengths in similar complexes [8]. In the present work atom positions in this structure have been refined and the lengths have been found to be much closer to each other than was originally reported (see fig.6.1). The situation is now much more similar to that observed in CsSnCl₃ (monoclinic) [9] where the Sn-Cl bonds range from 2.50 - 2.55Å. Because of the difference between the original and the refined bond lengths and the importance of this material in characterising the Sn-Cl covalent bond. a redetermination, using modern instrumentation is in

- 334 -



Original parameters

Refined parameters

Fig.6.1

Refinement of bond lengths in the $[SnCl_3]^-$ anion

- 336 -

progress.

Evidence from the structure determination of KBr.KSnBr2Cl.H2O shows that two of the sites on the trihalostannate(II) anion are interchangeable between Br and Cl and the existence of an anion of formula $[SnCl_nBr_{1+(2-n)}]^-$ has been verified. This material is isostructural with the known structures of KCl.KSnCl3.H20 [7] and NH4C1.NH4SnC13.H2O [10]. Mixed halide systems are not unknown, indeed the structures of several have been determined including SnFCl, Sn5Br4F6, Sn2ClF3 and Sn3BrF5 [11,12,13] the latter has also been refined in the present work. Until now, however, there has been little evidence for the interchangeability of halides at a particular site in any one material. The only previously completed structure determination of such a material is of Sn2Br0.65Cl3.35.3H2O [14] where two tin sites are in trigonal pyramidal environments and the Br and Cl sites are indistinguishable. The present work has observed interchangeability of Br and Cl in a number of materials. In the KSnBrnCl3-n.xH2O system a range of complexes have been prepared and a correlation has been observed between the Br content and the d(101) lattice spacing on the powder diffraction pattern indicating a corresponding lattice expansion. This has been supported by a trend in the Mössbauer parameters ie. an increase in quadrupole splitting with increase in Cl content. This as observed for the previously known system CsSn₂Br_nCl_{5-n} [6].

Mössbauer spectroscopy has been used to give information

on the tin environments of materials studied in this work. Generally, in the present work, complex tin(II) halides have been seen to have lower shifts than the parent tin(II) halides. This can be explained by a decrease in s-electron density at the tin nucleus caused by complex formation and is due to the increased s-electron involvement in covalent bonding (see fig.6.2). Chemical shifts of tin complexes are known to decrease with increase in electronegativity of the ligands [2], but this has proved difficult to follow in the mixed halide systems because the differences in shift ranges lie within the experimental error of the measurements. Similarly as the halogen to tin ratio increases in complexes, the shift decreases as is to be expected with increasing s-electron involvement in bonding.

Conversion electron Mössbauer spectroscopy (CEMS) has been shown to be a useful tool in the study of the surface environments of tin containing materials. Differences have been found between surface and bulk measurements for several tin containing samples including many normal tin(II) compounds. In many of these materials an increase in asymmetry at the surface occurs which is characterised by an increase in quadrupole splitting.

Grimes [15] has described 2 areas of study involving CEMS:

(1) Reactions of tin compounds at matrix surfaces.

- 337 -



- 338 -

b.

8.

 $\bigcirc = Sn$ $\bigcirc = X (halide)$

Fig.6.2

Change in Sn environment from situation in SnX_2 (a) to complex formation in $[SnX_3]^-$ (b) (2) Reactions at tin containing matrix surfaces.

Examples from both of these areas have been studied including several commercially important materials and some useful results have been obtained.

General trends have been observed in differences between room temperature and 80K transmission Mössbauer results. These have been related to the effects on bonding of reduction in temperature ie. an increase in shift and an increase in quadrupole splitting with decrease in temperature. The increase in quadrupole splitting is as expected because Δ is a temperature dependent quantity. The increase in shift can be explained by an increase in s-electron density at the tin nucleus resulting from a weakening of the Sn-L bonds ie. approaching greater ionic character.

6.2 Recommendations for future work.

The following areas are recommended for further study.

(1) Preparation of suitable crystals of $KSnCl_3$. H_2O , $KSnBr_3$. $2H_2O$ and $KSnBr_nCl_{3-n}$. H_2O to submit for X-ray structure determination.

(2) The redetermination of the structure of KCl.KSnCl₃.H₂O (in progress), to establish accurate bond lengths for the $[SnCl_3]^-$ anion.

- 339 -

(3) Further examination and structure determination of complexes of the type $MSn_3X_7.xH_20$.

(4) An examination of electrical properties of $RbSn_2Br_5$ and the structure determination of $NH_4Sn_2Br_4Cl$ and KSn_2Br_5 in order to see what effects the change of cation and of Cl substitution, have on the stereochemistry of these materials.

(5) An examination of the nature of sulphate incorporation into the lattice in dilute sulphuric acid solutions.

(7) Specific applications for CEMS especially with respect to industrially important materials.

(8) A detailed study of variation in shift and quadrupole splitting with temperature in various materials.

(9) The verification of differences observed between transmission and conversion electron Mössbauer spectra for normal tin(II) compounds.

- 340 -

REFERENCES, CHAPTER SIX

(1) J.D.Donaldson, Progress in Inorganic Chemistry,(1967), 8, 287.

(2) J.D.Donaldson and S.M.Grimes, Reviews on Silicon, Germanium, Tin and Lead Compounds, (1984), 8 (1), 1-132.

(3) J.D.Cotton, P.J.Davidson, M.F.Lappert, J.D.Donaldson and J.Silver, J.Chem.Soc. Dalton Trans., (1976), 2286.

(4) J.D.Donaldson and S.M.Grimes, J.Chem.Soc. Dalton Trans., (1984), 1301.

(5) S.J.Clark, C.D.Flint and J.D.Donaldson, J.Phys. Chem. Solids, (1981), 42, 133.

(6) J.D.Donaldson, J.Silver, S.Hadjiminolis and S.D.Ross,J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., (1975), 1500.

(7) B.Kamenar and D.Grdenic, J.Inorg. Nucl. Chem., (1962), 24, 1039.

(8) S.R.A.Bird, Ph.D. Thesis, London, 1971.

(9) F.R.Poulsen and S.E.Rasmussen, Acta. Chem. Scand., (1970), 24, 150.

(1)

- 342 -

Chim. Acta., (1983), 75, 265.

(11) C.Geneys, S.Vilminot and L.Cot. Acta Cryst., B32, (1976), 3199.

(12) C.Geneys and S.Vilminot, Rev. Chim. Miner., (1977),14, 395.

(13) J.D.Donaldson, D.R.Laughlin and D.C.Puxley, J.Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., (1977) 865.

(14) S.Vilminot, W.Granier, Z.Al Oraibi, and L.Cot, Acta Cryst., B35, (1979), 2863.

(15) S.M.Grimes, Ph.D. Thesis, The City University London, 1982.

APPENDIX ONE

- 343 -

COMPUTER PROGRAMS

		Page
MOLPLOT	(Molecular plotting program)	344
MOL1	(Input/output routines)	346
MOL2	(Bond length & angle routines)	348
MOL3	(Plot routines)	350
MOL4	(Edit facility)	353
MOL5	(Plot options facility)	356
MOL6	(Screen dump)	358
MOL7	(symmetry generating routines)	359
TPLOT	(Potentiometric halide anal. plots)	362
X-RAY	(X-ray powder diffraction utility)	365

>LIST MOLPLOT 10 REM MOLPLOT MkIII (DISC VERSION) 20 REM I. Abrahams 30 REM Original version Dec. 1984 40 REM Last update I.A 26/11/85 50 LOMEM=&3000 60 H=0 70 T%=TOP 80 *FX6,10 90 ONERROR CLS:REPORT:PRINT ''''' PRESS ANY KEY TO C ONTINUE": A\$=GET\$: GOTO220 100 DIMadd 40 110 N%=100:r%=10:pr%=0:lab%=0:f=1 120 DIMa(3,3), T\$(N%), x(N%), y(N%), z(N%), X1(3), Y1(3), Z1 (3), X(N%), Y(N%), Z(N%), TY%(N%), u(8), v(8), w(8), r(r%), xs\$(16), ys\$(16), zs\$(16) 130 MODE6 140 PROCdefault 150 PROCOVLY(1): PROCinput 160 H=1 170 ONERROR CLS:REPORT:PRINT'''''''PRESS ANY KEY TO C ONTINUE": A\$=GET\$: GOT0220 180 VDU3 190 PROCOVLY(3): PROCsinangle: PROCcentre 200 K=0 210 IFK=80 PROCOVLY(6):PROCsetdump:VDU2:CALLdump:VDU3 220 K=0 225 VDU3 230 MODE6 240 g%=TRUE 250 PRINTTAB(17,1) "MOLPLOT" 260 PRINTTAB(5,3)"I ----- Input new data" 270 PRINTTAB(5,5) "A ----- Angles between atoms" 280 PRINTTAB(5,7)"B ----- Bond lengths" 290 PRINTTAB(5,11) "R ----- Reset plot parameters" 300 PRINTTAB(5,9)"G ----- Symmetry generator" 310 PRINTTAB(5,13) "P ----- Plot" 320 PRINTTAB(5,15) "K ----- Key plot" 330 FRINTTAB(5,17) "U ----- Unit cell plot" 340 PRINTTAB(5,19)"E ----- Edit data" 350 PRINTTAB(5,21)"S ----- Save data file" 360 in\$=FNmenuin("A","B","I","R","P","K","U","E","S", "*","G") 370 IFin\$="I" PROCOVLY(1):PROCinput:PROCsinangle:PROC centre 380 IFin\$="A" PROCOVLY(2):PROCangles 390 IFin\$="B" PROCOVLY(2):PROCbond 400 IFin\$="R" PROCOVLY(5):PROCreset 410 IFg%=TRUE GOTO430 420 IFq%=FALSE AND in\$="F" OR in\$="K" ORin\$="U" :MODE n%:PROCproc:GOT0370 430 IFin\$="P" OR in\$="K" ORin\$="U" PROCOVLY(3):MODEn% : g%=FALSE: PROCproc: GOT0410 440 IFin\$="E" PROCOVLY(4):PROCedit:PROCOVLY(3):PROCsi nangle:PROCcentre 450 IFin\$="S" PROCOVLY(1):PROCfilesave 460 IFin\$="G" PROCOVLY(7):PROCsymgen

- 345 -

```
470 IFASC(in$)=10 PRINT"*"::INPUT""$add:X%=add MOD 2
56: Y%=add DIV 256: CLS: CALL &FFF7: A$=GET$
  480 GOT0210
  490 END
  500 DEFPROCdefault
  510 n%=4:SF%=50:cut=5:rot=10:enl=10:theta=90:psi=20:p
hi = 15
  520 FORI%=1T05
  530 r(I\%) = (6 - I\%) / 10
  540 r(1\%+5)=0.25
  550 NEXT
  560 ENDPROC
  570 DEFFNinput(a$,b$)
  580 REPEAT: Ans$=CHR$(GET AND &5F)
  590
       UNTILAns$=a$ OR Ans$=h$
  600 =Ans$
  610 DEFFNmenuin (a$,b$,c$,d$,e$,f$,q$,h$,i$,j$,k$)
  620 REPEAT: in$=CHR$(GET AND &5F)
        UNTILin$=a$ ORin$=b$ ORin$=c$ ORin$=d$ ORin$=e$ .
  630
 ORin$=f$ ORin$=q$ ORin$=h$ ORin$=i$ ORin$=j$ ORin$=k$
ORASC(in$)=10
  640 =in$
  650 DEF PROCOVLY(OV%)
  660 F$="MOL"+STR$(OV%)
  670 OSCLI"LOAD "+F$+" "+STR$~(T%-2)
  680 ENDPROC
  690 DEFPROC3Dcoords(i%)
  700 xa=(x(i%)*A)+(CB*z(i%)*C)+(CG*y(i%)*B)
  710 yb=y(i%)*B*SG*SA
```

```
720 zc=(z(i\%)*C*SB)+(y(i\%)*B*CA)
```

```
730 ENDPROC
```

>LIST MOL 1 2000 2010 DEFPROCinput 2015 CLS 2020 PRINTTAB(0,5) "Do you wish to type in new data (Y/ N) ?" 2030 Ans\$=FNinput("Y"."N") 2040 IFAns\$="Y" PROCtypedata:ENDPROC 2050 CLS 2054 *D. 2055 PRINTTAB(13,3) "DATA FILE INPUT" 2060 PRINTTAB(0,5) "Tape or Disc (T/D) ?" 2070 Ans\$=FNinput("D", "T") 2080 IFAns\$="T" THEN *T. 2090 INPUTTAB(0,7)"Filename ? "TITL\$ 2100 IFTITL\$<>"*." GOTO2140 2110 VDU14 2120 *. 2125 A\$=GET\$:CLS:GOT02090 2130 VDU15 2140 PRINTTAB(0,10) "Searching" 2150 F=OPENIN TITL\$ 2160 INPUTEF, N% 2170 INPUT£F, A, B, C, alpha, beta, gamma 2180 FORI%=1TON% 2190 PRINTTAB(5,13)TITL\$;TAB(15)I% INPUT£F.T\$(I%),TY%(I%),×(I%),y(I%),z(I%) 2200 2210 NEXT 2220 CLOSE£F 2225 *D. 2230 ENDPROC 2240 DEFPROCtypedata 2250 CLS 2260 INPUTTAB(0,3) "Title ? "titl\$ 2270 FRINTTAB(0,5)"Input a,b,c,alpha,beta,gamma" 2280 INPUTTAB(0,7)A,B,C,alpha,beta,gamma 2290 INPUTTAB(0,9)"No. of atoms in unit cell ? "N% 2300 CLS 2310 PRINTTAB(0,3)"Input Atom, type no, X, Y, Z"' 2320 FORI%=1TON% INPUTTAB(0)T\$(I%),TY%(I%),x(I%),y(I%),z(I%) 2330 2340 NEXT 2345 ENDPROC 2350 DEFPROCfilesave 2355 *D. 2360 CLS 2365 PRINTTAB(13,3) "DATA FILE OUTPUT" 2370 PRINTTAB(0,5) "Tape or Disc (T/D) ?" 2380 Ans\$=FNinput("D", "T") 2390 IFAns\$="T" THEN *T. "TITL\$ 2410 INPUTTAB(0,7)"Filename ? 2420 PRINT: PRINT 2430 G=OPENOUT TITL\$ 2440 PRINTEG, N% 2450 PRINT£G, A, B, C, alpha, beta, gamma 2460 FORIX=1TON%

- 347 -

2470 PRINTTAB(5,13)TITL\$;TAB(15)I% 2480 PRINT£G,T\$(I%),TY%(I%),x(I%),y(I%),z(I%) 2490 NEXT 2500 CLOSE£G 2505 *D. 2510 ENDPROC >LIST MOL 2 2000 DEFFRDCbond 2010 CLS 2020 PRINTTAB(0,3) "Do you wish to calculate specific bonds ?" 2030 Ans\$=FNinput("Y", "N") 2040 IFAns\$="N" Bo%=0:GOTO2080 2050 INPUTTAB(0,7)"Input Atom from, to "Fro\$, to\$ 2060 PROCsinglebond 2070 GOT02010 2080 CLS: PRINTTAB(0,5) "Do you want a copy of all the b ond lengths ?" 2090 Ans\$=FNinput("Y", "N"): IFAns\$="N" ENDPROC 2100 VDU2 2110 t=15 2120 PRINTTAB(4+t)"Bonded atoms"TAB(25+t)"Distance /An g. " ' ' 2130 FORIX=1TONX-1 2140 @%=10 2145 PROC3Dcoords(1%) X=xa:Y=yb:Z=zc 2150 2180 FORJ%=I%+1TON% 2185 PROC3Dcoords(J%) 2190 X2=xa:Y2=yb:Z2=zc 2220 d=SQR((X2-X)^2+(Y2-Y)^2+(Z2-Z)^2) 2230 IFd<5 @%=&2030A:PRINTTAB(0+t)T\$(I%);TAB(7+t)" ";T\$(J%);TAB(30+t);d ----2240 @%=10 NEXT: NEXT 2250 2260 VDU3 2270 ENDPROC 2280 DEFPROCanoles 2290 VDU2:S=15 2300 PRINTTAB(S+8)"ATOMS"TAB(25+S)"ANGLE IN DEG.":PRIN Т 2310 FORI%=1TON%-2 2320 FORJ%=1%+1TON%-1 FORK%=J%+1TON% 2330 2340 PROC3Dcoords(I%) 2350 X=xa:Y=yb:Z=zc 2360 PROC3Dcoords (J%) 2370 X2=xa:Y2=yb:Z2=zc 2380 PROC3Dcoords (K%) X3=xa:Y3=yb:Z3=zc 2390 $d=SQR((X2-X)^2+(Y2-Y)^2+(Z2-Z)^2)$ 2430 $d1 = SOR((X3 - X)^2 + (Y3 - Y)^2 + (Z3 - Z)^2)$ 2440 d3=SQR((X3-X2)^2+(Y3-Y2)^2+(Z3-Z2)^2) 2450 angle=DEGACS((d*d+d1*d1-d3*d3)/(2*d*d1)) 2460 2470 angle2=DEGACS((d3*d3+d*d-d1*d1)/(2*d3*d)) angle3=DEGACS((d1*d1+d3*d3-d*d)/(2*d1*d3)) 2480 b%=29:b2%=29:b3%=29 2490 2500 @%=&20200 IFangle<100 b%=30 2510 2520 IFangle<10 b%=31 IFangle2<100 b2%=30 2530 2540 IFangle2<10 b2%=31 2550 IFangle3<100 b3%=30 2560 IFangle3<10 b3%=31 2570 IFd<5 AND d1<5 PRINTTAB(S)T\$(J%); TAB(5+S) "-

- "T\$(I%); TAB(13+S)"-- "T\$(K%) TAB(b%+S) angle

- 349 -

2 580	IFd<5 ANDd3<5 PRINTTAB(S)T\$(I%);TAB(5+S)"
"T\$ (J%);TAB(13+S)" "T\$(K%);TAB(b2%+S)angle2
2590	IFd1<5 AND d3<5 PRINTTAB(S)T\$(I%);TAB(5+S)"
"Ts	\$(K%);TAB(13+S)" "T\$(J%)TAB(b3%+S)angle3
2600	@%=10
2610	NEXT:NEXT:VDU3
2620	ENDPROC
2630	DEFPROCsinglebond
2640	I%=0:we%=0
2650	REPEAT
2660	I%=I%+1
2670	IFFro\$=T\$(I/) we/=1
2680	UNTILwe%=1
2690	PROC3Dcoords(I%)
2700	X=xa:Y=yb:Z=zc
2720	J%=0:we%=0
2730	REPEAT
2740	J%=J%+1
2750	IFto\$=T\$(J%) we%=1
2760	UNTILwe%=1
2770	PROC3Dcoords(J%)
2780	X2=xa:Y2=yb:Z2=zc
2800	d=SQR((X2-X)^2+(Y2-Y)^2+(Z2-Z)^2)
2810	@%=&2030A
2820	PRINT' TAB(5)T\$(I%);TAB(12)" ";T\$(J%);TAB(
35);d	
2830	@%=10
2840	Ans\$=GET\$
2850	ENDPROC

.

(T)

```
>LIST MOL 3
  208 DEFPROCkey
2010 *FX4.1
2020 K=GET
2030 *FX4,0
2040 IFK=136 psi=psi-rot
2050 IFK=137 psi=psi+rot
2060 IFK=138 theta=theta+rot
2070 IFK=139 theta=theta-rot
2080 IFK=69 SF%=SF%+en1
2090 IFK=82SF%=SF%-en1
2100 IFK=80 in$="":ENDPROC
2110 IFK=60 phi=phi+rot
2120 IFK=62 phi=phi-rot
2130 IFK>139 ORK<136 ANDK<>60 AND K<>62 ANDK<>80 ANDK<
>82 ANDK<>69 in$=""
2140 ENDPROC
2150
2160 DEFPROCrotationmatrix
2170 SP=SINRADpsi
2180 Sp=SINRADphi
2190 ST=SINRADtheta
2200 CP=COSRADpsi
2210 Cp=COSRADphi
2220 CT=COSRADtheta
2230 a(1,1)=(Cp*CP)-(SP*Sp*ST)
2240 a(1,2)=CT*Sp
2250 a(1,3)=(Cp*SP)+(Sp*ST*CP)
2260 a(2,1)=(-Sp*CP)-(SP*Cp*ST)
2270 a(2,2)=Cp*CT
2280 a(2,3) = (Cp * ST) - (SP * Sp)
2290 a(3,1)=-SP*CT
2300 a(3,2) = -ST
2310 a(3,3)=CT*CP
2320 ENDPROC
2330
2340 DEFPROCplot
2350 VDU19,0,4;0;
2360 FORI%=1TON%
2370
        r=r(TY%(I%))*SF%
2380
        y1=Y(I''_{x})-r-1
        REPEAT
2390
2400
          y1 = y1 + 1
          root=(r*r)-((y1-Y(I%))^2)
2410
2420
          IFroot<0 root=root*-1
2430
          x1=X(I%)+SOR(root)
2440
          IFy1=Y(I%)-r MOVEx1,y1
2450
          DRAWx1,y1
2460
          UNTILy1>Y(I%)+r
2470
        y1 = Y(I'') - r - 1
        REPEAT
2480
2490
          y1=y1+1
2500
          root=(r*r)-((y1-Y(I%))^2)
2510
          IFroot<0 root=root*-1
2520
          \times 1 = X(I\%) - SQR(root)
2530
          IFy1=Y(I%)-r MOVEx1,y1
2540
          DRAWx1,y1
2550
          UNTILy1>Y(I%)+r
2560
        NEXT
```

```
\gamma\gamma
```

2570 ENDPROC 2580 DEFPROCcentrexyz 2590 FORI%=1TOO% $X(I\%) = (X(I\%) - X_C)$ 2600 $Y(I\%) = (Y(I\%) - Y_{C})$ 2610 2620 $Z(I'') = (Z(I'') - Z_C)$ 2630 NEXT 2640 ENDPROC 2650 2660 DEFPROCunitcell 2670 FORI%=1T08 2680 IFI%MOD2 v(I%)=B ELSEv(I%)=0 2690 IFI%<5 u(I%)=0 ELSEu(I%)=A 2700 w(I%)=C:NEXT 2710 w(1)=0:w(2)=0:w(5)=0:w(8)=02720 0%=8 2730 ENDPROC 2740 DEFPROCmultiply 2750 FORJ%=1TOQ% 2760 FORI%=1T03 2770 X1(I') = X(J') * a(1, I')2780 Y1(I%) = Y(J%) * a(2, I%)2790 Z1(I/) = Z(J/) * a(3, I/)2800 NEXT 2810 X(J%)=(X1(1)+Y1(1)+Z1(1))*SF%+640 2820 Y(J%) = (X1(2)+Y1(2)+Z1(2))*SF%+512 2830 Z(J%)=(X1(3)+Y1(3)+Z1(3))*SF% 2840 NEXT 2850 ENDPROC 2860 DEFPROCunitcellplot 2870 VDU5 2880 MOVEX(1),Y(1):DRAWX(2),Y(2):DRAWX(4),Y(4):DRAWX(3),Y(3):DRAWX(1),Y(1):DRAWX(5),Y(5):DRAWX(8),Y(8):DRAWX(2),Y(2) 2890 MOVEX(3),Y(3):DRAWX(7),Y(7):DRAWX(6),Y(6):DRAWX(4), Y(4): MOVEX(6), Y(6): DRAWX(8), Y(8): MOVEX(7), Y(7): DRAWX(5),Y(5) 2900 VDU4 2910 ENDPROC 2920 DEFPROCsinangle 2930 SB=SINRADbeta 2940 SA=SINRADalpha 2950 SG=SINRADgamma 2960 CB=COSRADbeta 2970 CA=COSRADalpha 2980 CG=COSRADgamma 2982 SIA=SINRAD(180-alpha) 2983 SIB=SINRAD(180-beta) 2984 SIG=SINRAD(180-gamma) 2990 ENDPROC 3000 DEFPROCsetxyz 3010 FORI%=1TON% 3020 PROC3Dcoords(I%) X(IX) = xa: Y(IX) = yb: Z(IX) = zc3030 3040 NEXT 3050 ENDPROC 3060 DEFPROCcentre 3070 Xc=(A/2)+((C/2)*CB)+((B/2)*CG) 3080 Yc=(B/2)*SG*SA

```
3090 Zc=((C/2)*SB)+((B/2)*CA)
3100 ENDPROC
3110 DEFPROCsetunitcell
3120 FORI%=1TOQ%
3130
        Z(I\%) = (w(I\%) * SB) + (v(I\%) * CA)
3140
        X(I/) = u(I/) + (CB * w(I/)) + (v(I/) * CG)
3150
        Y(I\%) = \vee (I\%) * SG * SA
       NEXT
3160
3170 ENDPROC
3180 DEFPROCkeyplot
3190 VDU5
3200 FORI%=1TON%
3210 MOVEX(I%)-16,Y(I%)+16
3220
        PRINTT$(I%)
3230
       NEXT
3240 VDU4
3250 ENDPROC
3260 DEFPROClabel
3270 0%=4
3280 u(1) = A/2: v(1) = -f: w(1) = -f
3290 u(2) = -f: v(2) = B/2: w(2) = -f
3300 u(3)=-f:v(3)=-f:w(3)=C/2
3310 u(4) = -f: v(4) = -f: w(4) = -f
3320 ENDPROC
3330 DEFPROCplab
3340 VDU5
3350 FORI%=1T03
3360
        MOVEX(I%)-16,Y(I%)-16
3370
        VDU(96+1%)
3380 NEXT
3390 MOVEX(4)-16,Y(4)-16
3400 VDU79,4
3410 ENDPROC
3420 DEFPROCproc
3430 PROCrotationmatrix
3440 PROCunitcell:PROCsetunitcell:PROCcentrexyz:PROCmu
ltiply:PROCunitcellplot
3450 IFlab%=0 G0T03470
3460 PROClabel: PROCsetunitcell: PROCcentrexyz: PROCmulti
ply:PROCplab
3470 IFin$="U" PROCkey:ENDPROC
3480 Q%=N%:PROCsetxyz:PROCcentrexyz:PROCmultiply
3490 IFin$="K" PROCkeyplot ELSE PROCplot
3500 PROCkey
```

3510 ENDPROC

```
>LIST
     MOL 4
 2000
        DEFPROCedit:REPEAT
2010
          CLS:@%=10:ed%=0
 2020
          PRINTTAB(13,2) "EDIT FACILITY"
2030
          PRINTTAB(8,5)"E ---- Examine all data"
2040
          PRINTTAB(8,7)"T ---- Change title"
2050
          PRINTTAB(8,9)"S ---- Atom search"
          PRINTTAB(8,11)"A ---- Add to atom list"
 2060
2070
          PRINTTAB(8,13)"D ----- Delete from list"
          PRINTTAB(8,15)"U ----- Unit cell parameters"
2080
          PRINTTAB(8,17)"Q ----- Quit edit facility"
2090
          PRINTTAB(8,19)"R ----- Relabel sequentially"
2095
          PRINTTAB(10,21) "Please enter option"
2100
2110
          ONERRORGOTO170
2113
          IFed%=1 PRINT'"(C/E/T/S/A/D/U/R/Q/M)"
2115
          in$=FNmenuin("A","S","E","D","U","T","Q","M",
"C", "R", "A")
         IFin$="A" GOT02790
2120
        IFin$="S" GOT02380
2130
2140
        IFin$="E" GOTO2610
        IFin$="D" GOT02980
 2150
2160
          IFin$="U" GOT02190
        IFin$="T" GOT02910
2170
        IFin$="M" GOTO2010
2175
        IFin$="C" GOT02570
2177
2178
          IFin$="R" PROCautorelabel
2180
          UNTILin$="Q":ENDPROC
2190
        @%=&20301
2200
        CLS
2210
        PRINTTAB(0,3)"a
                              ="TAB(10)A
2220
       PRINTTAB(0,5)"b
                             ="TAB(10)B
                          ="TAB(10)C
2230
        PRINTTAB(0,7)"c
       PRINTTAB(0,9)"alpha ="TAB(10)alpha
2240
        PRINTTAB(0,11)"beta
2250
                              ="TAB(10)beta
        PRINTTAB(0,13)"gamma ="TAB(10)gamma
2260
2270
        PRINTTAB(0,17) "Do you wish to alter any of the
above ?"
        Ans$=FNinput("Y","N")
 2280
 2290
        IFAns$="N" PROCunitcell:GOTO2010
2300
        INPUTTAB(0,20) "Input parameter, new value
                                                     "p$
, NV
        IFp$="A" OR p$="a" A=NV
 2310
        IFp$="B" OR p$="b" B=NV
 2320
        IFp$="C" OR p$="c" C=NV
2330
        IFp$="ALPHA" ORp$="alpha" alpha=NV
2340
        IFp$="BETA" ORp$="beta" beta=NV
2350
        IFp$="GAMMA" ORp$="gamma" gamma=NV
2360
2370
        GOT02190
2380
        CLS
 2385
        ed%=1
2390
        PRINTTAB(0,3) "Do you wish to search for a parti
cular
       atom ?
              11
 2400
       Ans$=FNinput("Y", "N")
        IFAns$="N" GOTO2010
 2410
                                                  "AT$
 2420
        INPUTTAB(0,7) "Atom to be searched for ?
```

 \hat{m}

2440 FORIX=1TON% 2450 IFAT\$=T\$(I%) PRINT:@%=&00001:PRINTI%:TAB(3)T\$ (1%):" ";TY%(I%);:@%=&20401:PRINT" ";×(I%);" ";y(1%);" ";z(I%) 2460 NEXT 2470 VDU15 2480 GOT02110 ONERRORGOTO170 2490 2517 ed%=1 2570 INPUT' "Which no. atom ? "at% 2580 PRINT'"Input new atom, type no., X, Y, Z" 2590 INPUTT\$(at%), TY%(at%), x(at%), y(at%), z(at%) 2600 GOT02110 2610 CLS 2615 IFed%=1G0T02650 2617 ed%=1 2620 PRINTTAB(0,3) "Do you wish to examine all the da ta ?" 2630 Ans\$=FNinput("Y", "N") 2640 IFAns\$="N" GOTO2010 2650 PRINTTAB(0,3) "Would you like a hard copy ? 2660 Ans\$=FNinput("Y", "N") IFAns\$="Y" VDU2:pr%=20:GOT02710 2670 PRINTTAB(0,3) "Press ESCAPE to stop or SHIFT to 2680 proceed" 2690 ONERRORGOTO2110 2700 VDU14 2710 FORI%=1TON% 2720 IFpr%=0 PRINT @%=&00001:PRINT'TAB(pr%)I%;TAB(3+pr%)T\$(I%);T 2730 AB(9+pr%)TY%(I%);:@%=&20401:PRINTSPC3;x(I%);SPC3;y(I%); SPC3;z(1%) 2740 NEXT 2750 VDU3 pr%=0 2760 2770 VDU15 2780 GOT02110 2790 IFed%=0 CLS: PRINT' 2795 ed%=1 PRINT"Do you wish to add to the list ?" 2800 Ans\$=FNinput("Y", "N") 2810 2820 IFAns\$="N" GOTO2010 2830 PRINT'"Input new atom, type no., X, Y, Z . Put in a negative value to stop. " I%=0:@%=10 2840 REPEAT 2850 2860 N%=N%+1 I%=I%+1 2870 @%=&000001:PRINT'I%;:@%=10:INPUTTAB(5)T\$(N%),T 2880 $Y_{X}(N_{X}), \times (N_{X}), y(N_{X}), z(N_{X})$ 2890 UNTIL LEFT\$(T\$(N%),1)="-":N%=N%-1 2900 GOT02110 2910 CLS PRINTTAB(0,5) "Current title is ";TITL\$ 2920 PRINTTAB(0,9) "Do you wish to alter this ?" 2930

2430

VDU14

2940	Ans\$=FNinput("Y","N")
2950	IFAns\$="N" GOTO2010
2960	PRINTTAB(0,11)"Flease enter new title"
2970	INPUTTAB(0,13)TITL\$
2975	GOT02910
2980	IFed%=0 CLS:PRINT'''
2985	ed%=1
2990	PRINT"Do you wish to delete any atoms ?"
3000	Ans\$=FNinput("Y", "N")
3010	IFAns\$="N" GOTO2010
3020	INPUT'"Please input no. of atom to be deleted
'1%	
3030	IFI%=N% GOTO3110
3040	FORJ%=I%TON%-1
3050	$\times (JX) = \times (JX+1)$
3060	y(J%) = y(J%+1)
3070	z(J%) = z(J%+1)
3080	TYX(JX) = TYX(JX+1)
3090	T\$(J%) = T\$(J%+1)
3100	NEXT
3110	N%=N%-1
3120	GOT02110
3130	DEFPROCunitcell
3140	FORI%=1TO8
3150	IFI%MOD2 \vee (I%)=B ELSE \vee (I%)=0
3160	IFI%<5 u(I%)=0 ELSEu(I%)=A
3170	w(I%) = C: NEXT
3180	w(1)=0:w(2)=0:w(5)=0:w(8)=0
3190	Q%=8
3200	ENDPROC
3210	DEFPROCautorelabel
3220	IFed%=0 CLS
3230	INPUT'"Please input atom to be sequentially"'"
abelled	"Т\$
3235	J=1
3240	FOR I=1TON%
3250	IFT\$(I)<>T\$ GOT03270
3260	T\$(I) = T\$(I) + CHR\$(96+J)
3265	J=J+1
3270	NEXT
3280	PRINT'"Do you want to relabel any more atoms ?"
3290	Ans\$=FNinput("Y", "N")
3300	IFAns\$="Y" GOTO3230
3310	ENDPROC

period and and a set of the ball of the bare bare to the set

- 355 -

```
>LIST
LIST MOL 5
2000 DEFPROCreset
2010 REPEAT
2020
       CLS
2030
        @%=10
        PRINTTAB(13,2) "RESET FACILITY"
2040
        PRINTTAB(8,5)"A ----- Atomic radii"
2050
        PRINTTAB(8,7) "P ----- Plot angles"
2060
        PRINTTAB(8,9)"S ----- Scale factor"
2070
        PRINTTAB(8,11)"M ----- Screen mode"
2080
2090
        PRINTTAB(8,13) "D ----- Default settings".
2100
        PRINTTAB(8,15)"R ---- Rotation parameters"
        PRINTTAB(8,17)"L ----- Label plot"
2110
        PRINTTAB(8,19)"Q ----- Quit reset facility"
2120
2130
        PRINTTAB(10,22) "Please enter option"
        in$=FNmenuin("D", "M", "S", "A", "R", "P", "Q", "L", "A
2140
", "A", "A")
      IFin$="D" FROCdefault
2150
        IFin$="M" PROCmode
2160
2170 IFin$="S" PROCsf
2180 IFin$="A" PROCradii
        IFin$="R" PROCrotpar
2190
        IFin$="P" PROColoand
 2200
        IFin$="L" PROClabplot
 2210
2220
        UNTILin$="Q":ENDPROC
2230 DEFPROCmode
 2240 CLS
2250 @%=&00001:PRINTTAB(0,5)"Current plot screen mode
is mode ";n%
 2260 PRINTTAB(0,7) "Do you wish to alter this ?"
2270 Ans$=FNinput("Y", "N")
 2280 IFAns$="N" ENDPROC
2290 PRINTTAB(0,10) "Which mode would you like ?"
2300 Ans$=GET$
2310 IFAns$<>"0" AND Ans$<>"1" AND Ans$<>"2" AND Ans$<
>"4" AND Ans$<>"5" GOT02300
 2320 n%=VAL(Ans$)
 2330 GOT02240
2340 DEFPROCsf
 2350 CLS
2360 PRINTTAB(0,9)"Current Scale Factor is
                                              ": SF%
 2370 PRINTTAB(0,11)"Do you wish to alter this ?"
2380 Ans$=FNinput("Y", "N")
2390 IFAns$="N" ENDPROC
 2400 INPUTTAB(0,15)"Please input new value
                                               "SF%
 2410 GOT02350
2420 DEFPROCradii
 2430 CLS
 2440 FORI%=1TOr%
 2450
        @%=&00001:PRINT'I%;TAB(5)"r atom type ";I%;TAB(
20) "="::@%=&20201:PRINTTAB(25)r(I%)
 2460
        NEXT
 2470 PRINT'"Do you wish to alter any of the above ?"
 2480 Ans$=FNinput("Y", "N")
2490 IFAns$="N" ENDPROC
2500 INPUT'"Which no. radius do you wish to alter ? "
1%
 2510 PRINT
 2520 INPUT"Input new value
                              "r(1%)
 2530 GOT02430
 2540 DEFPROCploang
 2550 CLS
```
- 357 -

25 60 @%=&20202 2570 PRINTTAB(0,3)"Psi = ";psi;TAB(20)"degrees" 2580 PRINTTAB(0,5)"Phi = ";phi;TAB(20)"degrees" 2590 PRINTTAB(0,7) "Theta = ";theta;TAB(20) "degrees" 2600 INPUTTAB(0,9) "Do you wish to alter any of the abo ve ?" 2610 Ans\$=FNinput("Y", "N") 2620 IFAns\$="N" ENDPROC 2630 PRINTTAB(0,9)"Input value to be changed, new value ... 2640 INPUTTAB(0,11)C\$,NV 2650 IFLEFT\$(C\$,1)="T" ORLEFT\$(C\$,1)="t" theta=NV 2660 IFLEFT\$(C\$,2)="PH" ORLEFT\$(C\$,2)="Ph" ORLEFT\$(C\$, 2)="ph" ORLEFT\$(C\$,2)="pH" phi=NV 2670 IFLEFT\$(C\$,2)="PS" ORLEFT\$(C\$,2)="Ps" ORLEFT\$(C\$, 2)="ps" ORLEFT\$(C\$,2)="pS" psi=NV 2680 GOT02550 2690 DEFPROCrotoar 2700 CLS 2710 @%=&20102 2720 PRINTTAB(0,4) "The rotation increment is ";rot;" d eq." 2730 PRINTTAB(0,6) "Do you wish to alter this ?" 2740 Ans\$=FNinput("Y", "N") 2750 IFAns\$="N" GOT02780 2760 INPUTTAB(0.8) "Please enter new value "rot 2770 GOT02700 2780 PRINTTAB(0,8) "The scale increment is "enl 2790 PRINTTAB(0,10) "Do you wish to alter this?" 2800 Ans\$=FNinput("Y", "N") 2810 IFAns\$="N" ENDPROC 2820 INPUTTAB(0,12) "Please enter new value "enl 2830 ENDPROC 2840 DEFFROClabplot 2850 CLS 2860 PRINTTAB(0,3)"Do you wish to label the plot ?" 2870 Ans\$=FNinput("Y", "N") 2880 IFAns\$="Y" lab%=1 ELSE1ab%=0

2890 ENDPROC

>LIST MOL 6

2000 DEFPROCsetdump

2010 xpointlo=&70:xpointhi=&71:ypointlo=&72:ypointhi=& 73:pixelvalue=&74:printerbyte=&75:bitcount=&76:mode0=&7 7:step=&78:OSWRCH=&FFEE:OSWORD=&FFF1:OSBYTE=&FFF4

2020 DIM space% 256

2030 FOR PASS=OTO 2 STEP 2

2040 F%=space%

2050 COPT PASS

2060 .dump LDA£&FF:STAypointlo:LDA£&3:STAypointhi

2070 LDA£&0:STAmode0:LDA£4:STAstep:LDA£135:JSROSBYTE :TYA:BNELineGap:INCmode0:LSRstep

2080 .LineGap LDA£27:JSRPrinter:LDA£65:JSRPrinter:LD A£8:JSRPrinter

2090 .NewLine LDA£0:STAxpointlo:STAxpointhi

2100 LDA£27:JSRPrinter:LDAmode0:BEQModeAbove0:LDA£76 :JSRPrinter:LDA£128:JSRPrinter:LDA£2:JSRPrinter:BNENewC olumn

2110 .ModeAboveO LDA£75:JSRPrinter:LDA£64:JSRPrinter :LDA£1:JSRPrinter

2120 .NewColumn LDA£8:STAbitcount

2130 .ReadPixel LDXfxpointlo:LDYf0:Ldaf9:JSROSWORD

2140 CLC:LDApixelvalue:BEQSetPrinterByte:SEC

2150 .SetPrinterByte ROLprinterbyte

2160 LDAypointlo:SEC:SBCf4:STAypointlo:BCSCheckColum nEnd:DECypointhi

2170 .CheckColumnEnd DECbitcount:LDAbitcount:BNERead Pixel

2180 .Print LDAprinterbyte:JSRPrinter

2190 .NextColumn CLC:LDAstep:ADCxpointlo:STAxpointlo :BCCCheckLineEnd:INCxpointhi

2200 .CheckLineEnd LDAxpointhi:CMPf5:BEQEndLine

2210 .ColumnTop LDA£32:CLC:ADCypointlo:STAypointlo: BCCNewColumn:INCypointhi:BCSNewColumn

2220 .EndLine LDA£10:JSRPrinter

2230 .CheckEnd LDAypointhi:BMIEnd:JMPNewLine

2240 .End LDA£12:JSRPrinter:LDA£27:JSRPrinter:LDA£64 :JSRPrinter:RTS

2250 .Printer PHA:LDA£1:JSR&FFEE:PLA:JSR&FFEE:RTS

2260 JNEXT PASS

2270 ENDPROC

MOL 7

```
>LIST DEFPROCsymgen
 2010 centro%=FALSE
 2020 cen%=FALSE
 2025 PROCnormalise
 2030 CLS
 2040 PRINTTAB(0,7)"Is the structure centred ? "
                       "N")
 2050 ans$=FNinput("Y",
 2060 IFans$="N" GOT02150
 2070 cen%=TRUE
 2080 INPUTTAB(0,9) "A B C or I centred ?"c$
 2090 IFc$="I" xs=0.5:ys=0.5:zs=0.5:GOT02150
 2100 IFc$="A" xs=0:ys=0.5:zs=0.5:60T02150
 2110 IFc$="B" xs=0.5:ys=0:zs=0.5:GOT02150
 2120 IFc$="C" xs=0.5:ys=0.5:zs=0:GOT02150
 2130 PRINTTAB(0,13) "Please input extra lattice point"
 2140 INPUTTAB(0,15) ""xs,ys,zs
 2150 CLS
 2160 PRINTTAB(0,5)" Is the structure centrosymmetric ?
...
 2170 ans$=FNinput("Y", "N")
 2180 IFans$="Y" centro%=TRUE
 2190 CLS
 2200 PRINTTAB(0,5) "Please input symmetry relations"
 2210 PRINTTAB(0,7) "Please note that X,Y,Z is assumed
and that relations generated by a centre of symmetry o
r a centred lattice need not be included"
 2220 INPUTTAB(0,12) "No of unique relations ? "eo%
 2225 IFeq%=0 GOT02265
 2230 FORI=2T0eq%+1
 2240
        @%=&000001
 2250
        PRINT'I-1;:INPUTTAB(5)""xs$(I),ys$(I),zs$(I)
 2260
        NEXT
 2265 xs$(1)="X":ys$(1)="Y":zs$(1)="Z"
 2267 eg/=eg/+1
 2270 @%=10
 2280 IFcen%=FALSE GOT02350
 2290 FORI=1T0eq%
 2300 xs$(eq%+I)=xs$(I)+"+"+STR$(xs)
       ys$(eq%+I)=ys$(I)+"+"+STR$(ys)
 2310
        zs$(eq%+I)=zs$(I)+"+"+STR$(zs)
 2320
 2330
       NEXT
 2340 eq/=eq/*2
 2350 J%=N%+1
 2360 FORI=1TON%
       FORH=1TOeq%
 2370
 2380
         X = X (I) : Y = Y (I) : Z = Z (I)
 2390
         T$(J%)=T$(I)
 2400 TY%(J%)=TY%(I)
 2410 x(J%)=EVAL(xs$(H))
      y(J%)=EVAL(ys$(H))
 2420
        z(J\%) = EVAL(zs = (H))
 2430
          PROCincell: PROCincell
 2440
          PROCdouble
 2443
 2450
          J%=J%+1
         NEXT:NEXT
 2460
 2470 N%=J%-1
```

```
2485 J%=N%+1
 2490 FORI=1TON%
 2500 T$(J%)=T$(I)
 2510
         TY''(J'') = TY''(I)
       \times (J\%) = 1 - \times (I)
 2520
 2530 y(J%)=1-y(I)
2540 z(J%)=1-z(I)
 2550 PROCincell: PROCincell
 2555 PROCdouble
2560
         J%=J%+1
 2570
         NEXT
 2580 N%=J%-1
 2585 PRINT"Total no. of atoms is ";N%
 2586 PRINT' "Do you wish to include atoms located" ' "on
the cell axes ?"
 2587 Ans$=FNinput("Y", "N")
 2588 IFAns$="Y" PROConaxes
 2590 ENDPROC
 2600 DEFPROCincell
 2610 IFx(J%)>=1 x(J%)=x(J%)-1
 2620 IFx(J%)<0 x(J%)=1+x(J%)
 2630 IFy(J%) < 0 y(J%) = 1 + y(J%)
 2640 IFy(J_{x}) \ge 1 y(J_{x}) = y(J_{x}) - 1
 2650 IFz(J%)>=1 z(J%)=z(J%)-1
 2660 IFz(J%)<0 z(J%)=1+z(J%)
 2670 ENDPROC
 2680 DEFPROCdouble
 2690 FORJ=1TOJ%-1
 2700 xe$=STR$(x(J%)):xf$=STR$(x(J))
 2710
         ye = STR (y(J'')) = yf = STR (y(J))
 2720
         ze$=STR$(z(J%)):zf$=STR$(z(J))
         IFLEFT$(xe$,6)=LEFT$(xf$,6) AND LEFT$(ye$,6)=LE
 2730
FT$(yf$,6) AND LEFT$(ze$,6)=LEFT$(zf$,6) J=J%:J%=J%-1
         NEXT
 2745
 2750 ENDPROC
 2760 DEFPROCnormalise
 2770 FORI=1TON%
         IF_{X}(I) < O \times (I) = 1 + \times (I)
 2780
         IFy(I) < O y(I) = 1 + y(I)
 2790
 2800
         IF_{Z}(I) < 0 \ Z(I) = 1 + Z(I)
 2802
         IF \times (I) > 1 \times (I) = \times (I) - 1
        IF_{Y}(I) > 1 Y(I) = Y(I) - 1
 2804
         IFz(I) > 1 z(I) = z(I) - 1
 2806
 2810
         NEXT
 2820 ENDPROC
 2900 DEFPROConaxes
 3000 J%=N%+1
 3010 FORI=1TON%
 3015 IFx(I)<>O GOTO3110
 3030
         T$(J') = T$(I)
 3040
         TY'_{(J'_{J})} = TY'_{(I)}
 3050 \times (J\%) = \times (I)
3060 \qquad y (J\%) = y (I)
         \times (J'') = \times (I) + 1
 3070
         z(J'_{*}) = z(I)
 3100
         J%=J%+1
 3110
         NEXT
 3120 N%=J%-1
 3130 J%=N%+1
```

- 361 -

3140	FORI=1TON%
3145	IFy(I)<>0 GOT03240
3160	T\$(J%)=T\$(I)
3170	TY%(J%)=TY%(I)
3180	$\times (J\%) = \times (I)$
3190	$y(J''_{2}) = y(I) + 1$
3200	z(J%) = z(I)
3230	J%=J%+1
3240	NEXT
3250	N%=J%-1
3260	J%=N%+1
3270	FORI=1TON%
3275	IFz(I)<>0 G0T03370
3290	T\$(J%)=T\$(I)
3300	TY%(J%) = TY%(I)
3310	$\times (J\%) = \times (I)$
3320	y(J%) = y(I)
3330	z(J%) = z(I) + 1
3360	J%=J%+1
3370	NEXT
3380	N%=J%-1
3390	ENDPROC

```
>LIST TPLOT
    5 MODE7
   10 REM"Titration curves
   20 DIM ml(50), mv(50), d1(50), d2(50)
   30 CLS:PRINT''"Input data as titre, emf"'"enter a -v
e titre to end input."
   40 I=0:@%=&2020A:REPEAT
   50
        INPUTml(I), m\vee(I): I=I+1
        UNTIL ml(I-1)<00R I=50:N%=I-2
   60
   70 PROCedit
   80 xs%=1000/ml(N%)DIV4*4:MODE1
  90 VDU29,100;500;:PROColdata
  100 MOVEO, -400: PLOT21, 0, 400: MOVEO, 0: PLOT21, 1000, 0
  110 PROCderiv: PROCplder: PROCfind
  120 INPUTTAB(0,2)"Do you wish to calculate percentage
s",ans$
  125 IFans$="Y" MODE7:PROCP
  127 IFans$="M" RUN
  128 IFans$="E" MODE7:GOT070
  129 END
  130
  140 DEF PROCpldata
  150 GCOLO, 3: FOR I=OTON%
        PLOT69, m1(I) *xs%, mv(I):NEXT
  160
  170 ENDPROC
  180
  190 DEF PROCderiv
 200 FOR I=1TO N%:dy=mv(I)-mv(I-1)
  210
        dx=ml(I)-ml(I-1):dl(I)=dy/dx
  220
        d2(I-1) = (d1(I) - d1(I-1))/dx
 230
      NEXT: ENDPROC
 240
 250 DEF PROCplder
 260 MOVEO, 0: GCOLO, 1
 270 FOR I=1TO N%:PLOT21,ml(I)*xs%,d1(I):NEXT
 280 MOVEO,0:GCOL0,2
 290 FOR I=OTO N%:DRAW m1(I)*xs%,d2(I):NEXT
 300 ENDPROC
 310
 320 DEF PROCfind
 330 I=0:T%=0:GCOL3,3:MOVE4,0
 340 REPEAT T%=T%+4
        UNTIL POINT(T%,0)=2 OR T%>1000
 350
 360 IF T%>1000 VDU4:ENDPROC
 370 IF POINT(T%+4,0)=2 OR POINT(T%-4,0)=2 GOT0340
 380 MOVE T%, -64: DRAW T%, 64
 390 VDU5:MOVE TX-32,I-300:PRINT;(TX+2)/xs/:" mls"
 400 I=I-32:GOT0340
 410
 420 DATA 0,-100,5,-99,6,-98,7,-97,8,-95
  430 DATA 9,-85,9.5,-70,9.75,-50
 440 DATA 10,0,10.25,50,10.5,70,11,85
 450 DATA 12,95,13,97,14,98,15,99,20,100
 460 DATA-1,0
 470 DATA 0,-66,1,-63,2,-61,3,-59,4,-56
 480 DATA 5,-54,6,-51,7,-47,8,-43,9,-39
 490 DATA 10,-33,11,-26,12,-15,13,5
```

- 363 -

```
500 DATA 14,179,15,248,16,265,17,275
510 DATA 18,282,19,288,20,293,-1,0
520
530 DEF PROCedit
540 VDU14,12
550 FOR I=OTO N%:PRINTI,ml(I),mv(I):NEXT
560 INPUT' "Change data Y/N ",A$
570 IF A$="N" ENDPROC ELSE IF A$<>"Y" GOTO 560
580 INPUT"Point,
                  new titre, new emf", I, ml(I), mv(I)
590 CLS: GOT0540
600
610 DEF PROCcopy
620 PROCass: VDU26, 2, 1, 8
630 FOR I%=1T010:VDU1.10:NEXT
640 FOR Y%=1024T0-12 STEP-28
650
       FOR X%=OT01279STEP4
         !X1o=X%+Y%*&10000
660
670
         ?count7=7:CALL dump:NEXT
680
       VDU1, 10:NEXT
690 FOR I%=1T020:VDU1, 10:NEXT
700 VDU1, 15, 3: ENDPROC
710
720 DEF PROCass: DIM S% 80
730 osword=&FFF1:oswrch=&FFEE
740 Xlo=S%: Xhi=S%+1: Ylo=S%+2: Yhi=S%+3
750 value=S%+4:byte=S%+5:count7=S%+6
760 S%=S%+7:FOR 0=0T02 STEP2:P%=S%
770
      LOPT O
780
       .point:LDA £9
790
       LDX £X10 MOD256:LDY £X10 DIV256
800
       JSR osword:RTS
810
       .pchar:LDA f1:JSR oswrch
820
       LDA byte: JSR oswrch: RTS
830
       .incY:CLC:LDA Ylo:ADC £4
840
       STA Ylo: BCS incYhi: RTS
850
       .incYhi:INC Yhi:RTS
860
       .dump:LDA f1:STA byte
       .loop:ASL byte:JSR point
870
880
       LDA value: BEQ goon: EOR £&FF
890
       BEQ goon: INC byte
900
       .goon:JSR incY:DEC count7
910
       BNE loop: JSR pchar: RTS
920
       JNEXT
930 ENDPROC
940
1000 DEFPROCP
1010 CLS
1020 INPUTTAB(12,2) "V(Br) = "VBr
1030 INPUTTAB(12) "V(C1) = "VC1
1040 INPUT" STANDARDISATION = "STA
1050 INPUT"Wt OF SAMPLE (g) = "Wt
1060 IFVC1<>O VC1=VC1-VBr
1070 PBr=VBr*0.4*79.9*STA/Wt
1080 PC1=VC1*0.4*35.49*STA/Wt
1090 PBr%=PBr*1000:PC1%=PC1*1000
1100 PBr$=STR$(PBr%):PC1$=STR$(PC1%)
1110 PBr%=PBr%/10
```

1120 PC1%=PC1%/10

1130 IFVAL(RIGHT\$(PBr\$,1))>5 PBr%=PBr%+1

1140 IFVAL(RIGHT\$(PC1\$,1))>5 PC1%=PC1%+1

1150 PBr=PBr%/100

1160 FC1=FC1%/100

1170 PRINTTAB(7,14) "% Br is ";PBr;"%"

1180 PRINTTAB(7,16) "% C1 is ";PC1; "%"

1190 INPUTTAB(2,22)"Do you wish to return to the main programme ,continue calculating percentages or quit (M , C OR Q)",ans\$

1200 IFans\$="M" CLS:RUN

1210 IFans\$="C" GOTO1010

1220 ENDPROC

```
>LIST X-RAY
   10 REM X-ray powder
   20 REM diffractogram
   30 REM interpreter
   40 REM I. Abrahams
   50 REM 12th Oct. 84
   60 *FX6,10
   70 PROCsetdump
   80 lam=1.5418
   90 @%=&201401
  100 IN%=0:print$="0":ins$="0"
  110 N%=50
  120 DIMHT(N%), angle(N%), ht(N%), d(N%), theta(N%), int(N%
)
  130 ONERROR IFGO%=1 GOT0140 ELSE RENUMBER
  140 MODE6:ANS$="1":GO%=1:ans$="Y":GOT0170
  150 MODE6:GO%=0:@%=10
  160 PROCmenu
  170 IFANS$="1" PROCwhichinput
  180 PROCcalc
  190 IFANS$="2" PROCprintdata: VDU1, 27, 1, 80
  200 IFANS$="3" MODE4:PROCbarchart
  210 IFprint$="Y" OR print$="y" VDU2: PROCsettab: CALLdu
mp:VDU3:print$="0":VDU1,27,1,64
  220 IFANS$="4" PROCsave
  230 IFANS$="5" MODE6:PROCexamine
  240 IFANS$="6" PROCchange
  250 IFANS$="7" PROCspool
  260 GOT0150
  270 END
  280
  290
  300 DEFPROCcalc
  310 HTBIG=HT(1)
  320 FORI%=1TON%
  330 IFHT(IX)>HTBIG HTBIG=HT(IX)
  340
        NEXT
  350 FORIX=OTONX
  360 ht(I%)=HT(I%)*100/HTBIG
  370
        NEXT
  380 FORI%=1TON%
  390
        d(I%)=lam/(2*SIN(RAD(angle(I%)/2)))
  400
      NEXT
  410 ENDPROC
  420
  430 DEFPROCchange
  440 CLS
  450 PRINTTAB(9,3) "Height TAB(19,3) "2theta"
  460 VDU14
  470 FORI%=1TON%
  480 @%=&00902
     PRINTTAB(0,1%+4)1%;:@%=&20205:FRINTTAB(10)HT(1%
  490
);TAB(20)angle(I%):@%=10
  500
        NEXT
  510 VDU15
  520 PRINT: PRINT
  530 PRINT"Do you want to change any values ?
                                                **
  540 ans$=GET$
  550 IFans$="N"ORans$="n" ENDPROC
  560 IFans$<>"Y" ANDans$<>"y" GOTO 540
  570 PRINT: PRINT
```

```
580 INPUT"Which value would you like to change ?"I%
 590 PRINT
 600 INPUT"Enter new height, 2theta "HT(I%), angle(I%)
 610 IFI/>N/ N/=I/
 620 GOT0440
 630 ENDPROC
 640
 650 DEFPROCprintdata
 660 *FX6,10
 670 M%=0
 680 VDU2, 1, 27, 1, 77
 690 PRINT:PRINT:PRINTTAB(M%+((96-M%)/2)-16)"X-ray Pow
der Diffraction Pattern"
  700 PRINTTAB((M%+((96-M%)/2))-(LEN(tit1$)/2))tit1$
  710 M%=M%+((96-M%)/2):M%=M%-48
  720 FRINT:FRINT:FRINTTAB(M%+28)"Rel. Int."TAB(M%+46)"
2theta"TAB(M%+65)"d"
  730 PRINT
  740 FORI/=1TON/
 750
       ht%=ht(I%)*10
       ht$=STR$(ht(I%))
  760
  770
       ht%=ht%/10
  780 IFVAL(RIGHT$(ht$,1))>=5 ht%=ht%+1
 790 @%=&00303
       PRINTTAB(M%+30)ht%;:@%=&20101:PRINTTAB(M%+47)an
 800
gle(I%)::@%=&20301:PRINTTAB(M%+63):d(I%)
       NEXT
  810
  820 VDU3
 830 ENDPROC
  840
  850 DEFPROCbarchart
  860 VDU19,0,4
  870 CLS
  880 PRINT:PRINT:PRINTTAB(4)"X-ray Powder Diffraction
Pattern"
  890 PRINT: PRINTTAB(20-(LEN(titl$)/2))titl$
  900 MOVE70,256
  910 DRAW1210,256
  920 FORIX=1TONX
  930 int(I%)=((ht(I%)/100)*500)+256
  940 theta(I%)=1210-(angle(I%)*19)
  950 MOVEtheta(I%), int(I%)
  960 DRAWtheta(1%),256
  970 NEXT
  980 @%=&000901
  990 FORI%=0T06
 1000 MOVE70+(I%*190),256:DRAW70+(I%*190),240
 1010 PRINTTAB(37-(1%*6),25)1%*10
 1020
        NEXT
 1030 PRINTTAB(0,13)"Int."TAB(0,15)"(%)"
 1040 PRINTTAB(13,27)"2 Theta(deg.)"
 1050 FRINTTAB(0,30) "Do you want a hard copy ?"
 1060 print$=GET$
 1070 IFprint$<>"Y" ANDprint$<>"y" ANDprint$<>"N" ANDpr
int$<>"n" GOTO 1060
 1080 PRINTTAB(0,30)STRING$(27,CHR$32)
 1090 VDU20
 1100 ENDPROC
 1110
 1120 DEFPROCsetdump
```

1130 xpointlo=%70:xpointhi=%71:ypointlo=%72:ypointhi=% 73:pixelvalue=%74:printerbyte=%75:bitcount=%76:mode0=%7 7:step=%78:OSWRCH=%FFEE:OSWORD=%FFF1:OSBYTE=%FFF4 1140 DIM space% 256 1150 FOR PASS=OTO 2 STEP 2 1160 F%=space% 1170 COPT PASS 1180 .dump LDAf&FF:STAypointlo:LDAf&3:STAypointhi 1190 LDA£&0:STAmode0:LDA£4:STAstep:LDA£135:JSROSBYTE :TYA:BNELineGap:INCmodeO:LSRstep .LineGap LDA£27:JSRPrinter:LDA£65:JSRPrinter:LD 1200 A£8: JSRPrinter 1210 .NewLine LDAf0:STAxpointlo:STAxpointhi 1220 LDA£27:JSRPrinter:LDAmode0:BEQModeAbove0:LDA£76 :JSRFrinter:LDA£128:JSRFrinter:LDA£2:JSRFrinter:BNENewC olumn .ModeAbove0 LDA£75:JSRPrinter:LDA£64:JSRPrinter 1230 :LDA£1:JSRPrinter .NewColumn LDA£8:STAbitcount 1240 1250 .ReadPixel LDXfxpointlo:LDYf0:Ldaf9:JSROSWORD 1260 CLC:LDApixelvalue:BEQSetPrinterByte:SEC 1270 .SetPrinterByte ROLprinterbyte 1280 LDAypointlo:SEC:SBCf4:STAypointlo:BCSCheckColum nEnd:DECypointhi 1290 .CheckColumnEnd DECbitcount:LDAbitcount:BNERead Pixel 1300 .Print LDAprinterbyte: JSRPrinter 1310 .NextColumn CLC:LDAstep:ADCxpointlo:STAxpointlo :BCCCheckLineEnd:INCxpointhi 1320 .CheckLineEnd LDAxpointhi:CMPf5:BEQEndLine 1330 .ColumnTop LDA£32:CLC:ADCypointlo:STAypointlo:: BCCNewColumn: INCypointhi: BCSNewColumn 1340 .EndLine LDA£10:JSRPrinter:LDA£9:JSRPrinter 1350 .CheckEnd LDAypointhi:BMIEnd:JMPNewLine 1360 .End LDAf12:JSRPrinter:LDAf27:JSRPrinter:LDAf64 :JSRPrinter:RTS 1370 .Printer PHA:LDAf1:JSR&FFEE:PLA:JSR&FFEE:RTS 1380 JNEXT PASS 1390 ENDPROC 1400 1410 DEFPROCmenu 1420 PRINT: PRINT: PRINTTAB(3) "X-RAY POWDER DIFFRACTION UTILITY" 1430 PRINTTAB(10,7)"1 Input data" 1440 PRINTTAB(10,9)"2 Print data" 1450 PRINTTAB(10,11)"3 Plot data" 1460 PRINTTAB(10,13)"4 Save data" 1470 PRINTTAB(10,15)"5 Examine data" 1480 PRINTTAB(10,17)"6 Edit data" 1490 FRINTTAB(5,22) "Please select your option" 1500 ANS\$=GET\$ 1510 IFVAL(ANS\$)>7 GOT01500 1520 VDU20 1530 ENDPROC 1540 1550 DEFPROCindata 1560 IFans\$<>"Y" ANDans\$<>"y" ENDPROC 1570 CLS 1580 I%=0 1590 INPUTTAB(0,2)"Title ? "titl\$

- 367 -

```
1600 PRINTTAB(0,4) "Please input Height(cm.),2theta (de
g.)"
 1610 @%=&201401
 1620 REPEAT
 1630
       I%=I%+1
 1640
        PRINTTAB(0, 1%+6) 1%;
 1650
        INPUTTAB(5)HT(I%), angle(I%)
        UNTILIX=N% OR HT(I%) = -1
 1660
 1670 N%=I%-1
 1680 PROCchange
 1690 ENDPROC
 1700
 1710 DEFPROCwhichinput
 1720 IFG0%=1 GOT01810
 1730 CLS
 1740 PRINTTAB(0,7)"Inputing new data will destroy the
     current file."
 1750 INPUTTAB(10,20) "ARE YOU SURE ?"ans$
 1760 IFans$<>"Y" AND ans$<>"y" ENDPROC
 1770 FORI%=1TON%+1
        HT(I%) = 0: angle(I%) = 0
 1780
 1790
        NEXT
 1800 N%=50
 1810 CLS
 1820 PRINTTAB(0,7) "Do you wish to :"
 1830 PRINTTAB(0,10)"(a) type in new data"
 1840 PRINTTAB(0,12)"(b) load an old data file."
 1850 ins$=GET$
 1860 IFins$<>"A" ANDins$<>"a" ANDins$<>"B" AND ins$<>"
Ь" GOTO 1850
 1870 IFins$="A"OR ins$="a" PROCindata:IN%=1
 1880 IFins$="B"OR ins$="b" PROCinput
 1890 ENDPROC
 1900
 1910 DEFPROCsettab
 1920 VDU1, 27, 1, 68, 1, 15, 1, 0
 1930 ENDPROC
 1940
 1950 DEFPROCsave
 1960 CLS
 1970 PRINTTAB(0,3) "Tape or disc (T/D)"
 1980 Ans$=GET$
 1990 IFAns$="D" ORAns$="d" GOT02020
 2000 IFAns$<>"T" ANDAns$<>"t" GOT01980
 2010 *T.
 2020 INPUTTAB(0,5)"Filename ?"Fnm$
 2030 G=OPENOUT Fnm$
 2040 PRINT£G, N%, titl$
 2050 FORIX=1TON%
 2060
        PRINTTAB(5,9)Fnm$; TAB(15) I%
        PRINT£G, HT(I%), angle(I%)
 2070
 2080
        NEXT
 2090 CLOSE£G
 2100 *D.
 2110 ENDPROC
 2120
 2130 DEFPROCinput
 2140 CLS
 2150 PRINTTAB(0,3)"Tape or disc (T/D)"
 2160 Ans$=GET$
 2170 IFAns$="D" ORAns$="d" GOT02200
```

- 368 -

- 369 -

2 180 IFAns\$<>"T" ANDAns\$<>"t" GOT02160 2190 *T. 2200 INPUTTAB(0,5)"Filename ?"Fnm\$ 2210 G=OPENIN Fnm\$ 2220 INPUT£G,N%,titl\$ 2230 FORI/=1TON/ PRINTTAB(5,9)Fnm\$; TAB(15) 1% 2240 2250 INFUT£G, HT(I%), angle(I%) 2260 NEXT 2270 CLOSE£G 2280 *D. 2290 ENDPROC 2300 2310 DEFPROCexamine 2320 CLS 2330 VDU14 2340 PRINTTAB(10,2)"Height";TAB(26,2)"2 theta" 2350 FORIX=1TONX 2360 PRINT 2370 @%=&00001 2380 PRINTTAB(0) I%;: @%=&20105: PRINTTAB(10) HT(I%);: @% =&20101:PRINTTAB(28) angle(1%) 2390 NEXT 2400 PRINT:PRINT:PRINTTAB(0)"Press any key to return t o menu" 2410 Ans\$=GET\$ 2420 VDU15 2430 ENDPROC 2440 DEFPROCspool 2445 ONERROROFF 2450 REM XRAY DIFFRACTION 2460 REM FILE CONVERTER 2465 CLS 2470 INPUT"TITLE ?"titl\$ 2480 CLS 2490 PRINT"Please insert destination disc" 2500 INPUT'"Drive number ?"DN% 2505 *DRIVEO 2510 IFDN%=0 G0T02530 2511 *DRIVE2 2530 *SPOOL VIEWIN 2540 PRINTtitl\$ 2550 PRINT 2560 PRINTTAB(10)"d(hkl)"TAB(26)"% Int." 2570 FOR I=1 TO N% @%=&20301:PRINTTAB(10)d(I);:@%=&00303:PRINTTAB(2580 28) ht(I) 2590 NEXT 2600 *SPOOL 2610 ENDPROC

APPENDIX TWO

- 370 -

CRYSTAL AND X-RAY STRUCTURE FACTOR DATA.

	Page
Table A2.1: Crystal data for	
[C6H5.CH:NH2]2 ^{SnC1} 6.CH3CO2C2H5	371
Table A2.2: Latest atomic parameters for	
[C ₆ H ₅ .CH:NH ₂] ⁺ ₂ SnCl ₆ .CH ₃ CO ₂ C ₂ H ₅	372
Table A2.3: Significant bond lengths and	
[C6H5.CH:NH2] ⁺ SnCl6.CH3CO2C2H5	373
Observed and calculated structure factors	
for RbSn2Br5	374
Observed and calculated structure factors	
for CsSn ₃ ^{Br} 1.5 ^F 5.5	376
Observed and calculated structure factors	
for KBr.KSnBr ₂ Cl.H ₂ O	379
Observed and calculated structure factors	
for KCl.KSnCl ₃ .H ₂ O	383
Observed and calculated structure factors	
for Sn ₃ BrF ₅	384
Observed and calculated structure factors	
for [C6H5.CH:NH2]2SnCl6.CH3CO2C2H5	386

Table A2.1

Crystal data for [C6H5.CH:NH2]2SnCl6.CH3CO2C2H5

Morphology	Brown needles
Crystal class	Monoclinic
	(or triclinic ?)
Cell Dimensions (Å)	a = 21.541
	b = 16.679
	c = 7.411
	$\alpha = \delta = 90^{\circ}$
	$\beta = 99.939^{\circ}$
Cell Volume (Å ³)	2622.68
Molecular Weight (g)	631.8
Z	4
D _c (gcm ⁻³)	1.6
D _o (gcm ⁻³)	1.9
^F (000)	1256
μ (MoK α) (cm ⁻¹)	14.79
Radiation	MoKα λ = 0.7107Å

Table A2.2

- 372 -

Latest atomic parameters for [C₆H₅.CH:NH₂]⁺SnCl₆.CH₃CO₂C₂H₅ with ESDs in brackets

Atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	S.O.F	В
Sn	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.011(1)
Cl(1)	0.557(1)	0.422(2)	0.254(3)	1.0	0.106(7)
Cl(2)	0.581(2)	0.402(2)	0.059(5)	1.0	0.17(1)
C1(3)	0.416(2)	0.401(2)	0.947(5)	1.0	0.17(1)
Cl(4)	0.444(1)	0.423(2)	0.736(4)	1.0	0.111(8)
C1(5)	0.556(1)	0.423(2)	0.768(4)	1.0	0.118(8)
Cl(6)	0.443(1)	0.425(2)	0.219(4)	1.0	0.116(8)

R = 35.3% after 4 cycles of least squares refinement.

Solution in Triclinic $P_{\overline{1}}$ symmetry.

Overall scale factor = 2.53

Table A2.3

Significant bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) in

with ESDs in brackets

SnCl(1)	2.44(2)	SnCl(4)	2.48(3)
SnCl(2)	2.38(4)	SnC1(5)	2.60(3)
SnC1(3)	2.43(4)	SnC1(6)	2.53(3)

Cl(1)SnCl(2)	40.1(1.0)
Cl(1)SnCl(3)	91.5(1.0)
Cl(1)SnCl(4)	116.5(0.8)
Cl(1)SnCl(5)	91.0(0.8)
Cl(1)SnCl(6)	58.6(0.8)
Cl(2)SnCl(3)	93.4(1.2)
Cl(2)SnCl(4)	91.5(1.0)
Cl(2)SnCl(5)	51.1(1.0)
Cl(2)SnCl(6)	87.9(1.0)
Cl(3)SnCl(4)	43.1(0.9)
Cl(3)SnCl(5)	88.7(1.0)
Cl(3)SnCl(6)	48.6(1.0)
Cl(4)SnCl(5)	56.1(0.8)
Cl(4)SnCl(6)	91.4(0.8)
Cl(5)SnCl(6)	121.0(0.8)

(26)

OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR RbSn2Br5

the second s

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

- 374 -

PAGE 1

PAGE 2 OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR RbSn2Br5 H K L 10FO 10FC K L 1ØFO 1ØFC K L 1ØFO 1ØFC H K L 10FO 10FC H K L 10FO 10FC H Η 5 8 12 932 982 1 14 843 -773 5 5 14 606 -629 11 5 15 349 440 3 16 1364 1418 1 Ø 6 14 2 6 14 15 582 2 14 1702-1610 6 570 0 6 16 1880 2022 2 13 1188 1111 Ø 1789 1887 1 1 0 16 3107 3086 2 17 1 876 2 2 14 775 713 940 -992 Ø 981 1 4 13 1129-1123 936 -966 5 13 681 631 3 14 1232 1193 4 6 14 586 -660 Ø 2 16 372 -314 1 4 17 2 1 3 7 14 1 2 15 5 17 524 3 14 2412-2427 472 547 2 2 16 1388 1342 2 430 5 13 4 3 637 -641 1 3 16 1717 1725 Ø 0 18 501 -503 2 7 13 650 -670 0 4 14 1109-1097 637 -613 7 13 677 725 2 4 14 477 458 2 3 15 431 372 Ø 4 18 382 397 3 3 16 1104-1093 4 1 5 14 634 -635 3 4 15 383 324 2 2 19 1398 1480 8 13 355 365 4 16 1074 1071 1 1 3 5 14 1143 1137 2 5 1 5 4 16 926 974 0 14 3026 2889 11 0 811 -799

375 -

.

376 -

3E 2	TUFC	1194	1477	1011	50 20	2246	1497	843	1004	1533	115	1404	1000	679	452	143	6 2 Z	143	2007	1133	197	200	10001	972	5 ::	2344	4196	1698	0217	1526	78	2619	1500	170	0000	1144	1357	261	1175	1056	1550	307
PAG	1610	1281	1585	1642	4 21	24 34	1586	903	1017	1044	235	15 11	200	923	4 08	144	585	122	205	1311	2 18	500	110	166	39	2379	4253	1765	1377	1000	101	2468	14 58	100	1000	11 66	1289	275	1155	1046	15035	310
	-1	50	n_n	<u>م</u>	n 1	nıc	2	ŝ	S	.	n		กษ	<u>ل</u> (5	. ,	. 0	3	2	0	0	۵.	0.4	0.0	0	0	0	0.	04	0.0	0	0	6	5	0 -	50	0	0	٥	۵.	0.4	0.0
	×	(40	4 ~)	m	.) (*) ~	m	e	3	.	n ^	n	n ~	t.(+	t	t	2	2	0	23	20	2 0	2	3	9	9:	9 1	2.6	0	2		9:	25	2-			-	- 1		
	ï	31	-15	-13	-11-	1		1	ī	-	m.	<u>م</u> د	- 0			+-	2-	52	-22	27-	-18	0	t :	10	PI	01	++	2:	90	ter	9	8	20	7	+ +	- 210	-19	-17	-15	n.		11
	10FC	1318	2596	287	448	1 2 6 5	111	966	621	3938	541	472	+ 1 4 5	744	117	510	2 2 5 1	1929	500	1225	4 122	2 2 2 2 2 2	1321	3042	582	2240	476	31716	1101	278	1021	661	1 673	1007	ton	0101	120	678	634	2834	1010	268
	1810	1234	2568	279	4 24	0011	165	10.21	666	3871	610	420	131	a a a	139	517	22.23	19081	553	1263	4012	2726		1001	591	22.22	511	1756	0701	285	1633	522	1640	5977	-+	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	1010	955	460	2924	200	266
	-	. ∩ :	n n	J.	nu	n r		1-1-1	S	<u>م</u>	<u>.</u>	.n.	n :	n r	1	5	S	5	2	<u>л</u> .	<u>.</u>		n-		1_7	-1	5	<u>م</u>		n -1	ŝ	5	<u>م</u>	n -	n -	റം	٦ur	5	\$	5	n I	n _u n
	1	33	2 52	2	20	23	- 5	9	102	R		90	3 3	2.5	1.5		-	-	-		_					-	-	•				~		NO	4:	4.7	10	1.74	. 1	2		4.4
	-	110	t	-12	3		t c	1	2	?	t	0	D	11	0.1	-17	-15	-13	-11	ות ו	1-	<u>م</u>	٦. ١		. m	n n)	2	ית			11	-26	110		7	9 J - 1 1		1		.4.	t 4	0.0
F5.5	LEFC	2982	340	667	610	1100	1 2 4 3	1625	tag	363	1670	196	517		400	1184	168	275	1564	2234	1685	665	1000	1000	070	162	874	346	1 103	200	457	1139	515	140	200	1012	1054	266	206	504	20100	1169
811.5	12.10	6262	16.0	734	510	1	550	1000	69.0	361	1751	20	523	1000	553	1341	LL	236	1050	2210	1.22	112	071	080	245	200	936	321	1802	107	411	1035	664	0 4 1	5	100	1010	80	106	30.1	111	1717
E u g	-1		t t	t	+ :	t t	+ +	+	+	t	t	.	+ :	1 -	+ =	t	•	t	t.	t	+	± :	+	t t	+ +	t	t	t.	3	tt	t	t	t	± :	t.	t	1 3	t	t	t	tu	n n
Cs	4			-					2	?		٩.	1:	4.5	1.1	154	2	2	~	.7	2	2	10	NT	m	m	3	m	m r	n	ر ، ر	5	n	n,	n :	n .~		÷	t	t	± :	9 CI
FOR	н		n n	L	5		n ur	17	97-	-16	-16	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	7:1-	- 1	t c	- 2	2	2	+	ę	Ø	3	+.	1-10	5		-11	51		0 m	1	-	. ,	ດ	л. ,		n x - 1	0.0	+	21	+ c	-26
ACTORS	16FC	637	1745	1181	031	1000	5405	1074	589	466	648	2310	0+0	2	202	166	159	300	2295	t A t	214	1.50	3022	ר ת ר ת	1910	9.8	335	2176	3678	1343	2269	738	186	571	10-1	1771	1250	1368	5.50	3367	2143	1065
ILE F	OFC	555	un t	187	204	10	1000	5.00	eec	416	511.	587	0 33	100	1.44	555	621	352	174	195	151	503	145	112	100	51	420	231	1:55	160	155	152	1 60	0 8 G		101		460	633	543	503	162
CTL	1		n m	5	m	200	2.4	20		17	5	 	m	20	- 	t	t	t	1	t	·'	±.	=	3 3	1 3	t i	t	t	+	± =	1.1		t	t	+ :	+ :	+ =	13	t	t	+ :	- t
TRU	¥	(T) .7	רינ	n	~	n n	2 1	t r	+	t	+	t.	÷.	+ :	: 3		15	:9	3	1		5	5	5.5	25	:5	3	9	-	22	5 2	s	c	1	— ,					-		
TEL S	4	21		~	u) (-10	1 20	9-	14	2-	4	4 ::	. 4	1	3	- 15	-16	-14	-12	-11	2) 1		1	10	2	t;	0	200	14	10	18	-23	17-	51			5	6-	n 1,	77
CALCULA	1210	1646	1010	225	14.00	וטעע	+ +	111	1405	1747	1214	571	17.14	222	1 1 1 1	11.1	570	1905	1200	511.	215	co c	101	000	100	615	624	1421	0234	1351	2000	121	004	2340	513	1.10	1234	250	1110	1205	1657	716
AND	1.10	1574	1441	47.7	1334	1+40	1 1 1 1	141	1000	1005	11.1	074	1340	+000	1017		220	4001	1221	7.5	1 47	100	402	000	1 1 1 1 1	222	046	1590	4165	1440	14 10	2275	245	234.3	275	400	1420	747	1222	1114	1160	767
V. L. V	4		n	2	7	2	n •				7	· n	2	2	n -	۰ r		5	5		5	5	7	2.	7.		5	5	3	-	n •		2	3	5	7:	7.	n .~	5	2	.m	n n
CE.		-a.		-	-					-	-	-							-	-	-	.4		4.	4 -	• •	1.4	7	.1	4.	4.	1.1	:4	.4	.4	4.	4.0	n -	.		n	س س
Con	4	0	1.1	-21	51-				- 37	1-1	- f	5-		- 0	n .			11	15	11	15	-10	-10				0 0	1	7-	×.	4.3	r o	a	16.	7.	0.	20			11-	51	11

- 377 -

PAGE 2

OBSERVED AND CALCULATED	STRUCTURE FACTORS FO	R CSSn3br1.5F5.5	PAGE 3
2 H & L 16FO 16FC E	E L 10FO 10FC	H K L 10FO 10FC H K L 10FO 10FC	H K L 10FO 10FC
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	h 10F0 10FC 0 7 2223 2354 - 0 7 157 139 - 0 7 589 511 - 1 7 2333 2409 - 1 7 2333 2409 - 1 7 290 323 - 1 7 290 323 - 1 7 290 323 - 1 7 1064 1064 - 0 7 518 486 - 0 7 518 486 - 0 7 389 391 - 0 7 389 391 - 0 7 310 286 - 1 7 153 1534 - 1 7 1631 795 - 1 7 2173 2149 - 1 7 227 2	HkL10FO10FChkL10FO10FC827457466-91623372259627278302-71816301564427718729-51816231635227191200-318832799027336320-1151521148522765572211847642725958351855357462755958351815511558027350353718155115580272422839181162124213712741212-1428328377537203222-162810611351137446433-4281061135137248285-22861265537248285-228612655408142116-7389829978655 <td>HKL$10FO$$10FC$40942448460918641799-1519882927-13191071104-919875843-71910771104-919875843-719107718007-51917731901-319650677-1191202118531913501429519513457-1229543617-1229543617-12291403143522914031435229343310-1416154131-1201619431981-1416154131-1201612651218-401012651218-401020402013-11115891595-9110316305-7110558565-5116368385-311612681194</td>	HKL $10FO$ $10FC$ 40942448460918641799-1519882927-13191071104-919875843-71910771104-919875843-719107718007-51917731901-319650677-1191202118531913501429519513457-1229543617-1229543617-12291403143522914031435229343310-1416154131-1201619431981-1416154131-1201612651218-401012651218-401020402013-11115891595-9110316305-7110558565-5116368385-311612681194
7 3 0 365 377 -12 -26 9 7 449 472 -16	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 1 8 156 165 2 Ø 9 581 56Ø 1 1 6 1687 163Ø	1 1 10 250 234

.

HUN COMPLETED

- 378

379 -

.

D 1	CE	2
PF	GL	4

380 -

H K L 12FO 13FC H K L 16FO 18FC 16FO	OBSE	HVE	ED AND	CALCULATED	STRUCTURE FACTORS	CTURE F	FOR	K	Br.	KSnBri	2C1.1.	51120								PA	GE 3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	н к	1.	. 12FO	1ØFC H	K L 10FO 10FC	L 1ØFO	Н	K	L	10F0	10FC	Н	K	L	10F0	1ØFC	Н	K	L	1ØF0	1@FC
	**************************************		$\begin{array}{c} 1210\\ 38879679942786692962877776782279159329371571284825\\ 465595555555555555555555555555555555555$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 6 1 0 2 9 2 4 6 1 0 2 9 2 4 6 1 0 2 9 2 4 6 1 0 2 9 2 4 6 3 7 6 3 8 4 6 3 7 6 3 8 4 6 3 7 6 3 2 4 6 3 7 6 3 2 4 6 3 7 6 3 2 4 6 3 7 6 3 2 4 6 3 2 3 3 2 5 6 4 5 6 6 7 5 6 4 5 6 5 5 5 6 6 7 7 6 6 6 7 7 6 8 7 6 4 6 5 6 5 5 7 6 4 8 7 5 2 7 6 1 8 1 9 9 7 6 3 4 7 7 <	6 3 6 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 1	190012345678901234567890012356780123456789035678	1122222222222222222222222222222222222	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	$\begin{array}{c} 2801 \\ 2801 \\ 502 \\ 3193 \\ 502 \\ 50$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 9 \\ 3 \\ 8 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 9 \\ 3 \\ 8 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2$	13467015012340123456789001245890013456789001345 1 13467015012340123456789001245890013456789001345	777778889999990000000000000111111112222222222	77777777777778888888888888888888888888	$\begin{array}{c} 315\\ 315\\ 859\\ 442\\ 8321\\ 876\\ 43222\\ 890\\ 2229\\ 8022$	$\begin{array}{c} 3752\\ 9952\\ 37521\\ 4999126991\\ 522872\\ 1274751288\\ 98549991269915\\ 127456684\\ 932898898\\ 889888791\\ 127592965684\\ 12751288\\ 12751288\\ 12751288\\ 12751288\\ 1275588\\ 1275588\\ 1275588\\ 1275588\\ 1275588\\ 1275588\\ 1275588\\ 1275588\\ 1275588\\ 1275888\\ 127588\\ 127588\\ 127588\\ 1275888\\ 127588\\ 127588\\ 12$	79023456781235780245678013450123451012345678902	3344444444455555566666666677777888888889111111111122		103674452515755895623379406860606845933400434781 1187191217113322155531411144122232212526055897495 10254625462533794068606068459333200555897495 10254625	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 \\ 3 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 1$

,

381 -

1

.

OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS F	DR	KBI	• K.	SnBr2	Cl.1.	5H20								PAG	E 4
H K L 10FO 10FC H K L 10FO 10FC	Н	К	L	10F0	1ØFC	Н	К	L	1ØF0	1ØFC	Н	K	L	10F0	1 % FC
AL10101010RAL101010104292312277010514548529234232201105145485292392690110246240729539525111020018192915614121103133670391316133331101097104413913161333311046246333922122571148378364398368158110463444149315313421013521321839159218121013521321839148766210135213214493613575210135213215912379131084881915912379131084859123791310849149229251	n 0134501230234568015012345678023457012350123501	77777888811111111111111111111111111111		$\begin{array}{c} 10\\ 5&4&3&2&3&6&2\\ 3&4&3&2&3&6&2&3&2\\ 1&2&7&4&8&4&4&7\\ 1&3&5&2&1&6&5&1&2&3&3&4&6&4&6&5&2&6&6\\ 1&1&2&6&7&3&8&3&6&6&4&4&9&8&7&4&4&6&9&6&2&2&5&6&6\\ 1&1&2&6&7&3&8&3&6&6&4&4&9&8&7&4&4&6&9&6&2&2&5&6&6\\ 1&1&2&6&7&3&8&3&6&6&4&4&9&8&7&4&4&6&9&6&2&2&5&6&6\\ 1&1&2&6&7&3&8&3&6&6&4&4&9&8&7&4&4&6&9&6&2&2&5&6&6&6&2&2&5&6&6&2&2&2&2$	$\begin{array}{c} 1& 3& 4& 3& 2& 3& 6& 6& 3& 2& 3& 4& 3& 2& 3& 6& 6& 3& 2& 3& 4& 3& 2& 3& 6& 6& 3& 2& 3& 4& 3& 2& 3& 6& 6& 3& 2& 2& 2& 7& 4& 1& 1& 1& 3& 2& 6& 2& 3& 2& 4& 3& 3& 3& 2& 3& 3& 2& 4& 3& 3& 3& 2& 3& 3& 2& 3& 3& 2& 3& 3& 2& 3& 3& 3& 2& 3& 3& 2& 3& 3& 3& 3& 3& 3& 3& 3& 3& 3& 3& 3& 3&$	n 3012346701245601245670123456701234630123401012	700000001111112222222333333333444444566666677111	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	112773246750748466077066625572418807305406144978 12277324233642852557412264441232266833 3231231151	" 3501501245602023402123512450124502402300123212	112223333333445555566000011112222233344451111233	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	63112243998228186858300883681585294459930093115 6311224399822826093822915225506194836838878993115 1243168155131635443228116112431681551316354432	6397046871455533684995032369397310820284687593 632704464553366849950523266939717243255926820284687593 611243255926820284687593 611243255926820284687593
6 0 10 297 284 6 6 10 365 359	2	/ 1	1	104	142										

- 382 -

OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR KCI.KSnCl3.B20

.

.

н	ł	1	1820	10.20		H	ĸ	1	1050	1 GFC	н	к	Ι.	1010	10FC	11	К	L	10F0 10FC	н	к	L	10F0 10FC	
100	1.		1101	1171		11	1	0	986	936	4	8	0	1011	980	с,	13	0	332 331	Ø	4	5	839 915	
4	13	- 11	319	260		5	4	e	55t	-537	6	8	Ũ	505	459	2	14	Ø	194 204	Ø	6	5	565 651	
6	£	e	628	621		6	4	0	661	611	8	8	Ø	323	276	3	14	0	359 334	Ø	10	5	627 677	
5	0	1	772	778		7	4	0	364	-250	1	9	Nº 10	760	100	4	14	1) IA	350 355	NO (A	12	5	175 189	5
1	1	×	1558	1699	1	0	4	v,	441	347	43	9	e.	857	878	2	15	3	157 -160	ø	ø	6	1410-1435	6
2	i	v	404	312		1	5	ø	807	867	5	9	ø	267	216	ē	4	1	1332 1393	Ø	2	6	1136-1109	ł
З	1	2	1062	1013		2	5	8	188	-122	6	9	Ø	184	283	10	6	1	429 407	Ø	4	6	1217 - 1242	-
4	1	Ø	1727	-671		3	5	E C	1661	1621	1	9	10 13	111	-124	U	10	1	369 362	63	8	6	566 -638	5
7	1	v.	439	329		5	5	C	844	872	0	10	ø	516	534	e.	12	1	276 281	Ø	10	6	203 -188	ł
8	1	ø	323	257		6	5	ø	638	689	1	10	0	258	241	Ø	14	1	240 222	10	12	6	175 - 196	
9	1	3	785	658		7	5	8	441	450	2	10	1A (A	811	814	W in	2	2	1235-1089	0	2	7	493 -521	1
8	1	12	1151	1000		G	5	0	413	461	5	10	0	286	-223	Ø	4	2	1584-1922	ø	6	7	292 247	,
1	2		264	-196		é	6	C	1418	1384	6	10	ø	507	443	ø	6	2	633 -762	ø	8	7	323 -359	1
2	2	:)	1034	938		2	6	0	1559	1347	7	10	Ø	350	-313	E	1 3	2	1587-1632	Ø	10	1	369 - 422 175 - 165	
3	2	4	1034-	-1138		3	6	a	177	123	1	10	0	188	433	e	12	2	359 -436	0	0	8	1112 1057	i i
4	2		436	426		5	6	8	312	321	3	11	0	756	784	C	14	2	221 -275	ø	4	8	703 761	
6	2	\$2	984	1243		6	6	Ŷ	721	698	4	11	Ø	46	53	6	2	3	791 824	Ø	6	8	653 699	1
1	2	6.	345	307		7	6	2	224	146	5	11	0	194	136	D C	4	3	370 452	0	10	8	535 503	
9	4	**	345	337		C O	6	é	302	-284	7	11	Ø	212	192	e	8	3	556 -533	ø	2	9	213 170	
1	3	ü	2170	2116		ī	7	£	1014	1072	ø	12	ø	346	311	ø	10	3	562 -576	Ø	4	9	157 141	
2	3	Ø	824	811		2	7	6	857	837	. 1	12	Ø	627	-623	Ü	12	3	396 -378	Ø	6	9	205 181	
3	3	2	553	1152		3	4	Ø	1063	1059	23	12	Ø	1498	-274	8	14	3	3325 3363	Ø	Ø	10	844 -805	
6	3	6	382	365		5	7	¢	414	366	4	12	ø	249	196	Ũ	2	4	436 425	Ø	2	10	497 -499	1
7	3	8	794	776		7	7	Ø	715	723	5	12	Ø	129	136	Ø	4	4	1395 1562	Ø	4	10	514 -578	
5	3	0	442	-470		9	7	Ø	246	181	6	12	00	240	250	C A	10	4	1033 1203	0	6	10	146 - 1/9 152 - 212	,
U.	3	14	2402	2284		2	8	é	1233	1220	3	13	ø	276	245	õ	12	4	313 361	ø	2	iĩ	81 58	į.
1	4	õ	1969	1826		3	8	Ø	394	-377	4	13	0	157	162	ø	2	5	598 596	Ø	4	11	472 -401	
2	4	ø	1689	1445																				

.

- 383 -

PAGE 1

OB

1121-1241

1363 1359

1357-1335

1170-1076

2565-2598

1841 1646

1249 -927

2 1445-1424

-994

-979

-5

-3

-1

-3

-1

ø

-1

-4

Н

1

Ø

-3

-1

Ø

-4

-3

-1

ø

ž

1553-1416

1211 1224

1237-1151

706 -650

638 -691

1671-1545

1325-1449

1619-1412

1666-1566

1 1863-1638

478 431

-1

-5

ø

-4

-224

-3

-2

Ø

NUN

SE	RVE	D AND	CALCU	ULATED	STR	UCTURI	FACTORS	FOR	Sn	3B	rF5	MONOCI	LINI	С							PAGE 1
K	L	1050	1ØFC	Н	ĸ	L 101	O 1ØFC	Н	ĸ	L	1ØF	O 1ØFC		Н	K	L	10FO 10FC	Н	K	L	10F0 10FC
K 133334455566	1 000000000000000	10F0 1157 739 2021 1149 770 1940 807 2169 658 1179 1455 1649	10FC -568 -2149 -0942 -1970 862 2370 -459 -934 -1596	H 123 -104 -22-10 123	x 5556667777777	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 194\\ 1 & 196\\ 1 & 136\\ 1 & 65\\ 1 & 54\\ 1 & 104\\ 1 & 106\\ 1 & 136\\ 1 & 136\\ 1 & 136\\ 1 & 136\\ 1 & 16$	0 10FC 6 2111 9-1466 7 673 8 -521 3 -514 6 799 0-1420 1-1266 5-1019 7-1576 5 943 9-1895	H 231 -40 4 -23 -11 3 -11	K 556777899999		107 130 209 209 162 209 2155 134 223 151 122 155 105	4-1239 6-1563 7-2547 5-1424 0 2287 0 -819 8 1308 8-2141 8-1396 2 1510 5 1694 5 836		-3 -1 12 -1 -1 -1 -2 -1 0	N 7777889990 1001		1116-1116 1828-1666 1259 1137 1536-2066 703 855 1006-1089 685 -884 1130 -957 1403-1747 1657 1709 658 -493 1015 1085	n -1 -4 -2 -1 -2 -1 -2 -2 -2 -2 -5	6667777778890		1010 1010 1135 -995 624 -622 718 1026 1493 1574 2312 2314 702 -589 1088-1149 1145-1235 833 -959 1303-1226 1703-1832 1704-1737
7777889990 10	ତ ତ ତ ତ ତ ତ ତ ତ ତ ତ ତ	921 2120 907 1245 2460 1170 1415 1447 935	867 2589 931 1450 2875 976 -1761 1268 715	4 -1 -4 -3 -2 0 1 0	788999999 10	1 98 1 132 1 72 1 119 1 119 1 137 1 124 1 98 1 163	2 1093 7 1215 7 -438 0 1153 7 1116 8 1384 7 1229 7 -1250 5 1589	-4 -3 -1 -3 -1 -3 -1 -3 -4	11 11 13 16 00 1	22222233333	132 183 158 94 92 104 114 213 67	7 1349 1-1792 6 1523 2 944 6 -912 3-1192 8-1023 5 2163 4 -768		-3 -1 -2 -4 -2 -2	11 11 12 14 00 00	mmmmmm++++	1118 947 1579 1321 1109 1431 1059 1022 1539-1594 928-1007 843 869 2938-3073 1991-2015	-1 0 1 -4 -2 -1 -1 -1 5	9991111300	444444455	1444 1280 783 697 717 784 1449-1341 1924-1844 1013 1334 1310-1095 2009 1857 1834-1548
113412223333333	00001111111111111111111111111111111111	2100 1371 9972 1937 1573 1573 1533 916 1229 10292 567	-2123 -1168 1194 -1061 1270 -1386 -1373 966 1124 933 722 428	2 -1 1 3 -1 -2 0 0 -1 4 -4 -1 -2	10 11 11 12 13 14 16 11	1 100 1 100 10	$\begin{array}{c} 6 & 1243 \\ 908 \\ 4 & 1287 \\ 2 & 1529 \\ 0 & -1982 \\ 0 & -911 \\ 66 & -845 \\ 18 & 1602 \\ 19 & -1145 \\ 19 & 983 \\ 6 & -1042 \\ 8 & -994 \\ \end{array}$	-1013404421017	1 2233 3333	333 333 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	65 92 149 102 157 133 651 157 153 651 1435	$\begin{array}{r} 8 & -606\\ 2 & 772\\ 8 & 1482\\ 0 & 1483\\ 1 & -8841\\ 1 & -8841\\ 1 & -8841\\ 6 & 1477\\ 7 & 1410\\ 5 & 724\\ 5 & 543\\ 6 & -1116\\ 1 & 810\\ 6 & 910 \end{array}$			1111112222233	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1054-1215 1309-1289 635-677 549-475 910-891 937-794 2621 2886 1958 1872 481 358 1271-1413 1097-986 939 898 1569-1665	-2 -1 0 1 3 -2 0 2 4 2 -2 0 2 4 2 -1 0 2 4 2 -1 0 2 4 2 -1 0 2 4 2 -1 0 2 4 2 -1 0 2 4 2 -1 0 2 4 2 -1 0 2 -1 0 -1 0 -1 0 -1 0 -1 0 -1 0	111122222333	ມາບບານ ການການ ການການ	711 680 1688 1564 1602 - 1464 1027 1139 725 - 647 991 - 1277 1957 - 1995 1295 - 1013 1759 1871 1626 1538 1410 - 1350 1314 1261 638 451

1531 1446

1380-1221

1145 1130

1895 1751

1624 1840

1289-1178

1406 1552

1288-1366

-2

-1

-4

-2 125-3

-1

Ø

-3

З

1181 1270

1000-1011

1733-1340

1807-1747

910 -724

974 -948

-2

Ø

-5

-3-20

-3 -2 Ø 2

1418-1520

1295-1160

1275-1428

1456 1408

2556 2878

1259-1523

1402 1386

840 -870

748 -661

OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR Sn3BrF5 MONOCLINIC

H K	L 10FO 10FC	н к	L 10FO 10FC	H K	L 10FO 10FC	н к 1	. 10FO 10FC	H K	L	10FO 10FC
4 6	5 1107-1009	Ø 5	6 1311 1020	2 5	7 1389-1628	-2 2 9	1997 2026	3 0	11	1162 1155
-2 7	5 1314 1379	1 5	6 660 472	3 5	7 929 845	0 2 9	/13 551	-3 1	11	1113-1351
-1 /	5 616 -636	2 5	6 1248 1271	0 0	7 1190 -998	2 2 9	1402-1491	-2 1	11	1317 1309
1 1	5 901 1001	0 6	6 1101 808	2 6	7 795 -677	-4 3 3	910 920	0 1	, 11	1575-1567
3 7	5 1664 2011	1 6	6 1127 1299	0 7	7 1167-1098	-3 4 9	1098-1079	-1 3	i ii	956 811
-3 8	5 944-1018	-4 7	6 1416 1486	-1 8	7 1666 1584	-1 4 9	610 472	0 3	11	896-1032
-2 8	5 973 874	-1 7	6 627 -605	-2 9	7 1039 -761	0 4 9	1060 1020	-3 4	11	1491 1563
Ø 8	5 1302 1196	Ø 7	6 1441-1399	-2 10	7 1614-1612	1 4 9	858 982	-1 4	11	1729 1578
1 8	5 819 822	-2 8	6 974 -868	-1 10	7 1050 1061	-1 5 9	1162 1067	-2 5	11	1445-1403
-3 9	5 919 -950	-3 9	6 2061 2105	-1 11	/ 15/5-1490	0 5 9	1927-2117	-1 6	11	1306 1219
-2 9	5.1072 -965	-1 9	6 894 886	-1 12	7 1238-1177	2 5 9	1227-1358	0 0		1025 1030
2 9	5 1991-2105	2 0	6 923 -940	-2 4	8 2610 2429	-3 6 9	1567-1556	-1 9	11	1/20-1260
ú 10	5 1647-1588	-1 10	6 953 -890	2 0	8 1262 1215	-1 6 9	745 -622	-2 9	iii	1198 1108
1 11	5 742-1202	0 10	6 788 -755	1 1	8 680 825	0 6 9	933 -785	-1 10	11	1314-1173
3 11	5 1386-1714	-1 11	6 866 656	-3 2	8 2340-2323	-1 7 9	927 869	-1 11	11	1374 1441
-3 12	5 1263 1492	Ø 11	6 1263 1240	-1 2	8 1065 -891	3 7 9	1077-1282	-1 12	11	964 897
-1 12	5 1423 1514	-3 13	6 1546-1525	-1 3	8 727 -640	-3 8 9	1285 1250	-1 1	12	1248-1240
0 13	5 1445 1452	-3 0	7 1669 1781	1 3	8 925-1070	0 8 9	1164-1141	-1 3	12	1102 1032
0 14	5 1242 1240	-1 0	1 2275 2098	-2 4	8 1/53-1/31	0 9 9	1000 1020	-1 0	13	1018 1301
-1 16	5 1195-12//	-3 1	7 1106 1137	-5 5	8 1/10-182/	-2 12 0	1287-1447	- 1	13	000 -937
-2 0	6 2849-3017	-2 1	7 935 -850	-1 5	8 831 700	0 13 9	1290-1391	-2 2	13	1864-1841
2 0	6 1404 1267	0 1	7 1304-1338	ø 5	8 816 741	-4 0 10	1668 1595	-1 3	13	1089 1123
-3 1	6 1085 1062	3 1	7 1236-1271	-3 6	8 1560 1411	-2 Ø 10	1322 1283	0 4	13	816 -851
-1 1	6 548 539	Ø 2	7 1867 1865	-4 7	8 1443-1454	0 1 10	1480 1364	ØS	13	1386 1522
2 1	6 776 -713	4 2	7 1338-1171	-2 7	8 1564-1525	-1 2 10	1596 1477	-2 6	13	1476 1487
-5 2	6 1458 1550	-4 3	7 1297-1288	1 /	8 932 986	0 3 10	850 806	-1 /	13	880 -816
-3 2	6 /16 -650	-1 3	7 964 -923	-2 8	8 1317 1232	0 5 10	1358-1191	0 0	13	1105 1410
-4 3	6 601 532	-3 0	7 1200 -1346	-5 0	8 1640 1634		768 -808		11	1275-1240
-4 4	6 1558 1530	-1 4	7 2075-2050	0 9	8 856 -794	-1 7 10	808 694	Ø 3	14	883 -945
-2 4	6 2047 1980	3 4	7 1418 1440	-2 11	8 1256 1215	-1 8 10	1007 1092	0 5	14	1071 1040
0 4	6 657 -648	-3 5	7 1427-1381	-1 Ø	9 1619-1451	-1 11 10	886 -658	-1 1	16	1384 1353
2 4	6 960-1062	-2 5	7 1082 925	-1 1	9 1585-1533	-3 Ø 11	2028-1987	-1 3	16	1116-1133
-3 5	6 2143-2183	-1 5	7 1037 -975	Ø 1	9 1212 1045	-1 0 11	2082-1733	Ø 1	18	1087 1199
-1 5	6 1319-1254	1 5	7 778 839							

1 385 1

PAGE 2

	45555555000 		00000000000 11111111111111111111111111	77778888888 NN408888888 NN4088888464	UESH
	*********			645666665556	ERVE
し し し し し し し し し し し し し し	889955555555 84415555 8494885585 8494885585	56664666 50669666 14440467	101418488 1005180488 10560588848 10560588845 10560588845 1056058845 1056058845 1056058845 10560588 105605 1051418 1051418 1051418 1051418 1051418 1051418 1051418 1051418 1051418 1051418 1051418 1051418 1051418 1051418 1051418 1050140 105014 105010000000000	しのシャキーらをみをを こことのものらのキしら ここられてかえたとをし	D AND
740004%4407 200400000 20040004000 2004004440	でれられらそうらららい してられしのしたの ころしついしの	0000-000+0 2000-0 2004-2000	(1のしまですですのの) 104のしらとしませ 00404とことのこと	4-665546746 	CALCI
					LATED STI
<i>56000000000000000000000000000000000000</i>	0000000000		200000000	805959666608	LOUR
	ちちょうのみれらし これられの512220 いれらにしたしれた	11 01-10 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 010	- 44 20 45 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	50848845584148414 208522555555555555555555555555555555555	URE I
20000000000000000000000000000000000000	118041666 088668868 661868888	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		どられらのみとうれたの らしたのしたのにたたの したのとならにたたのの し	PACTORS 10FC
0.0004600.440	111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 11	2012000200 1111		04NN4046N40	FOR
	1111111111				× C
666666666666666	00000000000			6666666666	PhCI
422468844444444444444444444444444444444	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- - - - - - - - - - - - - -		でれれるでした」」 いちものしのしのしの してしていいい。 しし」」」	1:NH2
			。		12SNC
					16.
	+05N500+N1 NNN		aento anac		EtO.
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1111111111			111111111111	4C
	0666666666				۲
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 218 3 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	」 「」 『すどうでののとうを 『かいでするのでです。	() () () () () () () () () ()	1010
1 097105717 1 105717 1 105717	1000004000 677412000 6552412000	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	いまれのの5-14ノー いろうのらののにの5 していちれたいして	11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	1 & FC
1		1			
8060 FN680 FN	N + O J O N + O O	NNE 90 1 NE NNN 1 1 1 1 1	a o trintoar 1111-	N4000N4N604 NNNNN	H
					×
2333999332333	000000000	606066666		00000000000000	۲
2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	110001400 414001400 000040011 00004001400	01704840 17255-2806 76458804		00000000000000000000000000000000000000	PAG
ト いしのしつい の の の の の の の し し し し の の の の の の の の	11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	18448680 18448680 88878686	1111 1 10000000000000000000000000000000	14	I UFC

OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR (PhCH:NH2)2SNCL6.EtOAc

OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTULE FACTORS FOR (PhCH:NH2)2SNCL6.EtOAC

н к	L 10FU	1UFC	Н	ĸ	L	1670	10FC	н	К	L	1010	16FC	. н	K	L	10F0	1 OFC	H	K I	. 10FU	10FC
-14 -1	0 861	922	-12	2	¢,	774	784	ø	4	W)	116	628	-4	7	v.	461	472	6 1	0	607	361
-12 -1	0 702	531	-10	2	E	395	284	2	4	Ø	618	286	4	7	i.	551	631	8 1	0 1	447	591
-10 -1	6 504	799	-6	2	e	1153	964	4	4	1ú	1592	1865	6	7	ic .	1976	1570	12 1	0	115	208
-8 -1	U 307	310	-4	2	6	474	1544	8	4	0	1941	1771	10	2	ü	1240	1372	16 1	0 0	270	390
-4 -1	0 478	925	2	2	Ø	596	1059	12	4	ø	628	688	14	7	k	410	449	20 1	0 1	282	291
4 -1	0 588	897	4	2	Ø	1441	1832	14	4	Ø	641	569	20	7	5	243	225	-14 1		536	431
6 -1	0 616	404	6	2	E C	1534	748	20	4	0	492	374	-12	8	6	715	730	-12 1	1	266	417
10 -1	Ø 650	712	10	2	U	552	475	22	4	Ø	393	233	-10	8	N	198	516	-4 1	1 4	545	411
12 -1	Ø 933	459	12	2	E	1089	880	-18	5	N	298	326	-8	8	NO A	991	1280	-2 1		936	1078
14 - 1 16 - 1	D 1159	343	14	2	C	1109	405	-14	5	Nº N	249	454	-4	8	Ø	346	792	4 1	1	619	394
18 -1	0 006	713	20	2	e	523	505	-12	5	Ŵ	406	620	-2	8	£1	296	276	10 1	1 5	329	496
22 -1	\$ 393	352	22	2	5	457	321	-10	5	10	1699	1262	2	8	0	110	573	12 1		384	321
-16 0	0 501	559	-22	3	Ø	257	362	-6	5	v	1488	2625	6	8	ě	255	491	18 1	1 1	315	379
-12 0	0 492	986	-20	3	Ø	293	239	-4	5	Ø	732	830	8	в	U	1232	1318	-20 1	2 1	451	323
-8 0	0 001	285	-18	30	Ŵ	373	537	-2	5	0	264	692	10	Ø	N V	201	581	-10 1	2 4	301	424
-4 0	0 2057	1396	-14	3	Ø	014	700	4	5	õ	877	805	14	8	Ú	605	459	-10 1	2 4	314	211
8 0	0 1112	314	-12	3	Ľ	492	434	6	5	2	1883	2305	-16	9	v	439	214	-6 1	2 4	250	300
12 2	0 690	925	-16	3	2	219	813	1	5	2	1461	1250	-14	9	U V	280	438	-2 1	2	222	494
20 0	8 822	569	-6	3	¥	1044	1579	12	5	õ	611	572	-10	9	¥.	818	881	1	2	1651	1155
24 8	Ø 336	207	-4	3	6	736	1684	14	5	6	294	500	-8	9	Ú	525	389	2 1	2 4	209	425
-22 1	6 581	345	-2	3	0	1825	1978	18	5	0	392	381	-4	9	2	356	415	6 1	2	275	377
-14 1	8 816	952	4	3	õ	946	895	20	5	Ũ	238	222	-2	9	G	927	881	12 1	2 4	388	214
-12 1	J 652	481	6	3	Ø	1336	1668	22	5	13	218	320	2	9	C	999 404	1042	12 1	2 4	215	405
-10 1	V 350	524	10	3	Ø	363	946	-12	6	ø	568	725	6	9	W	679	775	20 1	2 6	393	362
-6 1	0 433	429	12	3	ø	670	500	-16	6	Ø	323	047	8	9	Ø	674	400	-18 1	3 4	367	439
-4 1	0 415	882	14	37	0	243	122	-8	6	N N	1755	1256	12	9	5	351	492	-14 1	3 4	253	322
6 1	\$ 574	318	18	3	ø	544	561	-2	6	ē	245	228	14	9	C	461	540	-4 1	3 4	478	422
8 1	\$ 489	332	20	3	Ø	366	302	8	6	0	887	215	16	9	2	576	234	-2 1	3 6	976	860
12 1	916	513	24	3	0	243	228	4	6	V	1061	1309	22	9	Ú	302	307	4 1	3 4	509	446
14 1	0 1147	915	-22	4	£	307	202	8	6	2	2255	2623	-14	10	U	278	238	0 1	3 4	263	385
16 1	0 242	332	-28	4	C.	373	351	10	6	0	480	589	-12	16	5	352	565	14 1	3 4	210 255	439
22 1	w 377	379	-14	4	Ø	610	496	16	6	ø	295	369	-6	10	Ũ	517	310	18 1	3 4	540	494
24 1	¥ 178	196	-12	4	0	442	090	18	6	Ø	280	219	-4	10	10	492	702	-16 1	4 4	382	452
-22 2	0 327	210	-8	4	G	539	989	-14	7	2	480	1266	-2	10	5	968	1221	-4 1	4 4	338	564
-16 2	0 795	789	-4	4	ø	1290	1750	-8	7	Ó	318	662	2	10	Ł	633	519	Ø 1	4 6	830	756
-14 2	6 623	493	-2	4	6	543	430	-6	7	Ð	1615	1515	4	16	6	556	826	4 1	4 4	379	684

1 387 -

OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR (PhCH: NH2)2SNCL6. EtOAC

PAGE 3

- 388 -

H K	L 10F	O 1ØFC	II K.	L 10FU	10FC	H K	L 1	GFO '	ISFC	н К	L	10 FO	1 OFC	н К	L	1010	10FC
H K 6 14 14 14 16 14 -0 15 -2 15 5 15 14 16 15 14 16 15 14 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 -2 17 -2 17	L 10F 2088541 2088541 435 435 2491 22887 435 2287 1340 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2	0 10FC 7 362 3 467 4 576 4 576 5 766 5	$\begin{array}{c} 11 & K \\ 2 & -1 & 5 \\ 5 & -1 & 5 \\ 6 & -1 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & -1 & 5 \\ -1 & 6 & -1 & 4 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 4 \\ -2 & -1 & 4 \\ -2 & -1 & 4 \\ -2 & -1 & 4 \\ -1 & 4$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 16F0 \\ 1 & 526 \\ 1 & 253 \\ 1 & 554 \\ 1 & 273 \\ 1 & 273 \\ 1 & 273 \\ 1 & 381 \\ 1 & 381 \\ 1 & 384 \\ 1 & 364 \\ 1 & 364 \\ 1 & 364 \\ 1 & 364 \\ \end{array} $	10FC 500 513 527 333 4229 365 293 565 255 60 525 60 525 60 525 60 525 60 525 60 525 60 525 60 525 60 525 60 525 60 525 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	H K 7-12 8-12 9-12 12-12 16-12 -14-11 -14-11 -10-11 -6-11 -3-11 -2-11 1-11 2-11 3-11	L 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	©FO 408 4482 4487 4272 4552 65 4332 2332 43332 349 6523 498 253 498 253 498 253 51 83223 498 253 51 83223 51 832323 51 832233 51 83223 51 832323 51 832323 51 832323 51 832323 51 832323 51 83233 51 832323 51 83233 51 83233 51 83233 51 83233 51 83233 51 83233 51 83323 51 83323 51 83323 51 83323 51 83332 51 83332 51 83332 51 83332 51 83332 51 83332 51 83332 51 83332 51 83332 51 83332 51 83332 51 83332 51 83332 51 83332 51 83332 51 83332 51 83323 51 83323 51 83323 51 83323 51 83323 51 83323 51 83323 51 83323 51 8332 51 8332 51 8332 51 8332 51 8332 51 8332 51 8332 51 8332 51 8332 51 8332 51 8332 51 8332 51 83525 51 83555 51 83555 51 835555 51 835555 51 8355555 51 835555 51 835555 51 835555 51 8355555 51 83555555 51 8355555 51 835555555555	1 ØFC 323 449 136 282 317 435 305 375 375 375 375 375 416	H K -10 -9 -7 -9 -5 -9 -5 -9 -9 -9 -9 -9 -9 -9 -9 -9 -9		10 FO 673 278 795 149 303 282 889 623 442 198 623 1586 433 164 517 743 321	10FC 567 189 513 219 156 875 875 875 259 249 249 32849 259 249 249 259 249 259 249 259	H K -1 = -7 -6 = -7 -5 = -7 -5 = -7 -4 = -7 -3 = -7 -1 = -7 1 = -7 1 = -7 1 = -7 4 = -7 5 = -7 1 = -7 5 = -7 6 = -7 -1 = -7 -7 = -7 -		1010 694 414 411 932 201 156 812 922 9232 636 1244 332 703 722 318 201	10FC 506 340 492 8518 2647 5084 4972 3174 4622 5552 268 268 268 268 268 268 268 268 268 26
$\begin{array}{c} -2 & 17 \\ 2 & 17 \\ 4 & 17 \\ 6 & 17 \\ -8 & 18 \\ -4 & 18 \\ 8 & 18 \\ -2 & -19 \\ 2 & -19 \\ 6 & -19 \\ -8 & -18 \\ -3 & -18 \\ -4 & -18 \end{array}$	20785915999551 34223299551 111111 11111	974744932355669 2099 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013	-14-13 -14-13 -9-13 -7-13 -6-13 -3-13 -2-13 1-13 2-13 5-13 6-13	1 25655 1 25655 1 25655 1 25655 1 3527 1 3627 415 1 34852 4 45 1 34852 1 34855 1 348555 1 348555 1 348555 1 3485555 1 34855555 1 34855555 1 34855555 1 348555555 1 348555555 1 348555555555555555555555555555555555555	38883 48883 42223 49582 4023 4023 4023 4023 5324 535 535	$\begin{array}{c} 3 - 1 \\ 5 - 1 \\ 6 - 1 \\ 9 - 1 \\ 1 \\ 9 - 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 - 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 - 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ - 1 \\ 1 \\ - 1 \\ 0 - 1 \\ 0 \\ - 1 \\ 0 \\ - 1 \\ 0 \\ - 1 \\ 0 \\ - 1 \\ 0 \\ - 1 \\ 0 \\ - 1 \\ 0 \\ - 1 \\ 0 \\ - 1 \\ 0 \\ - 1 \\ 0 \\ - 1 \\ 0 \\ - 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$	· 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2412347747 2412347747 22222222222222222222222222222222	413715152244243 243524824243 24827943 3528327943 35283	13 -99 13 -99 -26 -8 -14 -8 -14 -8 -14 -8 -14 -8 -15 -8 -8 -8 -8 -15 -8 -5 -5 -5 -5 -5 -5 -5		336557309429536 557399429536 4729239239536	43178 43495 43445 412 423995 45560 5660	$\begin{array}{c} 9 & -7 \\ 10 & -7 \\ 13 & -7 \\ 14 & -7 \\ 16 & -7 \\ 18 & -7 \\ -20 & -6 \\ -17 & -6 \\ -13 & -6 \\ -13 & -6 \\ -12 & -6 \\ -12 & -6 \\ -10 & -6 \end{array}$		254423 7292 14050 2608 14050 2608 26508 165	158 5223 5223 594 5223 594 5223 594 5428 5428 5428 5428 5428 5428 5428 542
-6 - 17 -3 - 17 -2 - 17 2 - 17 -9 - 16 -8 - 16 -5 - 16 -4 - 16 4 - 16	1 1 2 2 9 3 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 9 6 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 6 9	.4 2458800 35800 35800 35800 35800 35800 35800 35800 3671 307 3074 3074 3074	8-13 9-13 10-13 14-13 18-13 -13-12 -12-12 -9-12 -8-12 -5-12 -4-12	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 325 \\ 1 & 2722 \\ 1 & 3289 \\ 1 & 3289 \\ 1 & 3389 \\ 1 & 2389 \\ 1 & 2952 \\ 1 & 29524 \\ 1 & 2924 \\ 2 & 2924 \\ 2 & 2 & 2924 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 &$	204 317 346 353 469 356 469 3565 4650 85	$ \begin{array}{r} -8-10 \\ -6-10 \\ -5-10 \\ -4-10 \\ -2-10 \\ -1-10 \\ 0-10 \\ 3-10 \\ 3-10 \\ 4-10 \\ 7-10 \end{array} $	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	413 1356 555 565 77 12 12 12 12 12 93	471 32555 25655 9552 3333 2555 2555 2555 2555 2555 25	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	1111111111	499 741 553 375 375 181 427 574 166	515 825 575 478 273 661 239 647 331	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	1111111111	502 865 189 231 767 1127 7330 673 526 880	579 785 768 156 581 586 593 375 351 716
7-16 8-16 -14-15 -19-15 -7-15 -6-15 -3-15 -2-15	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 27 \\ 1 & 34 \\ 1 & 25 \\ 1 & 27 \\ 1 & 30 \\ 1 & 32 \\ 1 & 33 \\ 1 & 24 \end{array} $	4 302 4 375 3 312 4 355 4 355 398 5 398 5 243 1 271	-3-12 -2-12 -1-12 &-12 1-12 2-12 3-12 4-12	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	342 297 341 605 432 126 205 501	8-10 11-10 12-10 15-10 16-10 -15 -9 -14 -9 -11 -9	1 1 1 1 1 1	542 466 523 206 187 336 467 403	570 302 562 332 238 380 335	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	1111111111	399. 264 231 178 218 285 323 374	357 303 324 313 399 276 346 149	$\begin{array}{r} 4 & -6 \\ 5 & -6 \\ 6 & -6 \\ 7 & -6 \\ 8 & -6 \\ 11 & -6 \\ 12 & -6 \\ 14 & -6 \end{array}$	1111111	1313 206 337 736 916 307 554 220	943 522 445 628 825 162 582 286

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OBSERVED AND	CALCULATED	STRUC	IURE FACTORS	FOR	(1)	hCH:NH2)	2SNCL	6.EtOAC							PAC	5E 4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	H K L 18FC	16FC H	K L	10FO 10FC	н	K	L 10FO	10FC	H F	L	1070	1ØFC	Н	К	L	1010	10FC
0 1 1 000 000 0 -0 1 C10 000 10 -1 1 700 EC1 10 1 1 650 601 -7 3 1 065 000	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 11\\ 390\\ 112\\ 397\\ 12\\ 397\\ 12\\ 397\\ 12\\ 397\\ 12\\ 397\\ 12\\ 397\\ 12\\ 397\\ 12\\ 397\\ 12\\ 397\\ 12\\ 397\\ 12\\ 397\\ 12\\ 397\\ 12\\ 397\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12$		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	87543210123467890124569032865421076532101245690 1111112221111111		$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 6$	$\begin{array}{c} 735653573265741115222539655538\%26615193735936\\ 111 & 1\\ 111$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 4 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ $		$\begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2$	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 9 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2$	1345678206321098754321012346789012245690329843108 	111111112222222222222222222222222222222			$\begin{array}{c} 57223702312000335664329921243781259455742491004102\\ 11111\\ 1111\\ 1111\\ 1111\\ 1111\\ 1111\\ 1111\\ 1111\\ 1111\\ 1111\\ 1111\\ 1111\\ 111111$

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OBSERV	ED AND	CALCULATED	STI	AUCTURE	FACTORS	FOR	(1	hCH:NH2	12SNCL	C. LTUAC						PAC	5 5
	H K	L 1JFO	10FC H	X.	L 10F	0 10FC	н	к	L 10FO	10FC	Н К	L	10F0	10FC	н к	L	1010	1 ØFC
	H 854921912345678999233333444444444444444444444444444444	L 111111111111111111111111111111111111	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	x	L 107 3486662212883240663274746000784432700385715869971897937 11111111111111111111111111115853497937 10127 10111111111111111111111111111111	0 2321362 43E93251176 145243439545853514118468866667 152321362 15432514589984921839545853514118468866667 14685535145853514118468866667	1171127111111 1171127111111 11111111 11111111	66666677777777777777777777777777886888888	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $	1 433432231325138564352674126243241426337573434 433432231325138564352674126243241426337573434 343211	K 8899999999999999999999999999999999999		0 69648726525145993862911561899182588718839857313 3223351262174363756586291156438464955788718839857313	8 31973238886442225747592538798108832678911498391 33522424845233868248467268108832678911498391 33522424845233868248467268108832678911498391	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf$		0 845614239894945184124565989939284411495983576 554361142433324382183363483352815127277854413 3352222353332432221242324512127277854413	4033986249835595331042812136120010969827248889 40339986249835595331042812136120010969827248889
	13 4	1 268	54 11	6	1 24	2 233	12	8	1 519	559	-2 11	1	719	679	-3 15	1	211	239

390 -

OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR (FACH: NH2)2SNCL6.EtOAc

HKL1 \$\mathbf{D}\$FCHKL1 \$\mathbf{D}\$FCHKL1 \$\mathbf{D}\$FCHKL1 \$\mathbf{D}\$FCHK21514 20399-4-1423\$\mathbf{L}\$22618-112496418-2-3214188866-20-561514 154 53-2-14225925511112695614\$\mathbf{U}\$=8219\mathbf{D}\$61348-18-5-81612723122-14239534712-1126663982-8213\mathbf{D}\$2814-16-5-41612742894=14255954814=1123842914=62974604-14-541612862796=142665436-16=1624293966-82223542-12=-541612994168=142771577-14=16266955110=62427364-8-6-52223542-12=-5217125331412=142237241-14=10229356112=62324471-6-5217	1080 1080
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- IDIO IDIC
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 315 376
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 743 661
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 331 618
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 236 268
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 332 270 2 388 529
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 698 620
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 1255 1327
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 1336 909
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 1155 1093
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	012 012
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 1383 1167
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 1012 1022
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 386 349
Ø-18 2 427 381 8-13 2 573 444 8-10 2 478 526 -12 -7 2 328 383 12 -5 4-18 2 301 243 10-13 2 739 481 10-10 2 676 425 -8 -7 2 337 472 14 -5 6-18 2 224 216 12-13 2 328 389 12-10 2 861 538 -6 -7 2 855 824 16 -5 3	2 277 349
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 525 415
	688 446
-10-17 2 227 286 14-13 2 193 168 14-10 2 488 318 -4 -7 2 856 985 18 -5	2 748 563
-8-17 2 307 276 -16-12 2 287 259 16-10 2 255 269 -2 -7 2 1488 1450 20 -5	2 401 322
-6-17 2 437 334 $-14-12$ 2 370 368 -18 -9 2 354 390 0 -7 2 1089 973 -20 -4	2 421 416
-4-17 2 213 329 $-12-12$ 2 647 598 -16 -9 2 301 373 2 -7 2 1009 1171 -10 -4 -	2 200 404
2-17 2 365 336 $-16-12$ 2 463 544 $-14-9$ 2 664 511 4 7 2 157 617 16 4 .	2 533 521
6-17 2 400 315 -6-12 2 350 527 -10 -9 2 266 399 8 -7 2 248 344 -12 -4	2 327 338
10-16 2 227 282 -4-12 2 436 396 -8 -9 2 356 492 12 -7 2 245 271 -10 -4	2 560 412
-6-16 2 532 452 $-2-12$ 2 324 369 $-6-9$ 2 530 485 12 -7 2 386 404 $-6-4$	2 1016 1207
-6-16 2 414 383 $0-12$ 2 390 431 -4 -9 2 1009 066 14 -7 2 814 567 -6 -4 -4	2 1039 990
$-4-16$ 2 292 286 2-12 2 318 498 $-2-9$ 2 1232 1243 18 7 2 588 458 4 4 4 2 2 -16 2 234 385 μ -12 2 535 μ 52 μ -9 2 875 788 18 -7 2 662 517 -2 -4	1067 597
4-16 2 653 448 6-12 2 380 394 2 -9 2 1162 797 -22 -6 2 279 290 0 -4	2 1153 1071
6-16 2 430 331 8-12 2 844 642 4 -9 2 866 591 -20 -6 2 592 578 2 -4	2 1360 1137
8-16 2 428 366 12-12 2 502 470 6 -9 2 249 388 -18 -6 2 490 561 4 -4	1085 1405
-10-15 2 483 529 12-12 2 552 378 6 -9 2 259 551 -16 -6 2 557 552 6 4 2 -6 -6 -6 2 517 552 6 4 2 -6 -6 -6 2 619 829 8 -4	711 802
-6-15 2 458 429 16-12 2 529 270 12 -9 2 594 413 -6 -6 2 771 852 10 -4	558 407
-4-15 2 349 393 -14-11 2 666 491 14 -9 2 554 497 -4 -6 2 1255 1251 12 -4	2 640 423
8-15 2 209 215 -12-11 2 411 491 16 -9 2 343 344 -2 -6 2 829 923 14 -4	422 481
2-15 2 376 299 $-16-11$ 2 582 619 18 -9 2 311 317 6 -6 2 1278 1416 16 -4 6	307 302
4-15 2 561 467 $-6-11$ 2 520 555 $-26-6$ 2 514 477 2 -6 2 552 1147 16 4 2 6-15 2 537 621 -6-11 2 585 493 -18 -8 2 288 412 4 -6 2 1267 1116 26 -4	426 347
8-15 2 365 346 -4-11 2 452 443 -16 -8 2 558 492 6 -6 2 507 644 -22 -3	200 251
10-15 2 295 303 -2-11 2 536 640 -12 -8 2 307 386 14 -6 2 467 460 -20 -3	206 318
-12-14 2 494 471 $0-11$ 2 375 428 -10 -8 2 407 332 16 -6 2 1051 688 -18 -3	250 357
-16-14 2 478 421 2-11 2 470 501 -8 -8 2 193 302 10 -0 2 500 413 -10 -3 -	562 515
-6-14 2 421 529 . 6-11 2 458 453 -4 -8 2 1290 1077 -22 -5 2 410 322 -12 -3	852 591

391 -

1

PAGE 0

OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR (PhCH:NE2)2SNCL6.EtOAC

PAGE 7

H K	L 10FO 10FC	H K	L 10FC 10FC	Н	K	L 10FO 10FC	н к	L 10FO 10FC	H K L 10FO 10FC
$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ -3 \\ -3 \\ -3 \\ -3 \\ -3 \\ -3 \\ -3 $	$\begin{array}{c} 1010 & 1010 \\ 2 & 773 & 996 \\ 2 & 976 & 799 \\ 2 & 1522 & 1311 \\ 2 & 1929 & 526 \\ 2 & 511 & 984 \\ 2 & 1244 & 711 \\ 2 & 1813 & 1190 \\ 2 & 1685 & 1219 \\ 2 & 1685 & 1219 \\ 2 & 1685 & 1219 \\ 2 & 1685 & 1219 \\ 2 & 1685 & 1219 \\ 2 & 1685 & 1219 \\ 2 & 1685 & 1219 \\ 2 & 1685 & 1219 \\ 2 & 1685 & 1219 \\ 2 & 1685 & 1219 \\ 2 & 1685 & 1219 \\ 2 & 1685 & 1219 \\ 2 & 339 & 276 \\ 2 & 3316 & 3569 \\ 2 & 336 & 3599 \\ 2 & 3387 & 559 \\ 2 & 3387 & 559 \\ 2 & 3387 & 559 \\ 2 & 3387 & 559 \\ 2 & 3387 & 559 \\ 2 & 3885 & 1088 \\ 2 & 1659 & 1137 \\ 2 & 3855 & 1088 \\ 2 & 1659 & 1137 \\ 2 & 3855 & 1088 \\ 2 & 1659 & 1137 \\ 2 & 3855 & 1088 \\ 2 & 1059 & 1598 \\ 2 & 1055 & 1238 \\ 2 & 1055 & 1238 \\ 2 & 1055 & 1588 \\ 2 & 1055 & 1599 \\ 2 & 2983 & 155 \\ 2 & 1673 & 1497 \\ 2 & 1232 & 791 \\ 2 & 1232 & 798 \\ 1130 & 962 \\ 2 & 1302 & 983 \\ 2 & 1627 & 1366 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12 & -1 \\ 14 & -1 \\ 12 & -1 \\ 14 & -1 \\ 22 & -1 \\ -14 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6392452236429246892468929864222468924689246892468	: <u>NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN</u>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18929886429924689985555555555555566666666666666666666	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
to a give the man to a give the a development the a development to	r. 27.	08							
---	---------------	-------							
	· 7	SER							
	o ta	VED							
→ ◎ サカンタサカム→ 6 とうろう うってき ちょうでき りっか サインアン するらの → とうらい マラ うっかう うか こう うっか うっか うっか うっか うっか うっか うっか うっかう しょう しょう しょう しょう しょう しょう しょう しょう しょう しょ	10F0	AND							
キックワームほどうららの、チャップののちらんで、キャックののキャックのほかのののなってのない。 いそうごりしのサキアにであたのしんなならしやかしかのであっかのしてしなられしろうかであるのです。 ちゅうこう おかれていてをためしん みなうしか かさてい ちゅうしつ しかい オームの うかし かんしょう ひょうしょう かいしょう ひょうしょう かいしょう ひょうしょう ひょう ひょうしょう ひょう ひょう ひょう ひょう ひょう ひょう ひょう ひょう ひょう ひ	16FC	CALCU							
		LAT							
10000000000000000000000000000000000000		ED							
	2 F	51 K							
	5 F	L'D'							
してですみしみののしちをのられししみにでしょうみできれキーヤらのしちとうでららしかでららでして しがしてがしたでをしませんのでしんかであるのなどしやならないすねのキーレヤしをうしろので ろうろうろうろうろうとしてのころでしてなっていた。キャーキののしちとつからしたでしょうのでしょう。	16FO	UKE 1							
ありゅうめいちちゅうないでしんごでしょうしゃしきのほどうちょうかっちなしょくでしょうの人しちらみらしならららであんれしんららののちららかちちしょしんほうかってうかんしょうのでんかいしょうのでん ちょうしょう しょうしょう しょう	10FC	ACTOR							
		U N							
	5-16 X	NO.							
	. F	PHC							
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	10								
てしたことなるとなっています。しんかしししていたのでなっているというないないないないないないないないないないないないないないないないないないな	FO	112							
ちられんゆうでありらんにその後れんらんかってのでちのかですのなってでれたようとうであるでんぽか。 しゅししかりのううしんがらうしんからうしんしょうであるのうしんしゅいしょう かんしょう しょうしょう しょう	16FC	23401							
		.0.1							
	<u>با</u>	LOA							
0.000000000000000000000000000000000000	- 7	Ċ							
ان ما ها ها ما ما ها ها ما ها									
ーキ9キーでとなれー9ー800000000000000000000000000000000000	1@F0								
. あろう ち キ ち ろ ノ ー し ろ J ろ ろ ろ の の の み ち ヤ ろ お の J ろ ら J ろ の ろ ろ J ろ の ろ ろ J ろ の ろ ろ J ろ の ろ ろ J ろ の ろ ろ J ろ の ろ ろ J ろ の ろ ろ J ろ の ろ ろ J ろ の ろ ろ う と の の ら ろ オ ち ろ ろ ち J ろ J ろ ろ ろ ろ J ろ の ろ ろ す の の の の ス J ろ J ろ A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	10FC								
	- 5 ==	:							
	-	:							
ا ما تما بیا بیا بیا ما بیا بیا بیا بیا بیا بیا ما بیا بیا بیا بیا بیا بیا بیا بیا بیا بی	wr								
ー チローチの人気のちやしんのかくかくかくのうちの人気となったのです。 キローンやちゅうちゃっかのからしかくしたっかののちゃったってっているかのでした。 そのしてもったのかのなったったったのののでもった そうしてきったのです。 しししたのうしたのからのであった。 しししたのうかのです。 しししたのうかのです。 しししたのうかのです。 しししたのうかのです。 しししたのうかのです。 しししたのうかのです。 しししたのうかのです。 しししたのうかのです。 しししたのかるのです。 してしたのかるのです。 してしたのできるのです。 したのしたのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのできるのです。 したのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのできるのです。 したのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたのできるのです。 したのしたるのでするのです。 したったるのでです。 したったるのでです。 したったるのでです。 したったるのでできるのです。 したったるのでできる。 したったるのできるのです。 したったるのでできる。 したったるのでできる。 したったるのでできる。 したったるのでできる。 したったる。 したるのでできる。 したったる。 したる。 したる。 したる。 したる。 したる。 したる。 したる。 し	10F0								
れてもちてででででなってもちゃうちらうのもしかってもちをしていたからしてもちゃくです	2 6								
中午のここでは、100000~10000~20000~2000~2000~2000~2000~	FC PC	0							

PAGE 8

- 166 -

OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR (PhCH:NH2)25NCL6.EtOAC

Ľ	v	1450	1050	P			10 80	10 80	14	ĸ	1	12.80	10.50	. н	ĸ	1	1050	1010	н	ĸ	1.	1050	1 ØFC	
n	r .	LIDIO	TELC	'n	n	T	TETO	ierc			1	1010	1010			1					-			
-15	-6	3 245	276	-11	-4	20	227	350	11	-3	3	291	194	1	-1	5	158	410	67	1	د	685	441	
-11 .	-6	3 152	244	-7	-4	3	593	546	14	-3	ž	519	442	2	-1	3	653	570	ы	1	3	146	396	
-8 -	-6	3 730	660	-6	-4	3	544	346	15	-3	3	299	276	3	-1	5	902	425	10	1	3	150	333	
-5 -	-6	3 300	333	-4	-4	3	1174	659	19	- 3	3	243	264	6	-1	3	1024	606	11	i	3	518	573	
-4 -	-6	3 774	657	-3	-4	30	469	588	-24	-2	3	166	277	7	-1	3	697	443	12	1	3	170	145	
-3	-6	3 1061	693	-1	-4	3	295	374	-18	-2	ċ	179	294	9	-1	3	187	365	22	i	3	331	286	
1	-6	3 561	567	e	-4	30	667	778	-16	-2	3	371	506	10	-1	, c	1058	874	-20	2	3	282	333	
4	-0	3 929	812	2	-4	ē	648	335	-12	-2	3	741	564	14	-1	č	353	383	-16	2	ě	343	406	
5	-6	3 561	424	3	-4	30	430	345	-11	-2	3	547	453	19	-1	E.	239	241	-15	2	3	678	354	
8	-6	3 242	339	5	-4	3	731	544	- 0	-2	ž	700	790	-24	¥.	3	364	313	-11	2	3	479	533	
10	-6	3 217	95	8	-4	30	424	454	-7	-2	3	324	489	-16	0	5	466	512	-10	22	5	267	726	
12 -	-6	3 552	295	12	-4	3	252	342	-5	-2	3	306	432	-12	N	3	811	763	-7	2	3	300	352	
13 .	-0	3 416	329	13	-4	3	316	259	-4	-2	3	652	640	-11	e e	3	431	563	-6	2	3	277	350	
17 .	-6	3 334	295	17	-4	Э	498	309	-2	-2	3	221	80	-7	ø	ŝ	472	416	-4	2	3	638	861	
20 -	-6	3 234	289	-20	-4	3	248	261	-1	-2	3	155	513	-4	6	5	393	254	-2	2	t.	266	414	
-21 .	-5	3 196	276	-18	-3	3	351	454	1	-2	3	500	541	Ŭ	W	د	639	762	-1	2	3	159	048	
-18 -	-5	3 436	443	-17	-3	317	354	399	2	-2	3	450	110	4	b	E	483	466	1	2	5	476	542	
-14 -	-5	3 381	333	-13	- 3	3	360	345	4	-2	3	609	461	5	K	3	255	486	2	2	3	494	198	
-10 -	-5	3 578	614	-12	-3	3	258	278	5	-2	5	160	327	9	b b	5	712	609	3	2	ECC	594	578	
-6 -	-5	3 1084	761	-16	-3	3	862	744	7	-2	3	227	323	12	Ø	3	667	675	5	2	3	618	364	
-5 -	-5	3 760	656	-9	-3	3	523	478	9	-2	5	648	470	21	i)	3	373	271	7	2	5	243	332	
-2 -	-5	3 636	646	-6	-3	3	564	763	12	-2	3	543	528	-22	1	3	234	321	6	2	3	948	809	
-1 -	-5	3 433	438	-4	-3	5	222	141	17	-2	3	293	203	-13	1	3	521	556	12	2	3	529	513	
2 -	-5	3 1166	781	-3	-3	3	119	272	20	-2	3	245	253	-12	1	3	166	447	13	2	3	342	294	
3 -	-5	3 155	193	-1	-3	3	596	514	-14	-1	3	575	657	-10	1	3	501	525	17	2	3	314	161	
6 -	-5	3 783	700	Ø	-3	3	188	275	-13	-1	3	543	464	- 6	1	3	378	545	20	2	3	264	242	
11	-5	3 295	196	2	-3	5	983	729	-10	-1	3	531	611	-5	i	5	37.0	249	-17	3	3	228	261	
14 .	-5 .	3 709	464	3	-3	3	366	413	-8	-1	3	422	510	- 3	1	5	209	863	-14	3	20	353	408	
18 -	-5	3 414	385	6	-3	3	917	635	-5	-1	3	388	233	-1	1	3	617	612	-12	3	3	207	290	
-20	-4	3 452	407	7	-3	3	736	433	-4	-1	3	117	234	6	1	3	372	326	-11	3	3	743	376	
-16	-4	3 317	400	. 9	-3	3	224	325	-2	-1	3	974	664	3	i	3	910	413	-9	3	3	442	477	
-12 -	-4	3 261	444	10	- 3	3	733	476	-1	-1	3	660	671	5	1	3	330	210	-8	3	3	293	201	

- 394 -

PAGE 9

GESERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR (PhCH:NH2)25NCL6.EtOAC

L 10FO 10FC L 10FO 10FC L 10FO 10FC L 10FO 10FC Н K H K H K -10 -4 ٤, -2 -9 -1 -6 

E

294.

L

Ē

38.1

-10

-11

-8

-4 

- 3 

-12 10

-7 10

2. 10

1 10

9 11

12 18

13 10

-14 11

-13 11

-10 11

-9 11

-6 11

-5 11

-2 11

-1 11

2 11

o 11

7 11

10 11

11 11

-12 12

-11 12

-3 12

Ø

-10

-6

-4 

-8

-4

1 12

5 12 8 12

-16

-15

-12

-11

-8

-7

- 6

-5

-4

-3

-2

H	K	L	10F0	1ØFC
ø	13	3	172	260
2	13	Ē	282	374
Ė	13	3	268	332
6	13	3	424	416
7	13	3	270	240
10	13	3	210	412
-12	14	3	209	304
-7	14	3	201	960
-4	14	3	250	390
4	14	3	400	412
5	14	3	266	323
8	14	3	315	361
12	14	3	288	321
-6	15	3	312	446
-2	15	3	200	259
4	15	3	107	314
6	15	5	301	344
Ø	16	ŝ	243	253
-4	18	3	225	287
-6-	-17	4	321	324
-4 -	-17	4	271	247
2 -	-17	4	406	307
-8-	-10	4	350	351
-4-	10	4	321	371
- W	-16	4	267	310
4-	-16	4	381	319
-10-	-15	4	284	242
-6-	-15	4	424	446
-2-	-15	4	374	456
- 2-	-15	4	453	432
6 -	-15	4	270	215
-8-	-14	4	200	310
-4-	-14	4	766	564
4 -	-14	4	299	328
6-	-14	4	252	191
-12-	13	4	267	180
-6-	13	4	428	454
-4-	-13	4	237	384
-2-	-13	4	896	707

0-13

2-13

8-13

10-13

-16-12

PAGE 10

46% ъ ы -18 -14 ÿ -10 -9 -6 -5 -18 -4 -14 -3 -13 -2 -10 -1 -9 -6

К

ŝ

£

ä

Ė

n c

-5

-3

-2

-1

¢

-20

-19

-16

-15

-12

-8

-7

-5

-4

-3

C

b

Н

-6

-5

-4

-2

ø

-20

-10

-12

-11

-6

-7

-6

-5

-3

-2

-1

Ø

-18

-17

-14

-5 

W PROPERTY AND STORE AND ST

 OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR (PhCH:NH2)2SNCL6.EtOAC

PLCF	11
LUOD	

396 -

1

OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR (PhCH: NH2)25NCL6.EtOAC

*

## L 10FO 10FC L 10FO 10FC K L 16FO 16FC H L 10FC 10FC H K L 10FU 10FC H H K K H K -4-12 -8 -8 -18 -6 11 -1-12 -5 -8 -6 -4 0-12 -8 -4 -2 -4 ż -8 1-12 -1 \$ -18 42% -8 2 11 2-12 -16 6-12 -8 4 11 -14 -8 -10-11 6 11 20% -12 -8 33.2 -6-11 10 11 -10 7 -8 -3-11 -8 -2-11 -8 -16 14 11 -6 -8 0-11 -4 -12 -6 12 1-11 12 -8 -1 -10 -4 -15 -7 -8 2-11 J' 5-11 -14 -7 -4 -11 -7 6-11 -7 -10 12 12 9-11 -7 -7 10-11 -6 -7 -6 ε -2 -16-16 -7 -12-12 -2 -7 -8-10 -7 -5-10 -18 -7 -4-10 -16 -8 -7 -1-16 -4 -18 -14 -7 6-10 -10 Ø -16 -7 3-10 -8 -6 -14 -7 6-10 -10 -6 -20 -6 -2 -8 7-10 -8 -17 6-16 -6 -6 -6 -16 -6 0 16 11-10 -4 -14 -9 -13 -0 -2 -6 17 -12 2 17 -11 -9 -6 -10 -9 -9 -6 8-16 -8 -6 -10-15 -4 -4 -6 -7-15 -6 -9 -5 -16 -2 -6 -14 2-15 -6 -9 -6 -3 -9 -12 10 -8-14 -2 -9 -6 -8 -4-14 -9 -6 0-14 -6 -6 -9 -12 -4 10 3-14 E -9 -6 4-14 -8 -9 -6-13 -6 2 10 -0 -19 - 3-13 -9 -5 -2 4 10 -18 -5 -9 -2-13 t -14 -5 1-13 -9 10 10 -5 -11 -9 2-13 -10 -5 -12-12 -13 -8 -1.8 -7 -5 -6-12 -12 -14 11 -8 -5 -8 11 -5-12 - 4 -8 -6 -14

Y

PAGE 12

OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR (PhCH:NH2)2SNCL6.EtOAC

PAGE 13

1

- 398 -

OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR (PhCH: NH2)2SNCL6.EtUAC

399 1

1

PAGE 14

OSSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR (PhCH:NH2)2SNCL6.ELOAC

. .

L 10FO 10FC L 10FO 10FC 1. 10FO 10FC H L 10FO 10FC R K h K ĸ H ĸ L 10FC 10FC Н K З e -7 -6 -1 з ő Ē -12 -1 b -0 -2 Ó - 3 -6 -1 -1 b 2 -1 -12 -7 -6 -16 -11 -1 -22 -8 G - 4 -6 ŝ. - 1 -8 - 3 -14 -6 - 6 ü -1 -4 -4 £ ŵ -6 -12 -1 ŵ -2 -9 -5 -10 b -5 -16 \$ - 0 -8 b -15 -5 -5 ø -6 -12 -2 -5 v -4 b -11 -6 -2 -1 - 5 -10 t -5 -5 -6 Ŵ -8 ¥ź. -2 -12 -4 -4 -6 10 b Vi. -1 - 3 - 8 -4 -4 -2 10 -7 ø -4 ь -8 35% - 5 -4 6. £ E -7 -4 -4 -4 - 3 10 10 -4 -3 ŵ -14 11 Ø -4 t ø -14 Ò. -12 11 -4 -10 -13 -2 11 -4 D -8 b -1 v - 6 -4 £ 11 -t. -5 -14 - 3 -6 -4 -10 18E - 3 -1 -12 12 -2 JOJ - 6 Э -8 12 - 3 -2 -7 -10 13 -3 ŧ. -4 4 13 -1 -3 - 3 -3 ъ -2-11 Ŵ -12 N ы - 3 -12-10 -3 -11 -8 -4-16 -6 -6 -6 -8 -9 -3 -6 - 3 -0 -2 -6 -9 -4 -4 -2 -5 - 4 -3 OES -2 -9 -12 -2 -1 -4 £ £ ø -8 -2 -1 -9 -6 -9 - 6 -2 -8 -5 -10 ö - 9 -4 -2 -5 -7 -8 -1 -2 -8 -12 -4 ы -2 -4 -8 -6 -14 -8 -4 E -2 -4 -3 -8 D 25% -10 E -4 -2 -2 -8 -9 -4 ь -10 -7 -2 -7 -2 -0 -10 - 1 -9 -5 -3 -7 -6 ь -6 -2 b 3:15 -2 -3 -5 -7 -14 -1 -2 -6 -3 -13 -1 З -2 -7 -1 -4 Ь -8 -2 -1 -7 -10 -1 -2 

01	ESER	YEL	AND	CALCU.	LATED	STR	UCI	IULE	FACTOR:	5 FOR	(1	hCl	H:NH2	25NCL	b.EtUA	C							PAC	GE 16	
н	K	L	1010	1 ØFC	Н	K	L	10F0	10FC	н	K	L	10F0	10FC	н	K	L	10FO	1ØFC	н	ĸ	L	1ØF0	1ØFC	
-4 2 -6 -2	-2 -2 -2 -1 -1	8 8 8 8 8	440 289 215 454 574	412 301 248 428 432	-8 -4 12 -6 -2	8 9 1 1	88885	217 686 364 485 569	234 539 284 387 455	-14 -4 2 -10	22223	88888	187 483 321 201 286	190 400 311 233 241	-6 -2 -12 -8	33344	33338	308 390 401 271 302	247 3Ø9 285 282 261	-1ø -8	44556	88888	402 375 432 416 263	301 325 336 376 274	

•

401 -