



City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Lloyd, T. & Stefanski, B. (2014). AdS3/CFT2, finite-gap equations and massless modes. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2014(4), doi: 10.1007/JHEP04(2014)179

This is the published version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/4786/>

Link to published version: [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2014\)179](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2014)179)

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

AdS_3/CFT_2 , finite-gap equations and massless modes

Thomas Lloyd and Bogdan Stefański, jr

*Centre for Mathematical Science, City University London,
Northampton Square, London EC1V 0HB, U.K.*

E-mail: tom.lloyd.1@city.ac.uk, bogdan.stefanski.1@city.ac.uk

ABSTRACT: It is known that string theory on $AdS_3 \times M_7$ backgrounds, where $M_7 = S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ or $S^3 \times T^4$, is classically integrable. This integrability has been previously used to write down a set of integral equations, known as the finite-gap equations. These equations can be solved for the closed string spectrum of the theory. However, it has been known for some time that the finite-gap equations on these $AdS_3 \times M_7$ backgrounds do not capture the dynamics of the massless modes of the closed string theory. In this paper we re-examine the derivation of the $AdS_3 \times M_7$ finite-gap system. We find that the conditions that had previously been imposed on these integral equations in order to implement the Virasoro constraints are too strict, and are in fact not required. We identify the correct implementation of the Virasoro constraints on finite-gap equations and show that this new, less restrictive condition captures the complete closed string spectrum on $AdS_3 \times M_7$.

KEYWORDS: AdS-CFT Correspondence, Integrable Equations in Physics, Penrose limit and pp-wave background

ARXIV EPRINT: [1312.3268](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.3268)

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	BMN limit of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$	4
3	Coset model, quasimomenta and finite-gap equations	7
3.1	Integrability on symmetric space cosets	7
3.2	WKB analysis and the Virasoro constraint	10
4	Strings on $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times S^1 \subset AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3$	13
4.1	Coset representatives and quasimomenta	13
4.2	Solutions in lightcone gauge	16
4.2.1	Simple example	16
4.2.2	General massless mode in lightcone gauge	17
4.3	Solutions in static gauge	19
4.3.1	Linear solution	20
4.3.2	Periodic solution	21
5	Massless mode from $SU(1,1)^2 \times SU(2)^2 \times SU(2)^2$ quasimomenta	23
6	Finite-gap equations and generalised residue conditions	25
6.1	$D(2,1;\alpha)^2 \times U(1)^2$ finite-gap equations	26
6.2	Matching the full BMN spectrum of $D(2,1;\alpha)^2 \times U(1)^2$	27
6.3	The BMN limit for $PSU(1,1 2)^2 \times (U(1)^4)^2$	30
7	Conclusion	32
A	Residues of quasimomenta on $\mathbb{R} \times S^3 \times S^1$	33
B	Generalised residue conditions for $AdS_5 \times S^5$	35
C	Generalised residue conditions for $AdS_4 \times CP^3$	37
D	$D(2,1;\alpha)^2/SU(1,1) \times SU(2)^2$ in mixed grading	37
E	Decoupled S^1 mode	38

1 Introduction

The integrability approach to the gauge/string correspondence has provided strong evidence for the duality between certain strongly coupled gauge theories and their gravitational string duals. For a review and a complete list of references see [1]. The principal success of this approach has been the maximally supersymmetric dual pair of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory (SYM) and Type IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$, which has 32 real supercharges (see for example [2]).¹ Following the discovery of 2+1-dimensional super Chern-Simons theories with a large amount of supersymmetry [4–7] and their gravitational duals [7], the integrability approach was extended to $\mathcal{N} = 6$ ABJM theory and its gravitational dual the Type IIA string theory on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$, see for example [8–12]. This dual pair has 24 real supercharges. It was found that many of the integrability methods employed in the study of the maximally supersymmetric AdS_5/CFT_4 example could easily be extended and adapted to the AdS_4/CFT_3 case. One novelty of the AdS_4/CFT_3 dual pair is the presence in the spectrum of the string theory of excitations of different masses. This is easiest to see in the plane-wave limit of the theory [13, 14], where we see that there are ‘light’ states of mass $\frac{1}{2}$ and ‘heavy’ states of mass 1. These two types of excitations enter the integrability machinery in a different way to one another. The ‘light’ states can be thought of as elementary particles in the spin-chain description while the ‘heavy’ states appear from the spectrum of these elementary particles.

The integrability approach has more recently been applied to the AdS_3/CFT_2 correspondence [15]. The AdS_3/CFT_2 dual pairs have at most 16 supersymmetries and there are two classes of string geometries with 16 supercharges: $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ and $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$.^{2,3} In these spacetimes the radii of the AdS_3 and S^3 spaces are related to one another. For $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ one has

$$R_{AdS_3} = R_{S^3}, \tag{1.1}$$

while for $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ one has

$$\frac{1}{R_+^2} + \frac{1}{R_-^2} = \frac{1}{R^2}, \tag{1.2}$$

where R_{\pm} are the radii of the two 3-spheres and R is the AdS_3 radius. This latter relationship leads one to define

$$\cos^2 \phi \equiv \frac{R^2}{R_+^2}. \tag{1.3}$$

The moduli of T^4 and S^1 are free parameters of the dual pairs. The presence of this moduli space (when combined also with S-duality) is one of the major novel feature of the

¹Integrability methods can be extended to orbifolds, orientifolds and deformations of this dual pair. See for example [3].

²The backgrounds $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times K3$ for the purpose of this paper can be simply thought of as orbifolds of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$.

³Throughout this paper we restrict our attention to these cases of the AdS_3/CFT_2 correspondence with Ramond-Ramond (R-R) background. The mixed Neveu Schwarz-Neveu Schwarz (NS-NS) R-R flux background for AdS_3/CFT_2 was also shown to be integrable in [16]. Since then there has been much progress in understanding the integrability properties of these backgrounds [17–19].

AdS_3/CFT_2 correspondence as compared with its higher-dimensional higher-supersymmetric cousins. Another important difference is the presence of *massless* as well as massive excitations. In the plane-wave limit of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ one finds states with $m = 0$ and $m = 1$, while the plane-wave limit of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ has states of mass $m = 0, \sin^2 \phi, \cos^2 \phi$ and $m = 1$.⁴

The two classes of AdS_3/CFT_2 pairs are expected to be dual to 1+1-dimensional CFTs whose super-Virasoro algebras are, respectively, the small and large $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ superconformal algebras [25–27]. These infinite-dimensional symmetry algebras have finite-dimensional Lie sub-superalgebras $psu(1, 1|2)^2$ and $d(2, 1; \alpha)^2$, where $\alpha = \cos^2 \phi$. It is expected that the CFT_2 dual of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ is a deformation of the $Sym^N(T^4)$ sigma-model [25]. Beyond representation-theoretic statements, very little is known about the CFT_2 dual of the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ string theory [28].

In the last few years, integrability has been used to investigate these dual pairs.⁵ It was observed in [15], that upon picking a suitable κ -gauge, Type IIB string theory equations of motion on these backgrounds admit a Lax representation and so the theory is classically integrable. The Lax operator was used [15] to write down integral equations known as the finite-gap equations for this system. The finite-gap equations were discretised and an all-loop Bethe ansatz was proposed for the system in [15, 29]. An integrable spin-chain whose spectrum was described by the weak coupling limit of this all-loop Bethe ansatz was constructed in [29, 30]. The all-loop Bethe ansatz has also been obtained from a different direction, by deriving the S-matrix from the symmetries of the theory and writing down the Bethe-ansatz for the associated spin-chain [31–33]. The near-BMN limit of string theory on AdS_3 has been investigated in [34]. One-loop energy corrections have been computed for giant magnons in [35–37] and for spinning strings in [38, 39]. Worldsheet scattering amplitudes have been calculated in [40–42] and compared to the S-matrices in [31] as well as in [43, 44]. The S-matrix crossing relations have been solved in [45] and compared to the one-loop string computations of [37, 38, 41]. Further, unitary methods have been used in [46, 47] to study the S-matrix. Integrability has also been investigated in the context of BTZ black-holes [48, 49].

It was already observed in [15] that the finite-gap equations (and hence the all-loop Bethe ansatz) captured the dynamics of massive modes, but not the massless modes.⁶ In this paper we show how to incorporate these missing massless modes into the finite-gap equations. We begin in section 2 with a brief review of the BMN limit of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$.

⁴The massless modes in the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ theory come from the T^4 bosons and their superpartners. In the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ theory one of the massless bosons comes from the S^1 direction, while the other comes from the fact that, in choosing the light-like geodesic needed for the plane-wave limit, there is freedom in which linear combination of geodesics on the two S^3 factors one picks. The plane-wave limits of the AdS_3 backgrounds were investigated in [20–24].

⁵From the string theory point of view the two AdS_3 backgrounds could be treated in parallel, and, what is more, the $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ limit of the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ theory gives the (partially decompactified) $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ theory.

⁶Because of the presence of integrability, it is expected that the integrable description of massive modes will get modified in a controlled fashion by adding the massless modes, rather than changing the all-loop Bethe Ansatz completely.

Then, in section 3 we re-examine the way that the Virasoro constraints are imposed on the finite-gap equations. We find that the way the constraints had been imposed previously in the literature (for example in [15]) is, in general, too strict. We identify the precise condition placed on the finite-gap equations by the Virasoro constraints. We shall refer to this condition as the generalised residue condition (GRC). The GRC is generically less restrictive than the condition used in much of the previous literature.⁷

To illustrate the role of the GRC, in section 4 we focus on the bosonic mode of the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ theory *not* associated with the S^1 direction. We show that classical string solutions that excite this mode satisfy finite-gap equations when the GRC is imposed. On the other hand, these solutions do not satisfy the constraints previously used in the literature, further explaining the absence of massless modes from the old finite-gap equations. Then, in sections 5 and 6 we show how the complete spectrum of string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ in the BMN limit can be reproduced from the finite-gap equations and the GRC condition. We also show that the complete spectrum for string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ in the BMN limit can also be obtained using the GRC.

In appendices B and C, we show that for the finite-gap equations of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ backgrounds the GRC reduces to the old conditions imposed previously in the literature. This is to be expected, as it is well known that for those backgrounds the finite-gap equations previously used in the literature do reproduce the complete spectrum. It is only for backgrounds such as the AdS_3 cases we investigate here that the GRC does *not* reduce to the conditions used in the previous literature.

2 BMN limit of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$

In this section we will briefly review the BMN limit [13, 14] of string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ [20, 24] and see how the modes of different masses appear.⁸ Starting from the metric

$$\begin{aligned}
 ds^2 = R^2 \left[d\rho^2 - \cosh^2 \rho dt^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\gamma^2 + \frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi} (d\theta_1^2 + \cos^2 \theta_1 d\psi_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 d\varphi_1^2) \right. \\
 \left. + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \phi} (d\theta_2 + \cos^2 \theta_2 d\psi_2^2 + \sin^2 \theta_2 d\varphi_2^2) + d\chi^2 \right], \tag{2.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

we change coordinates as follows (with ζ being any real constant for now):

$$\begin{aligned}
 t = x^+ + \frac{x^-}{R^2}, \quad \rho = \frac{\tilde{x}_2}{R}, \quad \theta_1 = \cos \phi \frac{\tilde{x}_4}{R}, \quad \theta_2 = \sin \phi \frac{\tilde{x}_6}{R}, \quad \chi = \frac{x_8}{R}, \\
 \psi_1 = \cos \zeta \cos \phi \left(x^+ - \frac{x^-}{R^2} \right) - \sin \zeta \cos \phi \frac{x_1}{R}, \quad \psi_2 = \sin \zeta \sin \phi \left(x^+ - \frac{x^-}{R^2} \right) + \cos \zeta \sin \phi \frac{x_1}{R}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

⁷The $O(4)$ sigma model, which shares some of the features of the AdS_3 backgrounds we consider here was investigated in [50].

⁸The BMN limit of string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ is discussed in [21–23].

and keep only the leading term in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$. The metric reduces to

$$ds^2 = -4dx^+ dx^- + \sum_{i=1}^8 m_i^2 x_i^2 (dx^+)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^8 dx_i^2, \quad (2.3)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} (x_2, x_3) &= (\tilde{x}_2 \cos \gamma, \tilde{x}_2 \sin \gamma), \\ (x_4, x_5) &= (\tilde{x}_4 \cos \varphi_1, \tilde{x}_4 \sin \varphi_1), \\ (x_6, x_7) &= (\tilde{x}_6 \cos \varphi_2, \tilde{x}_6 \sin \varphi_2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

and masses m_i , given by

$$m_2 = m_3 = 1, \quad m_4 = m_5 = \cos \zeta \cos \phi, \quad m_6 = m_7 = \sin \zeta \sin \phi, \quad m_1 = m_8 = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

The parameter ζ defines a 1-parameter family of metrics obtained from $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ via Penrose limits. This freedom comes from the choice of a relative angle between the geodesics in the two S^3 factors. Type II string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ preserves 16 supersymmetries. These remain symmetries of the plane wave limit metric (2.3); in addition for special values of ζ there are extra supersymmetries [26]. If we choose $\zeta = \phi$, string theory on (2.3) preserves 20 supersymmetries [20, 24]. From now on, it will be assumed that we are making this choice, and that the BMN limit has masses

$$m_2 = m_3 = 1, \quad m_4 = m_5 = \cos^2 \phi, \quad m_6 = m_7 = \sin^2 \phi, \quad m_1 = m_8 = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

To find the bosonic spectrum of string theory, we impose conformal gauge $g_{ab} = \eta_{ab}$ and lightcone gauge $x^+ = \kappa\tau$. The equation of motion for x_i then becomes

$$(-\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_\sigma^2)x_i = \kappa^2 m_i^2 x_i \quad (2.7)$$

and x^- is determined uniquely from the Virasoro constraints, which in this gauge are

$$\partial_\tau x^- = \frac{1}{4\kappa} \sum_i ((\partial_\tau x_i)^2 + (\partial_\sigma x_i)^2 - \kappa^2 m_i^2 x_i^2), \quad \partial_\sigma x^- = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_i (\partial_\tau x_i)(\partial_\sigma x_i). \quad (2.8)$$

In lightcone gauge x^+ and x^- become non-dynamical variables and the gauge-fixed Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \sum_{i=1}^8 [(2\pi\alpha')^2 p_i^2 + (\partial_\sigma x_i)^2 + \kappa^2 m_i^2 x_i^2]. \quad (2.9)$$

Solving the equations of motion (2.7), the x^i have the following mode expansion:

$$x^i = X_0^i + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_n^i}} \left(a_n^i e^{-i(\omega_n^i \tau + n\sigma)} + a_n^{i\dagger} e^{i(\omega_n^i \tau + n\sigma)} + \tilde{a}_n^i e^{-i(\omega_n^i \tau - n\sigma)} + \tilde{a}_n^{i\dagger} e^{i(\omega_n^i \tau - n\sigma)} \right), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\omega_n^i = \sqrt{n^2 + \kappa^2 m_i^2}, \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$X_0^i = x_0^i \cos \kappa m \tau + \frac{\alpha'}{\kappa m} p_0^i \sin \kappa m \tau \quad (2.12)$$

for massive modes and

$$X_0^i = x_0^i + \alpha' p_0^i \tau + w^i \sigma \quad (2.13)$$

in the massless case $m_i = 0$.⁹

We can insert this mode expansion into the lightcone Hamiltonian (2.9). Define the zero modes, for the massive case, as

$$a_0^i = \tilde{a}_0^i = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{\kappa m_i}} p_0^i + \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa m_i}{\alpha'}} x_0^i, \quad (2.14)$$

then we have

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^8 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_n^i N_n^i + \frac{1}{2\alpha'} [(\alpha' p_0^1)^2 + (w^1)^2 + (\alpha' p_0^8)^2 + (w^8)^2], \quad (2.15)$$

with N_n^i the number operator defined as

$$N_n^i = a_n^{i\dagger} a_n^i + \tilde{a}_n^{i\dagger} \tilde{a}_n^i. \quad (2.16)$$

Now we consider conserved Noether charges. From the independence of the metric on the coordinates x^+ and x^- we get conserved charges P_+ and P_- upon integrating the conjugate momenta p_+ and p_- . These are related to more natural charges: the energy $E = i\partial_\tau$, and an angular momentum $J = -i\partial_\eta$ coming from the spatial coordinate

$$\eta = x^+ - \frac{x^-}{R^2}. \quad (2.17)$$

Then we have

$$P_+ = i\partial_+ = i(\partial_t + \partial_\eta) = E - J, \quad P_- = i\partial_- = \frac{i}{R^2}(\partial_t - \partial_\eta) = \frac{E + J}{R^2} \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$P_+ = \frac{H}{\kappa} = E - J = \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{i=1}^8 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_n^i N_n^i + \frac{1}{2\alpha'\kappa} [(\alpha' p_0^1)^2 + (w^1)^2 + (\alpha' p_0^8)^2 + (w^8)^2]. \quad (2.19)$$

Since

$$P_- = \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma p_- = \frac{1}{\pi\alpha'} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\tau x^+ = \frac{2\kappa}{\alpha'}, \quad (2.20)$$

we find $E + J = 2\sqrt{\lambda}\kappa$, with $\sqrt{\lambda} = \frac{R^2}{\alpha'}$. To leading order in a large J expansion, $E + J \approx 2J$. So writing the right-hand side of (2.19) in terms of J instead of κ , to leading order we have $\kappa = \frac{J}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ and so

$$E - J = \sum_{i=1}^8 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{m_i^2 + \frac{\lambda n^2}{J^2}} N_n^i + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\alpha'J} [(\alpha' p_0^1)^2 + (w^1)^2 + (\alpha' p_0^8)^2 + (w^8)^2]. \quad (2.21)$$

⁹The winding w in the massless mode is only present if the direction associated to the massless mode in the metric is compact.

3 Coset model, quasimomenta and finite-gap equations

In this section we will review classical integrability of strings on symmetric space cosets and finite-gap equations [51–53].¹⁰ In section 3.1 we write down a Lax connection [55] and from this introduce the complex functions called the quasimomenta which encode the dynamics of the system in their analyticity properties. The quasimomenta satisfy so-called finite-gap equations along their branch cuts. In addition, the quasimomenta always have two simple poles. In section 3.2 we examine the residues at these poles using the auxiliary linear problem, and show that the Virasoro constraints appear in the context of the quasimomenta as a condition on these residues. We emphasise that the condition on the residues which is strictly equivalent to the Virasoro constraints is a more general one than the condition which has been assumed to hold in the literature. We will show in the following sections that these new residue conditions are needed to encode the massless modes into the finite-gap equations of string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3$.

3.1 Integrability on symmetric space cosets

Consider a coset G/H_0 , where G is a supergroup and H_0 a bosonic sub-group, corresponding to a so-called semi-symmetric space [56]. By definition, such spaces have a \mathbb{Z}_4 automorphism acting on them, with the automorphism acting as identity on H_0 . String theory on such cosets is known to be integrable [55]. In the case of AdS_3 backgrounds we have $G = H \times H$ corresponding to left- and right-moving sectors of the dual CFT_2 . For simplicity let us restrict our attention for now to the bosonic sector of the action, where the \mathbb{Z}_4 automorphism reduces to a \mathbb{Z}_2 automorphism. For bosonic strings in $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3$ we have $H_0 = SU(1,1) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)$. In the general overview in this subsection we mainly follow [57], and refer the reader to references therein.

We consider an element $g \in G$, and the associated Maurer-Cartan one-form in the Lie algebra of G ,

$$j = g^{-1}dg \in \mathfrak{g} . \tag{3.1}$$

Since G/H is a symmetric space, there exists a \mathbb{Z}_2 automorphism Ω acting on \mathfrak{g} , under which we can decompose j as $j = j^{(0)} + j^{(2)}$ where $j^{(0)}$ and $j^{(2)}$ belong to, respectively, the +1 and -1 eigenspaces of Ω . Explicitly we have

$$j^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}(j + \Omega(j)), \quad j^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}(j - \Omega(j)) . \tag{3.2}$$

The action is

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \eta^{\alpha\beta} \text{tr} \left(j_\alpha^{(2)} j_\beta^{(2)} \right) , \tag{3.3}$$

where we have already fixed conformal gauge $g^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta}$ in the worldsheet metric. The equation of motion for $j^{(2)}$ is

$$\eta^{\alpha\beta} \left(\partial_\alpha j_\beta^{(2)} + [j_\alpha^{(0)}, j_\beta^{(2)}] \right) = 0 , \tag{3.4}$$

¹⁰For a more complete discussion and further references see the review [54].

the Maurer-Cartan relation (Bianchi identity) is

$$\partial_\alpha j_\beta - \partial_\beta j_\alpha + [j_\alpha, j_\beta] = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

and the Virasoro constraints are

$$\text{tr} \left[(j_\tau^{(2)})^2 + (j_\sigma^{(2)})^2 \right] = \text{tr} \left[j_\tau^{(2)} j_\sigma^{(2)} \right] = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

We introduce a Lax connection:

$$L_\alpha = j_\alpha^{(0)} + \frac{z^2 + 1}{z^2 - 1} j_\alpha^{(2)} - \frac{2z}{z^2 - 1} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\beta\gamma} j_\gamma^{(2)}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ is the two-dimensional antisymmetric tensor with $\epsilon^{01} = 1$, and the spectral parameter z is an auxiliary complex parameter giving us a family of connections. The equation of motion (3.4) and the Maurer-Cartan relation (3.5) are equivalent to the flatness of the Lax connection:

$$\partial_{[\alpha} L_{\beta]} + L_{[\alpha} L_{\beta]} = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

We define the monodromy matrix as the path ordered exponential of the Lax connection,

$$M(z) = \text{Pexp} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma L_\sigma(z). \tag{3.9}$$

The flatness condition on the Lax connection means that we could equivalently define $M(z)$ to be the integral around any closed curve, but it will be simplest in practice to use a curve of constant τ .

Since $L(z) \in \mathfrak{g}$, $M(z) \in G$. If H_l is the Cartan basis of \mathfrak{g} , then we can diagonalize $M(z)$ by introducing functions $p_l(z)$ such that

$$M(z) = \exp \left(\sum_{l=1}^R p_l(z) H_l \right) \tag{3.10}$$

in a diagonal basis, where R is the rank of the algebra \mathfrak{g} . The functions $p_l(z)$ are called the *quasimomenta*. The dynamics of the sigma model (3.3) are encoded in the analyticity properties of the quasimomenta.

The Lax connection has simple poles at $z = \pm 1$ but is otherwise analytic. The quasimomenta inherit these poles from the Lax connection, but may also contain branch cuts. For each quasimomentum p_l we introduce a new index i to count the cuts and denote the collection of branch cuts for p_l by $C_{l,i}$. On these cuts we consider the monodromies of the quasimomenta, coming from the way in which the Riemann surfaces of the quasimomenta are collectively joined and the fact that the quasimomenta are only defined up to multiples of $2\pi i n$. The monodromy relations are¹¹

$$A_{lm} \not{p}_m(z) = 2\pi i n_{l,i}, \quad z \in C_{l,i}, \quad n_{l,i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.11}$$

¹¹For ordinary square root branch cuts the right-hand side of (3.11) would be zero. Without the Cartan matrix, the non-zero right-hand side of (3.11) could be understood by the ambiguity of an overall phase in p_l . The presence of the Cartan matrix arises from the fact that the monodromy matrix itself is gauge-dependent, and as a consequence of this the quasimomenta are also only defined up to transformations from the Weyl group. See [15] for more details.

where A_{lm} is the Cartan matrix of the group and

$$\not{p}_l(z) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (p_l(z + \epsilon) + p_l(z - \epsilon)), \quad z \in C_{l,i}, \quad (3.12)$$

with ϵ a complex number normal to the branch cut.

We can choose to parametrize the residues at the poles by their sum and difference, defining constants κ_l and m_l so that as $z \rightarrow \pm 1$:

$$p_l = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\kappa_l z + 2\pi m_l}{z \mp 1} + \dots \quad (3.13)$$

The quasimomenta possess an inversion symmetry inherited from the action of the automorphism Ω on the Lax connection. Since $j^{(0)}$ and $j^{(2)}$ are defined by the action of Ω , we get from the definition of the Lax (3.7) that

$$\Omega(L_\alpha(z)) = L_\alpha\left(\frac{1}{z}\right). \quad (3.14)$$

This uplifts to an inversion on the monodromy matrix

$$\Omega(M(z)) = M\left(\frac{1}{z}\right). \quad (3.15)$$

From this we get an inversion symmetry on the quasimomenta determined by the action of the automorphism on the Cartan basis. If we introduce a matrix S_{lm} such that

$$\Omega(H_l) = \sum_{m=1}^R S_{lm} H_m \quad (3.16)$$

then

$$p_l\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \sum_{m=1}^R S_{lm} p_m(z). \quad (3.17)$$

The Noether charges can be found from the quasimomenta by considering either the limit $z \rightarrow 0$ or $z \rightarrow \infty$ (these limits are related by the inversion symmetry). For $z \rightarrow 0$ for example, the Lax connection can be expanded as

$$L_\sigma = j_\sigma^{(0)} - j_\sigma^{(2)} - 2z j_\tau^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(z^2), \quad (3.18)$$

and $j_\tau^{(2)}$, upon integration over σ , contains the Noether charges. Recall that the equations of motion (3.4) imply the conserved current equation

$$\partial_\alpha (g \eta^{\alpha\beta} j_\beta^{(2)} g^{-1}) = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

As mentioned above, the quasimomenta will generally contain branch cuts. We can obtain a so-called *spectral representation* of the quasimomenta in terms of integrals along these branch cuts. We introduce a density function

$$\rho_l(z) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (p_l(z + \epsilon) - p_l(z - \epsilon)), \quad z \in C_{l,i}. \quad (3.20)$$

Then we have the spectral representation of p_l :¹²

$$p_l(z) = \frac{\kappa_l z + 2\pi m_l}{z^2 - 1} + p_l(\infty) + \int_{C_{l,i}} dw \frac{\rho_l(w)}{z - w} . \quad (3.21)$$

The spectral representation is derived assuming nothing about p_l except the nature of its poles and branch cuts. However, we also know that the quasimomenta must satisfy the inversion symmetry (3.17). This places restrictions on κ_l , m_l and $p_l(\infty)$:

$$S_{lm}\kappa_m = -\kappa_l, \quad S_{lm}m_m = -m_l, \quad S_{lm}p_m(\infty) = p_l(\infty) - 2\pi m_l . \quad (3.22)$$

For our purposes we will be able to choose the automorphism Ω such that $S_{lm} = -\delta_{lm}$.¹³ In this case the first two relations above are immediately satisfied, and the third determines the constant $p_l(\infty)$ to be

$$p_l(\infty) = \pi m_l . \quad (3.23)$$

For a function defined in terms of a density integral as in (3.21), we can apply the Sochocki-Plemelj formula [58, 59] to evaluate the integral when we take z to be on the contour of integration. With the monodromy of the quasimomentum given by equation (3.11), we get from the Sochocki-Plemelj formula

$$A_{lm} \oint_{C_{l,i}} dw \frac{\rho_m(w)}{z - w} = -A_{lm} \frac{\kappa_m z + 2\pi m_m}{z^2 - 1} - \pi A_{lm} m_m + 2\pi n_{l,i}, \quad z \in C_{l,i} . \quad (3.24)$$

These are the finite-gap equations of the system. In the next subsection we see how the Virasoro constraints place restrictions on κ_l and m_l .

3.2 WKB analysis and the Virasoro constraint

There is an equivalent setting [60] in which to define the monodromy matrix and quasimomenta from a flat Lax connection. In this section we introduce this setting and show one use for it: considering how the Virasoro constraints appear at the level of the quasimomenta.

In the so-called auxiliary linear problem, the Lax connection, viewed as a matrix-valued function of the spectral parameter, is taken to act on a vector space of functions $\Psi_i(\sigma, \tau, z)$ through the first order differential equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^N (\delta_{ij} \partial_\sigma - (L_\sigma)_{ij}) \Psi_j(\sigma) = 0 . \quad (3.25)$$

¹²This result comes from applying the Cauchy integral formula on an infinite domain to the function obtained by subtracting the poles from p_l , which is analytic outside this contour surrounding all the cuts. (3.21) then follows by shrinking the contour down onto the cuts. In the case that p_l is meromorphic, this argument is clearly no longer valid. But in that case (3.21) still holds with $\rho_l = 0$, since in this case subtracting the poles from the quasimomentum gives an entire function, and the only entire function satisfying the inversion symmetry is a constant.

¹³If we suppress the distinction between the left-moving and right-moving quasimomenta, as we will indeed be doing later, then this is the form the inversion symmetry will take for us when considering bosonic quasimomenta on $SU(1,1) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)$. If we explicitly distinguish the left-moving and right-moving parts then the inversion symmetry also interchanges them.

where L_σ is a $N \times N$ matrix. The monodromy matrix may be obtained through the relation

$$\Psi_i(\sigma + 2\pi, z) = \sum_{j=1}^N M_{ij}(z) \Psi_j(\sigma, z) \tag{3.26}$$

and we use a basis where $M(z)$ is diagonal with the quasimomenta p_l on the diagonal,¹⁴ as in (3.10)

$$\Psi_i(\sigma + 2\pi, z) = e^{ip_i(z)} \Psi_i(\sigma, z), \tag{3.27}$$

We know that the quasimomenta have poles at $z = \pm 1$. Let us determine the residues of these poles by solving the auxiliary linear problem (3.25) in the limit $z \rightarrow \pm 1$. We denote $h = z \mp 1$ in this limit, so that h is a small parameter we can expand in, and define

$$V = -ihL_\sigma = -i \left(j_\tau^{(2)} \pm j_\sigma^{(2)} \right) + \mathcal{O}(h), \quad h = z \mp 1. \tag{3.28}$$

Since L has simple poles at $z = \pm 1$, V is a regular function of h . We make the Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (WKB) ansatz

$$\Psi_i(\sigma, z) = \exp \left(i \frac{S_i(\sigma, h)}{h} \right) \xi_i(h), \tag{3.29}$$

with $\partial_\sigma \xi = 0$, so the defining equation (3.25) of the system becomes the eigenvalue equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^N V_{ij} \Psi_j = (\partial_\sigma S_l) \Psi_i. \tag{3.30}$$

In other words, $\partial_\sigma S_l$ are the eigenvalues of V . There are R such independent eigenvalues, where R is the rank of the group, hence we use the index l running from 1 to R .

With the ansatz (3.29), equation (3.27) is solved by

$$p_l(z) = \frac{1}{h} (S_l(\sigma + 2\pi, h) - S_l(\sigma, h)) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\sigma S_l(\sigma, h). \tag{3.31}$$

We now have an expression for the quasimomenta in terms of $S_l(\sigma, h)$. Since

$$\text{tr}(V^2) = -\text{tr} \left(j_\tau^{(2)} \pm j_\sigma^{(2)} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O}(h), \quad h = z \mp 1, \tag{3.32}$$

the Virasoro constraints, (3.6), imply that $\text{tr}(V^2) = 0$ to leading order in h . Equation (3.30) tells us that $\partial_\sigma S_l$ are the eigenvalues of V , so the Virasoro constraints imply¹⁵

$$\sum_{l=1}^R (\partial_\sigma S_l)^2 = 0 + \mathcal{O}(h). \tag{3.33}$$

¹⁴We will see why the index l appears here shortly.

¹⁵We are assuming here that we are dealing with bosonic quasimomenta only, so that the Cartan matrix can be chosen to be the identity matrix. In section 6 we give the generalised residue conditions for quasimomenta belonging to a supercoset where we need to include the Cartan matrix.

If we define

$$f_l^\pm(\sigma) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \partial_\sigma S(h, \sigma), \quad h = z \mp 1, \quad (3.34)$$

then taking the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ of equation (3.31) gives the residues of the quasimomenta as integrals of the functions f_l^\pm :

$$\frac{1}{2}(\kappa_l \pm 2\pi m_l) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma f_l^\pm(\sigma), \quad (3.35)$$

while equation (3.33), which came from the Virasoro constraints, can be written in terms of f_l^\pm as

$$\sum_{l=1}^R (f_l^\pm)^2 = 0 \quad . \quad (3.36)$$

Thus, the condition that the Virasoro constraints place upon the residues of the quasimomenta can be stated as follows: the residues can be written as integrals in the form (3.35), such that the integrands satisfy equation (3.36). To clarify this further: there are obviously many different functions of σ which give the same result upon integration from 0 to 2π , and so many choices of f_l^\pm such that (3.35) holds. The condition placed on the residues by the Virasoro constraints is that for at least one of these choices, equation (3.36) holds.

If we knew the residues, and wanted to write down functions to represent them via (3.35), the most obvious and simple choice would be to choose the constant functions

$$f_l^\pm(\sigma) = \frac{1}{4\pi}(\kappa_l \pm 2\pi m_l) \quad . \quad (3.37)$$

Although we can always make this choice to satisfy equation (3.35), it is not in general guaranteed that this choice for f_l^\pm will satisfy the condition (3.36). The Virasoro constraints imply only that one of the many possible choices for f_l^\pm in equation (3.35) satisfies equation (3.36), not that all possible choices do, or that one particular simple choice does. When the constant functions given by equation (3.37) do satisfy equation (3.36), then the condition on the residues can be written as

$$\sum_{l=1}^R (\kappa_l \pm 2\pi m_l)^2 = 0 \quad . \quad (3.38)$$

In much of the literature (see [57] for example), it is the condition of equation (3.38) that has been taken to hold. In the next section we consider explicit sigma model solutions for strings on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3$ and their associated quasimomenta. For each solution we will discuss whether the residues satisfy (3.38) or only the more general condition written in equations (3.35) and (3.36). We will see that solutions containing massless modes do not satisfy (3.38), but do satisfy the generalised conditions (3.35) and (3.36). This will show explicitly that the generalised residue condition must be used in the finite-gap equations in order to capture the dynamics of the massless modes.

4 Strings on $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times S^1 \subset AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3$

In this section we consider solutions on the subspace $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times S^1 \subset AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3$, with the metric

$$ds^2 = R^2 \left[-dt^2 + \frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi} d\psi_1^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \phi} d\psi_2^2 \right]. \quad (4.1)$$

This subspace contains the coset massless mode of the spectrum in the BMN limit.¹⁶ If we choose to consider solutions in lightcone gauge in this space with the Virasoro constraints solved before quantization, then we are looking at precisely the same BMN massless mode quantization that we considered as part of the full space in section 2. We will look first at solutions in lightcone gauge, and then in static gauge ($t = \kappa\tau$), since this latter gauge features prominently in the finite-gap analysis. As we will see, the choice of gauge will not affect the dynamics of the general solution. Indeed we will check very explicitly that we have the same form of expression for $E - J$ for each.

We will see presently that the quasimomenta on this subspace have a very simple analytic structure; they have no branch points or cuts, only simple poles at $z = \pm 1$. This makes it straightforward to write down the most general quasimomenta for any solution on this space and will serve as a guide for how to incorporate this massless mode into the finite-gap equations.

4.1 Coset representatives and quasimomenta

In this subsection we will give an explicit coset representation for solutions on the $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times S^1$ subspace, chosen in such a way that the quasimomenta are particularly simple to compute. We show that the quasimomenta have no branch points or cuts, and so can be written completely in terms of the residues. In particular, we will write down the most general quasimomenta for any solution on this subspace in terms of the numbers κ_l and m_l , and what κ_l and m_l are in terms of a particular coordinate solution $t(\sigma, \tau)$, $\psi_1(\sigma, \tau)$ and $\psi_2(\sigma, \tau)$. We show how the generalised residue conditions (3.35) and (3.36) are clearly equivalent to the Virasoro conditions expressed in terms of the coordinates. Lastly we write down an expression for $E - J$ in terms of κ_l and m_l , which we will use later when we consider particular solutions to show that the correct massless dispersion relation appears from the quasimomenta of those solutions.

In the bosonic case the most natural choice of group representative g is a direct sum $g = g_0 \oplus g_1 \oplus g_2$ with $g_0 \in SU(1, 1) \times SU(1, 1)$ and $g_i \in (SU(2)_i)^2$, where $SU(2)_1$, respectively $SU(2)_2$, is the group manifold for the sphere of radius $\frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi}$, respectively $\frac{1}{\sin^2 \phi}$. In particular, we choose the coset representatives as follows:

$$g_1 = \frac{1}{\cos \phi} \text{diag} \left(e^{i\frac{\psi_1}{2}}, e^{-i\frac{\psi_1}{2}}, e^{i\frac{\psi_1}{2}}, e^{-i\frac{\psi_1}{2}} \right), \quad g_2 = \frac{1}{\sin \phi} \text{diag} \left(e^{i\frac{\psi_2}{2}}, e^{-i\frac{\psi_2}{2}}, e^{i\frac{\psi_2}{2}}, e^{-i\frac{\psi_2}{2}} \right), \quad (4.2)$$

¹⁶Not the one which appears simply as the dynamics of the isolated S^1 .

and

$$g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \frac{t}{2} & \sinh \frac{t}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh \frac{t}{2} & \cosh \frac{t}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cosh \frac{t}{2} & -\sinh \frac{t}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -\sinh \frac{t}{2} & \cosh \frac{t}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.3)$$

Then the current $j = g^{-1}dg$ is

$$j = \frac{dt}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \frac{i}{\cos \phi} \frac{d\psi_1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \frac{i}{\sin \phi} \frac{d\psi_2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.4)$$

The \mathbb{Z}_2 automorphism on the space is defined here as $\Omega(j) = Kj^tK$, where

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.5)$$

For all j 's given here, this acts as $\Omega(j) = -j$, so $j^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}(j + \Omega(j)) = 0$, $j^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}(j - \Omega(j)) = j$.

We can check explicitly that the coset action (3.3) gives us the sigma model action on the metric (4.1),

$$\text{tr}((j^{(2)})^2) = -2 \left(-dt^2 + \frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi} d\psi_1^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \phi} d\psi_2^2 \right). \quad (4.6)$$

Since $j^{(0)} = 0$, the Lax connection is (cf. equation (3.7))

$$L_\sigma = \frac{1}{z^2 - 1} \left((z^2 + 1)j_\sigma + 2zj_\tau \right). \quad (4.7)$$

The Lax connection is given by a direct sum of three matrices, each of which takes the form of a constant matrix multiplied by a function.¹⁷ In this case, the path-ordered exponential taking us from the Lax connection to the monodromy matrix, given in equation (3.9), reduces to an ordinary matrix exponential of the integrals of the scalar functions. It is then straightforward to read-off the quasimomenta

$$p_1(z) = -\frac{1}{2 \cos \phi} \frac{1}{z^2 - 1} \left((z^2 + 1) \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\sigma \psi_1(\sigma, \tau = 0) + 2z \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\tau \psi_1(\sigma, \tau = 0) \right), \quad (4.8)$$

$$p_2(z) = -\frac{1}{2 \sin \phi} \frac{1}{z^2 - 1} \left((z^2 + 1) \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\sigma \psi_2(\sigma, \tau = 0) + 2z \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\tau \psi_2(\sigma, \tau = 0) \right) \quad (4.9)$$

¹⁷Classical solutions studied in [61] have a similarly simple Lax connection.

and

$$p_0(z) = \frac{i}{2} \frac{1}{z^2 - 1} \left((z^2 + 1) \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\sigma t(\sigma, \tau = 0) + 2z \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\tau t(\sigma, \tau = 0) \right). \quad (4.10)$$

The quasimomenta can be written in the form of the spectral representation (3.21), but with no cuts

$$p_l(z) = \frac{\kappa_l z + 2\pi m_l}{z^2 - 1} + \pi m_l \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_0 &= i \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\tau t, & \kappa_1 &= -\frac{1}{\cos \phi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\tau \psi_1, & \kappa_2 &= -\frac{1}{\sin \phi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\tau \psi_2, \\ 2\pi m_0 &= i \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\sigma t, & 2\pi m_1 &= -\frac{1}{\cos \phi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\sigma \psi_1, & 2\pi m_2 &= -\frac{1}{\sin \phi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\sigma \psi_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Since t must be periodic in σ , we have $m_0 = 0$. We also get conditions for integer winding modes on ψ_1 and ψ_2 , namely $m_1 \cos \phi \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m_2 \sin \phi \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We noted earlier that the $\mathcal{O}(z)$ term in the quasimomenta as $z \rightarrow 0$ should give us the Noether charges of the solution (cf. equations (3.18) and (3.19)). For these solutions we have, as $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$p_l(z) = -\pi m_l - \kappa_l z + \dots \quad (4.13)$$

and we see that κ_l are related to the Noether charges defined from the sigma model action, the energy E and angular momenta J_1 and J_2 given by:

$$E = \frac{R^2}{2\pi\alpha'} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\tau t, \quad J_1 = \frac{R^2}{2\pi\alpha' \cos^2 \phi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\tau \psi_1, \quad J_2 = \frac{R^2}{2\pi\alpha' \sin^2 \phi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\tau \psi_2, \quad (4.14)$$

so that

$$\kappa_0 = i \frac{2\pi\alpha'}{R^2} E, \quad \kappa_1 = -\frac{2\pi \cos \phi \alpha'}{R^2} J_1, \quad \kappa_2 = -\frac{2\pi \sin \phi \alpha'}{R^2} J_2. \quad (4.15)$$

The coefficients of higher order terms in the Taylor expansion of the quasimomenta around $z = 0$ give higher conserved charges. For these simple solutions in flat space we can easily see what these terms are. At $\mathcal{O}(z^n)$, the quasimomentum p_l is either proportional to κ_l or m_l , depending on whether n is odd or even.

We can see for these simple solutions how the Virasoro constraints restrict the residues of the quasimomenta, as discussed in section 2.2. Using equation (4.12), we can read off the functions f_l whose σ -integrals are related to the κ_l through (3.35)

$$f_0 = \frac{i}{2} (\partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma) t, \quad f_1 = -\frac{1}{2 \cos \phi} (\partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma) \psi_1, \quad f_2 = -\frac{1}{2 \sin \phi} (\partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma) \psi_2. \quad (4.16)$$

A straightforward check then confirms how, for $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times S^1$, the generalised residue conditions (3.35) and (3.36) are equivalent to the Virasoro condition expressed on the coordinates,

$$[(\partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma) t]^2 = \frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi} [(\partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma) \psi_1]^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \phi} [(\partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma) \psi_2]^2. \quad (4.17)$$

We noted at the end of section 2.2 that the GRC reduces to the previously used condition (3.38) when the functions $f_l(\sigma)$ are constants. For these solutions on $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times S^1$, we can see this occurs only when t , ψ_1 and ψ_2 are all linear functions of τ and σ (i.e. when the zero mode and winding mode are excited but all other excitations are absent).

It is useful at this point to write down a general expression for $E - J$ in terms of the κ_l . Recall that J was defined as the Noether charge associated with the angle η given in (2.17), so in the $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times S^1$ subspace it is given by

$$J = \frac{R^2}{\alpha'} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\tau \eta = \cos^2 \phi J_1 + \sin^2 \phi J_2 \tag{4.18}$$

and therefore

$$E - J = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi} (-i\kappa_0 + \cos \phi \kappa_1 + \sin \phi \kappa_2) . \tag{4.19}$$

4.2 Solutions in lightcone gauge

In this subsection we consider solutions in lightcone gauge $x^+ = \kappa\tau$. In this gauge, it is most natural to write down a solution in the coordinates (x^+, x^-, x_1) and then switch to the coordinates (t, ψ_1, ψ_2) . Just as in section 2, a solution is given uniquely by specifying x_1 , as x^- is determined by the Virasoro constraints (2.8). We will look first at a simple example, and then consider the most general mode expansion for x_1 . When we do so, we will see that imposing the condition (3.38) on the residues of the quasimomenta would remove every excitation of this massless mode.¹⁸

4.2.1 Simple example

Consider

$$x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha'}{n}} (a \cos n(\sigma + \tau) + \tilde{a} \cos \tilde{n}(\tau - \sigma)) , \tag{4.20}$$

with a a real constant and n an integer. Then the Virasoro constraints determine x^- :

$$x^- = \frac{\alpha'}{2\kappa} \left[na(\tau + \sigma) + \tilde{n}\tilde{a}(\tau - \sigma) - \frac{a}{4} \sin 2n(\tau + \sigma) - \frac{\tilde{a}}{4} \sin 2\tilde{n}(\tau - \sigma) \right] . \tag{4.21}$$

In terms of t , ψ_1 and ψ_2 the solution is

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \kappa\tau + \frac{\alpha'}{2\kappa R^2} \left[na(\tau + \sigma) + \tilde{n}\tilde{a}(\tau - \sigma) - \frac{a}{4} \sin 2n(\tau + \sigma) - \frac{\tilde{a}}{4} \sin 2\tilde{n}(\tau - \sigma) \right] , \\ \psi_1 &= \kappa\tau \cos^2 \phi - \cos^2 \phi \frac{\alpha'}{2\kappa R^2} \left[na(\tau + \sigma) + \tilde{n}\tilde{a}(\tau - \sigma) - \frac{a}{4} \sin 2n(\tau + \sigma) - \frac{\tilde{a}}{4} \sin 2\tilde{n}(\tau - \sigma) \right] \\ &\quad - \sin \phi \cos \phi \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha'}{n}} (a \cos n(\sigma + \tau) + \tilde{a} \cos \tilde{n}(\tau - \sigma)) , \\ \psi_2 &= \kappa\tau \sin^2 \phi - \sin^2 \phi \frac{\alpha'}{2\kappa R^2} \left[na(\tau + \sigma) + \tilde{n}\tilde{a}(\tau - \sigma) - \frac{a}{4} \sin 2n(\tau + \sigma) - \frac{\tilde{a}}{4} \sin 2\tilde{n}(\tau - \sigma) \right] \\ &\quad + \sin \phi \cos \phi \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha'}{n}} (a \cos n(\sigma + \tau) + \tilde{a} \cos \tilde{n}(\tau - \sigma)) . \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

¹⁸With the exception of the zero-mode and winding which we will discuss later.

The quasimomenta for this solution are given in the standard form (4.11), with κ_l and m_l found by inserting the above expression for t , ψ_1 and ψ_2 into (4.12) to get

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_0 &= 2\pi i \left(\kappa + \frac{\alpha'(na + \tilde{n}\tilde{a})}{2\kappa R^2} \right), & 2\pi m_0 &= \frac{\pi i \alpha'(na - \tilde{n}\tilde{a})}{\kappa R^2}, \\ \kappa_1 &= -2\pi \cos \phi \left(\kappa - \frac{\alpha'(na + \tilde{n}\tilde{a})}{2\kappa R^2} \right), & 2\pi m_1 &= \frac{\pi \alpha' \cos \phi (na - \tilde{n}\tilde{a})}{\kappa R^2}, \\ \kappa_2 &= -2\pi \sin \phi \left(\kappa - \frac{\alpha'(na + \tilde{n}\tilde{a})}{2\kappa R^2} \right), & 2\pi m_2 &= \frac{\pi \alpha' \sin \phi (na - \tilde{n}\tilde{a})}{\kappa R^2}.\end{aligned}\quad (4.23)$$

We can see explicitly that these do not satisfy the condition (3.38) that has been previously taken to hold for the residues of the quasimomenta, indeed we have

$$\sum_{l=0}^2 (\kappa_l + 2\pi m_l)^2 = -\frac{16\pi^2 \alpha' na}{R^2}, \quad \sum_{l=0}^2 (\kappa_l - 2\pi m_l)^2 = -\frac{16\pi^2 \alpha' \tilde{n}\tilde{a}}{R^2}. \quad (4.24)$$

We note that in order to have $m_0 = 0$ here (the condition that t is periodic in σ), we must have $na = \tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ and hence also $m_1 = m_2 = 0$. From (4.19) we have for this solution:

$$E - J = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda} \alpha' (na + \tilde{n}\tilde{a})}{\kappa R^2} = (na + \tilde{n}\tilde{a}) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{J}. \quad (4.25)$$

This matches up with the expression (2.21) for the full spectrum in the BMN limit if we have just a single massless excitation, so this solution does indeed correspond to a massless mode as we expected, and the dispersion relation as obtained from the quasimomenta is the correct one for a massless mode. This is our first example of a massless mode solution which satisfies the generalised residue conditions (3.35) and (3.36) but not the conditions (3.38).

4.2.2 General massless mode in lightcone gauge

Now we consider the most general mode expansion for the massless mode x_1 , as in (2.10).¹⁹ We take

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= x_0 + \alpha' p_0 \tau + w \sigma \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(a_n e^{-in(\tau+\sigma)} + a_n^\dagger e^{in(\tau+\sigma)} + \tilde{a}_n e^{-in(\tau-\sigma)} + \tilde{a}_n^\dagger e^{in(\tau-\sigma)} \right).\end{aligned}\quad (4.26)$$

From x_1 , x^- is determined via the Virasoro constraints, see equation (2.8). We can then find t, ψ_1 and ψ_2 from x_1 and x^- via equation (2.2). The expressions are easily obtained but as they are long and we do not need them we will not write them down explicitly. The quasimomenta have the general form given by equation (4.11) so we only need to find κ_l and m_l , which (cf. equation (4.12)) requires only the τ and σ derivatives of t , ψ_1 and ψ_2 . These derivatives will have a double sum in the mode expansion²⁰ coming from x^- and a single sum coming from x_1 . When we integrate over σ in (4.12) the double sum reduces to

¹⁹We would like to thank Kostya Zarembo for suggesting we consider the most general massless mode.

²⁰This follows since the terms in (2.8) are squares of derivatives of x_1 .

a single sum and we pick up only the zero mode contribution from x_1 . The conclusion is that the quasimomenta for these solutions are given in the simple form (4.11), with κ_l and m_l given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \kappa_0 &= 2\pi i\kappa + \frac{i\pi\alpha'}{\kappa R^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n a_n^\dagger + \tilde{a}_n \tilde{a}_n^\dagger) + \frac{i\pi(\alpha'^2 p_0^2 + w^2)}{2\kappa R^2} \\
 2\pi m_0 &= \frac{i\pi\alpha'}{\kappa R^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n a_n^\dagger - \tilde{a}_n \tilde{a}_n^\dagger) + \frac{i\pi\alpha' p_0 w}{\kappa R^2} \\
 \kappa_1 &= -2\pi\kappa \cos\phi + \frac{\pi\alpha' \cos\phi}{\kappa R^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n a_n^\dagger + \tilde{a}_n \tilde{a}_n^\dagger) + \frac{\pi(\alpha'^2 p_0^2 + w^2) \cos\phi}{2\kappa R^2} + \frac{2\pi\alpha' p_0 \sin\phi}{R} \\
 2\pi m_1 &= \frac{\pi\alpha' \cos\phi}{\kappa R^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n a_n^\dagger - \tilde{a}_n \tilde{a}_n^\dagger) + \frac{\pi\alpha' p_0 w \cos\phi}{\kappa R^2} + \frac{2\pi w \sin\phi}{R} \\
 \kappa_2 &= -2\pi\kappa \sin\phi + \frac{\pi\alpha' \sin\phi}{\kappa R^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n a_n^\dagger + \tilde{a}_n \tilde{a}_n^\dagger) + \frac{\pi(\alpha'^2 p_0^2 + w^2) \sin\phi}{2\kappa R^2} - \frac{2\pi\alpha' p_0 \cos\phi}{R} \\
 2\pi m_2 &= \frac{\pi\alpha' \sin\phi}{\kappa R^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n a_n^\dagger - \tilde{a}_n \tilde{a}_n^\dagger) + \frac{\pi\alpha' p_0 w \sin\phi}{\kappa R^2} - \frac{2\pi w \cos\phi}{R}. \tag{4.27}
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that the σ -periodicity of t , $m_0 = 0$, implies the level matching condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n a_n^\dagger - \tilde{a}_n \tilde{a}_n^\dagger) + p_0 w = 0 \tag{4.28}$$

and so

$$m_1 = \frac{w \sin\phi}{R}, \quad m_2 = -\frac{w \cos\phi}{R}. \tag{4.29}$$

Hence, the winding modes in ψ_1 and ψ_2 come from a winding mode in x_1 , and the conditions $m_1 \cos\phi \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m_2 \sin\phi \in \mathbb{Z}$ are both satisfied if

$$\frac{w \sin\phi \cos\phi}{R} \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.30}$$

From (4.19) we get for $E - J$ for this general solution (approximating $\kappa = \frac{J}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ again)

$$E - J = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{J} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n^\dagger a_n + \tilde{a}_n^\dagger \tilde{a}_n) + \frac{(\alpha' p_0^2 + \frac{w^2}{\alpha'}) \sqrt{\lambda}}{2J} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{J^2}\right) \tag{4.31}$$

As expected this is precisely the same as the massless part of the BMN expression (2.21).

The above solutions give a clear indication for why we need to generalise the condition on the residues of the quasimomenta from the conventional one given in (3.38) to the one proposed in (3.35) and (3.36). To see this, we note that for these solutions, the generalised residue condition is explicitly satisfied.²¹ On the other hand, when we compute the sums

²¹We saw from the general expressions (4.16) for f_i^\pm for any solution on $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times S^1$ in our coset parametrisation how equations (3.35) and (3.36) are equivalent to the Virasoro constraints. Hence our solutions satisfies the residue conditions (3.35) and (3.36) by construction. We have also checked explicitly that the functions f_i^\pm for this solution satisfy equation (3.36).

of squares of residues as in equation (3.38) we find

$$\sum_{l=0}^2 (\kappa_l + 2\pi m_l)^2 = -\frac{16\pi^2 \alpha'}{R^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n^\dagger a_n \quad (4.32)$$

and

$$\sum_{l=0}^2 (\kappa_l - 2\pi m_l)^2 = -\frac{16\pi^2 \alpha'}{R^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \tilde{a}_n^\dagger \tilde{a}_n . \quad (4.33)$$

Imposing the conditions (3.38) would force us to set all of the massless excitations to zero, with the exception of the zero-mode p_0 and winding w .²² Ignoring this single exception for now,²³ the above equation demonstrates explicitly why in previous finite-gap analysis [15], the massless mode was not present. On the other hand, the conditions (3.35) and (3.36) are sufficiently general to incorporate all of the massless modes.

4.3 Solutions in static gauge

In static gauge, $t = \kappa\tau$, we cannot take the same approach to writing down a general massless mode solution as in the last sub-section. It has been noted previously [62], that quantization of string theory in static gauge is in a certain manner half-way between quantization in lightcone gauge and covariant quantization: in D dimensions gauge fixing in static gauge reduces the degrees of freedom to $D - 1$, but it is most natural to impose Virasoro after quantization, so there still remains one spurious degree of freedom.

However, for particularly simple solutions in static gauge, it is possible to solve the Virasoro constraints at the classical level fairly simply. If we work in the coordinates (t, η, x_1) ,²⁴ then we can write down a solution for x_1 , and write down the Virasoro constraints as

$$(\partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma)\eta = \sqrt{((\partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma)t)^2 - \frac{1}{R^2}((\partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma)x_1)^2} = \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \frac{1}{R^2}((\partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma)x_1)^2} . \quad (4.34)$$

We can integrate this in principle to find η , but for a general x_1 the resulting η will be given as an integral not expressible in terms of standard functions.

We note that for all solutions in $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times S^1$ in static gauge, we can immediately give the component p_0 of the quasimomentum from (4.10) as

$$p_0 = \frac{2i\pi\kappa z}{z^2 - 1} , \quad (4.35)$$

²²We noted in section 3.2 that the generalised residue conditions (3.35) and (3.36) reduce to the condition (3.38) precisely when the functions f_l^\pm are constant. In section 4.1 we saw that for our solutions on $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times S^1$, the functions f_l^\pm are constant whenever the solution is linear in τ and σ , see equation (4.16). We will also see this linear solution in static gauge in the next section, but there is one difference between the two gauges. In lightcone gauge, suppose we set $a_n = \tilde{a}_n = 0$ for all $n > 1$, as is required if the condition (3.38) holds. Then the condition that t is periodic in σ , equation (4.28), becomes $p_0 w = 0$. Hence in lightcone gauge, we can have a solution for x_1 with the condition (3.38) holding on the residues of the quasimomenta if we have either only an excited zero-mode, $x_1 = \alpha' p_0 \tau$, or a winding mode, $x_1 = w\sigma$, but not both. In static gauge, t is already periodic in σ by the gauge choice, so we don't have this additional restriction.

²³We will return to the subject of why the linear massless modes were also missing in the previous analysis in section 6.

²⁴Recall η was defined in (2.17).

which has the general form (4.11) with $\kappa_0 = 2\pi i\kappa$ and $m_0 = 0$.

4.3.1 Linear solution

Consider first a simple solution linear in τ and σ ,

$$x_1 = \alpha' p_0 \tau + w \sigma . \tag{4.36}$$

In this case one can solve the Virasoro constraints (4.34) explicitly to get

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \frac{(\alpha' p_0 + w)^2}{R^2}} (\tau + \sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \frac{(\alpha' p_0 - w)^2}{R^2}} (\tau - \sigma) . \tag{4.37}$$

In terms of ψ_1 and ψ_2 we have

$$\psi_1 = \cos \phi \left[\psi_1^+ (\tau + \sigma) + \psi_1^- (\tau - \sigma) \right], \quad \psi_2 = \sin \phi \left[\psi_2^+ (\tau + \sigma) + \psi_2^- (\tau - \sigma) \right], \tag{4.38}$$

with ψ_1^\pm and ψ_2^\pm constants given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1^\pm &= \frac{1}{2} \cos \phi \left(\sqrt{\kappa^2 - \frac{(\alpha' p_0 \pm w)^2}{R^2}} \right) - \sin \phi \frac{(\alpha' p_0 \pm w)}{R} \\ \psi_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sin \phi \left(\sqrt{\kappa^2 - \frac{(\alpha' p_0 \pm w)^2}{R^2}} \right) + \cos \phi \frac{(\alpha' p_0 \pm w)}{R} . \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

The quasimomenta p_1 and p_2 are again in the form (4.11) with

$$\kappa_i = -2\pi(\psi_i^+ + \psi_i^-), \quad m_i = -(\psi_i^+ - \psi_i^-) \tag{4.40}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. The condition for integer winding on ψ_1 and ψ_2 is that $m_1 \cos \phi$ and $m_2 \sin \phi$ must be integers (cf. equation (4.12)).

Inserting this into (4.19) gives

$$E - J = \sqrt{\lambda} \left(\kappa - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \frac{(\alpha' p_0 + w)^2}{R^2}} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \frac{(\alpha' p_0 - w)^2}{R^2}} \right) . \tag{4.41}$$

Making again the approximation $J = \sqrt{\lambda} \kappa$ to eliminate J and taking only the leading term in a large J expansion gives

$$E - J = \frac{\left(\alpha' p_0^2 + \frac{w^2}{\alpha'} \right) \sqrt{\lambda}}{2J} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{J^2} \right) , \tag{4.42}$$

and we can compare this with (4.25) to see we have the same form for this expression as we did in lightcone gauge.

For this solution,

$$\sum_{l=0}^2 (\kappa_l \pm 2\pi m_l)^2 = 4\pi^2 (-\kappa^2 + 4(\psi_1^\pm)^2 + 4(\psi_2^\pm)^2) = 0 . \tag{4.43}$$

Recall that in lightcone gauge, the linear terms in the solution also cancelled in the analogous expressions, see equations (4.32) and (4.33). This is in agreement with the observation in section 4.1 that the generalised residue conditions (3.35) and (3.36) reduce to the previously used condition (3.38) for linear solutions. In section 6 we will say more about these linear massless mode solutions, and why they were not present in the previous analysis of the quasimomenta in the BMN limit. For now we simply remark that the linear solutions are only a small subsector of the full massless spectrum. As we saw in section 4.2.2, all other massless excitations in lightcone gauge are inconsistent with the residue condition (3.38). In the next subsection we derive the same conclusion for any single periodic solution in static gauge.

4.3.2 Periodic solution

Now we consider the same solution for x_1 as we looked at in section 4.2, but this time in static gauge,

$$t = \kappa\tau, \quad x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha'}{n}} (a \cos n(\sigma + \tau) + \tilde{a} \cos \tilde{n}(\tau - \sigma)) . \quad (4.44)$$

η is fixed by the Virasoro constraints:

$$(\partial_\tau + \partial_\sigma)\eta = \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \frac{8\alpha'na^2}{R^2} \sin^2 n(\tau + \sigma)}, \quad (\partial_\tau - \partial_\sigma)\eta = \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \frac{8\alpha'\tilde{n}\tilde{a}^2}{R^2} \sin^2 \tilde{n}(\tau - \sigma)} . \quad (4.45)$$

To integrate this we use the following definition of the incomplete elliptic integral of the second kind:²⁵

$$\mathbb{E}(\phi, k) = \int_0^\phi d\theta \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta}, \quad (4.46)$$

so that

$$\int d\sigma^+ \partial_+ \eta = \frac{\kappa}{2n} \mathbb{E} \left(n\sigma^+, \frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'na}}{\kappa R} \right), \quad \int d\sigma^- \partial_- \eta = \frac{\kappa}{2\tilde{n}} \mathbb{E} \left(\tilde{n}\sigma^-, \frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'\tilde{n}\tilde{a}}}{\kappa R} \right) \quad (4.47)$$

for $\sigma^\pm = \tau \pm \sigma$, and hence

$$\eta = \frac{\kappa}{2n} \mathbb{E} \left(n(\tau + \sigma), \frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'na}}{\kappa R} \right) + \frac{\kappa}{2\tilde{n}} \mathbb{E} \left(\tilde{n}(\tau - \sigma), \frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'\tilde{n}\tilde{a}}}{\kappa R} \right) . \quad (4.48)$$

From η and x_1 we have ψ_1 and ψ_2 (cf. equation (2.2)), and can take derivatives and

²⁵We use the non-standard notation \mathbb{E} rather than E to avoid confusion with the energy E .

then integrate again in order to determine κ_i and m_i (cf. (4.12)). We get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \kappa_1 &= -2\kappa \cos \phi \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'na}}{\kappa R} \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'\tilde{n}\tilde{a}}}{\kappa R} \right) \right], \\
 \kappa_2 &= -2\kappa \sin \phi \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'na}}{\kappa R} \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'\tilde{n}\tilde{a}}}{\kappa R} \right) \right], \\
 2\pi m_1 &= -2\kappa \cos \phi \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'na}}{\kappa R} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'\tilde{n}\tilde{a}}}{\kappa R} \right) \right], \\
 2\pi m_2 &= -2\kappa \sin \phi \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'na}}{\kappa R} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'\tilde{n}\tilde{a}}}{\kappa R} \right) \right],
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

written using the complete elliptic integral of the second kind

$$\mathbb{E}(k) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d\theta \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta}. \tag{4.50}$$

From (4.19) we have

$$E - J = \sqrt{\lambda} \kappa \left[1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'na}}{\kappa R} \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'\tilde{n}\tilde{a}}}{\kappa R} \right) \right]. \tag{4.51}$$

We make again the approximation $J = \sqrt{\lambda} \kappa$ and expand to leading order in J , using the expansion for the elliptic integral

$$\mathbb{E}(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{8} k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4) \tag{4.52}$$

for k small. From this we get

$$E - J = (na^2 + \tilde{n}\tilde{a}^2) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{J} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{J^2} \right). \tag{4.53}$$

Comparing this to both the lightcone gauge result (4.25) and the previous static gauge result for a linear solution (4.42) we see again the same form for the expression, confirming that this solution corresponds to a massless mode in static gauge.

For this solution we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{l=0}^2 (\kappa_l + 2\pi m_l)^2 &= -4\pi^2 \kappa^2 + 16\kappa^2 \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'na}}{\kappa R} \right) \right]^2, \\
 \sum_{l=0}^2 (\kappa_l - 2\pi m_l)^2 &= -4\pi^2 \kappa^2 + 16\kappa^2 \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha'\tilde{n}\tilde{a}}}{\kappa R} \right) \right]^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.54}$$

and these expressions are not zero unless $na = \tilde{n}\tilde{a} = 0$.²⁶ We conclude that these solutions do not satisfy the residue condition (3.38) and so would not have been part of the conventional finite-gap analysis. They do however satisfy the generalised conditions (3.35) and (3.36) proposed here.²⁷

²⁶This follows from the fact that the only solutions to $\mathbb{E}(k) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ for real k are $k = \pm 1$.

²⁷As before, this is by construction, cf. equations (4.16) and the discussion in section 4.2.2.

5 Massless mode from $SU(1, 1)^2 \times SU(2)^2 \times SU(2)^2$ quasimomenta

In the previous section we evaluated the quasimomenta for a number of explicit solutions containing massless mode excitations. We saw how the inclusion of the massless mode required quasimomenta whose residues do not satisfy the condition (3.38), but instead the more general conditions (3.35) and (3.36). In this section, we look at how using this generalised residue condition, one can derive the presence of the massless mode directly from the finite-gap equations. Later, in section 6.2, we will show how the complete massive and massless spectrum in the BMN limit can be derived from the $D(2, 1; \alpha)^2$ finite-gap equations. As such we will focus on the massless modes in this section. We will show that using equation (4.19) for $E - J$ in terms of the residues together with the GRC, is possible to derive the presence of the massless excitation.

In [15] the residues had been chosen to be²⁸

$$\kappa_0 = 2\pi i\kappa, \quad \kappa_1 = -2\pi\kappa \cos \phi, \quad \kappa_2 = -2\pi\kappa \sin \phi, \quad (5.1)$$

so that

$$-i\kappa_0 + \cos \phi \kappa_1 + \sin \phi \kappa_2 = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Here, we do not make this assumption. Instead we require that the residues be given as integrals of functions as in equation (3.35) with the integrands obeying equation (3.36). The only singularities of the BMN vacuum quasimomenta are poles with residues as in equation (5.1). Hence when we consider solutions in the BMN limit, the residues will be given by equation (5.1) to leading order in κ .²⁹ This leading term gives no contribution to the expression for $E - J$, so we are interested in finding the highest order term that does contribute. Our approach will thus be to consider a large κ expansion for the most general residues which firstly satisfy the condition (3.35) and (3.36), and secondly are given by equation (5.1) to leading order.

For simplicity we set the winding parameters m_l to zero. Then the functions f_l^\pm in (3.35) obey $f_l^+ = f_l^-$ and we denote them by f_l , with

$$\kappa_l = \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma f_l(\sigma). \quad (5.3)$$

Since we are taking a large κ expansion, we will also henceforth put in explicit dependence of κ whenever it appears, so $f_l = f_l(\sigma, \kappa)$. We can solve the condition (3.36) on the functions f_l by introducing a new function $\zeta(\sigma, \kappa)$ such that

$$f_1(\sigma, \kappa) = i \cos \zeta(\sigma, \kappa) f_0(\sigma, \kappa), \quad f_2(\sigma, \kappa) = i \sin \zeta(\sigma, \kappa) f_0(\sigma, \kappa). \quad (5.4)$$

²⁸That is, (5.1) is equivalent to the choice of residues in [15] once one allows for the restriction of $D(2, 1; \alpha)$ to its bosonic subgroup and the appropriate changes in grading and gauge choices.

²⁹The BMN limit involves taking J large. κ is proportional to J to leading order and we will ultimately be interested only in the leading term in the expressions we derive. Hence, we can consider a large κ expansion.

We fix the leading term of f_0 in the large κ expansion to give the BMN vacuum value for κ_0 in equation (5.1) and leave lower order terms undetermined:

$$f_0(\sigma, \kappa) = i\kappa + if_0^0(\sigma) + i\frac{1}{\kappa}f_0^1(\sigma) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right). \quad (5.5)$$

Then, with f_1 and f_2 given in terms of ζ and f_0 through equation (5.4), we get the correct leading order terms for κ_1 and κ_2 provided $\zeta(\sigma, \kappa)$ is equal to ϕ to leading order in κ . In particular, we expand ζ with the first term fixed and all subsequent terms arbitrary function of σ :

$$\zeta(\sigma, \kappa) = \phi + \frac{1}{\kappa}\zeta^1(\sigma) + \frac{1}{\kappa^2}\zeta^2(\sigma) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^3}\right). \quad (5.6)$$

Inserting the expansions for ζ and f_0 into equation (5.4), we find

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\sigma, \kappa) &= -\kappa \cos \phi + \sin \phi \zeta^1(\sigma) - \cos \phi f_0^0(\sigma) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\kappa} \left[\sin \phi \zeta^2(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \cos \phi \zeta^1(\sigma)^2 + \sin \phi \zeta^1(\sigma) f_0^0(\sigma) - \cos \phi f_0^1(\sigma) \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(\sigma, \kappa) &= -\kappa \sin \phi - \cos \phi \zeta^1(\sigma) - \sin \phi f_0^0(\sigma) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\kappa} \left[-\cos \phi \zeta^2(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \sin \phi \zeta^1(\sigma)^2 - \cos \phi \zeta^1(\sigma) f_0^0(\sigma) - \sin \phi f_0^1(\sigma) \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

When we insert the expansions of f_l given in equations (5.5), (5.7) and (5.8) into equation (4.19) for $E - J$, we find that not only do the terms of $\mathcal{O}(\kappa)$ cancel, as we knew they should (since we fixed the leading order terms to be the BMN vacuum), but also the terms of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ cancel. This is precisely what is required for the extra mode coming from the residues to be massless.³⁰ In particular, we find

$$-if_0(\sigma, \kappa) + \cos \phi f_1(\sigma, \kappa) + \sin \phi f_2(\sigma, \kappa) = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\zeta^1(\sigma)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right). \quad (5.9)$$

The final step in deriving the massless spectrum uses the observation that as the functions f_l are eigenvalues of the Lax connection L_σ , which is a periodic function of σ ,³¹ f_l are also periodic functions of σ and hence so is ζ^1 . Other than this, ζ^1 is an arbitrary function, so we can write it in a mode expansion (with the normalisations chosen for our convenience):

$$\zeta^1(\sigma) = \sqrt{\alpha'}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}}p_0 + \sqrt{2}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} \left(a_n e^{-in\sigma} + a_n^\dagger e^{in\sigma} \right). \quad (5.10)$$

Then the contribution to $E - J$ from the residues is

$$E - J = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi\kappa} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \zeta^1(\sigma)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{J} \left(\frac{\alpha' p_0^2}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n^\dagger a_n \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{J^2}\right), \quad (5.11)$$

³⁰To see this, note that the right-hand side of equation (2.21) is $\mathcal{O}(1)$ for massive modes, but $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{J})$ for the massless mode.

³¹The coset representative $g \in \text{SU}(1, 1)^2 \times \text{SU}(2)^2 \times \text{SU}(2)^2$ should be periodic in σ for closed strings.

which is the full contribution to the spectrum in the BMN limit from the massless mode x_1 in (2.21).³²

Finally, we can return to the question of the linear massless mode seen in section 4 in both lightcone and static gauges, and ask why it was not seen in previous analysis even though its residues do satisfy the previously used residue condition (3.38). The answer is that the assumptions made in previous work have not been solely to impose the condition (3.38), but to take the residues to be precisely those of the BMN vacuum, namely as in equation (5.1). In particular this implies $\zeta^1(\sigma) = 0$. This is a stronger condition still than $\partial_\sigma \zeta^1(\sigma) = 0$, which is what follows from the residue condition (3.38). Generalising the residues beyond the BMN vacuum values but keeping the residue condition (3.38) would add the zero-mode³³ to ζ^1 and hence a single massless excitation.

6 Finite-gap equations and generalised residue conditions

So far in this paper we have focused our attention on quasimomenta for bosonic strings only. It is straightforward to find the generalisation of the GRC for finite-gap equations on a supercoset. The residues of the quasimomenta are still given by equation (3.35) but now the functions $f_l^\pm(\sigma)$ satisfy

$$\sum_{l,m} A_{lm} f_l^\pm f_m^\pm = 0, \tag{6.1}$$

where A_{lm} is the Cartan matrix of the supergroup.

Although the generalised residue condition of equations (3.35) and (6.1) is the correct residue condition to use for strings on any supercoset, there are supercosets for which this condition is equivalent to the residue condition used widely in the literature

$$\sum_{l,m} A_{lm} (\kappa_l \pm 2\pi m_l) (\kappa_m \pm 2\pi m_m) = 0. \tag{6.2}$$

Specifically, we show in appendices B and C that the above residue condition is equivalent to the GRC for strings on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$. This was to be expected since for those backgrounds the conventional finite-gap equations are well known to capture the complete string spectrum.

In the rest of this section we will look at the implications of the GRC for quasimomenta on AdS_3 backgrounds. First, in section 6.1 we write down the finite-gap equations with generalised residues for superstrings on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$. In section 6.2 we show that

³²Apart from the winding mode w , which we neglected by setting $m_l = 0$ earlier in this section. From (4.29) we can see directly that in lightcone gauge, $m_l = 0$ implies $w = 0$. Though less obvious, the same statement can be confirmed to be true for the linear solution in static gauge. Including the winding does not alter the analysis in any way, but requires the functions f_l^+ and f_l^- to be kept distinct, so we have ignored it here to keep the notation simpler. Note also that we only defined a mode expansion for ζ^1 in terms of a_n and neglected a corresponding \tilde{a}_n , again this is to keep the notation simple, and because the level-matching condition allows us to write $E - J$ solely in terms of contributions from left-movers when $w = 0$, see equation (4.28).

³³It would also add the winding term if we included it.

these finite-gap equations with the GRC reproduce the complete (massive *and* massless) BMN spectrum for this background. In section 6.3 we investigate the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ finite-gap equations with GRC and show that we can similarly incorporate all massless modes into the finite-gap equations for that system.³⁴

6.1 $D(2, 1; \alpha)^2 \times U(1)^2$ finite-gap equations

We use a subscript \pm to refer to the left and right sectors of the supergroup, $D(2, 1; \alpha)_+ \times D(2, 1; \alpha)_-$. The Cartan matrix for this supergroup takes the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \sin^2 \phi & -2 \sin^2 \phi & 0 \\ -2 \sin^2 \phi & 0 & -2 \cos^2 \phi \\ 0 & -2 \cos^2 \phi & 4 \cos^2 \phi \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 . \quad (6.3)$$

The $D(2, 1; \alpha)_+ \times D(2, 1; \alpha)_-$ quasimomenta are p_l^\pm where $l = 1, 2, 3$.³⁵ The identity factor in A is a 2×2 identity matrix acting on the \pm indices. The action of the inversion symmetry on the quasimomenta is given by equation (3.17) with

$$S = \mathbf{1}_3 \otimes \sigma^1 . \quad (6.4)$$

When writing down the spectral representation (3.21) for the quasimomenta on this space, it is convenient to use the inversion symmetry to write the integrals over cuts inside the unit circle in terms of the integrals over cuts outside the unit circle. Once we take account of the necessary effect of the symmetry on the density function $\rho_l(z)$, the spectral representation can then be written as

$$p_l^\pm(z) = \frac{\kappa_l^\pm z + 2\pi m_l^\pm}{z^2 - 1} + \pi m_l^\pm + \int dw \frac{\rho_l^\pm(w)}{z - w} + \int \frac{dw}{w^2} \frac{\rho_l^\mp(w)}{z - \frac{1}{w}} , \quad (6.5)$$

where all integrals are over cuts outside the unit circle, and we have given the same index structure to the densities ρ_l^\pm and the residues κ_l^\pm and m_l^\pm . In fact, κ_l^+ is simply related to κ_l^- by the inversion symmetry (and similarly m^- to m^+), see equation (3.22)

$$\kappa_l^+ = -\kappa_l^- , \quad m_l^+ = -m_l^- . \quad (6.6)$$

The finite-gap equations for $D(2, 1; \alpha)_+ \times D(2, 1; \alpha)_-$ are then given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mp 4 \sin^2 \phi \frac{\kappa_1 z + 2\pi m_1}{z^2 - 1} \pm 2 \sin^2 \phi \frac{\kappa_2 z + 2\pi m_2}{z^2 - 1} + 2\pi n_{1,i}^\pm \\ & = 4 \sin^2 \phi \oint dw \frac{\rho_1^\pm(w)}{z - w} - 2 \sin^2 \phi \oint dw \frac{\rho_2^\pm(w)}{z - w} - 4 \sin^2 \phi \int \frac{dw}{w^2} \frac{\rho_1^\mp(w)}{z - \frac{1}{w}} + 2 \sin^2 \phi \int \frac{dw}{w^2} \frac{\rho_2^\mp(w)}{z - \frac{1}{w}} \\ & \pm 2 \sin^2 \phi \frac{\kappa_1 z + 2\pi m_1}{z^2 - 1} \pm 2 \cos^2 \phi \frac{\kappa_3 z + 2\pi m_3}{z^2 - 1} + 2\pi n_{2,i}^\pm \\ & = -2 \sin^2 \phi \oint dw \frac{\rho_1^\pm(w)}{z - w} - 2 \cos^2 \phi \oint dw \frac{\rho_3^\pm(w)}{z - w} + 2 \sin^2 \phi \int \frac{dw}{w^2} \frac{\rho_1^\mp(w)}{z - \frac{1}{w}} + 2 \cos^2 \phi \int \frac{dw}{w^2} \frac{\rho_3^\mp(w)}{z - \frac{1}{w}} \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

$$(6.8)$$

³⁴We are grateful to Kostya Zarembo for discussions on the way that the free bosons enter this analysis.

³⁵It is no longer natural to use the notation $l = 0, 1, 2$ as we did in the bosonic subgroup as the quasimomenta are no longer associated naturally to block diagonal subalgebras with either Lorentzian or Euclidean signature.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pm 2 \cos^2 \phi \frac{\kappa_2 z + 2\pi m_2}{z^2 - 1} \mp 4 \cos^2 \phi \frac{\kappa_3 z + 2\pi m_3}{z^2 - 1} + 2\pi n_{l,i}^\pm \\
& = 4 \cos^2 \phi \oint dw \frac{\rho_3^\pm(w)}{z - w} - 2 \cos^2 \phi \oint dw \frac{\rho_2^\pm(w)}{z - w} - 4 \cos^2 \phi \int \frac{dw}{w^2} \frac{\rho_3^\mp(w)}{z - \frac{1}{w}} + 2 \cos^2 \phi \int \frac{dw}{w^2} \frac{\rho_2^\mp(w)}{z - \frac{1}{w}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

For the $U(1)^2$ part of the theory, the situation is much simpler. We have just the additional quasimomenta p_4^\pm . The Cartan matrix can be taken to be the identity while the inversion matrix is $S = \sigma^1$, i.e. it interchanges p_4^+ and p_4^- . Both the Cartan matrix and inversion matrix for the full theory are direct sums of the $D(2, 1; \alpha)^2$ terms given above with the simple $U(1)^2$ terms. Clearly, p_4^\pm trivially satisfy their own finite-gap equations with no cuts.

The residues $\kappa_l \pm 2\pi m_l$ are written in terms of functions $f_l^\pm(\sigma)$ (cf. equation (3.35)),³⁶ and these functions f_l^\pm satisfy equation (6.1). With the inversion symmetry satisfied (so that we can write the residues of the right-movers in terms of the left-movers say), the GRC is

$$\sum_{l,m=1}^3 A_{lm} f_l^\pm f_m^\pm + (f_4^\pm)^2 = 0, \tag{6.10}$$

where A_{lm} here denotes just the 3×3 Cartan matrix in equation (6.3). Explicitly this is

$$4 \sin^2 \phi \left(f_1^\pm - \frac{1}{2} f_2^\pm \right)^2 + 4 \cos^2 \phi \left(f_3^\pm - \frac{1}{2} f_2^\pm \right)^2 + (f_4^\pm)^2 = (f_2^\pm)^2. \tag{6.11}$$

Whereas in section 5 we solved the condition by introducing functions $\zeta^\pm(\sigma)$, now we also introduce a second new pair of functions $\chi^\pm(\sigma)$ and write the solution to this condition as

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \sin \phi \left(f_1^\pm - \frac{1}{2} f_2^\pm \right) &= - \sin \zeta^\pm \cos \chi^\pm f_2^\pm \\
2 \cos \phi \left(f_3^\pm - \frac{1}{2} f_2^\pm \right) &= - \cos \zeta^\pm \cos \chi^\pm f_2^\pm, \\
f_4^\pm &= \sin \chi^\pm f_2^\pm.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

Therefore, the complete proposal for the finite-gap equations with the generalised residue condition is given by equations (6.7), (6.8) and (6.9), with κ_l and m_l given in terms of f_l^\pm via equation (3.35), and f_1^\pm, f_3^\pm and f_4^\pm written in terms of f_2^\pm and additional functions ζ^\pm and χ^\pm via equation (6.12).

6.2 Matching the full BMN spectrum of $D(2, 1; \alpha)^2 \times U(1)^2$

In this subsection we show how the above finite-gap equations and GRC can be used to derive the BMN limit of the spectrum of superstrings on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$. For simplicity we will neglect the winding m_l^\pm , so that $f_l^+ = f_l^-$, and we denote $f_l = f_l^+ = f_l^-$. Expanding in z we obtain the following expression for $E - J$

$$E - J = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi} \left[2 \sin^2 \phi \kappa_1 + 2 \cos^2 \phi \kappa_3 + \sum_{s=\pm} s \left(\sin^2 \phi \int_{C_{1,i}} dw \frac{\rho_1^s(w)}{w^2} + \cos^2 \phi \int_{C_{3,i}} dw \frac{\rho_3^s(w)}{w^3} \right) \right]. \tag{6.13}$$

³⁶Note that the \pm index on f_l^\pm refers to $\kappa \pm 2\pi m_l$ and is not the same as the \pm index on p_l^\pm .

Notice that p_2 and p_4 do not contribute to $E - J$. For the BMN vacuum the f_l are³⁷

$$f_1 = f_3 = f_4 = 0, \quad f_2 = \kappa. \quad (6.14)$$

Next we make an expansion around the BMN vacuum by expanding in large κ , with the leading order terms in f_l given by equation (6.14). There is no $\mathcal{O}(\kappa)$ term for f_1 and f_3 , as in equation (6.14), provided that the leading order term in ζ is ϕ , just as we had in equation (5.6). As pointed out below equation (5.8) this is to be expected of massless modes. There is no $\mathcal{O}(\kappa)$ term for f_4 provided that $\chi \rightarrow 0$ for large κ . We therefore make exactly the same expansion for ζ as in equation (5.6), and the following expansion for f_2 and χ :

$$f_2(\sigma, \kappa) = \kappa + f_2^0(\sigma) + \frac{1}{\kappa} f_2^1(\sigma) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right), \quad \chi(\sigma, \kappa) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \chi^1(\sigma) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right). \quad (6.15)$$

Then f_1 and f_3 have the following expansions:

$$f_1(\sigma, \kappa) = -\frac{1}{2} \cot \phi \zeta^1(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left(-\cot \phi \zeta^2(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \zeta^1(\sigma)^2 - \cot \phi \zeta^1(\sigma) f_2^0(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \chi^1(\sigma)^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right), \quad (6.16)$$

$$f_3(\sigma, \kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \tan \phi \zeta^1(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left(\tan \phi \zeta^2(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \zeta^1(\sigma)^2 + \tan \phi \zeta^1(\sigma) f_2^0(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \chi^1(\sigma)^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right) \quad (6.17)$$

and from this we get

$$\sin^2 \phi f_1(\sigma, \kappa) + \cos^2 \phi f_3(\sigma, \kappa) = \frac{1}{4\kappa} (\zeta^1(\sigma)^2 + \chi^1(\sigma)^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right). \quad (6.18)$$

The expansion for f_4 meanwhile is

$$f_4(\sigma, \kappa) = \chi^1(\sigma) + \frac{1}{\kappa} \chi^1(\sigma) f_2^0(\sigma) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right). \quad (6.19)$$

As in section 5, we can construct a massless boson from ζ^1 in the following way. Since ζ^1 is a periodic function, we make a mode expansion for it as in equation (5.10), and inserting this into equation (6.13) gives us the spectrum of a single massless boson. We can do exactly the same for χ^1 with a second bosonic mode expansion which gives us a second boson. These two bosons can be distinguished by the fact that χ^1 appears in the expansion for f_4 while ζ^1 does not, therefore only one of the bosons is charged under the $U(1)$ associated to translations along S^1 .

We have seen how the massless bosonic modes now appear in the analysis of the full $D(2, 1; \alpha)^2 \times U(1)^2$ finite-gap equations. The bosonic modes of mass $\cos^2 \phi$ and $\sin^2 \phi$ are

³⁷These are the values which are taken in [15] for all states, not just the BMN vacuum.

found by the same procedure as in [15]. We simply have to add one additional step at the start of the procedure: to identify a single massive mode only, we take only the leading, BMN vacuum, term in the expansion for the residues, see equation (6.14). Then we also neglect the integral terms of the right-hand side of the finite-gap equations (6.7), (6.8) and (6.9) in order to take the BMN limit. Taking equation (6.7) in this way gives the mode of mass $\cos^2 \phi$, equation (6.9) gives the mode of mass $\sin^2 \phi$, and equation (6.8) does not contribute to the massive modes. The mode of mass 1 appears as a stack of the other two massive modes [15, 63, 64].

Next we obtain the massless fermions. The situation is closely analogous to that for the massive modes. The bosonic mode of mass $\sin^2 \phi$ say, appears in the BMN limit of a solution whose only non-trivial quasimomentum is p_1 , corresponding to a bosonic link in the Dynkin diagram. The fermion of the same mass then appears as a stack going from p_1 to p_2 , the quasimomentum corresponding to a fermionic link. We have seen how one massless boson appears when we make a mode expansion for the parameter $\zeta^1(\sigma)$ which appears in the expansion around the BMN vacuum of a solution to the generalised residue conditions (cf. (5.6)). If this is the only term in the expansions that we make non-zero, except for the leading order, vacuum terms, then we have an excitation which appears in the residues κ_1 and κ_3 , but not κ_2 . We can produce a fermion by turning on terms which also contribute to κ_2 . In particular we choose a solution with $\zeta^1(\sigma) = f_2^0(\sigma)$ in close analogy with the massive fermions. We then make a fermionic mode expansion similarly to the bosonic mode expansion (5.10):

$$\zeta^1(\sigma) = f_2^0(\sigma) = \sqrt{\alpha' \lambda}^{-\frac{1}{4}} \psi_0 + \sqrt{2 \lambda}^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} \left(\psi_n e^{-in\sigma} + \psi_n^\dagger e^{in\sigma} \right). \quad (6.20)$$

Then $E - J$ for this solution is given by

$$E - J = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{J} \left(\frac{\alpha' \psi_0^2}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \psi_n^\dagger \psi_n \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{J^2} \right). \quad (6.21)$$

In other words it contributes to $E - J$ in exactly the same way as the massless boson, but has a different mode expansion for some other linear combination of the quasimomenta.³⁸ This solution is a massless fermion. The quasimomenta that contain both this massless fermion and the massless boson will have residues with f_2^0 given by equation (6.20) and ζ^1 containing both mode expansions:

$$\zeta^1(\sigma) = \sqrt{\alpha' \lambda}^{-\frac{1}{4}} (p_0 + \psi_0) + \sqrt{2 \lambda}^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} \left((a_n + \psi_n) e^{-in\sigma} + (a_n^\dagger + \psi_n^\dagger) e^{in\sigma} \right). \quad (6.22)$$

³⁸Note that in equations (6.16) and (6.17) that there is a term $\zeta^1 f_2^0$ appearing in both f_1 and f_3 . Although these terms cancel when we take the combination $\sin^2 \phi \kappa_1 + \cos^2 \phi \kappa_3$, the presence of f_2^0 will produce a different mode expansion for κ_1 and κ_3 separately. In particular, it is important to note that we again have the product of two terms appearing in the expressions for f_i . Although the functions $f_i(\sigma)$ are used to write a solution to the generalised residue conditions, it is the actual residues κ_i that contain physical information. Upon integrating over σ , any linear terms in f_i , such as the contribution from ζ^2 , will have no physical effect, as their contribution can be removed up to a redefinition of the zero modes of the other terms.

The remaining fermion is then generated from the S^1 boson in a similar fashion, namely by a (fermionic) mode expansion in χ^1 and f_2^0 simultaneously. The full set of massless modes therefore comes from having ζ^1 and χ^1 each with a distinct bosonic and fermionic mode expansion, with both fermionic mode expansions also appearing in f_2^0 . Each set of excitations contributes identically to $E - J$, but differently for other measurable charges.³⁹ In particular, note that the bosonic massless mode generated from χ^1 is charged under the $U(1)$ charge associated with S^1 translations. On the other hand, the mode generated from ζ^1 is neutral under this $U(1)$, so the massless fermion that we generate in the above process from the S^1 boson is charged under the $U(1)$ while the fermion generated from the coset boson is not. This difference is natural from the point of view of our finite-gap equations, but is less natural from the point of view of the symmetry algebra of the S-matrix. As such, the representation which the four massless modes form is not obvious from our construction here. The two fermions we derive correspond to two different linear combinations of the fermionic modes which sit naturally within a massless multiplet of the symmetry algebra.

In this sub-section we have used a so-called bosonic grading for the $D(2, 1; \alpha)^2$ Cartan algebra used previously in [15]. In [32] an alternate mixed bosonic-fermionic grading was used to construct the S-matrix of massive excitations. In appendix D we show that at the level of finite gap equations and the GRC the two gradings are equivalent.⁴⁰

6.3 The BMN limit for $PSU(1, 1|2)^2 \times (U(1)^4)^2$

In this subsection we briefly show how the GRC condition applied to $PSU(1, 1|2)^2 \times (U(1)^4)^2$ finite gap equations can be used to reproduce the BMN limit of the complete (massive and massless) superstring spectrum on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$. Consider first $AdS_3 \times S^3$. The coset for strings on $AdS_3 \times S^3$ is $\frac{PSU(1,1|2) \times PSU(1,1|2)}{SU(1,1) \times SU(2)}$. We take as the Cartan matrix of $PSU(1, 1|2)$:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} & -1 & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & -1 & \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.23}$$

The quasimomenta for this space are p_l^\pm , $l = 1, 2, 3$. The inversion matrix is given by equation (6.4), and neglecting the windings m_l^\pm for simplicity, we may set $f_l^+ = f_l^- \equiv f_l$. The residue condition (6.1) on this coset then reduces to

$$0 = \sum_{l,m=1}^3 A_{lm} f_l f_m = 2f_2(f_2 - f_1 - f_3). \tag{6.24}$$

The BMN vacuum has $f_2 = 0$, and we find that solving the Virasoro condition on the residues implies that $f_2 = 0$ exactly.⁴¹ This in turn means there is no contribution from the residues to $E - J$. Hence, as expected, the GRC does not lead to any additional BMN excitations for strings on $AdS_3 \times S^3$ alone.

³⁹We would like to thank Olof Ohlsson Sax for a discussion of these issues.

⁴⁰We would like to thank Alessandro Sfondrini for a discussion of this.

⁴¹The GRC for AdS_5 and AdS_4 lead to a similar restriction; see the discussion in appendices B and C.

For strings on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ we can include the massless modes of T^4 much like we included the massless S^1 mode in section 6.2 above. Let us add 4 additional pairs of quasimomenta p_i^\pm , $i = 1 \dots 4$. These have residues $\kappa_i \pm 2\pi m_i$ given in terms of functions $f_i(\sigma)$ just as for the functions $f_l(\sigma)$ giving the residues of the PSU(1,1|2) quasimomenta. With the Cartan matrix for each $U(1)^2$ taken to be the identity and the inversion matrix taken to be σ^1 , the condition (6.1) is now

$$0 = \sum_{l,m=1}^3 A_{lm} f_l^\pm f_m^\pm + \sum_{i=4}^7 (f_i^\pm)^2 = 2f_2^\pm (f_2^\pm - f_1^\pm - f_3^\pm) + \sum_{i=4}^7 (f_i^\pm)^2. \quad (6.25)$$

In fact, we can make an additional simplification in this case. The Cartan matrix (6.23) has the null eigenvector $(1, 0, -1)$. Since it is $A_{lm}\kappa_m$ that appears in the finite-gap equations, we can add the appropriate contributions from any null eigenvector to the residues without changing the finite-gap equations. Therefore we can set $f_1 = f_3$.

The finite-gap equations for the quasimomenta p_l are then given by

$$\pm \frac{\kappa_2 z + 2\pi m_2}{z^2 - 1} + 2\pi n_{1,i}^\pm = - \oint dw \frac{\rho_2^\pm(w)}{z - w} + \oint \frac{dw}{w^2} \frac{\rho_2^\mp(w)}{z - \frac{1}{w}} \quad (6.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \frac{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)z + 2\pi(m_1 - m_2)}{z^2 - 1} + 2\pi n_{2,i}^\pm &= - \oint dw \frac{\rho_1^\pm(w)}{z - w} + 2 \oint dw \frac{\rho_2^\pm(w)}{z - w} - \oint dw \frac{\rho_3^\pm(w)}{z - w} \\ &+ \oint \frac{dw}{w^2} \frac{\rho_1^\mp(w)}{z - \frac{1}{w}} - 2 \oint \frac{dw}{w^2} \frac{\rho_2^\mp(w)}{z - \frac{1}{w}} + \oint \frac{dw}{w^2} \frac{\rho_3^\mp(w)}{z - \frac{1}{w}} \end{aligned} \quad (6.27)$$

$$\pm \frac{\kappa_2 z + 2\pi m_2}{z^2 - 1} + 2\pi n_{3,i}^\pm = - \oint dw \frac{\rho_2^\pm(w)}{z - w} + \oint \frac{dw}{w^2} \frac{\rho_2^\mp(w)}{z - \frac{1}{w}} \quad (6.28)$$

which should be taken together with the fact that the residues are given in terms of the functions f_l via equation (3.35) and these functions satisfy equation (6.25). The quasimomenta p_i associated to the T^4 directions trivially satisfy their own finite-gap equations with no cuts.

Now we will derive the massless components of the BMN spectrum using the generalised residue conditions. p_2 is the only quasimomentum associated to a momentum carrying node in the Dynkin diagram, and so f_2 is the only function that contributes to $E - J$. We can solve equation (6.25) to give all other functions in terms of f_1 and 4 new functions ζ_i , $i = 4 \dots 7$. Taking $f_3 = f_1$ as above and neglecting winding so we rewrite equation (6.25) as

$$2(f_2 - f_1)^2 + \sum_{i=4}^7 f_i^2 = 2f_1^2 \quad (6.29)$$

The solution to this can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_4 &= \sqrt{2} f_1 \sin \zeta_4 \\ f_5 &= \sqrt{2} f_1 \cos \zeta_4 \sin \zeta_5 \\ f_6 &= \sqrt{2} f_1 \cos \zeta_4 \cos \zeta_5 \sin \zeta_6 \\ f_7 &= \sqrt{2} f_1 \cos \zeta_4 \cos \zeta_5 \cos \zeta_6 \sin \zeta_7 \\ f_2 &= f_1 (1 - \cos \zeta_4 \cos \zeta_5 \cos \zeta_6 \cos \zeta_7). \end{aligned} \quad (6.30)$$

For the BMN vacuum we have $f_1 = f_3 = \kappa$ and $f_2 = 0$, and expanding the residues at large κ we find $\zeta_i = 0$ and hence $f_i = 0$ for $i = 4 \dots 7$. Therefore, the large κ expansions are

$$f_1(\sigma, \kappa) = \kappa + f_1^0(\sigma) + \frac{1}{\kappa} f_1^1(\sigma) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right), \quad \zeta_i(\sigma, \kappa) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \zeta_i^1(\sigma) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right), \quad (6.31)$$

and we have

$$E - J \sim \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma f_2(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_{i=4}^7 \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \zeta_i^1(\sigma)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right). \quad (6.32)$$

We have four integrals of the squares of periodic functions over their periods, giving four mode expansions contributing to $E - J$ at $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa}\right)$, just as we expect for the four massless bosonic modes.

The massless fermions are generated from the massless bosons in a way similar to what was done in section 6.2, namely by making fermionic mode expansions in $\zeta_i^1(\sigma)$ and $f_1^1(\sigma)$ simultaneously. The full massless spectrum therefore comes from each ζ_i^1 containing both a bosonic and fermionic mode expansions, as in equation (6.22), while f_1^1 contains all four of these fermionic mode expansions. The massive spectrum analysis follows from [15].

7 Conclusion

In this paper we have re-examined the derivation of finite-gap equations for string theories on semi-symmetric cosets. These equations govern the analytic properties of quasi-momenta $p_l(z)$. The quasi-momenta can have cuts and simple poles in the complex z plane. In section 3.2 we found that the residue condition (3.38)⁴² used in the previous literature is stronger than the one required by the Virasoro constraints. Instead, we showed that the conditions implied by the Virasoro constraints are the more general ones (3.35) and (3.36)⁴³ the second of which we have called the generalised residue condition. In section 4 we considered classical string solutions on $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times S^1$ in order to demonstrate explicitly how the Virasoro constraints are equivalent to the generalised residue conditions but not the null condition (3.38).⁴⁴ When we studied explicit classical solutions containing massless excitations, we saw that the residues of their quasimomenta did not satisfy the condition (3.38), and so relaxing this condition to (3.35) and (3.36) was necessary to derive the massless mode from the finite-gap equations. Then in sections 5 and 6 we saw that this was also sufficient; taking the GRC it is possible to derive the complete spectrum in the BMN limit of the finite-gap equations.

It might seem surprising that the method used to determine the massless modes should be somewhat different from the method used to determine the two lightest massive modes, leading us to wonder if there exists a more concise procedure that can be applied to all the modes. However, from the explicit quasimomenta we constructed in section 4, we can

⁴²Equation (6.2) for a non-trivial Cartan matrix.

⁴³Equation (6.1) for a non-trivial Cartan matrix.

⁴⁴In appendix A we show this same result for $\mathbb{R} \times S^3 \times S^1$, and it is clear from there to see why it is true for the full geometry, or indeed other backgrounds.

see why this distinct approach is in fact necessary. The quasimomenta of these explicit solutions did not contain any branch cuts, in contrast to any quasimomenta containing a massive excitation. The BMN limit manifests itself at the level of the quasimomenta as a limit in which the cuts shrink to a set of isolated points, and the massive modes are found by considering the finite-gap equations in that limit. For solutions with no cuts, such as the quasimomenta in section 4, there are technically no finite-gap equations. We suggest that the correct way to regard these apparently different methods consistently is to add an additional notion to the interpretation of the BMN limit from the perspective of the quasimomenta. As well as taking a limit where the cuts shrink, the BMN limit also involves taking a limit of the residues towards their BMN vacuum values.

Finite-gap equations have been written down for string theory on other cosets, notably those corresponding to the backgrounds $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and $AdS_4 \times CP^3$. In these backgrounds, the full BMN spectra can be derived from the finite-gap equations without the need to generalise the residue condition (3.38) to (3.35) and (3.36). In appendices B and C we give the results of applying the generalised residue analysis to these backgrounds, to show that there are no additional BMN modes produced by the generalised residues in these cases. On more general cosets however, the GRC may lead to non-trivial corrections to the residue conditions used in the literature. For example we expect such effects to arise in the $AdS_2 \times S^2 \times S^2 \times T^4$ theories [65–69].

It would be interesting to see how the GRC conditions appear from the thermodynamic limit of the Bethe Ansatz and whether they can help to resolve some of the discrepancies observed in [32].⁴⁵ Another potentially interesting question is whether one could understand how to incorporate the massless modes into the Landau-Lifshitz sigma models that encode the large-charge limit of the string sigma model [70–75]. A more immediate extension of the results here would be to use the GRC to generalise the calculations of one-loop corrections from algebraic curves considered in [36–38, 41] to include massless modes.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Kostya Zarembo for many discussions and for insightful comments at various stages of this work. We would also like to thank R. Borsato, N. Gromov, O. Ohlsson Sax and A. Sfondrini for helpful discussions. B.S. is grateful to CERN and to the Centro de Ciencias de Benasque Pedro Pascual in Benasque for hospitality during parts of this work. T.L. is supported by an STFC studentship. We acknowledge partial support under the STFC Consolidated Grant “Theoretical Physics at City University” ST/J00037X/1.

A Residues of quasimomenta on $\mathbb{R} \times S^3 \times S^1$

The metric is

$$ds^2 = R^2 \left[-dt^2 + \frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi} (d\theta^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\psi_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \phi} d\psi_2^2 \right]. \quad (A.1)$$

⁴⁵We would like to thank Riccardo Borsato and Alessandro Sfondrini for discussions about this.

The group representative g is a direct sum $g = g_0 \oplus g_1 \oplus g_2$ as before. g_0 and g_2 are chosen exactly as in (4.3) and (4.2), but for g_1 corresponding to the full S^3 we take

$$g_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2 \cos \phi}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta e^{i\psi_1} - \sin \theta e^{-i\varphi} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \theta e^{i\varphi} & \cos \theta e^{-i\psi_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \sin \theta e^{-i\varphi} & -i \cos \theta e^{i\psi_1} \\ 0 & 0 & i \cos \theta e^{-i\psi_1} & -i \sin \theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The current j is (with the first and third terms in the direct sum unchanged from equation (4.4))

$$j = \frac{dt}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \frac{1}{2 \cos \phi} \begin{pmatrix} iu & -v + iw & 0 & 0 \\ v + iw & -iu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & iu & -v - iw \\ 0 & 0 & v - iw & -iu \end{pmatrix} \\ \oplus \frac{i}{\sin \phi} \frac{d\psi_2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where u , v and w are all real one-forms given by

$$u = \cos^2 \theta d\psi_1 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \\ v + iw = e^{i(\psi_1 + \varphi)} (d\theta + i \sin \theta \cos \theta (d\varphi - d\psi_1)). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

As in section 4, we have again chosen a group representative satisfying $\Omega(j) = -j$ and so $j^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}(j - \Omega(j)) = j$. We can confirm that

$$\text{tr} \left[(j^{(2)})^2 \right] = \text{tr}(j^2) = dt^2 - \frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi} (u^2 + v^2 + w^2) - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \phi} d\psi_2^2 \\ = dt^2 - \frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi} (d\theta^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\psi_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2) - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \phi} d\psi_2^2. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

The relevant (S^3) part of the Lax operator L_σ obtained from the current in (A.3) is given by

$$L_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} ia & -b + ic & 0 & 0 \\ b + ic & -ia & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ia & -b - ic \\ 0 & 0 & b - ic & -ia \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

with a , b and c given by

$$a = \frac{1}{2 \cos \phi} \frac{1}{z^2 - 1} [(z^2 + 1)u_\sigma + 2zu_\tau], \\ b = \frac{1}{2 \cos \phi} \frac{1}{z^2 - 1} [(z^2 + 1)v_\sigma + 2zv_\tau], \\ c = \frac{1}{2 \cos \phi} \frac{1}{z^2 - 1} [(z^2 + 1)w_\sigma + 2zw_\tau]. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

C Generalised residue conditions for $AdS_4 \times CP^3$

The coset for strings on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ is $\frac{OSp(6|4)}{U(3) \times SO(3,1)}$. The Cartan matrix of $OSp(6|4)$ is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & -1 & -1 \\ & & -1 & 2 & \\ & & -1 & & 2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{C.1}$$

and the inversion symmetry matrix S is

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & & -1 & -1 \\ & & & -1 \\ & & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{C.2}$$

Now the quasimomenta are p_l with l running from 1 to 5. The action of the inversion symmetry on the residues means that there are 2 independent functions f_1 and f_4 , with the others given by

$$f_2 = f_5 = f_4, \quad f_3 = 2f_4 - f_1. \tag{C.3}$$

Then in terms of f_1 and f_4 , the condition the functions need to satisfy is

$$0 = \sum_{l,m=1}^5 A_{lm} f_l f_m = 2f_4(2f_1 - f_4). \tag{C.4}$$

We see that this is very similar in form to the condition (B.5), and the argument from this point is identical to that in the last section. The BMN vacuum has $f_4 = 0$ and $f_1 = \kappa$, and expanding around the BMN vacuum we find there is no way to add non-zero terms to f_4 . The contributions to $E - J$ in this space come only from p_4 and p_5 , and we noted that $f_5 = f_4$. Hence there is no contribution to $E - J$ from the residues.

D $D(2, 1; \alpha)^2 / SU(1, 1) \times SU(2)^2$ in mixed grading

In section 6, we used a grading for $D(2, 1; \alpha)^2$ which involves bosonic Cartan generators only. In [32] an alternative grading was used, involving bosonic Cartan generators on one factor of $D(2, 1; \alpha)$ and fermionic generators on the other. The Cartan matrix is given in this mixed grading by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \sin^2 \phi & -2 \sin^2 \phi & & & & & & & \\ -2 \sin^2 \phi & & -2 \cos^2 \phi & & & & & & \\ & -2 \cos^2 \phi & 4 \cos^2 \phi & & & & & & \\ & & & & & 2 \sin^2 \phi & -2 & & \\ & & & & 2 \sin^2 \phi & & 2 \cos^2 \phi & & \\ & & & & -2 & 2 \cos^2 \phi & & & \end{pmatrix} \tag{D.1}$$

and the matrix S defining the action of the inversion symmetry on the quasimomenta through equation (3.17) is given by

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma_1 . \quad (\text{D.2})$$

Following the notation in [32], we take the index structure on the quasimomenta as follows: we have quasimomenta p_l and $p_{\bar{l}}$ with $l, \bar{l} = 1, 2, 3$. The upper left quadrant of A corresponds to indices l , the lower right to indices \bar{l} , and the factor of σ_1 in S interchanges l and \bar{l} .

The action of the inversion symmetry on the residues via equation (3.22) means we can determine the functions $f_{\bar{l}}$ in terms of f_l . We have:

$$f_{\bar{1}} = f_1, \quad f_{\bar{3}} = f_3, \quad f_{\bar{2}} = f_1 - f_2 + f_3 . \quad (\text{D.3})$$

We can insert this into the relevant equivalent of the condition (6.1) and we find that:⁴⁶

$$\sum_{l,m}^3 A_{lm} f_l f_m = \sum_{\bar{l}, \bar{m}=1}^3 A_{\bar{l}\bar{m}} f_{\bar{l}} f_{\bar{m}} = 4 \sin^2 \phi f_1 (f_1 - f_2) + 4 \cos^2 \phi f_3 (f_3 - f_2) . \quad (\text{D.4})$$

In other words, in the mixed grading just as in the bosonic grading, the residue condition is identical when considered either solely on left-movers or right-movers. The full condition in this case is

$$\sum_{l,m}^3 A_{lm} f_l f_m + \sum_{\bar{l}, \bar{m}=1}^3 A_{\bar{l}\bar{m}} f_{\bar{l}} f_{\bar{m}} = 0 \quad (\text{D.5})$$

and so we have exactly the same condition with exactly the same analysis for quasimomenta in the mixed grading as in bosonic grading.

E Decoupled S^1 mode

In section 6.2 we showed how the GRC could be used to incorporate all massless modes; in particular the massless boson associated to the decoupled S^1 of the geometry as well as the bosonic mode contained in the $D(2, 1; \alpha)^2/\text{SU}(1, 1) \times \text{SU}(2)^2$ coset. Here we consider briefly what explicit classical solutions corresponding to this decoupled S^1 mode look like and see how this confirms the results of section 6.2.

We consider the most general solution for the decoupled mode in lightcone gauge, so explicitly the solution is⁴⁷

$$\begin{aligned} x^+ &= \kappa \tau \\ x_8 &= x_0 + \alpha' p_0 \tau + w \sigma \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(a_n e^{-in(\tau+\sigma)} + a_n^\dagger e^{in(\tau+\sigma)} + \tilde{a}_n e^{-in(\tau-\sigma)} + \tilde{a}_n^\dagger e^{in(\tau-\sigma)} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.1})$$

⁴⁶ A_{lm} referring only to the upper-left components of A and $A_{\bar{l}\bar{m}}$ to the lower-right components.

⁴⁷ x_8 is defined in equation (2.2).

The results are then very similar to those of the analogous solution for the “coset mode” in section 4.2.2. The Virasoro constraints determine x^- . Just as for the coset solution, we do not write down the full expression for x_1 as we only need integrals over σ of its derivatives. We note however, that the expression for x^- for this decoupled solution is very similar to that for the coset solution; the only difference is to the zero mode contribution which arose previously from x_1 , see equation (2.2). We must now also account for the additional quasimomentum p_4 ⁴⁸ coming from the decoupled S^1 . It is again purely analytic, so is given by equation (4.11) where the residues $\kappa_4 \pm 2\pi m_4$ are now given by

$$\kappa_4 = \frac{1}{R} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\tau x_8, \quad 2\pi m_4 = \frac{1}{R} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \partial_\sigma x_8. \quad (\text{E.2})$$

We then have the final solution for the quasimomenta of this decoupled mode solution as follows: p_l are given by equation (4.11) for $l = 0, 1, 2, 4$, with the residues given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_0 &= 2\pi i \kappa + \frac{i\pi\alpha'}{\kappa R^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n a_n^\dagger + \tilde{a}_n \tilde{a}_n^\dagger) + \frac{i\pi(\alpha'^2 p_0^2 + w^2)}{2\kappa R^2} \\ 2\pi m_0 &= \frac{i\pi\alpha'}{\kappa R^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n a_n^\dagger - \tilde{a}_n \tilde{a}_n^\dagger) + \frac{i\pi\alpha' p_0 w}{\kappa R^2} \\ \kappa_1 &= -2\pi\kappa \cos \phi + \frac{\pi\alpha' \cos \phi}{\kappa R^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n a_n^\dagger + \tilde{a}_n \tilde{a}_n^\dagger) + \frac{\pi(\alpha'^2 p_0^2 + w^2) \cos \phi}{2\kappa R^2} \\ 2\pi m_1 &= \frac{\pi\alpha' \cos \phi}{\kappa R^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n a_n^\dagger - \tilde{a}_n \tilde{a}_n^\dagger) + \frac{\pi\alpha' p_0 w \cos \phi}{\kappa R^2} \\ \kappa_2 &= -2\pi\kappa \sin \phi + \frac{\pi\alpha' \sin \phi}{\kappa R^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n a_n^\dagger + \tilde{a}_n \tilde{a}_n^\dagger) + \frac{\pi(\alpha'^2 p_0^2 + w^2) \sin \phi}{2\kappa R^2} \\ 2\pi m_2 &= \frac{\pi\alpha' \sin \phi}{\kappa R^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n a_n^\dagger - \tilde{a}_n \tilde{a}_n^\dagger) + \frac{\pi\alpha' p_0 w \sin \phi}{\kappa R^2} \\ \kappa_4 &= \frac{\alpha' p_0}{R} \\ 2\pi m_4 &= \frac{w}{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.3})$$

This should be compared with the very similar expressions (4.27) for the coset mode solution. As in that case, we impose the level matching condition (4.28) from σ -periodicity of t , and in this case this fixes

$$m_1 = m_2 = 0 \quad (\text{E.4})$$

so the only non-zero winding mode is m_4 .

⁴⁸We denote this p_4 rather than p_3 to be consistent with the notation of section 6. Whereas the quasimomenta p_0, p_1, p_2 for the bosonic subgroup of $D(2, 1; \alpha)$ are not simply related to the quasimomenta p_1, p_2 and p_3 for the full supergroup, the decoupled quasimomentum p_4 is the same for the bosonic subgroup as for the full supergroup.

The lightcone Hamiltonian $E - J$ is still given in terms of the quasimomenta by equation (4.19) (in particular it does not receive a contribution from p_4 directly). Putting in the values for the residues in equation (E.3), we again obtain precisely the expected dispersion relation for a massless mode:

$$E - J = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{J} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n^\dagger a_n + \tilde{a}_n^\dagger \tilde{a}_n) + \frac{(\alpha' p_0^2 + \frac{w^2}{\alpha'})\sqrt{\lambda}}{2J} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{J^2}\right). \quad (\text{E.5})$$

These results match up with those of section 6.2, with the function $\chi^1(\sigma)$ defined there given by $x_8(\sigma, \tau = 0)$ for x_8 as in equation (E.1).

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] N. Beisert, C. Ahn, L.F. Alday, Z. Bajnok, J.M. Drummond et al., *Review of AdS/CFT Integrability: An Overview*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **99** (2012) 3 [[arXiv:1012.3982](https://arxiv.org/abs/1012.3982)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] J.A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, *The Bethe ansatz for $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills*, *JHEP* **03** (2003) 013 [[hep-th/0212208](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0212208)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] K. Zoubos, *Review of AdS/CFT Integrability, Chapter IV.2: Deformations, Orbifolds and Open Boundaries*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **99** (2012) 375 [[arXiv:1012.3998](https://arxiv.org/abs/1012.3998)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, *Modeling Multiple $M2$'s*, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 045020 [[hep-th/0611108](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0611108)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, *Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple $M2$ -branes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 065008 [[arXiv:0711.0955](https://arxiv.org/abs/0711.0955)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] A. Gustavsson, *Algebraic structures on parallel $M2$ -branes*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **811** (2009) 66 [[arXiv:0709.1260](https://arxiv.org/abs/0709.1260)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D.L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, *$N=6$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, $M2$ -branes and their gravity duals*, *JHEP* **10** (2008) 091 [[arXiv:0806.1218](https://arxiv.org/abs/0806.1218)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] J.A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, *The Bethe ansatz for superconformal Chern-Simons*, *JHEP* **09** (2008) 040 [[arXiv:0806.3951](https://arxiv.org/abs/0806.3951)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, *Superstrings on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ as a Coset σ -model*, *JHEP* **09** (2008) 129 [[arXiv:0806.4940](https://arxiv.org/abs/0806.4940)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] B. Stefański Jr., *Green-Schwarz action for Type IIA strings on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$* , *Nucl. Phys. B* **808** (2009) 80 [[arXiv:0806.4948](https://arxiv.org/abs/0806.4948)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] J. Gomis, D. Sorokin and L. Wulff, *The Complete $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ superspace for the type IIA superstring and D -branes*, *JHEP* **03** (2009) 015 [[arXiv:0811.1566](https://arxiv.org/abs/0811.1566)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] N. Gromov and P. Vieira, *The all loop AdS_4/CFT_3 Bethe ansatz*, *JHEP* **01** (2009) 016 [[arXiv:0807.0777](https://arxiv.org/abs/0807.0777)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] M. Blau, J.M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. Hull and G. Papadopoulos, *Penrose limits and maximal supersymmetry*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) L87 [[hep-th/0201081](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0201081)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [14] D.E. Berenstein, J.M. Maldacena and H.S. Nastase, *Strings in flat space and pp waves from $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills*, *JHEP* **04** (2002) 013 [[hep-th/0202021](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] A. Babichenko, B. Stefański Jr. and K. Zarembo, *Integrability and the AdS_3/CFT_2 correspondence*, *JHEP* **03** (2010) 058 [[arXiv:0912.1723](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] A. Cagnazzo and K. Zarembo, *B-field in AdS_3/CFT_2 Correspondence and Integrability*, *JHEP* **11** (2012) 133 [*Erratum ibid.* **1304** (2013) 003] [[arXiv:1209.4049](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] B. Hoare and A.A. Tseytlin, *On string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ with mixed 3-form flux: Tree-level S-matrix*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **873** (2013) 682 [[arXiv:1303.1037](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] B. Hoare and A.A. Tseytlin, *Massive S-matrix of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ superstring theory with mixed 3-form flux*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **873** (2013) 395 [[arXiv:1304.4099](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] B. Hoare, A. Stepanchuk and A.A. Tseytlin, *Giant magnon solution and dispersion relation in string theory in $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ with mixed flux*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **879** (2014) 318 [[arXiv:1311.1794](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] H. Lü and J.F. Vazquez-Poritz, *Penrose limits of nonstandard brane intersections*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 4059 [[hep-th/0204001](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] Y. Hikida and Y. Sugawara, *Superstrings on PP wave backgrounds and symmetric orbifolds*, *JHEP* **06** (2002) 037 [[hep-th/0205200](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] J. Gomis, L. Motl and A. Strominger, *PP wave/CFT(2) duality*, *JHEP* **11** (2002) 016 [[hep-th/0206166](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] E. Gava and K.S. Narain, *Proving the PP wave/CFT(2) duality*, *JHEP* **12** (2002) 023 [[hep-th/0208081](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] L. Sommovigo, *Penrose limit of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ and its associated σ -model*, *JHEP* **07** (2003) 035 [[hep-th/0305151](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *The D1/D5 system and singular CFT*, *JHEP* **04** (1999) 017 [[hep-th/9903224](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] J.P. Gauntlett, R.C. Myers and P.K. Townsend, *Supersymmetry of rotating branes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1998) 025001 [[hep-th/9809065](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] J. de Boer, A. Pasquinucci and K. Skenderis, *AdS/CFT dualities involving large $2 - D$ $N = 4$ superconformal symmetry*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **3** (1999) 577 [[hep-th/9904073](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] S. Gukov, E. Martinec, G.W. Moore and A. Strominger, *The Search for a holographic dual to $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$* , *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **9** (2005) 435 [[hep-th/0403090](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] O. Ohlsson Sax and B. Stefański Jr., *Integrability, spin-chains and the AdS_3/CFT_2 correspondence*, *JHEP* **08** (2011) 029 [[arXiv:1106.2558](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] O. Ohlsson Sax, B. Stefański Jr. and A. Torrielli, *On the massless modes of the AdS_3/CFT_2 integrable systems*, *JHEP* **03** (2013) 109 [[arXiv:1211.1952](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] R. Borsato, O. Ohlsson Sax and A. Sfondrini, *A dynamic $SU(1|1)^2$ S-matrix for AdS_3/CFT_2* , *JHEP* **04** (2013) 113 [[arXiv:1211.5119](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] R. Borsato, O. Ohlsson Sax and A. Sfondrini, *All-loop Bethe ansatz equations for AdS_3/CFT_2* , *JHEP* **04** (2013) 116 [[arXiv:1212.0505](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [33] R. Borsato, O. Ohlsson Sax, A. Sfondrini, B. Stefański and A. Torrielli, *The all-loop integrable spin-chain for strings on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$: the massive sector*, *JHEP* **08** (2013) 043 [[arXiv:1303.5995](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] N. Rughoonauth, P. Sundin and L. Wulff, *Near BMN dynamics of the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S_3 \times S_1$ superstring*, *JHEP* **07** (2012) 159 [[arXiv:1204.4742](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] J.R. David and B. Sahoo, *Giant magnons in the D1 – D5 system*, *JHEP* **07** (2008) 033 [[arXiv:0804.3267](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] M.C. Abbott, *Comment on Strings in $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ at One Loop*, *JHEP* **02** (2013) 102 [[arXiv:1211.5587](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] M.C. Abbott, *The $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ Hernández-López phases: a semiclassical derivation*, *J. Phys. A* **46** (2013) 445401 [[arXiv:1306.5106](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] M. Beccaria, F. Levkovich-Maslyuk, G. Macorini and A.A. Tseytlin, *Quantum corrections to spinning superstrings in $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M^4$: determining the dressing phase*, *JHEP* **04** (2013) 006 [[arXiv:1211.6090](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] M. Beccaria and G. Macorini, *Quantum corrections to short folded superstring in $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M^4$* , *JHEP* **03** (2013) 040 [[arXiv:1212.5672](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] P. Sundin and L. Wulff, *Classical integrability and quantum aspects of the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S_3 \times S_1$ superstring*, *JHEP* **10** (2012) 109 [[arXiv:1207.5531](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] P. Sundin and L. Wulff, *Worldsheet scattering in AdS_3/CFT_2* , *JHEP* **07** (2013) 007 [[arXiv:1302.5349](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] P. Sundin and L. Wulff, *The low energy limit of the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4$ spinning string*, *JHEP* **10** (2013) 111 [[arXiv:1306.6918](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] J.R. David and B. Sahoo, *S-matrix for magnons in the D1 – D5 system*, *JHEP* **10** (2010) 112 [[arXiv:1005.0501](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] C. Ahn and D. Bombardelli, *Exact S-matrices for AdS_3/CFT_2* , *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **28** (2013) 1350168 [[arXiv:1211.4512](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] R. Borsato, O.O. Sax, A. Sfondrini, B. Stefański Jr. and A. Torrielli, *Dressing phases of AdS_3/CFT_2* , *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 066004 [[arXiv:1306.2512](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] L. Bianchi, V. Forini and B. Hoare, *Two-dimensional S-matrices from unitarity cuts*, *JHEP* **07** (2013) 088 [[arXiv:1304.1798](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] O.T. Engelund, R.W. McKeown and R. Roiban, *Generalized unitarity and the worldsheet S matrix in $AdS_n \times S^n \times M^{10-2n}$* , *JHEP* **08** (2013) 023 [[arXiv:1304.4281](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] J.R. David and A. Sadhukhan, *Classical integrability in the BTZ black hole*, *JHEP* **08** (2011) 079 [[arXiv:1105.0480](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [49] J.R. David, C. Kalousios and A. Sadhukhan, *Generating string solutions in BTZ*, *JHEP* **02** (2013) 013 [[arXiv:1211.5382](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [50] N. Gromov, V. Kazakov, K. Sakai and P. Vieira, *Strings as multi-particle states of quantum σ -models*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **764** (2007) 15 [[hep-th/0603043](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] V.A. Kazakov, A. Marshakov, J.A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, *Classical/quantum integrability in AdS/CFT* , *JHEP* **05** (2004) 024 [[hep-th/0402207](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [52] K. Zarembo, *Semiclassical Bethe Ansatz and AdS/CFT*, *Comptes Rendus Physique* **5** (2004) 1081 [*Fortsch. Phys.* **53** (2005) 647] [[hep-th/0411191](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] N. Beisert, V.A. Kazakov, K. Sakai and K. Zarembo, *The Algebraic curve of classical superstrings on $AdS_5 \times S^5$* , *Commun. Math. Phys.* **263** (2006) 659 [[hep-th/0502226](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] S. Schäfer-Nameki, *Review of AdS/CFT Integrability, Chapter II.4: The Spectral Curve*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **99** (2012) 169 [[arXiv:1012.3989](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [55] I. Bena, J. Polchinski and R. Roiban, *Hidden symmetries of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring*, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 046002 [[hep-th/0305116](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] V.V. Serganova, *Classification of real simple Lie superalgebras and symmetric superspaces*, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **17** (1983) 200 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] K. Zarembo, *Algebraic Curves for Integrable String Backgrounds*, [arXiv:1005.1342](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [58] J. Sochocki, *Theory of integral residues with some application* (1868).
- [59] J. Plemelj, *Riemannian classes of functions with given monodromy group*, *Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik* **19** W (1908) 211.
- [60] P.D. Lax, *Integrals of Nonlinear Equations of Evolution and Solitary Waves*, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **21** (1968) 467.
- [61] N. Gromov and P. Vieira, *The $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring quantum spectrum from the algebraic curve*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **789** (2008) 175 [[hep-th/0703191](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] G. Jorjadze, J. Plefka and J. Pollok, *Bosonic String Quantization in Static Gauge*, *J. Phys. A* **45** (2012) 485401 [[arXiv:1207.4368](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] N. Beisert, V.A. Kazakov, K. Sakai and K. Zarembo, *Complete spectrum of long operators in $N = 4$ SYM at one loop*, *JHEP* **07** (2005) 030 [[hep-th/0503200](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [64] N. Gromov and P. Vieira, *Complete 1-loop test of AdS/CFT*, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 046 [[arXiv:0709.3487](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [65] D. Sorokin, A. Tseytlin, L. Wulff and K. Zarembo, *Superstrings in $AdS_2 \times S(2) \times T(6)$* , *J. Phys. A* **44** (2011) 275401 [[arXiv:1104.1793](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [66] A. Cagnazzo, D. Sorokin and L. Wulff, *More on integrable structures of superstrings in $AdS_4 \times CP(3)$ and $AdS_2 \times S^2 \times T(6)$ superbackgrounds*, *JHEP* **01** (2012) 004 [[arXiv:1111.4197](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [67] J. Murugan, P. Sundin and L. Wulff, *Classical and quantum integrability in AdS_2/CFT_1* , *JHEP* **01** (2013) 047 [[arXiv:1209.6062](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [68] A. Cagnazzo, D. Sorokin, A.A. Tseytlin and L. Wulff, *Semiclassical equivalence of Green-Schwarz and Pure-Spinor/Hybrid formulations of superstrings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and $AdS_2 \times S^2 \times T(6)$* , *J. Phys. A* **46** (2013) 065401 [[arXiv:1211.1554](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [69] M.C. Abbott, J. Murugan, P. Sundin and L. Wulff, *Scattering in AdS_2/CFT_1 and the BES Phase*, *JHEP* **10** (2013) 066 [[arXiv:1308.1370](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [70] M. Kruczenski, *Spin chains and string theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 161602 [[hep-th/0311203](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [71] M. Kruczenski, A.V. Ryzhov and A.A. Tseytlin, *Large spin limit of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string theory and low-energy expansion of ferromagnetic spin chains*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **692** (2004) 3 [[hep-th/0403120](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [72] R. Hernandez and E. Lopez, *The $SU(3)$ spin chain σ -model and string theory*, *JHEP* **04** (2004) 052 [[hep-th/0403139](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [73] B. Stefański Jr. and A.A. Tseytlin, *Large spin limits of AdS/CFT and generalized Landau-Lifshitz equations*, *JHEP* **05** (2004) 042 [[hep-th/0404133](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [74] B. Stefański Jr. and A.A. Tseytlin, *Super spin chain coherent state actions and $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **718** (2005) 83 [[hep-th/0503185](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [75] B. Stefański Jr., *Landau-Lifshitz σ -models, fermions and the AdS/CFT correspondence*, *JHEP* **07** (2007) 009 [[arXiv:0704.1460](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].